


VOLUME 31 NUMBER 3 July 2025

pISSN 2287-2728  
eISSN 2387-285X

# CLINICAL and MOLECULAR HEPATOLOGY

The forum for latest knowledge of hepatobiliary diseases

CHECK  
YOUR LIVER!



**MASLD risk stratification according to KASL algorithm**

Switching TDF to besifovir

DAA therapy for HCC patients

Baveno VII algorithm stratifies prognostic risk


FIB-4plus score for high-risk varix in compensated cirrhosis

# Switching to besifovir in patients with chronic hepatitis B receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: A randomized trial

Hyung Joon Yim<sup>1</sup>, Yeon Seok Seo<sup>2</sup>, Ji Hoon Kim<sup>3</sup>, Won Kim<sup>4</sup>, Young Kul Jung<sup>1</sup>, Jae Young Jang<sup>5</sup>, Sae Hwan Lee<sup>6</sup>, Yun Soo Kim<sup>7</sup>, Chang Wook Kim<sup>8</sup>, Hyoung Su Kim<sup>9</sup>, Jae-Jun Shim<sup>10</sup>, Eun-Young Cho<sup>11</sup>, In Hee Kim<sup>12</sup>, Byung Seok Lee<sup>13</sup>, Jeong-Hoon Lee<sup>14</sup>, Byung Seok Kim<sup>15</sup>, Jeong Won Jang<sup>16</sup>, Hyun Woong Lee<sup>17</sup>, Jung Hyun Kwon<sup>18</sup>, Moon Young Kim<sup>19</sup>, Do Seon Song<sup>20</sup>, Jung Gil Park<sup>21</sup>, Yoon Seok Lee<sup>7</sup>, Eileen L. Yoon<sup>22,23</sup>, Han Ah Lee<sup>24</sup>, Seong Hee Kang<sup>1</sup>, and Jin Mo Yang<sup>20</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Ansan Hospital, Ansan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Anam Hospital, Seoul; <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Guro Hospital, Seoul; <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul Metropolitan Government Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, Seoul; <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Seoul Hospital, Seoul; <sup>6</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Soonchunhyang University Cheonan Hospital, Cheonan; <sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Gachon University Gil Medical Center, Incheon; <sup>8</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, Uijeongbu; <sup>9</sup>Internal Medicine, Hallym University, Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital, Seoul; <sup>10</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Kyung Hee University Hospital, Seoul; <sup>11</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Wonkwang University Hospital, Iksan; <sup>12</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Jeonbuk National University Hospital, Jeonju; <sup>13</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon; <sup>14</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul; <sup>15</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Daegu Catholic University Medical Center, Daegu; <sup>16</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul St. Mary's Hospital, Seoul; <sup>17</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Gangnam Severance Hospital, Seoul; <sup>18</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, Incheon; <sup>19</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju Severance Christian Hospital, Wonju; <sup>20</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, St. Vincent's Hospital, Suwon; <sup>21</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yeungnam University Hospital, Daegu; <sup>22</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital, Seoul; <sup>23</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Hanyang University Seoul Hospital, Seoul; <sup>24</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

## Graphical Abstract



### Study Highlights

- During a long-term treatment with TDF, renal function and bone density decrease gradually.
- Switching TDF to BSV shows non-inferior antiviral efficacy to maintaining TDF in CHB patients receiving long-term TDF.
- The adverse effects of long-term TDF may be potentially reversible with improved renal function and bone density after switching to BSV.
- A significant decline in ALT levels and no antiviral resistance are noted after switching TDF to BSV although the change in ALT levels was clinically marginal.

**Background/Aims:** Besifovir (BSV) showed comparable antiviral activity and superior safety profiles to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) in treatment-naïve chronic hepatitis B (CHB). However, no data are available regarding the antiviral efficacy and safety of BSV in patients with CHB who switched from long-term TDF to BSV. This study aimed to evaluate the outcome of a 48-week BSV therapy in patients with CHB who switched from long-term TDF treatment.

**Methods:** In this non-inferiority trial, 153 CHB patients treated with TDF for  $\geq 48$  weeks who had hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL were randomized to receive either BSV 150 mg or TDF 300 mg for 48 weeks.

**Results:** The per-protocol analysis included 130 patients (BSV group, 64; TDF group, 66). The median duration of TDF use before enrollment was 4.14 years. After 48 weeks, 100.0% and 98.5% patients in the BSV and TDF groups, respectively, met the primary endpoint (HBV DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL), demonstrating the non-inferior antiviral efficacy of BSV to TDF (95% confidence interval  $-0.01$  to  $0.04$ ;  $P > 0.999$ ), with a predefined margin of  $-0.18$ . The mean percentage changes in estimated glomerular filtration rates were slightly better in the BSV group ( $1.67 \pm 11.73\%$ ) than in the TDF group ( $-1.24 \pm 11.02\%$ ). The BSV group showed a significant improvement in bone turnover biomarkers compared to the TDF group; accordingly, hip and spine bone mineral density increased in the BSV group.

