REVIEW

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

A systematic review of the factors influencing microbial colonization of the preterm infant gut

Miriam Aguilar-Lopez D^a, Andrew M. Dinsmoor D^a, Thao T. B. Ho D^b, and Sharon M. Donovan D^{a,c}

^aDivision of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, USA; ^bDepartment of Pediatrics, Morsani College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa, USA; ^cDepartment of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, USA

ABSTRACT

Prematurity coupled with the necessary clinical management of preterm (PT) infants introduces multiple factors that can interfere with microbial colonization. This study aimed to review the perinatal, physiological, pharmacological, dietary, and environmental factors associated with gut microbiota of PT infants. A total of 587 articles were retrieved from a search of multiple databases. Sixty studies were included in the review after removing duplicates and articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria. Review of this literature revealed that evidence converged on the effect of postnatal age, mode of delivery, use of antibiotics, and consumption of human milk in the composition of gut microbiota of PT infants. Less evidence was found for associations with race, sex, use of different fortifiers, macronutrients, and other medications. Future studies with rich metadata are needed to further explore the impact of the PT exposome on the development of the microbiota in this high-risk population.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 23 September 2020 Revised 20 January 2021 Accepted 21 January 2021

KEYWORDS

Preterm infant; gut microbiota; gut colonization; mode of delivery; antibiotics; human milk; dysbiosis

Introduction

The early postpartum period is a critically important time for establishing the gut microbiota. Studies in full-term infants have shown that the characteristics of gut microbial communities are determined by multiple factors, including postnatal age, mode of delivery, diet, antibiotic exposure,¹ geographic location, and ethnicity.² The type of feeding (breastfeeding or formula feeding) and the introduction to solids are the most influential in shaping composition and function of the gut microbiota in the first year of life.^{3,4} By 2- to 3-years of age, the gut microbiota resembles an adult-like microbiota composition.⁵ However, other factors, such as the exposure to antibiotics and hospitalization, can disrupt this trend.^{3,5}

Preterm infants, born less than 37 weeks of gestation, experience many physiological, medical, dietary, and environmental challenges that can detrimentally affect their microbial colonization. The rates of PT birth by cesarean section (C-section) are around 31% worldwide,⁶ and 64% in the USA.⁷ These rates are higher than the prevalence of C-section delivery in

full-term infants, which is about 21%.8 Given their prematurity and compromised health status, PT infants can remain hospitalized in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) for an extended period of time after birth. The total length of stay varies depending on the growth and development of each infant. Infants born at an earlier gestational age (GA) and with lower birth weight spend more time in the NICU.⁹ As part of their medical care, PT infants receive many medications that can influence the gut microbiota, particularly antibiotics. Another critical factor in the treatment of these infants is how they are fed. The goal of the dietary treatments is to optimize the infant's growth by providing adequate calories, macronutrients, and micronutrients via parenteral or enteral routes.¹⁰ To achieve the nutritional goals, PT infants can be fed different types of milk and fortifiers during the course of their hospitalization.¹¹ Taken together, these factors can profoundly influence the establishment of the gut microbiota of infants born preterm.

The way the microbiome develops in early life is critically important, as key mutualistic

© 2021 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

CONTACT Sharon M. Donovan Sdonovan@illinois.edu Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 339 Bevier Hall 905 S. Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801, USA.

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the publisher's website.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

relationships exist between the host, bacterial communities, and their metabolites. Additionally, the microbiome shapes immune development,^{12,13} and is implicated in cognitive development.¹⁴ If this homeostasis is altered by external factors, a dysbiosis in the gut ecosystem can occur, with a greater presence and abundance of pathogenic bacteria.¹⁵ In PT infants, the gut microbial composition is often characterized as dysbiotic,¹⁶ with slower acquisition and an overall lower prevalence of beneficial bacteria.¹⁷ This dysbiosis appears to be associated with a higher risk of developing serious complications including sepsis, and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC),^{16,18,19} which can have detrimental long-term effects on the infant's health, disruption in neurodevelopment. including Previous systematic reviews have investigated how various factors influence PT infants microbiome, including antibiotic use, 20 enteral feeding, 21 and the hospital environment.²² However, these factors do not work in isolation, and no previous systematic review has attempted to capture the full complexity of factors shaping PT infants microbiome. Thus, the goal of this review was to review the literature available regarding the impact of perinatal, physiological, pharmacological, dietary, and environmental factors on the composition of the gut microbiota of PT infants. By holistically examining the multifactorial influences on colonization of PT infant's gut, gaps in the literature will be identified, which will highlight the opportunities for novel interventions aiming to optimize the establishment of these bacterial communities of infants born preterm.

Methods

This systematic review was registered in the PROSPERO database (CRD42020131964) and was conducted according to the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA).²³

Data sources and search strategy

A systematic search was performed in four databases (PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science, and the Cochrane Library) from May to July of 2019. The search terms included: "preterm infant", "premature infant", "extremely premature infant" "gut microbiome", "gut microbiota", "gastrointestinal microbiome", "fecal microbiota", "maternal health", "gestational age", "mode of delivery", "C-section", "cesarean section", "immaturity", "neonatal intensive care unit", "NICU", "hospital environment", "hospitals", "antibiotics", "anti-bacterial agents", "medication", "parenteral nutrition", "enteral nutrition", "breastfeeding", "human milk", "mother's milk", "donor human milk", "preterm formula", "infant formula", "probiotic", "probiotics", "prebiotic", "prebiotics", and "milk fortifier".

Study selection

To be eligible, studies needed to be focused on the gut microbiota of PT infants, conducted in human subjects, and be a cross-sectional, longitudinal, or s clinical trial study. Articles were excluded if they were not in English, no full-text was available, and were published before 2009, when advanced sequencing technologies were not widely used.²⁴ After the literature search, all obtained articles were independently assessed by the two authors (MAL and AMD) to determine those to be included in the review. In the case of disagreements, a third author (SMD) resolved the discrepancies.

Data extraction

The information extracted from each study included: author, year of publication, geographic location of the study sample, study design, sample size, length of study, intervention or exposure, (if applicable), intervention characteristics (if applicable), control group (if applicable), gut microbiota assessment method, 16S rRNA variable region (if applicable), sequencing platform (if applicable), alpha diversity, beta diversity, taxonomy, other gut microbiota related outcomes, and clinical outcomes.

Quality of the evidence and risk of bias assessment

Eligible clinical trials were assessed using the Cochrane Collaboration Tool for assessing risk of bias (RoB2).²⁵ This tool assesses potential research biases in five domains: bias arising from the randomization process, bias due to deviations from

intended interventions, bias due to missing outcome data, bias in measurement of the outcomes, and bias in selection of the reported result. From these domains, an overall risk of bias was assigned to each study. A study was considered as "low risk of bias" if it showed low risk an all five domains, "some concerns" if it raised concerns in at least one of the domains, and "high risk of bias" if a study was high risk of bias in at least one of the domains, or scored "some concerns" in more than one domain.²⁵ Cross-sectional or longitudinal studies were assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for observational studies.²⁶ This tool measures four domains, including participant selection, comparability, exposure, and outcome. The scoring is based on number of stars, cross-sectional studies could receive up to six stars, and longitudinal studies could score a maximum of nine stars.²⁶ All the selected articles were assessed by MAL and AMD.

Results

Study selection

A total of 587 articles were identified through the database search, and four articles were retrieved through cross-reference. After removing duplicates, 170 articles were initially screened by title and abstract. At this step, 99 articles were excluded based on the study design (n = 73), studies performed in animal models or *in vitro* (n = 4), scope of the study (n = 14), year of publication (n = 3), and no abstract availability (n = 5). In total, 71 articles underwent full-text review. In this step, 11 articles were removed due to text not being available in English (n = 2), no availability of full text (n = 2), or scope of the study (n = 7). As shown in (Figure 1), a total of 60 articles were included in the qualitative synthesis.

Study characteristics

Characteristics of the 60 articles are presented in (Table 1). The average sample if the included studies was of 50 infants. Twenty five percent of the studies were clinical trials (n = 15), and 75% observational studies (n = 45). The most common treatments from the intervention studies were the supplementation of prebiotics or probiotics

(n = 14). The determination methods of the gut microbiota of PT infants, summarized in Supplementary Table 1, included bacterial DNA sequencing, bacterial culture, denaturing, and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE and TGGE), terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP), pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF) and microarrays. Most studies used nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) technologies targeting the V3-V4, V4, and V3-V5 regions of the 16S rRNA bacterial gene. The platforms used included Ion Torrent, Roche 454 GS FLX Titanium, and Illumina technologies.

Quality of the evidence

The RoB2 tool, applied to clinical trials, showed that 4/15 studies (26.7%) scored "Some concerns" for the risk of bias. The primary source of bias, summarized in Supplementary Table 2, came from the randomization process,²⁷ deviation from the intended intervention,²⁸⁻³⁰ and the measurement of the outcomes.³¹ One study,³¹ was found to have high risk of bias, and thus it was not included in the description of the results. Evaluation of cohort and case-control studies using NOS are shown in Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4, respectively. Among the cohort studies, 39.4% had a score of nine stars (highest score possible. A score of six was obtained in 20 of the 33 cohort studies, because these studies consisted in only one group of PT infants. Therefore, these cohort studies had no score for the "selection of the non-exposed cohort" and "comparability of cohort on the bases of the design or analysis" sections. Lastly, all the case-control studies had an overall score of nine stars.

Factors affecting the gut microbiota of preterm infants

Perinatal factors

Pregnancy complications. Four observational studies, shown in (Table 2), reported the effect of premature rupture of membranes (PROM),^{32–34}



PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of search strategy.

chorioamnionitis, which is the bacterial infection of the membranes of the placenta and amniotic fluid,^{32,35} prenatal antibiotics,³² and antenatal steroids³⁵ on the gut microbiota composition of PT infants. Infants from mothers who had PROM and/or chorioamnionitis (diagnosed and confirmed by placental pathology) during pregnancy had lower alpha diversity over time compared to those infants whose mothers did not develop these complications.³² However, this association was significantly confounded by the use of antibiotics. Cong et al. found that PROM explained ~2% of the variation of the beta diversity from gut microbiota of PT infants.³³ Chernikova et al. described that, regardless of the use of antibiotics, PT infants exposed to prolonged PROM had higher abundances of Staphylococcus and Streptococcus across time; these infants also showed faster increase in the abundance of Enterobacter, and lower colonization with Clostridium over time.³² In contrast, Zwittink et al. found no association between the

gut microbiota composition of PT infants and the exposure to PROM.³⁴ Infants exposed to chorioamnionitis during gestation, had greater abundances of Serratia, Parabacteroides, and Bradyrhizobium independent of the use of antibiotics.³² It is important to mention that Bradyrhizobium has been described as a common contaminant from NGS techniques which can be detected in samples with low microbial biomass.³⁶ Another observational study found that the relative abundance of Gammaproteobacteria was positively associated with antenatal steroids.³⁵ This same study demonstrated that PT infants showed two different gut bacterial community patterns described as clusters. Cluster 1 with low abundances of Gammaproteobacteria and Cluster 2 with high abundances of Gammaproteobacteria.³⁵ When PT infants from Cluster 2 were exposed to chorioamnionitis (diagnosed by clinical sings) during gestation, the abundances of Gammaproteobacteria were lower, whereas PT infants from Cluster 2

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the systematic review.

				Sample		Intervention or	
Author	Year	Country	Study Design	Size	Sample Characteristics	Exposure	Length of Study
Adbulkadir, et al. 27	2016	USA	Clinical Trial	10	<32 weeks GA	Infloran®	Introduction enteral feeds to 34 weeks cGA
Aly, et al. ⁸²	2017	Egypt	Clinical Trial	40	≤34 weeks GA	Unprocessed clover	d1 to d14 postnatal age
Arboleva, et al. 65	2015	Spain	Observational	27	24–32 weeks GA	noncy	d1 to d90 postnatal age
Armanian, et al ⁸³	2016	Iran	Clinical Trial	50	<37 weeks GA	GOS and FOS	d3 postnatal age until infants
,					≤1500 g BW		reached 150 ml/kg/day milk
Biagi, et al. ⁶⁸	2018	Italy	Observational	16	32-37 weeks GA		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Brooks, et al. ⁸⁹	2014	USA	Observational	2*	<37 weeks GA		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Brooks, et al. 90	2017	USA	Observational	50	<31 weeks GA <1250 g BW		d5 to d28 postnatal age†
Brown, et al. ⁵⁶	2018	USA	Observational	35	<37 weeks GA		d1 to d90 postnatal age
Butcher, et al. 37	2017	Canada	Observational	54	<37 weeks GA <1500 g BW		d1 to d49 postnatal age
Cai, et al. ⁴⁶	2019	Canada	Observational	20	<37 weeks GA		d1 postnatal age to 4 weeks after
,					<1500 g BW		introduction of enteral feeds
Chernikova, et al. 32	2016	USA	Observational	9	24-29 weeks GA		d1 to d54 postnatal age†
Chernikova, et al. 50	2018	USA	Observational	30	<37 weeks GA		Birth until discharge
Cong, et al. 33	2017	USA	Observational	38	28–32 weeks GA		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Costello, et al. 62	2013	USA	Observational	6	<37 weeks GA		d8 to d21 postnatal age
Dahl, et al. ⁵⁹	2018	Norway	Observational	160	<37 weeks GA		d10 to 1-year postnatal age
Esaiassen, et al. 53	2018	Norway	Observational	66	<32 weeks GA	Infloran®	d1 to d120 postnatal age
Forsgren, et al. 17	2016	Finland	Observational	43	32–37 weeks GA		d14 to d180 postnatal age
Gibson, et al. 60	2016	USA	Observational	84	<33 weeks GA		48 h before and 48 after antibiotic exposure
Gómez, et al. ⁶¹	2017	Spain	Observational	16	≤32 weeks GA ≤1200 g BW		d1 to d21 postnatal age Second screening at 2-years postnatal age
Gregory, et al. 51	2015	USA	Observational	29	<32 weeks GA		d1 to d42 postnatal age
Gregory, et al. 57	2015	USA	Observational	30	<32 weeks GA		d1 to d42 postnatal age
Grier, et al. ⁶⁴	2017	USA	Observational	95	23–37 weeks GA		Birth until discharge, second
	2017	0071	e a ser i de la contra la	20	20 07 110010 011		screening at 1-month and 1-year adjusted age
Gupta, et al. 47	2012	USA	Observational	76	≤34 weeks GA	Histamine 2 receptor	One time point at d62 postnatal age
•					≤1500 g BW	blockers	
Ho, et al. ³⁵	2018	USA	Observational	45	<1500 g BW		d1 to d28 postnatal age
Ishizeki, et al. ⁸⁴	2013	Japan	Clinical Trial	40	<37 weeks GA	Bifidobacterium breve or combination of B. breve + Bifidobacterium. longum subsp. Infantis + B. longum subsp. Longum	Initiation of enteral feeds to 8 weeks after
Korpela, et al. ³⁸	2018	Norway	Observational	50	<37 weeks GA ≤1500 g BW	longum	d1 to d60 postnatal age
La Rosa, et al. ⁴⁸	2014	USA	Observational	58	<37 weeks GA \leq 1500 g		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Mai, et al. ⁶³	2013	USA	Observational	28	≤32 weeks GA	PT infants with LOS and Healthy	Birth until discharge
Millar, et al. 73	2017	UK	Clinical trial	115	<31 weeks GA	B. breve	Birth until 36 weeks cGA
Moles, et al. ⁶⁶	2013	Spain	Observational	14	≤32 weeks GA ≤1200 g BW		Birth until discharge
Moles, et al. ⁷⁴	2015	Spain	Observational	26	\leq 32 weeks GA \leq 1200 g BW		Birth until discharge, second
Mshvildadze, et al. 77	2010	USA	Observational	27	<32 weeks GA		Birth until discharge
Normann, et al. ⁶⁷	2012	Sweden	Observational	95	<28 weeks GA	PT infants with NEC and Healthy controls	d1 to d49 postnatal age
Parra-Llorca, et al. 78	2018	Spain	Observational	69	≤32 weeks GA ≤1500 g RW		One time point when full enteral feeds achieved
Pärtty, et al. ⁸⁵	2013	Finland	Clinical Trial	34	32–37 weeks GA	Polydextrose plus	d30 to d365 postnatal age
,				- •	>1500 g BW	GOS or Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	
Patel, et al. 43	2016	USA	Observational	12	<35 weeks GA <2000 a		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Poroyko, et al. ⁷⁹	2011	USA	Observational	11	<37 weeks GA	Breastmilk or PT formula	One time point at 34–36 weeks cGA

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued).

