

# **Unveiling the Intricacies of Monoamine Oxidase‑A (MAO-A) Inhibition in Colorectal Cancer: Computational Systems Biology, Expression Patterns, and the Anticancer Therapeutic Potential**

Sanaa K. [Bardaweel,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Sanaa+K.+Bardaweel"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-11-0) Husam [Al-salamat,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Husam+Al-salamat"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Rima [Hajjo,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Rima+Hajjo"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Dima [Sabbah,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Dima+Sabbah"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Shriefa [Almutairi](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Shriefa+Almutairi"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)



ABSTRACT: Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains a significant health burden globally, necessitating a deeper understanding of its molecular intricacies for effective therapeutic interventions. Elevated monoamine oxidase-A (MAO-A) expression has been consistently observed in CRC tissues, correlating with advanced disease stages and a poorer prognosis. This research explores the systems biology effects of MAO-A inhibition with small molecule inhibitor clorgyline regarding CRC. The applied systems biology approach starts with a chemocentric informatics approach to derive high-confidence hypotheses regarding the antiproliferative effects of MAO-A inhibitors and ends with experimental validation. Our computational results emphasized the anticancer effects of MAO-A inhibition and the chemogenomics similarities between clorgyline



and structurally diverse groups of apoptosis inducers in addition to highlighting apoptotic, DNA-damage, and microRNAs in cancer pathways. Experimental validation results revealed that MAO inhibition results in antiproliferative antimigratory activities in addition to synergistic effects with doxorubicin. Moreover, the results demonstrated a putative role of MAO-A inhibition in commencing CRC cellular death by potentially mediating the induction of apoptosis.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer stands as one of the most prevalent malignancies globally, with an estimated 1.9 million new cases reported in 2020 alone.<sup>1</sup> Despite advancements in treatment modalities, its survival rates vary widely, highlighting the need for continued investigation into factors influencing prognosis and therapeutic efficacy.<sup>2</sup>

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) play a pivotal role in the complex landscape of cancer development.<sup>[3](#page-12-0)</sup> The relationship between Monoamine Oxidase (MAO) and ROS highlights a vital connection within the complex world of cell functions, where MAO's actions modulate ROS levels, potentially impacting our well-being and diseases, such as cancer.<sup>3</sup>

Monoamine oxidase MAO enzyme is located within the outer membrane of the mitochondria with two isoforms, MAO-A isoenzyme and MAO-B isoenzyme, both of which are encoded in the X chromosome genes  $(Xp11.23-11.4).^{4,5}$  $(Xp11.23-11.4).^{4,5}$  $(Xp11.23-11.4).^{4,5}$  The MAO enzymes have a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) that is covalently attached to a cysteine residue through an 8*α*-(Scysteinyl)-riboflavin bond, $6$  hence, their roles as oxygen oxidoreductase (deaminating). MAO isoforms differ in specificity for substrates, sensitivity to inhibitors, tissue distribution, and immunological properties.<sup>[7](#page-12-0)</sup> MAO-A, in the human body, is abundant in the intestine, heart, and placenta,

while MAO-B is abundant in platelets, liver cells, and brain glial cells. $\frac{8}{11}$  $\frac{8}{11}$  $\frac{8}{11}$  $\frac{8}{11}$  $\frac{8}{11}$ 

Surprisingly, in addition to the numerous studies discussing the brain functions affected by MAO-A and MAO-B, recent studies emphasized that MAO enzyme contributes important roles in tumorigenesis, obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases.[12](#page-12-0)−[15](#page-12-0) Interestingly, evidence is emerging to support the role of MAO-A isoenzyme in the progression and metastasis of many cancer types including prostate cancer,<br>hepatocellular carcinoma, and lung cancer.<sup>[16](#page-12-0)−[18](#page-12-0)</sup>

On the other hand, other studies suggested that MAO-A may promote apoptosis in different types of cancer such as neuroblastoma, $^{19}$  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  hepatocellular carcinoma, $^{20}$  and differentiated prostate tumorigenesis.<sup>16</sup> Earlier studies demonstrated that MAO-A-induced ROS participates in suppressing cancer progression.[21,22](#page-12-0) Besides, MAO-A-induced ROS stimulates mitochondrial dysfunction via the activation of mitophagy through p53 and p21 induction.<sup>[23](#page-12-0)</sup> The activity of MAO-A in

Received: April 29, 2024 Revised: July 24, 2024 Accepted: July 26, 2024 Published: August 9, 2024



<span id="page-1-0"></span>HCT116 was explored by investigating how IL-13 and  $13(S)$ HpODE contribute to cancer cell apoptosis.<sup>[21](#page-12-0)</sup> It was concluded that  $13(S)$ HpODE stimulates HCT116 apoptosis by upregulation of p53 and p21 expression. An *in vivo* colon cancer tumorigenic study was used to validate the observations. The results confirmed that  $13(S)HpODE$  significantly decreases solid tumor growth by triggering apoptosis.<sup>[21](#page-12-0)</sup>

Several studies have investigated MAOIs for their potential anticancer activity, however, their effects against cancer are discrete<sup>[24](#page-12-0)</sup> with more emphasis on the nonclinical irreversible MAO-A inhibitor, clorgyline.<sup>[25](#page-12-0),[26](#page-12-0)</sup> Indeed, the inhibition of MAO-A was demonstrated to be essential for chemotherapeutics to achieve their substantial benefits in prostate cancer, glioma, breast cancer, and classical Hodgkin lymphoma[.26](#page-12-0)<sup>−</sup>[28](#page-12-0) In addition, dual MMP-9/MAO-A inhibitors were designed and evaluated in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells.[22](#page-12-0) Their anticancer activities were reported as potent antiproliferative agents against HCT116 (IC<sub>50</sub>; 6–9 nM).<sup>[22](#page-12-0)</sup>

Considering the collective evidence of the critical role of MAO-A in the human body, it is expected to affect the biology of cancer; and as limited data are available regarding the MAO-A enzyme-specific role in CRC proliferation, tumorigenesis, metastasis, and prognosis, this research aims to establish such relationships and provide a mechanistic insight into the anticancer activity of MAO-A inhibitors by applying a comprehensive integrative informatics approach backed by both theoretical and experimental evidence.

#### **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**2.1. Computational Methods.** *2.1.1. Integrative Chemical Biology Informatics Workflow.* We applied an integrative informatics workflow to study the network pharmacology of MAO inhibitors based on the methods developed by Hajjo et al.<sup>[29](#page-12-0)−[32](#page-12-0)</sup> to formulate testable hypotheses regarding the putative anticancer mechanisms of MAO inhibitors in general and for clorgyline in particular. This workflow incorporates three major components: (1) a module for deriving gene signatures representative of the chemical compound's biological action; (2) a network-mining module to identify genetic perturbations that result in gene expression profiles resembling the compound's gene signature; and (3) a pathway enrichment module to elucidate the biological processes involved in the mechanism of action of the chemical compound in a specific condition.

*2.1.2. Gene Signatures for Clorgyline and Other MAO Inhibitors.* We examined the gene expression omnibus (GEO) for transcriptional studies conducted in response to treatment with MAO inhibitors and identified  $GSE17167^{33}$  $GSE17167^{33}$  $GSE17167^{33}$  transcriptomics data for clorgyline. Clorgyline gene expression profiles obtained from GSE17167 were derived in response to treating the primary cultures of human prostatic cancer cells E-CA-88 and E-CA-90 with clorgyline and all raw data files are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus  $(GEO)^{34}$  $(GEO)^{34}$  $(GEO)^{34}$  of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The prioritized gene signature GS for clorgyline consisted of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that passed our selection thresholds for fold changes and false discovery rates (FDRs). All DEGs in the gene signature had log<sub>2</sub>FC of  $\geq$ 2 or  $\leq$ -2, and FDR of  $\leq 0.05$ .

*2.1.3. Connectivity Map (CMap).* The CMap is a computational tool and a chemogenomics database that constitutes a cornerstone of the chemocentric informatics workflow developed by Hajjo et al. $29,30$  $29,30$  It lists 1.3 million profiles of

transcriptional responses of human cells to chemical and genetic perturbations. Now, there are 27927 perturbagens (19911 small molecules, and 7494 genetic perturbagens) generating 476251 expression signatures in 9 human cell lines: PC3, VCAP, A375, A459, HA1E, HCC515, HT29, MCF7, and HEPG2. This database uses the L1000 platform,  $35$  which is a high-throughput gene expression assay that assesses the mRNA transcript abundance of 978 "landmark" genes from human cells. The CMap approach enables researchers to identify biological similarities based on chemogenomic effects (*i*.*e*., the gene expression profiles in response to the treatment of cancer cell lines with chemical compounds). The CMap was searched using a gene signature for clorgyline prioritized from GSE17167 described in the previous section.

