Research Article

Analysis of Transcription Factor-Related Regulatory Networks Based on Bioinformatics Analysis and Validation in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Shui Liu (b),^{1,2} Xiaoxiao Yao (b),^{1,2} Dan Zhang (b),^{1,2} Jiyao Sheng (b),^{1,2} Xin Wen (b),³ Qingyu Wang (b),⁴ Gaoyang Chen (b),⁴ Zhaoyan Li (b),⁴ Zhenwu Du (b),^{4,5} and Xuewen Zhang (b),^{1,2}

¹Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

²Jilin Engineering Laboratory for Translational Medicine of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

³The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

⁴Department of Orthopedics, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

⁵Research Center of Second Clinical College, Jilin University, Changchun 130041, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhenwu Du; doom99106@163.com and Xuewen Zhang; zhangxw@ilu.edu.cn

Received 2 April 2018; Revised 3 July 2018; Accepted 25 July 2018; Published 29 August 2018

Academic Editor: Ji-Fu Wei

Copyright © 2018 Shui Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for a significant proportion of liver cancer, which has become the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. To investigate the potential mechanisms of invasion and progression of HCC, bioinformatics analysis and validation by qRT-PCR were performed. We found 237 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) including EGR1, FOS, and FOSB, which were three cancer-related transcription factors. Subsequently, we constructed TF-gene network and miRNA-TF-mRNA network based on data obtained from mRNA and miRNA expression profiles for analysis of HCC. We found that 42 key genes from the TF-gene network including EGR1, FOS, and FOSB were most enriched in the p53 signaling pathway. The qRT-PCR data confirmed that mRNA levels of EGR1, FOS, and FOSB all were decreased in HCC tissues. In addition, we confirmed that the mRNA levels of CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1, three key markers of the p53 signaling pathway, were all increased in HCC tissues by bioinformatics analysis and qRT-PCR validation. Therefore, we speculated that miR-181a-5p, which was upregulated in HCC tissues, could regulate FOS and EGR1 to promote the invasion and progression of HCC by p53 signaling pathway. Overall, the study provides support for the possible mechanisms of progression in HCC.

1. Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the common digestive system malignancies with high mortality, which has become the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide [1, 2]. There are more than 466,100 estimated new cases and 422,100 estimated death cases every year in China [3]. Although there is extensive research about the therapies for HCC, the specific mechanisms of HCC occurrence and development were not clear. It is significant to investigate the underlying mechanisms of HCC invasion and

progression to develop effective diagnostic and therapeutic strategy.

Over the last decades, bioinformatics and microarray technology have been widely used to screen the molecular mechanisms of HCC, which provide powerful research support for the diagnosis and treatment of HCC [4-6]. By bioinformatics analysis and microarray technology, functions of some differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in HCC have been explored, which paved the way for exploring complicated process in the occurrence and development of HCC [5, 7–9]. As an important part of participating in life activities,

transcription factors (TFs) have been reported to play an important role in the development of HCC by numerous studies [10, 11]. A work by S. Hua et al. found that ETS1, a cancer-related TF, could through interaction with miR-139-5p inhibit cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in HCC [12]. Another research reported that NF κ B/EGR1 signaling pathway induced miR-3928v to promote the progression of HCC [13]. Even so, more research is still needed to explore the specific role of different TFs in the progression of HCC.

