## **Research** Article

# Unraveling the Relationship between Smoking and Weight: The Role of Sedentary Behavior

## Annette Kaufman,<sup>1</sup> Erik M. Augustson,<sup>2</sup> and Heather Patrick<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cancer Prevention Fellowship Program, Office of the Associate Director, Behavioral Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20852, USA

<sup>2</sup> Tobacco Control Research Branch, Behavioral Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences,

National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20852, USA

<sup>3</sup> Health Behaviors Research Branch, Behavioral Research Program, Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20852, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Annette Kaufman, kaufmana@mail.nih.gov

Received 2 May 2011; Revised 1 July 2011; Accepted 5 July 2011

Academic Editor: Susan B. Sisson

Copyright © 2012 Annette Kaufman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Research has shown that current smokers have a lower mean body mass index (BMI) than never and former smokers, with former smokers having the highest mean BMI. A number of physiological mechanisms have been hypothesized to explain this pattern, but few studies have explored the possible role of behavioral factors. Using data from the cross-sectional National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2006, this descriptive study explored the associations among smoking status, sedentary behavior, and two anthropometric measures (BMI and waist circumference (WC)). Sedentary behavior was significantly higher among current smokers compared to never and former smokers; former smokers had higher levels of sedentary behavior compared to never smokers. The association between smoking status and anthropometric outcomes was moderated by sedentary behavior, with current smokers evidencing higher BMI and WC at higher levels of sedentary behavior compared to lower levels of sedentary behavior. Results are discussed in terms of their implications for interventions, particularly with respect to postcessation weight gain.

## 1. Background

Obesity and tobacco use are the two leading causes of preventable death and disease in the United States [1]. Despite declines in smoking prevalence from a peak in the 1960s, tobacco use remains the leading cause of preventable death and disease in the USA [2]. It is estimated that 440,000 Americans die prematurely each year as a result of tobacco use and exposure [3]. Approximately 20.6% of USA adults are current smokers, defined as smoking at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and every day or some days currently [4]. As smoking rates have declined, obesity rates (having a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or higher) have doubled in the USA since 1980 with recent data indicating that more than 33% of USA adults are obese [5]. The rate of mortality due to overweight/obesity is estimated to account for approximately 365,000 deaths yearly [6].

Research indicates that smoking and body weight are interrelated, but the relationship is complex and not well understood. In general, cigarette smoking has an inverse association with body weight or BMI [7-9], and smoking cessation has been linked to weight gain [8, 10, 11]. Few studies have examined the relationship between smoking and body shape, specifically central adiposity. Some studies suggest that smokers have greater abdominal obesity compared to nonsmokers [12-14]. Independent of overall adiposity, having a larger waist circumference (WC), or android body shape, is associated with mortality in adults age 50 and older and metabolic disease risk [15-17]. Body shape, rather than BMI, may better explain why smokers have a higher risk of metabolic syndrome, in particular type 2 diabetes [18]. A number of biological mechanisms have been proposed to explain this relationship between smoking and body weight including nicotine's effect on metabolism and its role as an appetite suppressor [19, 20]. Additional hypothesized mechanisms include nicotine's impact on glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity and its role in increased lipoprotein lipase activity [21]. However, despite the clear pharmacological effects of nicotine, it may be that behavioral factors also play an important role in the relationship between smoking status and weight, particularly in the context of smoking cessation. People who smoke may be more likely to be physically inactive or engage in other poor health habits. Furthermore, the physiological effects of nicotine may mask the deleterious effects of poor diet and physical inactivity for weight.

Smoking has often been described as a "gateway behavior" as people who start smoking are likely to engage in other health risk behaviors (e.g., use of other drugs, excessive use of alcohol), and a growing body of research suggests that people who engage in one health risk behavior (e.g., smoking) are likely to engage in others (e.g., poor diet) [22-24]. However, as noted above, the links between smoking and weight are somewhat complicated and less well understood-varying as a function of smoking status (e.g., current versus former smokers) and the metabolic benefits that nicotine conveys. A better understanding of the interplay between smoking and weight may be derived from focusing on the associations between smoking status and the behaviors linked to BMI including diet, physical activity, and sedentary behaviors. To these authors' knowledge, little previous epidemiological and descriptive work has examined the associations between smoking status and weight-related behaviors. It is possible that negative weight-related health behaviors established while a person is a current smoker are masked by some of the physiological metabolic benefits of smoking. These metabolic benefits may be less pronounced at extreme levels of some weight-related health behaviors (e.g., having 4 or more hours of sedentary leisure time per day).

Understanding how these weight-related health behaviors are associated with smoking status is important for understanding the cumulative health risk that current smokers may have as a function of the multitude of risk behaviors in which they engage [25]. Further, understanding patterns of weight-related behaviors that are characteristic of current smokers may serve to inform interventions that target postcessation weight gain. To date, interventions that include weight management in the context of smoking cessation treatment have targeted increasing physical activity, decreasing calorie intake, or both [26–30]. However, a metaanalysis examining weight-related behavioral interventions to reduce postcessation weight gain concluded that combined smoking cessation and weight control treatments result in significantly higher short-term smoking abstinence rates compared to smoking treatments alone, with no statistically significant weight maintenance benefit long term (>6 months) [31]. As described, these interventions focused primarily on reducing caloric intake or increasing physical activity. None have focused on the role of sedentary behavior, which has been shown to be an independent risk factor for obesity and related health outcomes [32, 33]. Thus, more

research is needed to better understand the emergence of weight-related health behaviors in the context of current smoking. Addressing sedentary behavior may be particularly important in this population in terms of its contribution to risk for disease in current smokers and to inform future interventions targeting weight management among those who are trying to stop smoking.

Sedentary behavior is defined as any activity that does not increase energy expenditure substantially above a resting level including behaviors such as sleeping, sitting, and lying down [34]. Sedentary behavior is often assessed as leisure "screen time" such as watching TV, watching videos, or using a computer. While this is a new area for empirical research, existing studies have used self-reported sitting time as a marker of sedentary behavior [35–37]. Research has shown that the test-retest reliability for TV viewing and computer use is excellent among older adults, suggesting that in the absence of objective sedentary measurement, self-reported measures may be used as an alternative [36].

It is important to note that sedentary behavior is not synonymous with physical inactivity [37, 38]. An individual can meet guidelines for high physical activity and also have long periods of sedentary behavior during the day. Research to date has suggested that sedentary behavior (independent of time spent sleeping) is a risk factor for overweight/obesity and other health outcomes, distinct from the health benefits of physical activity [33, 39-41]. Of substantial concern, sedentary behavior appears to be widespread within the USA population. Accelerometer data from USA individuals age six and older revealed that individuals spend almost 55% of their monitored time (10-hour day) in sedentary behaviors [42]. A 2007 study offered further support for high levels of sedentary behavior within the USA adult population such that adults spent more than half (9.3 hours/day) of their waking hours in sedentary activities and the remainder in light intensity physical activity (6.4 hours/day) with less than one hour a day in moderate to vigorous physical activity [43]. Although research has indicated that current smokers are less likely to be physically active than their nonsmoking counterparts, little is understood about the interplay between smoking status and sedentary behavior [44, 45].

The primary objective of this descriptive study is to better understand the relationships among sedentary behavior, smoking status, and body weight/shape in the USA population. This study used cross-sectional population level data to describe sedentary behavior patterns including characteristics associated with sedentary behavior. We have chosen to focus our analysis on sedentary behavior, and not physical activity, given the significant findings in the literature of sedentary behavior as an independent risk factor for chronic disease and a shift in public health to target interventions on decreasing sedentary behavior [32–34, 37, 46]. We tested the relationship between smoking status and sedentary behavior and the unique contributions of each of these factors to two anthropometric outcomes, BMI and WC. The final objective of this study was to test whether the relationship between sedentary behavior and anthropometric measures (BMI and WC) varied as a function of smoking status.

