

The Landscape of Immunotherapy Resistance in NSCLC

Daniele Frisone^{1*}, Alex Friedlaender^{1,2}, Alfredo Addeo^{1,3} and Petros Tsantoulis^{1,3}

¹ Department of Oncology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland, ² Department of Oncology, Clinique Generale Beaulieu, Geneva, Switzerland, ³ Department of Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Geneva University, Geneva, Switzerland

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide. Immunotherapy has demonstrated clinically significant benefit for non-small-cell lung cancer, but innate (primary) or acquired resistance remains a challenge. Criteria for a uniform clinical definition of acquired resistance have been recently proposed in order to harmonize the design of future clinical trials. Several mechanisms of resistance are now well-described, including the lack of tumor antigens, defective antigen presentation, modulation of critical cellular pathways, epigenetic changes, and changes in the tumor microenvironment. Host-related factors, such as the microbiome and the state of immunity, have also been examined. New compounds and treatment strategies are being developed to target these mechanisms with the goal of maximizing the benefit derived from immunotherapy. Here we review the definitions of resistance to immunotherapy, examine its underlying mechanisms and potential corresponding treatment strategies. We focus on recently published clinical trials and trials that are expected to deliver results soon. Finally, we gather insights from recent preclinical discoveries that may translate to clinical application in the future.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giannis Mountzios, Henry Dunant Hospital, Greece

Reviewed by:

Antonella Argentiero, National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy Evelien Smits, University of Antwerp, Belgium

> *Correspondence: Daniele Frisone daniele.frisone@hcuge.ch

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Thoracic Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 18 November 2021 Accepted: 21 March 2022 Published: 20 April 2022

Citation:

Frisone D, Friedlaender A, Addeo A and Tsantoulis P (2022) The Landscape of Immunotherapy Resistance in NSCLC. Front. Oncol. 12:817548. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.817548 Keywords: immunotherapy, resistance, checkpoint inhibitor, NSCLC, lung cancer

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality, with 1.750.000 estimated deaths in 2018 worldwide (1). By far, the most common type of lung cancer is non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (2). The advent of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) completely changed the therapeutic landscape of NSCLC, but the majority of lung cancer patients eventually progress during immunotherapy (3–5). Chemo-immunotherapy combinations are associated with improved outcomes, especially in patients with PD-L1 < 50% NSCLC (6–8). Even with chemo-immunotherapy combinations, a significant proportion of patients does not respond to treatment or responds only for a limited period, with mPFS varying from 4 to 10 months in different trials (9).

Resistance to immunotherapy is not fully understood. We briefly review recently acquired knowledge in this domain. We then examine the development of treatment strategies and

1

compounds that aim to overcome resistance based on this knowledge, including results from early clinical trials and preliminary findings. Finally, we go through pivotal trials that are currently underway and which will hopefully provide additional insight in the next few years.

MAIN MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE AND DEFINITIONS

A recent review by Wang et al. classified resistance to immunotherapy based on the timing of its development, the characteristics of the cancer cell, and the type of immune infiltrate (9). With respect to timing, primary resistance is defined as disease progression during first-line ICIs, and acquired resistance is defined as tumor progression after initial disease control (10). A recent revised definition of acquired resistance to immunotherapy in NSCLC excludes stable disease (SD) since SD is too heterogeneous. For example, patients who have a slowly growing disease, unresponsive to immunotherapy, are considered to have SD at the first imaging evaluation but should be considered as primarily resistant to immunotherapy (11). When the cancer cell itself is considered, we can identify intrinsic resistance, which is related to genomic or proteomic features, and extrinsic resistance, which is modulated by the tumor microenvironment, including the immune cells. Finally, the spatial distribution of immune cells can create distinct patterns with complete absence of immune cells (immune desert), abundant intratumoral immune cells within the tumor and at the periphery (inflamed pattern), or absence of immune



molecular pathways involved.

cells in the tumor bed, with immune cells only at the invasive margin (immune excluded tumors) (12).

The distinction between primary and secondary resistance is applicable in clinical trials and for clinical purposes but cannot be used to guide treatment selection and does not offer any mechanistic insight for the development of more effective therapies or biomarkers. In the following sections, we will focus on resistance mechanisms related to the cancer cell and its microenvironment (Figure 1). We do not review host-related mechanisms such as microbiome or diet, that could influence the effect of immune-based therapies.

Intrinsic Mechanisms

Intrinsic features of the cancer cell, such as the genomic and proteomic profile, are the main drivers of resistance to treatment. Immune checkpoints are molecules that modulate inhibitory immune-signaling pathways, regulating the duration of immune responses as well as self-tolerance (13). These pathways are essential in physiological immune responses and avoid uncontrolled reactions and collateral damage. The expression of inhibitory signals (checkpoints) by the cancer cell is an essential mechanism of immune evasion and can involve a number of inhibitory molecules such as PD-L1 (CD274), IDO, LAG3, TIM-3, VISTA, and others (14). Oncogene addiction has also been associated with primary resistance to immunotherapy (9). Not all oncogenic drivers induce resistance to immunotherapy. For instance, while EGFR mutations or ALK fusions predict resistance to ICIs regardless of PD-L1 expression, BRAF and, especially, KRAS mutations do not (15-17). This may stem from a different tumor microenvironment and higher neoantigen load from tobacco smoke exposure in patients with BRAF/KRAS mutations (18). Preliminary trials combining ICIs and EGFR inhibitors showed increased liver and lung toxicity. Given the low efficacy of ICIs in EGFR mutant NSCLC, further trials in this space have been stopped (19).

Other intracellular signaling pathways and genes can also contribute to immunotherapy resistance, either directly or by influencing the tumor microenvironment. Genes commonly implicated in NSCLC include STK11/LKB1, KEAP1, MYC, TP53, PTEN, and the Wnt/ β -catenin pathway (20). STK11 mutations in NSCLC may be associated with worse outcomes with ICIs since the inactivation of this serine threonine kinase involved in various cellular functions is associated with a low T lymphocytes tumor infiltration and a "cold" tumor microenvironment (21, 22). However, it is not clear whether the impact of STK11 mutations is predictive of poor response to ICIs specifically, or simply prognostic of aggressive tumor evolution (23-25). Furthermore, in an exploratory analysis of the KEYNOTE-042 trial comparing single-agent pembrolizumab to chemotherapy, STK11 mutations did not appear to impact the efficacy of ICIs, while they were associated with a worse prognosis in the chemotherapy cohort (26). KEAP1 mutations are also quite common and have been associated with poor prognosis, but there is conflicting evidence regarding their interaction with immunotherapy (27, 28). Common cooccurring mutations involving *KEAP1*, *PBRM1*, *SMARCA4*, and *STK11* may synergistically explain immunogenomic differences acting against immune response (29). Mutations in *TP53* also are more common in patients responding to immunotherapy although the exact mechanism is not clear (30). Recently, loss of 9p21 was shown to confer a cold immune phenotype with reduced lymphocyte infiltrates and worse outcomes with immunotherapy (31). Unfortunately, there is no satisfactory treatment directed at these alterations, and no clinical trial results are available with targeted treatment of these alterations alone or in combination with ICIs (32).

