



Prediction Factors of 6-Month Poor Prognosis in Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients

Jianhua Yao¹, Yuan Xie², Yang Liu², Yu Tang² and Jiahong Xu^{2*}

¹ Department of Cardiology, Shanghai Tenth People's Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, ² Department of Cardiology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Junjie Xiao, Shanghai University, China

Reviewed by:

Guoping Li, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Chen Liu, First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China

> ***Correspondence:** Jiahong Xu xujiahong@tongji.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to General Cardiovascular Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> **Received:** 10 May 2020 **Accepted:** 25 June 2020 **Published:** 13 August 2020

Citation:

Yao J, Xie Y, Liu Y, Tang Y and Xu J (2020) Prediction Factors of 6-Month Poor Prognosis in Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 7:130. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.00130 **Background:** Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is among the leading causes of death worldwide. Patients with AMI may have the risk of developing recurrent cardiovascular events leading to rehospitalization or even death. The present study aimed to investigate the prediction factors of poor prognosis (mortality and/or readmission) after AMI during a 6-month follow-up.

Methods: A total of 206 consecutive patients hospitalized for the first visit with AMI were enrolled. Data collection included demographic characteristics, medical history, clinical information, laboratory results, and oral medications within 24 h of admission. At 1, 3, and 6 months after discharge, AMI patients were followed up to assess the occurrence of composite endpoint events including in-hospital and out-of-hospital death and/or readmission due to recurrent myocardial infarction (MI) or exacerbated symptoms of heart failure following MI.

Results: After 6-month follow-up, a total of 197 AMI patients were available and divided in two groups according to good prognosis (n = 144) and poor prognosis (n = 53). Our data identified serum myoglobin \geq 651 ng/mL, serum creatinine \geq 96 μ M, Killip classification 2–4, and female gender as independent predictors of 6-month mortality and/or readmission after AMI. Moreover, we demonstrated that Killip classification 2–4 combined with either myoglobin (AUC_{Killip class 2–4+myoglobin} = 0.784, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%) or creatinine (AUC_{Killip class 2–4+creatinine} = 0.805, sensitivity = 75.5%, specificity = 77.1%) could further enhance the predictive capacity of poor 6-month prognosis among AMI patients.

Conclusions: Patients with AMI ranked in the higher Killip class need to be evaluated and monitored with attention. Multibiomarker approach using Killip classification 2–4 and myoglobin or creatinine may be an effective way for 6-month prognosis prediction in AMI patients.

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction, prognosis, death, readmission, biomarker

INTRODUCTION

Acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is among the leading causes of death worldwide (1, 2). Despite that great progress has been made in the pharmacological and interventional therapy of AMI, patients with AMI may have the risk of developing recurrent cardiovascular events leading to rehospitalization or even death (3, 4). A deep understanding of the prediction factors of AMI prognosis can provide important information for disease stratification and clinical treatment of patients.

Because of the rapid advancement of laboratory techniques, a number of biomarkers have been identified for diagnosis of AMI. Among the biomarkers of cardiac necrosis injury, cardiac troponins, especially troponin I (cTnI) and troponin T, are considered as highly specific and sensitive markers of AMI diagnosis (5). Myoglobin and creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), although with less specificity, are also valuable diagnostic biomarkers because of their rapid elevation in the early stage of AMI (6). For prognosis of AMI, cardiac troponins, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) were proved to have prognostic values of heart failure and/or mortality in AMI patients (7, 8). In addition, increased heart-type fatty acid-binding protein and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were reported to be possibly predictive of heart failure or mortality during the follow-up of AMI patients (9, 10). However, there is actually no gold standard prognostic biomarker for AMI (11). Multiple factors such as demographics, clinical presentations, and comorbidities are associated with AMI prognosis (12-14). Clinical studies are still highly needed to evaluate the factors predicting prognosis of AMI, especially with continuous advances in cardiovascular care (15).

In the present study, we aimed to analyze the prediction factors of poor prognosis (mortality and/or readmission) of AMI patients during a 6-month follow-up.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

A total of 206 consecutive patients hospitalized for the first visit with AMI were enrolled from October 2015 to August 2017 at Department of Cardiology in Tongji Hospital affiliated to Tongji University (Shanghai, China). This cohort of patients was previously used to analyze gender-specific predictive markers of poor AMI prognosis, which was an independent analysis from the present study (16). The diagnosis of AMI was made by cardiologists according to Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of AMI in China. Those with malignant tumors, severe mental illness, and/or uncontrolled systemic diseases were excluded from the present study. The study protocol was approved by the independent ethics committee of Tongji Hospital affiliated to Tongji University (Shanghai, China). The written informed consent form was provided by all patients.

Data Collection Flow

Data collection included demographic characteristics, medical history, clinical information, laboratory results, and oral medications within 24 h of admission. At admission or on the

next morning, venous blood was taken and immediately analyzed in the Core Laboratory of Tongji Hospital for examinations of blood biochemistry, markers of myocardium injury (e.g., CK, CK-MB, myoglobin, cTnI, NT-proBNP), CRP, hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}), glycated serum albumin (GSA), D-dimer, and folic acid.

