

Check for updates

A Prospective Study of the Association Between Plasma Calprotectin Levels and New-Onset CKD in the General Population

Arno R. Bourgonje^{1,2}, Martin F. Bourgonje³, Sacha la Bastide-van Gemert⁴, Tom Nilsen⁵, Clara Hidden⁵, Ron T. Gansevoort⁶, Douwe J. Mulder⁷, Jan-Luuk Hillebrands³, Stephan J.L. Bakker⁶, Robin P.F. Dullaart⁸, Harry van Goor³ and Amaal E. Abdulle⁷

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; ²The Henry D. Janowitz Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA; ³Department of Pathology and Medical Biology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; ⁴Department of Epidemiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; ⁵Gentian AS, Moss, Norway; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands; ⁵Gentian AS, Moss, Norway; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Nephrology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands; ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Vascular Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, University Medical Center

Introduction: Systemic inflammation has been associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD). In this study, we aimed to investigate a potential association between the plasma biomarker of inflammation calprotectin and new-onset CKD in a population-based cohort study.

Methods: Individuals without CKD at baseline (n = 4662) who participated in the Prevention of REnal and Vascular ENd-stage Disease (PREVEND) prospective population-based cohort study in the Netherlands were included. Baseline plasma calprotectin levels were assessed in samples that had been stored at -80 °C. Occurrence of new-onset CKD was defined as a composite outcome of an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <60 ml/min per 1.73 m², urinary albumin excretion (UAE) >30 mg/24h, or both.

Results: Baseline median (interquartile range) plasma calprotectin levels were 0.49 (0.35–0.68) mg/l and baseline median eGFR was 95.9 (interquartile range: 85.0–105.7) ml/min per 1.73 m². After median follow-up of 8.3 (7.8–8.9) years, 467 participants developed new-onset CKD. Baseline plasma calprotectin levels were significantly associated with an increased risk of new-onset CKD (hazard ratio [HR] per doubling 1.28 [95% confidence interval, Cl: 1.14–1.44], P < 0.001), independent of potentially confounding factors (HR 1.14 [95% Cl: 1.01–1.29], P = 0.034), except for baseline high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) (HR 1.05 [0.91–1.21], P = 0.494). In secondary analyses, the association between plasma calprotectin and occurrence of UAE >30 mg/24h remained significant (HR 1.17 [1.02–1.34], P = 0.027), but not significantly so for the incidence of eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² as individual outcome (HR 1.15 [0.92–1.43], P = 0.218).

Conclusion: Higher plasma calprotectin levels are associated with an increased risk of developing CKD in the general population. This association is mitigated after adjustment for hs-CRP, and more pronounced with new-onset CKD defined by UAE.

Kidney Int Rep (2024) **9**, 1265–1275; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2024.02.1392 KEYWORDS: biomarker; calprotectin; chronic kidney disease; epidemiology; inflammation; population science © 2024 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

C KD poses a significant global health concern, impacting nearly one billion individuals worldwide.¹ CKD is usually accompanied by a high burden of cardiovascular complications and is linked to premature mortality, underscoring the critical importance of early detection and proper staging of CKD. Given the complex and multifactorial nature of CKD, our understanding of the underlying mechanisms remains incomplete, making it crucial to identify biomarkers, such as UAE, that can aid in the early identification and treatment of individuals at risk of developing CKD within the general population.² Early treatment initiation may have the potential to delay or even prevent

Correspondence: Arno R. Bourgonje, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, the Netherlands. E-mail: a.r.bourgonje@umcg.nl

Received 31 October 2023; revised 8 January 2024; accepted 12 February 2024; published online 16 February 2024

the need for dialysis or kidney transplantation, improving the overall prognosis and quality of life for affected individuals.³

Calprotectin, which primarily originates from myeloid cells such as macrophages and neutrophils,⁴ is actively released during neutrophil degranulation and can function as an endogenous danger signaling molecule (alarmin) or as antimicrobial substance within neutrophil extracellular traps.^{5,6} Circulating calprotectin is considered an acute-phase protein, and given its indication of systemic inflammation, it might be potentially associated with CKD. Earlier research in population-based cohorts has demonstrated associations between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of development of cardiovascular diseases, including ischemic cardiovascular manifestations as well as hypertension.⁷⁻¹⁰ Importantly, calprotectin levels in fecal samples are clinically utilized as a biomarker for intestinal inflammation in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, and they have also been linked to systemic inflammation in this context.¹¹ Given the role of systemic inflammation in the development of CKD,¹²⁻¹⁴ and specifically the involvement of myeloid cells such as neutrophils and macrophages in CKD,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ it is conceivable that circulating levels of calprotectin might be potentially indicative of the early stages of CKD.

In the current study, we set out to explore the relationship between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of developing new-onset CKD in individuals derived from the general population. By investigating this association, we aimed to gain insight into the potential utility of calprotectin as a predictive biomarker for identifying individuals at risk of CKD in its early stages. Beyond its potential relevance for CKD, calprotectin may also indicate the presence of systemic chronic low-grade inflammation, which contributes to the development of various noncommunicable diseases.