Epigenetic and transcriptomic alterations may play a role in conferring intrinsic resistance to immunotherapy to tumor cells, with inflammatory and mesenchymal phenotypes being recently associated with primary resistance in melanoma (9). For instance, the downregulation of MHC I or of some of its components, such as B2M, is a frequent mechanism of escape to T cell killing that can be due to transcriptomic or epigenetic alterations (33, 34).

Extrinsic Mechanisms

Competent cytotoxic T-cells are crucial for antitumoral immune response. First, the antigenic repertoire of the T-cell receptors (TCRs) must react with the immune profile of the tumor, including expressed neoantigens (9, 35).. Second, the T-cells must infiltrate the tumor bed and physically interact with cancer cells. The presence of infiltrating CD8+ T-cells is positively correlated with more favorable outcomes in NSCLC (36). Third, T-cells must maintain their proliferative capacity and cytotoxic potential and avoid a dysfunctional "exhausted" state, which can be driven by the activity of regulatory T (Treg) cells, chemokines, and growth factors (33, 37).

An immunosuppressive TME is an important cause of immune resistance. The production of immunosuppressive cytokines or growth factors, such as VEGF and TGF- β (9), by the TME is one of the best-studied resistance mechanisms. Hypoxia, a common feature of tumors, can induce the expression of VEGF through HIF-1a. VEGF acts on endothelial cells to promote tumor neo-angiogenesis, but also has immunosuppressive properties, leading to a decrease of cytotoxic T-cells in favor of immunosuppressive Tregs and other myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) (9, 20). In fact, tumor-induced neoangiogenesis is associated with structurally and functionally abnormal neovessels, with endothelial cells, pericytes and immune cells being involved in a complex crosstalk (38). Due to the abnormal vessel structure, the process of rolling, adhesion and transmigration becomes more difficult for immune cells and fewer of them are able to leave the bloodstream and infiltrate the tumor. Moreover, functionally abnormal vessels also induce hypoxia and acidosis which can have an immunosuppressive influence on T cells and on macophages. It has been claimed that the use of anti-VEGFR molecule with the aim of "vasculature normalization" more than antiangiogenesis, can result in polarizing tumor-infiltrating immune cells toward stimulatory phenotypes (39).

In this complex TME landscape, TGF- β also plays a vital role in promoting cancer cell invasion and metastases, also contributing to an immunosuppressive milieu by suppressing dendritic cell differentiation and migration, preventing Th1 and Th2 differentiation while promoting Treg programs (40–42).

OVERCOMING IMMUNOTHERAPY RESISTANCE

Targeting Co-Inhibitory Signals

As discussed above, multiple signals inhibiting T-cells can be expressed by the cancer cell or the environment. Targeting coinhibitory signals beyond PD-1/PD-L1 may help overcome resistance, but with the exception of combined treatment directed against PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA4, the experience in NSCLC is limited.

The Checkmate 227 study showed a significant benefit of ipilimumab combined with nivolumab over platinum-based chemotherapy in terms of overall survival, but adding ipilimumab to pembrolizumab in first-line setting NSCLC with PD-1 \geq 50% did not improve survival over pembrolizumab alone (43, 44). A prospective study with tremelimumab, another antibody directed against CTLA4, and durvalumab in patients progressing on anti-PD-1 immunotherapy has been recently published, showing a lack of clinical activity in this setting (ORR: 0% for acquired resistance cohort, with a mPFS of 2.1 months) (45).

Five co-inhibitory signals other than CTLA4 have been targeted in clinical trials: LAG-3, TIM-3, TIGIT, VISTA, and Siglec-15 (**Table 1**).

LAG-3 (Lymphocyte-Activation Gene-3) is a transmembrane protein that binds to the MHC class II complex. LAG-3 overexpression has been associated with T-lymphocyte exhaustion and resistance to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies (46, 47). In first-line advanced melanoma, a positive phase III trial has been published with relatlimab in combination with nivolumab, with HR of 0,75 for PFS in favor of the combination arm versus single-agent nivolumab and no clinically significant increase in toxicity (48). Results from phase I and phase II trials with multiple histologies have been presented as abstracts, but there's no specific data on NSCLC at the moment (49, 50).

TIM-3 (T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3) is a type I glycoprotein with an extracellular Ig V domain. It has immune-modulatory properties, and its overexpression is linked to a worse prognosis (51, 52). Upregulation of this molecule has also been linked to acquired resistance to anti-PD-1 antibodies so that combination therapy has been designed (51, 53). Few results of clinical trials with TIM-3 inhibitors alone or in combination with PD-1 have been presented. An abstract presentation of a phase II trial showed limited clinical benefit in the setting of progression after previous ICIs in NSCLC or melanoma patients (54).

TIGIT (T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain) is another co-inhibitory transmembrane receptor crucial for immune

Trial name/code	Setting	Phase	Molecule	N° of NSCLC patients	ORR (CR)	DCR	Median PFS	Median OS
ENCORE 601	Pretreated with ICIs	I	Entinostat (histone deacetylase inhibitor)+ pembrolizumab	71	9,2%	-	2,8 mo	11,2 mo
NCT02608268	Pretreated with ICIs	Ш	MBG-453 (anti-TIM3) + spartalizumab	17	0/17	7/17 (41,2%)	-	-
CITYSCAPE (NCT03563716)	First line PD-L1+	ll random	Tiragolumab (anti-TIGIT) + atezo vs Placebo + atezolizumab	135	37,3% vs 20,6%	-	5,6 mo vs 3,9 mo	-
CTRI/2017/12/ 011026	Pretreated, ICIs naïve	Ш	CA-170 (dual VISTA and PD-L1 oral inhibitor) 400 mg	8 non squamous	0/8	6/8 (75%)	19,5 weeks	-
NCT03665285	Pretreated with ICIs	1	NC318 (anti Siglec-15)	13	2/10 (1)	6/10 (60%)	_	-
NCT03667716	Pretreated with ICIs	Ι	COM701 (PVRIG inhibitor) +/- Nivolumab	?	0	6/20 (3 NSCLC)	-	-

TABLE 1 | Results of clinical trial for new agents targeting co-inhibitory signals on T cells and epigenetic alterations.

tolerance, expressed by T cells and NK (47). Tiragolumab, a TIGIT inhibitor, is the only new generation ICI for which randomized data have been published, although in a phase II trial (20, 47). The CITYSCAPE trial randomized 135 treatment naïve patients, with advanced PD-L1 positive NSCLC, to atezolizumab vs. tiragolumab+atezolizumab, obtaining good ORR and PFS, especially in the PD-L1 \geq 50% (66% for combination versus 24% for atezolizumab) (55). The toxicity profile was good with a rate of G3 or higher events similar in the two arms. A phase III trial (NCT04294810) is ongoing for patients with PD-L1 TPS \geq 50%. Finally, a molecule targeting the co-inhibitory receptor PVRIG, a member of the same family as TIGIT, has demonstrated good tolerability and some encouraging activity in pretreated patients, but just three disease stabilizations in the NSCLC patient cohort (56, 57).

VISTA (V-domain immunoglobulin suppressor of T –cell activation) is a type I transmembrane protein able to suppress T-cell activation, with sequence homology with PD-1 and PD-L1 (58). This has permitted the development of an oral dual inhibitor (PD-L1 and VISTA) CA-170, which showed an interesting activity and safety profile in pretreated but ICI naive NSCLC, with a dosage of 400 mg daily (59, 60).