*2.1.4. Enrichment Analysis.* Pathway enrichment analyses were conducted in the Key Pathway Advisor<sup>[36](#page-13-0)</sup> to interpret the consequences of vaccine-induced differential gene expression on biological processes. The significance of the enrichment was assessed by calculating hypergeometric *p*-values 110. All ontology terms were ranked according to their calculated *p*values. Terms with *p*-values below the threshold of 0.05 were classified as statistically significant and relevant to the gene list under investigation.

2.1.5. *Causal Reasoning.* Causal Reasoning<sup>[37](#page-13-0)</sup> was used to identify upstream regulators (transcription factors, RNA molecules, kinases, phosphatases, and other proteins) that could explain the observed gene expression changes in transcriptomics experiments in response to treatment with clorgyline. We relied on MetaCore's<sup>[37](#page-13-0)</sup> database (MetaBase) as an interactions database, and the causal reasoning algorithm implemented in Clarivate's Key Pathway Advisor. Further details about this method were published previously.<sup>38</sup>

*2.1.6. Signaling Pathway Impact Analysis (SPIA).* The  $SPIA<sup>39</sup>$  $SPIA<sup>39</sup>$  $SPIA<sup>39</sup>$  was carried out to analyze the impact of DEGs in clorgyline's gene signature on the activity of the enriched pathways. This method helps in identifying the most biologically relevant pathways and candidate causal genes. In this study, we identified perturbed pathways in response to clorgyline treatment by conducting enrichment analyses on DEGs and the union gene list consisting of the experimentally derived DEGs and predicted key hubs (e.g., activated or inhibited proteins) using causal reasoning.

*2.1.7. Protein*−*Protein Interactions.* A systematic search for MAO-A nearest neighbor (NN) genes/proteins was conducted in Cytoscape, $40$  version 3.10.1, using the STRING<sup>[41](#page-13-0)</sup> protein query application. All retrieved protein−protein interactions (PPIs), including both physical and functional interactions, were retrieved, and then the Network building tools in Cytoscape were used to generate MAO-A's PPI network.

**2.2. Experimental Methods.** *2.2.1. Cell Lines and Cell Maintenance.* Colorectal cancer cell lines HCT116, Caco2, SW480, SW620, and HT-29 cells were all supplied by the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, USA). Fibroblast cells (dermal origin) were used as models for normal human tissue, also purchased from ATCC. Cells were all well maintained in DMEM high glucose culture medium with supplemented 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 0.1 mg/mL streptomycin. Cells were routinely cultured in  $75 \text{ cm}^2$  flasks and incubated into a humidified controlled temperature incubator set at 37 °C, 95% humidity, and 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

*2.2.2. Cell Viability Assay.* 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5 diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was implied to

evaluate cell proliferation as previously noted.<sup>[42](#page-13-0)</sup> All experiments were run in triplicate wells and were repeated at least two times independently. The percentage of the relative cell viability of treated cells versus the untreated cells (negative controls) was calculated using the following formula in eq 1 (cell viability calculation):

$$
cell viability(\%) = \frac{optical density of treated cells}{optical density of untreated cells} \times 100
$$
\n(1)

Optical density data is retrieved using the MTT assay.

*2.2.3. Drug Combination Assay with Doxorubicin.* CRC cell lines were seeded duplicates per group in 96-well plates, in accordance with factors explained in a previous table considering three time points 24, 48, and 72 h. To investigate the combined effect of MAOIs on doxorubicin, cells were treated with various concentrations of Clorgyline and doxorubicin, alone and in combination. The ratio of  $GI<sub>50</sub>$  for each drug alone guided the selection of the appropriate combination ratio. The combination ratio of Doxorubicin with Clorgyline was 1:100 with a doubling increment in concentrations (range from 0.0625:6.25 *μ*M - 4:400 *μ*M). After treatment time elapsed, an MTT assay was conducted to assess cell viability, as previously explained. The combination index (CI) was then calculated using CompuSyn software, which is based on Chou-Talalay's Combination Index Theorem, explained in eq 2.

$$
CI = \frac{(D)1}{(Dx)1} + \frac{(D)2}{(Dx)2}
$$
 (2)

where  $(Dx)1 =$  dose of drug 1 to produce 50% cell kill alone and  $(D)1 =$  dose of drug 1 to produce 50% cell kill in combination with  $(D)2. (Dx)2 =$  dose of drug 2 to produce 50% cell kill alone and  $(D)2 =$  dose of drug 2 to produce 50% cell kill in combination with (D)1.

*2.2.4. Migration Assay.* Human CRC cells (HCT116, and HT29) were seeded in inserts on a 24-well plate at a concentration of 20000 cells per insert side for HCT116 and 30000 cells per insert side for HT29 cells, each in 75 *μ*L of fresh complete medium and incubated at room temperature to reach 85−90% confluency for almost 24 h. Afterward, inserts were removed, and cells were incubated for 1 h with 10 *μ*g/mL of mitomycin C to pause cell proliferation, the medium was discarded and cells were washed with fresh media three times before treatment with around  $GI<sub>50</sub>$  concentrations of clorgyline. Images were captured at zero, and 24 h using an EVOS XL Core imaging system at 4× magnification. Digital images were analyzed for wound area using ImageJ software, ver.  $1.53e<sup>43</sup>$  $1.53e<sup>43</sup>$  $1.53e<sup>43</sup>$ 

*2.2.5. Annexin V-FITC/Propidium Iodide Apoptosis Assay.* Colorectal cancer cells were plated in 6-well plates at a seeding density of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells per well for HCT116 and  $4 \times 10^5$  cells per well for Caco2 cell lines, both in duplicates and to a final volume of 5 mL of media per well. Then it was allowed to attach for 24 h in the humidified controlled temperature incubator set at 37 °C, 95% humidity, and 5%  $CO_2$ . Afterward, cells were then treated with double  $GI_{50}$  concentration of clorgyline for 24 h, while doxorubicin was used as a positive control. Wells with only fresh full medium were used as the negative control. After 24 h of incubation with treatment, floating cells were collected in 5 mL flow tubes, and the adherent cells were harvested using 500 *μ*L of trypsin, both

floating and adherent cells were collected in the 5 mL flow tube and centrifuged according to the manufacturer protocol at 1400 rpm, 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and resuspension of the cell pellet was conducted in 500 *μ*L cold PBS and again centrifuged for washing any residual media. The pellets were resuspended in 200  $\mu$ L of 1× binding buffer per tube. Cells then were stained with 5 *μ*L Annexin V-FITC and allowed 5 min of incubation at room temperature, followed by the addition of propidium iodide (50 *μ*g/mL) to each tube and analyzed immediately using BD FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA). The analysis was performed using BD FACSDiva software.

*2.2.6. Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction.* Human CRC cells (HCT116) were seeded in a Petri dish at a density of  $3 \times 10^5$  cells per dish, while fibroblasts were seeded in a Petri dish at a density of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells per dish, and both allowed for incubation overnight to ensure adherence to confluency. HCT116 cells were treated around  $GI<sub>50</sub>$  of clorgyline for 24, and 48 h: both in duplicates. Fibroblasts were not treated and only used as a baseline for normal cells, while as well an untreated HCT116 control was accounted for. After each treatment time elapsed, the total RNA of cells was extracted and purified using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus kit according to manufacturer protocol, moreover, nanodrop was used to assess the purity and concentration of RNA produced. Afterward, the reverse-transcription reaction solution was carried out on ice by adding 2 *μ*L of 5× PrimeScript RT Master Mix, 500 ng of total RNA, and completed with RNase Free  $dH_2O$  up to 10  $\mu$ L. Afterward, a reverse-transcription reaction was performed at 37 °C for 15 min, followed by 85 °C for 5 s for reverse transcriptase heat inactivation and then at 4 °C to allow first-strand cDNA synthesis, which was also confirmed for purity and quantity using nanodrop. Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted using SYBR Green Realtime PCR Master Mix through a 7900 real-time PCR detection system (Applied Biosystems, USA). Primer sequences and their optimized annealing temperatures (Ta) are shown in Table 1