During the present study, we analyzed 4 mRNA microarray datasets and 1 miRNA microarray dataset from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) to obtain DEGs and differentially expressed miRNAs (DEMs) between HCC tissues and adjacent noncancerous tissues by GEO2R. Subsequently, Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis, and protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis were performed to reveal the interaction relationship between DEGs and DEMs and to explore the underlying molecular mechanisms in the carcinogenesis and progression of HCC [14-17]. In addition, we screened differentially expressed TFs in HCC, constructed miRNA-TF-mRNA networks, and proposed a potential miRNA-TF-signaling pathway axis, which identified a systematic exposition of the relevant transcriptional regulation modes associated with invasion and progression of HCC. This study could provide insightful information and the valuable clue for biomarker discovery and novel treatment strategy in HCC.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Microarray Data Information and DEGs Identification. NCBI-GEO is a free database of microarray/gene profile and next-generation sequencing, from which HCC and adjacent nontumor tissue gene expression profile of GSE84402, GSE33006, GSE84005, GSE12941, and GSE64632 were obtained [4, 18, 19, 21]. The DEGs and DEMs between HCC tissues and adjacent nontumor tissues were screened using GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r), which was an interactive web tool for identifying DEGs across experimental conditions among two or more datasets in a GEO series. Identification of commonly differentially expressed mRNAs from the four cohort profile data sets (GSE84402, GSE33006, GSE84005, and GSE12941) was performed by FunRich software (available online: http://www .funrich.org/). |logFC| (fold change) >1 and P value <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The pipeline of the whole process of this study was shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Construction of Transcription Factor Networks. Transcriptional regulatory element database (TRED) (https://cb.utdallas .edu/cgibin/TRED/tred.cgi?process=home) is a database of transcriptional regulatory elements, which provides 36 cancer-related TFs and corresponding regulatory networks [22]. Transcriptional Regulatory Relationships Unraveled by Sentenced-based Text mining version 2.0 database (TRRUST) (available online: http://www.grnpedia.org/trrust/) is a database based on the literature to reflect the relationship between transcriptional regulation [23]. And the prediction of miRNAtarget genes was performed by miRTarBase (http://mirtarbase.mbc.nctu.edu.tw/php/index.php) [24]. According to the regulatory interaction, TF-target network and miRNA-TFmRNA network were constructed based on gene expression profile, TRRUST version 2, TRED, and miRTarBase by Cytoscape 3.6.0 software.

2.3. Functional and Pathway Enrichment Analysis. Omicsbean is an online biological information database that integrates biological data and analysis tools and provides a comprehensive set of functional annotation information of genes and proteins for users to extract biological information. To analyze the function of DEGs, GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis were performed using Omicsbean online database (http://www.omicsbean.com:88). P value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.4. Validation in TCGA Dataset and Modular Analysis of the Key Genes. Validation of the key genes in the TCGA Dataset was performed by UALCAN (http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/ index.html) [25]. We performed Kaplan-Meier plots and boxplots to verify the differential expression of the key genes between primary tumor and normal liver and effect of key genes expression level on LIHC patients' survival. Correlation analysis was performed by Linkedomics (http://www.linke-domics.org) [26].

2.5. Validation of the Key Genes by Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). A total of 20 HCC patients were recruited for tumor and adjacent nontumor tissues collection from the China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Clinical Medical College, Jilin University, and each patient consented in a written informed consent form. The data were analyzed anonymously. All tissues were taken from the surgery room and snap-frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen within 10 min after the resection. The clinicopathological characteristics of 20 HCC patients were shown in Table S1.

Tissue RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen, CA, USA) and further purified using the MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was then measured using the NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) with A260/A280 ratio in the range 1.8~ 2.0 and RNA concentration ranged from 100 ng/ μ l to 1 μ g/ μ l.

For qRT-PCR analysis, less than 5 μ g total RNA including microRNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA with 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, China) and miRNA First Strand cDNA Synthesis (Sangon, China); the expression of mRNA and microRNA was examined by qRT-PCR with TransStart $^{\text{(B)}}$ Top Green qPCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, China), microRNAs qPCR Kit (Sangon, China), and Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System. The relative expression of mRNA and microRNA was normalized to GAPDH/U6 expression by comparative Ct method ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$, $\Delta Ct = Ct_{target}$ -Ct_{GAPDH/U6}, and $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta Ct_{tumor}$ - $\Delta Ct_{non-tumor}$). All primers were designed with Primer 7.0



FIGURE 1: Process of TF-related regulatory network construction and key genes identification in HCC.



FIGURE 2: Identification of 237 common DEGs from the four cohort profile data sets. (a) 57 commonly upregulated DEGs. (b) 180 commonly downregulated DEGs. Different color areas represented different datasets. The cross areas meant the commonly changed DEGs. DEGs were identified with classical t-test; statistically significant DEGs were defined with p<0.05 and |logFC| > 1 as the cut-off criterion.