Follow-Up and Primary Endpoint

At 1, 3, and 6 months after discharge, AMI patients were followed up to assess the occurrence of composite endpoint events by trained researchers. The primary endpoint events were a composite of all-cause mortality (including in-hospital and out-of-hospital death) and/or readmission due to recurrent myocardial infarction (MI) or exacerbated symptoms of heart failure following MI. During 6-month follow-up, nine patients (4.4%) were lost over time as reported before, because they provided wrong telephone number or disconnected the call (16). The primary endpoint events were eventually confirmed by patients themselves, their families, and local hospital doctors. For analysis of predictive markers, AMI patients were divided into good prognosis vs. poor prognosis groups according to the occurrence of death and/or readmission.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MedCalc version 19.0.2 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). Continuous variables with normal distribution were presented as mean \pm standard deviation. For the cases of skewed distribution, median with interquartile range would be selected. All categorical variables and frequency of events were shown as numbers (percentage). The comparison between groups was performed with the independent-samples t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, or χ^2 test as appropriate. Based on the significant (P < 0.05) variables between good prognosis and poor prognosis groups, forward stepwise COX regression analyses (entry only if $P \leq 0.10$ and removal only if P > 0.10) were further applied to identify the independent predictors of 6-month prognosis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and Kaplan-Meier curves were then constructed to determine the cutoff point and predictive value of these markers in the prediction of poor AMI prognosis. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinical Characteristics of AMI Patients

After 6-month follow-up, a total of 197 AMI patients were available and divided into two groups according to prognosis. Those with death and/or readmission events (n = 53) were defined as poor prognosis, whereas the other AMI patients without death and/or readmission (n = 144) were defined as good prognosis (**Table 1**). In patients with poor prognosis (n = 53), 41 patients were rehospitalized (including three patients died during readmission), and 15 patients died (including both in-hospital and out-of-hospital death). Demographics showed that AMI patients with poor prognosis (71.3 ± 14.1 vs. 61.7 ± 13.3 years, P < 0.001). Patients with AMI with poor prognosis also had lower

	All patients	Good	Poor	P-value
	(n = 197)	(n = 144)	(n = 53)	
Demographic charac	cteristics			
Age, years	64.3 ± 14.2	61.7 ± 13.3	71.3 ± 14.1	< 0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	24.8 ± 3.2	24.8 ± 3.2	24.7 ± 3.5	0.715
SBP, mmHg	126.0 ± 24.2	127.7 ± 23.9	121.5 ± 24.4	0.109
DBP, mmHg	73.9 ± 12.7	75.2 ± 12.2	70.5 ± 13.5	0.022
Heart rate, bpm	79.5 ± 16.0	78.5 ± 14.9	82.1 ± 18.6	0.162
Current or past	112 (56.9%)	89 (61.8%)	23 (43.4%)	0.021
smoker, <i>n</i> (%)				
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				
Male	157 (79.7)	122 (84.7)	35 (66.0)	0.004
Female	40 (20.3)	22 (15.3)	18 (34.0)	
Classification of AM	l, n (%)			
STEMI	167 (84.8)	120 (83.3)	47 (88.7)	0.354
NSTEMI	30 (15.2)	24 (16.7)	6 (11.3)	
Previous history, n (%	%)			
Hypertension	127 (64.5)	91 (63.2)	36 (67.9)	0.538
Diabetes mellitus	53 (26.9)	31 (21.5)	22 (41.5)	0.005
Atrial fibrillation	12 (6.1)	7 (4.9)	5 (9.4)	0.393
Stroke	35 (17.8)	25 (17.4)	10 (18.9)	0.806
Killip classification, I	n (%)			
Killip classification 2-	-4 66 (33.5)	29 (20.1)	37 (69.8)	<0.001

TABLE 1 | Baseline clinical characteristics of patients.

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

diastolic blood pressure (70.5 \pm 13.5 mmHg vs. 75.2 \pm 12.2 mmHg, P < 0.05), less current or past smoking experience (43.4 vs. 61.8%, P < 0.05), and higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus (41.5 vs. 21.5%, P < 0.01) when compared to those with good prognosis. A significantly larger proportion of AMI patients with poor prognosis had Killip classification 2–4 compared to those with good prognosis (69.8 vs. 20.1%, P < 0.001). Meanwhile, AMI prognosis was analyzed according to different genders, which showed that the proportion of AMI patients with poor prognosis (including death and/or readmission) was 45.0% in females (n = 18 among 40 females), which was significantly higher than 22.3% in males (n = 35 among 157 males) (P < 0.01).

Oral medications were recorded at admission. Among the commonly used medicine, a larger proportion of AMI patients in poor prognosis group were prescribed with loop diuretics compared to those with good prognosis (41.5 vs. 12.5%, P < 0.001). No difference was found in other oral medications, including angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker, β -blockers, antiplatelet drugs, anticoagulant drugs, and statins, between the good prognosis and poor prognosis groups (**Table 2**).

Biochemical Examinations of AMI Patients

At admission or on the next morning, biochemical examinations were performed for AMI patients and were further compared between AMI patients with good and poor prognosis (**Table 3**).