Using 20 *μ*L of sample volume per reaction, recommended thermal cycling included one initial denaturation cycle at 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, Ta °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The MAO elongation step was carried out at 72 °C for 55 s. Changes of expression were normalized

Table 1. Primers' Forward and Reverse Sequences with Their Optimized Annealing Temperature*<sup>a</sup>*

primer	primer sequence	Tа $^{\circ}$ C)
<b>MAOA</b>	forward. 5-GCCAAGATTCACTTCAGACCAGAG-3	59
	reverse: 5-TGCTCCTCACACCAGTTCTTCTC-3	
$Rcl-2$	forward. S-TTGTGGCCTTCTTTGAGTTCGGTG-3	59
	reverse: 5-GGTGCCGGTTCAGGTACTCAGTCA-3	
<b>VEGF</b>	forward: 5-CTACCTCCACCATGCCAAGT-3	59
	reverse: 5-GCAGTAGCTGCGCTGATAGA-3	
<b>GAPDH</b>	forward: 5-ACAACTTTGGTATCGTGGAAGG-3	58
	reverse: 5-GCCATCACGCCACAGTTTC-3	

*a* Ta: annealing temperature; MAOA: gene for monoamine oxidase-A enzyme; Bcl-2: gene for B-cell lymphoma 2; VEGF: gene for vascular endothelial growth factor; GAPDH: gene for glyceraldehyde 3 phosphate dehydrogenase.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1. Workflow for studying the systems biology effects of MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline. GS is the gene signature; CMap is the connectivity map.

against the GAPDH housekeeping gene using ΔΔCt method described in eq 3 ( $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method to assess relative fold difference in gene expression).<sup>4</sup>

$$
\Delta CTE = Ct_{(TC)} - Ct_{(HC)}\Delta Ct = \Delta CTE - \Delta CTC
$$
  
 
$$
\Delta CTC = Ct_{(TC)} - Ct_{(HC)} \text{ fold difference} = 2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}
$$
 (3)

where TE is gene being tested experimental, TC is gene being tested control, HE is housekeeping gene experimental, and HC is housekeeping gene control.  $\Delta$ Ct values for the experimental  $(\Delta CTE)$  and control  $(\Delta CTC)$  conditions, respectively.  $2(-\Delta \Delta Ct)$  is the expression fold change.

**2.3. Statistical Methods to Analyze Experimental Data.** Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Prism version 9.0.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California USA) and a statistical package for the social sciences software (IBM Corp. Released 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY, IBM Corp.). Differences between treatment groups were analyzed using independent sample *t* tests, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA as appropriate. Paired sample *t* tests were employed to evaluate cell viability at different time points. Data are presented as mean ± SD, with *p* < 0.05 considered statistically significant. A nonlinear regression analysis was used to calculate  $GI_{50}$  values. The combination index (CI) was calculated using CompuSyn software (Combosyn Inc., Paramus, NJ, USA), which is based on Chou-Talalay's Combination Index Theorem. The wound area was measured using ImageJ software, Ver  $1.53e^{43}$  $1.53e^{43}$  $1.53e^{43}$ 

## **3. RESULTS**

**3.1. Computational Results.** In this work, we relied on an informatics systems biology workflow to formulate testable hypotheses regarding the putative anticancer effects of MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline. This workflow relied on the methods developed by Hajjo et al.[29](#page-12-0)<sup>−</sup>[32](#page-12-0) and tweaked to study the systems biology effects of MAO-A inhibitors considering available transcriptomics data for MAO-A inhibitors, in general, and clorgyline, in particular, as shown in Figure 1.

*3.1.1. Clorgyline Gene Signature (GS).* The process of deriving a transcriptional gene signature (GS) for clorgyline was described in the [Materials](#page-1-0) and Methods section. The derived GS consisted of 304 DEGs (263 upregulated genes and 41 downregulated genes) that passed the filtration criteria of

log2FC of ≥2 or ≤−2 and FDR ≤ 0.05. This gene signature was then used to query chemogenomics and systems biology databases to identify chemical compounds and genetic perturbations that resemble the effects of chlorogene on gene transcription. Clorgyline's gene signature can be found in Supporting [Information,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100/suppl_file/ao4c04100_si_001.xlsx) Table 1.

*3.1.2. Similar Chemical and Genetic Perturbations Predicted from the CMap.* Clorgyline GS consisting of 307 DEGs was used to query the CMap to identify chemical compounds and genetic perturbations capable of inducing transcriptomic effects similar to those induced by clorgyline in treated cancer cell lines. Our results indicated that there is 41 positive connectivity (i.e., transcriptionally similar) compound hits to clorgyline with CMap scores ≥90%. The top ten scoring compound hits are shown in [Table](#page-4-0) 2 and six of them are apoptotic simulants. Mining the biomedical literature for potential links between compound hits and apoptosis indicated that all top 10 compound hits, with a positive connectivity score, are linked to apoptosis.

The CMap analysis also revealed genes that upon overexpression (oe) or knockdown (kd) led to transcriptional effects similar to those of clorgyline. The top 10 genetic positive connectivity perturbagens to clorgyline are shown in [Table](#page-5-0) 3. Further analysis indicated that all top 10 genetic perturbagens are implicated in apoptosis and/or cancer according to experimental evidence published in the biomedical literature.

*3.1.3. Upstream Causal Reasoning Hubs.* Upstream analysis was performed using the DEGs in clorgyline's GS. About 675 activated and 544 inactivated upstream regulators including transcription factors, kinases, phosphatases, and microRNAs (Supporting [Information,](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100/suppl_file/ao4c04100_si_001.xlsx) Table 2) were successfully predicted. All prioritized upstream regulators have prediction activities with  $p$ -values  $\leq$  0.05 and a calculation distance = 1−3. The prioritized upstream regulators have rank scores based on the number of differentially expressed genes that can be reached via the shortest paths and the correctness of the regulation. The accuracy of activity predictions is evaluated based on the activation and inhibition edges along the pathways and the alignment between the expected and observed directionality of fold changes in the DEGs. It is important to note that the calculation distance is a crucial parameter for distinguishing direct regulatory effects from indirect ones. For instance, a calculation distance of 1 indicates that the upstream regulator is directly one step from the

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>Table 2. Chemical Perturbagens with the Top Positive CMap Connectivity Scores to Clorgyline



"Score refers to the CMap score. It represents the level of similarity between transcriptional effects induced by clorgyline and each of the<br>compound perturbagens shown in the table. <sup>b</sup>Validation refers to the presence of predicted clorgyline mimics have anticancer effects.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 3. Genetic Perturbagens with the Top Positive CMap Connectivity Scores to Clorgyline



*a* Score refer to the CMap score. It represents the level of similarity between transcriptional effects induced by clorgyline and each of the compound perturbagens shown in the table. *<sup>b</sup>* Validation refers to the presence of any supporting evidence from the biomedical literature that the predicted positive gene connections to clorgyline have anticancer effects.

#### Table 4. Top 10 Causal Reasoning Hubs for Clorgyline's GS



# Table 5. Top 10 Pathway Maps Enriched with Clorgyline's DEGs



transcriptional event, signifying that the regulation is direct. Table 4 shows the top 10 causal reasoning hubs for clorgyline's DEGs.

*3.1.4. Downstream Pathway Enrichment.* Downstream enrichment analysis was performed using clorgyline's GS (i.e., DEGs) as a query to MetaCore and employing the enrichment analysis workflow. The top 10 highest confidence enriched pathways are shown in Table 5. Each pathway has three *p*values: (1) the *p*-value resulting from genes in clorgyline's GS (i.e., DEGs that passed the default setting for gene expression

and *p*-value), (2) the *p*-value resulting from predicted causal hubs, and (3) the union *p*-value resulting from genes in the GS plus causal hubs. Hypotheses are ranked based on their union *p*-values (Table 5).

Furthermore, we explored MAO-A's nearest neighbor protein−protein interactions network which was generated using Cytoscape<sup>40</sup> based on STRING interactions. The derived interaction network was then used as a query to perform enrichment analysis of network genes/proteins in Cytoscape. Results indicated that the genes/proteins in MAO-A's nearest

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 2. Pathway enrichment and genomic alterations for MAO-A and five colon cancer cell lines. (A) Pathways analysis for the MAO-A protein− protein interaction network. (B) MAO-A genomic alterations in cancer were determined using cBioPortal. (C) Affected cancer pathways were across five different colon cancer cell lines. The affected cancer pathways are shown on the *x*-axis. The number of affected genes in each pathway is shown on the *y*-axis. The NRF2 pathway is not shown because it was not perturbed in any of the analyzed colon cancer cell lines.

neighbor network are enriched in several cancer pathways including prostate cancer and proteoglycans in cancer.