Siglec-15 is a protein expressed mainly by myeloid cells and known to have a role in bone metabolism. Siglec-15 has been recently described as a possible immune-escape mechanism (61). The first-in-human study for an anti-Siglec-15 antibody (NC318) reported some signal of efficacy with responses in 2/ 13 ICI-pretreated NSCLC patients (20).

Enhancing Co-Stimulatory Signals

Enhancing the immune response of T and NK cells is another promising way of overcoming immunotherapy resistance and is supported by robust preliminary clinical data. For NSCLC patients, OX-40, CD137, and the use of cytokine superagonists such as IL-15 are currently investigated (**Table 2**). OX-40 (or CD134) and CD137 (or 4-1BB) are surface glycoproteins expressed by some immune cells, including T-cells and APCs. They are induced by antigenic stimulation and are considered markers of effector T-cells. They are co-stimulatory molecules that can mediate immune-cell activation, making them attractive therapeutic targets in cancer (62).

One phase I study in 20 NSCLC and ten melanoma patients pretreated with ICIs evaluated the efficacy of the combination of two antibodies directed to two different receptors of the TNF family, OX-40 and CD137, with an ORR of 5% and a DCR of 40% in the NSCLC cohort (63). Utomilumab, a CD137 agonist, was also tested in monotherapy during the dose-escalation phase I and with pembrolizumab, but the number of NSCLC patients was insufficient to formally evaluate efficacy (64, 65). An OX-40 agonist (GSK998) has been investigated in combination with pembrolizumab in heavily pretreated patients with different solid tumors, with relatively low efficacy (DCR 19%), but data regarding NSCLC patients are lacking (66)

One recent phase II study using an IL-15 superagonist (activating NK and CD-8 activity) in patients with different tumors having acquired resistance to ICIs, showed that this molecule (N803), given in combination with the same ICI in

FABLE 2 Results of clinical trials for new agents targeting co-stimulatory molecules on T cells and cellular therapy.									
Trial name/code	Setting	Phase	Molecule	N° of NSCLC patients	ORR (CR)	DCR	Median PFS	Median OS	
NCT02315066	Pretreated with ICIs	I	PF-8600 (OX40 agonist) + utomilumab (CD137 agonist)	20	5%	40%	-	-	
QUILT 3.055 (NCT03228667)	Pretreated with ICIs	II	N803 (IL-15 superagonist + ICI)	81	8%	59%	3,9 mo	13,8 mo	
NCT03215810	Pretreated with ICIs	I	TILs	13	46%	92%	-	-	
NCT03987867	First line	I	CIK cells + chemotherapy + Sintilimab (anti-PD-1)	32	81,3%	100%	6 mo-PFS 84,4%	_	
Atalante (NCT02654587)	Pretreated with ICI and platinum chemo	III random	OSE2101 (anticancer vaccine) vs docetaxel/pemetrexed	118 pts Pol	8% vs 18%	6-mo DCR 26% vs 25%	2,7 vs 3,4 mo	11,1 vs 7,5 mo p=0,02	

135 patients (NSCLC 60%) has DCR of 59% and median PFS of 3,9 months with relatively low toxicity (67).

Targeting Epigenetic Mechanisms

Histone deacetylase inhibitors may restore immunotherapy sensitivity in tumor cells by de-repressing the expression of MHC and co-stimulatory signals (34). The ENCORE 601 phase I/II trial showed an ORR of 19% in pretreated melanoma using entinostat in combination with an ICI (68). Another expansion cohort of ENCORE 601 with 71 NSCLC patients pretreated with ICIs showed an ORR of only 9,2% but encouraging activity in the responding subgroup of patients (median duration of response of 10.1 months) (69).

Cellular and Vaccine Therapy

Adoptive cell therapy with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is effective in melanoma and is feasible in NSCLC, but its efficacy has not been established (20, 70). A phase I study with TILs in 20 NSCLC patients (30% PD-L1 >50%) resistant to nivolumab monotherapy recently showed a very good disease control rate of 92% and two ongoing complete responses in a total of 16 evaluable patients (71). Of note, toxicity seems manageable, with a severe toxicity rate of 12.5%.

A patient with NSCLC was treated with chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cells directed against PD-L1, with significant pulmonary toxicity (72). Recently, nine patients with EGFR-positive NSCLC were treated with CAR T-cells directed against EGFR. Only one had a partial response to treatment, lasting more than 13 months (73).

Cytokine-induced killer (CIK) cells are derived from a mixture of T and NK cells isolated from the host's peripheral blood and expanded *in vitro* with the use of specific stimulating cytokines and a CD3 antibody. This method is more rapid (14 days manufacturing) and less costly than the development of CAR Tcells, but standard quality control procedures are still lacking (74). Some interesting results were reported in patients with hematological malignancies and gastric cancer in China (75). A recent abstract of a phase I trial presented at ASCO 2021 showed promising results with the combination of CIK with first-line chemo-immunotherapy in 32 NSCLC patients, obtaining an impressive ORR of 81,3% (76).

Finally, the first randomized vaccination trial in patients with ICIs resistant NSCLC was presented at ESMO 2021. OSE2101 is an anticancer vaccine targeting five tumor neoantigens associated with HLA-A2. Unfortunately, the trial has been affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, which required multiple amendments. The presented preliminary results were derived from a smaller population of interest (118 patients) which comprised patients with secondary resistance to ICIs and pretreated sequentially with chemotherapy and ICIs. Somewhat surprisingly, despite the absence of significant PFS benefit, a statistically significant overall survival benefit (11,1 vs. 7,5 mo p=0,02) was observed (77).

Targeting Tumor Microenvironment + Anti-PD-(L)1 Antibodies

Combining antiangiogenic TKIs with immunotherapy is an exciting strategy that has been demonstrated to be effective in endometrial, kidney cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma (78–80). This approach has shown initially favorable results in NSCLC (**Table 3**).

The addition of lenvatinib, a multikinase inhibitor of VEGFR, FGFR, RET, and KIT, to pembrolizumab in 21 patients (11 pretreated with ICIs) showed encouraging results in a phase Ib/II multicohort study, with an ORR of 33% and a mPFS of 5,9 months. The safety profile was consistent with the association of the two drugs (81, 82). Vorolanib, a VEGF inhibitor that is structurally similar to sunitinib, was combined with nivolumab and showed encouraging efficacy, with a DCR of 57% in a primary refractory population of NSCLC patients treated in a phase II multicohort study (83).