**Conclusions:** In patients with CHB receiving long-term TDF, switching to BSV may improve renal and bone safety with non-inferior antiviral efficacy compared to that of maintaining TDF. (*Clin Mol Hepatol* 2025;31:810-822)

**Keywords:** Antiviral therapy; Hepatitis B; Bone mineral density; Nephrotoxicity; Sustained virologic response

### Corresponding author : Jin Mo Yang

Department of Internal Medicine, St. Vincent's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 93 Jungbu-daero, Paldal-gu, Suwon 16247, Korea  
Tel: +82-31-249-8211, Fax: +82-31-253-8898, E-mail: jmyangdr@catholic.ac.kr  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8339-4716>

### Hyung Joon Yim

Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Ansan Hospital, 123 Jeokgeum-ro, Danwon-gu, Ansan 15355, Korea  
Tel: +82-31-412-6565, Fax: +82-31-412-5582, E-mail: gudwns21@korea.ac.kr  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6036-2754>

**Editor:** Grace Lai-Hung Wong, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

**Received :** Sep. 19, 2024 / **Revised :** Nov. 27, 2024 / **Accepted :** Jan. 16, 2025

### Abbreviations:

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BSAP, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; BMD, bone mineral density; BSV, besifovir; CHB, chronic hepatitis; CI, confidence interval; CTX, C-type collagen sequence; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rates; FAS, full analysis set; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; INR, international normalized ratio; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease; mITT, modified intention-to-treat; NAs, nucleos(t)ide analogues; OC, osteocalcin; P1NP, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide; P/C ratio, protein to creatinine ratio; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PP, per-protocol; PPS, per-protocol set; PT, prothrombin time; SD, standard deviation; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; UACR, urine albumin to creatinine ratio; ULN, upper limit of normal

## INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, a common cause of chronic liver disease, is associated with the development of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>1</sup> Hence, the aim of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) treatment is to prevent complications related to the progression of underlying liver disease via suppression of viral replication, normalization of the aminotransferases, and hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg)/surface antigen (HBsAg) loss or seroconversion.<sup>2-5</sup> Of these, HBsAg loss is the optimal endpoint of treatment.

Currently, nucleos(t)ide analogues (NAs) are the mainstay of antiviral therapy; however, they produce HBsAg seroclearance in less than 1% of patients annually. Hence, most patients need long-term NA administration. Currently, the mean age and number of comorbidities are increasing in patients with CHB.<sup>6-8</sup> Therefore, minimizing adverse effects is highly important during prolonged NA therapies. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is the most widely used potent antiviral agent for the treatment of CHB without the emergence of antiviral resistance.<sup>9</sup> However, the estimated glomerular filtration rates (eGFR) and bone mineral density (BMD) may decrease gradually during long-term therapy.<sup>10-12</sup> Considering that patients with CHB increasingly have diverse comorbid diseases,<sup>6-8</sup> such drug-related adverse events may hamper the benefit of antiviral therapy for CHB.

Besifovir dipivoxil maleate (BSV), previously known as LB80380, is a prodrug of a nucleotide analog of guanosine monophosphate with potent antiviral activity against HBV replication.<sup>13</sup> A phase 3 trial showed that the antiviral efficacy of BSV over 48 weeks was comparable to that of TDF, with improved renal and bone safety.<sup>14,15</sup> After 48 weeks, all patients received BSV only. During the extended period of the BSV therapy up to 196 weeks, virologic response, defined as undetectable HBV DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay, increased over 90% in patients with CHB.<sup>16</sup> No antiviral resistance was noted during the treatment. Notably, the decrease in eGFR and BMD was improved in the patients who received TDF during the first year after switching to BSV.<sup>14</sup> Hence, reversibility of such adverse events was demonstrated in patients who received 48 weeks of TDF therapy.<sup>14</sup> However, most patients in real-world practice have been already treated with TDF for sev-

eral years, which suggests that improvement of renal and bone injury after switching to BSV should be evaluated further. More importantly, although BSV was comparable to TDF for achieving a virological response in treatment-naïve patients, maintenance of virological suppression should be confirmed in TDF-treated patients with CHB after the switching from TDF to BSV.

This study aimed to determine the efficacy and safety of switching from TDF to BSV in patients with CHB with suppressed viral replication.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study design

This randomized, open-label, active-controlled, non-inferiority phase 4 clinical trial was performed at 22 tertiary hospitals in South Korea. We compared the efficacy and safety of switching to BSV (Ildong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) with that of maintaining TDF (Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA, USA) after 48 weeks of randomization in patients with CHB exhibiting HBV DNA <20 IU/mL by TDF therapy. The patients were randomly assigned to either the BSV or TDF group in a ratio of 1:1 using a centralized interactive web response system. The random table was generated by a statistician using SAS (version 9.1.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and stratified according to HBeAg status. TDF was administered until randomization was performed. Patients assigned to the BSV switch group were administered BSV 150 mg with carnitine 660 mg (Ildong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.) as a supplement. Patients assigned to the TDF maintenance group continued to receive TDF 300 mg.

Using PASS (version 2015; NCSS LLC, Kaysville, UT, USA), we determined that 76 patients are needed in each group for demonstrating the non-inferiority of BSV to TDF in terms of virologic response (HBV DNA <20 IU/mL) at week 48, considering 80% of statistical power, 2.5% one-sided significance level, 18% of non-inferiority margin, and 10% of drop-out rate. The response rates were assumed as 0.95 for TDF and 0.91 for BSV based on previous clinical trials.<sup>14</sup>



## Subjects

The key inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) history of CHB or positive HBsAg for more than 6 months before screening, (2) TDF monotherapy for  $\geq 48$  weeks and TDF use at the time of clinical screening, and (3) HBV DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL.

Key exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) history of (peg) interferon treatment for CHB, (2) previous antiviral resistance during NA therapy, (3) any malignant tumors within 5 years before screening, or (4) eGFR less than 50 mL/min by Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD). Detailed enrollment criteria and monitoring procedures are described in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Methods, respectively.