Furthermore, several pathways pivotal to tumorigenesis and cancer progression were involved including MAPK signaling,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Table 6. Micromolar (μM) GI<sub>50</sub> Values for MAO-A Inhibitor Clorgyline in Five CRC Cell Lines at Three Incubation Times





Figure 3. (A) Time-dependent inhibition of HCT116, Caco2, SW480, SW620, and HT-29 colorectal cancer cells at 24, 48, and 72 h treatment duration with clorgyline. The data shown represent the  $GI_{50} \pm SD$ .  $GI_{50}$  was calculated by using Prism software. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated at least twice independently. *P*-value < 0.05 indicates statistical significance in comparison to GI<sub>50</sub> at 24 h treatment, while asterisk: ns (not significant) *P* > 0.05; \**P* ≤ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9). *μ*M: micromolar. (B) Effects of doxorubicin alone and in combination with MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline treatment in HCT116, Caco2, SW620, and HT-29 colorectal cancer cells. The data shown represent mean percentages of cell viability  $\pm$  SD. Each experiment was performed in duplicate in three independent trials  $(n = 6)$ . *P*-value < 0.05 expresses significantly different from respective doxorubicin treatment; asterisk: ns (not significant) *P* > 0.05; \**P*  $\leq$ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9). *μ*M: micromolar.

TNF signaling and RAS signaling pathways with different levels of alterations [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 2A,B).

*3.1.6. Comparison of Colon Cancer Cell Lines.* Five colon cancer cell lines maintained in our lab were compared based on the affected genes across 10 cancer pathways monitored by the cBioPortal project:<sup>78</sup> cell cycle, HIPPO, MYC, NOTCH, NRF2, PI3K, RTK-RAS, TGF-Beta, TP53, and WNT. The results of this analysis are shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 2C.



Table 7. Micromolar (μM) GI<sub>50</sub> Values for Doxorubicin Alone and in Combination with a MAO-A Inhibitor Clorgyline Treatment in Colorectal Cancer Cell Lines*<sup>a</sup>*

*3.1.7. Integrating Results and Hypothesis Generation.* CMap compound hits highlight apoptosis and cancer pathways. CMap gene hits highlighted cancer involvement. Causal reasoning gene/protein hits hubs involved in cancer and microRNAs in cancer. Enriched pathway maps highlighted microRNAs in CRC, WNT, DNA-damage, TGF-beta, WNT, ATM signaling, and GSK3-beta. MAO-A network biology highlighted MAPK, TNF, AGE-RAGE, toll-like receptor, Ctype lectin receptor, proteoglycans, cAMP signaling, neurotrophen, and RAS signaling.

**3.3. Experimental Results.** *3.3.1. Effects of MAO-A Inhibitor on the Viability of CRC Cell Lines.* Five human cancer cell lines (HCT116, Caco2, SW480, SW620, and HT-29) representing different molecular subtypes of CRC were used to investigate the effects of MAO-A inhibition by clorgyline on cellular viability and proliferation by using the MTT assay. Normal dermal fibroblasts were used as a control. All cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of clorgyline for 24, 48, and 72 h. Clorgyline has shown antiproliferative activity, as treated CRC cells had reduced cellular viability compared to untreated CRC cells.  $GI_{50}$  values, which describe 50% inhibitory concentration, for MAO-A inhibitors treatment against tested human CRC cell lines ranged from 66.9−256.6 *μ*M [\(Table](#page-7-0) 6). The analysis of the statistical significance is shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 3A. Moreover, clorgyline did not affect the viability of normal dermal fibroblasts at the same range of concentrations used against the human CRC cell lines.

Clorgyline's micromolar  $GI_{50}$  is reported in the table as (mean  $\pm$  SD). Experiments were conducted for treatment durations of 24, 48, and 72 h. All experiments were run in triplicate for at least three independent trials  $(n = 6)$ . SD: standard deviation; h: hour.

*3.3.2. Effects of MAO-A Inhibitor and Doxorubicin Combination on the Viability of CRC Cell Lines.* The anticancer effects of combining MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline and doxorubicin on CRC cancer cell lines were determined by treating HCT116, Caco2, SW480, SW620, and HT-29 cells with doxorubicin and clorgyline at a combination ratio of 1:100 (doxorubicin: clorgyline) for 24, 48, and 72 h. Results shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 3B indicated that this combination significantly decreased cell survival (i.e., in terms of  $GI<sub>50</sub>$  fold reduction) when compared with the respective doxorubicin single treatments. The combination doxorubicin-clorgyline has significantly reduced the  $GI_{50}$  of doxorubicin at 24 h for HCT116 (3.8 folds), Caco2 (110.4 folds), SW620 (8.5 folds), and HT29 (1.9-fold), as shown in Table 7. The combination index (CI) values for doxorubicin-clorgyline are listed in Table 8. Treatment with a combination of MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline with doxorubicin resulted in a synergistic effect  $(i.e., CI < 1)$  in all treated cell lines and at most tested times, as shown in Table 8.

Table 8. Combination Indices for Doxorubicin and MAO-A Inhibitor Clorgyline in CRC Cell Lines*<sup>a</sup>*

time $(h)$	HCT116	Caco <sub>2</sub>	SW620	HT29
24	0.73	0.86	0.80	0.94
48	0.94	0.51	0.98	0.91
72	0.89	0.68	0.67	1.05

*a* The data shown represent the combination index for different colorectal cancer cell lines and their respective mean  $\pm$  SD. Cells were cultured and allowed to attach overnight. The next day, cells were treated with different concentrations of Doxorubicin alone and in combination with clorgyline at a constant combination ratio (1:100) for 24, 48, and 72 h. After that, the cell viability was determined using the MTT assay. All experiments were performed in duplicates, in three independent trials  $(n = 6)$ . h: hour.

*3.3.3. Effects of MAO-A Inhibition on the Migration of CRC Cell Lines.* To assess the effects of MAO-A inhibition on the 2D migration of CRC cell lines, a wound healing assay was conducted using  $sub\text{-}GI_{50}$  clorgyline treatments on HCT116 and HT29 cells, which showed the best combination indices at all three tested times (24, 48, and 72 h). Complete or almost complete closure of wounds (i.e., total or partial closure) in the untreated samples was observed after 24 h as shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) [4](#page-9-0)A. In contrast, clorgyline treatment for 24 h led to inhibition of cell migration. Treatment with clorgyline resulted in approximately 70% inhibition of wound closure at *sub-*GI<sub>50</sub> concentrations for both cell lines used (HCT116 and HT29), as shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 4B.

*3.3.4. Effects of MAO-A Inhibition on Apoptosis of CRC Cell Lines.* The annexin V-FITC/PI double staining assay was performed to examine whether the cellular viability effects of clorgyline were mediated through apoptosis and/or necrosis. Our results indicated that treatment of HCT116 and Caco2 cells with clorgyline at double  $GI<sub>50</sub>$  concentrations led to a significant increase in early  $(Q4)$  and late  $(Q2)$  apoptosis in CRC cell lines as indicated by the  $Q2 + Q4$  regions of the dot plots shown in [Figure](#page-10-0) 5A. Clorgyline had significantly induced apoptosis in HCT116 (52.9%) and Caco2 (55%) cells compared to untreated controls of both cell lines (7.8% and 4.2%), respectively. A quantitative comparison of each cell phase (healthy, apoptotic, and necrotic) was performed and is shown in [Figure](#page-10-0) 5B.

