# microbial biotechnology

**Open Access** 

# Transcriptional profiling of the stringent response mutant strain *E. coli* SR reveals enhanced robustness to large-scale conditions

Martin Ziegler, **b** Julia Zieringer and Ralf Takors Institute of Biochemical Engineering, University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Germany.

#### Summary

In large-scale fed-batch production processes, microbes are exposed to heterogeneous substrate availability caused by long mixing times. Escherichia coli, the most common industrial host for recombinant protein production, reacts by recurring accumulation of the alarmone ppGpp and energetically wasteful transcriptional strategies. Here, we compare the regulatory responses of the stringent response mutant strain E. coli SR and its parent strain E. coli MG1655 to repeated nutrient starvation in a two-compartment scale-down reactor. Our data show that E. coli SR can withstand these stress conditions without a ppGpp-mediated stress response maintaining fully functional ammonium uptake and biomass formation. Furthermore, E. coli SR exhibited a substantially reduced short-term transcriptional response compared to E. coli MG1655 (less than half as many differentially expressed genes). E. coli SR proceeded adaptation via more general SOS response pathways by initiating negative regulation of transcription, translation and cell division. Our results show that locally induced stress responses propagating through the bioreactor do not result in cyclical induction and repression of genes in E. coli SR, but in a reduced and coordinated response, which makes it potentially suitable for large-scale production processes.

#### Introduction

Heterogeneities in large-scale fed-batch bioprocesses have long been recognized as a cause for process

Received 12 October, 2020; revised 8 December, 2020; accepted 8 December, 2020.

\*For correspondence. E-mail ralf.takors@ibvt.uni-stuttgart.de; Tel. +49 711 685-64535; Fax +49 711 685-55164. **Funding information**No funding information provided.

Microbial Biotechnology (2021) **14**(3), 993–1010 doi:10.1111/1751-7915.13738

performance loss at industrial scale compared to homogeneous processes at laboratory scale (Bylund et al., 1998). Due to physical, economical and engineering constraints, the generation of gradients in large-scale reactors is inevitable. Hydrostatic pressure influences the solubility and transfer of gasses, and the mixing time of large reactors can be orders of magnitude higher than that of laboratory reactors producing strong measurable chemical gradients (Larsson et al., 1996; Enfors et al., 2001; Junker, 2004; Delvigne et al., 2006). Common consequences of spatial heterogeneities are loss of productivity, reduced biomass yield, increased byproduct formation and genetic or plasmid instability (Hopkins et al., 1987; George et al., 1993; Neubauer et al., 1995b; Bylund et al., 1998; Bylund et al., 2000; Jonge et al., 2011). Reduced process performance is not limited to a single species but can be observed for many industrial workhorse organisms like Escherichia coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Penicillium chrysogenum and Bacillus subtilis (George et al., 1993; Jonge et al., 2011; Junne et al., 2011; Larsson and Enfors, 1988).

Due to the enormous costs associated with using and maintaining large-scale equipment, few experiments in the context of academic research have been performed in industrial scale bioreactors (Bylund et al., 1999; Bylund et al., 2000; Enfors et al., 2001). In conseguence, researchers have relied on the use of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) to simulate reactor flow fields and on scale-down reactors to experimentally investigate selected scenarios (Kelly, 2008; Takors, 2012). Various designs of scale-down reactors exist and have been extensively reviewed elsewhere (Delvigne et al., 2017; Delvigne et al., 2006; Neubauer and Junne, 2010). One of the commonly used scale-down reactors follows a multi-compartment approach: A primary stirred tank reactor (STR) is coupled to a secondary plug flow reactor (PFR). The STR is operated as a well-mixed compartment under standard limited growth conditions and the PFR simulates a feeding, starvation or anaerobic zone providing the stimulus to be investigated (Lara et al., 2006).

Many studies have focused on experimentally simulating the zone close to the feeding point which is usually characterized by substrate excess and potentially oxygen limitation (Enfors *et al.*, 2001; Lara *et al.*, 2009;

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Junne *et al.*, 2011). For a variety of hosts, common observations in this scenario include the formation of small organic acids and solvents as overflow metabolites or as anaerobic fermentation products (George *et al.*, 1993; Neubauer *et al.*, 1995b). Ultimately, byproduct formation may lead to process performance loss even if reuptake of byproducts occurs in the well-mixed limited growth zone (Enfors *et al.*, 2001).

Occasionally, starvation zones have attracted attention as well (Neubauer *et al.*, 1995a; Neubauer *et al.*, 1995b). From CFD simulation and measured data, it is known that distant from the feeding point or close to the reactor walls poorly mixed zones with very low nutrient concentrations exist. An early scale-down study with *E. coli* employing oscillatory feeding protocols revealed the involvement of the stringent response in the cellular reaction to transient glucose starvation (Neubauer *et al.*, 1995a).

The stringent response is a global regulatory program usually preparing *E. coli* for entry into the stationary phase (Magnusson *et al.*, 2005; Gaca *et al.*, 2015; Hauryliuk *et al.*, 2015). Its hallmark is the synthesis of the alarmone (p)ppGpp on short time-scales by the ribosome-associated protein ReIA or on longer time-scales by the bifunctional enzyme SpoT (Gallant *et al.*, 1970; Atherly, 1979; Murray and Bremer, 1996). ppGpp acts primarily as a transcription factor by binding to RNA polymerase and modulating its affinity to transcription initiation sites and alternative sigma factors. Additionally, ppGpp directly modulates the activity of certain proteins (Dalebroux and Swanson, 2012; Kanjee *et al.*, 2011).

The fast and reversible initiation of the stringent response to oscillatory substrate supply was later confirmed by measurements of ppGpp in continuous glucose chemostat cultivations in a two-compartment stirred tank-plug flow reactor (STR-PFR) setup (Löffler et al., 2016). The feeding point was placed in the STR creating a starvation zone in the PFR, which allowed to resolve the timescale of cellular response. Moreover, it was shown that extensive transcriptional responses take place as cells move transiently through a nutrient poor zone. From theoretical calculations of ATP costs Löffler et al. estimated that an increase in maintenance energy demand of more than 30% was caused by the repeated exposure of cells to the nutrient gradient offering a new explanation for performance losses in large-scale bioprocesses (Löffler et al., 2016). Analogous experiments with ammonium as the limiting nutrient revealed similar, yet less pronounced, regulation patterns affirming the importance of the stringent response for global regulation in E. coli in a scenario of oscillating starvation stimuli (Simen et al., 2017). Fed-batch processes limited by ammonium or other nitrogen sources are interesting fermentation scenarios for the production of small molecules which mainly consist of carbon such as fatty alcohols (Chubukov *et al.*, 2017). Nitrogen limitation is commonly used to enhance the accumulation of cellular carbon storage products such as polyhydroxyalkanoates used for bioplastic synthesis (Wen *et al.*, 2010; Oliveira-Filho *et al.*, 2019), including *E. coli* as a potential host (Wang *et al.*, 2009). As nitrogen forms a relatively large part of cells, nitrogen limitation can be easily explored during process development. During scale-up, such processes will likely suffer from similar issues as carbon-limited processes (Simen *et al.*, 2017).

Recently, the strains E. coli SR and E. coli HGT with modulated stringent response were constructed in our laboratory (Michalowski et al., 2017). The strains lack relA which is primarily responsible for rapid ppGpp synthesis upon nutrient depletion and carry modifications in the bifunctional enzyme SpoT. It was shown that they do not react to the exhaustion of ammonium supply by ppGpp synthesis (Michalowski et al., 2017). Strain E. coli SR displays no negative phenotypic differences in batch cultivations compared to its parent strain E. coli K-12 MG1655. However, under conditions of ammonium limitation, E. coli SR was found to have an elevated specific glucose consumption rate which is beneficial for two-stage processes involving product formation in the nitrogen limited phase (Jarmander et al., 2015; Perez-Zabaleta et al., 2016).

The combination of properties displayed by *E. coli SR* indicates that this strain can potentially be developed as a platform strain for robust scale-up from lab to production. In this work, we compared the phenotypic and transcriptional responses of *E. coli* SR and its parent strain *E. coli* MG1655 in a two-compartment scale-down reactor. We focused our investigation on the regulatory differences between these strains in the response to repeated short-term stimuli. The primary stirred tank reactor was operated as an ammonium-limited chemostat while a plug flow reactor simulated a nitrogen starvation zone.

#### Results

#### Continuous cultivation with periodic nutrient depletion

We cultivated *E. coli* SR and *E. coli* MG1655 in two independent continuous fermentations each in a previously described scale-down reactor consisting of a primary stirred tank reactor (STR) and a secondary plugflow reactor (PFR), schematically shown in Fig. 1 (Löffler *et al.*, 2016; Simen *et al.*, 2017; Ankenbauer *et al.*, 2020). *E. coli* SR is a strain with modulated stringent response that was engineered to alleviate the induction of the stringent response and the general stress response upon nutrient depletion (Michalowski *et al.*, 2017). The chemostat was operated at a dilution rate of D = 0.2 h<sup>-1</sup> and ammonium was chosen as the limiting

nutrient. After establishment of a steady state in the STR alone, a reference sample (S0, t = 0 h) was taken and the PFR connected. Periodic passage from the STR (average residence time  $-\tau_{STB} = 6.2 min$ ) through the PFR (average residence time  $-\tau_{PFR} = 2.6 min$ ) then created a repeated short nitrogen starvation stimulus. The average residence times represent worst-case scenarios that are still consistent with mixing studies (Vrábel et al., 2000; Noorman, 2011) and the volume ratio STR to PFR was approximately 3:1 to represent existing simulation results (Lapin et al., 2006; Haringa et al., 2017). The long-term response of cells was investigated from additional samples taken from the STR shortly after connection of the PFR (S5, t = 5 min) and after establishment of a new steady-state (S28, t = 28 h) in the two-compartment cultivation. The short-term response of cells to the PFR stimulus was monitored by sampling from five ports along the primary axis of the PFR at identical timepoints. Transcript samples for the PFR were taken from port 5 (P5\_5 and P5\_28).