AuthorYearCountryStudy DesignSizeSample CharacteristicsExposureLength of StudyRavi, et al. 442017USAObservational52 <37 weeks GAPT infants with NEC and Healthy wormsited1 to d46 postnatal aget and Healthy activationRougé, et al. 462009FranceClinical Trial94 <32 weeks GAE. longum B8356 and BWBeginning of enteral feeds until dischargeRozé, et al. 462017FranceObservational94 <32 weeks GATalactoferin d1 to d28 postnatal age activatesSim, et al. 522014UKObservational369 <32 weeks GATalactoferin d1 to d30 postnatal age controlsSoeorg, et al. 4602017EstoniaObservational46 <37 weeks GAInfloran*d1 to d30 postnatal age d1 to d30 postnatal age for d37 to 1 moth of life d1 to d30 postnatal ageSoeorg, et al. 4672017EstoniaObservational46 <37 weeks GAInfloran*d1 to d38 postnatal age for d37 to 1 moth of life d1 to d38 postnatal ageJunderwood, et al. 572009USAClinical Trial90 <33 weeks GACulturelle* or romesd1 to d38 postnatal ageUnderwood, et al. 582017USAClinical Trial29 <33 weeks GAS0 normula +HMF, fredUnderwood, et al. 582014USAClinical Trial29 <33 weeks GABorgum subsp. infantis or BWUnderwood, et al. 592017USAObservational					Sample		Intervention or	
Ravi, et al. ⁵⁴ 2017 USA 2017 USA 2017 Cinical Trial 2016 Cinical Trial 201 Cinical Trial 20 Cinica	Author	Year	Country	Study Design	Size	Sample Characteristics	Exposure	Length of Study
Rougé, et al. **2009FranceClinical Trial94<32 weeks GA8. longum S33 and Beginning of enteral feeds until dischargeRozé, et al. **2017FranceObservational94<32 weeks GA	Ravi, et al. ⁵⁴	2017	USA	Observational	52	<37 weeks GA	PT infants with NEC and Healthy controls	d1 to d46 postnatal age†
Rozé, et al. ⁶⁶ 2017 France Observational 94 <32 weeks GA	Rougé, et al. ⁸⁶	2009	France	Clinical Trial	94	<32 weeks GA <1500 g BW	B. longum BB536 and L. rhamnosus GG	Beginning of enteral feeds until discharge
Sherman, et al. ³¹ 2016 USA Clinical Trial 120 <37 weeks GA	Rozé, et al. ⁸⁸	2017	France	Observational	94	<32 weeks GA		Birth until discharge
Sim, et al. 522014UKObservational369 $< 32 week^{3}$ GAPT infants with NEC and Healthy controls1 to d30 postnatal age and Healthy controlsSoeorg, et al. 40 Tauchi, et al. 552017EstoniaObservational49 $< 37 weeks$ GAIf forantsd1 to d30 postnatal age from day 5 to 1 month of lifeUnderwood, et al. 57 Underwood, et al. 502009USAClinical Trial90 $< 35 weeks$ GAClinical* or ProBioPlus DDS*d1 to d28 postnatal age 	Sherman, et al. ³¹	2016	USA	Clinical Trial	120	<37 weeks GA ≤1250 g BW	Talactoferrin	d1 to d28 postnatal age
Seecry et al. ⁸⁰ Stewart, et al. ⁴⁰ 20172017 UK Observational Observational 17Estonia 	Sim, et al. ⁵²	2014	UK	Observational	369	<32 weeks GA	PT infants with NEC and Healthy controls	d1 to d30 postnatal age
Stewarr, et al. 40 2017 UK Observational 46 <37 weeks GA	Soeorg, et al. ⁸⁰	2017	Estonia	Observational	49	<37 weeks GA		d1 to d30 postnatal age
Tauchi, et al. ⁵⁵ 2019 Japan Observational 17 <37 weeks GA	Stewart, et al. 40	2017	UK	Observational	46	<37 weeks GA	Infloran®	d1 to d100 postnatal age
Underwood, et al. ⁸⁷ 2009 USA Clinical Trial 90 <335 weeks GA Culturelle [®] of 1 to d28 postnatal age or discharge or ProBioPlus DDS ⁹ Underwood, et al. ³⁰ 2013 USA Clinical Trial 21 <333 weeks GA <i>8. longum</i> subsp. dl 1 to d35 postnatal age <i>infantis</i> or <i>Bifidobacterium animalis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> Underwood, et al. ²⁸ 2014 USA Clinical Trial 39 <333 weeks GA <1500 g BW <i>infantis</i> or <i>BW or MOM</i> + HMF, or MOM + BMF Underwood, et al. ²⁹ 2017 Australia Clinical Trial 29 <37 weeks GA <i>B. breve</i> M16-V Initiation of enteral feeds to 3 weeks cGA <i>fisted age of the transport of transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of the transport of transport</i>	Tauchi, et al. 55	2019	Japan	Observational	17	<37 weeks GA		From day 5 to 1 month of life
Underwood, et al. ³⁰ 2013 USA Clinical Trial 21 <33 weeks GA <i>B. longum</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> Underwood, et al. ²⁸ 2014 USA Clinical Trial 39 <33 weeks GA <1500 g BW <i>infantis</i> or <i>BW BW BW BW BW BW BW BT Formula</i> + GOS, or PT formula + GOS, or MOM + HMF, or MOM + BMF Underwood, et al. ³¹ 2015 USA Observational 14 <37 weeks GA MOM One time point at 30 weeks CGA Underwood, et al. ³² 2017 Australia Clinical Trial 29 <37 weeks GA <i>B. breve</i> M16-V Underwood, et al. ⁴¹ 2018 USA Observational 32 <1250 g BW Westerbeek, et al. ⁶⁹ 2017 Netherlands Clinical Trial 113 <23 weeks GA FOS + GS +	Underwood, et al. ⁸⁷	2009	USA	Clinical Trial	90	<35 weeks GA	Culturelle® or ProBioPlus DDS®	d1 to d28 postnatal age or discharge
Underwood, et al. 282014USAClinical Trial39<33 weeks GA <1500 g BWPT formula + GOS, or PT formula + HMF, or MOM + HMF, or MOM + BMFFor 5 weeks after initiation of enteral feedsUnderwood, et al. 81 Underwood, et al. 81 Underwood, et al. 41 Underwood, et al. 412015USA AustraliaObservational Clinical Trial14 29<37 weeks GA	Underwood, et al. ³⁰	2013	USA	Clinical Trial	21	<33 weeks GA <1500 g BW	B. longum subsp. infantis or Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis	d1 to d35 postnatal age
Underwood, et al. $\frac{81}{29}$ 2015USA AustraliaObservational Clinical Trial14 29<37 weeks GA <37 weeks GAMOM B. breve M16-VOne time point at 30 weeks cGA Initiation of enteral feeds to 3 weeks afterWandro, et al. $\frac{41}{29}$ 2017AustraliaClinical Trial29<37 weeks GA	Underwood, et al. ²⁸	2014	USA	Clinical Trial	39	<33 weeks GA <1500 g BW	PT formula + GOS, or PT formula + HMF, or MOM + HMF, or MOM + BMF	For 5 weeks after initiation of enteral feeds
Underwood, et al. 292017AustraliaClinical Trial29<37 weeks GAB. breve M16-VInitiation of enteral feeds to 3 weeks afterWandro, et al. 41 Westerbeek, et al. 692018USA 2012Observational32≤1250 g BWGOS + FOS + AOS BWd1 to d75 postnatal age1Younge, et al. 76 Younge, et al. 58 Zeber-Lubecka, et al.2017USA PolandClinical Trial32<37 weeks GA BWFish oil + Safflower Dierol*Initiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks afterYounge, et al. 58 442016USA PolandObservational Clinical Trial60 S5<28 weeks GA 25-33 weeks GAFish oil + Safflower Dierol*Initiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks 	Underwood, et al. ⁸¹	2015	USA	Observational	14	<37 weeks GA	MOM	One time point at 30 weeks cGA
Wandro, et al. $\frac{41}{2018}$ 2018USA 2012Observational Clinical Trial32 113 ≤ 1250 g BWd1 to d75 postnatal age1 d3 to d30 postnatal ageWesterbeek, et al. $\frac{69}{2012}$ NetherlandsClinical Trial113 2018 ≤ 32 weeks GA ≤ 1500 g BWGOS + FOS + AOS BWGOS + FOS + AOS BWd3 to d30 postnatal ageYounge, et al. $\frac{76}{2017}$ USAClinical Trial32 2019 < 37 weeks GA Clinical TrialFish oil + Safflower BWInitiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks afterYounge, et al. $\frac{58}{44}$ 2019USA PolandObservational Clinical Trial60 55 < 28 weeks GA 25-33 weeks GADierol*Initiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks afterZhou, et al. $\frac{42}{2015}$ USA USAObservational Clinical Trial38 28 28 232 weeks GA < 28 weeks GA 215Dierol*d1 to d60 postnatal age or discharge and Healthy controlsZhu, et al. 70 2017China China Observational36 28 28 28 28 28 28 232 weeks GAPostnatal antibiotics 28 29-30 weeks GAPostnatal antibiotics 21017d1 to d60 postnatal age d1 to d60 postnatal ageZhu, et al. 70 20172017 NetherlandsChina Observational36 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 29-30 weeks GAPostnatal antibiotics 28 29-30 weeks GAd1 to d7 postnatal age d1 to d42 postnatal age d1 to d42 postnatal ageZhu, et al. 71 2018China China Observational36 28 28 28 28 28 28 29-30 weeks GA <td< td=""><td>Underwood, et al. 29</td><td>2017</td><td>Australia</td><td>Clinical Trial</td><td>29</td><td><37 weeks GA</td><td>B. breve M16-V</td><td>Initiation of enteral feeds to 3 weeks after</td></td<>	Underwood, et al. 29	2017	Australia	Clinical Trial	29	<37 weeks GA	B. breve M16-V	Initiation of enteral feeds to 3 weeks after
Westerbeek, et al. 69 2012NetherlandsClinical Trial113 ≤ 32 weeks GA ≤ 1500 g BWGOS + FOS + AOSd3 to d30 postnatal ageYounge, et al. 76 2017USAClinical Trial32 < 37 weeks GAFish oil + SafflowerInitiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks afterYounge, et al. 58 2019USAObservational60 < 28 weeks GABirth until 40 weeks cGA or discharge d1 to d42 postnatal ageZeber-Lubecka, et al.2016PolandClinical Trial55 $25-33$ weeks GADierol*d1 to d42 postnatal ageZhou, et al. 42 2015USAObservational38 < 32 weeks GAPT infants with NEC and Healthy controlsd1 to d60 postnatal age or dischargeZhu, et al. 70 2017ChinaObservational36 $28-37$ weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d7 postnatal ageZou, et al. 71 2018ChinaObservational28 < 32 weeks GAPrenatal antibioticsd1 to d60 postnatal age or discharge d1 to d42 postnatal age or discharge d1 to d42 postnatal ageZwittink, et al. 45 2017NetherlandsObservational10 $25-30$ weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d42 postnatal ageZwittink, et al. 34 2018NetherlandsObservational15 $32-37$ weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d42 postnatal age	Wandro, et al. 41	2018	USA	Observational	32	≤1250 g BW		d1 to d75 postnatal age ¹
Younge, et al. 762017USAClinical Trial32<37 weeks GAFish oil + SafflowerInitiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks afterYounge, et al. 58 Zeber-Lubecka, et al.2019USAObservational Clinical Trial60<28 weeks GA	Westerbeek, et al. ⁶⁹	2012	Netherlands	Clinical Trial	113	\leq 32 weeks GA \leq 1500 g BW	GOS + FOS + AOS	d3 to d30 postnatal age
Younge, et al.58 20192019 2016USA PolandObservational Clinical Trial60 55<28 weeks GA 25-33 weeks GABirth until 40 weeks cGA or discharge d1 to d42 postnatal ageZhou, et al.422015USA VSAObservational Clinical Trial38 S6<32 weeks GA 25-33 weeks GAPT infants with NEC and Healthy controlsd1 to d60 postnatal age or discharge and Healthy controlsZhu, et al.70 20172017 China ObservationalObservational 28 Observational36 28-37 weeks GA <32 weeks GA	Younge, et al. ⁷⁶	2017	USA	Clinical Trial	32	<37 weeks GA	Fish oil + Safflower	Initiation of enteral feeds to 10 weeks after
Zeber-Lubecka, et al.2016PolandClinical Trial5525–33 weeks GADierol*d1 to d42 postnatal ageZhou, et al.422015USAObservational38<32 weeks GA	Younge, et al. ⁵⁸	2019	USA	Observational	60	<28 weeks GA		Birth until 40 weeks cGA or discharge
Zhou, et al. 422015USAObservational38<32 weeks GAPT infants with NEC and Healthy controls41 to d60 postnatal age or discharge and Healthy controlsZhu, et al. 702017ChinaObservational3628–37 weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d7 postnatal ageZou, et al. 712018ChinaObservational28<32 weeks GA	Zeber-Lubecka, et al.	2016	Poland	Clinical Trial	55	25-33 weeks GA	Dierol®	d1 to d42 postnatal age
Zhu, et al.702017ChinaObservational3628–37 weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d7 postnatal ageZou, et al.712018ChinaObservational28<32 weeks GA	Zhou, et al. 42	2015	USA	Observational	38	<32 weeks GA	PT infants with NEC and Healthy controls	d1 to d60 postnatal age or discharge
Zou, et al.712018ChinaObservational28<32 weeks GAPrenatal antibioticsd1 to d60 postnatal age or dischargeZwittink, et al.452017NetherlandsObservational1025–30 weeks GAd1 to d42 postnatal ageZwittink, et al.342018NetherlandsObservational1532–37 weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d42 postnatal age	Zhu, et al. ⁷⁰	2017	China	Observational	36	28–37 weeks GA	Postnatal antibiotics	d1 to d7 postnatal age
Zwittink, et al.452017NetherlandsObservational1025–30 weeks GAd1 to d42 postnatal ageZwittink, et al.342018NetherlandsObservational1532–37 weeks GAPostnatal antibioticsd1 to d42 postnatal age	Zou, et al. ⁷¹	2018	China	Observational	28	<32 weeks GA	Prenatal antibiotics	d1 to d60 postnatal age or discharge
Zwittink, et al. ³⁴ 2018 Netherlands Observational 15 32–37 weeks GA Postnatal antibiotics d1 to d42 postnatal age	Zwittink, et al. 45	2017	Netherlands	Observational	10	25-30 weeks GA		d1 to d42 postnatal age
	Zwittink, et al. ³⁴	2018	Netherlands	Observational	15	32–37 weeks GA	Postnatal antibiotics	d1 to d42 postnatal age

* Multiple sampling of the same infants throughout time, a total of 93 stool samples were collected.

+ Follow-up varied among participants.

Infloran[®]: Lactobacillus acidophilus + Bifidobacterium bifidum; ProBioPlus DDS[®]: Lactobacillus acidophilus + Bifidobacterium longum + Bifidobacterium bifidum + Bifidobacterium infantis + inulin; Culturelle[®]: Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG + inulin; Dierol[®]: Saccharomyces. Boulardii.

AOS: acidic oligosaccharides; BMF: bovine milk-based fortifier; BW: birth weight; cGA: corrected gestational age; FOS: fructooligosaccharides; GA: gestational age; GOS: galactooligosaccharides; HMF: human milk-based fortifier; HMOs: human milk oligosaccharides; LOS: late onset sepsis; MOM: mother's own milk; NEC: necrotizing enterocolitis; PT: preterm.

exposed to antenatal steroids had higher abundances of Gammaproteobacteria.³⁵

Mode of delivery. A total of 21 studies reported associations between mode of delivery and the characteristics of the gut microbiota of PT infants, shown in (Table 2). One longitudinal study that followed PT infants from birth until discharge found that, over time, infants born via C-section had higher alpha diversity (Simpson diversity index) compared to vaginally delivered infants.³² However, a large number

of studies reported no associations between mode of delivery and alpha diversity.^{37–42} Similarly, most of the studies found no differences in beta diversity by mode of delivery.^{37,40,43–45} Only two observational studies reported that mode of delivery explained 1.93%³⁷ to 12%⁴¹ of the variation of beta diversity of the fecal microbiota of PT infants. It is important to note that results from Butcher et al. came from PT infants exclusively fed mother's own milk (MOM).³⁷ A cohort study analyzed the fecal microbiota composition of PT infants during early and late feeding

Table 2. Pe	erinat	al factors and gut microbiota composition of PT	infants.	
Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
Preanancy		Complications	32	I diversity across time in PT infants exposed to PPPROM and/or chorioamnionitis
, ,	ŝ	 \$taphylococcus and Streptococcus across time, faster increase of Enterobacter, and lower increase in Clostridium when exposed to PPROM \$seratia, Parabacteroides, and Bradyrhizobium when exposed to chorioamnionitis No association between PROM and Gini-Simpson diversity index 	PROM explained ~2% of the variance from Bray- Curtis dissimilarity index	-
	35	,		 Gammaproteobacteria when exposed to chorioamnionitis only in PT infants belonging to Cluster 2* Gammaproteobacteria associated with antenatal steroids only in PT infants heloncinc to Cluster 2*
34			No association between PROM and gut microbiota composition	
Mode of Deliverv	65			† Bacteroides in vaginally delivered PT infants at 10 days postnatal age
	37	Over time, no differences in Shannon diversity index by mode of delivery in PT infants fed MOM	No association in between mode of delivery and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index Mode of delivery explained ~1% of the variation in PT infants fed MOM During late stage of enteral feeds† mode of delivery was associated with Unweighted UniFrac distances	Bacilli in PT infants fed MOM born via C-section during the first 3-weeks of postnatal age
	32	t Simpson diversity index in PT infants born via C-section		 Enterobacter, Pantoea, Citrobacter, Kluyvera, Erwinia and Klebsiella in vaginally delivered PT infants Bacteroides positively associated with vaginal birth
	5 53			At 7 days postnatal age, no differences in microbial composition by mode of delivery † <i>Bacteroides</i> over time in vaginally delivered PT infants
	47 35			 Proteobacteria in vaginally delivered PT infants Firmicutes in PT infants born by C-section Cammanorteoharteria in vacinally delivered PT infants at <2 weeks nostnatal and
	38 48	No association between observed OTUs and mode of delivery		↑ Staphylococcus in vaginally delivered PT infracts ↑ Staphylococcus in vaginally delivered PT infracts No differences in <i>Enterococcus</i> and <i>Bifdobacterium</i> by mode of delivery infants born -26 weeks GA via C-section: ↑ Bacilli and ↓ Gammaproteobacteria Infants born 26–28 weeks GA via C-section: ↑ Bacilli
	21	In meconium, and stool of >7 days postnatal age, no difference in Simpson diversity index by mode of delivery		
	43		No association between mode of delivery and Unweighted UniFrac distances	
	54 52			No association with microbial composition and mode of delivery
	64	No differences in Observed OTUs by mode of delivery Vaginally delivered infants kept more OTUs from birth than C-section at 2-months postnatal age and after discharge	No association between mode of delivery and Unweighted UniFrac distances	During first week postnatal age, vaginally delivered PT infants belonged to cluster dominated by <i>Escherichia</i> , and PT infants delivered via C-section belonged to cluster dominated by <i>Klebsiella</i>
	55 41	No differences in Shannon diversity index by mode of delivery	Mode of delivery explained 12% of the variation of Weighted UniFrac distances	No association with microbial composition and mode of delivery Only vaginally delivered PT infants were colonized with <i>Bacteroides</i>
				(Continued)

sition of PT infants rohiota . ζ Dorinatal facto ſ

Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
	44		No association between mode of delivery and PCA	After supplementation with probiotics‡, <i>Bacteroides</i> and <i>Parabacteroides</i> were only present in vaginally delivered PT infants Mode of delivery significantly predictor of <i>Bacteroides</i> and <i>Parabacteroides</i> abundance
	⁴² No difference delivery	s in Shannon diversity index by mode of		
	45		No association between mode of delivery and	
			mode of delivery in KDA	
Cluster 2 c	of taxonomic compos	ition that was characterized by higher abu	undances of Gammaproteobacteria compared to Clu	ister 1.