TABLE 2 | Oral medications at admission.

	All patients (n = 197)	Good prognosis (n = 144)	Poor prognosis (n = 53)	P-value
Loop diuretics, n (%)	40 (20.3)	18 (12.5)	22 (41.5)	<0.001
ACEI/ARB, n (%)	128 (65.0)	99 (68.8)	29 (55.8)	0.092
β-Blockers, n (%)	128 (65.0)	99 (68.8)	29 (55.8)	0.092
Antiplatelet drugs, n (%)	196 (99.5)	144 (100.0)	52 (98.1)	1.000
Anticoagulant drugs, n (%)	87(44.2)	68 (47.2)	19 (36.5)	0.184
Statins, n (%)	192 (97.5)	142 (98.6)	50 (94.3)	0.859

ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

Compared to those with good prognosis, patients with poor prognosis were present with slightly lower serum levels of albumin, hemoglobin, and sodium, but higher levels of CRP, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, and uric acid. Most of the biochemical data mentioned above had a mean value or median value within the normal range, except for BUN, creatinine, and uric acid, which were slightly above the normal range in the group of AMI patients with poor prognosis. Meanwhile, AMI patients had obviously higher levels of CK, CK-MB, myoglobin, cTnI, and NT-proBNP. Among these biochemical data indicating myocardial necrosis and heart failure, myoglobin and NTproBNP were significantly elevated in AMI patients with poor prognosis. In particular, AMI patients with poor prognosis had a median value of NT-proBNP more than 5-fold higher than those with good prognosis [1,517.3-12,885.3 (4,448.5) U/L vs. 388.4-1,619.8 (788.4) U/L, P < 0.001]. Moreover, AMI patients with poor prognosis had slightly higher levels of HbA1c, GSA, and D-dimer when compared to those with good prognosis.

Myoglobin, Creatinine, Killip Classification 2–4, and Gender Are Independent Predictors of Poor AMI Prognosis

We then constructed multivariate COX stepwise regression analysis to identify the independent predictors of poor AMI prognosis during 6-month follow-up in the present study. With stepwise variable selection using the covariates based on the significant (P < 0.05) variables in baseline characteristics and biochemical data between good vs. poor prognosis groups, myoglobin, creatinine, Killip classification 2–4, and gender were identified as potential independent predictors of poor AMI prognosis (**Table 4**).

Receiver operating characteristic curves further demonstrated that area under the curve (AUC) was AUC_{myoglobin} = 0.632 (95% CI = 0.561–0.700, sensitivity = 41.5%, specificity = 82.6%), AUC_{creatinine} = 0.706 (95% CI = 0.637–0.768, sensitivity = 58.5%, specificity = 78.5%), AUC_{Killip class2–4} = 0.748 (95% CI = 0.682–0.807, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%), and AUC_{gender} = 0.593 (95% CI = 0.521–0.663, sensitivity = 34.0%, specificity = 84.7%), respectively (**Figure 1**). Using the cutoff point calculated from ROC analysis, myoglobin \geq 651 ng/mL, creatinine \geq 96 µM, Killip classification 2–4, and female gender

	All patients $(n = 197)$	Good prognosis $(n = 144)$	Poor prognosis (n = 53)	P-value
Albumin, g/dL	3.7 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 0.5	0.015
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.2 ± 1.9	13.5 ± 1.6	12.3 ± 2.2	< 0.001
Sodium, mM	137.7–140.9 (139.4)	137.9–141.1 (139.5)	136.7–140.6 (138.4)	0.027
Potassium, mM	3.9 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 0.7	0.062
BUN, mM	4.5–7.0 (5.5)	4.2–6.3 (5.3)	5.2–11.6 (7.8)	<0.001
LDL-C, mM	3.3 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 0.8	3.1 ± 0.9	0.151
HDL-C, mM	1.03 ± 0.21	1.03 ± 0.21	1.00 ± 0.22	0.311
CRP, mg/dL	0.3–2.0 (0.8)	0.3–1.4 (0.7)	0.5–5.1 (1.4)	<0.001
CK, U/L	543.5–2,574.0 (1,162.0)	559.5–2,685.3 (1,179.5)	505.0– 2,134.0 (1,048.0)	0.649
Myoglobin, ng/mL	141.5–644.9 (317.9)	130.4–567.4 (286.0)	201.0–935.8 (470.0)	0.004
CTnl, ng/mL	20.9–78.0 (63.9)	17.7–78.0 (54.1)	27.2–78.0 (75.0)	0.377
CK-MB, ng/mL	72.8–299.0 (194.2)	73.6–300.0 (193.3)	61.6–295.0 (197.1)	0.367
HbA _{1c} , %	5.7–6.6 (6.0)	5.6–6.4 (5.9)	5.8–7.0 (6.1)	0.008
GSA, %	12.6–16.1 (14.3)	12.5–15.5 (13.9)	13.3–17.9 (15.3)	0.002
Creatinine, μM	77.0–101.0 (88.0)	74.0–95.0 (85.5)	83.0–140.0 (101.0)	< 0.001
NT-proBNP, U/L	437.4–2,653.8 (1,037.5)	388.4–1,619.8 (788.4)	1,517.3– 12,885.3 (4,448.5)	<0.001
Uric acid, μM	393.8 ± 121.6	372.4 ± 101.0	451.4 ± 151.6	0.001
ALT, U/L	28.0–68.5 (39.0)	28.0–66.5 (38.0)	29.5–78.5 (43.0)	0.407
AST, U/L	79.0–296.5 (158.0)	75.0–297.3 (157.5)	80.5–286.5 (165.0)	0.964
d-Dimer, mg/L	0.3–0.9 (0.4)	0.2–0.7 (0.4)	0.4–2.4 (0.7)	<0.001
Folic acid, mM	7.5 ± 3.7	7.7 ± 3.7	7.1 ± 3.8	0.365