Software; primer sequences for amplification were listed in Table 8.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Data from qRT-PCR were analyzed with GraphPad Prism version 7.0, and differences between the two groups were statistically evaluated by two-tailed Student's t-test with p value <0.05 considered as significant. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between key genes.

3. Results

3.1. Identification and Enrichment Analysis of DEGs in HCC. HCC and adjacent nontumor tissue gene expression profile of GSE84402, GSE33006, GSE84005, and GSE12941 were obtained from NCBI-GEO. We used p<0.05 and |logFC| >1 as cut-off criterion. After integrated bioinformatical analysis, a total of 237 differentially expressed genes were identified from the four profile datasets, including 57 upregulated genes and 180 downregulated genes (Tables 1–3, Figure 2).

The enrichment analysis of DEGs was performed. As shown in Table 4 and Figure 3, in the biological process group, the DEGs were mainly enriched in response to chemical. In the cellular component group, the DEGs were most enriched in the extracellular region. In the molecular function group, the DEGs were most enriched in protein binding. And the most enriched KEGG pathway was complement and coagulation cascades.

3.2. Identification and Functional Analysis of Key Differentially Expressed Genes, Construction of TF-Related Networks in HCC. We intersected 237 common DEGs and curated 36 cancer-related TF families to get three differentially expressed TFs (EGR1, FOS, and FOSB) in HCC (Table 5). We searched



FIGURE 3: Enrichment analysis of DEGs.

Fable 1: T	he inf	ormation	of exp	pression	profil	es

Series	Platform		Tissues	Adjacent tissues	Tumor tissues	Reference
GSE84402	GPL570	mRNA	Liver	14	14	[4]
GSE33006	GPL570	mRNA	Liver	3	3	[18]
GSE84005	GPL5175	mRNA	Liver	38	38	-
GSE12941	GPL5175	mRNA	Liver	10	10	[19]
GSE64632	GPL18116	miRNA	Liver	3	3	[20]

the target genes and regulators of the three TFs through TRRUST version 2 database. Based on the results, we found that STAT6, a cancer-related TF, regulated FOS and FOSB, while FOS and EGR1 were coregulated by 2 cancer-related

TFs, including BRCA1 and SP1, respectively. Similarly, TP53 and NKFB1 were coregulated by FOS and EGR1, respectively. Since FOS and EGR1 are linked to each other by 4 cancerrelated TFs including BRCA1, SP1, TP53, and NKFB1, we also

	Upregulation	Downregulation	Total
GSE84402	2232	1363	3595
GSE33006	1680	2696	4376
GSE84005	481	530	1011
GSE12941	175	385	560
Co-overexpression DEGs	57	180	237

TABLE 2: The differentially expressed genes of downloaded expression profiles (P value<0.05, FC>2).

TABLE 3: The common	DEGs of four	gene expression	profiles (P v	alue<0.05, FC>2).
---------------------	--------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------