Informed consent was obtained from all study participants, and the study protocol conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. Prior approval was granted by the Institutional Committee on Human Research at all sites (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04202536).

## Efficacy and safety measurements

The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients with HBV DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL at week 48. The secondary endpoints included the proportion of patients with undetectable HBV DNA at week 24 and normalization of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), HBeAg loss/seroconversion, and HBsAg loss/seroconversion at week 48. Changes in ALT levels, incidence of virological breakthrough and antiviral resistance mutations, and changes in the Fib-4 score during 48 weeks were also assessed.

Safety endpoints included incidence of any adverse events; Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) grade 3 adverse events; and changes in BMD, eGFR by MDRD, urine protein to creatinine ratio (P/C ratio), urine albumin to creatinine ratio (UACR), urine  $\beta 2$  microglobulin to creatinine ratio, bone turnover markers, and serum carnitine and vitamin D levels. The incidence of adverse events was collected through self-report by patients, laboratory tests, imaging studies, or medical chart review.

## Definitions and laboratory tests

Virologic response was defined as HBV DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL

by real-time PCR during antiviral therapy. Other definitions are described in the Supplementary Methods section.

Laboratory tests were performed at the central laboratory (GC Labs, Yongin, South Korea). HBV DNA was quantified using the COBAS AmpliPrep-COBAS TaqMan<sup>TM</sup> HBV test (v.2.0; Roche Diagnostics, Branchburg, NJ, USA). HBsAg, antibody to HBsAg (anti-HBs), HBeAg, and antibodies to HBeAg (anti-HBe) were assayed using qualitative chemiluminescence microparticle immunoassays (Abbott Diagnostics, Abbott Park, IL, USA). HBsAg levels were quantified using the Architect HBsAg QT assay (Abbott Diagnostics). Genotypic resistance tests were performed using direct sequencing. An antiviral resistance test was indicated in cases of virological breakthrough at any time point or HBV DNA  $> 69$  IU/mL at week 48. The Fib-4 score was calculated using the following formula: age (years)  $\times$  AST (U/L)/platelet count ( $\times 10^9$ /L)  $\times \sqrt{\text{ALT (U/L)}}$ . Other routine laboratory tests, such as biochemical, hematological, coagulation, and urinary tests, were performed according to standard methods. Urinary  $\beta 2$ -microglobulin levels were determined by chemiluminescence immunoassay using the IMMULITE<sup>TM</sup> 2000 Beta-2 Microglobulin test (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). BMD was measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, such as Lunar Prodigy Advance<sup>TM</sup> (GE Healthcare Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), according to the availability of each site. Bone turnover markers and serum carnitine and vitamin D levels were assayed in the central laboratory.

## Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using a per-protocol set (PPS) and full analysis set (FAS). The per-protocol (PP) analysis included only patients who had completed the treatment schedule according to the study protocol. Patients who discontinued study participation, showed poor adherence to taking study medicine ( $< 80\%$ ), or violated important protocols of the study (e.g., visit window  $\leq 2$  weeks) were excluded from the analysis. The FAS included all randomized patients who had taken the study medication at least once or more, and they were subjected to modified intention-to-treat (mITT) analysis if they fulfilled the enrollment criteria and maintained the consent to participating the study. The efficacy analysis was performed primarily based on PP analysis, and mITT using FAS was additionally performed. The safety analysis was performed using a safety dataset,

which included all available safety data for patients who had taken the study medication at least once or more. Categorical variables were analyzed using chi-square or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared between the groups using Student's *t*-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test, as appropriate. Proportions are reported as the percentage of the number of patients. Continuous variables with normal distribution are presented as the mean±standard deviation, and non-normal variables are presented as the median and interquartile ranges (Q1, Q3). Overall eGFR changes between the groups during the study period were compared using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used for the statistical analyses.  $P < 0.05$  were considered to indicate statistical significance.

## RESULTS

### Characteristics of the patients

Between December 2019 and June 2020, 179 patients


were screened and 153 were enrolled. Figure 1 presents the reasons for exclusion after screening. Seventy-six patients were randomized to the BSV group and seventy-seven were assigned to the TDF group. Sixty-nine and seventy patients completed 48 weeks of treatment, respectively; however, five and four patients, respectively, were excluded from the PPS because of protocol violation. Finally, 64 and 66 patients were included in the PP analysis, respectively.

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of patients in the PPS without intergroup differences. In the BSV and TDF groups, the mean prior-exposure durations to TDF before enrollment in the present study were 4.26 and 4.33 years, respectively, which are fairly long periods.

The baseline characteristics of patients in the FAS were similar to those in the PPS (Supplementary Table 2)

### Antiviral responses

In the PP analysis, the proportion of patients with a virological response (HBV DNA  $< 20$  IU/mL) at week 48, the primary endpoint, was 100.0% (64/64) and 98.5% (65/66) in the BSV and TDF groups, respectively ( $P > 0.999$ ), exhib-



**Figure 1.** Disposition of the study subjects. BSV, besifovir; HBV, hepatitis B virus; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. \*L-carnitine was co-administered with BSV.

