





## Complete Coding Sequences of Rhinovirus Types A46, A39, C56, and C48

Courtney L. Collins,<sup>a</sup> <sup>®</sup>Temitope O. C. Faleye,<sup>b</sup> Simona Kraberger,<sup>a</sup> Rafaela S. Fontenele,<sup>a</sup> Deborah Adams,<sup>c</sup> Sangeet Adhikari,<sup>b,d</sup> Helen Sandrolini,<sup>e</sup> Sarah Finnerty,<sup>e</sup> Rolf U. Halden,<sup>b,d</sup> <sup>®</sup>Matthew Scotch,<sup>b,f</sup> <sup>®</sup>Arvind Varsani<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Biodesign Center for Fundamental and Applied Microbiomics, School of Life Sciences, Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA <sup>b</sup>Biodesign Center for Environmental Health Engineering, Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

<sup>c</sup>Biodesign Center for Personalized Diagnostics, Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

dSchool of Sustainable Engineering and the Built Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

eArizona State University Health Services, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

<sup>f</sup>College of Health Solutions, Arizona State University, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

**ABSTRACT** We report the coding-complete sequences of rhinovirus types C48, A46, A39, and C56, determined from nasopharyngeal swabs from three individuals with influenza-like symptoms in the United States. One sample showed a coinfection of rhinovirus types A46 and C48.

R (family *Picornaviridae*). This genus includes 15 species, among them *Rhinovirus A*, *Rhinovirus B*, and *Rhinovirus C*. Enterovirus genomes encode a large polyprotein, which is autocatalytically cleaved into three smaller proteins (P1 to P3) that are further cleaved into 11 proteins: 4 structural proteins (VP1 to VP4) and 7 nonstructural proteins (2A to 2C and 3A to 3D). In humans, rhinoviruses cause the common cold and trigger approximately 50% of asthma flare-ups and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (1).

As part of an ongoing surveillance study for respiratory viruses at a university campus, nasopharyngeal swabs were taken from three individuals with influenza-like symptoms at the Arizona State University health clinic in March 2020. Sample collection was part of routine clinical care, which is approved by Arizona State University Institutional Review Board under study identification number STUDY00008985. These samples were negative for seasonal influenza A/B virus via rapid lateral flow immunoassay (Abbott BinaxNOW). To determine the viral etiology of the clinical presentation, RNA was extracted from 200  $\mu$ l of the resuspended sample using the high pure viral RNA kit (Roche Diagnostics, USA). The RNA was used to prepare libraries using the TruSeq stranded total RNA LT kit with the Ribo-Zero human/mouse/rat kit (Illumina, USA). The 2 × 150-bp libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument at Psomagen Inc. (USA). All bioinformatic tools were run with default parameters unless otherwise specified. The demultiplexed reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.39 (2) and *de novo* assembled using metaSPAdes v3.14.0 (3). Viral contigs were identified using blastx (4) and the RefSeq virus protein database (RefSeq release 207). The reads were mapped to the viral contigs using BBMap (5).

The *de novo* assembled contigs (6,952 nucleotides (nt) to 7,102 nt; coverage depth,  $54 \times$  to  $540 \times$ ; GC content, 39% to 42.8%) from the three samples had four near-complete genomes (based on the complete coding region for viruses in the genus *Enterovirus*) of rhinoviruses (isolates AZ6\_4, AZ6\_15, AZ7\_188, and AZ9\_2). Isolates AZ6\_4 and AZ6\_15 were identified in the same sample (S6) as a coinfection. Based on blastn analysis, we identified the four rhinovirus sequences as part of the species *Rhinovirus A* and *Rhinovirus C*. Datasets of representative coding-complete or partial sequences of rhinovirus A and C genotypes available at GenBank were assembled into two datasets, together with those from this study

**Editor** Simon Roux, DOE Joint Genome Institute

**Copyright** © 2022 Collins et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Arvind Varsani, arvind.varsani@asu.edu.

The authors declare a conflict of interest.