Common DEGs	Gene symbol
Downregulated DEGs	CLEC4M, CXCL14, NAT2, FOSB, CFP, CRHBP, MARCO, CYP1A2, CLEC4G, FCN3, CNDP1, ABCA8, APOF, GYS2, GLS2, BMPER, ADRA1A, OIT3, VIPRI, GBA3, CDA, SDS, MTIG, SHBG, CYP3A4, HAMP, HAO2, GREM2, CYP39A1, HGFAC, C7, ANGPTL1, TIMD4, DPT, FOS, INMT, NNMT, TAT, C9, DNASEIL3, AKRID1, IGJ, CYP8B1, PGLYRP2, TDO2, GPM6A, HHIP, BBOX1, CYP2B6, MT1X, RDH16, NPYIR, ZG16, DCN, GNMT, F9, C8A, HPGD, CETP, MFAP4, PLAC8, SRD5A2, AFM, ITIH4, LIFR, STAB2, HGF, C140RF68, SRPX, TMEM27, ASPA, KLKB1, EGR1, ALDH8A1, SAA4, PHYHD1, CYP2C9, C6, DIRAS3, GHR, GNA14, ALPL, CD5L, PCK1, STEAP4, BMP5, IGF1, AGXT2, PZP, PON3, ACMSD, EPHA3, HPX, PRG4, RBMS3, ST3GAL6, FBP1, HBB, HAL, FTCD, ENO3, COLEC10, STEAP3, PROZ, CXCL12, SPP2, PDE7B, P2RY13, SLC38A4, ECM1, C80RF4, SLC7A2, PRELP, C8B, CCL19, PTPRB, ITGA9, CHST4,ENG, RAMP3, GDF2, GPR128, ABCA9, CCDC3, VSIG4, GZMK, RND3, MBL2, LAMA2, EMR1, SOCS2, F8, CCBE1, CD69, CD163, PTGIS, FXYD1, DNAJC12, CA2, UROC1, GCH1, WDR72, RASGEFIB, COLEC11, IGFBP3, RGN, IFITM1, FBLN5, CYP2C18, CD4, GCDH, PKHD1, OLFML3, FGFR2, TEK, CPS1, TRIM22, ID1, GNAO1, SLC5A1, DHODH, PLSCR4, THBS1, ADH6, VNN1, HSD17B2, AXL, ZFP36, BGN, PDCD1LG2, SLC17A2, ASPN, SERPINA4, EHD3, FXYD6, IGFBP1, ACADSB, ADAMTS1, SFRP5, C10RF162
Upregulated DEGs	ENAH, TXNRDI, MCM4, GNPAT, FAM38B, SORTI, SRXNI, ECT2, TARBPI, RGS5, RFX5, TKT, RAP2A, TP53I3, TUBG1, ITGA6, PRKDC, AKRIC3, CCT3, TLCD1, ATAD2, HSPB1, CENPF, NUSAP1, SLC44A5, SPP1, CHEK1, CDH13, PEG10, MKI67, ASPM, ROBO1, CCNA2, BUB1, CKAP2, CDC6, UCK2, DTL, AURKA, TPX2, MDK, DEPDC1, GPC3, CAP2, UBE2T, PTTG1, CDKN3, RRM2, PRC1, FOXM1, CCNB2, SPINK1, ANLN, KIF4A, PBK, CCNB1, GINS1

included these four TFs in the subsequent data of network construction. We screened the 4 TFs in the TRRUST version 2 database query to get each TF target genes and the DEGs from four profile datasets in GEO and TF-target genes for intersection analysis to obtain 42 differentially expressed target genes of the TFs (key genes) (Table 6), which laid the foundation for our next step to construct the gene signaling regulatory network in HCC.

Based on the above TRRUST version 2 database, we constructed the TF-target transcription regulatory network with the 42 key genes in HCC by Cytoscape 3.6.0 software (Figure 4). The TF-target network complex contained 42 key genes and 7 TFs. We performed enrichment analysis for the 42 key genes; the results were followed in Figure 6.

Subsequently, the HCC and adjacent tissue gene expression profile of GSE64632 were analyzed by GEO2R. We use p<0.05 and |logFC| >1 as cut-off criterion to obtain 703 DEMs, including 452 upregulated DEMs and 251 downregulated DEMs. The interactions between TFs and microRNAs were predicted by miRTarBase. And we performed intersection analysis between the miRNA-targeting genes and DEMs in the microRNA assay data from GEO. Based on the above TRRUST version 2 database and miRTarBase, we constructed miRNA-TF-mRNA regulatory network by Cytoscape software (Figure 5). The results showed that some genes were coregulated by the same miRNA or a few miRNAs, which suggested that miRNAs could play an important role in the progression of HCC. Using these coregulatory genes combined with regulatory networks, we constructed the miRNA-TF-mRNA regulatory network, which was shown in Figure 5. In addition, The Prediction results of differentially expressed miRNA targets revealed that EGR1 and FOS are coregulated by 2 microRNAs including hsa-miR-181a-5p and hsa-miR-192-5p, which were upregulated in HCC based on microRNA assay data. In the targeting relationship between miR-181a-5p and EGR1, FOS has been experimentally validated, especially.

3.3. Functional and Pathway Analysis of the Key Genes. In the current study, we performed enrichment analysis for the 42 key genes which were differentially expressed in HCC tissues. And the results were shown in Figure 6 and Table 7. And the most enriched KEGG pathway of key genes was p53 pathway.