*3.3.7. Clorgyline's Effects on Gene Expression in CRC Cell Lines.* The gene expression levels of MAO-A, Bcl-2, and VEGF (i.e., the most sensitive cell line after 48 h) were determined using qPCR in HCT116 and fibroblasts (i.e., control) with and without MAO-A inhibitor treatment. Our results indicated that MAO-A, Bcl-2, and VEGF were overexpressed in HCT116 relative to fibroblasts as shown in [Figure](#page-11-0) 6A,B corresponding to untreated cells and clorgyline-treated, respectively. *Sub-GI<sub>50</sub>* concentrations of clorgyline were used to determine the

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 4. Effects of MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline on cellular migration of HCT116 and HT29. (A) Wound pictures at 0 time and 24 h posttreatment captured at 10× magnification using EVOS XL Core imaging system. NA: not applicable; h: hour; *μ*M: micromolar. (B) Quantitative comparison of HCT116 and HT29 cells. Each experiment was performed in duplicate in three independent trials  $(n = 6)$ . *P*-value < 0.05 express significantly different from respective untreated condition; while asterisk: ns (not significant)  $P > 0.05$ ; \**P* ≤ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9). *μ*M: micromolar.

changes in gene expression in clorgyline-treated HCT116 cells, at 24 and 48 h, in comparison to untreated HCT116. [Figure](#page-11-0) [6](#page-11-0)B shows that clorgyline treatment resulted in slightly increased RNA levels of MAO-A and VEGF over time, while the expression level of Bcl-2 was reduced.

# **4. DISCUSSION**

The integration of computational results has brought to light several biological processes and pathways implicated in the mechanism of action of MAO-A inhibitors in cancer. An informatics approach centered on ligands, employing CMap, causal reasoning, and pathway enrichments, emphasized apoptosis and other cancer pathways. The top 10 CMap positive compound connections with clorgyline's GS primarily comprised apoptosis stimulators, underscoring the significance of apoptosis in the analysis. Upstream causal reasoning hubs, for clorgyline's GS, highlighted important proteins and microRNAs involved in cancer progression with validated roles in colorectal cancers. Downstream analysis results

indicated that clorgyline's GS is enriched in several cancer pathways including microRNAs in colorectal cancer, WNT signaling, DNA damage, and TGF-beta.

Furthermore, a protein/gene-based informatics approach focusing on the MAO-A nearest-neighbor protein−protein interactions network highlighted MAPK, TNF, IL-17, cMAP, and RAS signaling pathways. Additionally, proteoglycans in cancer were highlighted in this analysis. The integration of these computational results pointed to an anticancer therapeutic potential of MAO-A inhibition using small molecule inhibitors such as clorgyline.

Few reports have demonstrated the overexpression of MAO-A in cancer. Herein, we report an increased relative expression of MAO-A isoenzyme in the human CRC cell line, HCT116, compared to that of normal dermal fibroblasts. About a 3-fold increased expression was detected using RT-PCR in the HCT116 cell line. Our experimental results validated the predicted antiproliferative and apoptotic activities of clorgyline in colorectal cancer cell lines (HCT116, Caco2, SW480, SW620, and HT-29). Treatment of CRC cell lines with the MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline inhibited the growth of colorectal cancer cell lines in a time- and concentration-dependent manner in comparison to untreated cells. Furthermore, our results indicated that HCT116 cells exhibited the highest responsiveness to clorgyline among all tested CRC cell lines, while Caco2 cells were the least responsive.

The results have also demonstrated synergistic effects for the combination of MAO-A inhibitor clorgyline with doxorubicin in most cell lines. Doxorubicin is a cytotoxic drug that acts primarily through the inhibition of topoisomerase II and the generation of free radicals. Despite its limited use in colorectal cancer (CRC) treatments, due to reduced organ selectivity and/or increased tumor resistance, $^{79}$  doxorubicin remains a universally effective chemotherapeutic agent, extensively employed across various cancers, including lung, multiple myeloma, and thyroid cancers. Herein, we highlighted the advantage of combining MAO-A inhibitor with doxorubicin by amplifying the antiproliferative effects, thus enabling the administration of lower drug doses while preserving or enhancing therapeutic effectiveness. The combination index for clorgyline-doxorubicin, despite being majorly synergistic, fluctuated and was dependent on treatment duration, cell line type, combination ratio, and concentration range. These results suggest that the effects of combining MAO-A inhibitors with other anticancer drugs such as doxorubicin could be variable and may be affected by the cancer microenvironment and the combined anticancer agents and their mechanisms of action and/or resistance.

Interestingly, the results of the two-dimension model (wound healing, or scratch assay demonstrated that clorgyline inhibits wound closure in HCT116, and HT29 cell lines at sub- $GI<sub>50</sub>$  concentrations due to inhibiting cell migration. In HCT116 cells, clorgyline exhibited a pronounced antimigratory effect, indicating a probable concentration dependence, as evidenced by noticeable differences in migration inhibition between treatments of 100 *μ*M and 125 *μ*M under identical conditions. Interestingly, our antimigratory results of clorgyline against HCT116 cells are consistent with other cancer types previously reported such as the study of Satram-Maharaj, T. et al. using MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell line revealing how Clorgyline could inhibit the cancer cell proliferation and growth in anchorage-independent.<sup>[27](#page-12-0)</sup>

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 5. Apoptotic and necrotic effects of clorgyline on HCT116 and Caco2. (A) Dot plot for annexin V-FITC/PI staining expressing the effect of MAO-A inhibitor, clorgyline 400 μM for 24 h (double GI<sub>50</sub>) treatment on apoptosis of colorectal cancer cell lines, HCT116 and Caco2. Q3 shows healthy viable cells, Q1 necrotic cells, Q2 late apoptotic, and Q4 early apoptotic cells. The experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated in two independent trials (*n* = 4). h: hour; *μ*M: micromolar. (B) Percentages of healthy, apoptotic, and necrotic cells expressed as mean ± SD expressing the effect of MAO-A inhibitor, clorgyline 400 *μM* for 24 h (double GI<sub>50</sub>) treatment on apoptosis of colorectal cancer cell lines, HCT116 and Caco2. The experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated in two independent trials (*n* = 4). *P*-value < 0.05 express significantly different from respective untreated cells' status; while asterisk: ns (not-significant) *P* > 0.05; \**P* ≤ 0.05; \*\**P* ≤ 0.01; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9).

In contrast, clorgyline has significantly induced apoptosis in HCT116, and Caco2 CRC cell lines when compared with the respective untreated control cells, whereas necrosis was not affected. This result validated the computational hypothesis regarding the apoptotic effects of clorgyline.

The Wnt/*β*-catenin pathway can upregulate Bcl-2 expression by stabilizing *β*-catenin, which then translocates to the nucleus and acts as a transcriptional coactivator for TCF/LEF transcription factors that promote Bcl-2 gene expression.<sup>[80](#page-13-0)</sup> In addition, *β*-catenin, when stabilized and translocated to the nucleus, can also promote VEGF expression by binding to the

promoter regions of VEGF genes. This contributes to angiogenesis, a key process in tumor growth and metastasis. $81$ On the other hand, activation of RTK leads to downstream signaling through the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK pathway, which can enhance the transcription of Bcl-2 and VEGF. Additionally, the PI3K-Akt pathway, activated by RTKs, promotes Bcl-2 expression, by inhibiting pro-apoptotic factors and supporting cell survival, and increases VEGF expression by promoting the transcription and stability of HIF-1*α*, a key regulator of VEGF under hypoxic conditions.<sup>[82](#page-13-0),[83](#page-14-0)</sup>

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 6. (A) Baseline gene expression variation between HCT116 colorectal cancer cell line and normal human dermal fibroblast cell line. MAOA: gene for monoamine oxidase-A enzyme; Bcl-2: gene for Bcl-2; VEGF: gene for Vascular endothelial growth factor. Fold difference was expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and was measured using  $\Delta\Delta$ the Ct method explained in [eq](#page-3-0) 3. All experiments were run in duplicates and with two independent experiments. *P*-value <0.05 express significantly different from respective normal cells' status; while asterisk: ns (not-significant)  $P > 0.05$ ; \* $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9). (B) Effect of MAO-A inhibitor, Clorgyline, on multiple RNA expression of specific genes in the HCT116 colorectal cancer cell line. MAOA: the gene for monoamine oxidase-A enzyme; Bcl-2: the gene for Bcl-2; VEGF: the gene for Vascular endothelial growth factor; Fold difference expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and was measured using  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method explained in [eq](#page-3-0) 3. All experiments were run in duplicates and with two independent experiments. *P*-value <0.05 express significantly different from respective untreated cells' status; while asterisk: ns (not-significant)  $P > 0.05$ ;  $^{\ast}P \le 0.05$ ;  $^{\ast\ast}P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\**P* ≤ 0.001; \*\*\*\**P* ≤ 0.0001 (according to GraphPad prism 9). h: hour; *μ*M: micromolar.

