

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Nat Commun.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 December 12.

Published in final edited form as: *Nat Commun.*; 3: 901. doi:10.1038/ncomms1903.

Crystal Structure of a Plectonemic RNA Supercoil

Jason R. Stagno¹, Buyong Ma², Jess Li³, Amanda S. Altieri³, R. Andrew Byrd³, and Xinhua Ji¹

¹Macromolecular Crystallography Laboratory, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

²Basic Science Program, SAIC-Frederick, Inc. Center for Cancer Research Nanobiology Program, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

³Structural Biophysics Laboratory, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD 21702, USA.

Abstract

Genome packaging is an essential housekeeping process in virtually all organisms for proper storage and maintenance of genetic information. Although the extent and mechanisms of packaging vary, the process involves the formation of nucleic-acid superstructures. Crystal structures of DNA coiled coils indicate that their geometries can vary according to sequence and/or the presence of stabilizers such as proteins or small molecules. However, such superstructures have not been revealed for RNA. Here we report the crystal structure of an RNA supercoil, which displays one level higher molecular organization than previously reported structures of DNA coiled coils. In the presence of an RNA-binding protein, two interlocking RNA coiled coils of double-stranded RNA, a 'coil of coiled coils', form a plectonemic supercoil. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that protein-RNA interaction is required for the stability of the supercoiled RNA. This study provides structural insight into higher-order packaging mechanisms of nucleic acids.

Protein-mediated condensation of nucleic acids is an essential component of cellular mechanisms involving genetic regulation and storage. Whereas the smaller, circular plasmid DNA of prokaryotes prefers a plectonemic arrangement, the structure of eukaryotic chromatin, as observed in the nucleosome, adopts a solenoidal coil of DNA through histone mediation^{1, 2}. The structural similarities observed for helical forms of DNA and double-stranded (ds) RNA suggest that both nucleic acid types are capable of forming similar

Author Contributions

Additional Information

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Users may view, print, copy, download and text and data- mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to: X.J. (jix@mail.nih.gov).

Current address of A.S.A.: Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland/National Institute of Standards and Technology, 9600 Gudelsky Drive, Rockville, MD 20850, USA.

JRS and JL prepared the protein sample; JRS and XJ determined and interpreted the structure; BM performed the MD simulations; ASA, RAB, and XJ initiated the project and analyzed the results; JRS and XJ wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Accession code: The structural coordinates and diffraction data of *Aa*NusB-RNA have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 4EYA.

higher-order structures. Although RNAs are not involved in the storage of genetic information in free-living organisms, both single-stranded (ss) and dsRNA viruses possess densely packaged RNA genomes. Electron microscopy studies have shown that dsRNAvirus genomes form supercoils within the virus particles^{3–5}. Some ssRNA viruses demonstrate similar genome packaging through the formation of hairpin structures that are arranged into triangles and/or pentagons according to virion symmetry^{6–9}. Thus, it is clear from these studies that RNA, like DNA, is capable of forming higher-order structures that facilitate the protection, storage, and handling of genetic material. However, detailed structural information for higher-order assemblies of RNA, as well as for supercoiled DNA, has been heretofore unavailable.