Basic growth and fermentation data confirmed earlier results that there are no detrimental differences in



**Fig. 1.** Experimental design of the two-compartment system. The fermenter consists of a stirred tank reactor (STR) as the primary cultivation vessel and a plug-flow reactor (PFR) connected by an active pump. The ammonium-limited chemostat was operated at a dilution rate of D =  $0.2 \ h^{-1}$  with the feeding point placed in the STR. The STR served as a limitation zone and the PFR formed a starvation zone. The setup was designed to resolve different timescales of cellular response. Oxygen saturation was measured by three oxygen probes and recorded by the process control system (01, 02, 03). V<sub>STRref</sub>: Reference Volume without connection of PFR (constant volume).

fundamental physiological parameters (Table 1) between E. coli MG1655 and E. coli SR under nitrogen-limited conditions (Michalowski et al., 2017). There were no statistically significant differences in any parameter (twotailed t-test, p > 0.1). Both strains reached practically identical biomass yields on ammonium and depleted ammonium to equally low levels regardless of process time and PFR action (Fig. 2). The most noteworthy difference between E. coli MG1655 and E. coli SR was a reduced concentration of excess glucose in the fermentation broth of E. coli SR. Consequently, we calculated a lower biomass yield on glucose for E. coli SR (Table 1). Under conditions of long-term nitrogen starvation in batch fermentations E. coli SR had previously displayed a relaxation in glucose and nitrogen uptake coupling and we thus suspected an increased specific glucose uptake rate (Michalowski et al., 2017). The calculated specific glucose uptake rate was higher for E. coli SR, but the difference was not statistically significant in our experiments (two-tailed t-test, P-value > 0.1). Data from the fermentation broth supernatant showed that both strains converted comparable amounts of substrate into acetate as the primary byproduct. Carbon balancing revealed an increased fraction of unknown substances among the fermentation products of E. coli SR which were identified as dissolved organic substances in the fermentation supernatant by total dissolved carbon analysis. The elevated glucose uptake rate of E. coli SR likely leads to higher byproduct formation of typical overflow metabolites such as lactate, pyruvate, formate and the regulator 2-oxoglutarate, all of which are known to accumulate under nitrogen-limited conditions with glucose excess (Hua et al., 2004). Apart from the primary byproduct acetate, individual small carbon byproducts were not measured as the overall total carbon efflux/influx balancing was in good agreement for both strains. Carbon recovery was 101  $\pm$  2 % for *E. coli* MG1655 and 102  $\pm$ 1 % for E. coli SR indicating that in sum all relevant substances were detected.

In general, process time and the periodic PFR stimulus hardly affected global process parameters which is in accordance with former observations made in this reactor setup for nitrogen limitation and K-12 strains (Simen *et al.*, 2017). In sharp contrast, we found substantial regulatory differences between the two strains both in the short-term and in the long-term transcriptional responses to the periodic starvation stimulus.

#### Transcriptomic analysis: Overview

RNA-seq-based transcriptomic data to examine potentially important genes for the ammonium stress response of *E. coli* WT and *E. coli* SR was analysed. After filtering, 4037 predicted *E. coli* genes remained for further

E. coli MG1655	E. coli SR	
$Y_{XN}\left[\frac{g_{\text{CDW}}}{g_{\text{NH}_{4}^{+}}}\right]$	$4.63\pm0.12^{\text{a}}$	4.62 ± 0.27
$Y_{XS}\left[\frac{g_{\text{CDW}}}{g_{\text{Glucose}}}\right]$	$\textbf{0.32}\pm\textbf{0.01}$	$0.28\pm0.01$
$c_{\text{Glucose,STR}}\left[rac{g_{\text{Glucose}}}{l} ight]$	$\textbf{2.07} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	$1.49\pm0.06$
$c_{\text{Acetate,STR}} \left[ \frac{g_{\text{Acetate}}}{I} \right]$	$\textbf{1.39} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$1.29\pm0.14$
$q_{NH_4^+}\left[rac{g_{NH_4^+}}{g_{CDW}*\hbar} ight]$	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$
$q_{S}\left[\frac{g_{\text{Glucose}}}{g_{\text{CDW}}*h}\right]$	$\textbf{0.63} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{0.77} \pm \textbf{0.14}$
$q_{Ac} \left[ rac{g_{Acetate}}{g_{CDW} * h}  ight]$	$\textbf{0.10}\pm\textbf{0.01}$	$0.10\pm0.01$
$q_{\mathrm{CO}_2}\left[rac{\mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{CO}_2}}{g_{\mathrm{CDW}}*h} ight]$	$\textbf{8.73} \pm \textbf{1.06}$	$\textbf{9.98} \pm \textbf{2.23}$
$q_{O_2}\left[rac{mmol_{O_2}}{g_{CDW}*h} ight]$	$\textbf{9.28}\pm\textbf{0.47}$	$10.9\pm2.02$
$RQ\left[\frac{mol_{CO_2}}{mol_{O_2}}\right]$	$\textbf{0.95} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	$0.91 \pm 0.04$
$q_{ATP}\left[rac{mmol_{ATP}}{g_{CDW}*h} ight]$	$\textbf{29.23} \pm \textbf{0.62}^{b}$	$\textbf{34.73} \pm \textbf{6.39}$
$D[\frac{1}{\hbar}]$	$0.20\pm0.01$	$0.21\pm0.03$

a. Errors indicate SEM (n = 2). All rates were calculated from averaged values collected over the entire STR-PFR process time.
b. Estimated values assuming a P/O-Ratio of 1.2.

analysis (see Supporting information). The fast tactical transcriptional response to ammonia shortage was determined by comparing PFR port 5 samples to STR samples taken at the same process time points. Long-term responses were studied by comparing post-perturbation samples from the STR after 5 min (S5) and 28 h (S28) to the reference sample (S0). The statistical threshold for significance was set for adjusted p-value < 0.01 and log2FC > 111. 54 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (UP: 14, DOWN: 40) formed the long-term response of *E. coli* MG1655. The short-term response was more pronounced comprising 837 DEGs (UP: 242, DOWN: 595). *E. coli* SR disclosed a similar number of 61 DEGs for the long term response (UP: 12, DOWN: 49), but substantially less DEGS as short term response (Total: 387, UP: 161, DOWN: 226) (Fig. 3). Log<sub>2</sub>FC values range from -4.69 to 4.96 (WT) and -3.90 to 5.13 (SR). Fig. 3 depicts an overview of transcriptional dynamics outlining the halved response of *E. coli* SR 5 min after repeated nitrogen limited perturbation compared to WT.

Figure 4 shows that the multi-transcript response of each strain could be well described by 2-dimensional PCA covering 96% and 87% of total variance for E. coli WT and E. coli SR, respectively. Notably, biological duplicates were found in close proximity. PC1 accounts for the sample port location, PC2 for the time course. Unique and clearly distinguishable differences between STR and PFR transcript patterns were observed already after 5 min of repeated nitrogen starvation for both strains (Figure 4, A1). In particular, principal component 1 (PC1) disclosed major differences between the samples of each strain accounting for 88% and 67% regarding E. coli WT and E. coli SR, respectively. The PCA finding is in agreement with the reduced number of DEGs observed for E. coli SR. The impact of PC2 is pronounced for E. coli SR although almost more



**Fig. 2.** Physiological measurements.A. Cell dry weight. Concentration of cell dry weight after at least 25 h chemostat process before connecting the plug-flow reactor (0 h) and after 28 h of chemostat process with connected PFR (28 h).B. Ammonium. Concentration of residual ammonium in the supernatant.C. Carbon Balance. Columns show efflux fractions of total C-mol based on carbon influx. The final fraction represents undetermined dissolved organic substances in the fermentation broth, as measured by the difference of all efflux carbon detected by exhaust gas or total carbon analysis and the sum of the individually measured efflux components. Error bars indicate SEM (n = 2) of individual components (A, B and C).

#### E. coliSR - A chassis for large-scale processes 997



Fig. 3. Number of UP (black) and DOWN (gray) regulated genes (DEGs). Long-term (left) and short-term (right) response to repeated nitrogen starvation for *E. coli* MG1655 (WT) and *E. coli* SR (SR) and given process times.



**Fig. 4.** Principal component analysis of transcript data of *E. coli* MG1655 (WT) (top) and *E. coli* SR (bottom) obtained from STR (S) and PFR (port 5, P5) at three process time points (0 h, 5 min, and 28 h). Covered measurement variance of each principal component (PC) is indicated. Ellipses cluster samples of STR and PFR. PC1 accounts for 'sample port location', PC2 for 'process time'.

identical numbers of DEGs were found as long-term response in both strains. However, given the low impact of PC1 for *E. coli* SR, similar DEG values affect the relative principal component analysis stronger.

As long-term responses of both strains were similar (see Appendix: Supporting information) and weaker than short-term responses (Fig. 4) further analysis focused on short-term transcript patterns. Notably, changes between long- and short-term responses of both strains were dominated by counteracting transcript dynamics resetting perturbations after PFR passages (MG1655: 5 min and 28 h). Observations are in line with similar findings (Chang *et al.*, 2002). Additional differences were found in the upregulation of carbohydrate transport (SR: 5 min) and catabolic processes (SR: 28 h) (see Fig. A5 and A6).