TABLE 4: Enrichment analysis of DEGs.

6

Histidine metabolism

Tryptophan metabolism

Caffeine metabolism

Steroid hormone biosynthesis

Retinol metabolism

Cell cycle

(a) Top 10 enriched biological processes of DEGs

GO_Name	GO_ID	P value	Count
response to chemical	GO:0042221	8.60E-31	103
cellular response to chemical stimulus	GO:0070887	9.67E-27	80
response to stimulus	GO:0050896	2.83E-25	158
single-organism metabolic process	GO:0044710	1.07E-24	129
response to organic substance	GO:0010033	1.49E-22	75
small molecule metabolic process	GO:0044281	2.85E-22	76
single-multicellular organism process	GO:0044707	6.06E-20	109
negative regulation of biological process	GO:0048519	6.44E-20	91
negative regulation of cellular process	GO:0048523	8.35E-20	86
regulation of response to stimulus	GO:0048583	9.64E-20	82
(b) Top 1	0 enriched cell components of DEGs		
GO_Name	GO_ID	P value	Count
extracellular region	GO:0005576	1.17E-37	110
extracellular region part	GO:0044421	3.22E-35	96
membrane-bounded vesicle	GO:0031988	3.92E-29	83
extracellular space	GO:0005615	5.47E-29	60
vesicle	GO:0031982	5.72E-29	89
extracellular vesicle	GO:1903561	7.65E-27	67
extracellular organelle	GO:0043230	7.96E-27	67
extracellular membrane-bounded organelle	GO:0065010	2.19E-26	66
extracellular exosome	GO:0070062	3.55E-26	66
cytoplasmic part	GO:0044444	6.80E-14	136
(c) Top 10	enriched molecular functions of DEGs		
GO_Name	GO_ID	P value	Count
protein binding	GO:0005515	4.15E-21	146
identical protein binding	GO:0042802	2.61E-09	30
protein homodimerization activity	GO:0042803	3.74E-09	22
oxygen binding	GO:0019825	1.97E-08	8
growth factor binding	GO:0019838	4.52E-08	10
extracellular matrix binding	GO:0050840	6.88E-08	7
oxidoreductase activity	GO:0016491	7.54E-08	32
binding	GO:0005488	1.17E-07	186
protein dimerization activity	GO:0046983	1.97E-07	27
oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH or CH2 groups	GO:0016725	2.42E-07	4
(d) Top 1	0 enriched KEGG pathways of DEGs		
Pathway Name	Pathway ID	P value	Count
Complement and coagulation cascades	hsa04610	1.79E-06	10
Metabolic pathways	hsa01100	1.78E-05	43
p53 signaling pathway	hsa04115	3.88E-05	8
Prion diseases	hsa05020	3.97E-05	6