Table 2. (Continued)

5A: gestational age; MOM: mother's own milk; OTU: operational taxonomic unit; PCA: principal component analysis; PPROM: prolonged preterm premature rupture of membranes; PROM: prematur 2-4 weeks after introductions Supplementation with Dierol®

PT: preterm; RDA: redundancy analysis.

stages, representing 1 to 2 weeks and 2 to 4 weeks after the introduction of enteral feeding, respectively. The authors found that mode of delivery was significantly associated with beta diversity (Unweighted UniFrac distances) only during the late feeding time points.⁴⁶

Thirteen publications reported significant modifications in the taxonomic profile of PT infants depending on mode of delivery. A casecontrol study looking at the effect of histamine-2 receptor (H-2) blocker, found that Proteobacteria abundance was significantly lower in vaginallydelivered infants compared to infants born via C-section.⁴⁷ Ho et al. reported that the abundance of Firmicutes was positively associated with birth via C-section.³⁵ At class level, this same study reported positive association а between Gammaproteobacteria abundances and vaginal delivery at ≤ 2 weeks of postnatal age. This difference was mainly attributed to PT infants belonging to a cluster of colonization characterized by high abundances of Gammaproteobacteria.³⁵ In accordance with this, La Rosa et al. found that the abundances of Gammaproteobacteria were negatively associated with C-section delivery only in infants born less than 26 weeks of GA.⁴⁸ It was also reported by two different authors that the abundance of Bacilli was greater in PT infants C-section.^{37,48} delivered via Furthermore, vaginal delivery was positively associated with the abundances of Bacteroides, 41,44,49-51 Parabacteroides,⁴⁴ Staphylococcus,³⁸ and Enterobacteriaceae,⁵² and was negatively associated with the abundances of Enterobacter, Pantotea, Kluyvera, Erwinia, Klebsiella³² and Clostridium.⁵² Differences between mode of delivery and gut microbiota composition seem to be more pronounced soon after birth, and diminish over postnatal time. A longitudinal study over the first 100 days of life of PT infants reported that during the first week after birth, vaginally delivered infants belonged a bacterial cluster dominated by Escherichia, and infants born via C-section were more likely to associate with a cluster dominated by Klebsiella.⁴⁰ Although these differences remained similar during the first four consecutive weeks of postnatal age, after the fifth week, both groups (vaginally delivered and C-section) showed similar patterns of colonization.⁴⁰ Finally, a total of four studies found no differences in gut microbial composition and mode of delivery.^{38,53–55}

Physiological factors

Ethnicity and sex. Few data exist regarding associations between ethnicity and sex and the gut microbial colonization of PT infants, as shown in (Table 3). A longitudinal observational study reported associations between race and the abundances of Firmicutes, and Gammaproteobacteria. The abundance of Firmicutes was positively associated with Latino ethnicity in PT infants with a colonization pattern low in Gammaproteobacteria abundance was positively associated with Latino ethnicity.³⁵

In terms of differences in microbiota by infant sex, Cong et al. showed that alpha diversity, measured by the Gini-Simpson diversity index, was positively associated with female sex.³³ As for beta diversity, sex explained 6% of the variance from the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index.³³ In contrast, two publications found no differences in alpha diversity,⁴² and beta diversity³⁴ associated with infant's sex.

Weight and growth. Four studies, summarized in (Table 3), reported differences in the gut microbial composition depending on weight and growth rate. Two observational studies found birth weight to be significantly associated with gut microbiota beta diversity of PT infants.^{54,56} Gregory et al. reported that, after birth, there were significant differences in beta diversity between PT infants with extremely low birth weight (ELBW, birth weight <1000 g) and PT infants with very low birth weight (VLBW, birth weight <1500 g).⁵⁷ This same study, observed differences in the taxonomic composition by birth weight. However, these differences were primarily observed in infants fed PT formula. Across time, the abundances of Lactobacillales were higher in ELBW infants compared to VLBW infants. In conabundance of Clostridiales and trast, the Enterobacteriales was greater in VLBW across time compared to ELBW.57

A longitudinal study analyzed the association between growth and gut microbial colonization.⁵⁸ The authors compared PT infants that presented growth failure (weight below the 3rd percentile of the Fenton growth charts) at 40-weeks postmenstrual age

and PT infants with appropriate growth. In the first nine weeks postnatal age, alpha diversity (Shannon diversity index) was lower in infants with growth failure.⁵⁸ Infants that had growth failure had higher abundances of Staphylococcaceae and Bacteroideceae during the first weeks postnatal age, but during the third and ninth week of life, PT infants had greater abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Erysipelotrichaceae*.⁵⁸ In the appropriate postnatal growth group, the authors found significant differences over time (1-9 weeks postnatal age) in bacteria of the family Bacillaceae, Streptococcaceae, Peptostreptococcaceae, Veillonellaceae, Lachnospira-Micrococcaceae, Tissierellaceae ceae. and Clostridiaceae.58 Furthermore, this same group created a gut microbiota maturity index to investigate its association with growth. The final model of this maturity index included the following discriminatory bacteria: Lactobacillales, Peptostreptococcaceae, Clostridiaceaceae, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Veillonella, Enterococcus, Rahnella, Bifidobacterium, and Erwinia.⁵⁸ Even though the relative microbiota maturity index was positively correlated with postmenstrual age, infants with growth failure had significantly lower values of this index compared to infants with appropriate growth.⁵⁸

Birth gestational age, postnatal age, and corrected gestational age. A total of 28 studies, shown in (Table 3), reported differences in diversity and composition of PT infants gut microbiota based on GA at birth, postnatal age, and corrected GA. Two longitudinal studies reported significant associations between GA at birth and different diversity indices.^{50,59} Dahl et al. analyzed the gut microbiota composition of PT infants at three different time points: 10 days, 4 months, and 1 year after birth. The authors found that Shannon diversity index was positively associated with GA at birth during the first 10 days postnatal age, even after controlling for exposure to antibiotics.⁵⁹ Similar results were found by Chernikova et al. where after adjusting for postnatal age, antibiotic use, delivery mode and consumption of human milk, extremely PT infants (born <28 weeks GA) had significantly lower alpha diversity (measured by the Simpson diversity index) compared to very PT infants (born 28-32 weeks GA) and to moderate/late PT infants (born 32–37 weeks GA).⁵⁰ Whereas alpha diversity

Table 3. Physiologic	llcal lav	רנטוס מווח אמר וווורו טטוטנמ בטוווטטוו טו דר וו	ntants.	
Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
Ethnicity	35			Firmicutes*, 1 Gammaproteobacteria in PT infants of Latino ethnicity
Sex	æ	Gini-Simpson diversity index in female PT infants	Sex explained 6% of the variance from Bray-	-
	34		Curtis dissimilarity index	
			No association between sex and gut microbiota composition (RDA)	
	42	No association between Shannon diversity index	-	
Weight and Growth	56		Significant association between community	
	22		composition and BW	1 V) - 1
	i		vignificant association between ELBW, VLBW and Bray Curtis distances and	Clobacteriales in ELBW intants feat PT formula at 28–50 weeks coAT Clostridiales in VLBW infants fed PT formula over time
	54		Unweight UniFrac distances Association between birth weight and	
	85		microbiota composition (PLS-DA)	
	R	 Shannon diversity index in P1 infants with growth failure‡ 		1 Staphylococcaceae, Bacteroideaceae at 0–4 weeks postnatal age in P1 infants with growth failure‡
) J		Enterobacteriaceae and Erysipelotrichaceae at 3–9 weeks postnatal age in PT infants with postnatal arouth failure+
				 Bacillaceae, Streptococcaceae, Peptostreptococcaceae, Veillonellaceae,
				Lachnospiraceae, Micrococcaceae, Tissierellaceae and Clostridiaceae at 1–9 weeks
Gestational Age Doctnatal	al 65			postnatal age in P1 infants with appropriate growth
age and Corrected	5			Contractionation at 2 augs postnated age
uestational Age	33		GA explained ~2% of the variance from	i biliaooacteriani at 30-30 aays postnatal age
	07		Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index	
	8 %			Bifidobacterium positively correlated with postnatal age
			signincant association between community composition and GA, and cGA	signincant association between <i>Propionipactenum sp</i> and coA
	37	Annon diversity index over time in PT infants	GA explained 1.28% of the variation	t Bacilli during early time points
		fed MOM	Postnatal age explained 7.73% of the variation in PT infants fed MOM	↓ Bacilli after 21 days postnatal age in PT infants fed MOM ↑ Clostridia over time
				Gammaproteobacteria remained stable over time in PT infants fed MOM
	32			Staphylococcus, Escherichia and Shigella over time A Willwoold Commences and Estimation over time
	50	1 Simpson diversity index over time		Treprocess and Bifdobacterium in PT infants born >32 weeks GA
		 Simpson diversity index in extremely PT 		† Bacteroides and ↓ Parabacteroides in PT infants born >32 weeks GA at 6 weeks
		infants compared to moderate and very PT infantes		postnatal age * <i>Dantaea</i> in moderate DT infants
		↑ Simpson diversity index in PT infants born ≥		t autoea in moustage reminents Castobacillus and Streptococcus positively associated with cGA
		32 weeks GA across time		
		No association between cGA and Simpson		
	62	diversity index		
			aignincant association between postnatal age and UniFrac distances	
	29	A Shannon diversity index positively associated		
	17	with GA at 10 days postnatal age		Delaved colonization with Bifdobacterium
	60	 Richness over time positively associated with postnatal age 		
				(Continued)

Eartor	Dof Alnha diversity	Rata diversity	Ταννητικο
	1.c. A. Character all construction of the cons	טרנמ מוערוטונץ	
	 Shannon diversity index positively associate with mostrate and 	0	Enterovacter aerogenes, Enterococcus spp., Escnericrita coli, vranuncatenia spp., Klaheialla maximanina. Drataus: Corratia and Vorsinia at 31 dave mastnatal and
	51 אוווו טטגוומומו מטר 15		Neusienia priedinarinae, rroteas, serrana ana refisirna at 21 aays positiatat age
	57 4 61 41 11 11 11 11		
	The start of the second s	a bignincant association between postnatal ومد معد معد معد المعامية معد معد معد المعامية معد معد معد معد معد م	1 baciliales and Lactobaciliales at 28–30 weeks cue, particularly if formula-fed PI infante
	שונוו הסטנוומנמו משב מוות כסה (ובשמותובש תובני	age and bray curus distances	Enterohacteriales and Cloctridiales in MOM and formula-fed DT infants
	64		 Enterobacteriates and elositivates in more and roundated in intants Result at 7.0 works morthemetrical and
			Determine at 222 weeks postification and age Cammanization bacteria at 28–36 weeks most mentional age
			Cummipporcessation at 20 30 weeks include and
	35 1 Observed OTUs, phylodiversity, Shannon, Cl	1001	Cammanroteobacteria. Clostridia and Actinobacteria positively associated with
	and Simpson diversity indices positively		nostnatal acre
	associated with postnatal age		↓ Bacilli over time
	³⁸ † Observed OTUs over time		Progression from Staphylococcus-Enterococcus dominated gut microbiota during early
			points after birth to Enterobacter dominated, and finally Bifidobacterium dominated at
			later points
	48		Bacilli in early time points (<28 days postnatal age)
			Clostridia in later time points (28 to >56 days postnatal age)
	63		↓ Proteobacteria over time in healthy PTI
	66		Computercontrols in merciniting and stool at 1-week postnatal are
			f Enterococcus at 2- and 3-weeks postnatal age
			The Prevalence of Servatia in PT infants born <30 weeks GA
			Provionibacterium. Lactobacillus plantarum. Streptococcus intermedius. and
			Strentoroccus mitis at 3 weeks nostnatal are
			↑ Bacteroides solachnicus. Enterococcus. Clostridia. Veillonella. Clostridium difficile.
			E. coli, K. pneumoniae, Pseudomonas, Serratia and Yersinia at 3 weeks postnatal age
	67		f Enterococcus dominated at <4 weeks postnatal age
	43	Significant association between postnatal	Enterobacteriaceae over time
		age and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index	
	52		1 Bifdobacterium and Klebsiella over time
			↓ Staphylococcus and Streptococcus over time
	⁴⁰ † Shannon diversity index over time		
	55		Transition over time from Gram-positive cocci dominated to Enterobacteriaceae and/or
			Bifidobacteriaceae
			Delayed colonization with Bifidobacterium
	58		Staphylococcaceae in early time points at <5 weeks postnatal age in PT infants with
			postnatal growth failure‡
			† Enterobacteriaceae at 3–9 weeks postnatal age in PT infants with postnatal growth
			failure‡
	42	Significant association between day of life	Enterobacter: core microbiota in the first 60 days of postnatal age
	24	and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index	
	C+		Staphylococcus and Enterococcus were part of the core microbiota of PT infants at
			2 weeks postnatal age
			At 3 weeks postnatal age:
			1 Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, and Enterobacter in extremely PT infants
			Diligoogcenum in very PT inignts
* When PTI belonged to	Cluster 1, this was a cluster characterized by lower a	bundances of Gammaproteobacteria compared to	cluster 2.
† Gestational age at birth	h + postnatal age.		
# Growth failure defined	as weight below the 3 rd percentile according to the	Fenton growth charts.	
§ Extremely PT: born <28	8 weeks of gestation; Very PT: born 28–32 weeks of o	gestation; Moderate to late PT: born 32–37 weeks o	f gestation
BW: birth weight; cGA: cc	orrected gestational age; ELBW: extremely low birth v	reight; GA: gestational age; MOM: mother's own mil	k; OTU: operational taxonomic unit; PLS-DA: partial least squares discriminant analysis; PT:
preterm; RDA: redunda	incy analysis; VLBW: very low birth weight.	1	

was similar between very and moderate/late PT infants.⁵⁰ Therefore, the authors created two groups of infants based on birth GA: infants born before 32 weeks GA, and those born \geq 32 weeks GA. Infants born at a later age had higher Simpson diversity index compared to those born before 32 weeks of gestation.⁵⁰ A large number of long-itudinal observational studies reported that alpha diversity, measured by different indices, increases with postnatal age.^{35,37,38,40,50,57,60,61}

Eight studies reported the effect of birth GA and postnatal age on beta diversity. Two longitudinal studies explored gut microbial colonization of PT infants based on type of feeding during the first days of postnatal age.^{33,37} Results showed that GA at birth explained 1.28%³⁷ to 3%³³ of the variance of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. The former came from infants exclusively fed MOM,³⁷ whereas the latter was independent of the feeding type.³³ Four different observational studies looked at gut microbiota development of PT infants, with a follow-up period of the first 21,⁶² 30,⁴³ or up to 60^{42,57} days of life. These studies found that postnatal age significantly associates with the community structure measured by UniFrac distances,⁶² and the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. 42,43,57

Twenty-four studies included in this systematic review reported differences in taxonomic composition based on postnatal age. Mai et al. conducted a casecontrol study comparing PT infants with late onset sepsis to healthy PT infants.⁶³ At phylum level, the authors found that in healthy infants, there is a decrease in the abundances of Proteobacteria over time.⁶³ Evidence from multiple longitudinal studies suggests that during early time points after birth, there is an enrichment of Bacilli,^{37,48,64} which then decreases over time.³⁵ This decrease in Bacilli coincides with enrichment of an Gammaproteobacteria,^{35,64} and Clostridia.^{35,37,48,64} In accordance, Gregory et al. showed that from 28-30 weeks of corrected GA, the gut microbiota is characterized by higher abundances of Bacillales and Lactobacillales.⁵⁷ Following this, there is a significant decrease in Lactobacillales, particularly in infants fed PT formula.⁵⁷ Around 31–33 weeks of corrected GA, in infants fed PT formula, there is a bloom of Enterobacteriales, and in infants fed PT formula plus MOM a bloom of Clostridiales.⁵⁷ At family level, authors reported that during early-life time points

(<5 weeks postnatal age) there are higher abundances of *Comamonadaceae*,⁶⁵ and Gram-positive cocci⁵⁵ such as *Staphylococcaceae*.⁵⁸ Bacteria from the families of *Enterobacteriaceae*^{43,55,58,65} and *Bifidobacteriaceae*⁵⁵ also increase their abundance over time.