## 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Collection. The current study utilized the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) continuous data from 1999 to 2006 to test the associations among Smoking status and sedentary behavior were assessed via anthropometrics (i.e., BMI and WC). The NHANES uses a complex, multistage, probability-sampling design to obtain a nationally representative sample of the USA population. Beginning in 1999, continuous NHANES datasets have been released every two years. Data for the current study came from two primary sources: a direct interview and physical examination. Smoking status and sedentary behavior were assessed via self-report within the interview portion of the survey. Body measurements were taken by trained health technicians in NHANES mobile examination centers (MECs) using standardized examination methods and calibrated equipment.

#### 2.2. Measures

Body Mass Index (BMI). BMI was computed from weight and standing height from the physical examination. The following formula was used: BMI = Weight (kg)/Height (m<sup>2</sup>). Participants were categorized using the CDC cutoff points for adult obesity (BMI  $\ge$  30.0) versus not obese (BMI < 30).

*Waist Circumference (WC).* WC was measured in centimeters in the physical examination and used as a continuous variable within these analyses as no clear clinical guidelines for cut points exist. Examiners located the right ilium of the pelvis, drew a horizontal line just above the uppermost lateral border, and used a tape measure, keeping it horizontal, around this point.

Sedentary Behavior. A survey item asked participants about their typical daily hours of sedentary behavior outside of work over the past 30 days. This measure assessed hours spent sitting and watching TV or videos; the item used in the 1999–2002 survey years also included computer use. Low sedentary behavior was defined as reporting one hour or less per day, moderate sedentary behavior was defined as two to three hours, and high sedentary behavior was defined as four or more hours of reported sedentary behavior. Prior studies have used this measure of sedentary behavior and similar categories [32, 35–37, 47].

*Smoking Status.* All participants were asked "Have you ever smoked 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" Never smokers were those who answered "no" to this question. Individuals who answered yes to this question were further asked, "Do you now smoke cigarettes?" Those who answered "yes" were classified as current smokers. Former smokers were those who answered "no" to this question.

*Covariates.* Sociodemographic characteristics including sex, age, ethnicity, and education were collected. Participants

reported on average how many times per week they eat meals that were prepared in a restaurant or not at home (never or less than weekly, one time per week, or multiple times per week). Prior studies have used out of home eating and have shown that it is positively associated with greater weight [48–50]. Survey year was also included as a categorical variable clustered by two-year increments. This was included as overweight and obesity rates have increased significantly over the survey period.

2.3. Data Analyses. SAS callable Sudaan was used to estimate standard errors of point estimates for the complex survey data. All data were weighted to provide representative estimates of the USA adult population. Pregnant women and those under 20 years of age were excluded from analyses. Chi-square analyses and t-tests were used to examine the bivariate associations between sedentary behavior, smoking, weight variables, and covariates. Logistic regression was used to examine the influence of sedentary behavior and smoking on obesity (BMI  $\ge$  30, versus not obese) controlling for sex, age, education, race, survey year, and dining out. Linear regression analysis was used to examine the effect of sedentary behavior and smoking status on WC controlling for sex, age, education, race, survey year, and dining out. Moderation was examined by including interaction terms for sedentary behavior by smoking status in these models to determine if sedentary behavior's effect on weight outcomes differed by smoking status.

### 3. Results

Descriptive statistics for sedentary behavior are reported in Table 1. Over 20% of the population reported four or more hours of leisure time sedentary behavior per day over the last 30 days. BMI and sedentary behavior were significantly related such that those with less sedentary behavior had a significantly lower BMI (F(2) = 100.44, P < .0001). WC and sedentary behavior were significantly related such that those with less sedentary behavior were significantly related such that those with less sedentary behavior had a significantly smaller WC (F = 173.30, P < .0001). Almost 30% of current smokers reported high sedentary behavior compared to only about 20% of never smokers. Sedentary behavior was lower in never smokers compared to former smokers (t = -8.88, P < .0001); and lower in former smokers compared to current smokers (t = -3.89, P = .0003). Thus, current smokers evidenced the highest levels of sedentary behavior.

Mean BMI for all smoking status categories fell within the overweight category  $(25.0 \ge BMI \le 30.0)$ . BMI was greater in former smokers (M = 28.81, SE = .15) compared to never smokers (M = 28.40, SE = .33; t = -2.85, P = .0060) and greater in never smokers compared to current smokers (M = 27.18, SE = .12; t = 7.82, P < .0001). Similarly, WC was greater in former smokers (M = 99.95, SE = .37) compared to never smokers (M = 95.92, SE = .33; t = -10.83, P < .0001) and greater in never smokers compared to current smokers (M = 94.86, SE = .32; t = 2.59, P = .0121). Thus, current smokers had the lowest BMI and WC, whereas former smokers had the highest.

	Sedentary behavior				
Weighted % (unweighted $N$ )	Low ( $\leq 1$ hour)	Moderate (2-3 hours)	High ( $\geq$ 4 hours)	Total (row)	
Total	31.42 (5172)	45.39 (7873)	23.19 (4594)	100 (17639)	
Smoking status					
Never	35.79 (2962)	44.85 (3984)	19.35 (1958)	49.94 (8904)	
Former	28.65 (1214)	46.64 (2190)	24.71 (1320)	25.27 (4724)	
Current	25.44 (988)	45.29 (1691)	29.27 (1308)	24.78 (3987)	
		Chi2 = 48.42,  df = 4,  P < .0001			
BMI					
Not obese	34.03 (3790)	45.20 (5404)	20.77 (2858)	69.07 (12065)	
Obese	25.58 (1382)	45.82 (2469)	28.60 (1736)	30.93 (5592)	
		Chi2 = 73.47, df = 2, P < .0001			
Waist circumference					
Smaller	35.56 (2919)	45.15 (3970)	19.28 (2007)	52.04 (8905)	
Larger	26.92 (2253)	45.65 (3903)	27.43 (2587)	47.96 (8752)	
		Chi2 = 85.16, df = 2, P < .0001			
Sex					
Male	29.53 (2520)	47.46 (4148)	23.01 (2346)	49.52 (9019)	
Female	33.27 (2652)	43.35 (3725)	23.37 (2248)	50.48 (8638)	
		Chi2 = 13.08, <i>df</i> = 2, <i>P</i> < .0001			
Race					
White	31.58 (2550)	46.28 (4074)	22.14 (2244)	71.95 (8879)	
Black	24.26 (839)	39.60 (1433)	36.14 (1349)	10.87 (3624)	
Mexican American	36.29 (1338)	47.21 (1773)	16.50 (692)	7.28 (3805)	
Other	34.50 (445)	43.96 (593)	21.54 (309)	9.89 (1349)	
		Chi2 = 33.06, $df = 6, P < .0001$			
Age (years)					
20–40	34.99 (2027)	44.43 (2637)	20.59 (1314)	39.80 (5979)	
41-60	34.50 (1849)	45.65 (2487)	19.85 (1228)	38.16 (5566)	
61+	19.62 (1296)	46.67 (2749)	33.70 (2052)	22.05 (6112)	
		Chi2 = 49.14,  df = 4,  P < .0001			
Education					
< HS	26.80 (1601)	42.50 (2358)	30.69 (1651)	20.00 (5619)	
HS	26.19 (1053)	45.62 (1871)	28.19 (1290)	25.96 (4216)	
Associates or some college	31.63 (1310)	46.01 (2132)	22.35 (1132)	29.86 (4578)	
≥ College	40.57 (1196)	46.83 (1498)	12.59 (511)	24.18 (3206)	
		Chi2 = 43.96,  df = 6,  P < .0001			
Survey year					
1999-2000	27.73 (1179)	47.91 (1893)	24.36 (1045)	22.65 (4121)	
2001-2002	30.38 (1291)	44.02 (2060)	25.60 (1301)	26.05 (4661)	
2003-2004	33.59 (1340)	44.35 (1968)	22.07 (1167)	25.39 (4475)	
2005-2006	33.57 (1362)	45.58 (1952)	20.86 (1081)	25.90 (4400)	
		Chi2 = 5.65, df = 6, P = .0001			
Dining out (per week)					
<1 time or never	30.05 (1443)	40.17 (2018)	29.78 (1561)	21.84 (5031)	
1 time	33.22 (1151)	44.91 (1730)	21.87 (907)	20.53 (3790)	
$\geq 2$ times	31.30 (2578)	47.53 (4125)	21.17 (2126)	57.63 (8835)	
		Chi2 = 25.51, <i>df</i> = 4, <i>P</i> < .0001			

TABLE 1: Descriptive statistics for sedentary behavior.