METHODS

Study Design and Population

This study was carried out using data from the PRE-VEND study, a comprehensive prospective populationbased cohort study that was initiated in 1997 in Groningen, the Netherlands.¹⁸ In short, the initial PRE-VEND population consisted of 8592 participants (comprising 6000 with urinary albumin concentration >10 mg/l and 2592 with urinary albumin concentration <10 mg/l). Between 2001 and 2003, a second round of study investigations was carried out with the goal of collecting additional data and biomaterials such as blood and urine samples from 6894 participants. This second study round served as the baseline for the current study. After this round, participants visited the outpatient research clinic of the University Medical Center Groningen for a medical examination at \sim 3year intervals. These examinations were divided over 2 outpatient visits separated by 3 weeks, which took place during a third (2003-2006), fourth (2006-2008), and fifth (2009-2011) period of study investigations. The study follow-up period concluded on January 1, 2011. From this cohort, individuals with established CKD at enrollment, as defined by an eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² (n = 1082), or with unknown CKD status (n = 337) were excluded. In addition, participants in whom plasma calprotectin levels could not be determined (n = 813) due to either missing samples or insufficient sample volumes were excluded. Consequently, the final sample size for analysis of the current study consisted of n = 4662 participants. Collection of biomedical and laboratory data was conducted at the outpatient research clinic of the University Medical Center Groningen. Ethical approval for the PREVEND study was obtained from the institutional review board of the University Medical Center Groningen (in Dutch: "Medisch Ethische Toetsingscommissie" (METc), IRB number 01/139). All participants provided written informed consent before participating in the study, and the research adhered to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (2013). Furthermore, the study reporting followed the guidelines of the EQUATOR (Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency Of health Research) network, specifically the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE).¹⁹

Data Collection

At baseline, comprehensive data were collected from participants, encompassing demographic information, medical history (including cardiorenal diseases and diabetes), lifestyle-related factors (such as smoking behavior), and anthropometric measurements (e.g., body height, weight, and waist circumference). Data acquisition was facilitated through questionnaires that participants completed. To obtain blood pressure values, participants assumed a supine position for 8 minutes, and measurements were taken using the Dinamap XL Model 9300 series device (Johnson & Johnson Medical, Tampa, FL). Smoking behavior was categorized as "never," "former," or "current" based on self-reported information. Waist circumference was measured on the bare skin at the natural indentation between the 10th rib and the iliac crest. Blood pressure measurements were performed every minute, and for analytic purposes, the average of the last 2 measurements was considered, following previously

established procedures.^{20,21} Information about medication use was primarily self-reported by participants, but it was cross-referenced and supplemented with data from a pharmacy-dispensing registry. This registry provided comprehensive information about drug usage for over 95% of the PREVEND study participants.^{22,23}

Fasting venous plasma and serum samples were collected and centrifuged at 4 °C. Processed samples were stored at -80 °C until further analysis. Urine samples were stored at -20 °C until analysis. Urinary albumin concentration was determined using nephelometry (threshold of 2.3 mg/l and intraassay and interassay coefficients variation of 2.2% and 2.6%, respectively; Dade Behring Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany). Two consecutive 24-hour urine collections were used for UAE measurement, and the average was used for calculations. Serum creatinine was measured with an enzymatic method (Roche Modular Analyzer, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Similarly, serum cystatin C levels were measured using the Gentian Cystatin C Immunoassay on a modular analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). Calibration standards for cystatin C were employed following the manufacturer's instructions, and the assay adhered to the guidelines outlined by the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry Working Group for Standardization of Serum Cystatin C.²⁴ For measurements of hs-CRP, nephelometry was used (Dade Behring Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany). Total cholesterol was assayed using routine automated methods as described.²⁵ Plasma glucose was measured using dry chemistry (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY).

Plasma Calprotectin Measurements

Calprotectin levels were measured in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid anticoagulated plasma samples with a turbidimetric immunoassay (Gentian AS, Moss, Norway) leveraging a Mindray BS-400 analyzer (Mindray, Shenzhen, China). Total imprecision for all samples and controls with calprotectin concentrations >1 mg/l was <3%. The Gentian Calprotectin Immunoassay for plasma samples (analytical measurement range: 0.2–20 mg/l) is robust in frozen samples over at least 1 freeze and thaw cycle. A previous study that investigated the effect of freezing on the stability of calprotectin could not report reduced stability over 9 freezing cycles in blood samples with concentrations of about 2 to 3 mg/l.²⁶ Another study using purified antigen solution instead of native samples found that levels decreased by 14% after 1 freeze cycle but remained stable for the next 3 cycles, thereby staying within $\pm 20\%$ recovery criteria.²⁷ In conclusion, the results from this study and others cited demonstrate

that blood samples (be it serum or plasma) can be frozen for long-term storage and measurement reliability can be assured in those samples for up to 4 cycles of freezing and thawing.

Study Outcomes and Definitions

The primary outcome of this study was the development of new-onset CKD, which was defined as the first occurrence of either an eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m², UAE >30 mg/24h, or both combined. Secondary outcomes included the first occurrence of an eGFR <60 ml/ min per 1.73 m² and UAE >30 mg/24h treated as individual outcomes. eGFR was calculated using the combined cystatin C-based CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equation.²⁸ Type 2 diabetes was identified if participants met any of the following criteria: fasting glucose concentration \geq 7.0 mmol/l, nonfasting glucose level \geq 11.1 mmol/l as per the guidelines set by the American Diabetes Association²⁹ or the use of antidiabetic drugs or self-report of a physician diagnosis.

Statistical Analyses

Baseline characteristics of the study population were presented in different formats depending on the nature of the variables. Continuous variables describing demographic, anthropometric, and laboratory characteristics, were expressed as mean \pm SD. For skewed variables, medians with interquartile ranges were reported. Normality assessment of the data was conducted through visual inspection of normal probability (Q-Q) plots and histograms. Differences in baseline characteristics across tertiles of plasma calprotectin concentrations were analyzed using oneway analysis of variance for normally distributed variables, Kruskal-Wallis tests for skewed variables, and chi-square tests for categorical variables. To evaluate survival distributions of participants in each tertile of plasma calprotectin levels, Kaplan-Meier survival probabilities were calculated. The log-rank test was used to compare survival curves between the tertiles of plasma calprotectin levels. For time-toevent analyses, the study's starting point was defined as baseline, which corresponded to the time of plasma sample collection. The end point was defined as the date of the last examination attended by participants, the occurrence of CKD, death, or January 1, 2011 (end of follow-up), whichever occurred first. Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were performed to examine associations between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD, both as a composite outcome and separately for each individual outcome (eGFR or UAE). Plasma calprotectin levels were ²log-transformed and the results were presented as HRs per doubling in plasma calprotectin level,