At lower taxonomic rank, bacteria of the genera Staphylococcus,^{38,45,66} and *Enterococcus*^{38,45,66,67} are the main colonizers of PT infants gut during the first weeks of life (<4 weeks postnatal age). Zwittink et al. showed that at three weeks postnatal age, the mean relative abundance of Staphylococcus and Enterococcus was higher in extremely PT (<28 weeks GA) infants compared to very and moderate/late (32-37 weeks GA) PT infants.⁴⁵ Following the first weeks of life, some studies report a decrease in the abundance of Staphylococcus,^{32,52,62} Escherichia-Shigella,³² Streptococcus,⁵² and Parabacteroides.⁵⁰ Furthermore, there is a positive association between postnatal age and the presence and/or abundance of specific bacteria, including Anaerobiospirillum,⁶⁶ Haemophilus,⁶⁶ Lactobacillus,⁵⁰ Veillonella,^{32,66} Bacteroides,⁵¹ Serratia,^{61,66} Yersinia,^{61,66} Clostridia,66 Pseudomonas,⁶⁶ Klebsiella,⁵² Granulicatella,^{61,66} Proteus,⁶⁶ Propionibacterium,⁵⁶ and Enterobacter.³⁸ Zhou et al. reported that in their study population, Enterobacter was a member of the core microbiota of PT infants over the first 60 days of postnatal age.⁴² However, these results are still not consistent. Moles et al. found a decrease in the abundance of Propionibacterium from meconium samples to stool samples during the third week of life.⁶⁶ It is important to highlight that although studies report an increase in the abundance of *Bifidobacterium* over time, ^{38,52,65,68} evidence converges in that the colonization with this obligate anaerobe is delayed in PT infants.^{17,55}

The colonization pattern across time in PT infants is also affected by GA at birth. Chernikova et al. reported that infants born >32 weeks GA are colonized with greater abundances of Streptococcus and *Bifidobacterium* than those born \leq 32 weeks GA.⁵⁰ At 6-weeks of postnatal age, infants born >32 weeks GA had a higher number of members from the genera Bacteroides and lower abundance of Parabacteroides.⁵⁰ This same study also reported that the abundance of Pantoea, a bacteria of the family Enterobacteriaceae, was higher in moderate/ late PT infants (32-37 weeks GA), even after adjusting for other exposures including postnatal age.⁵⁰

Another longitudinal study found that at three weeks postnatal age, extremely PT infants (<28 weeks GA) had higher abundances of *Enterobacter*, whereas very PT infants (28–32 weeks GA) harbored higher abundances of *Bifidobacterium*.⁴⁵

Less data exists regarding the relationship between specific species and postnatal age. Two longitudinal studies analyzed the gut microbiota of PT infants at species level utilizing human intestinal tract chip analysis⁶¹ or PCR-amplified 16S rRNA fragments.⁶⁶ There was a positive association between postnatal age and the abundance of Enterobacter aerogenes,⁶¹ splachnicus,⁶⁶ coli,⁶¹ Escherichia Bacteroides difficille,⁶⁶ and Clostridium Klebsiella pneumoniae.61,66 Whereas, over time, there was a decrease in Prevotella tannerae, Lactobacillus plantarum, Streptococcus intermedius, and Streptococcus mitis.66

Pharmacological factors

Antibiotics. Twenty-two studies reported the effect of antibiotics on the gut bacterial communities of PT infants, presented in (Table 4). As expected, antimicrobial agents reduced the gut bacterial diversity.^{32,34,42,56,60,69,70} Two observational studies determined that the duration of antibiotic exposure was significantly associated with the reduction in microbial diversity.^{34,71} The decrease in alpha diversity was similar in PT infants that were exposed to short antibiotic treatment, ranging from $\leq 3 \text{ days}^{34}$ to \leq 7 days,⁷¹ or exposed to longer treatment (\geq 5 days or > 7 days).^{34,71} Furthermore, the reduction in alpha diversity seems to be only temporal. Several studies reported that a decrease in diversity indices like observed OTUs, Simpson, Shannon, Chao1, and phylogenetic diversity remains significant only within the first week after the use of antibiotics.34,42,56,70 In fact, diversity tends to recover after the cessation of antibiotic treatment.^{32,34} Nonetheless, some studies reported no effect of antibiotics in diversity metrics³⁸ or opposite results⁴¹ than those previously described in this review. Wandro et al. conducted a longitudinal study of VLBW (<1500 g) infants and found decrease Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no record of antibiotic use.41

Several studies found significant associations between the use of antibiotics and beta diversity of gut microbiota from PT infants.^{33,34,43,45} Cong et al. observed that antibiotic use within the first 48–72 hours after birth explained ~3% of the variation from the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index.³³ Evidence from two studies conducted by Zwittink et al. described a strong association between antibiotic treatment and beta diversity.^{34,45} The duration of the use of antibiotics, whether it was less than three days or more than five days, explained 3.6% of the variation of the gut microbiota composition.³⁴ Furthermore, up to 25.6% of the variance of these bacterial communities was explained when more antibiotic-related factors were taken into consideration, such as duration and number of antibiotics that were administrated.⁴⁵

Changes in diversity induced by antimicrobial agents in PT infants subsequently influence taxonomic composition of the fecal microbiota, with some bacteria decreasing while others blooming. Specifically, there was a positive association between the exposure to antibiotics and the abundance of Gammaproteobacteria^{37,48,64} and Betaproteobacteria,⁷¹ while there was a negative association with bacteria from the class Clostridia.48,72 A study found that, at 30 days postnatal age, PT infants who were never exposed to antibiotics, had higher abundances of Bifidobacteriaceae, Streptococcaceae, Comamonadaceae, Staphylococcaceae, and unclassified Bacilli compared to infants that have been previously exposed to antibiotics.⁶⁵ Millar et al. reported that by each successive day of antibiotic usage in PT infants, there is 16% to 17% less chance of colonization with Veillonallaceae.73 In accordance with this, another study reported that exposure to antibiotics reduces the presence of bacteria from the genus Veillonella.⁵³ Different studies reported a negative association between the exposure to antibiotics Enterobacteriaceae,³⁴ the abundance of and Bifidobacterium, 34,50,71 Lactobacillus,⁵³ and Bacteroides;⁵⁰ and a positive association between antibiotic use and the abundance of Enterococcus.³⁴ However, a study looking specifically at Bacteroides gut colonization in PT infants found no association between antibiotic use and the abundance of this bacteria.51

Importantly, microbiota modifications caused by antibiotics might depend on the type of antibiotic used. Gibson et al. assessed the effect of different antibiotics, including meropenem, cefotaxime, ticarcillin/clavulanate, ampicillin, vancomycin, and gentamicin. They reported an increase in *Staphylococcus*

Antibiotic 6 2 1 Diversity during or within 5 days of antibiotic use 3 4 Simpson diversity index with use of antibiotics 3 4 Simpson diversity index with use of antibiotics 3 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 9 4 Species richness with no 9 4 Significantly association betwee untibiotic use 9 4 Species for and Shannon diversity index 1 4 Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic 1 4 Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic	Deta uiveisiy	Taxonomy
 Diversity during or within 5 days of antibiotic use Simpson diversity index with use of antibiotic use in the first 48-7 Species richness with the use of antibiotics Species richness with the use of antibiotics Significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use Significantly association betwee Bannon diversity index in PT infants with no Bannon diversity index in PT infants with no Significantly association betwee Bannon diversity index on day 30 after Observed OTUs and Shannon diversity index Significantly difference in day 30 after 		 Bifidobacteriaceae, Streptococcaceae Comamonadaceae, Staphylococcaceae and unclassified Bacilli at 30 days postnatal age in PT infants never exposed to antibiotics
 5 Impson diversity index with use of antibiotics 4 Simpson diversity index with use of antibiotic use in the first 48-7 8 Antibiotic use in the use of antibiotics 8 Ap-Curdis dissimilarity inde 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 No significantly antibiotic use 9 Annon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 9 Asterned OTUs and Shannon diversity index on day 30 after reaction antibiotic use 1 Abserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index on day 30 after reaction setting antibiotic use 1 Abserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index on day 30 after reacting antibiotic use 1 Abserved of Us and Shannon diversity index on day 30 after reacting antibiotic use 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 4 Simpson diversity index with use of antibiotic use in the first 48–7 Antibiotic use in the first 48–7 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 Significantly association betwee the antibiotic use 8 Significantly association betwee the solution of the solution difference in the first with no antibiotic use 9 Antibiotic use 1 Antibiotic use 1 Antibiotic use 2 Antibiotic use 3 Antibiotic use 3 Antibiotic use 3 Antibiotic use 4 Antibiotic use 5 Antibiotic use 4 Antibiotic use 5 Antibiotic use 4 Antibiotic use 4 Antibiotic use 4 Antibiotic use 5 Antibiot		1 Gammaproteobacteria and 4 Clostridia with higher exposure to antibiotics in PT infants fed MOM
 Antibiotic use in the first 48-7. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity inde 4 Significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 4 Annon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 4 4 Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 4 4		Di6daharanjing Darkonida di kutaka saka saka kutaka da kata kutaka da kata kutaka da kutaka da kutaka da kutaka
 b Species richness with the use of antibiotics b Species richness with the use of antibiotics b No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use c antibiotic use d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 d Significantly association betwee UniFrac distances at week 1 	untibiotic use in the first 48–72 h explained 2–3% of the Brav-Curtis discimilarity index	 Bilidobacientari and bacierolaes with antibiotic use after birth
 b Species richness with the use of antibiotics No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 4 		No differences between short and $prolonged^*$ exposure to antibiotic during week 1
 4 Species richness with the use of antibiotics 8 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 8 9 <l< td=""><td></td><td>postnatal age ↓ Lactobacillus and Veillonella at 4 months postnatal age when exposed to</td></l<>		postnatal age ↓ Lactobacillus and Veillonella at 4 months postnatal age when exposed to
 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 1 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 4 5 6 4 7 6 7 1 7 1 2 2 3 4 		broad-spectratin anticolocie trierapy † <i>Staphylococcus epidernis</i> after meroprenem use † <i>Klebstella nerumonae a</i> fter triarcillin-clavulanate use
 No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 10 <l< td=""><td></td><td>Escherichia coli with cefotaxime use</td></l<>		Escherichia coli with cefotaxime use
 ³⁸ No significantly difference in bacteria richness after antibiotic use ⁴⁸ ⁴¹ ⁴³ ⁴³ ⁴¹ ⁴² ⁴¹ ⁴¹ ⁴² ⁴¹ ⁴² ⁴² ⁴¹ ⁴² ⁴³ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵ ⁴⁴ 		No association of antibiotic exposure with <i>Bacteroides</i> abundance Accoriation between Gammaryreoharteria abundance and antibiotic use
 antibiotic use Significantly association betwe Significantly and Shannon diversity index Significantly and Shannon diversity index Significantly association betwe Significantly association		↓ Enterococcus with aminoglycoside use
 Significantly association betwe Significantly association betwe Significantly association betwe Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no Shannon diversity index in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotic use Bacteria count in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics Doserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic Mithin 5 days after receiving antibiotics Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic 		Enterococcus with vancomycin use Bifidobacterium with aminoglycoside or vancomycin use Channes were temmoral mirrohista recovered within class after treatment
 Significantly association betwe Significantly association betwe UniFrac distances at week 1 4 Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 6 Bacteria count in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics 2 Qosserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 Modifference in Channon diversity index between a provided particity. 		termination
 ¹² ¹³ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁴ ¹⁵ ¹⁵ ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁷ ¹⁸ ¹⁸ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁹ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ ¹¹<td></td><td> Clostridia in PT infants born <28 weeks GA with antibiotic use Gammaproteobacteria in PT infants born >26 weeks GA with antibiotic use </td>		 Clostridia in PT infants born <28 weeks GA with antibiotic use Gammaproteobacteria in PT infants born >26 weeks GA with antibiotic use
 Significantly association betwe Significantly association betwe UniFrac distances at week 1 41 + Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 69 + Bacteria count in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics 20 + Observed OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 Mo difference in Shannon diversity index between diversity index between diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic 		16–17% less chance of <i>Veillonellaceae</i> colonization with every day increase in use of
 Significantly association betwe UniFrac distances at week 1 4 Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 4 Shannon diversity index in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics 4 Doserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 Observed OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 Aufferentiation of the state of		Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and
 Significantly association betwe UniFrac distances at week 1 4 Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 69 a Bacteria count in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics 2 Questread of Us and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 A difference in Shannon diversity index hermonics 		<i>K. pneumoniae</i> showed resistance to antibiotics At 2-vears postnatal age. fecal samples were susceptible to antibiotics
 4 Shannon diversity index in PT infants with no antibiotic use 4 Bacteria count in PT infants on day 30 after receiving antibiotics 4 Observed OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 7 Modifference in Shannon diversity index homon 	ignificantly association between use of antibiotics and UniFrac distances at week 1 postnatal age	
 Barton Control of All Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics Cobserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic use No differences in Shannon diversity index between 		
 42 treceiving antibiotics 42 to Deserved OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 70 ↓ Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic use 71 Mo. differences in Shannon diversity index between 		
 ⁴² ↓ Observed OTUs and Shannon diversity index within 5 days after receiving antibiotics ⁷⁰ ↓ Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic Use ⁷¹ Mo. differences in Shannon diversity index between 		
 within 5 days after receiving antibiotics 4 Shannon diversity index on day 7 after antibiotic use Use The difference in Channen diversity index between 		
USe USe alfformand diversity index between		* Barteroides and Artinoharteria on day 3 after treatment with neulcillin-
71 Mis differences in Channen diversity index between		moxalactam and piperacillin-tazobactam
71 Nio differences in Channan diversity index between		pencilin-moxalactam use and piperacilin-tazobactam Clostridium on day 3 after treatment with penicillin-moxalactam use Finterococcus and A Klebsiella on day 7 after treatment with piperacillin-
71 No differences in Channon diversity index batween		tazobactam use † E <i>scherichia-Shigella</i> with penicillin-moxalactam use
PU differences in snammer diversing index between PT indights with low or high exposure to		 Betaproteobacteria in infants with hight exposure to antibiotics Bifdobacterium in infants with hight exposure to antibiotics

Table 4. (Continued).

I dule 4.		lilueu).		
Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
	¥	No difference between short and long antibiotic treatment‡ ↓ Chao 1 and PD after antibiotic use during week 1 postnatal age Recovery of diversity after secession of treatment	Duration of antibiotic use explained 3.6% of the variation of fecal microbiota composition (RDA)	 Enterobacteriaceae with antibiotic use Blifidobacterium after short antibiotic use during the first 3-weeks postnatal age‡ Blifidobacterium after long antibiotic use during the first 6-weeks postnatal age‡ Enterococcus with antibiotic use
	45		Duration and number of antibiotics administrated explained 25.6% of the variation of fecal microbiota composition (RDA)	
Other	←	<i>medications</i> <i>Bindobacterium</i> with H2-blockers at >33 weeks postmenstrual age	64	
	47	 Shannon diversity index in PT infants that received H2-blockers 		Proteobacteria and 4 Firmicutes in PT infants that received H2-blockers 7 Gammaproteobacteria and <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> in PT infants that received H2- blockers
* Short: ≤ † Low exp ‡ Short an GA: gestat	72 h; pi osure: ≤ tibiotic ional ag	rolonged: >72 h. <pre></pre> 7 days; High exposure: > 7 days. treatment: <pre><pre><pre><pre>treatment: <pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	Jays. omic unit; PD: phylogenetic diversity; PT: preterm; RDA: redunda	ancy analysis.

GUT MICROBES 👄 e1884514-15

epidermis after the use of meropenem (betalactamase inhibitor), Klebsiella pneumoniae after the use of Ticarcillin-Clavulanate (combined extended-spectrum penicillin with a beta-lactamase inhibitor), and Escherichia coli with the use of cefotaxime, a broad spectrum cephalosporin antibiotic.⁶⁰ All of these medications exert their antibiotic effects by affecting cell wall synthesis, or by causing cell death. A similar study conducted by Zhu et al. reported an increase in bacteria of the phylum Bacteroides and Actinobacteria with the use of penicillin-moxalactam (an oxacephem antibiotic usually grouped with the cephalosporins) and with the use of piperacillin-tazobactam (penicillin with a betalactamase inhibitor).⁷⁰ With penicillin-moxalactam, PT infants had greater abundances of Sphingomonas, Bacteroides, and Lactobacillus, and a decrease in Clostridium.⁷⁰ Korpela and collaborators found a decrease in Bifidobacterium abundances when an antibiotic of the class aminoglycosides or vancomycin were used.³⁸ This same study also described that when antibiotics of the class of aminoglycosides were administered, the abundance of Enterococcus decreased. In contrast, when vancomycin was used, the presence of Enterococcus was higher.³⁸ Although the taxonomic modifications were significant, these were only temporal, and the microbiota structure recovered within days after the cessation of antibiotic treatment.³⁸

Even though antibiotics are used to treat or reduce the presence of pathogenic bacteria in PT infants, their efficacy could be blunted by the presence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Moles et al. evaluated the gut colonization of PT infants by antibiotic-resistant bacteria during the first week of life and at 2-years of age.⁷⁴ Bacteria isolates obtained from stool samples were assessed for antibiotic susceptibility using agar dilution assays. This assay consists in platting the isolates in agar medium with antibiotics and measuring the diameters of the colonies that were exposed to the antibiotic.⁷⁵ The authors also performed bacteria identification at species level using MALDI-TOF spectrometry. In the early postpartum period, PT infants were colonized by a number of antibioticresistant bacteria including Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Klebsiella pneumonia.⁷⁴ However, by 2-years of age, these same bacteria showed antibiotic susceptibility.74

Other medications. Only a few studies have evaluated the effect of medications other than antibiotics on the gut colonization of PT infants, as shown in (Table 4). Gupta et al. conducted a case-control /cross-sectional study of infants who received H2blockers vs. infants who did not received this medication.⁴⁷ There was a decrease in the alpha diversity (measured by Shannon diversity index) in PT infants that were exposed to H2-blockers compared to those not exposed to this medication.⁴⁷ Taxonomically, after the administration of H2-blockers, there was a significant decrease in Firmicutes accompanied by an increase of Proteobacteria. At lower taxonomic ranks, infants that were exposed to H2-blockers had increased Gammaproteobacteria abundance of and Enterobacteriaceae.47 Additionally, an observational study found a positive association between the use of H2-blockers and the abundance of Bifidobacterium at a later time point of the followup period (>33 weeks postmenstrual age).⁶⁴

Dietary factors

Macronutrients. Several studies, summarized in (Table 5), have reported modifications of the gut microbiota of PT infants based on macronutrient composition, type of milk consumed, and use of fortifiers. A longitudinal study following PT infants during the hospitalization period in the NICU found that the ratio of grams of enteral lipids to total calories (g/kcal) was positively associated with the abundance of Actinobacteria, the ratio of enteral protein (g/kcal) with Firmicutes abundance, and ratio enteral carbohydrate (g/kcal) with abundance of Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Firmicutes.⁶⁴ At 33-weeks postmenstrual age, there was an increase of Bifidobacterium abundance associated with greater ratio of enteral lipid intake whereas, and higher ratio of enteral protein intake was associated with reduced Bifidobacterium.⁶⁴

Younge et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial (RCT) to test the effect of enteral supplementation of high-fat polyunsaturated fatty acid (HF-PUFA) from fish oil and safflower oil on the gut microbiota of PT infants.⁷⁶ There were no differences in the first week after supplementation with HF-PUFA, but over time, alpha diversity (measured by Shannon and inverse Simpson indices) was higher in infants that received HF- PUFA supplementation.⁷⁶ At phylum level, those receiving the HF-PUFA intervention had a lower abundance of Proteobacteria and higher abundance of Actinobacteria than those without the intervention.⁷⁶ There were further differences at the genus level, and these differences were categorized as early (1-9 weeks), mid (2-9 weeks), or late (4-9 weeks) changes after treatment initiation. Some of the early changes were a decrease in Escherichia-Shigella and Salmonella in the HF-PUFA, and an increase in the abundance of Corynebacterium and Geobacillus. At 2-9 weeks after initiation of HF-PUFA, supplementation, there was a significant increase in the relative abundance of Erwinia and decreases in Serratia, Pantoea, *Clostridium*, Tatumella, and Streptococcus. Lastly, a reduction in fecal Cedecea and Citrobacter in the HF-PUFA group was reported as a late change.⁷⁶

Milk and fortifiers. Twenty studies reported associations related to milk and/or fortifier administration to PT infants and the structure of their gut microbiota, shown in (Table 5). One study reported an increase in the alpha diversity with the consumption of exclusively MOM, compared to donor human milk (DHM), PT formula or the combination of two different types of milk (MOM + DHM, MOM + PT formula, or DHM + PT formula).³³ Gibson et al described an increase in species richness with the consumption of human milk (MOM, DHM or the combination of both).⁶⁰ Another study reported that the combination of MOM with a bovine milk-based fortifier, two to four weeks after the introduction of enteral feeds, significantly increased alpha diversity compared to PT infants fed PT formula alone or in combination with MOM.⁴⁶ Underwood et al. found a lower Shannon diversity index in infants fed PT formula compared to those fed MOM.³⁰ Additionally, one study reported that introduction of PT formula before 10 days postnatal age was positively associated with Shannon diversity index.⁵⁹ Nonetheless, some studies found no differences in alpha diversity based on the type of diet, whether it was human milk (MOM and/or DHM),^{41,50,77} PT formula,⁷⁷ the combination of human milk and PT formula,⁴¹ or the supplementation of human milk with a bovine milk-based fortifier.³³