Odds ratio (confidence interval)Beta (confidence interval)P valueSedentary behaviorValueLow (s 1 hour)1.000 $-$ Moderate (2-3 hours)1.34 (1.22–1.48)0.30 (20–.39)<.0001				
Sedentary behavior         Iow         0         −           Low (≤1 hour)         1.00         0         −           Moderate (2-3 hours)         1.34 (1.22–1.48)         0.30 (20–39)         <.0001           Smoking status         0.58 (.46–.70)         <.0001           Smoking status         0         0         −           Former         1.00         0         −           Current         0.69 (0.61–0.77)         −.38 (~.49–26)         <.0001           Dining out (per week)         0         0         −           <1 time or never         1.00         0         −           1 time or never         1.00         0         −           Male         1.00         0         −           Female         1.23 (1.19–1.47)         0.28 (.18–.38)         <.0001           Sex         -         -         -         -           Male         1.00         0         −         -           Female         1.23 (1.14–1.34)         0.21 (1.3–.29)         <.0001           Mace         1.00         0         −         -           Non-Hispanic white         1.00         0         −           Kace         <		Odds ratio (confidence interval)	Beta (confidence interval)	<i>P</i> value
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sedentary behavior			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Low ( $\leq 1$ hour)	1.00	0	—
High (≥4 hours)1.78 (1.59–2.01)0.58 (.46–.70)<.0001Smoking status00—Never1.0000—Former1.06 (0.96–1.18)0.06 ( $0516$ ).2659Current0.69 (0.61–0.77) $38 (4926)$ <.0001	Moderate (2-3 hours)	1.34 (1.22–1.48)	0.30 (.20–.39)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } Smoking status & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	High ( $\geq$ 4 hours)	1.78 (1.59–2.01)	0.58 (.46–.70)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smoking status			
Former1.06 (0.96–1.18)0.06 (05–.16).2659Current0.69 (0.61–0.77) $38 (4926)$ <.0001	Never	1.00	0	—
Current $0.69 (0.61-0.77)$ $38 (4926)$ <.0001Dining out (per week)<1 time or never	Former	1.06 (0.96–1.18)	0.06 (0516)	.2659
$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline Dining out (per week) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Current	0.69 (0.61–0.77)	38 (4926)	<.0001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } $1.00 & 0 & \\ $1$ time or never & $1.10 & 0.10 & (0324) & $1.284$\\ $$$ $2$ times & $1.32 & (1.19-1.47) & $0.28 & (.1838) & $<.0001$\\ \hline $$$ $$$$$ $$$$ $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$	Dining out (per week)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<1 time or never	1.00	0	
≥2 times $1.32 (1.19-1.47)$ $0.28 (.1838)$ <.0001SexMale $1.00$ 0—Female $1.23 (1.14-1.34)$ $0.21 (.1329)$ <.0001	1 time	1.11 (0.97–1.27)	0.10 (0324)	.1284
Sex         Male         1.00         0            Female         1.23 (1.14-1.34)         0.21 (.1329)         <.0001	$\geq 2$ times	1.32 (1.19–1.47)	0.28 (.1838)	<.0001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Male & 1.00 & 0 &\\ \hline Female & 1.23 (1.14-1.34) & 0.21 (.1329) & <.0001 \\ \hline Race & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Sex			
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Female & 1.23 (1.14-1.34) & 0.21 (.1329) & <.0001 \\ \hline Race & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline Non-Hispanic white & 1.00 & 0 & - & & & & \\ \hline Non-Hispanic black & 1.52 (1.40-1.65) & 0.42 (.3450) & <.0001 \\ \hline Mexican American & 1.10 (0.96-1.27) & 0.10 (0424) & .1752 \\ \hline Other & 0.80 (0.68-0.94) & -0.22 (3806) & .0071 \\ \hline Age & - & 0 & .3139 \\ \hline Education & & & & & \\ \hline Education & & & & & & \\ \hline Surver Surve$	Male	1.00	0	_
Race         0         -           Non-Hispanic white         1.00         0         -           Non-Hispanic black         1.52 (1.40–1.65)         0.42 (.34–.50)         <.0001	Female	1.23 (1.14–1.34)	0.21 (.13–.29)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Race			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Non-Hispanic white	1.00	0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Non-Hispanic black	1.52 (1.40–1.65)	0.42 (.34–.50)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mexican American	1.10 (0.96–1.27)	0.10 (0424)	.1752
Age—0.3139Education< HS	Other	0.80 (0.68–0.94)	-0.22 (3806)	.0071
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Education & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Age	_	0	.3139
$\begin{array}{c cccc} < \mathrm{HS} & 1.44(1.23-1.69) & 0.37(.2053) & <.0001 \\ \\ \mathrm{HS} & 1.54(1.34-1.77) & 0.43(.3057) & <.0001 \\ \\ \underline{>} \ College & 1.43(1.26-1.63) & 0.36(.2349) & <.0001 \\ \\ \underline{>} \ College & 1.00 & 0 & - \\ \\ \hline \\ \mathrm{Survey\ year} & & \\ 1999-2000 & 1.00 & 0 & - \\ \\ 2001-2002 & 0.95(0.80-1.13) & -0.05(2312) & .5389 \\ \\ 2003-2004 & 1.11(0.94-1.31) & 0.10(0627) & .2022 \\ \\ 2005-2006 & 1.20(1.00-1.45) & 0.19(0037) & .0512 \\ \end{array}$	Education			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	< HS	1.44 (1.23–1.69)	0.37 (.20–.53)	<.0001
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	HS	1.54 (1.34–1.77)	0.43 (.30–.57)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Associates/some college	1.43 (1.26–1.63)	0.36 (.2349)	<.0001
Survey year         0         -           1999-2000         1.00         0         -           2001-2002         0.95 (0.80–1.13)         -0.05 (23–.12)         .5389           2003-2004         1.11 (0.94–1.31)         0.10 (06–.27)         .2022           2005-2006         1.20 (1.00–1.45)         0.19 (00–.37)         .0512	≥ College	1.00	0	_
1999-20001.0002001-20020.95 (0.80–1.13)-0.05 (23–.12).53892003-20041.11 (0.94–1.31)0.10 (06–.27).20222005-20061.20 (1.00–1.45)0.19 (00–.37).0512	Survey year			
2001-20020.95 (0.80-1.13)-0.05 (2312).53892003-20041.11 (0.94-1.31)0.10 (0627).20222005-20061.20 (1.00-1.45)0.19 (0037).0512	1999-2000	1.00	0	
2003-20041.11 (0.94–1.31)0.10 (06–.27).20222005-20061.20 (1.00–1.45)0.19 (00–.37).0512	2001-2002	0.95 (0.80-1.13)	-0.05 (2312)	.5389
2005-2006 1.20 (1.00–1.45) 0.19 (00–.37) .0512	2003-2004	1.11 (0.94–1.31)	0.10 (0627)	.2022
	2005-2006	1.20 (1.00–1.45)	0.19 (0037)	.0512

TABLE 2: Logistic regression analyses predicting obesity (BMI).