TABLE 3 | Biochemical examinations of patients.

BUN, blood urea nitrogen; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol; CRP, C-reactive protein; CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase MB; cTnl, cardiac troponin l; HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A1c; GSA, glycated serum albumin; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate transaminase.

were found to be significant predictors of poor prognosis in AMI patients (**Figure 2**).

Combined Analysis of Independent Predictors of Poor AMI Prognosis

We further performed combined analysis of these independent markers to explore whether this could further enhance their predictive capacity for poor AMI prognosis (**Figure 3**). We found that a combination of Killip classification 2–4 with myoglobin was sufficient to enhance AUC

TABLE 4 Forward stepwise COX regression analysis (entry only if $P \le 0.10$ and
removal only if $P > 0.10$) for poor AMI prognosis.

	β Value	Standard error	Hazards ratio	95% CI	P-value		
Variables in the Equation (Entry Only if $P \le 0.10$)							
Myoglobin	0.000	0.000	1.000	1.000-1.001	0.005		
Creatinine	0.011	0.003	1.011	1.006-1.016	< 0.001		
CRP	0.007	0.004	1.007	0.999–1.014	0.098		
Killip class 2–4	1.420	0.377	4.139	1.976-8.672	< 0.001		
Gender	-0.934	0.354	0.393	0.196-0.787	0.008		
		Score	Degrees of	ffreedom	P-value		
Variables not in	the Equat	ion (Removal	Only if P >	0.10)			
Age		2.068	1		0.150		
DBP		2.393	1		0.122		
Current or past sn	noker	0.230	1		0.631		
Diabetes mellitus		0.567	1		0.451		
Loop diuretics		0.480	1		0.488		
Albumin		0.081	1		0.776		
Hemoglobin		0.001	1		0.971		
Sodium		0.379	1		0.538		
BUN		0.441	1		0.506		
NT-proBNP		1.156	1		0.282		
HbA _{1c}		0.537	1		0.464		
GSA		0.694	1		0.405		
Uric acid		0.082	082 1		0.775		
d-Dimer 0		0.340	1		0.560		

CRP, C-reactive protein.

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; NT-proBNP, N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide; HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A_{1c}; GSA, glycated serum albumin.

(AUC_{Killip class 2-4+myoglobin} = 0.784, 95% CI = 0.720-0.839, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%) when compared to AUC_{Killip class 2-4} or AUC_{Myoglobin} alone (P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively). Similarly, a combination of Killip classification 2-4 with creatinine was also able to enhance AUC (AUC_{Killip class 2-4+creatinine} = 0.805, 95% CI = 0.743-0.858, sensitivity = 75.5%, specificity = 77.1%) when compared to AUC_{Killip class 2-4} or AUC_{creatinine} alone (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively).

As expected, a combination of Killip class 2-4, myoglobin, and creatinine could also increase AUC $(AUC_{Killip class 2-4+myoglobin+creatinine} = 0.800, 95\%$ CI = 0.737-0.853, sensitivity = 73.6%, specificity = 78.5%) compared to either predictive marker alone (Figure 4). Noteworthy, although AUCKillip class 2-4+myoglobin+creatinine was significantly larger than AUCcreatinine+myoglobin, no significant difference was found for AUCKillip class 2-4+myoglobin+creatinine when compared to $AUC_{Killip\,class\,2-4+myoglobin}$ or AUC_{Killip class 2-4+creatinine}. Taken together, these data suggest that a combination of Killip classification 2-4 either with myoglobin (AUC_{Killip class 2-4+myoglobin}) $(AUC_{Killip \ class \ 2-4+creatinine})$ or creatinine was sufficient to enhance the predictive capacity for AMI poor prognosis.



patients. (A) $AUC_{myoglobin} = 0.632, 95\%$ Cl = 0.561–0.700, sensitivity = 41.5%, specificity = 82.6%, cutoff point: 651; (B) $AUC_{creatinine} = 0.706, 95\%$ Cl = 0.637–0.768, sensitivity = 58.5%, specificity = 78.5%, cutoff point: 96; (C) $AUC_{Killip class 2-4} = 0.748, 95\%$ Cl = 0.682–0.807, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%. (D) $AUC_{gender} = 0.593, 95\%$ Cl = 0.521–0.663, sensitivity = 34.0%, specificity = 84.7%.