#### Regulatory response to short-term ammonium limitation

Preceding investigations of *E. coli* K-12 strains in STR-PFR scale-down reactors revealed the rapid accumulation of the alarmone ppGpp upon entry into the nutrient

limited zone under both glucose and ammonium limitation (Löffler et al., 2016; Simen et al., 2017). Concomitantly, an extensive transcriptional reprogramming of cells occurred. In standard batch fermentations E. coli SR in turn did not react to ammonium depletion by ppGpp synthesis (Michalowski et al., 2017). We therefore measured intracellular ppGpp levels from samples taken from the five ports of the PFR along its primary axis (Fig. 5). During the PFR passage E. coli MG1655 accumulated ppGpp to levels 2 - 3 fold higher than measured in the STR, displaying the same behaviour as previously observed for the closely related K-12 strain E. coli W3110 (Simen et al., 2017). In contrast, E. coli SR had no elevated levels of ppGpp at any point during the PFR passage regardless of process time. These results complement previous findings for the case of repeated short stimuli and confirm the strain's resilience to ammonium exhaustion.

Based on these encouraging findings, we focused our investigation on the short-term transcriptional response of both strains along the PFR axis. We compared data from samples drawn from port 5 of the PFR to samples drawn from the STR at identical process time points. Short-term changes revealed a significantly different response of *E. coli* SR compared to *E. coli* MG1655 not only in the amount of DEGs (Fig. 3), but also in the function of these genes (Fig. 4, 6). To elucidate patterns in the transcriptional responses, we searched for common DEGs, investigated the behaviour of gene clusters of orthologous groups (COGs), and compared sigma factor ( $\sigma$ ) activities. The gene expression patterns of each strain individually were assigned to 21 functional

categories based on the COG database (Tatusov *et al.*, 2003). In total 3532 of the 4037 genes (87.5%) could be annotated to COG. For each COG category, the resulting t-values are represented in a lollipop plot (Fig. 7). Significant changes were defined with a FDR-corrected p-value < 0.01. Furthermore, the activation and deactivation of sigma factors over time were investigated (Fig. 7). In this case, 3935 out of 4037 genes could be assigned to the sigma factor-gene interaction database from RegulonDB (Santos-Zavaleta *et al.*, 2019).

After the first 5 min of PFR action E. coli MG1655 and E. coli SR exhibited substantially different transcriptional responses. The strains had only 64 DEGs in common, split equally between up- and down regulation (Fig. 6 left). Hence, these genes mirror the transcriptional response to short-term starvation irrespective of a functional stringent response, in which 14 out of the 32 common upregulated genes are associated with the Ntrreponse (e.g. glnK, amtB, glnAHPQ, rutA). Downregulated genes consist of genes responsible for amino acid biosynthesis (e.g. argCF, metABFINR) and other cellular functions such as DNA cleavage, transporters and oxidoreductases. The only oppositely regulated gene was guaC coding for the GMP reductase GuaC. Transcriptional control of the guaC promoter by the stringent response was proposed after its initial discovery and is clearly supported by our data (Andrews and Guest, 1988). Individual, strain-specific short-term regulation was observed for 398 (E. coli MG1655) and 32 (E. coli SR) specific DEGs after 5 min, clearly demonstrating the effect of the stringent response on the E. coli transcriptome.



**Fig. 5.** Alarmone accumulation along the PFR. Concentration of ppGpp measured from samples drawn along the plug flow reactor (P1 to P5) relative to the concentration measured in the stirred tank reactor (S, all values set to 1) for *E. coli* MG1655 (WT) and *E. coli* SR (SR). Error Bars represent SEM (n = 2).



Fig. 6. Venn diagrams representing partially overlapping sets of DEGs of *E. coli* MG1655 (WT) and *E. coli* SR. The number of significantly up-(UP) and downregulated (DOWN) genes in each set is indicated by numbers. Left: Short-term responses 5 min after PFR connection. Right: Short-term responses 28 h after PFR connection. Complete gene lists of the Venn diagrams are available in the supplementary data.



Fig. 7. Top: Transcriptional patterns grouped into COG categories of *E. coli* MG1655 (WT) and *E. coli* SR (SR). Left: short-term patterns to the PFR stimulus 5 min after PFR connection. Right: short-term patterns to the PFR stimulus 28 h after PFR connection. Bottom: Sigma factor activities of *E. coli* MG1655 (WT, grey) and *E. coli* SR (SR, black). Left: Short-term response to the PFR stimulus 5 min after PFR connection. Right: Short-term patterns to the PFR stimulus 28 h after PFR connection. Right: Short-term response to the PFR stimulus 5 min after PFR connection. Right: Short-term response to the PFR stimulus 28 h after PFR connection. Significant categories are indicated with an asterix.

Gene expression along the PFR after 28 h of PFR action differs strongly from the early response. 125 DEGs, mostly downregulated, are shared by both strains and the number of individually regulated genes is similar

with 242 genes for *E. coli* MG1655 and 158 genes for *E. coli* SR (Fig. 6 right). Additionally, seven genes are oppositely regulated. Three of them (*tolQ, guaC, purM*) are upregulated in *E. coli* SR and downregulated in

E. coli MG1655. These genes correspond to cell envelope integrity during cell division (Gerding et al., 2007), nucleotide metabolism (Kaniee et al., 2012) and purine de novo biosynthesis (Mueller et al., 1999). While purine de novo biosynthesis is actively inhibited by ppGpp via inhibition of GuaB, GTP synthesis solely originates from purine salvage pathways with xdhA significantly increased in E. coli MG1655 (Xi et al., 2000). The residual four oppositely regulated DEGs (csiD, glnL, lhgO, veaH) predominantly play a role in the adaptation to nitrogen starvation and except for glnL are known to be induced by ppGpp. NtrB encoded by glnL is an essential part of the Ntr response cascade to nitrogen starvation and yeaG positively impacts rpoS transcription and translation under prolonged nitrogen starvation (Brown et al., 2014). Despite these differences in adaption to nitrogen limitation, we observed no alterations in the uptake or utilization of ammonium which indicates that the additional regulatory adaptions of E. coli MG1655 are irrelevant in the context of a bioprocess.

Transcriptional patterns could be identified by functional enrichments of groups based on COG categories and sigma factor activities. COG groups J (Translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis) and F (nucleotide transport and metabolism) were significantly down regulated as part of the stringent response of E. coli MG1655 after both 5 min and 28 h (Fig. 7). For the 28 h sampling point group H (coenzyme transport and metabolism) was also significantly downregulated. As already indicated by the oppositely regulated genes (Fig. 7), o54-mediated genes responsible for the activation of the Ntr stress response including yeaG/H via NtrBC were induced in E. coli MG1655, as well as the  $\sigma$ 38 regulon as part of the general stress response (Brown et al., 2014; Figueira et al., 2015) (Fig. 7). Due to the limited amount of RNA-Polymerase (RNAP) core enzymes,  $\sigma$ 70 competes with  $\sigma$ 54, resulting in an antiproportional expression of their mediated genes (Jishage et al., 1996). In contrast, E. coli SR only increased the expression of genes regulated by  $\sigma 54$ after 5 min and no significant COG category was identified at this time-point. The absence of the stringent response in E. coli SR is clearly visible in an overall dampened regulatory response. The only significantly regulated group is E (amino acid transport and metabolism) after 28 h of PFR action, and the significantly downregulated genes in this group are predominantly ABC-transporters.

To unravel more detailed patterns in the transcriptional responses we assigned genes to the up-to-date gene ontology (GO) gene sets using GAGE (Luo *et al.*, 2009). 3345 out of 4037 genes (83%) could be mapped to GO Terms. As shown in Fig. 3 the majority of significant DEGs for *E. coli* MG1655 were downregulated. This is

mirrored by the results of the identified top 20 GO categories which were uniformly down-regulated (Fig. 8). E. coli MG1655 predominantly downregulated genes related to ribosomal biosynthesis and translation after 5 min and 28 h as expected for a stringent phenotype (Fig. 8). These transcriptional changes are counteracted in the long-term response observed from the STR (Fig. A3 to A6) which indicates looping induction and repression of the genes. Patterns from E. coli SR were less pronounced and grouped differently. After 5 min we observed decreasing gene expression of ATP-demanding processes such as ABC transporters and ATPase complexes (Fig 8). After 28 h the PFR passage mainly induced an increased negative regulation of transcription and metabolic processes (Fig. 8). Care must be taken in the interpretation of this group though. General categories affecting transcription (GO:0006351, GO:0045892, GO:0097659. GO:1903507) or RNA processes (GO:0032774, GO:1902679, GO:0051253, GO:0051252) are represented as simultaneously negatively and positively regulated. Moreover, all negative regulators included in these terms, such as members of the CRP family, are also capable of positive regulation. Other negative regulation categories involve genes which actively inhibit translation and belong to SOS signals like DNA damage, prevention of cell division and programmed cell death (PCD). E. coli SR thereby focuses on  $\sigma$ 38 regulated genes, as well as toxin and antitoxin systems (mazEF and mqsRA) possibly resulting in arrested growth and a dormant cell state or even PCD. As growth arrest is usually a primary outcome of the stringent response, which is absent in E. coli SR, we hypothesize that this pattern might provide an alternative way for E. coli SR to achieve cell cycle arrest.

In summary, the short-term response transcriptional patterns of E. coli MG1655 were extensive and dominated by the stringent response and the Ntr regulon. The major activated sigma factors were  $\sigma$ 54 and  $\sigma$ 38. Overall, the transcription of ribosomal genes and other genes necessary for growth was inhibited, while genes involved in the transport and fixation of ammonia were induced. Our observations reflect well-known regulatory patterns exerted by E. coli K-12 when facing nitrogen starvation (Chang et al., 2002; Traxler et al., 2008; Traxler et al., 2011; Simen et al., 2017; Wang and Levin, 2009). In contrast, the transcriptional short-term response of E. coli SR is dampened both in the number of DEGs and the patterns observed, especially shortly after connection of the PFR. The only significantly activated sigma factor is  $\sigma$ 54 indicating a functional but attenuated Ntr response in the absence of ppGpp accumulation. Adaptation to ongoing starvation was possibly attempted via negative regulation of metabolic processes and SOS pathways.