Results from a logistic regression analysis predicting obesity are presented in Table 2. Compared to individuals with low levels of sedentary behavior ( $\leq 1$  hour/day), those reporting moderate sedentary behavior were 1.34 times more likely to be obese, and those with high sedentary behavior levels were 1.78 times more likely to be obese. The probability of current smokers being obese was 0.69 times that of never smokers. Individuals who reported dining out two or more times per week were 1.32 times more likely to be obese compared to those who dined out less than one time a week. Participants who were male, in the "other" race category, and had greater education had a lower likelihood of being obese. There was no statistically significant influence of survey year; however, a trend for greater obesity in more recent years was noted.

Results from a linear regression analysis predicting WC are presented in Table 3. Compared to individuals with low levels of sedentary behavior ( $\leq$ 1 hour/day), those with moderate sedentary behavior had a WC 2.24 cm larger (P < .0001), and those with high sedentary behavior had a WC

5.52 cm larger (P < .0001) controlling for all other variables in the model. Current smokers had a 2.42 cm smaller WC compared to never smokers (P < .0001), whereas former smokers had a 1.06 cm larger WC (P = .0042). Similar to BMI, in the multivariate model, those in the "other" race category and having higher education had lower odds of a larger WC. However, females were less likely to have a larger WC than males. Greater age was associated with a larger WC. The two most recent survey years showed a statistically significantly higher WC compared to the first survey year.

To test the moderating role of smoking status in the association between sedentary behavior and weight outcomes, an interaction term was included in each of the two models reported above (see Table 4). The results from the logistic regression analysis predicting obesity showed that smoking status moderated the relationship between sedentary behavior and BMI (F(4) = 4.13, P = .0051). In the adjusted model, low sedentary former and moderate and high sedentary never and former had significant increased odds of obesity compared to low sedentary never smokers. Highly sedentary

TABLE 3: Linear regression analy	ses predicting waist o	circumference.
	Beta coefficient	P value

	(confidence interval)	1 value
Sedentary behavior		
Low ( $\leq 1$ hour)	0	_
Moderate (2-3 hours)	2.24 (1.60-2.88)	<.0001
High ( $\geq$ 4 hours)	5.52 (4.66-6.39)	<.0001
Smoking status		
Never	0	_
Former	1.06 (.35–1.78)	.0042
Current	-2.42 (-3.191.64)	<.0001
Dining out (per week)		
<1 time or never	0	—
1 time	0.94 (.06–1.82)	.0365
$\geq$ 2 times	2.05 (1.40-2.69)	<.0001
Sex		
Male	0	—
Female	-6.80(-7.41 - 6.18)	<.0001
Race		
Non-Hispanic white	0	—
Non-Hispanic black	0.83 (.10–1.56)	.0257
Mexican American	-0.11 (-1.1492)	.8355
Other	-2.46 (-3.721.21)	.0002
Age	0.17 (.16–.19)	<.0001
Education		
< HS	2.95 (1.99–3.92)	<.0001
HS	3.25 (2.42-4.08)	<.0001
Associates/some college	2.80 (2.03-3.57)	<.0001
≥College	0	_
Survey year		
1999-2000	0	_
2001-2002	0.55 (69–1.80)	.3771
2003-2004	1.94 (.68–3.21)	.0031
2005-2006	2.08(54-3.62)	0090

current smokers were at marginally increased odds of obesity compared to low sedentary never smokers (P = .0876). Figure 1 shows mean BMI as a function of smoking status at varying levels of sedentary behavior. As shown, there were no statistically significant differences in mean BMI between never and former smokers as a function of sedentary behavior. However, there were statistically significant differences in mean BMI between never and current smokers as a function of sedentary behaviors. As shown in Figure 1, at moderate and high levels of sedentary behavior, mean BMI differed between never and current smokers such that current smokers had lower BMI (t = 1.97, P < .0001; t = 8.16, P < .0001, resp.; Cohen's d = 11.97, r = .99). Those with the highest levels of sedentary behavior in all smoking status categories had the highest mean BMI. Further, it is worth noting that, as shown in Figure 1, although BMI was relatively similar for current smokers at low and moderate



FIGURE 1: The interactive effect of SB and smoking status on obesity.



FIGURE 2: The interactive effect of SB and smoking status on WC.

levels of sedentary behavior, BMI was notably higher for smokers at high levels of sedentary behavior.

The results from the linear regression (see Table 5) analysis predicting WC demonstrated that smoking status also moderated the association between sedentary behavior and WC (F(4) = 4.73, P = .0022). In the adjusted model, low sedentary former smokers, moderately sedentary never and former smokers, and highly sedentary never, former, and current smokers had significantly larger WC compared to low sedentary never smokers. Figure 2 shows the WC means as a function of smoking status at varying levels of sedentary behavior, current smokers had a slightly larger WC than never smokers although this difference was not statistically significant(t = -1.48, P = .1455). Former smokers had a significantly larger WC compared to current smokers (t = -3.89, P = .0003) at low levels of sedentary behavior. As was the case with BMI,

7

TABLE 4: Analyses predicting body mass index (BMI)	) including interaction terms.
--	--------------------------------