Sitravatinib is a small molecule TKI targeting VEGF2 and TAM receptors on macrophages. It recently showed promising

Trial name/code	Setting	Phase	Molecule	N° of NSCLC patients	ORR (CR)	DCR	Median PFS	Median OS
NCT02501096	Pretreated (52% with ICIs)	Ш	Pembrolizumab + Lenvatinib	21	33%	82%	5,9 mo	_
MRTX-500 (NCT02954991)	Pretreated with ICI (having had clinical benefit)	II	Nivolumab + sitravatinib (anti-VEGF et anti-TAM)	68 non squamous	16%	-	6 mo	15 mo
NCT03666143	Pretreated (63% with ICI)	Ш	Tislelizumab (anti-PD-1) + sitravatinib	75	17%	85%	5,5 mo	-
NCT03083041	First line	II	Apatinib + camrelizumab	25 non squamous	40%PD-L1 +40%PD-L1-	92%	11 mo PD-L1 + 9,7 mo PD-L1-	NR
NCT03583086	Pretreated, ICI primary resistant	II	Vorolanib + nivolumab	15	7%	57%	-	-
NCT04646330	First line PD-L1+	Ι	AK104 (bispecific Ab vs PD-1 and CTLA-4) + anlotinib	8	62,5%	100%	-	-
NCT02517398	Pretreated, ICIs naive	Ι	Bintrafusp alfa (fusion protein anti PD-L+ & TGFβR)	80	21,3%	40%	-	-
NCT03774979	First line PD-L1+	Ι	SHR-1701 fusion protein anti PD-L1 & TGFβR	52	44,2%	73,1	-	-

TABLE 3 | Results of clinical trial for new agents targeting Tumor Microenvironment (TME).

activity in combination with checkpoint inhibitors (84, 85). In particular, data from a phase II trial of sitravatinib and nivolumab in a population of 68 patients with non-squamous NSCLC with acquired resistance after an ICI-based regimen showed an ORR of 16% but a DCR of 78% and an impressive OS of 14,9 months with a 2-year survival rate of 32% (85). Based on these results, there is an ongoing phase III trial (SAPPHIRE, NCT03906071) with this association for NSCLC patients with acquired resistance to ICIs. Anlotinib, another multikinase inhibitor, showed responses but an excess of toxic deaths in squamous NSCLC patients (86). However, anlotinib combined with a bispecific anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 antibody did not provoke grade 4 or 5 toxicity among 18 first-line PD-L1 positive NSCLC patients, including nine with squamous histology. Moreover, ORR reached 62,5% and DCR 100% among eight evaluable patients (87). The combination of apatinib + camrelizumab (an anti-PD-1 antibody) showed a favorable efficacy profile in first-line non-squamous NSCLC in a small phase II study (88).

Targeting Cytokines and Other Immunoregulatory Molecules

As discussed above, TGF β plays an essential role in immune evasion through multiple actions on dendritic cells, Th1, Th2, and Tregs (9, 42). The development of therapies directed against TGF β is difficult, often hindered by excessive cardiovascular toxicity, cutaneous reactions, and gastrointestinal inflammation, but several compounds have been tested, including three recent trials in NSCLC.

The first evaluated the efficacy of a novel antibody (NIS793) against TGF β in combination with spartalizumab, an antibody against PD-1, in NSCLC patients resistant to previous anti-PD-1 therapy (89). While the toxicity profile seemed acceptable, with few treatment-related severe adverse events and no grade 5 adverse events in the cohort of 120 patients, the efficacy among the 20 patients with NSCLC has not yet been reported.

Bintrafusp alfa is a first-in-class fusion protein targeting TGF β receptor and PD-L1, tested in 80 pretreated but ICI naïve NSCLC patients. An ORR of 21,3% and a DCR of 40% was reported, with the best response at the dosage of 1200 mg and in patients whose tumor had high PD-L1 expression (40). Early results from the phase III INTR@PID Lung 037 trial, assessing bintrafusp alfa versus pembrolizumab in front-line PD-L1 high NSCLC were disappointing, leading to the discontinuation of this phase III trial (NCT03631706) (90).

SHR-1701 is another fusion protein targeting the TGF β receptor and PD-L1, tested in a phase I trial in the first-line metastatic setting in PD-L1 positive NSCLC. In 52 patients, the authors reported an ORR of 44,2% and a DCR of 73,1% overall, with the best responses obtained in patients with tumors expressing PD-L1 \geq 50% (91).

Other immunoregulatory cytokines beyond TGF β are also being studied. IDO1 (indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase) is an enzyme involved in tryptophan metabolism which influences T-cells' activity. The efficacy of epacadostat, an IDO1 inhibitor,

was not confirmed in a phase III study, despite promising results in early clinical studies (20).

Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy

Last but not least, combining chemotherapy with immunotherapy is already a standard of care in lung cancer (NSCLC and SCLC), even if the mechanisms involved are not so clear (6). A potential synergy could be attributed to the release of tumor neoantigen *via* chemo-induced cell death and the upregulation of MHC-I (9).

The ability of radiotherapy to induce systemic immune changes and an effect at a distance, often called abscopal, is debated. Irradiation of lesions in oligoprogressive disease is a well-established standard of care for oncogene-addicted NSCLC but less established for patients on immunotherapy (92, 93). One prospective study for 50 patients with NSCLC and melanoma with progression of at most five lesions during ICIs treatment evaluated the efficacy of stereotactic radiotherapy, obtaining an ORR of 42%, mPFS of 14.2 months, and an impressive median OS of 37.4 months. A measurable abscopal effect was found in 40/50 patients with target lesions outside radiotherapy fields. Of these, 26 (65%) presented an abscopal response at two months (94).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The treatment of NSCLC is rapidly evolving, as novel checkpoint inhibitors and treatment combinations are being identified.

The development of new compounds will enlarge the repertoire of immune checkpoints that can be targeted and dramatically increase the potential for treatment combinations with chemotherapy or antiangiogenic treatment or with other checkpoint inhibitors. The results of several large trials with new therapeutics are expected in the following years. Data from SKYSCRAPER-01 trial (NCT04294810) are awaited to assess tiragolumab, an anti-TIGIT antibody, with atezolizumab in first-line PD-L1 high NSCLC patients. The use of relatlimab (anti-LAG3) seems promising based on results obtained in melanoma and an interesting randomized phase II study is ongoing in NSCLC (NCT04623775).

In addition to new checkpoints, new therapeutics acting on well-known targets such as PD1/PDL1 or CTLA4, may prove more effective or less toxic. For example, ipilimumab-NF (BMS-986218) is a next-generation anti-CTLA4 antibody with increased activity in preclinical studies that is currently being tested alone or in combination with nivolumab in various tumors, including NSCLC (NCT03110107). Furthermore, combinations of immunotherapy with TKIs, already shown to be efficacious in other cancer types, are being tested. For example, the SAPPHIRE trial (NCT03906071) which randomizes patients progressing after chemo-immunotherapy to sitravatinib, an inhibitor of multiple tyrosine kinases (RET, TAM family receptors, VEGFR2, KIT), with nivolumab versus docetaxel, could establish a new standard for patients with acquired resistance to anti-PD-1. Finally, cellular therapy with TILs, CAR T-cells, or CIK may prove to be effective, but their development is limited to very few highly specialized centers and remains costly and highly complex. Bispecific antibodies seem to be an attractive solution that can attract T-cells to cancer cells expressing specific protein targets. A PSMAxCD3 bispecific antibody is being tested in squamous lung cancer (NCT04496674)

It is not yet clear which of these strategies will prove to be superior in the long run. The arrival of new immune-based therapies should ultimately increase patient benefit, including both the proportion of patients who derive response and the duration of response. New drugs may have greater efficacy, new combinations of existing drugs may overcome resistance to immunotherapy or it may even become possible to choose the most appropriate immunotherapy for each individual tumor, based on tumor and patient characteristics.