Table 5. Die	etary	factors and gut microbiota composition of PT infants.		
Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Тахопоту
Macronutrients	5 64 76	† Shannon diversity and Inverse Simpson indices over time in PT infants with HF-PUFA enteral supplementation		 Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria with higher lipid intake* Firmicutes with higher protein intake+ Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and Firmicutes with higher carbohydrate intake‡ Bifidobacterium with lipid intake at >33 weeks postmenstrual age 4 Bifidobacterium with protein intake at >33 weeks postmenstrual age 4 Proteobacteria and 1 Actinobacteria in PT infants with HF-PUFA enteral supplementation Corynebacterium, Geobacillus, Erwinia in PT infants with HF-PUFA enteral supplementation Escherichia-Shigela, Salmonella, Serratia, Pantoea, Clostridium, Tatimal Group
Milk and Fortifiers	37			 † Staphylococcus in PT infants consuming MOM with high content of Staphylococcus † Bifidobacterium in PT infants consuming MOM with high content of Rothia, Enterococcus and Streptococcus Bacilli, Clostridia and Gammaproteobacteria compromised >90% of bacteria abundance over time in PT infants fed MOM Low levels of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> in PT infants fed MOM No changes in gut microbiota composition after fortification of MOM with BMF
	46 50	 Chao1 diversity index in PT infants fed MOM + BMF compared to MOM + PT formula or PT formula alone No association between consumption of MOM and/or DHM with aloha diversity 		 Proteobacteria in PT infants fed MOM + BMF Terrisporobacter and Peptoclostridium in formula-fed infants Veillonella in PT infants fed MOM + BMF Lactobacillus in PT infants fed MOM and/or DHM
	33	1 Gin-Simpson diversity index in PT infants fed MOM compared to DHM, PF or the combination of two different types of milk. No association between human milk (MOM and/or DHM) fortification with BMF and alpha diversity	Feeding type explained 11% of the variance of Bray- Curtis dissimilarity index	 Clostridiales, Lactobacillales and Bacillales in PT infants fed MOM <i>Enterobacteriales</i> in PT infants fed DHM, PT formula, and DHM + PT formula Bifidobacteriales in PT infants fed MOM and MOM + PT formula
	09	↑ Shannoon diversity index in early P1 formula introduction (<10 days of age) ↑ Species richness in PT infants fed human milk (MOM and/or DHM		 Firmicutes and T Proteobacteria at 10 days posthatal age in exclusively breastfed PT infants compared to full-term infants
	57		Association between different types of milk and Bray-Curtis distances	 Lactobacillales, Enterobacteriales and Clostridiales in formula-fed PT infants Clostridiales in VLBW PT infants fed MOM Clostridiales in VLBW PT infants fed MOM Citrobacter, Clostridium, Ruminococcus and Negativicoccus, best discriminators of PT infants fed MOM Streptococcus, Bacillus and Anaerococcus, best discriminators of PT infants fed PT formula Cammaproteobacteria at 28 days postnatal age and at 28 to >56 days
	77	No association between type of milk consumed and Simpson diversity index at >7 days postnatal age		postnatal age with higher MUM consumption

GUT MICROBES 👄 e1884514-17

Table 5. (Cor	ntinued).		
Factor	Ref Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
	18	Association between type of milk consumed and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index and UniFrac distances	 Blifidobacterium, Acitenobacter and Haemophilus in PT infants fed MOM Staphylococcus, Clostridium, Coprococcus, Aggregatibacter and Lactobacillus, in PT infants fed DHM Blautia, Streptococcus, Acidaminococcus, Rothia and Dorea in formula- fed PT infants
	79	Association between type of milk consumed and gut microbiota composition	
	80		 Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus hominis, Staphylococcus lugdunensis in PT infants fed MOM compared to full-term infants
	28 81		 Bifidobacterium in PT infants fed MOM + BMF Gammaproteobacteria and ↓ Bacillales in PT infants fed MOM + HMF Lactobacillaceae and ↓ Gammaproteobacteria when consuming MOM of
	³⁰ ↓ Shannon diversity index in PT infants fed PT formula compared to MOM ⁴¹ No association between type of milk consumed and Shannon diversity index		
	45	No association between human milk consumption and gut microbiota composition No association between human milk consumption	
Prebiotics and/ or	27 $$ $$ $$ $$ Shannon diversity index after Infloran $^{\circ}$ supplementation	and gut microbiota composition	\dagger Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium after supplementation with Infloran [®] , effect remained after treatment
proviotics	82		 Enterobacteriaceae in groups receiving 5, 10, and 15 g/day of honey Bifidobacterium after 2 weeks of supplementation with honey (regardless of dose)
	83		 Lactobacillus only in group receiving 10 g/day of honey Lactobacillus with supplementation of GOS + FOS but no difference
	53		compared to control group † Bi <i>fidobacterium</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i> in PT infants supplemented with Infloran® at 7 days postnatal age † <i>Ecchachina</i> 1, <i>Vailhonalis</i> and <i>Strembroccus</i> in PT infants cumplemented
	88		 Mith Infloran⁶ at 28 days postnatal access in the inflorance approximate access with Infloran⁶ at 28 days postnatal access and the inflorance at 28 distribution of 8. breve + Bifidobacterium infantis + Bifidobacterium combination of 8. breve + Bifidobacterium infantis + Bifidobacterium
			longum ↓ Enterobacteriaceae in PT infants supplemented B. breve + B. infantis +B. lonaum
	⁷³ No differences in Simpson diversity index between PT infants supplemented with <i>B. breve</i> strain BBG-001		
	85		 Clostridium histolyticum in PT infants receiving Lactobacillus rhamnosus supplementation
	86		Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus in PT infants supplemented with R lowium BR536 + 1 chamosus GG
	28 29		 Clostificities with increasing doses of GOS or HMOs supplementation Enterobacteriaceae and Clostridiceae in non-responders (low
			Bindobacterium colonization) to the supplementation with B. breve (Continued)

Table 5. (Continued).

Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
	30 87 44	 [†] Shannon diversity index in PT infants fed PT formula with <i>Bifidobacterium animals</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> supplementation [↓] Simpson diversity index after Dierol[®] supplementation No differences when comparing with placebo 	No association between supplementation with Dierol® (before and after) and gut microbiota composition	 Bifidobacterium in PT infants fed PT formula with Bifidobacterium lactis supplementation (no dose response) Bifidobacterium in PT infants fed PF with <i>B. infantis</i>, peaking after dose 4 (dose response) Bifidobacterium in PT infants consuming MOM supplemented with <i>B. infantis</i> <i>Proteobacterium</i> in PT infants consuming MOM supplemented with <i>B. infantis</i> <i>Proteobacterium</i> with supplementation of <i>B. infantis</i> <i>Bifidobacterium</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i> over time with ProBioPlus DDS[®] supplementation Gram-negative bacteria with Culturelle[®] supplementation No changes in colonization after supplementation of GOS + FOS + AOS <i>Enterococcus, Pseudomonas</i> and <i>† Veillonella, Clostridium</i> and <i>Bifidobacterium</i> with Dierol[®] supplementation
* Ratio of gran † Ratio of gran ‡ Ratio of gran # Mothers tha Infloran [®] : Lach ProBioPlus DD Culturelle: Lac Dierol [®] : sacch AOS: acidic oli, milk oligosa	ims of I ims of r ims of c at expre tobacillu DS: Lact tobacill aromyc igosacc igosacc	ipids to total enteral calories (g/kcal) protein to total enteral calories (g/kcal) carbohydrates to total enteral calories (g/kcal) ess 2'-fucosyltransferase and produce milk containing 2'-fucosylt us acidophilus + Bifidobacterium. bifidum tobacillus acidophilus + Bifidobacterium longum + Bifidobacterium llus rhamnosus GG + inulin ces. Boulardii ces. Boulardii ces, MOM: mother's own milk; FOS: fructooligosaccharides; G des; MOM: mother's own milk; PF: preterm formula, PMA: postme	ctose and lactodifucotetraose bifidum + Bifidobacterium infantis + inulin DS: galactooligosaccharides; HF-PUFA: high-fat polyur nstrual age; PT: preterm.	saturated fatty acids; HM: human milk; HMF: human milk fortifier; HMOs: human

Six different studies described the effect of milk and fortifiers on beta diversity. There was a positive association between feeding type (MOM, DHM, and PT formula) and beta diversity, measured by Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index^{57,78} and UniFrac distances.⁷⁸ Another study comparing only human milk vs. PT formula found an association between these feeding exposures and the gut microbiota structure.⁷⁹ Cong et al. compared the effect of different types of milks and found that up to 11% of the variance in the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index could be explained by the feeding type.³³ However, some studies found no association between human milk consumption and the gut microbiota beta diversity of infants born preterm.^{34,45}

Taxonomically, studies found a positive association between the abundance of Proteobacteria with MOM consumption⁵⁹ and the fortification with a bovine milk-based fortifier,⁴⁶ as well as a negative association between MOM consumption and the abundance of Firmicutes.⁵⁹ A study conducted by La Rosa et al. reported that across time, there is a linear relationship between the abundance of Gammaproteobacteria and MOM consumption.⁴⁸ et al. found a decrease in the abundance of Lactobacillus with exposure to human milk (MOM and/or DHM).⁵⁰ Butcher et al. followed PT infants that were exclusively fed MOM and identified that these infants were mainly colonized by Bacilli, Clostridia, and Gammaproteobacteria, with very low levels of Bifidobacterium.³⁷ In contrast, different studies showed that exposure to MOM was associated with greater abundances of bacteria of the class Lactobacillales,³³ Bacillales,³³ Bifidobacteriales,³³ and Clostridiales,^{33,57} and higher abundance of the genera Bifidobacterium, Acinetobacter and Haemophilus.⁷⁸ One study compared the gut colonization specifically by species of the genus Staphylococcus in PT infants exclusively fed MOM.⁸⁰ Results showed that infants fed MOM had a lower presence of Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus hominis, and Staphylococcus lugdunesis compared to full-term infants.⁸⁰ Some of these differences could be attributed to the composition of human milk. Underwood et al. reported that PT infants consuming MOM of secretor mothers (expressing 2'-fucosyltransferase) that produce milk containing the human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs), 2'-fucosyllactose and lactodifucotetraose, had a lower abundance of Gammaproteobacteria and higher abundance of *Lactobacillaceae*.⁸¹ Another

observational study analyzed the gut microbial composition of PT infants and of the milk they were fed.⁶⁸ The authors reported that when infants consumed MOM with a high abundance of *Staphylococcus*, they harbored a gut microbiota rich in *Staphylococcus*.⁶⁸ In contrast, infants that consumed MOM high in *Rothia, Enterococcus*, and *Streptococcus* developed a gut microbiota with higher abundances of *Bifidobacterium*.⁶⁸

Studies also reported differences in the gut microbiota based on DHM and PT formula consumption. Preterm infants that were fed DHM had higher abun-Enterobacteriales,³³ of Staphylococcus, dances Clostridium, Coprococcus, Aggregatibacter, and Lactobacillus.⁷⁸ If infants were exclusively fed PT formula. they had а greater abundance of Enterobacteriales,^{33,57} Lactobacillales,⁵⁷ and Clostridiales⁵⁷ compared to those fed human milk. At genus level, PT formula consumption was positively associated with the abundance of Blautia, Streptococcus, Acidaminococcus, Rothia, Dorea,⁷⁸ Terrisporobacter and Peptoclostridium.⁴⁶ An observational study concluded that the best discriminators of the gut microbiota of PT infants fed MOM were bacteria of the genus Citrobacter, Clostridium, Ruminococcus, and Negativicoccus, whereas the best discriminators of infants consuming PT formula were Streptococcus, Bacillus, and Anaerococcus.⁵⁷

Although there are differences in gut microbiota composition depending on the type of milk consumed, PT infants are likely to be fed more than one type of milk at a time. As previously mentioned, a study evaluating different feeding patterns found that when infants were fed DHM and/or PT formula, they had increased levels of Enterobacteriales compared to other feeding groups and combinations.³³ Infants consuming MOM in combination with PT formula, the highest had enrichment of Bifidobacteriales.³³ Furthermore, studies also observed differences in the gut microbiota depending on the type of fortification to human milk. Cai et al. described a decrease in Veillonella with a bovine milk fortifier in infants consuming MOM.⁴⁶ Another study found that PT infants fed MOM with human milkbased fortifier had a higher abundance of Gammaproteobacteria and a lower abundance of Bacillales.²⁸ Additionally, an increase in Bifidobacterium was observed if PT infants were fed MOM with the addition of a human milk-based fortifier.²⁸ In contrast, Butcher et al. did not find changes in the gut microbiota of PT infants when they received MOM fortified with a bovine milk-based fortifier.³⁷

Prebiotics and probiotics. Fourteen studies described the effect of prebiotics or probiotics in the gut microbiota of PT infants, presented in (Table 5). These studies were focused on supplementation with prebiotics, ^{28,69,82,83} probiotics, ^{27,29,30,44,84–86} or both.⁸⁷ The prebiotics tested on PT infants were fructooligosaccharides (FOS) from clover honey,82 galactooligosaccharides (GOS) + FOS,⁸³ GOS vs. HMOs,²⁸ or GOS + FOS + acidic oligosaccharides (AOS).⁶⁹ Publications related to prebiotic supplementation did not report differences in alpha or beta diversity subsequent to supplementation. However, several taxonomic differences were found with prebiotic supplementation. When PT infants received FOS alone, there was a decrease in Enterobacteriaceae and an increase in *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*.⁸⁸ With the supplementation of GOS + FOS, the abundance of Lactobacillus increased over time; however, the abundance of Lactobacillus was not significantly different than the control group.⁸³ Despite the changes mentioned above, Westerbeek et al. did not find any significant changes in the gut colonization of PT infants after intervention with a mixture of GOS + FOS + AOS.⁶⁹ Underwood et al. reported an increase in Clostridia with increasing doses of either GOS or HMOs added to PT formula compared to PT formula without these prebiotics.²⁸

The probiotics that were supplemented in the studies included in this review include: Infloran® acidophilus (Lactobacillus + Bifidobacterium bifidum),^{27,53} a mixture of Bifidobacterium breve + Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis Bifidobacterium longum subsp. Longum,⁸⁴ single strain administration of Bifidobacterium breve,73,84 Bifidobacterium longum BB536 + Lactobacillus rham-GG,⁸⁶ Lactobacillus rhamnosus,⁸⁵ nosus Bifidobacterium breve M16-B,²⁹ Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis or Bifidobacterium animals lactis,³⁰ subsp. or Dierol[®] (Saccharomyces boulardii).⁴⁴ After supplementation with Infloran[®], there was an increase in the alpha diversity, measured by Shannon diversity index.²⁷ Both publications supplementing Infloran® to PT infants reported an increase in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium after treatment.^{27,53} Additionally, in PT infants supplemented with Infloran[®], there was an increase Escherichia, along with a decrease in Veillonella, and Streptococcus at 28 days postnatal age.⁵³ The treatment with *B. breve* showed no impact on the alpha diversity (measured by Simpson diversity index) after supplementation.⁷³ Administration of B. breve alone or in with B. infants + В. longum increased the abundances of Bifidobacterium in the gut of PT infants.⁸⁴ When infants received *B. longum* + *L. rhamnosus* there was an increase in Bifidobacterium as well as in Lactobacillus.⁸⁶ Underwood et al. found an increase in the Shannon diversity index when formula-fed PT infants received *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis*.³⁰ This study also found an increase in Bifidobacterium after supplementation with B. longum subsp infantis in infants consuming PT formula; however, this increase was even greater in PT infants receiving the supplementation while consuming MOM.³⁰ There was no doseresponse in Bifidobacterium abundance in the group supplemented with *B. animalis* subs lactis.³⁰ Overall, the supplementation with probiotics decreased the presence of Proteobacteria,³⁰ Enterobacteriaceae,⁸⁴ and Clostridium histolyticum.85 A study found that after supplementation with B. breve, the gut microbiota of some PT infants had less than 6% abundance of Bifidobacterium; these infants were described as non-responders.²⁹ Additionally, these infants actually had increased abundances of Enterobacteriaceae and Clostridiaceae.²⁹ After supplementation with Dierol[®], there was a decrease in the alpha diversity (measured by Simpson diversity index) overtime. However, this reduction was not significantly different than the one showed in the placebo group.⁴⁴ The authors found no association between probiotic supplementation with Dierol® and the gut microbiota beta diversity. Dierol® supplementation was associated with an increase in Veillonella, Clostridium, and Bifidobacterium, as well as a decrease in Enterococcus and Pseudomonas.44

One study aimed to analyze the effect of the combination of prebiotic and probiotics. Preterm infants were exposed to Culturelle[®] (*L. rhamnosus* GG + inulin) or to ProBioPlus DDS[®] (*L. acidophilus* + *B. longum* + *B. bifidum* + *B. infantis* + inulin). Over four weeks of supplementation, infants exposed to Culturelle[®] showed a decrease in Gram-negative bacteria, whereas supplementation with ProBioPlus DDS[®] significantly increased *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* over the same 4-week period.⁸⁷

Environmental factors

NICU environment. Recently, studies have aimed to analyze the effect of the hospital and NICU environment on the gut colonization of PT infants. Four studies, summarized in (Table 6), reported associations related to the gut microbiota and the hospitalization period. Tauchi et al. conducted a longitudinal observational study that followed PT infants during their time spent in the NICU.⁵⁵ Results from this study showed that there was a positive association between the abundance of Bifidobacteriaceae and the infant transition from an incubator to an open bed.⁵⁵ On the other hand, La Rosa et al. performed a similar analysis where they concluded that there was no association between the gut microbiota composition of infants that were housed in a single room or an open room with multiple subjects.48

Two studies conducted by Brooks and collaborators compared the characteristics of the gut microbiota of PT infants with the room environment at the NICU.^{89,90} These studies consisted of collecting stool samples from the infants as wells as medical equipment and surface samples from the NICU room they were housed. These surface samples consisted of the most frequently touched surfaces in the NICU: medical equipment, floors, sinks, computer equipment, counters, coolers, ceilings, and cell phones. An overlap between specific bacteria strains present in the infant's gut and the NICU surfaces was found, specifically for Staphylococcus and Enterococcus faecalis.⁸⁹ When they analyzed specific items, they found that the tubing system had the highest abundance of bacteria colonizing the infant's gut, and the electronics had the lowest abundance.⁸⁹ The other study conducted by the same group, found similar results. Up to twelve bacterial species were shared between the microbiota of the infant's gut and NICU surfaces.90 The species that

were more common to overlap between the NICU surfaces and the infant's gut were *Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus epidermis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Propionibacterium avidu, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* and to a lesser extent *Staphylococcus aureus, Serratia marcescens, Rothia mucilaginosa, Citrobacter freundii, Streptococcus agalactieae* and *Prevotella bivia.*⁹⁰ Interestingly, although Clostridia is a common colonizer of PT infants gut, this bacteria was rarely found in the NICU room surfaces.⁹⁰

Discussion

The goal of this review was to investigate the perinatal, physiological, dietary, pharmacological, and environmental factors that influence the establishment of the gut bacterial communities in PT infants. A total of 60 publications met the inclusion criteria, reporting changes in alpha diversity, beta diversity, and taxonomic composition of the gut microbiota in response to the various physiological and environmental parameters experienced by PT infants (Figure 2). Nutritional inputs (milk and fortifiers) constituted the largest component of the evidence base.