Constraint of the first of the f		Odds ratio (confidence interval)	Beta coefficient (confidence interval)	D value
Low sedentary never sinded 1.00 − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −	Low adoptary power amaker		Deta coemercia (connucie connucie and var)	1 value
Low sedentary current smoker 1.19 (1.00–1.1) 0.17 (30–3.3) 4.0492 Moderate sedentary current smoker 1.52 (1.34–1.74) 0.42 (2.9–55) <.0001 Moderate sedentary current smoker 1.46 (1.26–1.70) 0.38 (23–53) <.0001 Moderate sedentary current smoker 2.01 (1.72–2.36) 0.70 (.54–86) <.0001 High sedentary never smoker 2.23 (1.86–2.67) 0.80 (.62–98) <.0001 High sedentary never smoker 2.23 (1.86–2.67) 0.80 (.62–98) <.0001 High sedentary current smoker 1.18 (0.98–1.42) 0.16 ( $02–35$ ) 0.876 Dining out (per week) < 1 time or Never 1.00 0 1 time 1.11 (0.97–1.27) 0.10 ( $03–2.4$ ) 1.368 ≥ 2 times 1.32 (1.19–1.46) 0.28 (.17–38) <.0001 Sex Male 1.00 0 Female 1.24 (1.14–1.34) 0.21 (1.3–29) <.0001 Race Non-Hispanic bhite 1.00 0 Non-Hispanic black 1.53 (1.40–1.66) 0.42 (34–51) <.0001 Mexican American 1.10 (0.96–1.27) 0.10 ( $05–2.4$ ) 1.782 Other 0.80 (0.68–0.94) -0.22 ( $38–-0.6$ ) .0073 Agg 1.00 0 Kag 1.43 (1.22–1.69) 0.36 (20–52) <.0001 HS 1.53 (1.34–1.76) 0.43 (29–57) <.0001 Mexican American 1.00 0 Sex Sey Yet 1.53 (1.34–1.76) 0.43 (29–57) <.0001 Mexican American 0.00 0 Sey Yet 1.53 (1.34–1.76) 0.43 (29–57) <.0001 Mexican American 0.00 0 Sey Sey Sey Male 1.24 (1.14–1.34) 0.22 ( $38–-0.6$ ) .0073 Agg 1.00 0 Sey Sey Sey Sey Male 1.24 (1.24–1.62) 0.35 (22–4.8) <.0001 Mexican American 0.00 0 Sey .	Low sedentary former emoker	1.00	0.17(00.24)	0400
Low sected raty version of the stroker       153 (0.7)-1.13 (0.42 (29-55) (0.001)         Moderate sedentary never smoker       1.46 (1.26-1.70)       0.38 (2353) (0.001)         Moderate sedentary never smoker       2.01 (1.72-2.36)       0.70 (5486) (0.001)         High sedentary current smoker       2.23 (1.86-2.67)       0.80 (.6298) (0.001)         High sedentary current smoker       1.18 (0.98-1.42)       0.16 (0235)       0.876         Dining out (per week)       (111 (0.97-1.27)       0.10 (0324)       .1368         2 1 time or Never       1.00       0       -         1 time       1.11 (0.97-1.27)       0.10 (0324)       .1368         2 1 time or Never       1.00       0       -       -         Kale       1.00       0       -       -         Race       0.28 (.1738)       <.0001	Low sedentary current amoker	1.19(1.00-1.41)	0.17(.0034)	.0490
Moderate sedentary never smoker       1.52 (1.3+1.74)       0.42 (29-35)       <.0001         Moderate sedentary former smoker       1.46 (1.26-1.70)       0.38 (23-53)       <.0001	Low sedentary current smoker	.95(0.77-1.15)	-0.07(2012)	.4042
Moderate sedentary tormer smoker       1.46 (1.26-1.70)       0.38 (2.2553)       <0001	Moderate sedentary never smoker	1.52(1.54-1.74)	0.42 (.29–.53)	<.0001
Moderate sedentary current smoker       .98 (0.8>-1.14)      02 (1713)       .8812         High sedentary never smoker       2.01 (1.72-2.36)       0.70 (.5486)       <.0001	Moderate sedentary former smoker	1.46 (1.26–1.70)	0.38 (.23–.53)	<.0001
High sedentary never smoker2.01 (1.72–2.36)0.70 (54–86)<.0001High sedentary former smoker2.23 (1.86–2.67)0.80 (.62–.98)<.0001	Moderate sedentary current smoker	.98 (0.85–1.14)	02 (1713)	.8312
High sedentary former smoker2.23 (1.86–2.67)0.80 (.62–.98)<.0001High sedentary current smoker1.18 (0.98–1.42)0.16 ( $0235$ ).0876Dining out (per week)0 $-$ 1 time or Never1.000 $-$ 1 time1.11 (0.97–1.27)0.10 ( $0324$ ).1368 $\ge 2$ times1.32 (1.19–1.46)0.28 (.17–.38)<.0001	High sedentary never smoker	2.01 (1.72–2.36)	0.70 (.54–.86)	<.0001
High sedentary current smoker       1.18 (0.98–1.42)       0.16 ( $0235$ )       .0876         Dining out (per week)        0       0       -         <1 time or Never	High sedentary former smoker	2.23 (1.86–2.67)	0.80 (.62–.98)	<.0001
Dining out (per week) <1 time or Never 1.00 0 1 time 1.11 (0.97-1.27) 0.10 (03-24) .1368 ≥2 times 1.32 (1.19-1.46) 0.28 (.1738) <.0001 Sex Male 1.00 0 Female 1.24 (1.14-1.34) 0.21 (.1329) <.0001 Race $< 0001$ Non-Hispanic white 1.00 0 Non-Hispanic black 1.53 (1.40-1.66) 0.42 (.3451) <.0001 Mexican American 1.10 (0.96-1.27) 0.10 (0524) .1782 Other 0.80 (0.68094) -0.22 (3806) .0073 Age 1.00 0 .3633 Education < HS 1.43 (1.22-1.69) 0.36 (.2052) <.0001 HS 1.53 (1.34-1.76) 0.43 (.2957) <.0001 Associates or some college 1.42 (1.24-1.62) 0.35 (.2248) <.0001 $\ge College$ 1.00 0 Survey year 1999-2000 1.00 0.01 (0627) 1.977 2005-2006 1.21 (1.00-1.46) 0.19 (.0038) .04485	High sedentary current smoker	1.18 (0.98–1.42)	0.16 (0235)	.0876
<1 time or Never1.0001 time1.11 (0.97-1.27)0.10 ( $03-24$ ).1368 $\geq 2$ times1.32 (1.19-1.46)0.28 ( $.1738$ )<.0001	Dining out (per week)			
1 time $1.11 (0.97-1.27)$ $0.10 (0324)$ $.1368$ $≥2 times$ Sex	<1 time or Never	1.00	0	
≥2 times $1.32 (1.19-1.46)$ $0.28 (.1738)$ <.0001SexMale $1.00$ 0Female $1.24 (1.14-1.34)$ $0.21 (.1329)$ <.0001	1 time	1.11 (0.97–1.27)	0.10 (0324)	.1368
Sex         1.00         0            Female         1.24 (1.14-1.34)         0.21 (.1329)         <.0001	$\geq 2$ times	1.32 (1.19–1.46)	0.28 (.17–.38)	<.0001
Male1.000Female1.24 (1.14-1.34)0.21 (.1329)<.0001Race<.0001Non-Hispanic white1.000Non-Hispanic black1.53 (1.40-1.66)0.42 (.3451)<.0001Mexican American1.10 (0.96-1.27)0.10 ( $0524$ )1.782Other0.80 (0.68-0.94)-0.22 ( $3806$ ).0073Age1.000.3633Education	Sex			
Female $1.24 (1.14-1.34)$ $0.21 (.1329)$ <.0001Race<0001	Male	1.00	0	_
Race         <.0001           Non-Hispanic white         1.00         0            Non-Hispanic black         1.53 (1.40–1.66)         0.42 (.34–.51)         <.0001	Female	1.24 (1.14–1.34)	0.21 (.13–.29)	<.0001
Non-Hispanic white1.000Non-Hispanic black $1.53 (1.40-1.66)$ $0.42 (.3451)$ <.0001	Race			<.0001
Non-Hispanic black $1.53 (1.40-1.66)$ $0.42 (.3451)$ $<.0001$ Mexican American $1.10 (0.96-1.27)$ $0.10 (0524)$ $.1782$ Other $0.80 (0.68-0.94)$ $-0.22 (3806)$ $.0073$ Age $1.00$ $0$ $.3633$ Education $< HS$	Non-Hispanic white	1.00	0	_
Mexican $1.10 (0.96-1.27)$ $0.10 (0524)$ $.1782$ Other $0.80 (0.68-0.94)$ $-0.22 (3806)$ $.0073$ Age $1.00$ $0$ $.3633$ Education $< HS1.43 (1.22-1.69)0.36 (.2052)<.0001HS1.53 (1.34-1.76)0.43 (.2957)<.0001Associates or some college1.42 (1.24-1.62)0.35 (.2248)<.0001\geq College1.000-Survey year0 0 2001-20020.95 (0.80-1.13)-0.05 (2312).54422003-20041.11 (0.95-1.31)0.11 (0627).19772005-20061.21 (1.00-1.46)0.19 (.0038).0485$	Non-Hispanic black	1.53 (1.40–1.66)	0.42 (.34–.51)	<.0001
Other $0.80 (0.68-0.94)$ $-0.22 (3806)$ $.0073$ Age $1.00$ $0$ $.3633$ Education< HS	Mexican American	1.10 (0.96–1.27)	0.10 (0524)	.1782
Age1.000.3633Education< HS	Other	0.80 (0.68–0.94)	-0.22 (3806)	.0073
Education< HS	Age	1.00	0	.3633
< HS $1.43 (1.22-1.69)$ $0.36 (.2052)$ <.0001HS $1.53 (1.34-1.76)$ $0.43 (.2957)$ <.0001	Education			
HS $1.53 (1.34-1.76)$ $0.43 (.2957)$ $<.0001$ Associates or some college $1.42 (1.24-1.62)$ $0.35 (.2248)$ $<.0001$ $\geq$ College $1.00$ $0$ $-$ Survey year $0$ $ 1999-2000$ $1.00$ $0$ $ 2001-2002$ $0.95 (0.80-1.13)$ $-0.05 (2312)$ $.5442$ $2003-2004$ $1.11 (0.95-1.31)$ $0.11 (0627)$ $.1977$ $2005-2006$ $1.21 (1.00-1.46)$ $0.19 (.0038)$ $.0485$	< HS	1.43 (1.22–1.69)	0.36 (.20–.52)	<.0001
Associates or some college $1.42 (1.24-1.62)$ $0.35 (.2248)$ $<.0001$ $\geq$ College $1.00$ $0$ $-$ Survey year $100$ $0$ $ 2001-2002$ $0.95 (0.80-1.13)$ $-0.05 (2312)$ $.5442$ $2003-2004$ $1.11 (0.95-1.31)$ $0.11 (0627)$ $.1977$ $2005-2006$ $1.21 (1.00-1.46)$ $0.19 (.0038)$ $.0485$	HS	1.53 (1.34–1.76)	0.43 (.29–.57)	<.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Associates or some college	1.42 (1.24–1.62)	0.35 (.22–.48)	<.0001
Survey year         1999-2000         1.00         0         —           2001-2002         0.95 (0.80–1.13)         -0.05 (23–.12)         .5442           2003-2004         1.11 (0.95–1.31)         0.11 (06–.27)         .1977           2005-2006         1.21 (1.00–1.46)         0.19 (.00–.38)         .0485	≥ College	1.00	0	_
1999-20001.0002001-20020.95 (0.80-1.13)-0.05 (2312).54422003-20041.11 (0.95-1.31)0.11 (0627).19772005-20061.21 (1.00-1.46)0.19 (.0038).0485	Survey year			
2001-20020.95 (0.80-1.13)-0.05 (2312).54422003-20041.11 (0.95-1.31)0.11 (0627).19772005-20061.21 (1.00-1.46)0.19 (.0038).0485	1999-2000	1.00	0	_
2003-20041.11 (0.95-1.31)0.11 (0627).19772005-20061.21 (1.00-1.46)0.19 (.0038).0485	2001-2002	0.95 (0.80-1.13)	-0.05 (2312)	.5442
2005-2006 1.21 (1.00–1.46) 0.19 (.00–.38) .0485	2003-2004	1.11 (0.95–1.31)	0.11 (0627)	.1977
	2005-2006	1.21 (1.00–1.46)	0.19 (.00–.38)	.0485