This study involved an evaluation of the concentration- and time-dependent effects of the MAO-A inhibitor, clorgyline, on the expression of MAOA, Bcl-2, and VEGF genes using the HCT116 cell line. Clorgyline did not significantly affect MAOA gene expression at sub- $GI_{50}$  concentrations for both 24 and 48 h; however, a slight increase in MAOA expression was noted after 48 h of treatment, possibly due to positive feedback resulting from the irreversible inhibition of MAO-A enzyme activity by clorgyline. Additionally, there was a significant increase in VEGF gene expression over time, likely associated with the hypoxic stress environment of the cells and their stimulation of angiogenesis.<sup>84,[85](#page-14-0)</sup> This finding is consistent with a previous study where clorgyline-mediated suppression of MAO-A promoted tumor angiogenesis and invasion in a hypoxic breast cancer environment.<sup>8</sup>

The Bcl-2 gene, widely recognized as a key regulator of apoptosis, exhibited a consistent decrease in gene expression

clorgyline for 24 and 48 h. This decline in Bcl-2 expression aligns with its established role as an antiapoptotic oncogene, acting through various mechanisms.<sup>[87](#page-14-0),[88](#page-14-0)</sup> On the other hand, increased expression of the VEGF gene was observed over time following exposure to sub- $GI_{50}$  concentrations of clorgyline for 24 and 48 h. Further experimentation is warranted to understand the role of MAO-A inhibition in the regulation of VGEF expression in cancer.

over time following exposure to sub- $GI_{50}$  concentrations of

### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

This study applied an integrative informatics approach to prioritize a high-confidence hypothesis regarding the anticancer effects of MAO-A inhibition using the small molecule inhibitor clorgyline through inducing apoptosis in CRC cell lines. Our computational findings were then validated by in vitro experimental assays, which revealed the antiproliferative and antimigratory activities in addition to synergistic effects with other anticancer agents such as doxorubicin. Experimental validation results also revealed that clorgyline is an apoptosis inducer; pointing to a putative role of MAO-A inhibitors in commencing human colorectal cancer death by potentially mediating the induction of apoptosis, tumor hypoxia, and oxidative stress. Additionally, this study provides robust evidence of several relative gene expressions in human colorectal cancer compared with normal cells. Remarkably, this study offers compelling and consistent evidence highlighting the time-, concentration-, and cell-line-dependent activity of MAO-A inhibitors in colorectal cancer.

### ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100?goto=supporting-info)

Clorgyline's gene signature: Supplementary Table 1; Prediction of the upstream regulators including transcription factors, kinases, phosphatases, and microRNAs: Supplementary Table 2 [\(XLSX](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100/suppl_file/ao4c04100_si_001.xlsx))

#### ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding Author**

Sanaa K. Bardaweel − *Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-4823-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4823-0708) [0708;](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4823-0708) Email: [s.bardaweel@ju.edu.jo](mailto:s.bardaweel@ju.edu.jo)

#### **Authors**

- Husam Al-salamat − *Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan*
- Rima Hajjo − *Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman 11733, Jordan; Laboratory for Molecular Modeling, Division of Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Eshelman School of Pharmacy, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; Board Member, Jordan CDC, Amman 11183, Jordan*
- Dima Sabbah − *Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan, Amman* 11733, Jordan; Orcid.org/0000-0003-1428-5097

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Shriefa Almutairi − *Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c04100?ref=pdf)

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: S.B. and R.H.; Data curation: H.A. and S.A.; Formal analysis: S.B. and H.A.; Funding acquisition: S.B. and R.H.; Investigation: H.A. and D.S.; Methodology: H.A. and D.S.; Project administration: S.B.; Resources: S.B., D.S., and R.H.; Software: R.H.; Supervision: S.B.; Validation: S.B.; Writing - review and editing: S.B., R.H., D.S., S.A.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by a grant from the Deanship of Scientific Research at the University of Jordan Grant Number 2566. The computational studies were supported by a grant from the Deanship of Scientific Research at Al-Zaytoonah University of Jordan (Grant Number 2023-2022/17/50).

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge the L'Oréal-UNESCO For Women in Science Fellowship granted to S.B. in 2023, which provided crucial support for this research.

#### ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) International, W. C. R. F. Colorectal cancer statistics. 2022 (accessed 20−03−2024); Available from [https://www.wcrf.org/](https://www.wcrf.org/cancer-trends/colorectal-cancer-statistics/) [cancer-trends/colorectal-cancer-statistics/](https://www.wcrf.org/cancer-trends/colorectal-cancer-statistics/).

(2) Siegel, R. L.; et al. Colorectal cancer in the young: [epidemiology,](https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_279901) prevention, [management.](https://doi.org/10.1200/EDBK_279901) *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2020, *40*, e75−e88.

(3) Datta, C.; Dhabal, S.; Bhattacharjee, A. Monoamine Oxidase A (MAO-A): A Therapeutic Target in Lung Cancer. *Oxidoreductase*; IntechOpen, 2021.

(4) Garpenstrand, H.; et al. Platelet [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1007/s007020070075) oxidase activity is related to MAOB intron 13 [genotype.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s007020070075) *J. Neural Transm (Vienna)* 2000, *107* (5), 523−30.

(5) Kochersperger, L. M.; et al. [Assignment](https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.490160403) of genes for human monoamine oxidases A and B to the X [chromosome.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.490160403) *J. Neurosci Res.* 1986, *16* (4), 601−16.

(6) Walker, W. H.; et al. *The [Covalently-Bound](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1971.tb19690.x) Flavin of Hepatic Monoamine Oxidase: 2*. *[Identification](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1971.tb19690.x) and Properties of Cysteinyl [Riboflavin](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1971.tb19690.x)*. *European journal of biochemistry* 1971, *24* (2), 328−331.

(7) Billett, E. E. [Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-813X(03)00094-9) oxidase (MAO) in human peripheral [tissues.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-813X(03)00094-9) *Neurotoxicology (Park Forest South)* 2004, *25* (1−2), 139− 148.

(8) Ramsay, R. R. Monoamine oxidases: the [biochemistry](https://doi.org/10.2174/156802612805219978) of the proteins as targets in medicinal chemistry and drug [discovery.](https://doi.org/10.2174/156802612805219978) *Curr. Top Med. Chem.* 2012, *12* (20), 2189−2209.

(9) Marti, J. S.; et al. Molecular neuroanatomy of MAO-A and MAO-B. *Amine Oxidases and Their Impact on Neurobiology*; Springer; 1990, pp 49−53.

(10) Shulman, K. I.; Herrmann, N.; Walker, S. E. [Current](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-013-0097-3) place of [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-013-0097-3) oxidase inhibitors in the treatment of depression. *CNS drugs* 2013, *27* (10), 789−797.

(11) Campbell, I. C.; et al. [Localization](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00965519) of monoamine oxidases A and B in primate brains relative to [neuron-specific](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00965519) and non-neuronal [enolases.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00965519) *Neurochem. Res.* 1982, *7* (6), 657−666.

(12) Song, M.-S.; et al. An update on amine oxidase [inhibitors:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.02.001) [multifaceted](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2013.02.001) drugs. *Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology and biological psychiatry* 2013, *44*, 118−124.

(13) Kaludercic, N.; et al. [Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2013.12.032) oxidases as sources of [oxidants](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yjmcc.2013.12.032) in the heart. *Journal of molecular and cellular cardiology* 2014, *73*, 34−42.

(14) Deshwal, S.; et al. Emerging role of [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2017.04.003) oxidase as a therapeutic target for [cardiovascular](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2017.04.003) disease. *Current opinion in pharmacology* 2017, *33*, 64−69.

(15) Camell, C. D.; et al. [Inflammasome-driven](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24022) catecholamine catabolism in [macrophages](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24022) blunts lipolysis during ageing. *Nature* 2017, *550* (7674), 119.

(16) Wu, J. B.; et al. [Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI70982) oxidase A mediates prostate [tumorigenesis](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI70982) and cancer metastasis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2014, *124* (7), 2891−2908.

(17) Liao, C.-P.; et al. *Loss of MAOA in [epithelia](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0325-x) inhibits [adenocarcinoma](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0325-x) development*, *cell proliferation and cancer stem cells in [prostate](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0325-x)*. *Oncogene* 2018, *37* (38), 5175.

(18) Liu, F.; et al. Increased expression of [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7683) oxidase A is associated with epithelial to [mesenchymal](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7683) transition and clinicopathological features in [non-small](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2017.7683) cell lung cancer. *Oncology letters* 2018, *15* (3), 3245−3251.