Table 1. Baseline demographic, clinical and biochemical characteristics, and outcomes of the study population, stratified by tertiles of plasma calprotectin levels

Characteristics	Total	TI	T2	T3	<i>P</i> -value
		đ: <0.42 μg/l	ੈ: 0.42–0.62 μg/l	đ: >0.62 μg/l	
		₽: <0.38 μg/l	♀: 0.38–0.59 μg/l	₽: >0.59 μg/l	
Plasma calprotectin (µg/l)	0.49 [0.35–0.68]	0.30 [0.24–0.35]	0.49 [0.44–0.53]	0.78 [0.68–0.98]	
Demographics					
Age (yr)	51.4 ± 11.4	50.2 ± 11.3	51.4 ± 11.1	52.5 ± 11.6	< 0.001
Women, <i>n</i> (%)	2514 (53.9)	938 (59.8)	775 (51.4)	801 (50.5)	< 0.001
Race, n (%)					0.003
White, n (%)	4441 (96.0)	1463 (94.4)	1448 (96.6)	1530 (96.8)	
Black, n (%)	42 (0.9)	15 (1.0)	10 (0.7)	17 (1.1)	
Asian, n (%)	94 (2.0)	47 (3.0)	28 (1.9)	19 (1.2)	
Other, n (%)	51 (1.1)	24 (1.5)	13 (0.9)	14 (0.9)	
Anthropometrics					
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.7 [23.4–28.4]	24.7 [22.6–27.5]	25.8 [23.6-28.5]	26.5 [24.2-29.2]	< 0.001
Waist circumference (cm)	90 [81–98]	86 [78–95]	91 [82–99]	92 [84–101]	< 0.001
Cardiovascular risk factors					
SBP (mm Hg)	120 [111–133]	117 [108–128]	122 [112–133]	124 [113–136]	< 0.001
DBP (mm Hg)	72 [66-78]	70 [65–76]	72 [67–78]	73 [68–79]	<0.001
Smoking					< 0.001
Never, n (%)	1448 (31.5)	561 (36.3)	485 (32.5)	402 (25.7)	
Current, n (%)	1283 (27.9)	310 (20.0)	387 (25.9)	586 (37.5)	
Former, n (%)	1872 (40.7)	676 (43.7)	621 (41.6)	575 (36.8)	
History of CVD, n (%)	123 (2.6)	32 (2.0)	44 (2.9)	47 (3.0)	0.193
Diabetes, n (%)	68 (1.5)	16 (1.0)	18 (1.2)	34 (2.2)	0.018
Hypertension, n (%)	1168 (25.1)	317 (20.2)	376 (24.9)	475 (30.0)	< 0.001
Medication					
Antihypertensive drugs, n (%)	626 (13.8)	174 (11.4)	192 (13.1)	260 (16.9)	< 0.001
Lipid-lowering drugs, n (%)	272 (5.8)	68 (4.3)	97 (6.4)	107 (6.8)	0.007
Glucose-lowering drugs, n (%)	39 (1.0)	8 (0.6)	13 (1.0)	18 (1.3)	0.143
Laboratory measurements					
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	5.36 [4.72-6.10]	5.25 [4.60-6.00]	5.31 [4.69-6.07]	5.50 [4.84-6.22]	< 0.001
hs-CRP (mg/l)	1.16 [0.56–2.65]	0.73 [0.34–1.44]	1.12 [0.61–2.37]	2.14 [1.01-4.35]	<0.001
Baseline eGFR (ml/min per 1.73 m ²)	95.9 [85.0–105.7]	97.8 [86.9–107.4]	96.1 [85.2–105.5]	94.1 [82.2–103.5]	< 0.001
Baseline UAE (mg/l)	7.68 [5.78–11.2]	7.16 [5.67–10.2]	7.75 [5.76–11.1]	8.13 [5.96–12.3]	<0.001
Serum creatinine (µmol/l)	81.1 [72.9–90.4]	80.1 [71.9–89.3]	82.1 [72.9–91.4]	82.1 [73.9–92.4]	< 0.001
Urine creatinine (mmol/24h)	11.9 [9.91–14.5]	11.6 [9.83–14.2]	12.0 [10.1–14.7]	12.1 [9.91–14.7]	<0.001
New-onset CKD after follow-up			. ,	. ,	
CKD (eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m ²), <i>n</i> (%)	151 (3.2)	38 (2.4)	48 (3.2)	65 (4.1)	0.026
CKD (UAE >30 mg/24h), n (%)	349 (7.5)	98 (5.3)	109 (7.2)	142 (9.0)	0.012
CKD (combined), n (%)	467 (10.0)	132 (8.4)	144 (9.5)	191 (12.1)	0.002

BMI, body-mass index; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-CRP, high-sensitive Creactive protein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; UAE, urinary albumin excretion.

along with corresponding 95% CIs. The proportionality of hazards assumption was checked to ensure that there were no violations. Subsequently, stratified analyses were conducted to explore potential associations between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD in relevant subgroups. Interaction terms were included in the models to assess whether there were significant effect-modifications in these subgroups ($P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.05$). To examine potential nonlinearity of the association between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD, restricted cubic splines with 3 knots were fitted. Nonlinearity was statistically evaluated using likelihood ratio tests, comparing models with linear terms or both linear cubic spline terms. Data and analysis and

visualization were performed using SPSS Statistics 28.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and R (v.4.0.1, Vienna, Austria). Two-tailed *P*-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Selection and Rationale for Confounding Variables: The Directed Acyclic Graph Method