the most notable difference in WC among current smokers was at higher levels of sedentary behavior.

## 4. Discussion

These analyses from a nationally representative, crosssectional dataset revealed several interesting findings regarding the interplay between smoking status, sedentary behavior, and indicators of weight status (i.e., BMI, WC). Smoking status and sedentary behavior were associated such that current smokers reported the highest levels of sedentary behavior, followed by former smokers and never smokers. Furthermore, this study revealed that smoking status moderated the relationship between sedentary behavior and weight-related outcomes in the USA population. In other words, at varying levels of smoking status, sedentary behavior had a different effect on BMI and WC. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show the interactive effect of these modifiable risk behaviors that influence weight-related outcomes. In addition to using a measure of BMI, WC was also examined as an outcome variable. Importantly, although both BMI and WC were lower among current smokers compared to former and never smokers at almost all levels of sedentary behavior, the pattern of findings suggested that both BMI and WC were higher among current smokers at high levels of sedentary behavior. In addition, other factors associated with sedentary behavior including demographic characteristics and dining out were examined, highlighting important characteristics at the population level that will be critical to pursue in future research.

At low levels of sedentary behavior, current smokers and never smokers did not have significantly different BMI. However, at moderate and high levels of sedentary behavior, it appeared that current smokers had a significantly lower BMI compared to never smokers in those sedentary behavior categories. This is consistent with previous research.

TABLE 5: Ana	lyses pred	licting wa	ist circum	ference	incluc	ling intera	C-
tion terms.							

	Beta coefficient (confidence interval)	P value
Low sedentary never smoker	_	_
Low sedentary former smoker	1.30 (0.04–2.56)	.0432
Low sedentary current smoker	-0.47 (-1.52-0.59)	.3811
Moderate sedentary never smoker	2.88 (2.02–3.75)	<.0001
Moderate sedentary former smoker	3.58 (2.50-4.65)	<.0001
Moderate sedentary current smoker	0.07 (95–1.09)	.8910
High sedentary never smoker	6.35 (5.14–7.56)	<.0001
High sedentary former smoker	7.66 (6.27–9.05)	<.0001
High sedentary current smoker	2.55 (1.33–3.76)	<.0001
Dining out (per week)		
<1 time or never	0	_
1 time	.92 (.04–1.80)	.0403
$\geq 2$ times	2.01 (1.36-2.65)	<.0001
Sex		
Male	0	_
Female	-6.79 (-7.40-6.18)	<.0001
Race		
Non-Hispanic white	0	_
Non-Hispanic black	.82 (.11–1.54)	.0252
Mexican American	12 (-1.1591)	.8147
Other	-2.45 (-3.70-1.19)	.0002
Age	.17 (.15–.19)	<.0001
Education		
< HS	2.92 (1.96-3.88)	<.0001
HS	3.19 (2.37-4.01)	<.0001
Associates or some college	2.74 (1.97-3.50)	<.0001
≥ College	0	—
Survey year		
1999-2000	0	_
2001-2002	.57 (68–1.81)	.3656
2003-2004	1.94 (.69–3.20)	.0030
2005-2006	2.08 (.54-3.63)	.0091

Similarly, at low levels of sedentary behavior, smokers and never smokers did not have significantly different WC, yet again at moderate and high levels of sedentary behavior current smokers had a lower WC than never smokers. Prior research has demonstrated that nicotine has a number of physiological effects including increasing metabolism [19– 21]. While the current study did not directly assess the impact of nicotine, it may be that there was a metabolic benefit of nicotine among current smokers at low and moderate levels of sedentary behavior as demonstrated on both the BMI and WC outcomes. That is, at low and moderate levels of sedentary behavior, nicotine may have buffered weight gain which would have occurred in current smokers and is demonstrated in former smokers.

Although current smokers were most sedentary, we also found that former smokers were more sedentary than never smokers. This suggests that a pattern of sedentary behavior may become established while people are current smokers. This pattern may continue after cessation for many former smokers. This has several important implications for both current and former smokers. First, it is possible that the physiological effects of nicotine mask the negative effects of sedentary behavior on weight status. However, when nicotine is removed during cessation, the impact of sedentary behavior on weight emerges in former smokers. Sedentary behavior may thus function as a potential mechanism of postcessation weight gain which could be directly targeted within an intervention.

Former smokers were also more likely to be obese and had a larger WC compared to never smokers in the bivariate analyses. When examining these weight differences in the context of sedentary behavior, never and former smokers had a similar BMI at varying levels of sedentary behavior. Conversely, never and former smokers had significantly different WC at all levels of sedentary behavior. These differences in body shape suggest that while former smokers may not be at risk for greater adiposity, they appear to be at risk for increased central adiposity compared to never smokers. Former smokers' higher risk for central adiposity may explain why this group is particularly at risk for weight-related health challenges (e.g., Type 2 diabetes). Both smoking cessation and sedentary behavior are associated with weight gain and metabolic syndrome [10, 51, 52]. Epidemiologic research has shown an association between sedentary behavior and chronic disease risk factors including central adiposity and elevated blood glucose and insulin [32, 53-56]. The results of the current study may help to explain recent findings that smokers who recently quit smoking were at increased risk of type 2 diabetes [52]. While we saw no difference between never and former smokers in terms of BMI in the model with the interaction term, we did see significant differences at varying levels of sedentary behavior between these two groups with regards to WC.

This research has important implications for smoking cessation interventions. The Clinical Practice Guideline for smoking cessation includes potential ways of addressing weight gain concerns when smokers make a quit attempt [57]. These include (1) explaining that the health risks of weight gain are small when compared to the risks of continued smoking, (2) recommending physical activities and healthy diet to control weight, and (3) suggesting that patients concentrate primarily on smoking cessation, not weight control, until ex-smokers are confident that they will not start smoking again [57]. The findings from the current study suggest that reducing time spent in sedentary behavior may be a potential intervention strategy and warrants further

research. This may be a valuable and important public health message for the population as a whole, but in particular for smokers who are attempting to quit smoking.