Received 5 July 2022 Accepted 8 October 2022 Published 26 October 2022

14

101

C33

36 878

39 54 2559

40 540

39.1

42.8 90 4290

Read mapping

450 21157

25230



0.2 nucleotide subs per site



P3-B VP3 VP4 VP2 VP1 P2-A P2-B P2-C P3-C P3-D P3-A MW679008 [A46] 91.4 % identity 89.4 93.1 89.9 93.2 90.9 94.4 91.9 91.9 89.8 DQ473506 [A46] 98.4 MW679010 [A39] % identity 91.3 89.8 89.8 91.0 91.3 94.0 91.1 89.6 89.8 91.2 AY751783 [A39] 95.6 MW679009 [C56] 98.2 98.8 % identity 98.5 98.2 98.2 98.1 99.7 97.Š 98.7 98.6 MG950178 [C56] 97.0 MW679007 [C48] % identity JF519762 [C48] 92.5 840nts 1kb

FIG 1 Maximum likelihood phylogeny of the representative sequences of rhinovirus A (A) and rhinovirus C (B), together with the one identified in study, and rooted with sequences of enterovirus D. Genotypes are listed after the accession numbers in square brackets. Branches with posterior aLRT support of <0.8 have been collapsed. (C) Summary of the rhinoviruses identified in this study, including their dates of isolation (day-mo-yr), GenBank accession numbers, read depths ( $\times$ ), and lengths (bp). (D) Pairwise identified in this study, including regions within the sequences on the genotypes identified in this study with representatives available in GenBank. Sequence comparison was undertaken using Clinker (10) and nucleotide pairwise identifies were calculated using SDT v1.2 (11). and two enterovirus D sequences (GenBank accession numbers D00820 and AY426531) as an outgroup. These two datasets were aligned using MAFFT v7 (6). The two alignments were used to infer maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees using IQ-TREE v2 (7), with GTR+F+I+G4 as the best substitution model. Branches with <0.8 approximate likelihood ratio test (aLRT) support were collapsed using TreeGraph v2 (8). Based on the phylogeny as well as the enterovirus genotyping tool (EGT) (9), isolates AZ6\_15 and AZ9\_2 were identified as the species *Rhinovirus A*, genotypes A46 and A39, respectively, whereas isolates AZ6\_4 and AZ7\_188 were identified as the species *Rhinovirus C*, genotypes C48 and C56, respectively (Fig. 1).

In our comparative analysis of the four genotypes, the 11 cleaved protein coding regions share >89% nucleotide identity (Fig. 1), determined using SDT v1.2 (11). For rhinovirus C48, there is only one sequence (GenBank accession number JF519762) of 840 nucleotides (VP1 cleaved protein coding region) that shares 92.5% identity with the sequence we report here (AZ6\_4).

**Data availability.** The raw reads and rhinovirus contigs generated in this study have been deposited in the NCBI databases under GenBank accession numbers MW679007, MW679008, MW679009, and MW679010. The raw reads have been deposited under BioProject accession number PRJNA701833 and SRA accession numbers SRR13720058, SRR13720059, and SRR13720060.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research reported in this publication was supported by the National Library of Medicine of the National Institutes of Health under award number U01LM013129 to R.U.H., M.S., and A.V. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

R.U.H. is a cofounder of AquaVitas, LLC (Scottsdale, AZ, USA), an ASU startup company providing commercial services in wastewater-based epidemiology. R.U.H. is also the founder of OneWaterOneHealth, a nonprofit project of the Arizona State University Foundation.

## REFERENCES

- Palmenberg AC, Rathe JA, Liggett SB. 2010. Analysis of the complete genome sequences of human rhinovirus. J Allergy Clin Immunol 125:1190–1199. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2010.04.010.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022 -2836(05)80360-2.
- Bushnell B. 2014. BBMap: a fast, accurate, splice-aware aligner. Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, Berkeley, CA.
- 6. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30: 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010.

- Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. 2020. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol Biol Evol 37:1530–1534. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015.
- Stover BC, Muller KF. 2010. TreeGraph 2: combining and visualizing evidence from different phylogenetic analyses. BMC Bioinformatics 11:7. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1471-2105-11-7.
- Kroneman A, Vennema H, Deforche K, Avoort HVD, Penaranda S, Oberste MS, Vinje J, Koopmans M. 2011. An automated genotyping tool for enteroviruses and noroviruses. J Clin Virol 51:121–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2011.03.006.
- Gilchrist CLM, Chooi Y-H. 2021. Clinker & clustermap.js: automatic generation of gene cluster comparison figures. Bioinformatics 37:2473–2475. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab007.
- Muhire BM, Varsani A, Martin DP. 2014. SDT: a virus classification tool based on pairwise sequence alignment and identity calculation. PLoS One 9:e108277. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0108277.