hsa00340

hsa00830

hsa00140

hsa00380

hsa04110

hsa00232

6.78E-05

1.93E-04

6.97E-04

8.26E-04

2.17E-03

3.35E-03

5

7

6 5

8

2

Family	Full Name	Members (Official Gene Symbols)
AP1	Activator Protein 1	FOS, FOSB, JUN, JUNB, JUND
AP2	Activator Protein 2	TFAP2A, TFAP2B, TFAP2C, TFAP2D, TFAP2E
AR	Androgen Receptor	AR
ATF	Activating Transcription Factor	ATF1 – 7
BCL	B-cell CLL/lymphoma	BCL3, BCL6
BRCA	breast cancer susceptibility protein	BRCA1 – 3
CEBP	CCAAT/enhancer binding protein	CEBPA, CEBPB, CEBPD, CEBPE, CEBPG
CREB	cAMP responsive element binding protein	CREB1 - 5, CREM
E2F	E2F transcription factor	E2F1 – 7
EGR	early growth response protein	EGR1 – 4
ELK	member of ETS oncogene family	ELK1, ELK3, ELK4
ER	Estrogen Receptor	ESR1, ESR2
ERG	ets-related gene	ERG
ETS	ETS-domain transcription factor	ETS1, ETS2, ETV4, SPI1
FLI1	friend leukemia integration site1	FLI1
GLI	glioma-associated oncogene homolog	GLI1 - 4
HIF	Hypoxia-inducible factor	HIF1A, ARNT, EPAS1, HIF3A
HLF	hepatic leukemia factor	HLF
HOX	homeobox gene	HOXA, HOXB, HOXD series, CHX10, MSX1, MSX2, TLX1, PBX2
LEF	lymphoid enhancing factor	LEF1
MYB	myeloblastosis oncogene	MYB, MYBL1, MYBL2
MYC	myelocytomatosis viral oncogene homolog	МҮС
NFI	nuclear factor I; CCAAT-binding transcription factor	NFIA, NFIB, NFIC, NFIX
NFKB	Nuclear factor kappa B, reticuloendotheliosis oncogene	NFKB1, NFKB2, RELA, RELB, REL
OCT	Octamer binding proteins	POU2F1 - 3, POU3F1 - 2, POU5F1
p53	P53 family	TP53, TP73L, TP73
PAX	paired box gene	PAX1 – 9
PPAR	Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor	PPARA, PPARD, PPARG
PR	Progesterone Receptor	PGR
RAR	retinoic acid receptor	RARA, RARB, RARG
SMAD	Mothers Against Decapentaplegic homolog	SMAD1 - 9
SP	sequence-specific transcription factor	SP1 – 8
STAT	signal transducer and activator of transcription	STAT1 - 6
TAL1	T-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia-1 protein	TAL1
USF	upstream stimulatory factor	USF1, USF2
WT1	Wilms tumor 1 (zinc finger protein)	WT1

TABLE 6: Differentially expressed target genes of the transcription factor.

	Gene symbol
differentially expressed target genes of the transcription factor	CXCL14, FOSB, CYP1A2, ADRA1A, CYP3A4, FOS, PGLYRP2, CYP2B6, CETP, HGF, EGR1, PCK1, IGF1, FBP1, CXCL12, CCL19, CHST4, ENG, F8, CD69, CD163, IGFBP3, RGN, TRIM22, ID1, THBS1, ADH6, HSD17B2, BGN, ASPN, IGFBP1, CCNB1, TP53I3, PRC1, MKI67, ASPM, FOXM1, PTTG1, CHEK1, CCNB2, CCNA2, SPP1

We queried all the samples from TCGA liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC) with RNA-seq v2 data in our study. The boxplots showed that the expression level of EGR1, FOS, and FOSB was significantly lower in primary tumor than that in the normal liver for LIHC patients from TCGA (p<0.001) (Figure 7(a)). The overall survival analysis of the three TFs was performed using the Kaplan-Meier curve. We found that the overexpression of FOSB in HCC was associated with reduced survival in cases with three TFs high expression, compared with the remaining cases with low/medium expression (Figure 7(b)). Through correlation analysis, we found that there was a strong positive correlation



FIGURE 4: TF-related regulatory network. (a) TF-target network of these 42 key genes in HCC. (b) The brief framework of this network. TF-target network consisted of 42 nodes and 62 edges. The ellipses in the TF-gene network represented mRNAs with red (upregulated) and green (downregulated), and the diamonds represented TFs. The ellipses with a number were the clustered genes in the brief framework and the number of genes is shown inside. The interaction relationship between TFs and mRNAs were represented by arrows, and the direction of the arrow was from the source to the target. Different colors in the lines represented the different interaction relationship between the TFs and targets: red was for activation, green for repression, and grey for unknown.

between the expression level of EGR1 and FOS mRNA. And there existed strong positive correlation toward coexpression of FOS and FOSB among target samples. The correlation between the expression level of EGR1 and FOSB mRNA was moderate (Figure 7(c)). Moreover, CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1, which were three of key genes in the TF-target network, were involved in the p53 pathway. The boxplots showed that the expression levels of CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 were significantly higher in primary tumor than those in the normal liver for



FIGURE 5: miRNA-TF-mRNA regulatory network for HCC. The squares in the network represented miRNAs, and the ellipses represented mRNAs, and the diamonds represented TFs. The nodes in red were upregulated, whereas the nodes in green were downregulated. The interaction relationship among TFs, mRNAs, and miRNAs was represented by arrows, and the direction of the arrow was from the source to the target. Different colors in the lines represented the different interaction relationship among TFs, mRNAs; red was for activation, green for repression, and grey for unknown.