The N utilization substance proteins B and E (NusB and NusE) interact with BoxA RNA during the initiation of transcription antitermination. To demonstrate how the NusB-NusE heterodimer recognizes a BoxA sequence, we previously determined the crystal structure of Aquifex aeolicus (Aa) NusB-NusE in complex with a ss12-mer BoxA RNA [AaNusB-NusE-BoxA, Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession code 3R2C]¹⁰. At lower temperatures, however, this RNA self-hybridizes to form a duplex with 2-nucleotide (nt) 5' overhangs (Supplementary Fig. S1). Taking advantage of this phenomenon, we have also previously determined the crystal structure of AaNusB-NusE in complex with dsRNA (AaNusB-NusEdsRNA, PDB accession code $(3R2D)^{10}$, and in this present study AaNusB in complex with dsRNA (AaNusB-dsRNA). In the AaNusB-NusE-dsRNA structure, the 12-mer dsRNA exists as a single duplex¹⁰, whereas in the AaNusB-dsRNA structure, this duplex forms a pseudo-continuous double helix. Polymerization of small duplexes to form a pseudocontinuous double helix is common for both nucleic acid types. For example, a DNA pseudo helix is formed by a 12-mer dsDNA with 2-nt 5' overhangs (PDB accession code 1AF1)¹¹, and an RNA pseudo helix is formed by an 11-mer dsRNA with 2-nt 3' overhangs (PDB accession code 2NUG)¹². The pseudo-continuous helices assume different forms in different structures. The aforementioned DNA pseudo helix exists as coiled coils¹¹, whereas the RNA pseudo helix exists as straight A-form dsRNA¹². Strikingly, the RNA pseudo helix in the AaNusB-dsRNA structure assumes the form of coiled coils, and two such coiled coils form a protein-stabilized RNA supercoil.

Results

Overall structure of AaNusB-stabilized RNA supercoil

Here, we report the crystal structure of an AaNuB-stabilized RNA supercoil at 3.2-Å resolution (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table S1). The repeating unit of the RNA is the aforementioned ss12-mer RNA, which self-hybridizes to form a duplex with 2-nt 5' overhangs (Supplementary Fig. S1). The RNA duplexes noncovalently self-polymerize via these overhangs, giving rise to a pseudo-continuous double helix that approximates A'-form dsRNA. Each of these double helices interacts with another to form a plectoneme (Supplementary Fig. S2), which is stabilized by AaNusB protein in an RNA-sequence-independent manner (Supplementary Fig. S3). The global structure in the crystal lattice exists as a continuous array of protein-mediated RNA supercoils, which are evenly spaced and run parallel to the *c* axis of the crystal lattice (Fig. 1a).

The crystal contains a solvent:protein:RNA volume ratio of 0.60:0.29:0.11. The asymmetric unit contains four copies of the *Aa*NusB dimer and a section of the RNA supercoil, 60 nt in length (Supplementary Fig. S3). An apparent screw disorder exists along the axis of each

unit contains four copies of the *Aa*NusB dimer and a section of the RNA supercoil, 60 nt in length (Supplementary Fig. S3). An apparent screw disorder exists along the axis of each coiled coil, a common crystallographic phenomenon observed among structures of nucleic acids¹³. Such screw-related disorder reflects the inherent variations in register of dsRNA with respect to bound protein molecules, which is consistent with the observation that all protein-RNA interactions are independent of nucleotide sequence. In addition, the higherorder assembly of the synthetic 12-mer RNA, which is absent a 5´ terminal phosphate group, does not reveal the otherwise expected gaps in electron density within each pseudocontinuous strand—an ambiguity that is also evident amongst most base pairs (bp) (Fig. 1b). The heterogeneity of the RNA register likely contributes to the moderately low resolution (3.2 Å) and high atomic displacement factors (89.9 Å² by average) of an otherwise wellordered structure (Supplementary Table S1).

AaNusB interacts with both minor and major grooves of RNA

Each of the two subunits of the *Aa*NusB protein dimer uniquely interacts with the RNA supercoil. A-type *Aa*NusB molecules (chains A, C, E, G) interact with both the minor and major grooves of the RNA pseudo helix (Fig. 2), whereas the dsRNA interaction with B-type *Aa*NusB molecules (chains B, D, F, H) likely results from the dimerization of *Aa*NusB, and aids in crystal packing. In both cases, however, the buried surface areas are relatively small (860 Å² and 220 Å² for *Aa*NusB types A and B, respectively).