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **14**, 993–1010



**Fig. 8.** Significant GO categories after 5 min and 28 h of both *E. coli* SR (left) and *E. coli* MG1655 (right). Downregulated categories are arranged at the top and upregulated GO terms at the bottom. 5 min: Short-term response of *E. coli* SR (left) and *E. coli* MG1655 (right) after 5 min of PFR action. Only the Top 20 out of 102 significantly downregulated categories are shown. Neither strain had significantly upregulated categories for this time-point. 28h : Short-term response of *E. coli* SR (left, light grey) and *E. coli* MG1655 (right, light grey) after 28 h of PFR action. For *E. coli* SR only the Top 20 out of 24 significantly upregulated categories are shown. For *E. coli* MG1655 only the Top 20 out of 95 significantly downregulated categories are shown. No significantly upregulated categories were found for this time-point.

#### Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the regulatory responses of the stringent response mutant strain *E. coli* SR when exposed to repeated short starvation stimuli in a scale-down reactor. The comparison with its wild-type parent *E. coli* MG1655 unravelled dampened regulatory patterns which are potentially beneficial for the application of *E. coli* SR in industrial large-scale reactors. The reduced regulatory patterns might be beneficial for heterologous protein expression as well as the production of small molecules as less interference with engineered metabolic pathways may occur and energy otherwise spent for adaptive responses is available for product formation.

An important finding of our study is that despite the regulatory differences *E. coli* SR displayed no dysfunctionalities in handling the shortage of ammonium. *E. coli* SR reached the same biomass yield on ammonium as *E. coli* MG1655 both with and without PFR action. Moreover, both strains depleted ammonium to comparable levels of about 1.2 mg  $\Gamma^1$  or 67 µmol  $\Gamma^1$ , well in line with previously reported values for *E. coli* K-12 strains in nitrogen limited chemostats (Hua *et al.*, 2004). The low

remaining ammonium concentration indicates that uptake in both strains is mediated actively by AmtB with K<sub>m</sub> = 0.8 mM (Williamson et al., 2020) and incorporation is accomplished by the GS-GOGAT System with GS K<sub>m</sub> = 0.1 mM (Alibhai and Villafranca, 1994). This is supported by our transcriptional data which revealed that amtB, gltB and gltD were significantly enriched for both strains over all time-points. Transcripts of glnA were also always significantly enriched except for the time point 28 h of E. coli SR. Concomitantly, we identified transcriptional patterns typical for the  $\sigma$ 54- and NtrBC-mediated responses to nitrogen starvation (Reitzer, 2003). 13 out of 21 known NtrC-regulated operons (Brown et al., 2014) were induced at PFR port 5 in E. coli MG1655 at all time points (Table S2). For E. coli SR, the Ntr response was slightly reduced, with 9 out of 21 operons induced (Table S3) and lower overexpression of  $\sigma 54$ transcribed genes. These findings lead to the conclusion of an active, but diminished Ntr response of E. coli SR that still allowed fully functional ammonium assimilation. Additionally, the energy consumption as maintenance add-on for both strains was calculated according to Löffler et al. (2016) assuming de novo synthesis of all upregulated DEGs over the whole process time (28 h).

The resulting energy savings of *E. coli* SR due to weaker transcriptional response added up to around 46.5 %. In terms of microbial productivity, the reduced maintenance demand potentially increases the amount of available ATP for biomass-specific productivities and improves cell fitness.

In a previous study, a significantly elevated specific glucose consumption rate under ammonium limitation was observed in E. coli SR (Michalowski et al., 2017). Similarly, we observed reduced excess glucose and the accompanying formation of dissolved byproducts in the fermentation supernatant. In E. coli K-12 strains, the consumption of glucose is usually tightly coupled to the availability of nitrogen on the level of metabolite control by the interaction of 2-oxoglutarate with Ptsl (Doucette et al., 2011). The exact mechanism by which coupling of nitrogen and glucose uptake rates are relaxed in E. coli SR is not clear as the strain is isogenic to E. coli MG1655 except for the deletion of relA and the modifications in spoT. However, we found an increased transcription of ptsl, ptsH and ptsG in E. coli SR compared to E. coli MG1655 (Table S6). Artificially increased expression of *ptsl* has been shown to increase specific glucose uptake rates in nitrogen limited conditions (Chubukov et al., 2017). We presume that the increased glucose uptake rate in E. coli SR might be caused by deregulated expression of ptsl, potentially connected to the absence of the stringent response by the action of CRP whose transcription is negatively regulated by ppGpp (Johansson et al., 2000). It remains to be clarified whether E. coli SR has altered cytoplasmic 2-oxoglutarate levels or the action of ppGpp influences the coupling of glucose consumption to nitrogen availability, potentially by the proposed mechanism. Increased specific glucose uptake rates in conjunction with higher respiratory activity have also been observed in E. coli MG1655 subjected to repeated glucose feast-famine cycles (Vasilakou et al., 2020). Future studies should thus examine how E. coli SR reacts to varying availability of glucose or other carbon sources.

In view of these differences in carbon metabolism, we hypothesized that biological energy availability might be unequal for *E. coli* MG1655 and *E. coli* SR. From oxygen and glucose uptake rates the specific ATP production rate  $q_{ATP}$  was estimated (Table 1).  $q_{ATP}$  greatly depends on the effective P/O ratio and current scientific consensus estimates realistic P/O ratios between 1.0 and 1.5 for *E. coli* (Noguchi *et al.*, 2004; Szenk *et al.*, 2017). For our estimations of  $q_{ATP}$  we assumed a conservative P/O ratio of 1.2 and 2 moles of ATP per mol glucose from glycolysis. The result indicates that *E. coli* SR might have an increased availability of ATP compared to its wild-type parent under the applied experimental conditions. Given that the respiratory capability

and thus the ATP production capability of K-12 strains is not exhausted at a dilution rate of  $D = 0.2 h^{-1}$  it appears that the increased glycolytic flux to byproducts displayed by *E. coli* SR was also not a result of increased energy demand. Moreover, increased glucose uptake has been reported previously for *E. coli* SR under conditions of ammonia limitation despite high adenylate energy charge (Michalowski *et al.*, 2017). Carbon and redox homeostasis at elevated glycolytic flux would then be maintained by byproduct excretion and increased respiration, possibly involving the dissipation of surplus energy by uncoupling of the electron transport chain (Bekker *et al.*, 2009).

Nitrogen limitation inducing the stringent response is a well-documented phenomenon in E. coli. Multiple previous studies predominantly observed heavily increased gene expression corresponding to amino acid transport and metabolism (Barker et al., 2001; Durfee et al., 2008; Traxler et al., 2008; Traxler et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2014; Simen et al., 2017). Conversely, we observed almost equally distributed up- and downregulated genes for amino acid transport and metabolism (see Supporting information: Transcriptomics), which was only reported by few research groups (Chang et al., 2002; Traxler et al., 2008). As a result, no overall significant statistical trend was detectable for this category (Fig. 7). We suggest that the individual operons do not solely respond to ppGpp, but rather depend on other signals and regulatory networks which were not found to be significantly expressed in this study such as the Lrp regulon. Additionally, caution is advised when comparing transcriptomic analyses originating from different studies as they greatly depend on the transcriptional reference state and thus the details of the experimental design.

In general, the amount of DEGs of E. coli K-12 MG1655 was similar to the numbers found in the analogous study of Simen et al. (2017) who employed the closely related E. coli K-12 W3110 confirming the validity of our data. The amount of DEGs is also less than observed during the related study of glucose starvation by Löffler et al. (2016) which points towards significant potential of E. coli SR to preserve energy in glucose starvation conditions. An interesting difference to the former studies in this scale-down reactor setup is the absence of increased motility in the STR after PFR connection (Löffler et al., 2016; Simen et al., 2017). Our dataset contains no upregulated flagellar or sigma factor 28 mediated gene patterns from the STR at any timepoint (Fig. 7). We first hypothesized that the cause might be genetic differences affecting motility which are well documented between MG1655 and W3110 and even between different MG1655 isolates (Barker et al., 2004; Hayashi et al., 2006). However, sequencing of our MG1655 isolate revealed the presence of the canonical

IS-1 insertion upstream of *flhD* which confers motility and our MG1655 isolate displayed vivid spreading in motility agar (Supporting information, Fig. A7). An alternative explanation could be derived by the interplay of quorum sensing and flagellar regulation through the action of autoinducer-2 (AI-2) and the motility guorum sensing regulator MgsR. While transcript levels of luxS (LuxS synthesizes AI-2) remain unchanged, the expression of mqsR is significantly enriched at PFR port 5 and MosR is known to induce the flagellar synthesis cascade (González Barrios et al., 2006). However, cell dry weight (CDW) was always below 3 g l<sup>-1</sup> in our experiments whereas Simen *et al.* worked with around 10 g  $l^{-1}$  CDW. Higher biomass should lead to increased AI-2 levels and may cause a preconditioned phenotype that rapidly initiates flagellar biosynthesis when encountering nutrient stress. Thus, rapid induction of motility genes might become more pronounced during high cell density processes in large-scale reactors and remains to be examined in further studies. Additionally, as introduced by Löffler et al.(2016) during glucose fluctuation, genes of the category cell motility were identified as one of the most prominent energy consumers and might therefore be candidates for genome reduction (Löffler et al., 2016).