DISCUSSION

A great number of AMI patients are at risk of recurrent cardiovascular events, which leads to readmission or even dearth. Biomarkers are useful in the prediction of AMI prognosis, which may differ from endpoint events and follow-up durations. Based on a cohort of 197 AMI patients followed up for 6 months, our study shows that serum myoglobin \geq 651 ng/mL, serum creatinine \geq 96 μ M, Killip classification 2–4, and female gender are independent predictors of 6-month mortality and/or readmission. Our data also demonstrate that the combination of Killip classification 2–4 either with creatinine or myoglobin could further enhance the predictive capacity for AMI poor prognosis.

Demographic characteristics and oral medications at admission were first compared in AMI patients with good prognosis (n = 144) and poor prognosis (n = 53). Compared to those with good prognosis, patients with poor prognosis were about 10 years older and more likely to have previous history of diabetes mellitus. Aging and diabetes are both well-known risk

factors for worse outcomes after MI that have strong associations with death or recurrent cardiovascular events (17, 18). The increased risk of adverse outcomes in patients with older age or diabetes is likely multifactorial, which may be explained by more complicating diseases, higher prevalence of multivessel disease, and less implementation of evidence-based therapies (19–21).

Gender-related difference exists in the assessment, treatment, and outcomes of coronary artery diseases (22, 23). Based on the same cohort of AMI patients followed up for 6 months, we previously analyzed and reported gender-specific predictive markers of poor AMI prognosis in male and female patients; in that study, although there was no significant difference in 6month mortality between males and females, the readmission rate was significantly higher in females than in males (16). Here, when we analyzed death and readmission events together in AMI patients, we observed that 45.0% of females had death and/or readmission events during 6-month follow-up, which was significantly higher than 22.3% in males. COX regression analyses and Kaplan–Meier curves further demonstrated that



FIGURE 2 | Kaplan–Meier survival curves for 6-month prognosis of AMI patients. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were constructed according to myoglobin (A), creatinine (B), Killip classification 2–4 (C), and gender (D).



FIGURE 3 | Combination of two independent predictors in construction of Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. (A) $AUC_{creatinine+myoglobin} = 0.713, 95\%$ Cl = 0.645–0.775, sensitivity = 45.3%, specificity = 93.1%, $AUC_{creatinine+myoglobin}$ vs. $AUC_{creatinine}$ (P = 0.5736), $AUC_{creatinine+myoglobin}$ vs. $AUC_{myoglobin}$ (P = 0.1094); (B) $AUC_{Killip class 2-4+myoglobin} = 0.784, 95\%$ Cl = 0.720–0.839, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%, $AUC_{Killip class 2-4+myoglobin}$ vs. $AUC_{myoglobin}$ vs. $AUC_{myoglobin}$



female gender was an independent predictor of 6-month mortality and/or death after AMI. The predictive value of female gender in AMI prognosis may differ by age as well as by endpoint events analyzed in different studies. A great number of studies support that younger female patients with AMI were at higher risk of both short- and long-term mortality than male patients; however, this difference was diminished in the old population (24–26). Additionally, most studies used mortality as endpoint event (27–29), whereas our study defined poor prognosis including both death and hospital readmission. Indeed, a deeper understanding of the impact of gender on the outcomes of AMI may help guide better therapeutic strategies for male and female patients.

Biochemical examination data were then analyzed between AMI patients who had good vs. poor prognosis. Among the biochemical parameters different between good and poor prognosis groups, serum myoglobin and serum creatinine were found to be independent indicators for death and/or readmission among AMI patients. Myoglobin is a widely used biomarker for early diagnosis of MI that rises earlier than troponins. However, the diagnostic value of myoglobin is limited because of its less specificity to cardiomyocyte death. Indeed, a combined analysis of myoglobin, CK-MB, and cTnI is the most often used biochemical examination for MI diagnosis among suspected

patients (30). In the present study, our data showed that serum myoglobin, CK-MB, and cTnI were all markedly elevated in AMI patients. However, only myoglobin was proved to be an independent predictor for poor AMI prognosis. It was previously reported that elevated myoglobin was a predictive biomarker better than cTnI for 5-year mortality in patients evaluated in the emergency department for possible acute coronary syndromes (ACS) (31). In comparison to the long-term prognosis of patients with undifferentiated chest pain, our study analyzed the shortterm prognosis of AMI patients and observed that myoglobin ≥651 ng/mL was predictive for 6-month poor prognosis after AMI. Our findings were consistent with previous studies that reported the prognostic value of myoglobin to predict mortality in patients with ACS (32) and MI (33). Indeed, despite the absence of cardiac specificity, the prognostic value of myoglobin and its cutoff point for clinical use of predicting mortality and/or readmission after AMI deserve further investigation.