At the same time, basic research is moving towards a more and more specific analysis of tumors and TME, especially with the use of techniques such as single-cell RNA sequencing (95). This has led to the first characterization of the complex and heterogeneous landscape of NSCLC in a recent analysis of 42 biopsy samples (96). Further research on this direction could clarify the interactions between tumor and TME, also highlighting the role of important cell subpopulations such as tumor-infiltrating neutrophils that may contribute to resistance and represent promising targets (97).

REFERENCES

- 1. GLOBOCAN 2018. Available at: https://gco.iarc.fr/.
- Früh M, De Ruysscher D, Popat S, Crinò L, Felip E, Peters S, et al. Small-Cell Lung Cancer (SCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment and Follow-Up. Ann Oncol (2013) 24 Suppl 6:vi99–105. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdt178
- Garon EB, Hellmann MD, Rizvi NA, Carcereny E, Leighl NB, Ahn MJ, et al. Five-Year Overall Survival for Patients With Advanced Nonsmall-Cell Lung Cancer Treated With Pembrolizumab: Results From the Phase I KEYNOTE-001 Study. J Clin Oncol (2019) 37:2518–27. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00934
- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, Gettinger SN, Smith DC, McDermott DF, et al. Five-Year Survival and Correlates Among Patients With Advanced Melanoma, Renal Cell Carcinoma, or Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Treated With Nivolumab. JAMA Oncol (2019) 5:1411–20. doi: 10.1001/ jamaoncol.2019.2187
- Reck M, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Robinson AG, Hui R, Csoszi T, Fulop A, et al. Updated Analysis of KEYNOTE-024: Pembrolizumab Versus Platinum-Based Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer With PD-L1 Tumor Proportion Score of 50% or Greater. J Clin Oncol (2019) 37:537–46. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.00149
- Gandhi L, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, De Angelis F, et al. Pembrolizumab Plus Chemotherapy in Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med (2018) 378:2078–92. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801005
- Paz-Ares L, Luft A, Vicente D, Tafreshi A, Gumus M, Mazieres J, et al. Pembrolizumab Plus Chemotherapy for Squamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med (2018) 379:2040–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810865
- West H, McCleod M, Hussein M, Morabito A, Rittmeyer A, Conter HJ, et al. Atezolizumab in Combination With Carboplatin Plus Nab-Paclitaxel Chemotherapy Compared With Chemotherapy Alone as First-Line Treatment for Metastatic Non-Squamous Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (IMpower130): A Multicentre, Randomised, Open-Label, Phase 3 Trial. Lancet Oncol (2019) 20:924–37. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30167-6

CONCLUSIONS

ICIs have become an essential component of front-line systemic treatment in combination with chemotherapy or in monotherapy. Primary ICI resistance remains common, and secondary resistance appears within two years for most patients, highlighting the need for more effective treatment. Results from many preclinical studies using novel ICI combinations and/or targeted therapies have fueled multiple clinical trials in the last few years. Hopefully, this vigorous research activity will translate to even more favorable patient outcomes in the near future.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

FUNDING

PT research activity is funded by Ligue Genevoise Contre le Cancer (Fonds de soutien de la recherche translationnelle en hémato-oncologie).

- Wang F, Wang S, Zhou Q. The Resistance Mechanisms of Lung Cancer Immunotherapy. Front Oncol (2020) 10:568059. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.568059
- Sharma P, Hu-Lieskovan S, Wargo JA, Ribas A. Primary, Adaptive, and Acquired Resistance to Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cell* (2017) 168:707–23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.01.017
- Schoenfeld AJ, Antonia SJ, Awad MM, Felip E, Gainor J, Gettinger SN, et al. Clinical Definition of Acquired Resistance to Immunotherapy in Patients With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Ann Oncol (2021) 32(12):1597-607. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.08.2151
- 12. Chen DS, Mellman I. Elements of Cancer Immunity and the Cancer-Immune Set Point. *Nature* (2017) 541:321–30. doi: 10.1038/nature21349
- Pardoll DM. The Blockade of Immune Checkpoints in Cancer Immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer (2012) 12(4):252–64. doi: 10.1038/nrc3239
- Kalbasi A, Ribas A. Tumour-Intrinsic Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Blockade. Nat Rev Immunol (2020) 20(1):25–39. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0218-4
- Frisone D, Friedlaender A, Malapelle U, Banna G, Addeo A. A BRAF New World. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol (2020) 152:103008. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2020.103008
- Torralvo J, Friedlaender A, Achard V, Addeo A. The Activity of Immune Checkpoint Inhibition in KRAS Mutated Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: A Single Centre Experience. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* (2019) 16(6):577–82. doi: 10.21873/cgp.20160
- Jeanson A, Tomasini P, Souquet-Bressand M, Brandone N, Boucekine M, Grangeon M, et al. Efficacy of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in KRAS-Mutant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). J Thorac Oncol (2019) 14 (6):1095–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.01.011
- Friedlaender A, Drilon A, Weiss GJ, Banna GL, Addeo A. KRAS as a Druggable Target in NSCLC: Rising Like a Phoenix After Decades of Development Failures. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2020) 85:101978. doi: 10.1016/ j.ctrv.2020.101978
- 19. Liang H, Liu X, Wang M. Immunotherapy Combined With Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Non-Small-Cell

Lung Cancer Treatment. Onco Targets Ther (2018) 11:6189–96. doi: 10.2147/ OTT.S178497