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of the patients

Characteristic	Overall (n=130)	BSV (n=64)	TDF (n=66)	P-value
Mean age (yr)	49.9±9.8	50.8±9.6	49.1±10.0	0.304*
Male	84 (64.6)	43 (67.2)	41 (62.1)	0.546 <sup>†</sup>
HBeAg negative	75 (57.7)	37 (57.8)	38 (57.6)	0.978 <sup>†</sup>
Years positive for HBV	10.01±9.45	9.62±8.52	10.39±10.34	0.988 <sup>‡</sup>
Mean HBV (IU/mL)	10.1±0.5	10.0±0.4	10.1±0.7	0.585 <sup>‡</sup>
Mean ALT (U/L)	26.4±14.9	27.6±16.0	25.2±13.7	0.375 <sup>‡</sup>
ALT≤ULN (AASLD 2018 criteria)	105 (80.8)	50 (78.1)	55 (83.3)	0.451 <sup>†</sup>
Mean AST (U/L)	26.8±17.4	27.9±22.4	25.8±10.6	0.405 <sup>‡</sup>
Mean HBsAg (log <sub>10</sub> IU/mL)	3.21±0.77	3.14±0.89	3.28±0.63	0.736 <sup>‡</sup>
Median duration of prior TDF use (yr) (Q1, Q3)	4.14 (2.78, 5.83)	4.33 (2.91, 5.68)	3.85 (2.70, 6.12)	0.800 <sup>‡</sup>
FIB-4 score	1.43±0.73	1.39±0.74	1.48±0.72	0.357 <sup>‡</sup>
Liver cirrhosis	37 (28.5)	16 (25.0)	21 (31.8)	0.389 <sup>†</sup>
Mean albumin (g/dL)	4.72±0.27	4.72±0.26	4.72±0.28	0.793 <sup>‡</sup>
Mean hemoglobin (g/dL)	14.50±1.43	14.59±1.54	14.42±1.32	0.490 <sup>§</sup>
Mean platelet (10 <sup>3</sup> /μL)	210.7±66.4	222.3±70.0	199.4±61.2	0.049 <sup>§</sup>
Mean AFP (ng/mL)	2.71±1.20	2.85±1.41	2.58±0.94	0.493 <sup>‡</sup>
Median creatinine (mg/dL) (Q1, Q3)	0.88 (0.77, 0.98)	0.87 (0.77, 0.97)	0.90 (0.77, 0.98)	0.916*
Median eGFR (mL/min) (Q1, Q3)	84.0 (74.0, 95.0)	84.0 (72.5, 96.0)	84.5 (76.0, 94.0)	0.871 <sup>‡</sup>
Hip BMD				0.626 <sup>§</sup>
Normal (T-score≥−1.0)	85/112 (75.9)	42/55 (76.4)	43/57 (75.4)	
Osteopenia (−2.5<T-score<−1.0)	25/112 (22.3)	13/55 (23.6)	12/57 (21.1)	
Osteoporosis (T-score≤−2.5)	2/112 (1.8)	0/55 (0.0)	2/57 (3.5)	
Spine BMD				0.994 <sup>†</sup>
Normal (T-score≥−1.0)	87/130 (66.9)	43/64 (67.2)	44/66 (66.7)	
Osteopenia (−2.5<T-score<−1.0)	33/130 (25.4)	16/64 (25.0)	17/66 (25.8)	
Osteoporosis (T-score≤−2.5)	10/130 (7.7)	5/64 (7.8)	5/66 (7.6)	

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation, number (%), or median (Q1, Q3).


AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Disease; AFP, alpha-fetoprotein; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMD, bone mineral density; BSV, besifovir; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rates; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ULN, upper limit of normal.

\*Student's *t*-test, <sup>†</sup>Chi-square test, <sup>‡</sup>Wilcoxon rank-sum test, <sup>§</sup>Fisher's exact test.

iting a 1.5% difference between the groups (95% confidence interval [CI] for difference, −0.01 to 0.04). As the predefined non-inferiority margin (−0.18) was not included in the 95% CI, switching to BSV was considered non-inferior to continuing TDF for maintaining a virologic response (Fig. 2A). No virological breakthrough or antiviral resistance mutation was observed in either group. The mean

HBV DNA level at 48 weeks did not differ between the groups (Fig. 2B).

The HBeAg seroconversion rates at week 48 were 14.8% (4/27) and 10.7% (3/28) in the BSV and TDF groups, respectively (*P*=0.705), among the baseline HBeAg-positive subjects (Table 2). HBsAg loss and HBsAg to anti-HBs seroconversion occurred in only one patient in the BSV group



**Figure 2.** Comparison of treatment responses. (A) Proportion of virologic response (HBV DNA <20 IU/mL) at week 48. (B) Mean HBV DNA levels. (C) Proportion of biochemical response at week 48. (D) Changes in mean ALT levels. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BSV, besifovir; CI, confidence interval; HBV, hepatitis B virus; SD, standard deviation; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ULN, upper limit of normal. \*L-carnitine was co-administered with BSV.

**Table 2.** Serological responses in the per protocol at week 48

		BSV	TDF	P-value
HBeAg	Loss	4/27 (14.8)	3/28 (10.7)	0.705*
	Seroconversion	4/27 (14.8)	3/28 (10.7)	0.705*
HBsAg	Loss	1/63 (1.6)	0/66 (0.0)	0.488*
	Seroconversion	1/63 (1.6)	0/66 (0.0)	0.488*
	Mean change at week 48 (log <sub>10</sub> IU/mL)	-0.11±0.34	-0.05±0.08	0.455 <sup>†</sup>

Values are presented as number (%).

BSV, besifovir; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

\*Fisher's exact test, <sup>†</sup>Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

(1.6%) and none in the TDF group (0.0%) over 48 weeks ( $P=0.488$ ). Mean changes in HBsAg levels during 48 weeks were  $-0.11\pm0.34$  log<sub>10</sub> IU/mL ( $P<0.001$ , within the group) and  $-0.05\pm0.08$  log<sub>10</sub> IU/mL ( $P<0.001$ , within the group) in the BSV and TDF groups, respectively ( $P=0.455$ ,

between the groups).