Modifications in the gut microbiota of PT infants could begin during pregnancy and delivery. The prevailing paradigm in obstetrics has been the sterile womb hypothesis. However, studies have identified the presence of bacteria in the amniotic fluid, placenta, umbilical cord,^{91,92} and meconium of PT,⁹³ and full-term infants.⁹⁴ This suggests that colonization of the gastrointestinal tract begins *in utero*.⁹⁵ However, several groups have brought into question whether the detected microbes represent microbial contamination.^{96,97} Two recent studies using microbial culture, qPCR, and DNA

 Table 6. Environmental factors and gut microbiota composition of PT infants.

Factor	Ref	Alpha diversity	Beta diversity	Taxonomy
NICU environment	89			Overlap in colonization with Staphylococcus and Enterococcus faecalis between gut microbiota of PT infant and NICLI surfaces
	90			Overlap in colonization with <i>E. faecalis, Staphylococcus epidermis, Klebsiella pneumoniane, Propionibacterium</i> <i>avidu, Escherichia coli</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> between gut microbiota of PT infant and NICU surfaces Clostridia found in PT infant. and rarely found in NICU rooms
	48			No association between NICU environment (single vs open rooms) and PT infant gut microbiota composition
	55			Positive association between gut colonization in PT infants with <i>Bifidobacteriaceae</i> and transition from incubator to open bed

NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; PT: preterm.



Figure 2. Multifactorial colonization of the preterm gut. This figure highlights changes in gut microbiota of PT infants associated to perinatal, physiological, pharmacological, dietary, and environmental factors. A. Based on the literature review, ten bacteria with the most evidence of change across all factors were: Bifidobacterium, Staphylococcus, Enterococcus, Gammaproteobacteria, Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Enterobacteriaceae, Escherichia, and Clostridia. Green arrows denote increase in abundance, red arrows denote decrease in abundance, and purple arrows denote co-colonization between PT gut and that specific factor. B. Bacterial colonization pattern of the gut microbiota of PT infants by postnatal age. After birth, the main colonizers are bacteria from the genera Enterococcus (red), Staphylococcus (blue), and Bacilli (green). During the first days of life, the abundance of Enterococcus and Staphylococcus decreases abruptly. With an increase in postnatal age, Enterobacteriaceae (teal), Clostridia (orange), and Bifidobacterium (pink) become more abundant, although the colonization with the latter is delayed in PT infants. Dashed lines represent decrease in abundance. Continuous lines represent increase in abundance. C. Factors affecting alpha diversity. Evidence showed that PROM, chorioamnionitis, growth failure, the exposure to antibiotics and consumption of PT formula decrease alpha diversity. In contrast, gestational age, postnatal age, HF-PUFA enteral supplementation, and human milk (particularly MOM) consumption increase alpha diversity in the gut microbiota of PT infants.¹ Ratio of grams of lipids to total enteral calories (g/kcal).² Ratio of grams of protein to total enteral calories (g/kcal).³ Enteral supplementation.⁴ Growth failure: defined as weight below the 3rd percentile on the Fenton growth charts. BW: birth weight; HF-PUFA: high fat polyunsaturated; MOM: mother's own milk; NICU: neonatal intensive care unit; PMA: postmenstrual age; PROM: premature rupture of membranes; PT: preterm. Created with BioRender.com with images by MAL.

sequencing found a lack of evidence for microbes in placental or fetal tissue of rhesus monkeys⁹⁸ or mice.⁹⁹ Nonetheless, PT infants are often exposed to pregnancy-related complications, such as PROM and chorioamnionitis, which can induce PT delivery. These complications were associated with decreased diversity and increased abundance of

Gammaproteobacteria, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Serratia*, and *Parabacteroides*.^{32,33,35}

In full-term infants, significant differences in fecal microbiota have been reported depending on the mode of delivery,¹⁰⁰ and these modifications can persist up to one year postpartum.¹⁰¹ Many studies in this review reported differences in the

structure of the gut microbiota of PT infants depending on delivery mode. Although there was marked variability in the findings related to alpha diversity and beta-diversity, taxonomically, there was a more consistent trend. Vaginal delivery was consistently associated with the presence of Bacteroides. 44,49-51 This observation is in accordance with previous reports showing that fullterm infants born via C-section have low Bacteroides abundance.¹⁰² This increase in abundance of Bacteroides in vaginally-delivered infants might be attributed to maternal's fecal microbiota rather that the vaginal microbiota.¹⁰³ The lack of consistency in the results could attributed to the high prevalence of C-section deliveries in PT infants (31%-64%).^{6,7} Thus, further research is needed to clarify the results.

Different physiological factors were explored in this review, including ethnicity, sex, weight, and age. Few studies reported the effect of genetic factors like ethnicity and sex affecting the gut microbiota of PT infants.^{33-35,42} There was not a significant trend that could be drawn from these results. Although previous literature has reported possible differences in the gut microbiota associated with sex¹⁰⁴ and ethnicity,¹⁰⁵ insufficient data exists in newborns. There is a strong relationship between PT birth and low birth weight which can affect the fecal microbiota composition. Low birth weight appeared to be associated with higher abundances of Lactobacillales and Clostridiales.⁵⁷ However, these results could be in part explained by the feeding regimen these infants were exposed to, since these findings came from PT infants fed PT formula. In fact, evidence has shown that there are higher abundances of Clostridium and Lactobacillus in full-term infants fed formula.¹⁰⁶ In addition to low birth weight, postnatal growth failure is a common feature in PT infants. A study reported the association between diversity, microbiota maturity and growth failure.⁵⁸ A low microbiota-for-age Z-score was found to be prevalent in PT infants.⁵⁸ In children, the microbiota-for-age metric has been linked to modifications in the taxonomical composition related to malnutrition.¹⁰⁷

In PT infants, both GA at birth and postnatal age are associated with modifications in the structure of the gastrointestinal microbiota. Throughout the literature review, there was consistent evidence of an increase in diversity with greater GA at birth and postnatal age. The most notable changes over time were a decrease in Enterococcus, Bacilli and Staphylococcus and an increase in Enterobacteriaceae, Clostridia and Bifidobacterium. It is important to highlight that the colonization with Bifidobacterium appears to be delayed in PT infants compared to fullterm infants.⁵⁵ Studies in full-term infants have shown that the gut bacterial communities are characterized by low diversity after birth which increases over time and is influenced by dietary factors such as breastfeeding and weaning.¹⁰⁸ Immediately after birth, the primary gut colonizers are facultative anaerobic bacteria, which reduce the oxygen content of the gut to allow for the subsequent colonization with obligate anaerobes.¹⁰⁹ Clostridium is a strict anaerobe, and some of its members, particularly those from Clostridium cluster 1, are associated with prematurity and NEC.¹¹⁰ In contrast, the late acquisition of Bifidobacterium in PT infants, another strict anaerobe, could be attributed to the lower exposure to human milk compared to full-term infants. The colonization with Bifidobacterium has been significantly associated with breastfeeding and human milk consumption in newborns.¹¹¹

The strong relationship between diet and fecal microbiota composition is a well-known fact. Human milk is the gold standard for infant nutrition and plays an essential role in the gut bacterial colonization. The review of the literature showed that in PT infants, this is not an exception. Overall, the consumption of human milk, particularly MOM was associated with greater presence of Bifidobacterium^{33,68,78} and Staphylococcus.^{68,78} This association could be explained in part to the microbiota composition of human milk. Studies have found that the microbiota composition of human milk is rich primarily in *Staphylococcus*^{112,113} and *Bifidobacterium*.¹¹² Nonetheless, there was no consistency across studies between types of milk (human milk [MOM or DHM] or PT formula) and taxonomic composition of the fecal microbiota of PT infants. This could be explained, in part, by the variety of feeding strategies PT infants are exposed to during the hospitalization period. Rates of breastfeeding are lower in PT infants compared to full-term infants;¹¹⁴ mothers that deliver prematurely may have little or no milk production caused by immaturity in the mammary gland, illness, or stress.¹¹⁴ In the case that PT infants do not receive

their MOM, they will be fed DHM or PT formula. Differences in the composition of DHM and PT formula could result in very different gastrointestinal colonization patterns in PT infants. Furthermore, if PT infants are fed MOM or DHM, this will be supplemented with a milk fortifier (human milk-based or bovine milk-based) to achieve adequate nutritional composition and meet the newborn's needs.¹¹⁵ These fortifiers likely further alter gut microbiota composition. With these diverse feeding possibilities, significant and consistent changes will be less likely to be found. For instance, although Bifidobacterium is associated with human milk consumption, one study reported a decrease of this bacteria when PT infants were exposed to MOM fortified with a bovine milk fortifier.²⁸ This underlines the importance of studies analyzing the gut microbiota taking into account and reporting detailed information regarding the infant's diet. Additionally, the majority of studies from this literature review were related to dietary factors focused on human milk and/or fortifiers, and very few considered the effect of macronutrients.^{64,76} Results from two studies showed that the protein content, lipid content,⁶⁴ and lipid supplementation⁷⁶ of the diet are associated with the fecal microbiota of PT infants, but more well controlled RCT are needed to further explore these conclusions.

Several studies from this review reported changes in the gut microbiota with the consumption of prebiotics and probiotics, whether used separately or in combination. The most commonly prebiotics administrated were FOS and GOS, and the most common probiotics were *B. breve*, B. longum, L. acidophilus, and L. rhamnosus. Most of the significant modifications in the gut microbiota of PT infants were observed with the use of probiotics. As expected, there was a noticeable increase in Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus in the infant's gut with the use of probiotics. Interestingly, the use of probiotics together with human milk (particularly MOM) had an additive effect in increasing Bifidobacterium abundance.³⁰ Human milk is rich in HMOs,¹¹⁶ which are indigestible carbohydrates that are utilized by members of the genera *Bifidobacterium*.¹¹⁷ This could explain the differences between PT formula-fed and PT infants exposed to MOM. The use of prebiotics and/or probiotics has shown to decrease colic episodes, decrease fecal pH, improve feeding tolerance and gastric motility, and reduce the risk of allergies.¹¹⁸ Extensive research has shown the beneficial effect of probiotics therapy in the reduction of NEC and death in infants born preterm.¹¹⁹ However, evidence is still lacking regarding the short- and long-term effects that these probiotics have in the fecal microbiota in PT infants.

The use of antibiotics and the effect they have on the gut microbiota was widely reported across the literature. During hospitalization in the NICU, PT infants are exposed to a variety of medications and antibiotics. It has been reported that up to 89% of preterm infants received antibiotics after birth.¹²⁰ Across the literature, studies found modifications in the diversity and the taxonomical composition of the gut microbiota populations in PT infants after the exposure to antibiotics. Even though antibiotics are prescribed to reduce the number of pathogenic bacteria, the literature review showed this comes accompanied by a decrease in beneficial commensal bacteria like Bifidobacterium. The shifts in the overall structure of the gut microbiota are important for the host's health in the sense that they could cause perturbations in the innate and adaptive immune system.¹²¹ This is something particularly significant for infants in a fragile state such as PT infants. Moreover, shifts in the gut microbiota appeared to be temporal. This goes in accordance with previous reports showing the transient modifications in the gut microbiota caused by antibiotics exposure, albeit the alteration in the immune system can still occur.¹²² Furthermore, antibiotic-associated alterations in the gut microbiota seem to be dependent on the type of antibiotic. One study reported opposite effects in the abundance of *Enterococcus*; this bacteria decreased with aminoglycoside and increased with vancomycin.³⁸ Although vancomycin is used to treat gastrointestinal infections, van-Enterococcus comycin-resistant is common nowadays and can be the cause of serious infections in older population.¹²³ Antibiotic-resistant bacteria infections have become a public health concern, and data have shown that infants can be colonized with antibiotic-resistant bacteria early in life.¹²⁴ This colonization with antibiotic-resistant bacteria could be coming from environmental, dietary, or maternal factors.¹²⁴ Further exploration of these associations should be conducted to understand the shifts in the bacteria communities of the PT gut. This review also aimed to describe the effect of all commonly administered medications on the gut microbiota composition of PT infants. However, only reports on antibiotics and H2-blockers were found and included in the results. Since PT infants are routinely exposed to a variety of medications during their stay in the NICU, which may modulate the microbiota composition and/or function, additional research that investigates the impact of these other medications is warranted.

Finally, we considered the relationship that exists between the living environment and the gut microbiota. Although the evidence is scarce, two different studies demonstrated associations between the housing environment (incubator or bed, and single vs. open rooms) with the structure of the gut microbiota of PT infants.48,55 Only one study found an effect between the infant transition from an incubator to open bed and an colonization with Bifidobacteriaceae.55 Two different studies, reported how the same bacteria strains were colonizing both the PT gut and many surfaces from the NICU.^{89,90} These similarities between housing environment and the microbiota from different parts of the human body have been previously studied. It has been hypothesized that humans might serve as vectors among multiple room surfaces, and thus, the colonization can be bidirectional.¹²⁵ Hospital-acquired infections are strongly associated with the diversity of microorganisms found in this environment.¹²⁶ This is particularly relevant for PT infants, since these infants are more likely to spend extended periods of time in the NICU. In this environment, a variety of surfaces could serve as sources of microorganisms, including incubators, ventilators, warmers, electronic equipment, as well as health care providers.²²

This review considers the multifactorial colonization of the PT gut, however, there are some limitations worth mentioning. One limitation of this review is the heterogeneity in the methods for the assessment and analysis of the gut microbiota across studies. As shown **Supplementary Table 1**, the most common method used was NGS (47 studies); from these studies, three performed whole genome sequencing, whereas the rest used 16S rRNA sequencing. Other studies used bacterial culture, molecular methods and other nonsequencing methods such as qPCR, DGGE, TGGE, T-RFLP, PFGE, FISH, MALDI-TOF, and microarrays. The main limitation of bacterial culture and nonsequencing methods is an imprecise characterization of the microbiota diversity.¹²⁷ Also, studies that utilize these methods usually target specific bacteria to answer specific questions, rather than assessing the gut microbiota in a broader way.^{127,128} From the studies that used NGS, there were also differences on the platforms used: pyrosequencing, Illumina dye sequencing or pH-mediated sequencing. The main differences between these techniques are related to read length, reads per run, and reads retained after filtering; where platforms like Illumina will yield more reads and longer reads than the other two platforms.¹²⁹ Thus, this variation in gut microbiota assessment could create biases in the results that have been reported in this review.

Lastly, the available literature regarding the gut microbiota of PT infants relied predominantly on observational studies with very few clinical trials, suggesting the need for more intervention RCTs with adequate power and sample size calculations. After birth, PT infants are at a fragile stage and require a variety of medical interventions. The exposure to different dietary and pharmacological factors will depend on the health status of the infant; this makes it challenging to conduct RCTs in this population. From the review of the literature, almost all the studies of prebiotics and/or probiotics supplementation were clinical trials. These microbiome modulating strategies have been widely used in PT infants and recently, the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) recommended additional RCT to study the effect of probiotics in infants born preterm.¹³⁰

Conclusions and future directions

Results from this literature review about the multifactorial colonization in the PT infant gut highlights how multiple factors and different exposures can differently modify the abundance or presence of bacteria from the same genera or class, as shown in (Figure 2). Although changes in numerous bacteria were found across perinatal, physiological, dietary, pharmacological, and environmental factors, some bacteria consistently showed differences across the mentioned factors. These bacteria included *Bifidobacterium*, *Staphylococcus, Enterococcus*, Gammaproteobacteria, *Bacteroides, Streptococcus, Lactobacillus*, Enterobacteriaceae, Escherichia and Clostridia. The results of this systematic review also illustrate the variability in some of the associations that have been reported with the gut microbiota, which highlights the need of more comprehensive studies analyzing the effect of mode of delivery, sex, type of milk consumed, use of fortifiers, and use of medications on the composition of the gut microbiota of PT infants. Infants born preterm most likely will be affected by multiple conditions at the same time including C-section delivery, antibiotics exposure, low birth weight, and different feeding regimes. With the rapid advancement in sequencing technologies, such as long-read 16S rRNA sequencing that allow for a deeper resolution of the gut microbiome, coupled with the use of more sophisticated computational tools, future biomedical research should aim to integrate multiple biological inputs, seeking to understand complex systems such as the gut microbiota of PT infants. From a systems biology perspective, this would encompass studying the associations between bacterial genome, infant's metabolome, immune markers, clinical status, dietary factors, and the effect on the infant health outcomes. Robust associations support the need for prospective RCTs to utilize modifiable factors, such as diet, to mitigate the adverse effects of non-modifiable factors, including low GA or low birth weight, to help prevent or ameliorate detrimental complications associated with the common dysbiosis associated with PT birth.

Funding

This project was funded by Carle-Illinois seed grant to SMD and TH. MAL is supported by a fellowship from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología. AMD is supported by a Jonathan Baldwin Turner fellowship from the College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences at the University of Illinois.