The importance of these findings must be recognized in light of several limitations. The measurements of smoking status and sedentary behavior were self-reported. A study comparing self-reported smoking data to measurements of serum cotinine (a metabolite of nicotine) suggested that self-reported smoking was generally quite consistent with measured nicotine exposures [58]. It is likely that sedentary behavior was underreported and with a more refined self-report measure or objective sedentary behavior assessment, we may show stronger associations with smoking and weight-related outcomes. We attempted to include a measure of work-related sedentary behavior in follow-up analyses. However, limitations in the way this item was worded in the continuous NHANES survey (e.g., with a strong emphasis on lifting rather than general movement during work) led us to focus on leisure time sedentary behavior for this study. Importantly, we were able to see associations of this self-reported sedentary behavior with smoking and weight-related outcomes. Furthermore, leisure time sedentary behavior (rather than work place sedentary behavior) may be a more probable intervention point for public health practitioners. While we have proposed pathways for how these relationships may unfold, this data is cross-sectional in nature, and directionality must be tested in longitudinal studies. Future studies should also look specifically at how these risk factors and behaviors predict disease outcomes.

This study is the first nationally representative study to examine the relationships between sedentary behavior, smoking status, and weight-related outcomes. Our outcome measures, BMI and WC, were objectively assessed. Furthermore, the current study specifically examined the role of sedentary behavior, going beyond previous research that has focused on the links between smoking and physical activity [44, 45].

Future studies should examine more refined measures of smoking status, as prior studies suggest that there may be variation in weight outcomes depending on number of years smoking and amount smoked [59, 60]. Exploring sedentary behavior in the context of other factors that influence postcessation weight gain will be important for the development of weight management interventions in the context of smoking cessation. It will also be important to explore other co-occurring health behaviors including diet, physical activity, and alcohol consumption.

## **5. Conclusions**

Both smoking and weight status have been identified as important public health concerns, contributing to a substantial percentage of preventable mortality in the USA. Over the past 20 years, a good deal of public policy has been devoted to reducing smoking in the general population. Obesity rates have soared over this same time period, and emerging evidence suggests that postcessation weight gain may be greater than previously thought, contributing to important health risks for former smokers such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic syndrome. Although it is likely that there are important physiological mechanisms involved in postcessation weight gain, behavioral factors may also be important contributors. The findings presented here speak to the role of sedentary behavior in the association between smoking status and weight status. Patterns of sedentary behavior may be established while people are current smokers. This has important implications for smoking cessation interventions which need to take into account both the potential health risks of postcessation weight gain and the psychological barrier that weight gain may pose to cessation efforts. Targeting sedentary behavior may be one mechanism through which these risks to cessation may be addressed.

#### References

- D. Goodarz, E. L. Ding, D. Mozaffarian et al., "The preventable causes of death in the U.S.: comparative risk assessment of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors," *Public Library of Science*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2009.
- [2] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, *The Health Consequences of Smoking: A Report of the Surgeon General*, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, Atlanta, Ga, USA; for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, USA, 2004.
- [3] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Smokingattributable mortality, years of potential life lost, and productivity losses—United States 2000–2004," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 57, no. 45, pp. 1226–1228, 2008.
- [4] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Cigarette smoking among adults and trends in smoking cessation—United States, 2008," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 58, no. 44, pp. 1227–1232, 2009.
- [5] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease and Prevention and Health Promotion, "Obesity: halting the epidemic by making health easier," 2009, http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/publications/AAG/pdf/ obesity.pdf.
- [6] A. H. Mokdad, J. S. Marks, D. F. Stroup, and J. L. Gerberding, "Correction: actual causes of death in the United States, 2000," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 293, no. 3, pp. 293–294, 2005.
- [7] D. Albanes, Y. D. Jones, M. S. Micozzi, and M. E. Mattson, "Associations between smoking and body weight in the US population: analysis of NHANES II," *The American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 439–444, 1987.
- [8] K. M. Flegal, R. P. Troiano, E. R. Pamuk, R. J. Kuczmarski, and S. M. Campbell, "The influence of smoking cessation on the prevalence of overweight in the United States," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 333, no. 18, pp. 1165–1170, 1995.
- [9] Prospective Studies Collaboration, "Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900,000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies," *The Lancet*, vol. 373, no. 9669, pp. 1083–1096, 2009.
- [10] R. C. Klesges, A. W. Meyers, L. M. Klesges, and M. E. La Vasque, "Smoking, body weight, and their effects on

smoking behavior: a comprehensive review of the literature," *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 106, no. 2, pp. 204–230, 1989.

- [11] D. Eisenberg and B. C. Quinn, "Estimating the effect of smoking cessation on weight gain: an instrumental variable approach," *Health Services Research*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 2255– 2266, 2006.
- [12] H. Shimokata, D. C. Muller, and R. Andres, "Studies in the distribution of body fat. III. Effects of cigarette smoking," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 261, no. 8, pp. 1169–1173, 1989.
- [13] E. Barrett-Connor and K. T. Khaw, "Cigarette smoking and increased central adiposity," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 111, no. 10, pp. 783–787, 1989.
- [14] H. Komiya, Y. Mori, T. Yokose, and N. Tajima, "Smoking as a risk factor for visceral fat accumulation in Japanese men," *Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 208, no. 2, pp. 123–132, 2006.
- [15] E. J. Jacobs, C. C. Newton, Y. Wang et al., "Waist circumference and all-cause mortality in a large US cohort," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 170, no. 15, pp. 1293–1301, 2010.
- [16] E. J. Boyko, W. Y. Fujimoto, D. L. Leonetti, and L. Newell-Morris, "Visceral adiposity and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study among Japanese Americans," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 465–471, 2000.
- [17] B. H. Goodpaster, S. Krishnaswami, H. Resnick et al., "Association between regional adipose tissue distribution and both type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in elderly men and women," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 372–379, 2003.
- [18] U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease: A Report of the Surgeon General, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, Atlanta, Ga, USA, 2010.
- [19] R. J. Moffatt and S. G. Owens, "Cessation from cigarette smoking: changes in body weight, body composition, resting metabolism, and energy consumption," *Metabolism*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 465–470, 1991.
- [20] C. M. Ferrara, M. Kumar, B. Nicklas, S. McCrone, and A. P. Goldberg, "Weight gain and adipose tissue metabolism after smoking cessation in women," *International Journal of Obesity*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1322–1326, 2001.
- [21] C. Filozof, M. C. Fernández Pinilla, and A. Fernández-Cruz, "Smoking cessation and weight gain," *Obesity Reviews*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 95–103, 2004.
- [22] C. D. Fryar, M. C. Merino, R. Hirsch, and K. S. Porter, "Smoking, alcohol use, and illicit drug use reported by adolescents aged 12–17 years: United States, 1999–2004," *National Health Statistics Report*, no. 15, 2009.
- [23] C. D. Fryar, R. Hirsch, K. S. Porter, B. Kottiri, D. J. Brody, and T. Louis, "Smoking and alcohol behaviors reported by adults: United States, 1999–2002," *Advance Data*, no. 378, National Center for Health Statistics, 2006.
- [24] J. J. Prochaska, B. Spring, and C. R. Nigg, "Multiple health behavior change research: an introduction and overview," *Preventive Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 181–188, 2008.
- [25] A. C. Halperin, S. S. Smith, E. Heiligenstein, D. Brown, and M. F. Fleming, "Cigarette smoking and associated health risks among students at five universities," *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 96–104, 2010.
- [26] T. Danielsson, S. Rössner, and A. Westin, "Open randomised trial of intermittent very low energy diet together with nicotine gum for stopping smoking in women who gained weight

in previous attempts to quit [including commentary by K. Jones]," *The British Medical Journal*, vol. 319, no. 7208, pp. 490–494, 1999.