The proportion of patients with normal ALT, according to the American Association for the Study of the Liver criteria, increased from 78.1% (50/64) to 82.8% (53/64) in the BSV group, while it remained unchanged at 83.3% (55/66) in the



**Table 3.** Adverse events during study

	BSV (n=76)	TDF (n=75)	Proportional difference (95% CI)*
Any adverse event	27 (35.5)	29 (38.7)	-3.14 (-18.54 to 12.26)
Study drug-related adverse events	7 (9.2)	4 (5.3)	3.88 (-4.38 to 12.13)
Grade 3 adverse events <sup>†</sup>	1 (1.3)	3 (4.0)	-2.68 (-7.81 to 2.44)
Diverticulitis	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	1.32 (-1.25 to 3.88)
Hepatocellular carcinoma <sup>‡</sup>	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Alveolar proteinosis <sup>‡</sup>	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Cartilage injury	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Meniscus injury	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Serious adverse event <sup>§</sup>	1 (1.3)	4 (5.3)	-4.02 (-9.71 to 1.68)
Study drug-related serious adverse event	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	1.32 (-1.25 to 3.89)
Premature study drug discontinuation due to adverse events	2 (2.6)	1 (1.3)	1.30 (-3.14 to 5.74)
Most common treatment-emergent adverse events <sup>  </sup>			
Nasopharyngitis	3 (3.9)	5 (6.7)	-2.72 (-9.86 to 4.42)
Osteoporosis	3 (3.9)	4 (5.3)	-1.39 (-8.10 to 5.32)
Hypertension	3 (3.9)	0 (0.0)	3.95 (-0.43 to 8.33)
Rhinitis	0 (0.0)	3 (4.0)	-4.00 (-8.43 to 0.43)
Dyspepsia	0 (0.0)	3 (4.0)	-4.00 (-8.43 to 0.43)
Grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities			
Grade 3 or 4 laboratory abnormalities in ≥1% of patients in either group	2 (2.6)	12 (16.0)	-13.37 (-22.41 to -4.32)
Potassium, grade 3, >6.0–7.0 mmol/L	1 (1.3)	5 (6.7)	-5.35 (-11.55 to 0.85)
PT (INR), grade 3, >2.5	0 (0.0)	2 (2.7)	-2.67 (-6.31 to 0.98)
ANC, grade 3, <1,000/mm <sup>3</sup>	0 (0.0)	3 (4.0)	-4.00 (-8.43 to 0.43)
Creatine phosphokinase, grade 3, >5 to 10×upper limit of normal	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Uric acid, grade 3, >ULN with physiologic consequences	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Sodium, grade 3, 120–124 mmol/L <sup>‡</sup>	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Sodium, grade 4, <120 mmol/L <sup>‡</sup>	0 (0.0)	1 (1.3)	-1.33 (-3.93 to 1.26)
Triglycerides, grade 3, >500–1,000 mg/dL	1 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	1.32 (-1.25 to 3.88)

Values are presented as number (%).

ANC, absolute neutrophil count; BSV, besifovir; CI, confidence interval; INR, international normalized ratio; PT, prothrombin time; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; ULN, upper limit of normal.

\*Normal approximation for the difference between two proportions.

<sup>†</sup>No grade 4 adverse events.

<sup>‡</sup>These events occurred in the same patient.

<sup>§</sup>One patient receiving besifovir dipivoxil maleate experienced the following serious adverse event: diverticulitis. Three patients receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate experienced the following serious adverse events: hepatocellular carcinoma, pulmonary tuberculoma, ligament sprain, cholelithiasis, cartilage injury, and meniscus injury.

<sup>||</sup> Diverse events occurring in at least 3% of patients in any arm.

TDF group over 48 weeks (Fig. 2C). The mean ALT reduction (-3.44 U/L,  $P<0.001$ , within the group) was significantly greater in the BSV group compared to that (-0.48 U/L,

$P=0.371$ , within the group) in the TDF group during 48 weeks ( $P=0.027$ , between the groups) (Fig. 2D). Biochemical breakthrough was observed in two and three patients in

each group, respectively; however, none of them discontinued the study medications. Fib-4 score decreased from the baseline to week 48 in both groups without an intergroup difference ( $-0.10 \pm 0.45$  and  $-0.04 \pm 0.44$ , respectively,  $P=0.451$ ).

Antiviral efficacy results from mITT analyses using FAS were consistent with PP analysis (Supplementary Table 3)

## Adverse events

Both groups showed similar rates of adverse events (27 patients, 35.5%, BSV group; 29 patients, 38.7%, TDF group) over 48 weeks (Table 3). In the BSV and TDF groups, grade 3–4 adverse events occurred in one and three patients, respectively, and a serious adverse event in one and four patients, respectively; none of them were related to the study medication. One patient in the TDF group developed hepatocellular carcinoma.

## Renal and bone safety

The mean percentage changes in eGFR during 48 weeks were persistently higher in the BSV group than in the TDF group, as analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA ( $P=0.009$ ) (Fig. 3A). The urine P/C ratio and UACR did not change in the BSV group; however, they were significantly increased in the TDF group. The median changes in urine P/C ratio were significantly different between the groups ( $-1.48$  vs.  $13.20$ , respectively,  $P=0.027$ ), whereas median changes in UACR were not ( $14.73$  vs.  $22.13$ , respectively,  $P=0.548$ ). The urine  $\beta 2$ -microglobulin-to-creatinine ratio significantly decreased in the BSV group compared to that in the TDF group ( $-7.89$  vs.  $11.85$ , respectively,  $P=0.003$ ) over 48 weeks (Fig. 3B).