A directed acyclic graph was created as a causal model to identify potentially confounding variables that should be considered when estimating the outcome of interest in the study: new-onset CKD.^{30,31} Directed acyclic graphs provide a theoretical basis by defining the causal mechanisms hypothesized to underlie the variables of interest (Supplementary Figure S1). In the directed acyclic graph, arrows indicate the



Figure 1. Plasma calprotectin levels associate with an increased risk of new-onset CKD. (a) Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD based on the composite outcome (eGFR, UAE or both) The highest rate of new-onset CKD was observed in the highest tertile (T3) of plasma calprotectin levels. (b) Restricted cubic splines (RCS) were fitted to test for potential nonlinearity of the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD. Estimated associations were derived from the Cox proportional hazards regression models and RCS with 3 knots (set at the 1st, 50th, and 99th percentiles). A likelihood ratio test for nonlinearity was nonsignificant for this model ($\chi^2 = 0.08$, P = 0.773). Shaded areas of the RCS curve represent the 95% confidence intervals. CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; UAE, urinary albumin excretion

hypothesized direct causal effects between variables, whereas the absence of arrows indicates the assumption of no such direct effect. In this study, the primary goal was to investigate the association between plasma calprotectin levels and new-onset CKD. To achieve an unconfounded effect estimate in statistical analyses, a specific set of potentially confounding variables was identified and conditioned for.³²⁻³⁶ These variables were considered as covariates in the analysis, in addition to age and sex. The selected confounding variables included history of cardiovascular disease, history of diabetes, presence of hypertension, baseline eGFR (reflecting renal function), and hs-CRP (reflecting systemic inflammation). By including these variables in the analysis, we aimed to control for their potential influence on the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the development of new-onset CKD, thereby obtaining a more reliable and unbiased estimate.

RESULTS

Baseline Study Population Characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the study population divided by tertiles of plasma calprotectin levels are presented in Table 1. Median (interquartile range) plasma calprotectin levels were 0.49 (0.35-0.68) mg/l (mean: 0.56 mg/l; full range: 0-13.2 mg/l). Mean (\pm SD) age of participants was 51.4 (11.4) years and 2514 (53.9%) participants were female. Median baseline eGFR was 95.9 (85.0-105.7) ml/ min per 1.73 m², which was lowest in participants within the highest (T3) tertile of plasma calprotectin levels (P < 0.001) compared to participants within T1 or T2. Median baseline UAE was 7.68 (5.78–11.2) mg/l, which was highest in the highest (T3) tertile of plasma calprotectin levels (P < 0.001). Similarly, participants within the highest (T3) tertile demonstrated the highest rate of new-onset CKD (composite outcome: P = 0.002; based on eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m²: P = 0.026; based on UAE >30 mg/24h: P = 0.012).

Plasma Calprotectin Levels and the Risk of New-Onset CKD

Over a median follow-up of 8.3 (7.8-8.9) years, a total of 467 participants developed new-onset CKD, among which 151 were based on eGFR (<60 ml/min per 1.73 m^2), 349 were based on UAE (30 mg/24h), and 33 were based on both. The highest rate of new-onset CKD occurred in the highest tertile of plasma calprotectin levels (n = 191, 12.1%, P < 0.01). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis demonstrated statistically significant differences in survival distribution for tertiles of plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD (P < 0.001, log-rank test) (Figure 1a). Cox proportional hazards regression analyses revealed a statistically significant association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD (Table 2, Model 1, HR per doubling of plasma calprotectin levels 1.28 [95% CI: 1.14–1.44], P < 0.001). When adjusting for potentially confounding factors (age, sex, history of cardiovascular disease, history of diabetes, presence of hypertension, and baseline eGFR), this association remained significant (Table 2, Model 4, HR per doubling 1.14 [95% CI: 1.01–1.29], P = 0.034). However, after additional adjustment for hs-CRP, this association lost statistical significance (Model 5, HR per

 Table 2. Cox proportional hazards regression analyses for associations between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of incident CKD (as composite outcome)

Models	HR per doubling	TI	T2	Т3
		<0.40 mg/l	0.40-0.60 mg/l	>0.60 mg/l
CKD (composite o	putcome) (<i>n</i> = 467)			
Model 1	1.28 [1.14–1.44], P < 0.001	1.00 (reference)	1.19 [0.94–1.51], P = 0.147	1.56 [1.25–1.95], P < 0.001
Model 2	1.21 [1.07–1.37], P = 0.002	1.00 (reference)	1.07 [0.85–1.36], P = 0.558	1.37 [1.10–1.71], P = 0.006
Model 3	1.18 [1.04–1.33], P = 0.009	1.00 (reference)	1.06 [0.83-1.34], P = 0.644	1.32 [1.06–1.65], P = 0.015
Model 4	1.14 [1.01–1.29], <i>P</i> = 0.034	1.00 (reference)	1.05 [0.83-1.34], P = 0.668	1.26 [1.01–1.57], P = 0.044
Model 5	1.05 [0.91–1.21], P = 0.494	1.00 (reference)	0.96 [0.74–1.25], P = 0.764	1.13 [0.87–1.46], P = 0.355