The minor-groove-binding region of A-type AaNusB is consistent with that observed in the AaNusB-NusE-dsRNA structure (PDB accession code 3R2D)¹⁰. Among eight hydrogen bonds formed between five AaNusB residues (K36, N37, K39, N40, and K113) and the backbone of dsRNA at the minor groove (Fig. 2a), only one (involving N40) is not seen in the AaNusB-NusE-dsRNA structure (Supplementary Fig. S4). Uniquely, however, the A-type AaNusB molecule wedges its N-terminal helix into the major groove of the RNA helix and secures such interaction with two hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2b). This protein-major groove interaction is not observed in the AaNusB-NusE-dsRNA structure (PDB accession code 3R2D)¹⁰ due to the limited size and absence of polymerization of the RNA duplex (Supplementary Fig. S4).

To test the role of protein-RNA interactions in the structural integrity and stability of the RNA supercoil, we have conducted molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for two systems based on the content of the asymmetric unit: four copies of the *Aa*NusB dimer and one section of the RNA supercoil (Supplementary Fig. S3). System I contains the RNA supercoil only. MD simulation suggests that the RNA supercoil is unstable in the absence of protein, demonstrated by a steep rise in the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD), relative to the crystal structure, to a value above 15 Å. System II, on the other hand, which contains the RNA supercoil bound by the eight *Aa*NusB molecules, shows a gradual change in the RMSD, which plateaus at approximately 6 Å during the MD simulation (Supplementary Fig. S5). These results indicate that the protein-RNA interactions are required for RNA-supercoil stability.

The RNA supercoil features a widened major groove

A systematic structural analysis was performed by measuring the helical parameters for each of the coiled coils comprising the crystallographic repeating unit of supercoiled RNA (coil 1: chains a-j, coil 2: chains k-t, Table 1). Given the moderately low resolution, presence of screw disorder, and suboptimal base pairing (Supplementary Fig. S1), nonuniformity throughout the coiled coils is expected. However, when averaged, the helical parameters for each coiled coil of dsRNA are most consistent with the A' conformation, which is emphasised by large major groove widths (Table 1). The widening of the major groove is induced, at least in part, by the insertion of A-type *Aa*NusB molecules, in which the N-terminal amino group of the protein interacts with the RNA backbone (Fig. 2b). This observation is in agreement with previous findings, which indicated that the wider major groove of A'-RNA is more accommodating for interactions with proteins¹⁴. Also, the genetic material from a dsRNA virus was confirmed to be in the A' configuration¹⁵, suggesting that protein-stabilized RNA supercoils may provide biological relevance with respect to dsRNA-virus genome packaging.

As aforementioned, structures of other RNA coiled coils are not available. We therefore compared our structure with two structures of DNA coiled coils, PDB accession codes 2AF1 (ref. 11) and 1KX5 (ref. 16), as shown in Fig. 3. Each structure was analyzed by constructing a two-dimensional projection of the coiled coil(s) and measuring the diameter (width) and pitch (height) of one full turn of one coil, respectively (Fig. 3a-c). The most pronounced difference among these three structures is the plectonemic supercoiling of RNA, as opposed to the solenoidal architecture observed for the DNA coiled coil structures. In the formation of the RNA supercoil (Fig. 3d), the tight braiding of coiled coils creates periodic helical kinks, leading to large deviations in major groove widths (9.4 - 15.6 Å). The minor grooves, on the other hand, show little variation (9.0 - 11.1 Å). In contrast, the continuous, nonuniform bending of the DNA superhelix in the structure of the nucleosome core particle (PDB accession code 1KX5)¹⁶ reveals significant deviations in both major (8.9 - 15.6 Å)and minor (2.5 - 9.4 Å) groove widths (Fig. 3f); the 2.5-Å minor groove width is induced by the insertion of histone-arginine side-chains at several minor groove positions within the nucleosome DNA. In the absence of protein, however, the coiled coiled DNA structure (PDB accession code 2AF1)¹¹, formed by the d(CGATATATATAT) dodecamer, demonstrates only slight to moderate deviations for both major (13.7 - 14.3 Å) and minor (3.7 - 6.6 Å) groove widths (Fig. 3e). Together, the geometric variation among these superstructures reflects the degree of structural flexibility necessary for nucleic acids to achieve various functions and accommodate different molecular and cellular environments.