- [27] S. M. Hall, C. D. Tunstall, K. L. Vila, and J. Duffy, "Weight gain prevention and smoking cessation: cautionary findings," *The American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 82, no. 6, pp. 799–803, 1992.
- [28] B. H. Marcus, B. A. Lewis, J. Hogan et al., "The efficacy of moderate intensity exercise as an aid for smoking cessation in women: a randomized controlled trial," *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 871–880, 2005.
- [29] B. Spring, N. Doran, S. Pagoto, K. Schneider, R. Pingitore, and D. Hedeker, "Randomized controlled trial for behavioral smoking and weight control treatment: effect of concurrent versus sequential intervention," *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, vol. 72, no. 5, pp. 785–796, 2004.
- [30] M. Ussher, R. West, A. McEwen, A. Taylor, and A. Steptoe, "Randomized controlled trial of physical activity counseling as an aid to smoking cessation: 12 month follow-up," *Addictive Behaviors*, vol. 32, no. 12, pp. 3060–3064, 2007.
- [31] B. Spring, D. Howe, M. Berendsen et al., "Behavioral intervention to promote smoking cessation and prevent weight gain: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Addiction*, vol. 104, no. 9, pp. 1472–1486, 2009.
- [32] F. B. Hu, T. Y. Li, G. A. Colditz, W. C. Willett, and J. E. Manson, "Television watching and other sedentary behaviors in relation to risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus in women," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 289, no. 14, pp. 1785–1791, 2003.
- [33] A. Bankoski, T. B. Harris, J. J. McClain et al., "Sedentary activity associated with metabolic syndrome independent of physical activity," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 497–503, 2011.
- [34] N. Owen, A. Bauman, and W. Brown, "Too much sitting: a novel and important predictor of chronic disease risk," *The British Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 81–83, 2009.
- [35] W. J. Brown, L. Williams, J. H. Ford, K. Ball, and A. J. Dobson, "Identifying the energy gap: magnitude and determinants of 5-year weight gain in midage women," *Obesity Research*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1431–1441, 2005.
- [36] P. A. Gardiner, B. K. Clark, G. N. Healy, E. G. Eakin, E. A. Winkler, and N. Owen, "Measuring older adults' sedentary time:reliability, validity and responsiveness," *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. In press.
- [37] E. S. Ford, H. W. Kohl III, A. H. Mokdad, and U. A. Ajani, "Sedentary behavior, physical activity, and the metabolic syndrome among U.S. adults," *Obesity Research*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 608–614, 2005.
- [38] J. Salmon, D. W. Dunstan, and N. Owen, "Should we be concerned about children spending extended periods of time in sedentary pursuits even among the highly active?" *International Journal of Pediatric Obesity*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 66– 68, 2008.
- [39] E. S. Ford, C. Li, G. Zhao, and J. Tsai, "Trends in obesity and abdominal obesity among adults in the United States from 1999–2008," *International Journal of Obesity*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 736–743, 2010.
- [40] B. M. Lynch, D. W. Dunstan, E. Winkler, G. N. Healy, E. Eakin, and N. Owen, "Objectively assessed physical activity, sedentary time and waist circumference among prostate cancer survivors: findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2003–2006)," *The European Journal of Cancer Care*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 514–519, 2011.

- [41] M. T. Hamilton, G. N. Healy, D. W. Dunstan, T. W. Zderic, and N. Owen, "Too little exercise and too much sitting: inactivity physiology and the need for new recommendations on sedentary behavior," *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports*, vol. 2, pp. 293–298, 2008.
- [42] C. E. Matthews, K. Y. Chen, P. S. Freedson et al., "Amount of time spent in sedentary behaviors in the United States, 2003-2004," *The American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 167, no. 7, pp. 875–881, 2008.
- [43] G. N. Healy, D. W. Dunstan, J. Salmon et al., "Objectively measured light-intensity physical activity is independently associated with 2-h plasma glucose," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 1384–1389, 2007.
- [44] N. I. Larson, M. Story, C. L. Perry, D. Neumark-Sztainer, and P. J. Hannan, "Are diet and physical activity patterns related to cigarette smoking in adolescents? Findings from Project EAT," *Preventing Chronic Disease*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. A51, 2007.
- [45] A. T. Kaczynski, S. R. Manske, R. C. Mannell, and K. Grewal, "Smoking and physical activity: a systematic review," *The American Journal of Health Behavior*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 93–110, 2008.
- [46] J. Y. Chau, H. P. V. der Ploeg, J. G. Z. van Uffelen et al., "Are workplace interventions to reduce sitting effective? A systematic review," *Preventive Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 352– 356, 2010.
- [47] M. A. McDowell, J. P. Hughes, and L. G. Borrud, "Health characteristics of U.S. adults by body mass index category: results from NHANES 1999–2002," *Public Health Reports*, vol. 121, no. 1, pp. 67–73, 2006.
- [48] R. R. Briefel and C. L. Johnson, "Secular trends in dietary intake in the United States," *Annual Review of Nutrition*, vol. 24, pp. 401–431, 2004.
- [49] P. Orfanos, A. Naska, A. Trichopoulou et al., "Eating out of home: energy, macro- and micronutrient intakes in 10 European countries. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition," *The European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 63, supplement 4, pp. S239–S262, 2009.
- [50] I. N. Bezerra and R. Sichieri, "Eating out of home and obesity: a Brazilian nationwide survey," *Public Health Nutrition*, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 2037–2043, 2009.
- [51] K. I. Proper, A. S. Singh, W. W. Mechelen, and M. J. M. Chinapaw, "Sedentary behaviors and health outcomes among adults: a systematic review of prospective studies," *The American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 174–182, 2011.
- [52] H. S. Yeh, B. B. Duncan, M. I. Schmidt, N. Y. Wang, and F. L. Brancati, "Smoking, smoking cessation, and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cohort study," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 10–17, 2010.
- [53] D. W. Dunstan, J. Salmon, N. Owen et al., "Associations of TV viewing and physical activity with the metabolic syndrome in Australian adults," *Diabetologia*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 2254– 2261, 2005.
- [54] D. W. Dunstan, J. Salmon, G. N. Healy et al., "Association of television viewing with fasting and 2-h postchallenge plasma glucose levels in adults without diagnosed diabetes," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 516–522, 2007.
- [55] G. N. Healy, K. Wijndaele, D. W. Dunstan et al., "Objectively measured sedentary time, physical activity and metabolic risk: the Australian Diabetes, Obesity and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab)," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 369–371, 2008.
- [56] H. J. F. Helmerhorst, K. Wijndaele, S. Brage, N. J. Wareham, and U. Ekelund, "Objectively measured sedentary time may predict insulin resistance independent of moderate and

vigourous intensity physical activity," *Diabetes*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 1776–1779, 2009.

- [57] M. C. Fiore, C. R. Jaén, T. B. Baker et al., *Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence: 2008 Update. Clinical Practice Guideline*, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service, Rockville, Md, USA, 2008.
- [58] R. S. Caraballo, G. A. Giovino, T. F. Pechacek et al., "Racial/ethnic differences in serum cotinine levels among adult U.S. cigarette smokers: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1991," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 280, no. 2, pp. 135–140, 1998.
- [59] C. Bamia, A. Trichopoulou, D. Lenas, and D. Trichopoulos, "Tobacco smoking in relation to body fat mass and distribution in a general population sample," *International Journal of Obesity*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1091–1096, 2004.
- [60] M. Sneve and R. Jorde, "Cross-sectional study on the relationship between body mass index and smoking, and longitudinal change in body mass index in relation to change in smoking status: The Tromso Study," *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 397–407, 2008.