- Attili I, Tarantino P, Passaro A, Stati V, Curigliano G, De Marinis F. Strategies to Overcome Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Blockade in Lung Cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2021) 154:151–60. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2021.02.035
- Skoulidis F, Byers LA, Diao L, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Tong P, Izzo J, et al. Co-Occurring Genomic Alterations Define Major Subsets of KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma With Distinct Biology, Immune Profiles, and Therapeutic Vulnerabilities. *Cancer Discov* (2015) 5(8):860–77. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-1236
- Skoulidis F, Goldberg ME, Greenawalt DM, Hellmann MD, Awad MM, Gainor JF, et al. STK11/LKB1 Mutations and PD-1 Inhibitor Resistance in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov* (2018) 8(7):822–35. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0099
- Basher F, Saravia D, Fanfan D, Cotta JA, Lopes G. Impact of STK11 and KRAS Co-Mutations on Outcomes With Immunotherapy in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. J Clin Oncol (2020) 38(15_suppl):e15135–5. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.e15135
- Shire NJ, Klein AB, Golozar A, Collins JM, Fraeman KH, Nordstrom BL, et al. STK11 (LKB1) Mutations in Metastatic NSCLC: Prognostic Value in the Real World. *PloS One* (2020) 15(9):e0238358. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238358
- 25. Di Federico A, De Giglio A, Parisi C, Gelsomino F. STK11/LKB1 and KEAP1 Mutations in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Prognostic Rather Than Predictive? *Eur J Cancer* (2021) 157:108–13. doi: 10.1016/ j.ejca.2021.08.011
- 26. Cho BC, Lopes G, Kowalski DM, Kasahara K, Wu YL, Castro G, et al. Abstract CT084: Relationship Between STK11 and KEAP1 Mutational Status and Efficacy in KEYNOTE-042: Pembrolizumab Monotherapy Versus Platinum-Based Chemotherapy as First-Line Therapy for PD-L1-Positive Advanced NSCLC. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80)(16 Supplement):CT084. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2020-CT084
- Zhu H, Xie D, Yu Y, Yao L, Xu B, Huang L, et al. KEAP1/NFE2L2 Mutations of Liquid Biopsy as Prognostic Biomarkers in Patients With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Results From Two Multicenter, Randomized Clinical Trials. Front Oncol (2021) 11:659200. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.659200
- Shaverdian N, Offin M, Shepherd AF, Simone CB2nd, Gelblum DY, Wu AJ, et al. The Impact of Durvalumab on Local-Regional Control in Stage III NSCLCs Treated With Chemoradiation and on KEAP1-NFE2L2-Mutant Tumors. J Thorac Oncol (2021) 16(8):1392–402. doi: 10.1016/ j.jtho.2021.04.019
- Marinelli D, Mazzotta M, Scalera S, Terrenato I, Sperati F, D'Ambrosio L, et al. KEAP1-Driven Co-Mutations in Lung Adenocarcinoma Unresponsive to Immunotherapy Despite High Tumor Mutational Burden. *Ann Oncol* (2020) 31(12):1746–54. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.08.2105
- Hellmann MD, Nathanson T, Rizvi H, Creelan BC, Sanchez-Vega F, Ahuja A, et al. Genomic Features of Response to Combination Immunotherapy in Patients With Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Cell* (2018) 33 (5):843–852.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.018
- Han G, Yang G, Hao D, Lu Y, Thein K, Simpson BS, et al. 9p21 Loss Confers a Cold Tumor Immune Microenvironment and Primary Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Therapy. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12(1):5606. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25894-9
- Laderian B, Mundi P, Fojo T, Bates SE. Emerging Therapeutic Implications of STK11 Mutation: Case Series. Oncologist (2020) 25(9):733–7. doi: 10.1634/ theoncologist.2019-0846
- Horvath L, Thienpont B, Zhao L, Wolf D, Pircher A. Overcoming Immunotherapy Resistance in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) -Novel Approaches and Future Outlook. *Mol Cancer* (2020) 19(1):141. doi: 10.1186/s12943-020-01260-z
- Perrier A, Didelot A, Laurent-Puig P, Blons H, Garinet S. Epigenetic Mechanisms of Resistance to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Biomolecules* (2020) 10(7):1061. doi: 10.3390/biom10071061
- Reuben A, Zhang J, Chiou SH, Gittelman RM, Li J, Lee WC, et al. Comprehensive T Cell Repertoire Characterization of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Nat Commun (2020) 11:603. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14273-0
- Geng Y, Shao Y, He W, Hu W, Xu Y, Chen J, et al. Prognostic Role of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Lung Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. *Cell Physiol Biochem* (2015) 37:1560–71. doi: 10.1159/000438523

- Boyero L, Sanchez-Gastaldo A, Alonso M, Noguera-Unclés JF, Molina-Pinelo S, Bernabé-Caro R. Primary and Acquired Resistance to Immunotherapy in Lung Cancer: Unveiling the Mechanisms Underlying of Immune Checkpoint Blockade Therapy. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12(12):3729. doi: 10.3390/ cancers12123729
- Solimando AG, Summa S, Vacca A, Ribatti D. Cancer-Associated Angiogenesis: The Endothelial Cell as a Checkpoint for Immunological Patrolling. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12(11):3380. doi: 10.3390/cancers12113380
- 39. Huang Y, Yuan J, Righi E, Kamoun WS, Ancukiewicz M, Nezivar J, et al. Vascular Normalizing Doses of Antiangiogenic Treatment Reprogram the Immunosuppressive Tumor Microenvironment and Enhance Immunotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2012) 109(43):17561-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215397109
- 40. Paz-Ares L, Kim TM, Vicente D, Felip E, Lee DH, Lee KH, et al. Bintrafusp Alfa, a Bifunctional Fusion Protein Targeting TGF-β and PD-L1, in Second-Line Treatment of Patients With NSCLC: Results From an Expansion Cohort of a Phase 1 Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* (2020) 15(7):1210–22. doi: 10.1016/ j.jtho.2020.03.003
- Akhurst RJ. Targeting TGF-β Signaling for Therapeutic Gain. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol (2017) 9(10):a022301. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a022301
- Tauriello DVF, Sancho E, Batlle E. Overcoming Tgfβ-Mediated Immune Evasion in Cancer. Nat Rev Cancer (2021) 22(1):25-44. doi: 10.1038/s41568-021-00413-6
- Hellmann MD, Paz-Ares L, Bernabe Caro R, Zurawski B, Kim SW, Carcereny Costa E, et al. Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med (2019) 381(21):2020–31. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910231
- 44. Boyer M, Şendur MAN, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Park K, Lee DH, Çiçin I, et al. Pembrolizumab Plus Ipilimumab or Placebo for Metastatic Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer With PD-L1 Tumor Proportion Score ≥ 50%: Randomized, Double-Blind Phase III KEYNOTE-598 Study. J Clin Oncol (2021) 39 (21):2327–38. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.03579
- 45. Leighl NB, Redman MW, Rizvi N, Hirsch FR, Mack PC, Schwartz LH, et al. Phase II Study of Durvalumab Plus Tremelimumab as Therapy for Patients With Previously Treated Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 Resistant Stage IV Squamous Cell Lung Cancer (Lung-MAP Substudy S1400F, Nct03373760). J Immunother Cancer (2021) 9(8):e002973. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-002973
- Andrews LP, Marciscano AE, Drake CG, Vignali DAA. LAG3 (CD223) As A Cancer Immunotherapy Target. *Immunol Rev* (2017) 276(1):80–96. doi: 10.1111/imr.12519
- Passiglia F, Reale ML, Cetoretta V, Novello S. Immune-Checkpoint Inhibitors Combinations in Metastatic NSCLC: New Options on the Horizon? *Immunotarg Ther* (2021) 10:9–26. doi: 10.2147/ITT.S253581
- Lipson EJ, Tawbi HA, Schadendorf D, Ascierto PA, Matamala L, Gutiérrez EC, et al. Relatlimab (RELA) Plus Nivolumab (NIVO) Versus NIVO in First-Line Advanced Melanoma: Primary Phase III Results From RELATIVITY-047 (CA224-047). J Clin Oncol (2021) 39(suppl_15):9503. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.9503
- Hong DS, Schoffski P, Calvo A, Sarantopoulos J, Ochoa De Olza M, Carvajal RD, et al. Phase I/II Study of LAG525 ± Spartalizumab (PDR001) in Patients (Pts) With Advanced Malignancies. J Clin Oncol (2018) 36(15_suppl):3012. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.36.15_suppl.3012
- Volodymyrivna Uboha N, Milhem MM, Kovacs C, Amin A, Magley A, Das Purkayastha D, et al. Phase II Study of Spartalizumab (PDR001) and LAG525 in Advanced Solid Tumors and Hematologic Malignancies. J Clin Oncol (2019) 37(15_suppl):2553–3. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2019.37.15_suppl.2553
- Friedlaender A, Addeo A, Banna GL. New Emerging Targets in Cancer Immunotherapy: The Role of TIM3. ESMO Open (2019) 12;4(Suppl 3): e000497. doi: 10.1136/esmoopen-2019-000497
- Gao X, Zhu Y, Li G, Zhang G, Wang F, Sun J, et al. TIM-3 Expression Characterizes Regulatory T Cells in Tumor Tissues and Is Associated With Lung Cancer Progression. *PloS One* (2012) 7(2):e30676. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0030676
- Koyama S, Akbay EA, Li YY, Herter-Sprie GS, Buczkowski KA, Richards WG, et al. Adaptive Resistance to Therapeutic PD-1 Blockade Is Associated With Upregulation of Alternative Immune Checkpoints. *Nat Commun* (2016) 7:10501. doi: 10.1038/ncomms10501
- 54. Mach N, Curigliano G, Santoro A, Kim D, Tai DWM, Hodi S, et al. Phase (Ph) II Study of MBG453 + Spartalizumab in Patients (Pts) With Non-Small Cell