Discussion

Further comparison of this structure of protein-associated RNA supercoils with previous studies of dsRNA-virus genomes indicates significant biological relevance. The supercoiled RNA in the crystal lattice has a nucleic acid density of 42 bp/100 nm³. Intriguingly, the average genome packing density of dsRNA viruses is ~40 bp/100 nm³ (refs 17, 18). Furthermore, this RNA supercoil is left-handed. This feature is consistent with the left-handed helical filaments observed in untreated Moloney murine leukemia virus, which were

considered to be representative of the nucleocapsid¹⁹. Protein-coated plectonemic supercoils of the *Drosophila* X virus dsRNA genome also appear to be left-handed¹⁵. Given the diversity of dsRNA-binding proteins (dsRBPs), it is likely that other proteins of this type facilitate similar condensation and packaging mechanisms. It has been shown, for example, that a human dsRBP, Staufen, is selectively incorporated into virion particles of human immunodeficiency virus and other retroviruses by aiding in viral genome encapsidation and packaging, thus serving an important role in virion assembly and infectivity²⁰.

In summary, upon comparison with higher-order structures of both DNA and RNA, the structure of the RNA supercoil highlights the versatility of nucleic acids in high-density environments. These results not only increase our understanding of the coiled coiling and supercoiling phenomena of nucleic acids, but also provide a detailed model for the use of these superstructures in achieving essential cellular functions, such as gene regulation and genome packaging. Furthermore, the *Aa*NusB protein, given its sequence-independent interactions with supercoiled RNA, could potentially be utilized to promote the formation and/or crystallization of other nucleic-acid superstructures, or in the construction of novel nanostructures.

Methods

Macromolecular crystallography

The synthetic 12-mer RNA (GGCUCCUUGGCA) used in this experiment is the AaBoxA RNA used in our previous work¹⁰. AaNusB was cloned, expressed, and purified as described²¹ with slight modifications. Briefly, The open reading frame (ORF) encoding NusB (AAC06491) was amplified from genomic DNA by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the following oligodeoxyribonucleotide primers: (A) 5'-CCT CCG CAT ATG AGG TAT CGG AAAGGT GCA AG-3' and (B) 5'-TCC CGC GGA TCC ATT ACT CTG ATT TTA AAC TTG GTT TTT CTT C-3'. The resulting PCR amplicon was cleaved with NdeI and BamHI and then ligated with the NdeI/BamHI vector backbone of pET11c (Novagen, Madison, WI) to create the AaNusB expression vector pKM772. The nucleotide sequence of the insert was confirmed by DNA sequencing. pKM772 bearing BL21* (DE3) frozen stock cells were grown in 1 ml (LB with carbenicillin) at 37 °C for 6 h, followed by addition of 50 ml fresh media. The cells were incubated overnight at 37 °C. The overnight culture was added directly to 1 L fresh LBC media and allowed to grow at 37 °C. The protein was induced at an A_{600nm} = 0.8–1.0, using 1 mM IPTG and grown at 37 °C for 4 h after induction. Cells were harvested by centrifugation. Cell pellet was re-suspended in 30 mM NaPO₄ buffer at pH 6.8. Cells were cracked by sonication and heated to 90 °C for 30 min. The lysate was then centrifuged and the supernatant was applied to an SP sepharose ion-exchange column and eluted with 1 M NaCl in 30 mM NaPO₄ buffer with a linear gradient. The purity of the protein was assessed by SDS-PAGE and/or by mass spectroscopy.