ORCID

Miriam Aguilar-Lopez (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2010-1653

Andrew M. Dinsmoor (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4192-1151

Thao T. B. Ho (http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4580-9669

Sharon M. Donovan in http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9785-4189

References

- Hasan N, Yang H. Factors affecting the composition of the gut microbiota, and its modulation. PeerJ [Internet]. 2019;7:e7502. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31440436
- Deschasaux M, Bouter KE, Prodan A, Levin E, Groen AK, Herrema H, Tremaroli V, Bakker GJ, Attaye I, Pinto-Sietsma S-J, et al. Depicting the composition of gut microbiota in a population with varied ethnic origins but shared geography. Nat Med [Internet]. 2018;24(10):1526–1531. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0160-1.
- Stinson LF, Payne MS, Keelan JA, Critical A. Review of the bacterial baptism hypothesis and the impact of cesarean delivery on the infant microbiome. Front Med [Internet]. 2018;5:135. doi:10.3389/fmed.2018.00135.
- Stewart CJ, Ajami NJ, O'Brien JL, Hutchinson DS, Smith DP, Wong MC, Ross MC, Lloyd RE, Doddapaneni H, Metcalf GA, et al. Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study. Nature [Internet]. 2018;562 (7728):583–588. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0617-x.
- Rinninella E, Raoul P, Cintoni M, Franceschi F, Miggiano G, Gasbarrini A, Mele M. What is the healthy gut microbiota composition? A changing ecosystem across age, environment, diet, and diseases. Microorganisms [Internet]. 2019;7(1):14. doi:10.3390/ microorganisms7010014.
- Thanh BYL, Lumbiganon P, Pattanittum P, Laopaiboon M, Vogel JP, Oladapo OT, Pileggi-Castro C, Mori R, Jayaratne K, Qureshi Z, et al. Mode of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in preterm birth: a secondary analysis of the WHO Global and Multi-country Surveys. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2019;9 (1):15556. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-52015-w.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, Walsh MC, Carlo WA, Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Sanchez PJ, Van Meurs KP, Wyckoff M, et al. Trends in care practices, morbidity, and mortality of extremely preterm Neonates, 1993-2012. JAMA. 2015;314(10):1039–1051. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.10244.
- Boerma T, Ronsmans C, Melesse DY, Barros AJD, Barros FC, Juan L, Moller A-B, Say L, Hosseinpoor AR, Yi M, et al. Global epidemiology of use of and disparities in caesarean sections. Lancet (London, England). 2018;392(10155):1341-1348. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31928-7.
- Morrow CB, McGrath-Morrow SA, Collaco JM. Predictors of length of stay for initial hospitalization in infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. J Perinatol [Internet]. 2018;38(9):1258–1265. doi:10.1038/s41372-018-0142-7.
- Hay WW. Nutritional support strategies for the preterm infant in the neonatal intensive care unit. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr [Internet]. 2018;21(4):234. doi:10.5223/pghn.2018.21.4.234.

- Su B-H. Optimizing nutrition in preterm infants. Pediatr Neonatol [Internet]. 2014;55(1):5–13. doi:10.1016/j.pedneo.2013.07.003.
- Gagliardi A, Totino V, Cacciotti F, Iebba V, Neroni B, Bonfiglio G, Trancassini M, Passariello C, Pantanella F, Schippa S. Rebuilding the gut microbiota ecosystem. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2018;15 (8):1679. doi:10.3390/ijerph15081679.
- Schwartz S, Friedberg I, Ivanov IV, Davidson LA, Goldsby JS, Dahl DB, Herman D, Wang M, Donovan SM, Chapkin R. A metagenomic study of diet-dependent interaction between gut microbiota and host in infants reveals differences in immune response. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2012;13(4):R32. doi:10.1186/gb-2012-13-4-r32.
- Lu J, Claud EC. Connection between gut microbiome and brain development in preterm infants. Dev Psychobiol [Internet]. 2019;61(5):739–751. doi:10.1002/dev.21806.
- Carding S, Verbeke K, Vipond DT, Corfe BM, Owen LJ. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota in disease. Microb Ecol Heal Dis [Internet]. 2015;26:26191. http://www.microbe colhealthdis.net/index.php/mehd/article/view/26191
- Collado MC, Cernada M, Neu J, Pérez-Martínez G, Gormaz M, Vento M. Factors influencing gastrointestinal tract and microbiota immune interaction in preterm infants. Pediatr Res. 2015;77(6):726–731. doi:10.1038/ pr.2015.54.
- Forsgren M, Isolauri E, Salminen S, Rautava S. Late preterm birth has direct and indirect effects on infant gut microbiota development during the first six months of life. Acta Paediatr [Internet]. 2017;[accesssed 2019 May 6]. 106(7):1103–1109. doi:10.1111/apa.13837
- 18. Tirone C, Pezza L, Paladini A, Tana M, Aurilia C, Lio A, D'Ippolito S, Tersigni C, Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, et al. Gut and lung microbiota in preterm infants: immunological modulation and implication in neonatal outcomes. Front Immunol [Internet] 2019;10:2910. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02910.
- Niemarkt HJ, De Meij TG, van Ganzewinkel C, de Boer NKH, Andriessen P, Hütten MC, Kramer BW. Necrotizing enterocolitis, gut microbiota, and brain development: role of the brain-gut axis. Neonatology. [Internet] 2019;accessed 2020 Apr 20]; 115(4):423–431. doi:10.1159/000497420
- Dierikx TH, Visser DH, Benninga MA, van Kaam AHLC, de Boer NKH, de Vries R, van Limbergen J, de Meij TGJ. The influence of prenatal and intrapartum antibiotics on intestinal microbiota colonisation in infants: A systematic review. J Infect [Internet]. 2020;81(2):190–204. doi:10.1016/j. jinf.2020.05.002.
- 21. Xu W, Judge MP, Maas K, Hussain N, McGrath JM, Henderson WA, Cong X. Systematic Review of the Effect of Enteral Feeding on Gut Microbiota in Preterm Infants. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs [Internet]. 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 47(3):451–463. doi:10.1016/j.jogn.2017.08.009

- Hartz LE, Bradshaw W, Brandon DH. Potential NICU Environmental Influences on the Neonate's Microbiome. Adv Neonatal Care [Internet]. 2015;15:324–335. doi:10.1097/ANC.00000000000220.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev [Internet]. 2015;4(1):1. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1.
- Huang X, Fan X, Ying J, Chen S. Emerging trends and research foci in gastrointestinal microbiome. J Transl Med [Internet]. 2019;17(1):67. doi:10.1186/s12967-019-1810-x.
- 25. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, Cates CJ, Cheng H-Y, Corbett MS, Eldridge SM, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ [Internet]. 2019;366:14898. doi:10.1136/bmj.14898.
- 26. Wells G. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses [Internet]. [accessed 2019 May 6]: http://www.ohri.ca/pro grams/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
- Abdulkadir B, Nelson A, Skeath T, Marrs ECL, Perry JD, Cummings SP, Embleton ND, Berrington JE, Stewart CJ. Routine use of probiotics in preterm infants: longitudinal impact on the microbiome and metabolome. Neonatology [Internet]. 2016;accessed 2019 May 6]; 109(4):239–247. doi:10.1159/000442936
- Underwood MA, Kalanetra KM, Bokulich NA, Mirmiran M, Barile D, Tancredi DJ, German JB, Lebrilla CB, Mills DA. Prebiotic oligosaccharides in premature infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr [Internet]. 2014;58(3):352–360. doi:10.1097/ MPG.000000000000211.
- Underwood MA, Davis JCC, Kalanetra KM, Gehlot S, Patole S, Tancredi DJ, Mills DA, Lebrilla CB, Simmer K. Digestion of human milk oligosaccharides by bifidobacterium breve in the premature infant. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr [Internet]. 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 65(4): 449–455. doi:10.1097/MPG.000000000001590
- Underwood MA, Kalanetra KM, Bokulich NA, Lewis ZT, Mirmiran M, Tancredi DJ, Mills DAA. Comparison of two probiotic strains of bifidobacteria in premature infants. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2013;163 (6):1585–1591.e9. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.07.017.
- 31. Sherman MP, Sherman J, Arcinue R, Niklas V Randomized control trial of human recombinant lactoferrin: a substudy reveals effects on the fecal microbiome of very low birth weight infants. J Pediatr [Internet]. 2016 [accessed 2019 May 6]:173:S37-42. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.02.074
- Chernikova DA, Koestler DC, Hoen AG, Housman ML, Hibberd PL, Moore JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Zainul-abideen M, Madan JC. Fetal exposures and perinatal influences on the stool microbiota of premature infants. J Matern Neonatal Med [Internet]. 2016;accessed 2019 May 6]; 29(1):99–105. doi:10.3109/ 14767058.2014.987748

- 33. Cong X, Judge M, Xu W, Diallo A, Janton S, Brownell EA, Maas K, Graf J. Influence of feeding type on gut microbiome development in hospitalized preterm infants. Nurs Res [Internet]. 2017;66(2):123–133. doi:10.1097/NNR.00000000000208.
- 34. Zwittink RD, Renes IB, van Lingen RA, van Zoerengrobben D, Konstanti P, Norbruis OF, Martin R, Groot Jebbink LJM, Knol J, Belzer C. Association between duration of intravenous antibiotic administration and early-life microbiota development in late-preterm infants. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis [Internet]. 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 37(3):475–483. doi:10.1007/s10096-018-3193-y
- 35. Ho TTB, Groer MW, Kane B, Yee AL, Torres BA, Gilbert JA, Maheshwari A. Dichotomous development of the gut microbiome in preterm infants. Microbiome [Internet]. 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 6(1):157. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0547-8
- 36. Laurence M, Hatzis C, Brash DE Common contaminants in next-generation sequencing that hinder discovery of low-abundance microbes. PLoS One [Internet] 2014 [accessed 2020 Aug 10]; 9(5):e97876. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0097876
- 37. Butcher J, Unger S, Li J, Bando N, Romain G, Francis J, Mottawea W, Mack D, Stintzi A, O'Connor DL. Independent of birth mode or gestational age, verylow-birth-weight infants fed their mothers' milk rapidly develop personalized microbiotas low in bifidobacterium. J Nutr [Internet]. 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 148 (3):326–335. doi:10.1093/jn/nxx071
- 38. Korpela K, Blakstad EW, Moltu SJ, Strømmen K, Nakstad B, Rønnestad AE, Brække K, Iversen PO, Drevon CA, de Vos W. Intestinal microbiota development and gestational age in preterm neonates. Sci Rep [Internet] 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 8(1):2453. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20827-x
- 39. Mshvildadze M, Neu J, Mai V. Intestinal microbiota development in the premature neonate: establishment of a lasting commensal relationship? Nutr Rev [Internet] 2008;accessed 2019 May 6]; 66(11):658–663. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2008.00119.x
- 40. Stewart CJ, Embleton ND, Clements E, Luna PN, Smith DP, Fofanova TY, Nelson A, Taylor G, Orr CH, Petrosino JF, et al. Cesarean or vaginal birth does not impact the longitudinal development of the gut microbiome in a cohort of exclusively preterm infants. Front Microbiol [Internet] 2017;8:1008. doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2017.01008.
- 41. Wandro S, Osborne S, Enriquez C, Bixby C, Arrieta A, Whiteson K The microbiome and metabolome of preterm infant stool are personalized and not driven by health outcomes, including necrotizing enterocolitis and late-onset sepsis. mSphere [Internet]. 2018; 3(3) doi:10.1128/mSphere.00104-18
- 42. Zhou Y, Shan G, Sodergren E, Weinstock G, Walker WA, Gregory KE. Longitudinal analysis of the premature infant intestinal microbiome prior to

necrotizing enterocolitis: a case-control study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015;10(3):e0118632. doi:10.1371/jour-nal.pone.0118632.

- Patel AL, Mutlu EA, Sun Y, Koenig L, Green S, Jakubowicz A, Mryan J, Engen P, Fogg L, Chen AL, et al. Longitudinal survey of microbiota in hospitalized preterm very-low-birth-weight infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr [Internet] 2016 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 62 (2):292–303. doi:10.1097/MPG.000000000000013
- 44. Zeber-Lubecka N, Kulecka M, Ambrozkiewicz F, Paziewska A, Lechowicz M, Konopka E, Majewska U, Borszewska-Kornacka M, Mikula M, Cukrowska B, et al. Effect of Saccharomyces boulardii and mode of delivery on the early development of the gut microbial community in preterm infants. PLoS One [Internet] 2016 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 11(2):e0150306. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150306
- 45. Zwittink RD, van Zoeren-grobben D, Martin R, van Lingen RA, Groot Jebbink LJ, Boeren S, Renes IB, van Elburg RM, Belzer C, Knol J. Metaproteomics reveals functional differences in intestinal microbiota development of preterm infants. Mol Cell Proteomics [Internet]. 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 16 (9):1610–1620. doi:10.1074/mcp.RA117.000102
- 46. Cai C, Zhang Z, Morales M, Wang Y, Khafipour E, Friel J. Feeding practice influences gut microbiome composition in very low birth weight preterm infants and the association with oxidative stress: A prospective cohort study. Free Radic Biol Med [Internet]. 2019;142:146–154. doi:10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2019.02.032.
- Gupta RW, Tran L, Norori J, Ferris MJ, Eren AM, Taylor CM, Dowd SE, Penn D. Histamine-2 receptor blockers alter the fecal microbiota in premature infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. [Internet] 2013;56 (4):397–400. doi:10.1097/MPG.0b013e318282a8c2.
- 48. La Rosa PS, Warner BB, Zhou Y, Weinstock GM, Sodergren E, Hall-Moore CM, Stevens HJ, Bennett WE, Shaikh N, Linneman LA, et al. Patterned progression of bacterial populations in the premature infant gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet] 2014 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 111(34):12522–12527. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1409497111
- 49. Arboleya S, Martinez-Camblor P, Solís G, Suárez M, Fernández N, de Los Reyes-gavilán CG. Gueimonde M. Intestinal microbiota and weight-gain in preterm neonates. Front Microbiol[Internet]. 2017;8. http://jour nal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00183/full.
- 50. Chernikova DA, Madan JC, Housman ML, Zain-ulabideen M, Lundgren SN, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Williams SM, Moore JH, Karagas MR, et al. The premature infant gut microbiome during the first 6 weeks of life differs based on gestational maturity at birth. Pediatr Res [Internet]. 2018;84(1):71–79. doi:10.1038/ s41390-018-0022-z.
- 51. Gregory KE, LaPlante RD, Shan G, Kumar DV, Gregas M. Mode of birth influences preterm infant

intestinal colonization with bacteroides over the early neonatal period. Adv Neonatal Care [Internet]. 2015; accessed 2019 May 6]; 15(6):386–393. doi:10.1097/ ANC.000000000000237

- 52. Sim K, Shaw AG, Randell P, Cox MJ, McClure ZE, Li M-S, Haddad M, Langford PR, Cookson WOCM, Moffatt MF, et al. Dysbiosis Anticipating Necrotizing Enterocolitis in Very Premature Infants. Clin Infect Dis. [Internet] 2015;60(3):389–397. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu822.
- 53. Esaiassen E, Hjerde E, Cavanagh JP, Pedersen T, Andresen JH, Rettedal SI, Støen R, Nakstad B, Willassen NP, Klingenberg C Effects of probiotic supplementation on the gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome development in preterm infants. Front Pediatr [Internet] 2018 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 6. doi:10.3389/ fped.2018.00347
- 54. Ravi A, Estensmo ELF, Abée-Lund TML, Foley SL, Allgaier B, Martin CR, Claud EC, Rudi K. Association of the gut microbiota mobilome with hospital location and birth weight in preterm infants. Pediatr Res. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 82(5):829–838. doi:10.1038/pr.2017.146
- 55. Tauchi H, Yahagi K, Yamauchi T, Hara T, Yamaoka R, Tsukuda N, Watanabe Y, Tajima S, Ochi F, Iwata H, et al. Gut microbiota development of preterm infants hospitalised in intensive care units. Benef Microbes. [Internet] 2019;10(6):641–651. doi:10.3920/ BM2019.0003.
- 56. Brown CT, Xiong W, Olm MR, Thomas BC, Baker R, Firek B, Morowitz MJ, Hettich RL, Banfield JF. Hospitalized premature infants are colonized by related bacterial strains with distinct proteomic profiles. MBio. [Internet] 2018;9(2). doi:10.1128/mBio.00441-18.
- 57. Gregory KE, Samuel BS, Houghteling P, Shan G, Ausubel FM, Sadreyev RI, Walker WA. Influence of maternal breast milk ingestion on acquisition of the intestinal microbiome in preterm infants. Microbiome. [Internet] 2016;accessed 2019 May 6]; 4(1):68. doi:10.1186/s40168-016-0214-x
- 58. Younge NE, Newgard CB, Cotten CM, Goldberg RN, Muehlbauer MJ, Bain JR, Stevens RD, O'Connell TM, Rawls JF, Seed PC, et al. Disrupted maturation of the microbiota and metabolome among extremely preterm infants with postnatal growth failure. Sci Rep. [Internet] 2019;9(1):8167. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44547-y.
- 59. Dahl C, Stigum H, Valeur J, Iszatt N, Lenters V, Peddada S, Bjørnholt JV, Midtvedt T, Mandal S, Eggesbø M. Preterm infants have distinct microbiomes not explained by mode of delivery, breastfeeding duration or antibiotic exposure. Int J Epidemiol. [Internet] 2018;47(5):1658–1669. doi:10.1093/ije/dyy064.
- 60. Gibson MK, Wang B, Ahmadi S, Burnham C-AD, Tarr PI, Warner BB, Dantas G. Developmental dynamics of the preterm infant gut microbiota and antibiotic resistome. Nat Microbiol. [Internet] 2016; accessed 2019 May 6]; 1(4):16024. doi:10.1038/ nmicrobiol.2016.24