Analysis of gene expression patterns (Fig. 7 and 8) revealed that both strains individually adapted to repeated nitrogen starvation. E. coli MG1655 adjusted by utilizing the ppGpp-mediated general stress response including activation of toxin/antitoxin (TA) systems like mgsRA and mazEF. This strategy intends to arrest the cell cycle and form persister cells (Balaban et al., 2004). Persister cell formation is not yet fully understood and usually only involves a small fraction of cells (Chowdhury et al., 2016; Gerdes and Maisonneuve, 2012; Korch et al., 2015). Thus, it seems to be only of minor importance for industrial processes but some persister genes affect persister level due to altered growth rates rather than contributing to a mechanism of cell cvcle arrest and might have a significant impact on bioprocess performance (Allison et al., 2011). Nonetheless two common dependencies affecting persister formation, ppGpp and TA systems, are known which is in line with our findings (Aizenman et al., 1996: González Barrios et al., 2006: Chowdhury et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2017; Wang and Levin, 2009). Persister formation benefits from increased ppGpp concentrations but is still possible at lower rates in the absence of ppGpp by proteins which simply reduce growth (Chowdhury et al., 2016). The nucleotide pyrophosphohydrolase MazG which is negatively regulated by the mazEF system is able to initiate cell cycle arrest and was significantly upregulated in E. coli SR after 28 h (Lee et al., 2008). Additionally, E. coli SR initiated negative regulation of transcription, translation and

#### E. coliSR – A chassis for large-scale processes 1003

cell division processes as part of the SOS response (Fig. 8). Most likely, the SOS pathways were activated due to ongoing DNA replication during starvation conditions which might ultimately result in DNA damage and inhibited cell division (Bi and Lutkenhaus, 1993; Joseleau-Petit et al., 1999; Traxler et al., 2008). As part of the SOS response and as a key gene involved in filamentation sulA was significantly upregulated in E. coli SR. SulA inhibits the initiation of cellular division by repressing the assembly of FtsZ into the Z ring (Huisman et al., 1984; Fonville et al., 2010). Simultaneously with the overexpression of sulA, lexA was significantly increased which acts as a major repressor of SOS signals. LexA regulates the response strength and is actively involved in the occurrence of persister cells in bacterial populations (Butala et al., 2011). These results indicate a coordinated and rather complex SOS response in E. coli SR to form persister cells which is not yet fully understood.

The natural regulation of *E. coli* has evolved towards optimality in its lifestyle as a gut bacterium and is not honed for the demands of a large-scale bioprocess. The absence of the stringent response and the conservation of the ability to grow efficiently in minimal medium suggest that E. coli SR has the potential to become a platform strain for applications in large-scale reactors. Our transcriptional analysis shows that the short-term response of E. coli SR to ammonium depletion is dampened but a functional Ntr/o54 response remains. Regarding glucose-limited fermentations, we hypothesize that E. coli SR has significant potential to preserve energy in such conditions since the regulatory responses are usually even more pronounced and centred around the stringent response (Hardiman et al., 2007; Löffler et al., 2016). We therefore propose to confirm the suitability of E. coli SR for large-scale applications in multi-compartment scale-down reactors employing exemplary smallmolecule production scenarios. These should include standard glucose-limited fed-batches as well as ammonium limited fed-batches with a prolonged nitrogen-limited production phase to exploit its elevated glucose consumption.

#### **Experimental procedures**

#### Bacterial strains and media

Strains *E. coli* MG1655 or *E. coli* SR were used in all experiments (Table 2).

2xYT agar plates were prepared by autoclaving 16 g l<sup>-1</sup> tryptone, 10 g l<sup>-1</sup> yeast extract, 5 g l<sup>-1</sup> NaCl and 18 g l<sup>-1</sup> agar-agar dissolved in demineralized water. Minimal medium for precultures consisted of 4 g l<sup>-1</sup> glucose, 0.96 g l<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.51 g l<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.4 g l<sup>-1</sup> (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.01 g l<sup>-1</sup> thiamine hydrochloride and 0.2%

**Table 2.** Bacterial Strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype/strain informa- tion	Reference
Escherichia coli K-12 MG1655 ("wild type" strain, abbrev, WT)	F <sup>-</sup> , λ <sup>-</sup> , <i>ilvG</i> <sup>-</sup> , <i>rfb</i> -50, <i>rph</i> - 1	Michalowski et al. (2017)
Escherichia coli SR	MG1655 <i>∆relA, spoT</i> [R290E;K292D]	Michalowski et al. (2017)

(V/V) trace elements stock solution. Minimal medium for batch cultivation in the bioreactor consisted of 19 g l<sup>-1</sup> glucose, 1.50 g l<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.9 g l<sup>-1</sup> K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 5.7 g  $I^{-1}$  (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 0.2% (V/V) trace elements stock solution. 200 µl of antifoaming agent Struktol J647 (Schill + Seilacher, Hamburg, Germany) was added to the batch medium prior to inoculation. Minimal medium for continuous chemostat cultivation in the bioreactor consisted of 11.4 g l<sup>-1</sup> glucose, 1 g l<sup>-1</sup> NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.6 g  $I^{-1}$  K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2.28 g  $I^{-1}$  (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 0.2% (V/V) trace elements stock solution. Throughout the chemostat phase 50 µl/h of antifoaming agent Struktol J647 were added continuously to the fermentation medium. The composition of trace element stock solution was 4.175 0.045 g l<sup>-1</sup> ZnSO₄·7H<sub>2</sub>O, FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.025 a l<sup>-1</sup> MnSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.4 g l<sup>-1</sup> CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.045 CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 2.2 g l<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 50 g l<sup>-1</sup> MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O and 55 g l<sup>-1</sup> sodium citrate dihydrate. Stock solutions of salts, trace elements and glucose were autoclaved separately, and stock solutions of thiamine hydrochloride were filter sterilized and stored at 4°C. All compounds were combined just before the experiments to prevent possible aging of media.

#### Bioreactor setup

Cultivations were carried out in a two-compartment scale-down reactor. The primary reactor was a stirred tank reactor (STR), and a plug flow reactor (PFR) was used as the secondary compartment mimicking a starvation zone. The plug flow reactor was connected to the stirred tank reactor after establishment and sampling of a steady state in the chemostat phase. The basic technical setup has been characterized previously (Löffler *et al.*, 2016; Simen *et al.*, 2017). Minor modifications to the original setup have been made and are described elsewhere (Ankenbauer *et al.*, 2020).

The primary reactor was a 3 l bioreactor (Bioengineering, Wald, Switzerland) equipped with flow baffles and two six-blade Rushton type impellers operated at 1000 rpm. A constant aeration rate of 2.0 standard litres of ambient pressurized air per minute was employed and the system operated at a total pressure of 1.5 bar.

Temperature was monitored by a platinum resistance thermometer and regulated by electrical heating or water cooling. Temperature was set to 28-30°C for the batch phase and to 37°C for the continuous chemostat phase. The reactor was equipped with a pH sensor (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, USA) to control pH and a pO2 sensor for monitoring dissolved oxygen tension (PreSens, Regensburg, Germany). During all fermentation stages pH was set to 7.0 and regulated by automated addition of 3 M NaOH or 2.5 M H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Dissolved oxygen tension was not regulated but maintained values above 70% saturation to 1.5 bar ambient air throughout the entire cultivation. In the exhaust gas stream, the concentration of oxygen and carbon dioxide was measured by gas sensors (BlueSens, Herten, Germany), During the chemostat phase the feed was constantly added to the reactor by a peristaltic pump (Watson-Marlow, Falmouth, UK). The feed flow was monitored by a balance recording the weight of the stirred feed barrel and manually adjusted if necessary. The harvesting pump operated as a slave pump set to maintain a constant weight of the bioreactor. For this purpose, the stirred tank reactor was installed on a balance as well.

The secondary compartment was a plug-flow reactor with an inner tube diameter of 20 mm and a total volume of approximately 380 ml. Five ports along the primary axis were used to take samples throughout the cultivation. Oxygen saturation in the PFR was monitored close to ports P1, P2 and P5 and additional aeration of 0.15 standard litres per minute was provided next to port P1 to ensure levels above 30% saturation to ambient air conditions throughout the entire PFR passage. Temperature in the PFR was maintained at 36–37°C by water heating and isolation material. A diaphragm metering pump (Sigma/1, ProMinent, Heidelberg, Germany) was used to transfer biosuspension from the stirred tank reactor to the plug flow reactor after connection of the two reactors.

#### Preculture, batch cultivation and continuous cultivation

A small amount of glycerol stock seed culture was spread onto 2xYT agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A single colony was picked to inoculate 500 ml baffled shaking flasks with 50 ml of preculture minimal media. Flasks were then incubated at 37°C on an orbital shaker set to 150 rpm for 16 h. In the next morning 500  $\mu$ l of biosuspension were transferred to 1000 ml baffled shaking flasks containing 100 ml preculture minimal media and incubated at 37°C on an orbital shaker set to 150 rpm for 8 h. 50 ml of this culture were used to inoculate the bioreactor. Total volume in the bioreactor was 1.6 l after inoculation. Batch fermentation in the bioreactor tor ensued at 28-30°C overnight. In the next morning

feed and harvest trains were connected and a constant feed/harvest rate at 5.33 ml min<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to a dilution rate of 0.2 h<sup>-1</sup> established. After 25 h (five volumetric residence times) of STR cultivation a reference sample was taken. The plug-flow reactor was then connected to the primary reactor via a diaphragm metering pump effectively circulating about one-quarter of the total fermentation broth from the STR through the PFR and back into the STR. In the following 28 h samples were taken at predefined time points from the STR and the five PFR ports. After 28 h of STR-PFR cultivation the fermentation was aborted, and the final broth volume measured. This value was used for all volumetric calculations during data analysis.

#### Determination of optical density and biomass

In preliminary experiments with identical setup correlation factors of optical density and biomass as cell dry weight (CDW) were determined for *E. coli* MG1655 and *E. coli* SR (Supporting information, Table S1). The resulting correlation factors for converting  $OD_{600nm}$  values to g l<sup>-1</sup> cell dry weight were 0.324 for *E. coli* MG1655 and 0.321 for *E. coli* SR. In the main cultivations optical density was measured from appropriately diluted broth on a spectrophotometer at 600 nm and converted into biomass concentration.