Crystals of *Aa*NusB-RNA were grown at room temperature in 2-µl hanging drops containing equal volumes of protein solution (430 µM *Aa*NusB and 525 µM RNA in 25 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA) and well solution (0.2 M ammonium sulfate and 26% PEG-3350 in 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5). A crystal of *Aa*NusB-RNA was soaked in a

cryoprotectant solution containing 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5, 0.2 M ammonium sulfate, 30% PEG-3350, and 5% glycerol, and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Advanced Photon Source and processed with the HKL-3000 program suite²². Molecular replacement was performed using Phaser²³. The *Aa*NusB-RNA structure was solved using the *Aa*NusB component in the *Aa*NusB-NusE-dsRNA structure (PDB accession code 3R2D)¹⁰, as a search model. The structure was initially solved assuming tetragonal space group P4₁2₁2, but the symmetry was reinterpreted during refinement to be of the C2 monoclinic space group. Because of the specific coincidence of unit cell dimensions, the occurrence of crystal twinning was checked and ruled out. Model building and refinement were carried out using the COOT²⁴ and PHENIX²⁵ programs with non-crystallographic symmetry restraints applied during refinement. The RNA sequence was assigned based on the *Aa*NusB-NusE-dsRNA structure (PDB accession code 3R2D)¹⁰. Due to the absence of 5′ phosphate groups, combined with the heterogeneity of RNA register, the 3′ and 5′ termini of adjacent strands of RNA were refined with a fixed distance of 2.5 Å as to reflect a continuous RNA helix. The final model was validated using MolProbity²⁶. All figures were generated using PyMol²⁷. Data collection and refinement statistics is summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

Molecular dynamics simulations

MD simulations were performed using the NAMD package²⁸ and the Charmm 27 force field^{29, 30}, with constant pressure ensembles (NPT) at 1 atm and a temperature of 300 K. The time step was 2 fs with a SHAKE constraint on all bonds with hydrogen atoms. Productive MD runs were performed after 5,000 steps of minimizations and three 150 ps heating and equilibration runs. Long-range electrostatic interactions were calculated using the Particle Mesh Ewald method³¹. The starting conformations for both systems (System I and System II) were based on the crystal structure, and the missing amino-acid residues were added in random conformation. The simulated molecules were solvated in a TIP3P water box with a margin of at least 10 Å from any edge of the water box. To make the overall system neutral, the program Ionize (Theoretical and Computational Biophysics Group, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Development/MDTools/ionize/license.html) was used to place sodium ions around the negatively charged regions of the RNA. Additional sodium and chloride ions were added to each system to reach an ionic concentration of 0.25 M.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Donald Court, Zbigniew Dauter, Stephen Hughes, Alan Rein, Yun-Xing Wang, and Alexander Wlodawer for discussion and manuscript reading. X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) 22-BM beamline at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Supporting institutions may be found at www.ser-cat.org/members.html. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. The MD simulations were carried out using the high performance computational

facilities of the Biowulf cluster of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research.