CKD, chronic kidney disease; HR, hazard ratio

doubling 1.05 [0.91–1.21], P = 0.494). When these analyses were performed for the individual components of the composite outcome (eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² and UAE > 30 mg/24h), a stronger association was found for eGFR decline as outcome (Supplementary Table 1A, Model 1, HR per doubling 1.44 [1.17–1.77], P < 0.001) when compared to UAE as outcome (Supplementary Table S1B, Model 1, HR per doubling 1.26 [1.10–1.43], P < 0.001). However, after adjusting for potentially confounding variables, the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of newonset CKD as defined by eGFR $<60 \text{ ml/min per } 1.73 \text{ m}^2$ lost statistical significance after adjusting for baseline GFR (Supplementary Table S1A, Model 4, HR per doubling 1.15 [0.92–1.43], P = 0.218), which did not occur for UAE as individual outcome (Supplementary Table S1B, Model 4, HR per doubling 1.17 [1.02-1.34], P = 0.027). This association, however, also lost statistical significance when additionally adjusting for hs-CRP, similar to what occurred to the association with the composite outcome (Model 5, 1.06 [0.90–1.25], P = 0.500). When tertiles of plasma calprotectin levels were used instead of plasma calprotectin levels as continuous variable, the highest tertile (T3) of plasma calprotectin was consistently significantly associated with the risk of new-onset CKD (as composite outcome and individually by UAE >30 mg/24h) after adjustment for confounding variables (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S1B, Model 4, HR per doubling 1.26 [1.01–1.57], P = 0.044 [composite outcome] and 1.33 [1.02–1.72], P = 0.033 [UAE >30 mg/24h]), but significance was abrogated after additional adjustment for hs-CRP (all P > 0.05). No significant deviance from linear association with the risk of new-onset CKD (composite outcome) was observed when restricted cubic splines were fitted (composite: $\chi^2 = 0.08$, P =0.773) (Figure 1b).

Stratified Analyses

Stratified analyses for the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD were performed, which demonstrated consistently positive associations in almost all analyzed subgroups (Supplementary Table S2). Stratification by the median of baseline UAE and current smoking showed significant effect modification ($P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.001$ for both). The corresponding HRs were higher for participants with below-median baseline UAE (<13.5 mg/24h) and for nonsmokers.

Sensitivity Analyses

When participants with eGFR <65 ml/min per 1.73 m² at baseline (instead of $<60 \text{ ml/min per } 1.73 \text{m}^2$) were excluded, the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD (composite outcome) did not substantially change (HR per doubling 1.16 [1.02–1.32], P = 0.023). However, the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD when adjusted for potentially confounding variables (Model 4, Table 2) remained similar, albeit lost statistical significance when participants with UAE >25 mg/24h (instead of 30 mg/24h) at baseline were excluded (HR per doubling 1.12 [95% CI: 0.98-1.27], P = 0.096). When extreme outliers of plasma calprotectin levels (<0.5th percentile and >99.5th percentile) were excluded, the association between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD did not materially change (HR per doubling 1.16 [1.02-1.33], P = 0.025). Similarly, when excluding individuals with new-onset CKD within the first year of follow-up, the association between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD remained present (HR per doubling 1.13 [1.00–1.28], P = 0.049).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated a significant association between plasma calprotectin levels and an increased risk of new-onset CKD in the general population over a nearly 10-year follow-up period. This association remained statistically significant after adjusting for wellestablished risk factors for CKD, including age, sex, history of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hypertension, as well as renal function as represented by baseline eGFR. However, after further adjusting for hs-CRP, being a marker for systemic inflammation, the association lost significance, indicating that plasma calprotectin is associated with new-onset CKD, but not independently of the degree of systemic inflammation. When considering the individual components defining newonset CKD (eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² or UAE >30 mg/24h), the associations with UAE >30 mg/24h remained, while a nonsignificant association with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² was found. However, this difference could also be attributed to a difference in statistical power across both analyses, because the event rate for new-onset CKD was substantially higher when UAE was taken as individual outcome compared with eGFR. Stratified analyses across relevant subgroups consistently demonstrated positive associations between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD. Notably, significant interactions were observed for smoking and baseline UAE, indicating that associations were stronger in nonsmokers and in participants with below-median baseline UAE, respectively. Collectively, our results suggest that plasma calprotectin levels are linked to an increased risk of new-onset CKD; this association seems dependent on already existing systemic inflammation at baseline. Calprotectin may potentially serve as a biomarker for preclinical renal damage, aiding in risk stratification in preventive settings with the goal of mitigating the future burden of CKD.

Given that myeloid cells such as neutrophils and macrophages are the primary source of calprotectin, elevated levels of circulating calprotectin may be reflective of myeloid cell infiltration of cardiovascular and renal tissues.³⁷⁻³⁹ Previous studies have shown that neutrophils may aid in the formation of atherosclerotic plaques by activating vascular endothelium, disrupting its integrity, promoting apoptosis, and inducing the generation of reactive oxygen species, resulting in oxidative stress and the oxidation of low-density lipoproteins.40-42 In addition, plasma calprotectin levels may contribute to the activation of the receptor for advanced glycation end products, which in turn triggers a variety of inflammatory and thrombotic cascades, further contributing to atherosclerosis.43 The process of CKD development additionally involves endothelial and perivascular inflammation, vascular fibrosis, calcification, and other morphological changes.⁴⁴ Although the precise role of calprotectin in the pathogenesis of CKD remains incompletely understood, circulating calprotectin levels likely stimulate the inflammatory response by triggering leukocyte recruitment to tissues and activating neighboring immune cells. Furthermore, their release is accompanied by an overproduction of reactive oxygen species, resulting in oxidative stress, and the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, the latter of which is also

contribute to CKD development.⁴⁵ In keeping with this, a previous study from our center found plasma calprotectin to be associated with incident cardiovascular disease in the general population.⁷ A more recent study employing proteomic analysis has unveiled a strong correlation between elevated circulating levels of calprotectin and an increased susceptibility to cardiovascular complications and mortality in patients with CKD.⁴⁶ Furthermore, it demonstrated the therapeutic efficacy of targeting calprotectin through the administration of a calprotectin inhibitor, paquinimod, which could prevent vascular calcification in mice with nephrectomy-induced CKD on a high-phosphate diet. These findings were substantiated by analogous observations in apolipoprotein-E deficient mice on a high-phosphate diet, strongly suggesting that inhibiting calprotectin could be a promising approach to avert vascular calcification in high-risk patients with CKD. This might also hold true for other inflammatory conditions associated with the development of calcification, such as atherosclerosis, media calcification, and calciphylaxis.