- 61. Gómez M, Moles L, Espinosa-Martos I, Bustos G, de Vos W, Fernández L, Rodríguez J, Fuentes S, Jiménez E. Bacteriological and immunological profiling of meconium and fecal samples from preterm infants: a two-year follow-up study. Nutrients. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 9(12):1293. doi:10.3390/nu9121293
- Costello EK, Carlisle EM, Bik EM, Morowitz MJ, Relman DA Microbiome assembly across multiple body sites in low-birthweight infants. MBio [Internet] 2013 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 4(6). doi:10.1128/mBio.00782-13
- 63. Mai V, Torrazza RM, Ukhanova M, Wang X, Sun Y, Li N, Shuster J, Sharma R, Hudak ML, Neu J. Distortions in development of intestinal microbiota associated with late onset sepsis in preterm infants. PLoS One. [Internet] 2013;8(1):e52876. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0052876.
- 64. Grier A, Qiu X, Bandyopadhyay S, Holden-Wiltse J, Kessler HA, Gill AL, Hamilton B, Huyck H, Misra S, Mariani TJ, et al. Impact of prematurity and nutrition on the developing gut microbiome and preterm infant growth. Microbiome [Internet] 2017 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 5(1):158. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0377-0
- 65. Arboleya S, Sánchez B, Milani C, Duranti S, Solís G, Fernández N, de Los Reyes-gavilán CG, Ventura M, Margolles A, Gueimonde M. Intestinal microbiota development in preterm neonates and effect of perinatal antibiotics. J Pediatr. [Internet] 2015;166(3):538–544. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.041.
- 66. Moles L, Gómez M, Heilig H, Bustos G, Fuentes S, de Vos W, Fernández L, Rodríguez JM, Jiménez E. Bacterial diversity in meconium of preterm neonates and evolution of their fecal microbiota during the first month of life. PLoS One. [Internet] 2013;8(6):e66986. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066986.
- Normann E, Fahlén A, Engstrand L, Lilja HE. Intestinal microbial profiles in extremely preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. Acta Paediatr. [Internet] 2013;102(2):129–136. doi:10.1111/apa.12059.
- 68. Biagi E, Aceti A, Quercia S, Beghetti I, Rampelli S, Turroni S, Soverini M, Zambrini AV, Faldella G, Candela M, et al. Microbial community dynamics in mother's milk and infant's mouth and gut in moderately preterm infants. Front Microbiol. [Internet] 2018;9:2512. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02512.
- 69. Westerbeek EAM, Slump RA, Lafeber HN, Knol J, Georgi G, Fetter WPF, Elburg RM. The effect of enteral supplementation of specific neutral and acidic oligosaccharides on the faecal microbiota and intestinal microenvironment in preterm infants. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. [Internet] 2013;32(2):269–276. doi:10.1007/s10096-012-1739-y.
- 70. Zhu D, Xiao S, Yu J, Ai Q, He Y, Cheng C, Zhang Y, Pan Y. Effects of one-week empirical antibiotic therapy on the early development of gut microbiota and metabolites in preterm infants. Sci Rep. [Internet] 2017; accessed 2019 May 6]; 7(1):8025 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08530-9

- Zou Z-H, Liu D, Li H-D, Zhu D-P, He Y, Hou T, Yu J-L. Prenatal and postnatal antibiotic exposure influences the gut microbiota of preterm infants in neonatal intensive care units. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. [Internet] 2018;accessed 2019 May 6]; 17(1):9. doi:10.1186/s12941-018-0264-y
- Butel M-J, Suau A, Campeotto F, Magne F, Aires J, Ferraris L, Kalach N, Leroux B, Dupont C. Conditions of bifidobacterial colonization in preterm infants: a prospective analysis. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. [Internet] 2007;44(5):577–582. doi:10.1097/ MPG.0b013e3180406b20.
- Millar M, Seale J, Greenland M, Hardy P, Juszczak E, Wilks M, Panton N, Costeloe K, Wade WG The microbiome of infants recruited to a randomised placebo-controlled probiotic trial (PiPS Trial). EBioMedicine [Internet] 2017 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 20:255–262. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2017.05.019
- 74. Moles L, Gómez M, Jiménez E, Fernández L, Bustos G, Chaves F, Cantón R, Rodríguez JM, Del Campo R Preterm infant gut colonization in the neonatal ICU and complete restoration 2 years later. Clin Microbiol Infect [Internet] 2015 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 21 (10):936.e1-936.e10. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2015.06.003
- 75. Syal K, Mo M, Yu H, Iriya R, Jing W, Guodong S, Wang S, Grys TE, Haydel SE, Tao N. Current and emerging techniques for antibiotic susceptibility tests. Theranostics. [Internet] 2017;7(7):1795–1805. doi:10.7150/thno.19217.
- 76. Younge N, Yang Q, Seed PC Enteral high fat-polyunsaturated fatty acid blend alters the pathogen composition of the intestinal microbiome in premature infants with an enterostomy. J Pediatr [Internet] 2017 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 181:93–101.e6. doi:10.1016/j. jpeds.2016.10.053
- Mshvildadze M, Neu J, Shuster J, Theriaque D, Li N, Mai V. Intestinal microbial ecology in premature infants assessed with non-culture-based techniques. J Pediatr. [Internet] 2010;156(1):20–25. doi:10.1016/j. jpeds.2009.06.063.
- Parra-Llorca A, Gormaz M, Alcántara C, Cernada M, Nuñez-Ramiro A, Vento M, Collado MC. Preterm gut microbiome depending on feeding type: significance of donor human milk. Front Microbiol. [Internet] 2018;9:1376. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01376.
- Poroyko V, Morowitz M, Bell T, Ulanov A, Wang M, Donovan S, Bao N, Gu S, Hong L, Alverdy JC, et al. Diet creates metabolic niches in the "immature gut" that shape microbial communities. Nutr Hosp. 2011;26 (6):1283–1295. doi:10.1590/S0212-16112011000600015.
- Soeorg H, Metsvaht T, Eelmäe I, Merila M, Treumuth S, Huik K, Jürna-Ellam M, Ilmoja M-L, Lutsar I. The role of breast milk in the colonization of neonatal gut and skin with coagulase-negative staphylococci. Pediatr Res. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 82(5):759–767. doi:10.1038/pr.2017.150
- 81. Underwood MA, Gaerlan S, De Leoz MLA, Dimapasoc L, Kalanetra KM, Lemay DG, German JB,

Mills DA, Lebrilla CB. Human milk oligosaccharides in premature infants: absorption, excretion, and influence on the intestinal microbiota. Pediatr Res. [Internet] 2015;accessed 2019 May 6]; 78(6):670–677. doi:10.1038/pr.2015.162

- 82. Aly H, Said RN, Wali IE, Elwakkad A, Soliman Y, Awad AR, Shawky MA, Alam MSA, Mohamed MA. Medically Graded Honey Supplementation Formula to Preterm Infants as a Prebiotic. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 64 (6):966–970. doi:10.1097/MPG.000000000001597
- 83. Armanian A-M, Sadeghnia A, Hoseinzadeh M, Mirlohi M, Feizi A, Salehimehr N, Torkan M, Shirani Z. The effect of neutral oligosaccharides on fecal microbiota in premature infants fed exclusively with breast milk: A randomized clinical trial. J Res Pharm Pract. [Internet] 2016;5(1):27. doi:10.4103/ 2279-042X.176558.
- 84. Ishizeki S, Sugita M, Takata M, Yaeshima T. Effect of administration of bifidobacteria on intestinal microbiota in low-birth-weight infants and transition of administered bifidobacteria: A comparison between one-species and three-species administration. Anaerobe. [Internet] 2013;23:38–44. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.08.002.
- 85. Pärtty A, Luoto R, Kalliomäki M, Salminen S, Isolauri E. Effects of early prebiotic and probiotic supplementation on development of gut microbiota and fussing and crying in preterm infants: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Pediatr. [Internet] 2013;163 (5):1272–1277.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.05.035.
- 86. Rougé C, Piloquet H, Butel M-J, Berger B, Rochat F, Ferraris L, Des Robert C, Legrand A, de la Cochetière M-F, N'Guyen J-M, et al. Oral supplementation with probiotics in very-low-birth-weight preterm infants: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Clin Nutr [Internet] 2009 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 89(6):1828–1835. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2008.26919
- 87. Underwood MA, Salzman NH, Bennett SH, Barman M, Mills DA, Marcobal A, Tancredi DJ, Bevins CL, Sherman MP. A randomized placebo-controlled comparison of 2 prebiotic/probiotic combinations in preterm infants: impact on weight gain, intestinal microbiota, and fecal short-chain fatty acids. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. [Internet] 2009;48(2):216–225. doi:10.1097/MPG.0b013e31818de195.
- 88. Rozé J-C, Ancel P-Y, Lepage P, Martin-Marchand L, Al Nabhani Z, Delannoy J, Picaud J-C, Lapillonne A, Aires J, Durox M, et al. Nutritional strategies and gut microbiota composition as risk factors for necrotizing enterocolitis in very-preterm infants. Am J Clin Nutr [Internet] 2017 [accessed 2019 May 6]; 106(3):821–830. doi:10.3945/ajcn.117.152967
- 89. Brooks B, Firek BA, Miller CS, Sharon I, Thomas BC, Baker R, Morowitz MJ, Banfield JF. Microbes in the neonatal intensive care unit resemble those found in the gut of premature infants. Microbiome. 2014 [Internet];2(1):1. doi:10.1186/2049-2618-2-1.

- 90. Brooks B, Olm MR, Firek BA, Baker R, Thomas BC, Morowitz MJ, Banfield JF. Strain-resolved analysis of hospital rooms and infants reveals overlap between the human and room microbiome. Nat Commun. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 6]; 8(1):1814. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02018-w
- Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, Versalovic J. The Placenta Harbors a Unique Microbiome. Sci Transl Med. [Internet] 2014;6 (237):237ra65–237ra65. doi:10.1126/ scitranslmed.3008599.
- 92. Jiménez E, Fernández L, Marín ML, Martín R, Odriozola JM, Nueno-Palop C, Narbad A, Olivares M, Xaus J, Rodríguez JM. Isolation of commensal bacteria from umbilical cord blood of healthy neonates born by cesarean section. Curr Microbiol. [Internet] 2005;51 (4):270–274. doi:10.1007/s00284-005-0020-3.
- 93. Morais J, Marques C, Teixeira D, Durão C, Faria A, Brito S, Cardoso M, Macedo I, Pereira E, Tomé T, et al. Extremely preterm neonates have more Lactobacillus in meconium than very preterm neonates – the in utero microbial colonization hypothesis. Gut Microbes. [Internet]. 2020. 1–9. https://www.tandfonline.com/ doi/full/10.1080/19490976.2020.1785804
- 94. Collado MC, Rautava S, Aakko J, Isolauri E, Salminen S. Human gut colonisation may be initiated in utero by distinct microbial communities in the placenta and amniotic fluid. Sci Rep. [Internet] 2016;6(1):23129. doi:10.1038/srep23129.
- 95. Walker RW, Clemente JC, Peter I, Loos RJF. The prenatal gut microbiome: are we colonized with bacteria in utero? Pediatr Obes. [Internet] 2017;12:3–17. http:// doi.wiley.com/10.1111/ijpo.12217
- 96. Glassing A, Dowd SE, Galandiuk S, Davis B, Chiodini RJ. Inherent bacterial DNA contamination of extraction and sequencing reagents may affect interpretation of microbiota in low bacterial biomass samples. Gut Pathog. [Internet] 2016;8(1):24. doi:10.1186/ s13099-016-0103-7.
- 97. Perez-Muñoz ME, Arrieta M-C, Ramer-Tait AE, Walter J. A critical assessment of the "sterile womb" and "in utero colonization" hypotheses: implications for research on the pioneer infant microbiome. Microbiome. [Internet] 2017;5(1):48. doi:10.1186/ s40168-017-0268-4.
- Theis KR, Romero R, Winters AD, Jobe AH, Gomez-Lopez N. Lack of evidence for microbiota in the placental and fetal tissues of rhesus macaques. mSphere. [Internet] 2020;5(3):e00210–20. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00210-20.
- 99. Theis KR, Romero R, Greenberg JM, Winters AD, Garcia-Flores V, Motomura K, Ahmad MM, Galaz J, Arenas-Hernandez M, Gomez-Lopez N. No consistent evidence for microbiota in murine placental and fetal tissues. mSphere. [Internet] 2020;5(1):e00933-19. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00933-19.
- 100. Bäckhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Li Y, Xia Y, Xie H, Zhong H, et al. Dynamics

and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. Cell Host Microbe. [Internet] 2015;17 (5):690–703. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.004.

- 101. Rutayisire E, Huang K, Liu Y, Tao F. The mode of delivery affects the diversity and colonization pattern of the gut microbiota during the first year of infants' life: a systematic review. BMC Gastroenterol. [Internet] 2016;16(1):86. doi:10.1186/s12876-016-0498-0.
- 102. Shao Y, Forster SC, Tsaliki E, Vervier K, Strang A, Simpson N, Kumar N, Stares MD, Rodger A, Brocklehurst P, et al. Stunted microbiota and opportunistic pathogen colonization in caesarean-section birth. Nature. [Internet] 2019;574(7776):117–121. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1560-1.
- 103. Mitchell CM, Mazzoni C, Hogstrom L, Bryant A, Bergerat A, Cher A, Pochan S, Herman P, Carrigan M, Sharp K, et al. Delivery mode affects stability of early infant gut microbiota. Cell Reports Med. 2020;1 (9):100156. doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100156.
- 104. Kim YS, Unno T, Kim B-Y, Park M-S. Sex differences in gut microbiota. World J Mens Health. [Internet] 2020;38(1):48. doi:10.5534/wjmh.190009.
- 105. McBurney MI, Davis C, Fraser CM, Schneeman BO, Huttenhower C, Verbeke K, Walter J, Latulippe ME. Establishing what constitutes a healthy human gut microbiome: state of the science, regulatory considerations, and future directions. J Nutr. [Internet] 2019;149 (11):1882–1895. doi:10.1093/jn/nxz154.
- Gritz EC, Bhandari V. The human neonatal gut microbiome: a brief review. Front Pediatr. [Internet] 2015;3:17. http://www.frontiersin.org/Neonatology/10. 3389/fped.2015.00017/abstract
- 107. Subramanian S, Huq S, Yatsunenko T, Haque R, Mahfuz M, Alam MA, Benezra A, DeStefano J, Meier MF, Muegge BD, et al. Persistent gut microbiota immaturity in malnourished Bangladeshi children. Nature. [Internet] 2014;510(7505):417–421. doi:10.1038/ nature13421.
- Robertson RC, Manges AR, Finlay BB, Prendergast AJ. The human microbiome and child growth – First 1000 days and beyond. Trends Microbiol. 2019[Internet];27 (2):131–147. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2018.09.008.
- 109. Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, van den Brandt PA, Stobberingh EE. Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. Pediatrics. [Internet] 2006;118(2):511–521. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-2824.
- Schönherr-Hellec S, Aires J. Clostridia and necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm neonates. Anaerobe. [Internet] 2019;58:6–12. doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2019.04.005.
- 111. Davis EC, Dinsmoor AM, Wang M, Donovan SM. Microbiome composition in pediatric populations from birth to adolescence: impact of diet and prebiotic and probiotic interventions. Dig Dis Sci. [Internet] 2020;65(3):706–722. doi:10.1007/s10620-020-06092-x.
- 112. Zimmermann P, Curtis N. Breast milk microbiota: A review of the factors that influence composition.

J Infect. [Internet] 2020;81(1):17–47. doi:10.1016/j. jinf.2020.01.023.

- Sakwinska O, Bosco N. Host microbe interactions in the lactating mammary gland. Front Microbiol. [Internet] 2019;10:1863. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01863.
- 114. Bonet M, Blondel B, Agostino R, Combier E, Maier RF, Cuttini M, Khoshnood B, Zeitlin J. Variations in breastfeeding rates for very preterm infants between regions and neonatal units in Europe: results from the MOSAIC cohort. Arch Dis Child - Fetal Neonatal Ed. [Internet] 2011;96(6):F450–2. doi:10.1136/adc.2009.179564.
- 115. Gartner LM, Morton J, Lawrence RA, Naylor AJ, O'Hare D, Schanler RJ, Eidelman AI. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. Pediatrics. [Internet] 2005;115:496-506. http://pediatrics.aappublications. org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2004-2491
- Boquien C-Y. Human Milk: an Ideal Food for Nutrition of Preterm Newborn. Front Pediatr. [Internet] 2018;6:295. doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00295.
- 117. Underwood MA, German JB, Lebrilla CB, Mills DA. Bifidobacterium longum subspecies infantis: champion colonizer of the infant gut. Pediatr Res. [Internet] 2015; accessed 2019 May 6]; 77(1–2):229–235. doi:10.1038/ pr.2014.156
- 118. Sohn K, Underwood MA. Prenatal and postnatal administration of prebiotics and probiotics. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med. [Internet] 2017;accessed 2019 May 13]; 22(5):284–289. doi:10.1016/j.siny.2017.07.002
- 119. Sawh SC, Deshpande S, Jansen S, Reynaert CJ, Jones PM. Prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis with probiotics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PeerJ. [Internet] 2016;4:e2429. doi:10.7717/peerj.2429.
- 120. Hsieh MH, Versalovic J. The human microbiome and probiotics: implications for pediatrics. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care. [Internet] 2008;38 (10):309–327. doi:10.1016/j.cppeds.2008.09.001.
- 121. Francino MP. Antibiotics and the human gut microbiome: dysbioses and accumulation of resistances. Front Microbiol. [Internet] 2016;6:1543. doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2015.01543.

- 122. Zeissig S, Blumberg RS. Life at the beginning: perturbation of the microbiota by antibiotics in early life and its role in health and disease. Nat Immunol. [Internet] 2014;15(4):307–310. doi:10.1038/ni.2847.
- 123. Levitus M, Rewane APT. Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci (VRE). [Internet] Stat Pearls accessed 2020 Jun 16]; 2020;https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK513233/.
- 124. Zhang L, Kinkelaar D, Huang Y, Li Y, Li X, Wang HH. Acquired antibiotic resistance: are we born with it? Appl Environ Microbiol. [Internet] 2011;77(20):7134–7141. doi:10.1128/AEM.05087-11.
- 125. Kelley ST, Gilbert JA. Studying the microbiology of the indoor environment. Genome Biol. [Internet] 2013;14 (2):202. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-2-202.
- 126. Rampelotto PH, Sereia AFR, de Oliveira LFV, Margis R. Exploring the Hospital Microbiome by High-Resolution 16S rRNA Profiling. Int J Mol Sci. [Internet] 2019;20 (12):3099. doi:10.3390/ijms20123099.
- Costa M, Weese JS. Methods and basic concepts for microbiota assessment. Vet J. [Internet] 2019;249:10–15. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2019.05.005.
- 128. Allaband C, McDonald D, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Minich JJ, Tripathi A, Brenner DA, Loomba R, Smarr L, Sandborn WJ, Schnabl B, et al. Microbiome 101: studying, analyzing, and interpreting gut microbiome data for clinicians. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol [Internet] 2019; 17(2)::218–230. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2018.09.017.
- 129. Allali I, Arnold JW, Roach J, Cadenas MB, Butz N, Hassan HM, Koci M, Ballou A, Mendoza M, Ali R, et al. A comparison of sequencing platforms and bioinformatics pipelines for compositional analysis of the gut microbiome. BMC Microbiol. 2017;17(1):194. doi:10.1186/s12866-017-1101-8.
- 130. van den Akker CHP, van Goudoever JB, Shamir R, Domellöf M, Embleton ND, Hojsak I, Lapillonne A, Mihatsch WA, Berni Canani R, Bronsky J, et al. Probiotics and preterm infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr [Internet] 2020 [accessed 2020 Jun 16]; 70 (5):664–680. doi:10.1097/MPG.00000000002655