References

- Finch JT, Klug A. Solenoidal model for superstructure in chromatin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 1976; 73:1897–1901. [PubMed: 1064861]
- Richmond TJ, Davey CA. The structure of DNA in the nucleosome core. Nature. 2003; 423:145– 150. [PubMed: 12736678]
- Yazaki K, Miura K. Relation of the structure of cytoplasmic polyhedrosis virus and the synthesis of its messenger RNA. Virology. 1980; 105:467–479. [PubMed: 18631679]
- Mizuno A, Sano T, Fujii H, Miura K, Yazaki K. Supercoiling of the genomic double-stranded RNA of rice dwarf virus. J. Gen. Virol. 1986; 67:2749–2755.
- Caston JR, et al. Structure of L-A virus: a specialized compartment for the transcription and replication of double-stranded RNA. J. Cell. Biol. 1997; 138:975–985. [PubMed: 9281577]
- 6. Koning R, et al. Visualization by cryo-electron microscopy of genomic RNA that binds to the protein capsid inside bacteriophage MS2. J. Mol. Biol. 2003; 332:415–422. [PubMed: 12948491]
- van den Worm SH, Koning RI, Warmenhoven HJ, Koerten HK, van Duin J. Cryo electron microscopy reconstructions of the Leviviridae unveil the densest icosahedral RNA packing possible. J. Mol. Biol. 2006; 363:858–865. [PubMed: 16989861]
- Makino DL, Day J, Larson SB, McPherson A. Investigation of RNA structure in satellite panicum mosaic virus. Virology. 2006; 351:420–431. [PubMed: 16677679]
- Toropova K, Basnak G, Twarock R, Stockley PG, Ranson NA. The three-dimensional structure of genomic RNA in bacteriophage MS2: implications for assembly. J. Mol. Biol. 2008; 375:824–836. [PubMed: 18048058]
- 10. Stagno JR, et al. Structural basis for RNA recognition by NusB and NusE in the initiation of transcription antitermination. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011; 39:7803–7815. [PubMed: 21652641]
- 11. De Luchi D, Tereshko V, Gouyette C, Subirana JA. Structure of the DNA coiled coil formed by d(CGATATATATAT). ChemBioChem. 2006; 7:585–587. [PubMed: 16485316]
- Gan J, et al. A stepwise model for double-stranded RNA processing by ribonuclease III. Mol. Microbiol. 2008; 67:143–154. [PubMed: 18047582]
- Mooers BH, Logue JS, Berglund JA. The structural basis of myotonic dystrophy from the crystal structure of CUG repeats. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2005; 102:16626–16631. [PubMed: 16269545]
- 14. Tanaka Y, et al. A'-form RNA double helix in the single crystal structure of r(UGAGCUUCGGCUC). Nucleic Acids Res. 1999; 27:949–955. [PubMed: 9927725]
- Revet B, Delain E. The drosophila X virus contains a 1-microM double-stranded RNA circularized by a 67-kd terminal protein: high-resolution denaturation mapping of its genome. Virology. 1982; 123:29–44. [PubMed: 6815891]
- Davey CA, Sargent DF, Luger K, Maeder AW, Richmond TJ. Solvent mediated interactions in the structure of the nucleosome core particle at 1.9 Å resolution. J. Mol. Biol. 2002; 319:1097–1113. [PubMed: 12079350]
- 17. Caston JR, et al. Three-dimensional structure of penicillium chrysogenum virus: a double-stranded RNA virus with a genuine T=1 capsid. J. Mol. Biol. 2003; 331:417–431. [PubMed: 12888349]
- Luque D, et al. Infectious bursal disease virus is an icosahedral polyploid dsRNA virus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2009; 106:2148–2152. [PubMed: 19164552]
- Pager J, Coulaud D, Delain E. Electron microscopy of the nucleocapsid from disrupted Moloney murine leukemia virus and of associated type VI collagen-like filaments. J. Virol. 1994; 68:223– 232. [PubMed: 8254732]
- Mouland AJ, et al. The double-stranded RNA-binding protein Staufen is incorporated in human immunodeficiency virus type 1: evidence for a role in genomic RNA encapsidation. J. Virol. 2000; 74:5441–5451. [PubMed: 10823848]
- Das R, et al. Structural biophysics of the NusB:NusE antitermination complex. J. Mol. Biol. 2008; 376:705–720. [PubMed: 18177898]

- Otwinowski Z, Minor W. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Methods Enzymol. 1997; 276:307–326.
- 23. McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2007; 40:658–674. [PubMed: 19461840]
- 24. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D. 2004; 60:2126–32. [PubMed: 15572765]
- Adams PD, et al. PHENIX: Building new software for automated crystallographic structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. D. 2002; 58:1948–54. [PubMed: 12393927]
- Chen VB, et al. MolProbity: All-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D. 2010; 66:12–21. [PubMed: 20057044]
- 27. DeLano, WL. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. San Carlos, CA: Delano Scientific; 2002.
- Phillips JC, et al. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. J. Comput. Chem. 2005; 26:1781– 1802. [PubMed: 16222654]
- MacKerell AD, et al. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. J. Phys. Chem. B. 1998; 102:3586–3616. [PubMed: 24889800]
- Foloppe N, MacKerell JAD. All-atom empirical force field for nucleic acids: I. Parameter optimization based on small molecule and condensed phase macromolecular target data. J. Comp. Chem. 2000; 21:86–104.
- Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. Particle Mesh Ewald an N.Log(N) Method for Ewald Sums in Large Systems. J. Chem. Phys. 1993; 98:10089–10092.
- Olson WK, et al. A standard reference frame for the description of nucleic acid base-pair geometry. J. Mol. Biol. 2001; 313:229–237. [PubMed: 11601858]
- Conner BN, Takano T, Tanaka S, Itakura K, Dickerson RE. The molecular structure of d(ICpCpGpG), a fragment of right-handed double helical A-DNA. Nature. 1982; 295:294–299. [PubMed: 7057893]
- 34. Lu XJ, Olson WK. 3DNA: a software package for the analysis, rebuilding and visualization of three-dimensional nucleic acid structures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31:5108–5121. [PubMed: 12930962]



