# LETTER Open Access

# Extracorporeal myoglobin removal in severe rhabdomyolysis with high cut-off membranes—intermittent dialysis achieves much greater clearances than continuous methods

Jakob Gubensek<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Vanja Persic<sup>1,2</sup>, Alexander Jerman<sup>1</sup> and Vladimir Premru<sup>1</sup>

Weidhase et al. recently published a randomized controlled trial comparing two renal replacement modalities regarding myoglobin clearance [1]. The authors found superior myoglobin clearance with a high cut-off (HCO) dialyzer running in continuous veno-venous hemodialysis (CVVHD) mode compared to a standard, high-flux dialyzer running in continuous veno-venous hemodia-filtration (CVVHDF) mode [1], which is not surprising, given the properties of HCO membranes. HCO dialyzers were first used in intermittent hemodialysis with the aim of free light chains [2] and myoglobin removal [3], but have later also been used with continuous dialysis techniques, mainly with the aim of improving clearance of inflammatory cytokines.

The authors concluded that CVVHD using HCO dialyzers could be beneficial in patients with acute kidney injury and high myoglobin levels [1]. We would like to emphasize that intermittent hemodialysis would be more suitable than continuous methods for the treatment of severe rhabdomyolysis-associated acute kidney injury (AKI). While myoglobin clearance was indeed higher in the HCO-CVVHD group, the absolute values were expectedly low, about 8–10 ml/min [1], because continuous methods have low clearances by design and cannot fully take advantage of the HCO membranes. On the

other hand, using HCO dialyzers with intermittent dialysis a much greater median myoglobin clearance of 77 ml/ min in hemodialysis [4] and 93 ml/min in hemodiafiltration mode [3] was reported. Although continuous methods compensate for low clearance by prolonged dialysis time, removal of clinically significant amounts of myoglobin is difficult to achieve. There is only one case report describing significant removal with high-dose continuous veno-venous hemofiltration (at 4 l/h of infusate) in a patient with very severe but transient rhabdomyolysis due to serotonin syndrome [5]. Such high-intensity continuous dialysis is quite cumbersome to perform and also costly, while intermittent dialysis can easily achieve effective myoglobin removal in 6-8-h sessions [3, 4], which can be extended to 12 h in extreme cases. The new medium cut-off membranes may prove to be an even more effective method for extracorporeal myoglobin removal because they cause less albumin loss.

In conclusion, while the role of extracorporeal myoglobin removal in severe rhabdomyolysis-associated acute kidney injury is not yet established, we suggest using HCO dialyzers with intermittent or extended dialysis techniques to achieve optimal myoglobin clearance in a cost-effective and time-efficient way.

### Authors' contributions

JG conceived the letter, and VPe, AJ and VPr revised it. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### **Funding**

Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Center for Acute and Complicated Dialysis, Department of Nephrology, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Zaloska 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: jakob.gubensek@kclj.si

Gubensek et al. Crit Care (2021) 25:97 Page 2 of 2

### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

### **Declarations**

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### **Competing interests**

JG reports receiving reimbursements and speaking honoraria from Baxter. Other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Center for Acute and Complicated Dialysis, Department of Nephrology, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Zaloska 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Vrazov trg 2, Ljubljana, Slovenia.

Received: 12 February 2021 Accepted: 4 March 2021 Published online: 09 March 2021

### References

 Weidhase L, de Fallois J, Haußig E, Kaiser T, Mende M, Petros S. Myoglobin clearance with continuous veno-venous hemodialysis using high

- cutoff dialyzer versus continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration using high-flux dialyzer: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):644.
- Hutchison CA, Bradwell AR, Cook M, Basnayake K, Basu S, Harding S, Hattersley J, Evans ND, Chappel MJ, Sampson P, Foggensteiner L, Adu D, Cockwell P. Treatment of acute renal failure secondary to multiple myeloma with chemotherapy and extended high cut-off hemodialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4(4):745–54.
- Premru V, Kovac J, Buturovic-Ponikvar J, Ponikvar R. Some kinetic considerations in high cut-off hemodiafiltration for acute myoglobinuric renal failure. Ther Apher Dial. 2013;17(4):396–401.
- 4. Heyne N, Guthoff M, Krieger J, Haap M, Häring HU. High cut-off renal replacement therapy for removal of myoglobin in severe rhabdomyolysis and acute kidney injury: a case series. Nephron Clin Pract. 2012;121(3–4):c159–64.
- Naka T, Jones D, Baldwin I, Fealy N, Bates S, Goehl H, Morgera S, Neumayer HH, Bellomo R. Myoglobin clearance by super high-flux hemofiltration in a case of severe rhabdomyolysis: a case report. Crit Care. 2005;9(2):R90–5.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$  thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

### At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