## Determination of acetic acid, ammonium and glucose concentrations

Five millilitres of biosuspension was directly sampled into a syringe connected to a single-use 0.45  $\mu$ m sterile filter and immediately sterile filtered. The clear supernatant was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C until analysis. Glucose concentration was determined by D-Glucose UV-Test Kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany) and acetic acid concentration by Acetic acid UV-Test Kit (R-Biopharm, Darmstadt, Germany). Ammonium concentration was determined by Ammonium cuvette test LCK 304 (Hach Lange, Düsseldorf, Germany). At the end of the cultivation feed samples were taken and processed identically.

### Analysis of total carbon, inorganic carbon and biomass composition

For total carbon and inorganic carbon analysis 0.5 ml biosuspension sample were mixed with 50  $\mu$ l of 5 M KOH to prevent loss of dissolved carbonate. The suspension was then diluted 1:20 with demineralized water and stored at 4°C until analysis. Analysis was performed with a multi N/C 2100 S composition analyzer (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) to yield the total concentration of

carbon and inorganic carbon in the fermenter effluent stream. At the end of the cultivation feed samples were taken and processed identically.

To determine biomass composition 1.0 ml of biosuspension was centrifuged at  $4^{\circ}$ C and 14 000 rpm (20817 g) for 3 min. The supernatant was discarded, the pellet resuspended in 1.0 ml of freshly prepared 0.9% NaCl solution and centrifuged again. The pellet was resuspended in 5 ml 0.9% NaCl, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C until analysis. Analysis was performed with a multi N/C 2100 S composition analyzer (Analytik Jena, Jena, Germany) and the carbon content of the biomass calculated from these values.

#### Measurement of ppGpp

Two millilitres of of biosuspension was sampled directly into 0.5 ml of precooled ( $< -20^{\circ}$ C) guenching solution and incubated at 6°C on a shaker for 15 min. Quenching solution consisted of 80 µM EDTA dissolved in 35% (V/ V) perchloric acid. 500 µl 1M K<sub>2</sub>HPO4 was added and the sample briefly vortexed. 550 µl 5 M KOH was added and the sample vortexed again. To remove precipitating potassium perchlorate samples were then centrifuged at 4°C and 7830 rpm (7197 g) for 5 min. 1.5 ml of supernatant was carefully transferred to new tubes, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70°C. Prior to analysis samples were thawed and their pH adjusted to 6.95 - 7.05 with 5 M KOH or 35% (V/V) perchloric acid. Samples were centrifuged again to remove all potassium perchlorate precipitate. HPLC analysis was carried out as described previously (Löffler et al., 2016). If necessary, quantification was conducted by ppGpp standard addition (TriLink, San Diego, CA, USA). Samples from one time-point were analysed directly in sequence and the data normalized to the sample drawn from the STR to eliminate differences caused by column aging.

#### Transcriptome analysis

0.5 ml broth was sampled from the bioreactor and directly flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen broth was then stored at -70°C until the day of RNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolated RNA was DNAse treated and shipped to commercial sequencing partner GENEWIZ<sup>®</sup> on dry ice. Samples were treated for rRNA depletion, sequencing libraries prepared and Illumina HiSeq 2x150 bp sequencing performed. Raw FASTQ files were obtained for bioinformatic analysis. Trimmomatic v. 0.32 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) was used to remove adapters and low-quality reads (<Q20) checked by fastqc reports. Genes were aligned to the NCBI *E. coli* K-12 MG1655

reference genome (RefSeq: NC\_000913.3) using the RNA-sequencing aligner Bowtie2 v. 2.3.2.2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). On average the mapping of the reads covered 96.2%. Aligned reads were counted for each gene based on the corresponding annotation available from the NCBI database for the chosen reference sequence applying HTseq-count v. 0.6.1 in the union mode (Anders *et al.*, 2015). On average 86.4 % of the sequenced reads could be assigned uniquely to annotated features. Sequencing depth was around 27 million reads per sample on average with a mean quality phred score of 37.63.

Differential gene expression analysis was performed with the R-package DeSeq2 v. 1.26.0 (Love et al., 2014) available from Bioconductor (Gentleman et al., 2004). Prior to statistical analysis, all residual non-protein encoding RNA molecules (tRNA, rRNA and sRNA) were removed from the HTseq-derived raw count data and a non-specific filter was applied to remove low coverage genes with fewer than two counts per million (54 reads on average). All filtering steps caused deviations from the raw data of less than 6 %. Samples were grouped by replicates and an experimental design was chosen that used sample time and location (STR or PFR port 5) as a combined environmental factor. To normalize read counts for the comparison of sequencing depth and RNA composition, DESeq2 uses the median of ratios method to derive a scaling factor. Dividing the original read counts by the scaling factor generated normalized count values. No outliers were observed in the two biological replicates using Pearson correlation. Resulting pvalues were adjusted for multiple testing according to control the false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Genes were identified as significantly differentially expressed by applying FDR adjusted P-values < 0.01 and a  $\log_2$  fold change  $\geq |1|$ .

A principal component analysis was used to display the sample to sample distances calculated within the DESeq2 package (negative binomial distribution model). Principal component analysis was performed using plotPCA.san available on Github (https://gist.github.com/ sansense/3399064897f1252d31b23ea5178c033c).

Gene set enrichment and overrepresentation analysis of up- and downregulated genes were performed using the Bioconductors's R-package GAGE v. 2.36.0 (Luo *et al.*, 2009). GAGE tests whether the mean foldchange of a gene subset is significantly different from the background using a two-tailed t-test. Genes were selected as significantly different with an FDR adjusted *P*-value < 0.01 (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Functional annotation were derived from the Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) database (Tatusov *et al.*, 2003), the experimental sigma factor-gene interaction dataset from RegulonDB v. 10.6.3 (Santos-Zavaleta *et al.*, 2019) and the Gene Ontology (GO) Groups database with the function go.gsets from GAGE (Luo *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, Venn diagrams were used to identify significant genes shared by both strains and differences in gene expression regulation (Chen and Boutros, 2011).

The RNA sequencing data derived from periodic ammonia starvation experiments have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and are accessible through GEO series accession number GSE158198 (Edgar *et al.*, 2002). Raw counts and processed data can be found in the Supporting information. Data analysis was performed using the free statistical computing environment R v. 3.6.2.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the group of Computational Biology at the Institute of Biochemical Engineering for the use of the Galaxy-Server.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### Author contributions

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ralf Takors advised the study during the entire investigation. Martin Ziegler performed the experiments, and Julia Zieringer conducted the transcriptomic analysis. Evaluation and writing of the manuscript were equally accomplished by Martin Ziegler and Julia Zieringer.

#### References

- Aizenman, E., Engelberg-Kulka, H., and Glaser, G. (1996) An Escherichia coli chromosomal "addiction module" regulated by guanosine corrected 3',5'-bispyrophosphate: a model for programmed bacterial cell death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93:** 6059–6063. https://doi.org/10.1073/pna s.93.12.6059
- Alibhai, M., and Villafranca, J.J. (1994) Kinetic and mutagenic studies of the role of the active site residues Asp-50 and Glu-327 of Escherichia coli glutamine synthetase. *Biochemistry* 33: 682–686. https://doi.org/10.1021/ bi00169a008
- Allison, K.R., Brynildsen, M.P., and Collins, J.J. (2011) Heterogeneous bacterial persisters and engineering approaches to eliminate them. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 14: 593–598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2011.09.002.
- Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015) HTSeq–a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **31**: 166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **14**, 993–1010

Andrews, S.C., and Guest, J.R. (1988) Nucleotide sequence of the gene encoding the GMP reductase of Escherichia coli K12. *Biochem J* **255:** 35–43. https://doi.org/10.1042/ bj2550035.

Ankenbauer, A., Schäfer, R.A., Viegas, S.C., Pobre, V., Voβ, B., Arraiano, C.M., and Takors, R. (2020) *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 is naturally endowed to withstand industrial-scale stress conditions. *Microb Biotechnol* **13**: 1145–1161. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13571

- Atherly, A.G. (1979) *Escherichia coli* mutant containing a large deletion from relA to argA. *J. Bacteriol.* **138**: 530–534.
- Balaban, N.Q., Merrin, J., Chait, R., Kowalik, L., and Leibler, S. (2004) Bacterial persistence as a phenotypic switch. *Science* **305**: 1622–1625. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1099390
- Barker, M.M., Gaal, T., Josaitis, C.A., and Gourse, R.L. (2001) Mechanism of regulation of transcription initiation by ppGpp. I. Effects of ppGpp on transcription initiation in vivo and in vitro. *J Mol Biol* **305:** 673–688. https://doi. org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4327
- Barker, C.S., Prüss, B.M., and Matsumura, P. (2004) Increased motility of *Escherichia coli* by insertion sequence element integration into the regulatory region of the flhD operon. *J. Bacteriol.* **186:** 7529–7537. https://doi. org/10.1128/JB.186.22.7529-7537.2004
- Bekker, M., de Vries, S., Ter Beek, A., Hellingwerf, K.J., and de Mattos, M.J.T. (2009) Respiration of *Escherichia coli* can be fully uncoupled via the nonelectrogenic terminal cytochrome bd-II oxidase. *J Bacteriol* **191**: 5510–5517. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00562-09
- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B (Methodol)* 57: 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb 02031.x
- Bi, E., and Lutkenhaus, J. (1993) Cell division inhibitors SulA and MinCD prevent formation of the FtsZ ring. J Bacteriol 175: 1118–1125. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.175. 4.1118-1125.1993
- Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30:** 2114–2120. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Brown, D.R., Barton, G., Pan, Z., Buck, M., and Wigneshweraraj, S. (2014) Nitrogen stress response and stringent response are coupled in *Escherichia coli. Nat Commun* **5**: 4115. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5115
- Butala, M., Klose, D., Hodnik, V., Rems, A., Podlesek, Z., Klare, J.P., *et al.* (2011) Interconversion between bound and free conformations of LexA orchestrates the bacterial SOS response. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: 6546–6557. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr265
- Bylund, F., Castan, A., Mikkola, R., Veide, A., and Larsson, G. (2000) Influence of scale-up on the quality of recombinant human growth hormone. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **69**: 119–128. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0290 (20000720)69:2<119:AID-BIT1>3.0.CO;2-9
- Bylund, F., Collet, E., Enfors, S.-O., and Larsson, G. (1998) Substrate gradient formation in the large-scale bioreactor lowers cell yield and increases by-product formation.