The hip and spine BMD increased after the switch to BSV (Fig. 4A, 4B). The hip %BMD changes were  $+0.36$  (BSV) and  $-0.70$  (TDF) at week 48 ( $P=0.039$ ). The spine %BMD changes were  $+1.89$  (BSV) and  $+0.28$  (TDF) at week 48 ( $P=0.172$ ).

After the switch to BSV, the BSV group showed greater improvement in bone turnover markers than the TDF group (Fig. 4C). The C-type collagen sequence, which is a bone-resorption marker, significantly decreased in the BSV group but increased in the TDF group (median percentage changes;  $-17.99$  vs.  $9.15$ , respectively,  $P<0.001$ ). The fol-


lowing bone-formation markers significantly decreased in the BSV group but not in the TDF group, as indicated by their median percentage changes: bone-specific alkaline phosphatase ( $-16.33$  vs.  $-1.59$ , respectively,  $P=0.001$ ), procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide ( $-22.07$  vs.  $1.08$ , respectively,  $P<0.001$ ), and osteocalcin ( $-13.85$  vs.  $2.90$ , respectively,  $P<0.001$ ). The mean serum carnitine and vitamin D levels did not significantly change in either group.

## DISCUSSION



We have previously demonstrated the efficacy and safety of BSV in phase 2 and 3 trials in treatment naïve patients with CHB.<sup>14,17</sup> Herein, we report results from a phase 4 clinical trial in patients treated with TDF for several years. The mean duration of the prior treatment was 4.3 years. The antiviral efficacy of switching to BSV was not inferior to that of maintaining TDF therapy in patients who had been treated with TDF. Interestingly, the mean ALT level decreased more in the BSV-switch group than in the TDF-maintenance group. Although the mechanism is unclear, a similar finding was observed in a phase 3 trial comparing tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) and TDF. Additionally, in a previous study on histologic changes after BSV and TDF treatment, patients in the BSV group showed better improvement of necroinflammation than those in the TDF group, which may be attributed to ALT changes, as observed in the present study.<sup>18</sup> Regarding renal and bone safety, although renal damage or decrease in BMD could have worsened during long-term TDF administration, the BSV-switch group showed a significant improvement in eGFR and spine BMD compared with the TDF-maintenance group. This result suggests that the renal and bone damage caused by TDF may be potentially reversible despite the relatively long-term exposure to the drug.

In the earlier phase study, administration of BSV was associated with a decrease in serum L-carnitine levels.<sup>17</sup> Although clinical symptoms of L-carnitine deficiency were not observed in the previous trials, supplementation of L-carnitine was recommended during the treatment with BSV for CHB.

As L-carnitine is a naturally occurring substance that is required to generate adenosine triphosphate, it contains antioxidant effects and protects numerous tissues from oxidative stress including the liver, kidney, heart, bone, and



**Figure 3.** Comparison of renal safety. (A) Mean percentage changes in eGFR through 48 weeks. Repeated measures ANOVA. (B) Changes in urine biomarkers for renal injury. BSV, besifovir; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rates; SD, standard deviation; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; UACR, urine albumin to creatinine ratio; UPCR, urine P/C ratio or urine protein to creatinine ratio. \*L-carnitine was co-administered with BSV.



**Figure 4.** Comparison of bone safety. (A) Changes in bone mineral density of the hip over 48 weeks. (B) Changes in bone mineral density of the spine over 48 weeks. (C) Changes in bone turnover markers over 48 weeks. \*L-carnitine was co-administered with BSV. BSAP, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; BSV, besifovir; CTX, C-type collagen sequence; OC, osteocalcin; P1NP, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

muscles.<sup>19,20</sup> So, L-carnitine may have influenced improved renal function and bone mineral density in the BSV group.

Renal tubular damage has been suggested to be the main cause of renal function decline in patients receiving TDF.<sup>10,12</sup> Occasionally, short-term use of TDF may cause Fanconi's syndrome and nephrotic syndrome.<sup>21</sup> In addition, a recent animal study showed an increase in proportion of pyknotic epithelial cells and acidophilic cytoplasm in renal tubules and congestion and hemorrhage with increasing dose and duration of TDF administration; urinary protein and albumin and serum creatinine increased with renal tubular damage and dysfunction.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, caution should be exerted on changes in renal function during TDF therapy.

In the present study, switching from TDF to BSV was associated with improved e-GFR, probably due to less induction of the tubulopathic effect of BSV. Indeed, in previous *in vivo* studies, the renal uptake of BSV was only one-third that of TDF, while the hepatic uptake of BSV was twice that of TDF. Additionally, the active metabolite of BSV has been shown to be associated with less systemic exposure and a high hepatic concentration.<sup>14</sup> This could be attributed to the improved renal safety of BSV in this study. However, the improvement of eGFR in the BSV group was marginal with a converging tendency over time. Hence, longer-term study is needed to confirm that the benefit of BSV can be maintained over time.

In this study, we further evaluated renal functional abnormalities using the urine P/C ratio, UACR, and urine  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin-to-creatinine ratio. These are useful markers of kidney damage, and all markers showed lower levels in the BSV group than in the TDF group. In particular, the urine  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin-to-creatinine ratio, which represents renal tubular damage,<sup>23</sup> significantly decreased in the BSV group; this finding suggests recovery from the TDF-induced tubular injury. In addition, the urine P/C ratio decreased significantly in the BSV group compared to that in the TDF group, while the UACR did not significantly differ between the groups. This finding suggests that non-albumin proteinuria, which predominantly increases in tubulointerstitial kidney disease, improved after the switch from TDF to BSV.