Additionally, we observed that serum creatinine level was significantly higher in AMI patients with poor prognosis [83.0–140.0 (101.0) μ M] than those with good prognosis [74.0–95.0 (85.5) μ M]. Furthermore, serum creatinine \geq 96 μ M was able to independently predict 6-month death and/or readmission among AMI patients. Serum creatinine is a commonly used biomarker for kidney function. In addition to its ability to reflect

kidney dysfunction, serum creatinine has been demonstrated to be an independent predictor for in-hospital and out-ofhospital mortality among patients with ACS or AMI (34, 35). In addition, subclinical serum creatinine elevation was reported to have prognostic value of adverse in-hospital outcomes among MI patients, which was independent of baseline renal function (36). The predictive value of high creatinine level for poor AMI prognosis is usually closely related to the kidney damage or dysfunction developed in those patients with impaired cardiac function (37). In our study, the median value of serum creatinine was slightly above the normal range in the group of AMI patients with poor prognosis compared to those with good prognosis. A cutoff point \geq 96 μ M was found to independently predict 6month death and/or readmission among AMI patients. These data suggest that the serum creatinine elevation (even moderate elevation) also needs to be taken seriously in clinical evaluation, which may provide important information for poor prognosis among AMI patients.

Killip classification is usually evaluated for AMI patients, which is classified as Killip 1 (without heart failure), Killip 2 (with mild heart failure), Killip 3 (with pulmonary edema), and Killip 4 (with cardiogenic shock). Increasing evidence has indicated that higher Killip classification is associated with poor prognosis in patients with ACS (38, 39). Killip class ≥ 2 at presentation was previously found to be an independent predictor of in-hospital and long-term mortality of AMI patients (40, 41). Additionally, AMI patients were reported to have higher glucose level at presentation (42). In the present study, Killip classification 2-4 was identified as a strong independent predictor of 6-month death and/or readmission among AMI patients (AUCKillip class 2-4 = 0.748, 95% CI = 0.682-0.807, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%). Moreover, our data showed that Killip classification 2-4 combined with either myoglobin (AUCKillipclass2-4+myoglobin = 0.784, 95% CI = 0.720-0.839, sensitivity = 69.8%, specificity = 79.9%) or creatinine (AUC_{Killip class 2-4+creatinine} = 0.805, 95%CI = 0.743 - 0.858, sensitivity = 75.5%, specificity = 77.1%) further enhanced the predictive capacity for AMI poor prognosis. Our data, together with previous reports, highly suggest that it is important to better evaluate AMI patients ranked in the higher Killip classes. Multibiomarker approach could provide more information for the risk stratification of AMI. Moreover, AMI patients with Killip classification 2-4 need to be monitored and managed differently to improve the prognosis after AMI (43).

There are some limitations that need to be noted. First, the cohort of patients is not large enough. A relatively small

REFERENCES

 Levine GN, Bates ER, Bittl JA, Brindis RG, Fihn SD, Fleisher LA, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Guideline Focused Update on Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines: An Update of the 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, 2011 ACCF/AHA Guideline for Coronary Artery Bypass number of female AMI patients were enrolled in the present study. Second, despite that the medication information was not available for each patient after 6-month follow-up, whether the medication was changed or not is important information that may also influence AMI prognosis (44). Third, the prognostic value of the four identified predictors and its cutoff point for clinical use of poor AMI prognosis deserve further investigation.

In conclusion, our study identifies serum myoglobin \geq 651 ng/mL, serum creatinine \geq 96 μ M, Killip classification 2–4, and female gender as independent predictors of 6-month mortality and/or readmission after AMI. Noteworthy, Killip classification 2–4 combined with either myoglobin or creatinine further enhances the predictive capacity of poor AMI prognosis. Multibiomarker approach using Killip classification 2–4 and myoglobin or creatinine may be an effective way for 6-month prognosis prediction in AMI patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets presented in this study are included in the article/supplementary material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Tongji Hospital affiliated to Tongji University (Shanghai, China). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JY collected clinical and biochemical data, took charge of followup, and analyzed the data. YX obtained informed consent from patients and participated in the follow-up of patients. YL and YT collected patient serum and ensured the quality of serum collection. JX designed and supervised the study and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (22120180384 to JY) and the grant from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81670362 to JX).

Graft Surgery, 2012 ACC/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes, and 2014 ACC/AHA Guideline on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Management of Patients Undergoing Noncardiac Surgery. *Circulation*. (2016) 134:e123–55. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000 00404

- Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2017) 135:e146–e603. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000485
- Roe MT, Messenger JC, Weintraub WS, Cannon CP, Fonarow GC, Dai D, et al. Treatments, trends, and outcomes of acute myocardial infarction and percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2010) 56:254–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.008
- Krumholz HM, Normand SL, Wang Y. Trends in hospitalizations and outcomes for acute cardiovascular disease and stroke, 1999-2011. *Circulation*. (2014) 130:966–75. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.007787
- Katus HA, Remppis A, Looser S, Hallermeier K, Scheffold T, Kubler W. Enzyme linked immuno assay of cardiac troponin T for the detection of acute myocardial infarction in patients. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* (1989) 21:1349–53. doi: 10.1016/0022-2828(89)90680-9
- Alpert JS, Thygesen K, Antman E, Bassand JP. Myocardial infarction redefined-a consensus document of The Joint European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology Committee for the redefinition of myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2000) 36:959–69. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(00)00804-4
- Newby LK, Storrow AB, Gibler WB, Garvey JL, Tucker JF, Kaplan AL, et al. Bedside multimarker testing for risk stratification in chest pain units: The chest pain evaluation by creatine kinase-MB, myoglobin, and troponin I. (CHECKMATE) study. *Circulation*. (2001) 103:1832–7. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.103.14.1832
- McCord J, Nowak RM, Hudson MP, McCullough PA, Tomlanovich MC, Jacobsen G, et al. The prognostic significance of serial myoglobin, troponin I, and creatine kinase-MB measurements in patients evaluated in the emergency department for acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Emerg Med.* (2003) 42:343–50. doi: 10.1016/S0196-0644(03)00411-6
- Yousuf O, Mohanty BD, Martin SS, Joshi PH, Blaha MJ, Nasir K, et al. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein and cardiovascular disease: a resolute belief or an elusive link? J Am Coll Cardiol. (2013) 62:397–408. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.016
- Jones JD, Chew PG, Dobson R, Wootton A, Ashrafi R, Khand A. The prognostic value of heart type fatty acid binding protein in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a systematic review. *Curr Cardiol Rev.* (2017) 13:189–98. doi: 10.2174/1573403X13666170116121451
- Chen Y, Tao Y, Zhang L, Xu W, Zhou X. Diagnostic and prognostic value of biomarkers in acute myocardial infarction. *Postgrad Med J.* (2019) 95:210–6. doi: 10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136409
- Batacan RB Jr, Duncan MJ, Dalbo VJ, Buitrago GL, Fenning AS. Effect of different intensities of physical activity on cardiometabolic markers and vascular and cardiac function in adult rats fed with a high-fat high-carbohydrate diet. J Sport Health Sci. (2018) 7:109–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2016.08.001
- Castro-Dominguez Y, Dharmarajan K, McNamara RL. Predicting death after acute myocardial infarction. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2018) 28:102–9. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2017.07.011
- Wang L, Lv Y, Li G, Xiao J. MicroRNAs in heart and circulation during physical exercise. J Sport Health Sci. (2018) 7:433–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2018.09.008
- Drzymalski K, Schulman-Marcus J. Editorial commentary: death after acute myocardial infarction, possible to predict? *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2018) 28:110–1. doi: 10.1016/j.tcm.2017.08.005
- Wang P, Yao J, Xie Y, Luo M. Gender-specific predictive markers of poor prognosis for patients with acute myocardial infarction during a 6-month follow-up. J Cardiovasc Transl Res. (2020) 13:27–38. doi: 10.1007/s12265-019-09946-6
- Nauta ST, Deckers JW, Akkerhuis KM, van Domburg RT. Short- and longterm mortality after myocardial infarction in patients with and without diabetes: changes from 1985 to 2008. *Diabetes Care.* (2012) 35:2043–7. doi: 10.2337/dc11-2462
- Rapsomaniki E, Thuresson M, Yang E, Blin P, Hunt P, Chung SC, et al. Using big data from health records from four countries to evaluate chronic disease outcomes: a study in 114 364 survivors of myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*. (2016) 2:172–83. doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcw004