considered proatherogenic and may, in parallel,

Previous studies have shown a clear relationship between circulating calprotectin and hs-CRP, obviously because of macrophage and neutrophil activation in circumstances of systemic inflammation.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ In the current study, the association between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD was dependent on hs-CRP. These findings support the relevance of hs-CRP as a potential confounding factor and also favor the hypothesis of inflammation as a pathogenic driver of cardiovascular and renal disease development.⁷ In addition, calprotectin may not only be a marker of macrophage and neutrophil activation, it may also be more directly involved in the pathogenesis of CKD via inflammatory responses.⁴⁹ Like hs-CRP, calprotectin has also been shown to strongly associate with proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interferons.⁵⁰ These molecules are also involved in the development of vascular damage.45 Interestingly, the association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD was at least as strong when new-onset CKD was defined by UAE >30 mg/24h. This observation corroborates previously reported findings on the association between albuminuria and circulating calprotectin levels.^{51,52} As such, when we additionally adjusted our Cox proportional hazards regression models for hs-CRP at baseline, statistically significant relationships were abrogated.

In stratified analyses, fairly consistent positive associations were observed between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD across most subgroups. Notably, the associations between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD were particularly stronger in individuals who did not smoke and those with below-median baseline UAE. These subgroups showed significant interactions, indicating that certain factors, such as smoking, may influence the relationship between plasma calprotectin levels and CKD risk. The link between tobacco smoke exposure and plasma calprotectin levels has been variably reported in different clinical contexts.⁵¹⁻⁵³ In the present study, it was observed that the highest tertile of plasma calprotectin levels also contained a higher prevalence of current smokers. The weaker association of plasma calprotectin levels with the risk of new-onset CKD in smoking participants and those with relatively higher baseline UAE could potentially imply that elevated calprotectin levels due to such factors may reduce their variation, possibly impacting the predictive performance regarding new-onset CKD. In addition, the observation that the associations between plasma calprotectin and new-onset CKD lost statistical significance after adjusting for hs-CRP underlines the intimate link between systemic inflammation and the risk of developing CKD. However, it is essential to cautiously interpret the findings from these secondary stratified analyses, because sample sizes in some of the subgroups were particularly smaller and occasionally imbalanced, which may introduce bias. Further research with larger and more balanced sample sizes is needed to validate and better understand the observed interactions and associations.

This study has several relevant strengths. First, the large number of study participants and cases of newonset CKD within this extensively characterized dataset, concomitant with a relatively long follow-up period of almost 10 years, allowed a comprehensive analysis of plasma calprotectin as prognostic biomarker for the development of CKD. Furthermore, it allowed the adjustment for numerous potential confounding variables in a prospective setting, and the exclusion of individuals with new-onset CKD within the first year after baseline did not materially change the observed association, reducing the risk of reverse causation. However, certain limitations must also be recognized. Because this was a single-center study with predominantly White participants from the north of the Netherlands, the generalizability of the results to other ethnicities or geographic locations may be poor. Second, due to unavailability of sufficient plasma samples for selected participants, they had to be excluded from the analyses. Third, given the observational nature of this study, causal relationships could not be established. Instead, we were only able to document associations between plasma calprotectin levels and risk of new-onset CKD. Despite the wellcharacterized phenotypes of the study cohort, it is important to recognize that residual confounding factors may still exist. Finally, it is essential to acknowledge that

in this study, no additional blood samples were available to explore and compare other inflammatory biomarkers. Utilizing multiomics-based platforms, such as proteomics or metabolomics approaches, could have been a valuable strategy. These advanced techniques could be leveraged to analyze a comprehensive set of molecular components involved in inflammation. By employing such approaches, additional insights into the key integrative inflammatory factors driving the development of CKD could be obtained, potentially leading to the identification of a biomarker signature with higher predictive value. In future research, incorporating multiomics approaches may help unravel the complexities of inflammation and its association with CKD, ultimately contributing to the discovery of more accurate and powerful predictive biomarkers. Overall, though this study presents valuable insights into the potential use of plasma calprotectin as a renal function biomarker, its limitations underscore the need for further research in diverse clinical populations and the inclusion of additional biomarker measurements to enhance our understanding of its clinical utility.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates a significant correlation between plasma calprotectin levels and an increased risk of new-onset CKD in the general population. However, within this cohort of population-based individuals, the relationship between plasma calprotectin and the risk of new-onset CKD appears to depend on the level of systemic inflammation, which may suggest that calprotectin does not provide much additive value on top of existing biomarkers for the future occurrence of CKD. Still, this scenario might differ in patients with already impaired renal function or those with specific kidney diseases. Furthermore, our findings still affirm the relevance of systemic inflammation in CKD development and might indicate calprotectin as a potential etiological factor. Future studies are therefore warranted to explore the mechanistic underpinnings of this association as well as the potential utility of circulating calprotectin levels in distinct clinical populations, for example, those with already impaired kidney function or with specific kidney diseases. These future endeavors will be essential in shedding more light on the potential clinical significance of calprotectin as a predictive biomarker in different contexts of CKD.

DISCLOSURE

All the authors declared no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all participants of the PREVEND cohort study.

The Prevention of REnal and Vascular ENd-stage Disease study has been made possible by grants from the Dutch Kidney Foundation (grant no. E.013).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ARB, AEA, RTG, SJLB, and HvG were involved in conceptualization and study design. RTG and SJLB were responsible for funding acquisition and resources. RTG, SJLB, TN, and CH collected all study data. ARB performed data analysis and data visualization. ARB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to results interpretation, critically reviewed the manuscript, contributed to manuscript revision, and read and approved the final version of the manuscript to be submitted for publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary File (PDF)

Figure S1. Direct acyclic graph (DAG) representing the hypothesized causal relationships that underlie the studied association between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of new-onset CKD in the general population.