The mechanism of TDF-induced bone loss is multifactorial. TDF produces renal tubular toxicity, inducing excessive renal phosphate wasting and osteomalacia, which leads to a decrease in BMD and an increase in bone turnover marker levels.<sup>24</sup> Additionally, its direct effect on bone

metabolism may be associated with bone loss. TDF has been reported to negatively modulate the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway, which is essential for osteoblast differentiation and osteogenesis, thereby leading to decreased bone formation.<sup>25</sup> Hence, monitoring bone homeostasis with bone turnover markers would provide information regarding fracture risk and need for therapies against osteopenia/osteoporosis.<sup>26</sup> In this study, we assessed the BMD and bone turnover markers during BSV and TDF treatment. BMD improved, and bone turnover markers representing bone resorption and bone formation decreased significantly in the BSV group compared to in the TDF group. During treatment with anti-osteoporosis therapies such as bisphosphonate, levels of bone resorption markers including C-type collagen sequence fall in the first 3 months, following which they decrease over the next 6–12 months.<sup>27</sup> Among the bone formation markers, alkaline phosphatase is the earliest marker of bone turnover, while osteocalcin is a late marker of bone formation.<sup>27</sup> All these markers significantly decreased during 48 weeks after switching TDF to BSV.

Recently, TAF, a newly developed oral phosphoramidate prodrug of tenofovir, exhibited greater stability in the plasma than TDF.<sup>28,29</sup> As TAF can provide a high intracellular concentration of tenofovir diphosphate, an active metabolite in the hepatocytes, only a 25 mg dose was approved for CHB treatment showing non-inferior antiviral efficacy and better safety compared with TDF 300 mg.<sup>28,29</sup> More recently, a non-inferiority randomized trial compared a switch to TAF and TDF continuation in TDF-treated virologically suppressed patients with CHB.<sup>30</sup> The results were similar to those of the present study, showing non-inferior antiviral efficacy and improved renal and bone safety of TAF compared to that of TDF. To date, no data has compared the efficacy or safety of BSV and TAF in patients with CHB administered TDF. However, we previously performed a retrospective analysis to compare the two drugs in treatment-naïve patients with CHB; the clinical outcomes, including the virological response and incidence of liver-related complications, were not significantly different.<sup>31</sup> As these two drugs exhibit similar antiviral activity and safety, they are expected to serve as successors to TDF in the near future.

This study has several limitations. First, the number of patients was not sufficiently large. The number of patients required was calculated on the basis of the primary end point. Hence, we were able to demonstrate the non-inferi-

ority of BSV to TDF; however, the results of the secondary endpoints, such as changes in spine BMD, were slightly suboptimal. Second, the follow-up duration of this study was relatively short. However, we found significant changes in renal and bone health indices and maintenance of virological response after the switch from TDF to BSV. To extend the observation period, we are performing additional follow-up for up to 96 weeks after switching therapies according to the clinical situation. Third, although the mean ALT reduction was significantly greater in the BSV group compared to that in the TDF group during 48 weeks, the improvement was clinically marginal considering the initial levels were slightly higher in the BSV group.

To summarize, we demonstrated the non-inferior antiviral efficacy of switching to BSV compared to that of maintaining TDF in virologically suppressed CHB patients using TDF. The mean duration of previous TDF therapy was over 4 years, which is a substantially long-term duration. The adverse effects of TDF were readily reversible with improved eGFR and BMD after switching to BSV, which was supported by significant changes in the various markers of renal injury and bone turnover. Ancillary findings included a decline in ALT levels, no incidence of antiviral resistance, and HBsAg loss in the BSV group. No significant changes were noted in the lipid profile, serum carnitine level, or vitamin D levels.

In conclusion, switching to BSV is effective and safe for patients with CHB receiving long-term TDF.

### Authors' contribution

Yang JM and Yim HJ conceived, designed, and supervised the study. Yang JM and Yim HJ raised the research funds for the study. Yim HJ wrote and critically revised the manuscript. All other authors contributed to data acquisition and revision of the manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Ildong Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. This sponsor had a partial role in study design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data. This work was also supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (RS-2023-00217123).

The authors are grateful to clinical research associates at CMIC Korea and members of the clinical intelligence team

at Ildong Pharmaceutical company for assisting in data collection and analysis.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available at Clinical and Molecular Hepatology website (<http://www.e-cmh.org>).

### REFERENCES

1. Yim HJ, Lok AS. Natural history of chronic hepatitis B virus infection: what we knew in 1981 and what we know in 2005. *Hepatology* 2006;43(2 Suppl 1):S173-S181.
2. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol* 2017;67:370-398.
3. Korean Association for the Study of the Liver (KASL). KASL clinical practice guidelines for management of chronic hepatitis B. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2019;25:93-159.
4. Sarin SK, Kumar M, Lau GK, Abbas Z, Chan HL, Chen CJ, et al. Asian-Pacific clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis B: a 2015 update. *Hepatol Int* 2016;10:1-98.
5. Terrault NA, Lok ASF, McMahon BJ, Chang KM, Hwang JP, Jonas MM, et al. Update on prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of chronic hepatitis B: AASLD 2018 hepatitis B guidance. *Hepatology* 2018;67:1560-1599.
6. Oh H, Jun DW, Lee IH, Ahn HJ, Kim BO, Jung S, et al. Increasing comorbidities in a South Korea insured population-based cohort of patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020;52:371-381.
7. Tseng CH, Hsu YC, Ho HJ, Nguyen MH, Wu CY. Increasing age and nonliver comorbidities in patients with chronic hepatitis B in Taiwan: a nationwide population-based analysis. *Dig Dis* 2021;39:266-274.
8. Wong GL, Wong VW, Yuen BW, Tse YK, Luk HW, Yip TC, et al. An aging population of chronic hepatitis B with increasing comorbidities: a territory-wide study from 2000 to 2017. *Hepatology* 2020;71:444-455.
9. Marcellin P, Wong DK, Sievert W, Buggisch P, Petersen J, Flisiak R, et al. Ten-year efficacy and safety of tenofovir diso-