(19) Ugun-Klusek, A.; et al. [Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2018.10.003) oxidase-A promotes protective autophagy in human SH-SY5Y [neuroblastoma](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2018.10.003) cells through Bcl-2 [phosphorylation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2018.10.003) *Redox Biology* 2019, *20*, 167−181.

(20) Biswas, P.; et al. Fatty acids and their lipid [mediators](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2022.106637) in the [induction](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2022.106637) of cellular apoptosis in cancer cells. *Prostaglandins & Other Lipid Mediators* 2022, *160*, No. 106637.

(21) Biswas, P.; et al. IL-13 and the [hydroperoxy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.12.103) fatty acid 13 (S) HpODE play crucial role in inducing an [apoptotic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.12.103) pathway in cancer cells involving [MAO-A/ROS/p53/p21](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.12.103) signaling axis. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* 2023, *195*, 309−328.

(22) Ayoup, M. S.; et al. *Halting colorectal cancer [metastasis](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113558) via novel dual nanomolar MMP-9/MAO-A [quinoxaline-based](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113558) inhibitors; design*, *synthesis*, *and [evaluation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113558)*. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2021, *222*, No. 113558.

(23) Martini, H.; Passos, J. F. Cellular [senescence:](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.16361) all roads lead to [mitochondria.](https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.16361) *FEBS Journal* 2023, *290* (5), 1186−1202.

(24) Lin, Y.-C.; et al. MAOA-a novel decision maker of [apoptosis](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46338) and autophagy in hormone refractory [neuroendocrine](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46338) prostate cancer [cells.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46338) *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 46338.

(25) Zhao, H.; et al. Inhibition of [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-0436.2007.00263.x) oxidase A promotes secretory [differentiation](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-0436.2007.00263.x) in basal prostatic epithelial cells. *Differentiation* 2008, *76* (7), 820−830.

(26) Shih, J. C. *[Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-018-1927-8) oxidase isoenzymes: genes*, *functions and targets for [behavior](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-018-1927-8) and cancer therapy*. *Journal of Neural Transmission* 2018, *125* (11), 1553−1566.

(27) Satram-Maharaj, T.; et al. The [monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.08.005) oxidase-A inhibitor clorgyline promotes a [mesenchymal-to-epithelial](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.08.005) transition in the [MDA-MB-231](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2014.08.005) breast cancer cell line. *Cellular signalling* 2014, *26* (12), 2621−2632.

(28) Li, P. C.; et al. [Monoamine](https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4944) oxidase A is highly expressed in classical Hodgkin [lymphoma.](https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4944) *Journal of pathology* 2017, *243* (2), 220−229.

(29) Hajjo, R.; et al. [Chemocentric](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm2011657?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) informatics approach to drug discovery: [identification](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm2011657?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and experimental validation of selective estrogen receptor modulators as ligands of [5-hydroxytryptamine-6](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm2011657?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) receptors and as potential cognition [enhancers.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm2011657?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Journal of medicinal chemistry* 2012, *55* (12), 5704−5719.

(30) Hajjo, R.; Sabbah, D. A.; Bardaweel, S. K. [Chemocentric](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c03597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) informatics analysis: [Dexamethasone](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c03597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) versus combination therapy for [COVID-19.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c03597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS omega* 2020, *5* (46), 29765−29779.

(31) Hajjo, R.; Sabbah, D. A.; Tropsha, A. [Analyzing](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11070743) the systems biology effects of [COVID-19](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11070743) mRNA vaccines to assess their safety and [putative](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11070743) side effects. *Pathogens* 2022, *11* (7), 743.

(32) Hajjo, R.; Tropsha, A. A Systems Biology [Workflow](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-020-02930-9) for Drug and Vaccine Repurposing: Identifying [Small-molecule](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-020-02930-9) BCG Mimics to Prevent [COVID-19](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-020-02930-9) Mortality. *Pharm. Res.* 2020, *37*, 212.

(33) Zhao, H.; Flamand, V.; Peehl, D. M. [Anti-oncogenic](https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-8794-2-55) and pro[differentiation](https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-8794-2-55) effects of clorgyline, a monoamine oxidase A inhibitor, on high grade [prostate](https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-8794-2-55) cancer cells. *BMC medical genomics* 2009, *2*, 1− 15.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(34) Edgar, R.; Domrachev, M.; Lash, A. E. Gene [Expression](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.207) Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and [hybridization](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.207) array data [repository.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.207) *Nucleic acids research* 2002, *30* (1), 207−210.

(35) Subramanian, A.; et al. *A next generation [connectivity](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049) map: L1000 [platform](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.049) and the first 1*,*000*,*000 profiles*. *Cell* 2017, *171* (6), 1437−1452.

(36) Website accessed 08−03−2024; Available from: [https://apps.](https://apps.clarivate.com/kpa/login) [clarivate.com/kpa/login.](https://apps.clarivate.com/kpa/login)

(37) Chindelevitch, L.; et al. Causal [reasoning](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts090) on biological networks: interpreting [transcriptional](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts090) changes. *Bioinformatics* 2012, *28* (8), 1114−1121.

(38) Hajjo, R.; et al. [Identifying](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-023-00719-6) a causal link between prolactin signaling pathways and COVID-19 [vaccine-induced](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-023-00719-6) menstrual [changes.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-023-00719-6) *npj Vaccines* 2023, *8* (1), 129.

(39) Tarca, A. L.; et al. A novel [signaling](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn577) pathway impact analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2009, *25* (1), 75−82.

(40) Shannon, P.; et al. Cytoscape: a software [environment](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303) for integrated models of [biomolecular](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303) interaction networks. *Genome research* 2003, *13* (11), 2498−2504.

(41) Franceschini, A.; et al. *STRING v9*. *1: [protein-protein](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1094) interaction networks*, *with increased coverage and [integration](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1094)*. *Nucleic acids research* 2012, *41* (D1), D808−D815.

(42) Bardaweel, S. K.; Tawaha, K. A.; Hudaib, M. M. [Antioxidant,](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-14-297) antimicrobial and [antiproliferative](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-14-297) activities of Anthemis palestina [essential](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-14-297) oil. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine* 2014, *14* (1), 297.

(43) Rasband, W. S. *ImageJ.* 1997, Bethesda, MD.

(44) Livak, K. J.; Schmittgen, T. D. [Analysis](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) of relative gene expression data using real-time [quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) PCR and the  $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ [method.](https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262) *methods* 2001, *25* (4), 402−408.

(45) Tanaka, H.; et al. Calyculin A induces apoptosis and [stimulates](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.31.2.389) [phosphorylation](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.31.2.389) of p65NF-kappaB in human osteoblastic osteosarcoma [MG63](https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.31.2.389) cells. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2007, *31* (2), 389−96.

(46) Furuya, S.; et al. *Calyculin A*, *protein [phosphatase](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(16)55642-8) inhibitor*, *enhances [capacitation](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-0282(16)55642-8) of human sperm*. *Fertil. Steril.* 1993, *59* (1), 216− 22.

(47) Martina, M.; et al. Zinc modulation of [bicuculline-sensitive](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb00738.x) and -insensitive GABA receptors in the developing rat [hippocampus.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.1996.tb00738.x) *Eur. J. Neurosci* 1996, *8* (10), 2168−76.

(48) Bello, N. T.; et al. High-fat [diet-induced](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/457047) alterations in the feeding suppression of low-dose nisoxetine, a selective [norepinephrine](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/457047) reuptake [inhibitor.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/457047) *J. Obes.* 2013, *2013*, No. 1.

(49) Lakatos, P.; et al. The PARP inhibitor PJ-34 [sensitizes](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2016.07.001) cells to [UVA-induced](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2016.07.001) phototoxicity by a PARP independent mechanism. *Mutat. Res.* 2016, *790*, 31−40.

(50) Bai, X. T.; et al. Small PARP [inhibitor](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-015-0217-2) PJ-34 induces cell cycle arrest and [apoptosis](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-015-0217-2) of adult T-cell leukemia cells. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 2015, *8*, 117.

(51) Champney, W. S.; Burdine, R. Azithromycin and [clarithromycin](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002849900290) inhibition of 50S ribosomal subunit formation in [Staphylococcus](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002849900290) [aureus](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002849900290) cells. *Curr. Microbiol.* 1998, *36* (2), 119−23.