Figure 1. Crystal structure of the plectonemic RNA supercoil

(a) The global structure exists as a continuous network of protein-mediated RNA supercoils, which are evenly spaced and run parallel to the *c* axis of the crystal lattice. Unit cell directions are indicated, but the protein molecules are omitted for clarity. (b) Electron density $(2F_0 - F_c \text{ map contoured at } 1.0 \text{ } \sigma)$ in stereo for the two plectonemic RNA coiled coils, color-coded by strand (coil 1: blue/cyan; coil 2: red/yellow).

Stagno et al.



Figure 2. Stereo representations of the interactions of A-type *Aa*NusB molecules with dsRNA Residues of *Aa*NusB and RNA involved in direct protein-RNA interactions are shown as sticks, with hydrogen-bond distances reported in Å, shown in red. (a) Binding of *Aa*NusB to the minor groove of RNA. (b) Interaction between the N-terminus of *Aa*NusB and the major groove of RNA.

Stagno et al.

Page 11



Figure 3. Schematic illustrations of nucleic-acid superstructures

Shown are 1.5 or 2.0 turns of coiled coils, color coded by individual strands, for crystal structures of (**a**) supercoiled RNA (this work), (**b**) coiled-coiled DNA (PDB accession code 2AF1)¹¹, and (**c**) nucleosome core particle DNA (PDB accession code 1KX5)¹⁶. Pitch and diameter for each coil are indicated. The 5' termini of the red strand of coil 1 and the blue strand of coil 2 of dsRNA are indicated in panel **a**. Close-up view of the observed kinks in

(d) supercoiled RNA, (e) coiled-coiled DNA, and (f) nucleosome core particle DNA, as illustrated by changes in major (in red) and minor (in black) groove widths.

Author Manuscript

Helical parameters for RNA and DNA duplexes

					Groove W	<u>'idth (Å)</u>
	X-disp (Å)	Incline (°)	Rise (Å)	Twist (°)	Minor	Major
A-DNA *	0.1	14.7	2.8	32.5	11.0	2.7
B-DNA *	-4.2	2.1	3.3	36.5	5.7	11.7
A-RNA †	-5.2	16.1	2.8	32.7	10.9	3.8
A'-RNA $\dot{ au}$	-5.3	10.3	3.0	30.0	10.8	8.0
NCP147 DNA Ž	-0.3	5.1	3.2	35.9	6.4	12.1
RNA supercoil						
coil 1: a-j #	-4.4	15.4	2.5	33.4	11.4	13.2
coil 2: k-t $\#$	-4.8	14.8	2.5	33.1	11.3	13.5
* A-DNA and B-DN	VA local ba	se-pair hel	ical para	meters de	termined us	ing the 3D
A-RNA and A ′-R	NA parame	ters repres	ent the a	veraged v	alues for ds	RNA struc
+ Parameters determ	uined by 3D	NA (ref. 3	(4) for th	e 147-bp	nucleosome	core partic

[#] Parameters determined by 3DNA (ref. 34) for the two coiled coils of dsRNA that form the RNA supercoil, each consisting of five continuous RNA duplexee (Supplementary Fig. S3).

reference frame³². Optimized groove widths from previously reported sources³³.