E. coliSR - A chassis for large-scale processes 1007

*Bioprocess Eng* **18:** 171. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s004490050427

- Bylund, F., Guillard, F., Enfors, S.-O., Trägårdh, C., and Larsson, G. (1999) Scale down of recombinant protein production: a comparative study of scaling performance. *Bioprocess Eng* **20:** 377. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s004490050606
- Chang, D.-E., Smalley, D.J., and Conway, T. (2002) Gene expression profiling of *Escherichia coli* growth transitions: an expanded stringent response model. *Mol Microbiol* **45**: 289–306. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03001. x
- Chen, H., and Boutros, P.C. (2011) VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highly-customizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12:** 35. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-12-35
- Chowdhury, N., Kwan, B.W., and Wood, T.K. (2016) Persistence increases in the absence of the alarmone guanosine tetraphosphate by reducing cell growth. *Sci Rep* 6: 20519. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep20519
- Chubukov, V., Desmarais, J.J., Wang, G., Chan, L.J.G., Baidoo, E.E., Petzold, C.J., *et al.* (2017) Engineering glucose metabolism of *Escherichia coli* under nitrogen starvation. *NPJ Syst Biol App* **3**: 16035. https://doi.org/10.1038/ npjsba.2016.35
- Dalebroux, Z.D., and Swanson, M.S. (2012) ppGpp: magic beyond RNA polymerase. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 10: 203–212. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2720
- Delvigne, F., Destain, J., and Thonart, P. (2006) A methodology for the design of scale-down bioreactors by the use of mixing and circulation stochastic models. *Biochem Eng* J 28: 256–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2005.11.009
- Delvigne, F., Takors, R., Mudde, R., van Gulik, W., and Noorman, H. (2017) Bioprocess scale-up/down as integrative enabling technology: from fluid mechanics to systems biology and beyond. *Microb Biotechnol* **10**: 1267–1274. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12803
- Doucette, C.D., Schwab, D.J., Wingreen, N.S., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2011) α-Ketoglutarate coordinates carbon and nitrogen utilization via enzyme I inhibition. *Nat Chem Biol* **7:** 894–901. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.685
- Durfee, T., Hansen, A.-M., Zhi, H., Blattner, F.R., and Jin, D.J. (2008) Transcription profiling of the stringent response in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **190:** 1084–1096. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01092-07
- Edgar, R., Domrachev, M., and Lash, A.E. (2002) Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**: 207–210. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.207
- Enfors, S.-O., Jahic, M., Rozkov, A., Xu, B., Hecker, M., Jürgen, B., *et al.* (2001) Physiological responses to mixing in large scale bioreactors. *J Biotechnol* **85:** 175–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(00)00365-5
- Figueira, R., Brown, D.R., Ferreira, D., Eldridge, M.J.G., Burchell, L., Pan, Z., *et al.* (2015) Adaptation to sustained nitrogen starvation by *Escherichia coli* requires the eukaryote-like serine/threonine kinase YeaG. *Sci Rep* **5**: 17524. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17524
- Fonville, N.C., Bates, D., Hastings, P.J., Hanawalt, P.C., and Rosenberg, S.M. (2010) Role of RecA and the SOS response in thymineless death in *Escherichia coli. PLoS*

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **14**, 993–1010

*Genet* **6:** e1000865. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen. 1000865

- Gaca, A.O., Colomer-Winter, C., and Lemos, J.A. (2015) Many means to a common end: the intricacies of (p) ppGpp metabolism and its control of bacterial homeostasis. *J Bacteriol* **197:** 1146–1156. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.02577-14
- Gallant, J., Erlich, H., Hall, B., and Laffler, T. (1970) Analysis of the RC function. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 35: 397–405. https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1970.035.01.051
- Gentleman, R.C., Carey, V.J., Bates, D.M., Bolstad, B., Dettling, M., Dudoit, S., *et al.* (2004) Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol* **5:** R80. https://doi.org/10. 1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80
- George, S., Larsson, G., and Enfors, S.-O. (1993) A scaledown two-compartment reactor with controlled substrate oscillations: metabolic response of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Bioprocess Eng* **9:** 249–257. https://doi.org/10. 1007/BF01061530
- Gerdes, K., and Maisonneuve, E. (2012) Bacterial persistence and toxin-antitoxin loci. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 66: 103–123. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150159
- Gerding, M.A., Ogata, Y., Pecora, N.D., Niki, H., and de Boer, P.A.J. (2007) The trans-envelope Tol-Pal complex is part of the cell division machinery and required for proper outer-membrane invagination during cell constriction in *E. coli. Mol Microbiol* **63**: 1008–1025. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05571.x
- González Barrios, A.F., Zuo, R., Hashimoto, Y., Yang, L., Bentley, W.E., and Wood, T.K. (2006) Autoinducer 2 controls biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* through a novel motility quorum-sensing regulator (MqsR, B3022). *J Bacteriol* **188:** 305–316. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.1.305-316.2006
- Hardiman, T., Lemuth, K., Keller, M.A., Reuss, M., and Siemann-Herzberg, M. (2007) Topology of the global regulatory network of carbon limitation in *Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol* **132:** 359–374. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec. 2007.08.029
- Haringa, C., Deshmukh, A.T., Mudde, R.F., and Noorman, H.J. (2017) Euler-Lagrange analysis towards representative down-scaling of a 22 m 3 aerobic *S. cerevisiae* fermentation. *Chem Eng Sci* **170**: 653–669. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ces.2017.01.014
- Hauryliuk, V., Atkinson, G.C., Murakami, K.S., Tenson, T., and Gerdes, K. (2015) Recent functional insights into the role of (p)ppGpp in bacterial physiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13:** 298–309. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3448
- Hayashi, K., Morooka, N., Yamamoto, Y., Fujita, K., Isono, K., Choi, S., *et al.* (2006) Highly accurate genome sequences of *Escherichia coli* K-12 strains MG1655 and W3110. *Mol Syst Biol* 2, 2006.007. https://doi.org/10. 1038/msb4100049
- Hopkins, D.J., Betenbaugh, M.J., and Dhurjati, P. (1987) Effects of dissolved oxygen shock on the stability of recombinant *Escherichia coli* containing plasmid pKN401. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 29: 85–91. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit. 260290113

- Hua, Q., Yang, C., Oshima, T., Mori, H., and Shimizu, K. (2004) Analysis of gene expression in *Escherichia coli* in response to changes of growth-limiting nutrient in chemostat cultures. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 2354–2366. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.4.2354-2366.2004
- Huisman, O., D'Ari, R., and Gottesman, S. (1984) Cell-division control in *Escherichia coli*: specific induction of the SOS function SfiA protein is sufficient to block septation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 81: 4490–4494. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.81.14.4490
- Jarmander, J., Belotserkovsky, J., Sjöberg, G., Guevara-Martínez, M., Pérez-Zabaleta, M., Quillaguamán, J., and Larsson, G. (2015) Cultivation strategies for production of (R)-3-hydroxybutyric acid from simultaneous consumption of glucose, xylose and arabinose by *Escherichia coli*. *Microb Cell Fact* 14: 51. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0236-2.
- Jishage, M., Iwata, A., Ueda, S., and Ishihama, A. (1996) Regulation of RNA polymerase sigma subunit synthesis in *Escherichia coli*: intracellular levels of four species of sigma subunit under various growth conditions. *J Bacteriol* **178**: 5447–5451. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.18. 5447-5451.1996
- Johansson, J., Balsalobre, C., Wang, S.-Y., Urbonaviciene, J., Jin, D.J., Sondén, B., and Uhlin, B.E. (2000) Nucleoid proteins stimulate stringently controlled bacterial promoters. *Cell* **102**: 475–485. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00052-0
- de Jonge, L.P., Buijs, N.A.A., ten Pierick, A., Deshmukh, A., Zhao, Z., Kiel, J.A.K.W., *et al.* (2011) Scale-down of penicillin production in *Penicillium chrysogenum. Biotechnol J* 6: 944–958. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201000409
- Joseleau-Petit, D., Vinella, D., and D'Ari, R. (1999) Metabolic alarms and cell division in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol* **181:** 9–14. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.1.9-14.1999
- Junker, B.H. (2004) Scale-up methodologies for *Escherichia coli* and yeast fermentation processes. *J Biosci Bioeng* **97:** 347–364. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-1723(04) 70218-2
- Junne, S., Klingner, A., Kabisch, J., Schweder, T., and Neubauer, P. (2011) A two-compartment bioreactor system made of commercial parts for bioprocess scale-down studies: impact of oscillations on *Bacillus subtilis* fedbatch cultivations. *Biotechnol J* 6: 1009–1017. https://doi. org/10.1002/biot.201100293
- Kanjee, U., Gutsche, I., Alexopoulos, E., Zhao, B., El Bakkouri, M., Thibault, G., *et al.* (2011) Linkage between the bacterial acid stress and stringent responses: the structure of the inducible lysine decarboxylase. *EMBO J* **30** 931–944. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.5
- Kanjee, U., Ogata, K., and Houry, W.A. (2012) Direct binding targets of the stringent response alarmone (p)ppGpp. *Mol Microbiol* 85: 1029–1043. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2958.2012.08177.x
- Kelly, W.J. (2008) Using computational fluid dynamics to characterize and improve bioreactor performance. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* **49(Pt** 4): 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1042/BA20070177
- Korch, S.B., Malhotra, V., Contreras, H., and Clark-Curtiss, J.E. (2015) The *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* relBE toxin: antitoxin genes are stress-responsive modules that

regulate growth through translation inhibition. *J Microbiol* (Seoul, Korea) **53(11):** 783–795. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12275-015-5333-8

- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9:** 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Lapin, A., Schmid, J., and Reuss, M. (2006) Modeling the dynamics of *E. coli* populations in the three-dimensional turbulent field of a stirred-tank bioreactor—A structured segregated approach. *Chem Eng Sci* 61:4783–4797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2006.03.003
- Lara, A.R., Galindo, E., Ramírez, O.T., and Palomares, L.A. (2006) Living with heterogeneities in bioreactors: understanding the effects of environmental gradients on cells. *Mol Biotechnol* **34**: 355–382. https://doi.org/10.1385/MB: 34:3:355
- Lara, A.R., Taymaz-Nikerel, H., Mashego, M.R., van Gulik, W.M., Heijnen, J.J., Ramírez, O.T., and van Winden, W.A. (2009) Fast dynamic response of the fermentative metabolism of *Escherichia coli* to aerobic and anaerobic glucose pulses. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **104**: 1153–1161. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22503
- Larsson, G., and Enfors, S.-O. (1988) Studies of insufficient mixing in bioreactors: effects of limiting oxygen concentrations and short term oxygen starvation on *Penicillium chrysogenum. Bioprocess Eng* **3**: 123–127. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00373475
- Larsson, G., Trnkvist, M., Wernersson, E.S., Trgrdh, C., Noorman, H., and Enfors, S.-O. (1996) Substrate gradients in bioreactors: origin and consequences. *Bioprocess Eng* **14:** 281–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00369471
- Lee, S., Kim, M.H., Kang, B.S., Kim, J.-S., Kim, G.-H., Kim, Y.-G., and Kim, K.J. (2008) Crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* MazG, the regulator of nutritional stress response. *J Biol Chem* **283**: 15232–15240. https://doi.org/ 10.1074/jbc.M800479200
- Löffler, M., Simen, J.D., Jager, G., Schaferhoff, K., Freund, A., and Takors, R. (2016) Engineering *E. coli* for largescale production - Strategies considering ATP expenses and transcriptional responses. *Metab Eng* **38**: 73–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2016.06.008
- Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15:** 550. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Luo, W., Friedman, M.S., Shedden, K., Hankenson, K.D., and Woolf, P.J. (2009) GAGE: generally applicable gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. *BMC Bioinform* **10**: 161. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-161
- Magnusson, L.U., Farewell, A., and Nyström, T. (2005) ppGpp: a global regulator in *Escherichia coli. Trends Microbiol* **13:** 236–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2005. 03.008
- Michalowski, A., Siemann-Herzberg, M., and Takors, R. (2017) Escherichia coli HGT: engineered for high glucose throughput even under slowly growing or resting conditions. *Metab Eng* **40**: 93–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ymben.2017.01.005
- Mueller, E.J., Oh, S., Kavalerchik, E., Kappock, T.J., Meyer, E., Li, C., *et al.* (1999) Investigation of the ATP binding site of *Escherichia coli* aminoimidazole ribonucleotide

synthetase using affinity labeling and site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochemistry* **38:** 9831–9839. https://doi.org/10. 1021/bi990638r

- Murray, K.D., and Bremer, H. (1996) Control of spoT-dependent ppGpp synthesis and degradation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Mol Biol* **259:** 41–57.
- Neubauer, P., Åhman, M., Törnkvist, M., Larsson, G., and Enfors, S.-O. (1995a) Response of guanosine tetraphosphate to glucose fluctuations in fed-batch cultivations of *Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol* **43**: 195–204. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0168-1656(95)00130-1
- Neubauer, P., Häggström, L., and Enfors, S.O. (1995b) Influence of substrate oscillations on acetate formation and growth yield in *Escherichia coli* glucose limited fedbatch cultivations. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 47: 139–146. https:// doi.org/10.1002/bit.260470204
- Neubauer, P., and Junne, S. (2010) Scale-down simulators for metabolic analysis of large-scale bioprocesses. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **21:** 114–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.c opbio.2010.02.001
- Noguchi, Y., Nakai, Y., Shimba, N., Toyosaki, H., Kawahara, Y., Sugimoto, S., and Suzuki, E.-I. (2004) The energetic conversion competence of *Escherichia coli* during aerobic respiration studied by 31P NMR using a circulating fermentation system. *J Biochem* **136**: 509–515. https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvh147
- Noorman, H. (2011) An industrial perspective on bioreactor scale-down: what we can learn from combined large-scale bioprocess and model fluid studies. *Biotechnol J* **6**: 934–943. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201000406
- Oliveira-Filho, E.R., Silva, J.G.P., de Macedo, M.A., Taciro, M.K., Gomez, J.G.C., and Silva, L.F. (2019) Investigating nutrient limitation role on improvement of growth and Poly (3-Hydroxybutyrate) accumulation by *Burkholderia sacchari* LMG 19450 from xylose as the sole carbon source. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 7: 416. https://doi.org/10.3389/fb ioe.2019.00416
- Perez-Zabaleta, M., Sjöberg, G., Guevara-Martínez, M., Jarmander, J., Gustavsson, M., Quillaguamán, J., and Larsson, G. (2016) Increasing the production of (R)-3hydroxybutyrate in recombinant *Escherichia coli* by improved cofactor supply. *Microb Cell Fact* **15:** 91. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12934-016-0490-y
- Reitzer, L. (2003) Nitrogen assimilation and global regulation in escherichia coli. Annu Rev Microbiol 57: 155–176. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.57. 030502.090820
- Santos-Zavaleta, A., Salgado, H., Gama-Castro, S., Sánchez-Pérez, M., Gómez-Romero, L., Ledezma-Tejeida, D., *et al.* (2019) RegulonDB v 10.5: tackling challenges to unify classic and high throughput knowledge of gene regulation in *E. coli* K-12. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: D212–D220. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1077
- Simen, J.D., Löffler, M., Jäger, G., Schäferhoff, K., Freund, A., Matthes, J., *et al.* (2017) Transcriptional response of *Escherichia coli* to ammonia and glucose fluctuations. *Microb Biotechnol* **10:** 858–872. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1751-7915.12713
- Sun, C., Guo, Y., Tang, K., Wen, Z., Li, B., Zeng, Z., and Wang, X. (2017) MqsR/MqsA toxin/antitoxin system regulates persistence and biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas*

<sup>© 2020</sup> The Authors. *Microbial Biotechnology* published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., *Microbial Biotechnology*, **14**, 993–1010

*putida* KT2440. *Front Microbiol* **8:** 840. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fmicb.2017.00840

- Szenk, M., Dill, K.A., and de Graff, A.M.R. (2017) Why do fast-growing bacteria enter overflow metabolism? Testing the membrane real estate hypothesis. *Cell systems* **5**: 95–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2017.06.005
- Takors, R. (2012) Scale-up of microbial processes: impacts, tools and open questions. J Biotechnol 160: 3–9. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2011.12.010
- Tatusov, R.L., Fedorova, N.D., Jackson, J.D., Jacobs, A.R., Kiryutin, B., Koonin, E.V., *et al.* (2003) The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. *BMC Bioinform* **4**: 41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-4-41
- Traxler, M.F., Summers, S.M., Nguyen, H.-T., Zacharia, V.M., Hightower, G.A., Smith, J.T., and Conway, T. (2008) The global, ppGpp-mediated stringent response to amino acid starvation in *Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol* **68**: 1128–1148. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06229.x
- Traxler, M.F., Zacharia, V.M., Marquardt, S., Summers, S.M., Nguyen, H.-T., Stark, S.E., and Conway, T. (2011) Discretely calibrated regulatory loops controlled by ppGpp partition gene induction across the 'feast to famine' gradient in *Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol* **79**: 830–845. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07498.x
- Vasilakou, E., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., and Wahl, S.A. (2020) Escherichia coli metabolism under short-term repetitive substrate dynamics: adaptation and trade-offs. *Microb Cell Fact* **19**: 116. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-020-01379-0
- Vrábel, P., van der Lans, R.G., Luyben, K.C., Boon, L., and Nienow, A.W. (2000) Mixing in large-scale vessels stirred with multiple radial or radial and axial up-pumping impellers: modelling and measurements. *Chem Eng Sci* 55: 5881–5896. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(00)00175-5

- Wang, J.D., and Levin, P.A. (2009) Metabolism, cell growth and the bacterial cell cycle. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**: 822–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2202
- Wang, Q., Yu, H., Xia, Y., Kang, Z., and Qi, Q. (2009) Complete PHB mobilization in *Escherichia coli* enhances the stress tolerance: a potential biotechnological application. *Microb Cell Fact* 8: 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-8-47
- Wen, Q., Chen, Z., Tian, T., and Chen, W. (2010) Effects of phosphorus and nitrogen limitation on PHA production in activated sludge. J Environ Sci 22: 1602–1607. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(09)60295-3
- Williamson, G., Tamburrino, G., Bizior, A., Boeckstaens, M., Dias Mirandela, G., Bage, M., et al. (2020) A two-lane mechanism for selective biological ammonium transport. *eLife* 9: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.57183
- Xi, H., Schneider, B.L., and Reitzer, L. (2000) Purine catabolism in *Escherichia coli* and function of xanthine dehydrogenase in purine salvage. *J Bacteriol* **182**: 5332–5341. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.182.19.5332-5341.2000

#### Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

- Table S1. Long term changes STR 5min vs STR 0h.
- Table S2. Long term changes STR 28h vs STR 0h.
- Table S3. Short term changes PFR28h vs STR28h.
- Table S4. Short term changes PFR5min vs STR5min.
- Appendix S1. Supplementary Information.