(52) Hodge, S.; et al. [Azithromycin](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.06.00001506) increases phagocytosis of apoptotic bronchial epithelial cells by alveolar [macrophages.](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.06.00001506) *Eur. Respir. J.* 2006, *28* (3), 486−95.

(53) Abernethy, W. B.; et al. *Calcium entry [attenuates](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.107.5.1420) adenylyl cyclase activity*. *A possible mechanism for [calcium-induced](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.107.5.1420) catecholamine [resistance](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.107.5.1420)*. *Chest* 1995, *107* (5), 1420−5.

(54) Aedo, S. J.; Orman, M. A.; Brynildsen, M. P. [Stationary](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1506-7) phase persister formation in [Escherichia](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1506-7) coli can be suppressed by [piperacillin](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1506-7) and PBP3 inhibition. *BMC Microbiol.* 2019, *19* (1), 140.

(55) Jiang, S.; et al. Antibiotic drug [piperacillin](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjpp-2016-0679) induces neuron cell death through [mitochondrial](https://doi.org/10.1139/cjpp-2016-0679) dysfunction and oxidative damage. *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 2018, *96* (6), 562−568.

(56) Oliveira, R. S.; et al. Chemical and [Pharmacological](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12060396) Screening of Rhinella icterica (Spix 1824) Toad Parotoid [Secretion](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12060396) in Avian [Preparations.](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12060396) *Toxins (Basel)* 2020, *12* (6), 396.

(57) Reddy, D.; et al. [Anticancer](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25163596) and Antiviral Properties of Cardiac Glycosides: A Review to Explore the [Mechanism](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25163596) of Actions. *Molecules* 2020, *25* (16), 3596.

(58) Epe, B.; Woolley, P. *The binding of [6-demethylchlortetracycline](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1984.tb01771.x) to 70S*, *50S and 30S ribosomal particles: a [quantitative](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1984.tb01771.x) study by [fluorescence](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1984.tb01771.x) anisotropy*. *EMBO J.* 1984, *3* (1), 121−126.

(59) Lefranc, F.; et al. *[Narciclasine](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0932)*, *a plant growth modulator*, *activates Rho and stress fibers in [glioblastoma](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0932) cells*. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2009, *8* (7), 1739−1750.

(60) Yuan, Y.; et al. Narciclasine induces [autophagy-mediated](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-021-00537-3) apoptosis in gastric cancer cells through the [Akt/mTOR](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-021-00537-3) signaling [pathway.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-021-00537-3) *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2021, *22* (1), 1−11.

(61) Ide, T.; et al. *GAMT*, *a [p53-inducible](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.09.031) modulator of apoptosis*, *is critical for the [adaptive](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.09.031) response to nutrient stress*. *Mol. Cell* 2009, *36* (3), 379−92.

(62) Roy, N.; et al. PDX1 [dynamically](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.291021.116) regulates pancreatic ductal [adenocarcinoma](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.291021.116) initiation and maintenance. *Genes Dev.* 2016, *30* (24), 2669−2683.

(63) Keulers, T. G.; et al. *ATG12 deficiency results in [intracellular](https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2021.2008690) glutamine depletion*, *[abrogation](https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2021.2008690) of tumor hypoxia and a favorable [prognosis](https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2021.2008690) in cancer*. *Autophagy* 2022, *18* (8), 1898−1914.

(64) Yang, H. C.; et al. The Redox Role of G6PD in Cell [Growth,](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8091055) Cell Death, and [Cancer.](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8091055) *Cells* 2019, *8* (9), 1055.

(65) Elias, D.; Ditzel, H. J. Fyn is an [important](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2015.08.010) molecule in cancer [pathogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2015.08.010) and drug resistance. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2015, *100*, 250−4.

(66) Chen, R.; et al. Annexin-1 is an oncogene in [glioblastoma](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17458) and causes tumour immune escape through the indirect [upregulation](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17458) of [interleukin-8.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17458) *J. Cell. Mol. Med.,* 2022, *26* (15), 4343−4356.

(67) Li, Z.; et al. NRBP2 Functions as a Tumor [Suppressor](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.634026) and Inhibits [Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.634026) Transition in Breast Cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 2021, *11*, No. 634026.

(68) Zhu, R.; et al. TSPAN8 [promotes](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10739-3) cancer cell stemness via activation of sonic [Hedgehog](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10739-3) signaling. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1), 2863.

(69) Hryniuk, A.; et al. Cdx1 and Cdx2 [function](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.583823) as tumor [suppressors.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.583823) *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014, *289* (48), 33343−54.

(70) Shi, L.; et al. Clinical and Therapeutic [Implications](https://doi.org/10.21873/cgp.20005) of [Follistatin](https://doi.org/10.21873/cgp.20005) in Solid Tumours. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* 2016, *13* (6), 425−435.

(71) Song, J.; et al. The [deubiquitinase](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202051162) OTUD1 enhances iron transport and [potentiates](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202051162) host antitumor immunity. *EMBO reports* 2021, *22* (2), No. e51162.

(72) Zhou, J.; et al. [Knockdown](https://doi.org/10.3727/096504016X14567549091305) of long noncoding RNA GHET1 inhibits cell [proliferation](https://doi.org/10.3727/096504016X14567549091305) and invasion of colorectal cancer. *Oncology research* 2016, *23* (6), 303.

(73) Gao, Z.; et al. miR-24−3p promotes colon cancer [progression](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-0206-y) by [targeting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-0206-y) ING1. *Signal transduction and targeted therapy* 2020, *5* (1), 171.

(74) Wei, F.; et al. Ring finger protein 2 promotes [colorectal](https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.202396) cancer progression by [suppressing](https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.202396) early growth response 1. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2020, *12* (24), 26199.

(75) Peng, P. LncRNA PSMA3-AS1 promotes colorectal cancer cell migration and invasion via regulating miR-4429. *European Review for Medical & Pharmacological Sciences* 2020, *24* (22), na.

(76) Ji, Q.; et al. MALAT1 regulates the [transcriptional](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1598-x) and translational levels of [proto-oncogene](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1598-x) RUNX2 in colorectal cancer [metastasis.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-1598-x) *Cell death & disease* 2019, *10* (6), 378.

(77) Wang, N.; et al. STAT3 induces colorectal [carcinoma](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S158764) progression through a novel [miR-572-MOAP-1](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S158764) pathway. *OncoTargets and therapy* 2018, *Volume 11*, 3475−3484.

(78) Gao, J.; et al. [Integrative](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2004088) analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the [cBioPortal.](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2004088) *Science signaling* 2013, *6* (269), pl1−pl1.

(79) Carvalho, C.; et al. *[Doxorubicin:](https://doi.org/10.2174/092986709788803312) the good*, *the bad and the ugly [effect](https://doi.org/10.2174/092986709788803312)*. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2009, *16* (25), 3267−3285.

(80) Clevers, H. Wnt/*β*-catenin signaling in [development](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.018) and [disease.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.018) *Cell* 2006, *127* (3), 469−480.

(81) Polakis, P. Wnt [signaling](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a008052) in cancer. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* 2012, *4* (5), No. a008052.

(82) Semenza, G. L. [Targeting](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1187) HIF-1 for cancer therapy. *Nature reviews cancer* 2003, *3* (10), 721−732.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>(83) Downward, J. [Targeting](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc969) RAS signalling pathways in cancer [therapy.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc969) *Nature reviews cancer* 2003, *3* (1), 11−22.

(84) Ferrara, N. VEGF and the quest for tumour [angiogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc909) [factors.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc909) *Nature Reviews Cancer* 2002, *2* (10), 795−803.

(85) Carmeliet, P. VEGF as a key mediator of [angiogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1159/000088478) in [cancer.](https://doi.org/10.1159/000088478) *Oncology* 2005, *69* (Suppl. 3), 4−10.

(86) Bharti, R.; et al. Differential expression of [IL-6/IL-6R](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-018-0078-x) and MAO-A regulates [invasion/angiogenesis](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-018-0078-x) in breast cancer. *British journal of cancer* 2018, *118* (11), 1442−1452.

(87) Jiang, M.; Milner, J. Bcl-2 [constitutively](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.252603) suppresses p53 [dependent](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.252603) apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells. *Genes & development* 2003, *17* (7), 832−837.

(88) Tzifi, F.; et al. The role of BCL2 family of [apoptosis](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/524308) regulator proteins in acute and chronic [leukemias.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/524308) *Advances in hematology* 2012, *2012*, 1.