Table S1. Cox proportional hazards regression analyses for associations between plasma calprotectin levels and the risk of incident CKD, based on its individual determinants (eGFR and UAE).

Table S2. Stratified analyses for the association betweenplasma calprotectin levels and the risk of incident CKDacross various subgroups.

STROBE Statement.

REFERENCES

- Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. Kidney disease: improving global outcomes (KDIGO) CKD work group. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2013;3:1–150.
- Shlipak MG, Day EC. Biomarkers for incident CKD: a new framework for interpreting the literature. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2013;9:478–483. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2013.108
- Gansevoort RT, de Jong PE. The case for using albuminuria in staging chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20: 465–468. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2008111212
- Foell D, Wittkowski H, Roth J. Monitoring disease activity by stool analyses: from occult blood to molecular markers of intestinal inflammation and damage. *Gut.* 2009;58:859–868. https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2008.170019
- Wang S, Song R, Wang Z, Jing Z, Wang S, Ma J. S100A8/A9 in inflammation. *Front Immunol.* 2018;9:1298. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01298

- Teng TS, Ji AL, Ji XY, Li YZ. Neutrophils and immunity: from bactericidal action to being conquered. *J Immunol Res.* 2017;2017:9671604. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9671604
- Kunutsor SK, Flores-Guerrero JL, Kieneker LM, et al. Plasma calprotectin and risk of cardiovascular disease: findings from the PREVEND prospective cohort study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2018;275:205–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis. 2018.06.817
- Bourgonje AR, Bourgonje MF, la Bastide-van Gemert S, et al. Plasma calprotectin levels associate with new-onset hypertension in the general population: a prospective cohort study. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2024;13:e031458. https://doi.org/10.1161/ JAHA.123.031458
- Løfblad L, Hov GG, Åsberg A, Videm V. Calprotectin and CRP as biomarkers of cardiovascular disease risk in patients with chronic kidney disease: a follow-up study at 5 and 10 years. *Scand J Clin Lab Investig.* 2023;83:258–263. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00365513.2023.2211779
- Altwegg LA, Neidhart M, Hersberger M, et al. Myeloid-related protein 8/14 complex is released by monocytes and granulocytes at the site of coronary occlusion: a novel, early, and sensitive marker of acute coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;28:941–948. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehm078
- Bourgonje AR, von Martels JZH, de Vos P, Faber KN, Dijkstra G. Increased fecal calprotectin levels in Crohn's disease correlate with elevated serum Th1- and Th17-associated cytokines. *PLoS One.* 2018;13:e0193202. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0193202
- Gansevoort RT, Correa-Rotter R, Hemmelgarn BR, et al. Chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular risk: epidemiology, mechanisms, and prevention. *Lancet.* 2013;382:339– 352. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60595-4
- Zoccali C, Vanholder R, Massy ZA, et al. The systemic nature of CKD. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2017;13:344–358. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nrneph.2017.52
- Rapa SF, Di Iorio BR, Campiglia P, Heidland A, Marzocco S. Inflammation and oxidative stress in chronic kidney diseasepotential therapeutic role of minerals, vitamins and plantderived metabolites. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;21:263. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms21010263
- Yoshitomi R, Nakayama M, Sakoh T, et al. High neutrophil/ lymphocyte ratio is associated with poor renal outcomes in Japanese patients with chronic kidney disease. *Ren Fail.* 2019;41:238–243. https://doi.org/10.1080/0886022X.2019. 1595645
- Liew PX. Mired in the glomeruli: witnessing live neutrophil recruitment in the kidney. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2021;321:C384–C393. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00429. 2020
- Heinzelmann M, Mercer-Jones MA, Passmore JC. Neutrophils and renal failure. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1999;34:384–399. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0272-6386(99)70375-6
- Hillege HL, Janssen WM, Bak AA, et al. Microalbuminuria is common, also in a nondiabetic, nonhypertensive population, and an independent indicator of cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular morbidity. *J Intern Med.* 2001;249:519– 526. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2796.2001.00833.x
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al, STROBE initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting

observational studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147:573–577. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010

- Post A, Kremer D, Swarte JC, et al. Plasma creatine concentration is associated with incident hypertension in a cohort enriched for the presence of high urinary albumin concentration: the Prevention of Renal and Vascular endstage Disease study. *J Hypertens.* 2021;40:229–239. https://doi.org/10. 1097/HJH.00000000002996
- Bourgonje AR, Bourgonje MF, Post A, et al. Systemic oxidative stress associates with new-onset hypertension in the general population. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2022;187:123–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2022.05.020
- Kappelle PJWH, Gansevoort RT, Hillege JL, Wolffenbuttel BHR, Dullaart RPF, PREVEND study group. PREVEND study group. Apolipoprotein B/A-I and total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratios both predict cardiovascular events in the general population independently of nonlipid risk factors, albuminuria and Creactive protein. J Intern Med. 2011;269:232–242. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2010.02323.x
- Borggreve SE, Hillege HL, Wolffenbuttel BHR, et al. The effect of cholesteryl ester transfer protein -629C->A promoter polymorphism on high-density lipoprotein cholesterol is dependent on serum triglycerides. *J Clin Endoc Metab.* 2005;90:4198–4204. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2005-0182
- Grubb A, Blirup-Jensen S, Lindström V, et al. First certified reference material for cystatin C in human serum ERM-DA471/IFCC. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2010;48:1619–1621. https:// doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.2010.318
- Corsetti JP, Gansevoort RT, Bakker SJ, Sparks CE, Vart P, Dullaart RP. Apolipoprotein B attenuates albuminuriaassociated cardiovascular disease in prevention of renal and vascular endstage disease (PREVEND) participants. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;25:2906–2915. https://doi.org/10.1681/ ASN.2013121256
- Gao J, Ulvik A, McCann A, Ueland PM, Meyer K. Microheterogeneity and preanalytical 520 stability of protein biomarkers of inflammation and renal function. *Talanta*. 2021;223:121774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020. 121774
- Nilsen T, Haugen SH, Larsson A. Extraction, isolation, and concentration of calprotectin 523 antigen (S100A8/S100A9) from granulocytes. *Health Sci Rep.* 2018;1:e35. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/hsr2.35
- Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, et al. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367:20–29. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1114248
- Abbasi A, Corpeleijn E, Gansevoort RT, et al. Role of HDL cholesterol and estimates of HDL particle composition in future development of type 2 diabetes in the general population: the PREVEND study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;98: E1352–1359. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2013-1680
- Ia Bastide-van Gemert S, van den Heuvel E. Exploring causal hypotheses: breaking with long-standing research traditions. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2013;55:975–976. https://doi.org/10. 1111/dmcn.12269
- Lederer DJ, Bell SC, Branson RD, et al. Control of confounding and reporting of results in causal inference studies. Guidance for authors from editors of respiratory, sleep, and