Lung Cancer (NSCLC) and Melanoma Pretreated With Anti-PD-1/ L1 Therapy. Ann Oncol (2019) 30:v491-2. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz253.028

- 55. Rodriguez-Abreu D, Johnson ML, Hussein MA, Cobo M, Jayantilal Patel A, Milica Secen N, et al. Primary Analysis of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase II Study of the Anti-TIGIT Antibody Tiragolumab (Tira) Plus Atezolizumab (Atezo) Versus Placebo Plus Atezo as First-Line (1L) Treatment in Patients With PD-L1-Selected NSCLC (CITYSCAPE). J Clin Oncol (2020) 38(15_suppl):9503. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2020.3815_suppl.9503
- Sanchez-Correa B, Valhondo I, Hassouneh F, Lopez-Sejas N, Pera A, Bergua JM, et al. DNAM-1 and the TIGIT/PVRIG/TACTILE Axis: Novel Immune Checkpoints for Natural Killer Cell-Based Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancers* (Basel) (2019) 11(6):877. doi: 10.3390/cancers11060877
- 57. Vaena DA, Fleming GF, Chmielowski B, Sharma M, Hamilton EP, Sullivan RJ, et al. COM701 With or Without Nivolumab: Results of an Ongoing Phase 1 Study of Safety, Tolerability and Preliminary Antitumor Activity in Patients With Advanced Solid Malignancies (NCT03667716). *J Clin Oncol* (2021) 39(suppl_15):2504. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.2504
- Villarroel-Espindola F, Yu X, Datar I, Mani N, Sanmamed M, Velcheti V, et al. Spatially Resolved and Quantitative Analysis of VISTA/PD-1H as a Novel Immunotherapy Target in Human Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24(7):1562–73. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-2542
- Sasikumar PG, Sudarshan NS, Adurthi S, Ramachandra RK, Samiulla DS, Lakshminarasimhan A. PD-1 Derived CA-170 Is an Oral Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor That Exhibits Preclinical Anti-Tumor Efficacy. *Commun Biol* (2021) 4(1):699. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02191-1
- Radhakrishnan V, Banavali S, Gupta S, Kumar A, Deshmukh CD, Nag S, et al. Excellent CBR and Prolonged PFS in Non-Squamous NSCLC With Oral CA-170, an Inhibitor of VISTA and PD-L1. *Ann Oncol* (2019) 30 (suppl_5): v475v532. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz253.035
- Wang J, Sun J, Liu LN, Flies DB, Nie X, Toki M, et al. Siglec-15 as an Immune Suppressor and Potential Target for Normalization Cancer Immunotherapy. *Nat Med* (2019) 25(4):656–66. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0374-x
- Croft M. The Role of TNF Superfamily Members in T-Cell Function and Diseases. Nat Rev Immunol (2009) 9(4):271–85. doi: 10.1038/nri2526
- 63. Chiappori A, Thompson J, Eskens F, Spano JP, Doi T, Hamid O, et al. P860 Results From A Combination of OX40 (PF-04518600) and 4–1BB (Utomilumab) Agonistic Antibodies in Melanoma and Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer in A Phase 1 Dose Expansion Cohort. J ImmunoTher Cancer (2020) 8. doi: 10.1136/LBA2019.14
- Segal NH, He AR, Doi T, Levy R, Bhatia S, Pishvaian MJ, et al. Phase I Study of Single-Agent Utomilumab (PF-05082566), a 4-1BB/CD137 Agonist, in Patients With Advanced Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2018) 24(8):1816–23. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-1922
- 65. Tolcher AW, Sznol M, Hu-Lieskovan S, Papadopoulos KP, Patnaik A, Rasco DW, et al. Phase Ib Study of Utomilumab (PF-05082566), a 4-1BB/CD137 Agonist, in Combination With Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* (2017) 23(18):5349–57. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-1243
- 66. Postel-Vinay S, Lam VK, Ros W, Bauer TM, Hansen AR, Cho DC, et al. Abstract CT150: A First-in-Human Phase I Study of the OX40 Agonist GSK3174998 (GSK998) +/- Pembrolizumab in Patients (Pts) With Selected Advanced Solid Tumors (ENGAGE-1). *Cancer Res* (2020) 80(16 Supplement):CT150. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2020-CT150
- 67. Wrangle JM, Awad MM, Badin FB, Rubinstein MP, Bhar P, Garner C, et al. Preliminary Data From QUILT 3.055: A Phase 2 Multi-Cohort Study of N803 (IL-15 Superagonist) in Combination With Checkpoint Inhibitors (CPI). *J Clin Oncol* (2021) 39(suppl_15):2596. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021. 39.15_suppl.2596
- 68. Sullivan RJ, Moschos SJ, Johnson ML, Opyrchal M, Ordentlich P, Brouwer S, et al. CT072 Efficacy and Safety of Entinostat (ENT) and Pembrolizumab (PEMBRO) in Patients With Melanoma Previously Treated With Anti-PD1 Therapy. In: AACR Annual Meeting Atlanta, US: AACR Annual Meeting. (2019). Available at: https://www.abstractsonline.com/pp8/#!/6812/ presentation/9829.
- 69. Hellmann MD, Jänne PA, Opyrchal M, Hafez N, Raez LE, Gabrilovich DI, et al. Entinostat Plus Pembrolizumab in Patients With Metastatic NSCLC

Previously Treated With Anti-PD-(L)1 Therapy. *Clin Cancer Res* (2021) 27 (4):1019–28. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-3305