LIHC patients from TCGA (p<0.001). The overall survival rates of patients with high expression of CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 were all significantly lower than those of patients with low/medium expression. The correlation analysis results showed that there existed strong positive correlation among CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 mRNA expression (Figure 7(c)).

3.4. qRT-PCR. We performed qRT-PCR to examine the expression of three differentially expressed TFs including EGR1, FOS, and FOSB mRNA in HCC. The relative expressions of EGR1, FOS, and FOSB mRNA were 0.493 ± 0.558 , 0.494 ± 0.476 -, and 0.500 ± 0.551 -fold downregulated in 20 tumor tissues versus adjacent nontumor tissues, respectively (Figure 8). Similarly, we performed qRT-PCR to explore the relationship among miR-181a-5p, TFs, and the key markers of p53 signaling pathways including CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 mRNA were 3.938 ± 3.887 -, 3.225 ± 3.388 -, and 3.186 ± 3.508 -fold upregulated in 20 tumor tissues versus adjacent nontumor tissues versus adjacent nontumor tissues versus adjacent adjacent nontumor tissues, respectively (Figure 8). And the relative expression of hsa-miR-181a-5p was 1.694 ± 1.236 -fold upregulated in 20 tumor tissues.

4. Discussion

Although some progress has been made in the study of HCC, the exact mechanisms of occurrence and development in HCC are not yet clear. In the current study, we constructed networks related to transcription regulatory modes in HCC and performed functional and KEGG pathway analysis for the key genes. The enrichment analysis results showed that key genes in the TF regulatory network were enriched in the p53 signaling pathway. In addition, we performed qRT-PCR and verification in the TCGA Dataset to confirm the results based on bioinformatics analysis.

FOS (also known as c-FOS) and FOSB were both protooncogenes, which belong to the activator protein 1(AP1) family [27]. Many studies have reported that FOS was involved in proliferation, migration, and invasion of some malignancies [28, 29]. In some cases, expression of the FOS gene has also been associated with apoptotic cell death [30]. However, there still existed some contradictions about the role that c-FOS might play in the tumor progression. Some studies showed that overexpression of FOS might be associated with inhibition of tumor [31, 32]. Oliveira-Ferrer L et al. reported that c-FOS overexpression increased the apoptotic potential of ovarian cancer cells and inhibited tumor growth and metastasis, which could be achieved by changing the adhesion of human ovarian cancer cell [33]. A study by Guo J et al. showed that the expression of c-FOS in the tumor tissues of pancreatic cancer seemed to be lower than that in adjacent nontumor tissues [34]. However, some other research showed that FOS could contribute to carcinogenesis [35, 36]. Therefore, the above research work indicated that c-FOS is expressed differently in different histological types and is closely related to the proliferation, differentiation, invasion, and apoptosis of tumor cells, which provided a new therapeutic target for cancer by regulating the expression of c-FOS. EGR1 (early growth response 1) belongs to EGR



FIGURE 6: Enrichment analysis of key genes.

family of transcription factors that includes four members: EGR1, EGR2, EGR3, and EGR4. It is a nuclear protein and functions as a transcriptional regulator, which is a component of p53 signaling [37, 38]. Abundant studies found that expression of EGR1 was associated with HCC metastasis and proliferation [39, 40]. And a number of studies suggested that EGR1 exhibits prominent tumor-suppressive activity by activating major tumor suppressor factors, including transforming growth factor- β 1, p53, p73, fibronectin, and PTEN [40, 41]. In the present study, the mRNA expression of FOS and EGR1 was lower in HCC tissues than that in nontumor adjacent tissues based on bioinformatics analysis

and qPCR verification. And the low expression of FOS and EGR1 was negatively associated with overall survival of HCC patients based on TCGA data, although this correlation was not statistically significant. The results above suggested that EGR1, FOS, and FOSB, three cancer-related TFs, could play an important role in the progression of HCC.