critical care journals. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* 2019;16:22–28. https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201808-564PS

- Tzoulaki I, Elliott P, Kontis V, Ezzati M. Worldwide exposures to cardiovascular risk factors and associated health effects: current knowledge and data gaps. *Circulation*. 2016;133:2314– 2333. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.008718
- Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Larson MG, Massaro JM, Vasan RS. Predicting the 30-year risk of cardiovascular disease: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2009;119:3078–3084. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.816694
- 34. Salzano S, Checconi P, Hanschmann EM, et al. Linkage of inflammation and oxidative stress via release of glutathionylated peroxiredoxin-2, which acts as a danger signal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014;111:12157–12162. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1401712111
- Bao W, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. Persistent elevation of plasma insulin levels is associated with increased cardiovascular risk in children and young adults. The Bogalusa heart study. *Circulation*. 1996;93:54–59. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.93.1.54
- Singh-Manoux A, Shipley MJ, Bell JA, Canonico M, Elbaz A, Kivimäki M. Association between inflammatory biomarkers and all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer-related mortality. *CMAJ*. 2017;189:e384–390. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.160313
- Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2012;32:2045–2051. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATV-BAHA.108.179705
- Peng WH, Jian WX, Li HL, et al. Increased serum myeloidrelated protein 8/14 level is associated with atherosclerosis in type 2 diabetic patients. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2011;10:41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2840-10-41
- Van Goor H, Ding G, Kees-Folts D, Grond J, Schreiner GF, Diamond JR. Macrophages and renal disease. *Lab Investig.* 1994;71:456–464.
- 40. Viemann D, Barczyk K, Vogl T, et al. MRP8/MRP14 impairs endothelial integrity and induces a caspase-dependent and -independent cell death program. *Blood*. 2007;109:2453–2460. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-08-040444
- Della Bona R, Cardillo MT, Leo M, et al. Polymorphonuclear neutrophils and instability of the atherosclerotic plaque: a causative role? *Inflamm Res.* 2013;62:537–550. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00011-013-0617-0
- Soehnlein O. Multiple roles for neutrophils in atherosclerosis. *Circ Res.* 2012;110:875–888. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRE-SAHA.111.257535
- Hofmann MA, Drury S, Fu C, et al. RAGE mediates a novel proinflammatory axis: a central cell surface receptor for S100/ calgranulin polypeptides. *Cell*. 1999;97:889–901. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80801-6
- Ungvari Z, Tarantini S, Donato AJ, Galvan V, Csiszar A. Mechanisms of vascular aging. *Circ Res.* 2018;123:849–867. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.311378
- Weber C, Noels H. Atherosclerosis: current pathogenesis and therapeutic options. *Nat Med.* 2011;17:1410–1422. https://doi. org/10.1038/nm.2538
- Amaya-Garrido A, Brunet M, Buffin-Meyer B, et al. Calprotectin is a contributor to and potential therapeutic target for vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease. *Sci Transl Med.* 2023;15:eabn5939. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed. abn5939

- Pedersen L, Nybo M, Poulsen MK, Henriksen JE, Dahl J, Rasmussen LM. Plasma calprotectin and its association with cardiovascular disease manifestations, obesity and the metabolic syndrome in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2014;14:196. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1471-2261-14-196
- Mylemans M, Nevejan L, Van Den Bremt S, et al. Circulating calprotectin as biomarker in neutrophil-related inflammation: pre-analytical recommendations and reference values according to sample type. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2021;517:149–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2021.02.022
- Morrow DA, Wang Y, Croce K, et al. Myeloid-related protein 8/14 and the risk of cardiovascular death or myocardial infarction after an acute coronary syndrome in the pravastatin or atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy: thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (PROVE IT-TIMI 22) trial. *Am Heart J.* 2008;155:49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2007.08. 018

- Cotoi OS, Dunér P, Ko N, et al. Plasma S100A8/A9 correlates with blood neutrophil counts, traditional risk factors, and cardiovascular disease in middle-aged healthy individuals. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2014;34:202–210. https://doi. org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.113.302432
- Oosterwijk MM, Bakker SJL, Nilsen T, Navis G, Laverman GD. Determinants of increased serum calprotectin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:8075. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms21218075
- Schmaderer C, Kemmner S, Burkhardt K, Heemann U, Baumann M. Serum myeloid-related protein 8/14 complex is associated with microalbuminuria in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis.* 2014;8:80–88. https://doi. org/10.1177/1753944714528270
- Cobanoglu N, Dalkan C, Galip N, Tekguc H, Uncu M, Bahceciler NN. Is calprotectin a marker of tobacco smoke related inflammation?: a pilot study in children. *Inhal Toxicol.* 2012;24:486–491. https://doi.org/10.3109/08958378.2012.693137