- Creelan B, Teer J, Toloza E, Mullinax J, Landin A, Gray J, et al. Safety and Clinical Activity of Adoptive Cell Transfer Using Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TIL) Combined With Nivolumab in NSCLC. J Thor Oncol (2018) 13:S330–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2018.08.259
- Creelan BC, Wang C, Teer JK, Toloza EM, Yao J, Kim S, et al. Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocyte Treatment for Anti-PD-1-Resistant Metastatic Lung Cancer: A Phase 1 Trial. *Nat Med* (2021) 27(8):1410–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01462-y
- Liu H, Ma Y, Yang C, Xia S, Pan Q, Zhao H, et al. Severe Delayed Pulmonary Toxicity Following PD-L1-Specific CAR-T Cell Therapy for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Transl Immunol* (2020) 9(10):e1154. doi: 10.1002/cti2.1154
- 73. Zhang Y, Zhang Z, Ding Y, Fang Y, Wang P, Chu W, et al. Phase I Clinical Trial of EGFR-Specific CAR-T Cells Generated by the Piggybac Transposon System in Advanced Relapsed/Refractory Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol (2021) 147(12):3725–34. doi: 10.1007/ s00432-021-03613-7
- Meng Y, Yu Z, Wu Y, Du T, Chen S, Meng F, et al. Cell-Based Immunotherapy With Cytokine-Induced Killer (CIK) Cells: From Preparation and Testing to Clinical Application. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* (2017) 13(6):1–9. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2017.1285987
- Guo Y, Han W. Cytokine-Induced Killer (CIK) Cells: From Basic Research to Clinical Translation. *Chin J Cancer* (2015) 34(3):99–107. doi: 10.1186/s40880-015-0002-1
- Ren X, Zhou L. A Single-Arm Phase Ib Study of Autologous Cytokine-Induced Killer (CIK) Cell Immunotherapy in Combination With Sintilimab Plus Chemotherapy in Patients With Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)-CCICC-002. J Clin Oncol (2021) 39(suppl 15):2531. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.2531
- Makker V, Colombo N, Casado Herráez A, Santin AD, Colomba E, Miller DS, et al. Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab for Advanced Endometrial Cancer. N Engl J Med (2022) 386(5):437-48. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108330
- Makker V, Colombo N, Casado Herráez A, Santin AD, Colomba E, Miller DS, et al. Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab for Advanced Endometrial Cancer. N Engl J Med(2022) 386(5):437–48. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2108330.
- 79. Rini BI, Plimack ER, Stus V, Gafanov R, Hawkins R, Nosov D, et al. Pembrolizumab Plus Axitinib Versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2019) 380(12):1116–27. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1816714
- Motzer RJ, Penkov K, Haanen J, Rini B, Albiges L, Campbell MT, et al. Avelumab Plus Axitinib Versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2019) 380(12):1103–15. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1816047
- Cabanillas ME, Schlumberger M, Jarzab B, Martins RG, Pacini F, Robinson B, et al. A Phase 2 Trial of Lenvatinib (E7080) in Advanced, Progressive, Radioiodine-Refractory, Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: A Clinical Outcomes and Biomarker Assessment. *Cancer* (2015) 121(16):2749–56. doi: 10.1002/cncr.29395
- Taylor MH, Lee CH, Makker V, Rasco D, Dutcus CE, Wu J, et al. Phase IB/II Trial of Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab in Patients With Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma, Endometrial Cancer, and Other Selected Advanced Solid Tumors. J Clin Oncol (2020) 38(11):1154–63. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.01598
- 83. Wong SK, Whisenant JG, Bestvina CM, Berry LD, Owonikoko TK, Sanborn RE, et al. Phase I/II Study of Nivolumab Plus Vorolanib in Patients With Thoracic Malignancies: Interim Efficacy of the SCLC and Primary Refractory NSCLC Cohorts, and Safety Data Across All Cohorts. J Clin Oncol (2021) 39 (Suppl_15):2578. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.2578
- 84. Zhou Q, Yu X, Gao B, Ma Z, Chu Q, Huang D, et al. Sitravatinib + Tislelizumab in Patients With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). Ann Oncol (2021) 32(suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/annonc/ annonc729
- Leal TA, Berz D, Rybkin I, Iams WT, Bruno D, Blakely C. MRTX-500: Phase II Trial of Sitravatinib (Sitra) + Nivolumab (Nivo) in Patients (Pts) With Non-Squamous (NSQ) Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) Progressing on or After Prior Checkpoint Inhibitor (CPI) Therapy. Ann Oncol (2021) 32 (suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/annonc/annonc729

- Lv X, Wang L, Su S, Li R, Liu B. Efficacy and Safety of Anti-PD-1 Therapy Plus Anlotinib in Previously Treated Advanced NSCLC. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32 (suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/annonc/annonc729
- Wu L, Chen B, Yao W, Li X, Xiao Z, Liu H, et al. 1300p A Phase Ib/II Trial of AK104 (PD-1/CTLA-4 Bispecific Antibody) in Combination With Anlotinib in Advanced NSCLC. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32(suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/annonc/annonc729
- Ren S, He J, Fang Y, Chen G, Ma Z, Chen J, et al. MA13.01 Camrelizumab Plus Apatinib in Treatment-Naive Patients With Advanced Non-Squamous NSCLC: A Multicenter, Open-Label, Single-Arm, Phase 2 Trial. J Thor Oncol (2021) 16(10):S924. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.08.175
- Bauer TM, Lin CC, Greil R, Goebeler ME, Huetter-Kroenke ML, Garrido-Laguna I, et al. Phase Ib Study of the Anti-TGF-β Monoclonal Antibody (mAb) NIS793 Combined With Spartalizumab (PDR001), a PD-1 Inhibitor, in Patients (Pts) With Advanced Solid Tumors. J Clin Oncol (2021) 39 (suppl_15):2509. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15_suppl.2509
- Merck press release. (2021). Available at: https://www.merckgroup.com/en/ news/bintrafusp-alfa-agreement-with-gsk.html.
- 91. Feng J, Chen J, Li K, Li X, Min X, Li B, et al. 1278p SHR-1701, a Bifunctional Fusion Protein Targeting PD-L1 and TGF-β, as First-Line Therapy for PD-L1 + Advanced/Metastatic NSCLC: Data From a Clinical Expansion Cohort of a Phase I Study. Ann Oncol (2021) 32(suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/ annonc/annonc729
- Rheinheimer S, Heussel CP, Mayer P, Gaissmaier L, Bozorgmehr F, Winter H, et al. Oligoprogressive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Under Treatment With PD-(L)1 Inhibitors. *Cancers (Basel)* (2020) 12(4):1046. doi: 10.3390/ cancers12041046
- Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, Novello S, Smit EF, Faivre-Finn C, et al. Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment and Follow-Up. *Ann Oncol* (2018) 29(Suppl 4): iv192–237. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy275
- 94. Zafra Martín J, Chicas Sett R, Rodriguez D, Castilla Martinez J, Salas B, Vera A, et al. 1326p - Combination of Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy With Anti-PD-1 in Oligoprogressive Disease: Final Results of a Prospective Multicenter Study. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32(suppl_5):S949–S1039. doi: 10.1016/annonc/annonc729

- 95. Lambrechts D, Wauters E, Boeckx B, Aibar S, Nittner D, Burton O, et al. Phenotype Molding of Stromal Cells in the Lung Tumor Microenvironment. Nat Med (2018) 24(8):1277–89. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0096-5
- Wu F, Fan J, He Y, Xiong A, Yu J, Li Y, et al. Single-Cell Profiling of Tumor Heterogeneity and the Microenvironment in Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Nat Commun (2021) 12(1):2540. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22801-0
- Zilionis R, Engblom C, Pfirschke C, Savova V, Zemmour D, Saatcioglu HD, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomics of Human and Mouse Lung Cancers Reveals Conserved Myeloid Populations Across Individuals and Species. *Immunity* (2019) 50(5):1317–1334.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.009

Conflict of Interest: AF: Advisory board with Roche, Pfizer, Astellas, AstraZeneca, MSD, Sanofi, Novartis and BMS. AA: Advisory board: MSD Oncology, Roche, Takeada, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, Eli-Lilly, Roche. Speaker Bureau: Eli-Lilly, AstraZeneca.PT: Advisory board with Astellas, Bayer, BMS, Ipsen, Janssen-Cilag, Merck, MSD, Pfizer, Roche and Sanofi. Travel and conference expenses from Lilly, Janssen-Cilag and Sanofi.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Frisone, Friedlaender, Addeo and Tsantoulis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.