- proxil fumarate treatment for chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Liver Int* 2019;39:1868-1875.
10. Gara N, Zhao X, Collins MT, Chong WH, Kleiner DE, Jake Liang T, et al. Renal tubular dysfunction during long-term ad-efovir or tenofovir therapy in chronic hepatitis B. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2012;35:1317-1325.
11. Huang PY, Chiu SY, Chang KC, Tseng PL, Yen YH, Tsai MC, et al. A novel evidence of serial changes of bone mineral density in chronic hepatitis B patients treated with entecavir. *Hepatol Int* 2021;15:310-317.
12. Sobhonslidsuk A, Wanichanuwat J, Numthavaj P, Sophonsritsuk A, Petraksa S, Pugasub A, et al. Nucleotide analogue-related proximal renal tubular dysfunction during long-term treatment of chronic hepatitis B: a cross-sectional study. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2016;2016:2952635.
13. Yuen MF, Kim J, Kim CR, Ngai V, Yuen JC, Min C, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled, dose-finding study of oral LB80380 in HBeAg-positive patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Antivir Ther* 2006;11:977-983.
14. Ahn SH, Kim W, Jung YK, Yang JM, Jang JY, Kweon YO, et al. Efficacy and safety of besifovir dipivoxil maleate compared with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in treatment of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019;17:1850-1859.e4.
15. Yim HJ, Kim W, Ahn SH, Yang JM, Jang JY, Kweon YO, et al. Besifovir dipivoxil maleate 144-week treatment of chronic hepatitis B: an open-label extensional study of a phase 3 trial. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020;115:1217-1225.
16. Song DS, Kim W, Ahn SH, Yim HJ, Jang JY, Kweon YO, et al. Continuing besifovir dipivoxil maleate versus switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for treatment of chronic hepatitis B: results of 192-week phase 3 trial. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2021;27:346-359.
17. Lai CL, Ahn SH, Lee KS, Um SH, Cho M, Yoon SK, et al. Phase IIb multicentred randomised trial of besifovir (LB80380) versus entecavir in Asian patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gut* 2014;63:996-1004.
18. Yim HJ, Kim W, Ahn SH, Jung YK, Um SH, Sohn JH, et al. Besifovir therapy improves hepatic histology and reduces covalently closed circular DNA in chronic hepatitis B patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022;37:378-386.
19. Al-Dhuayan IS. Biomedical role of L-carnitine in several organ systems, cellular tissues, and COVID-19. *Braz J Biol* 2023;82:e267633.
20. Hooshmand S, Balakrishnan A, Clark RM, Owen KQ, Koo SI, Arjmandi BH. Dietary L-carnitine supplementation improves bone mineral density by suppressing bone turnover in aged ovariectomized rats. *Phytomedicine* 2008;15:595-601.
21. Hwang HS, Park CW, Song MJ. Tenofovir-associated Fanconi syndrome and nephrotic syndrome in a patient with chronic hepatitis B mono-infection. *Hepatology* 2015;62:1318-1320.
22. Jang E, Lee JK, Inn KS, Chung EK, Lee KT, Lee JH. Renal dysfunction and tubulopathy induced by high-dose tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in C57BL/6 mice. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2020;8:417.
23. Zeng X, Hossain D, Bostwick DG, Herrera GA, Zhang PL. Urinary  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin is a good indicator of proximal tubule injury: a correlative study with renal biopsies. *J Biomark* 2014;2014:492838.
24. Iatan I, Lee TC, McDonald EG. Tenofovir-induced osteomalacia with hypophosphataemia. *BMJ Case Rep* 2021;14:e240387.
25. Kim JH, Liu X, Wang J, Chen X, Zhang H, Kim SH, et al. Wnt signaling in bone formation and its therapeutic potential for bone diseases. *Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis* 2013;5:13-31.
26. Jain S. Role of bone turnover markers in osteoporosis therapy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am* 2021;50:223-237.
27. Coates P. Bone turnover markers. *Aust Fam Physician* 2013;42:285-287.
28. Buti M, Gane E, Seto WK, Chan HL, Chuang WL, Stepanova T, et al.; GS-US-320-0108 Investigators. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;1:196-206.
29. Chan HL, Fung S, Seto WK, Chuang WL, Chen CY, Kim HJ, et al.; GS-US-320-0110 Investigators. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B virus infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;1:185-195.
30. Lampertico P, Buti M, Fung S, Ahn SH, Chuang WL, Tak WY, et al. Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed patients with chronic hepatitis B: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3, multicentre non-inferiority study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;5:441-453.
31. Kim TH, Kim JH, Yim HJ, Seo YS, Yim SY, Lee YS, et al. Non-inferiority outcomes of besifovir compared to tenofovir alafenamide in treatment-naïve patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Gut Liver* 2024;18:305-315.