- Avezum A, Makdisse M, Spencer F, Gore JM, Fox KA, Montalescot G, et al. Impact of age on management and outcome of acute coronary syndrome: observations from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). *Am Heart J*. (2005) 149:67–73. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.06.003
- Bagnall AJ, Goodman SG, Fox KA, Yan RT, Gore JM, Cheema AN, et al. Influence of age on use of cardiac catheterization and associated outcomes in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes. *Am J Cardiol.* (2009) 103:1530–6. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.01.369
- Alabas OA, Allan V, McLenachan JM, Feltbower R, Gale CP. Age-dependent improvements in survival after hospitalisation with acute myocardial infarction: an analysis of the Myocardial Ischemia National Audit Project (MINAP). Age Ageing. (2014) 43:779–85. doi: 10.1093/ageing/aft201
- 22. Dey S, Flather MD, Devlin G, Brieger D, Gurfinkel EP, Steg PG, et al. Sex-related differences in the presentation, treatment and outcomes among patients with acute coronary syndromes: the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Heart*. (2009) 95:20–6. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2007.138537
- Yahagi K, Davis HR, Arbustini E, Virmani R. Sex differences in coronary artery disease: pathological observations. *Atherosclerosis*. (2015) 239:260–7. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.01.017
- Vaccarino V, Parsons L, Every NR, Barron HV, Krumholz HM. Sex-based differences in early mortality after myocardial infarction. National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 2 Participants. N Engl J Med. (1999) 341:217–25. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199907223410401
- Vaccarino V, Krumholz HM, Yarzebski J, Gore JM, Goldberg RJ. Sex differences in 2-year mortality after hospital discharge for myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med.* (2001) 134:173–81. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-134-3-200102060-00007
- 26. Dreyer RP, Ranasinghe I, Wang Y, Dharmarajan K, Murugiah K, Nuti SV, et al. Sex differences in the rate, timing, and principal diagnoses of 30-day readmissions in younger patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circulation.* (2015) 132:158–66. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014776
- Gottlieb S, Goldbourt U, Boyko V, Harpaz D, Mandelzweig L, Khoury Z, et al. Mortality trends in men and women with acute myocardial infarction in coronary care units in Israel. A comparison between 1981-1983 and 1992-1994. For the SPRINT and the Israeli Thrombolytic Survey Groups. *Eur Heart J.* (2000) 21:284–95. doi: 10.1053/euhj.1999.1868
- Singh JA, Lu X, Ibrahim S, Cram P. Trends in and disparities for acute myocardial infarction: an analysis of Medicare claims data from 1992 to 2010. *BMC Med.* (2014) 12:190. doi: 10.1186/s12916-014-0190-6
- Alzuhairi KS, Sogaard P, Ravkilde J, Gislason G, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C. Incidence and outcome of first myocardial infarction according to gender and age in Denmark over a 35-year period (1978-2012). *Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes*. (2015) 1:72–8. doi: 10.1093/ehjqcco/qcv016
- Eggers KM, Oldgren J, Nordenskjold A, Lindahl B. Diagnostic value of serial measurement of cardiac markers in patients with chest pain: limited value of adding myoglobin to troponin I for exclusion of myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J.* (2004) 148:574–81. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.04.030
- 31. Jaffery Z, Nowak R, Khoury N, Tokarski G, Lanfear DE, Jacobsen G, et al. Myoglobin and troponin I elevation predict 5-year mortality in patients with undifferentiated chest pain in the emergency department. *Am Heart J.* (2008) 156:939–45. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2008.06.020
- 32. de Lemos JA, Morrow DA, Gibson CM, Murphy SA, Sabatine MS, Rifai N, et al. The prognostic value of serum myoglobin in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. Results from the TIMI 11B and TACTICS-TIMI 18 studies. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2002) 40:238–44. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(02)01948-4
- 33. Kontos MC, Garg R, Anderson FP, Roberts CS, Ornato JP, Tatum JL, et al. Ability of myoglobin to predict mortality in patients admitted for exclusion of myocardial infarction. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2007) 25:873–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2007.01.002
- Granger CB, Goldberg RJ, Dabbous O, Pieper KS, Eagle KA, Cannon CP, et al. Predictors of hospital mortality in the global registry of acute coronary events. *Arch Intern Med.* (2003) 163:2345–53. doi: 10.1001/archinte.163.19.2345
- 35. McNamara RL, Wang Y, Partovian C, Montague J, Mody P, Eddy E, et al. Development of a hospital outcome measure intended for use with electronic health records: 30-day risk-standardized mortality

after acute myocardial infarction. *Med Care.* (2015) 53:818–26. doi: 10.1097/MLR.00000000000402

- Margolis G, Gal-Oz A, Khoury S, Keren G, Shacham Y. Relation of subclinical serum creatinine elevation to adverse in-hospital outcomes among myocardial infarction patients. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care.* (2018) 7:732–8. doi: 10.1177/2048872617716389
- 37. Chin CT, Chen AY, Wang TY, Alexander KP, Mathews R, Rumsfeld JS, et al. Risk adjustment for in-hospital mortality of contemporary patients with acute myocardial infarction: the acute coronary treatment and intervention outcomes network (ACTION) registry-get with the guidelines (GWTG) acute myocardial infarction mortality model and risk score. Am Heart J. (2011) 161:113–22 e112. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2010.10.004
- 38. de Gevigney G, Ecochard R, Rabilloud M, Gaillard S, Cheneau E, Ducreux C, et al. Worsening of heart failure during hospital course of an unselected cohort of 2507 patients with myocardial infarction is a factor of poor prognosis: the PRIMA study. Prise en charge de l'Infarctus du Myocarde Aigu. *Eur J Heart Fail*. (2001) 3:233–41. doi: 10.1016/S1388-9842(00)00154-9
- 39. de Carvalho LP, Gao F, Chen Q, Sim LL, Koh TH, Foo D, et al. Longterm prognosis and risk heterogeneity of heart failure complicating acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2015) 115:872–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.01.010
- Yano K, Grove JS, Reed DM, Chun HM. Determinants of the prognosis after a first myocardial infarction in a migrant Japanese population. The Honolulu Heart Program. *Circulation*. (1993) 88:2582–95. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.88.6.2582

- Valero-Masa MJ, Velasquez-Rodriguez J, Diez-Delhoyo F, Devesa C, Juarez M, Sousa-Casasnovas I, et al. Sex differences in acute myocardial infarction: Is it only the age? *Int J Cardiol.* (2017) 231:36–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.11.010
- Cheng HH, Yen PC. Killip classification and glucose level in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Am J Emerg Med. (2010) 28:853–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2009.04.029
- Miller WL, Wright RS, Grill JP, Kopecky SL. Improved survival after acute myocardial infarction in patients with advanced Killip class. *Clin Cardiol.* (2000) 23:751–8. doi: 10.1002/clc.4960231012
- 44. López AP, Alós-Almiñana M, Peris JE. Health outcomes and primary adherence to secondary prevention treatment after St-elevation myocardial infarction: a Spanish Cohort Study. J Cardiovasc Transl Res. (2020). doi: 10.1007/s12265-020-10045-0. [Epub ahead of print].

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Yao, Xie, Liu, Tang and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.