In the current study, we found that miR-181a-5p was upregulated in HCC based on miRNA microarray data and qRT-PCR verification. As a member of the miR-181 family, the level of miR-181a-5p was overexpressed in many cancers including breast cancers, multiple myeloma, pancreatic and gastric cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma [42–45]. And

TABLE 7: Enrichment analysis of key genes.

(a) Top 10 enriched biological processes of key genes

Count
29
25
18
12
38
23
26
9
22
26
-

(b) Top 10 enriched molecular functions of key genes

GO_Name	GO_ID	P value	Count
protein binding	GO:0005515	4.10E-08	32
oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH or CH2 groups, quinone or similar compound as acceptor	GO:0033695	5.81E-06	2
caffeine oxidase activity	GO:0034875	5.81E-06	2
cyclin-dependent protein kinase activity	GO:0097472	8.20E-06	3
growth factor binding	GO:0019838	3.10E-05	4
extracellular matrix binding	GO:0050840	4.22E-05	3
chemokine activity	GO:0008009	4.81E-05	3
oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH or CH2 groups	GO:0016725	5.31E-05	2
receptor binding	GO:0005102	5.38E-05	10
oxidoreductase activity, acting on paired donors, with incorporation or reduction of molecular oxygen, reduced flavin or flavoprotein as one donor, and incorporation of one atom of oxygen	GO:0016712	6.90E-05	3

	(c)	Тор	10 enriched	cell components	of key genes
--	-----	-----	-------------	-----------------	--------------

GO_Name	GO_ID	P value	Count
extracellular region part	GO:0044421	1.59E-09	20
extracellular region	GO:0005576	1.97E-09	22
extracellular space	GO:0005615	2.94E-09	14
membrane-bounded organelle	GO:0043227	1.77E-08	38
cytoplasm	GO:0005737	2.42E-08	36
platelet alpha granule lumen	GO:0031093	5.55E-07	4
organelle	GO:0043226	1.09E-06	38
platelet alpha granule	GO:0031091	1.90E-06	4
cytoplasmic part	GO:0044444	2.72E-06	30
membrane-bounded vesicle	GO:0031988	3.14E-06	15

(d) Top 10 enriched	KEGG pathway of key genes
---------------------	---------------------------

Pathway Name	Pathway ID	P value	Count
p53 signaling pathway	hsa04115	8.24E-09	7
Cell cycle	hsa04110	1.31E-04	5
Retinol metabolism	hsa00830	1.31E-04	4
AMPK signaling pathway	hsa04152	1.36E-04	5
Drug metabolism - cytochrome P450	hsa00982	1.65E-04	4
Metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450	hsa00980	2.06E-04	4
Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	hsa04914	6.36E-04	4
Oocyte meiosis	hsa04114	1.49E-03	4
Steroid hormone biosynthesis	hsa00140	1.65E-03	3
FoxO signaling pathway	hsa04068	2.04E-03	4



FIGURE 7: Study of the clinical association of EGR1, FOS, FOSB, CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 with the clinicopathologic parameters of hepatocellular carcinoma. (a) Boxplots depicting RNA expression levels of key genes in HCC (n = 371) versus nonmalignant liver (n = 50) from TCGA. (b) Kaplan-Meier plots comparing the overall survival rates in HCC cases (n=365) with high expression or without low/medium expression. The data was recruited from UALCAN. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. (c) Correlation analysis of three TFs and three p53 markers. The data was recruited from Linkedomics (http://www.linkedomics.org).

TABLE 8: Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR amplification.

	-1 -1
Primer	5' > 3'
EGR1	CACCTGACCGCAGAGTCTTT
	GAGTGGTTTGGCTGGGGTAA
FOS	GGGGCAAGGTGGAACAGTTA
	AGTTGGTCTGTCTCCGCTTG
FOSB	GCGCCGGGAACGAAATAAAC
	AACTGATCTGTCTCCGCCTG
CCNB1	AATAAGGCGAAGATCAACATGGC
	TTTGTTACCAATGTCCCCAAGAG
CCNB2	CCGACGGTGTCCAGTGATTT
	TGTTGTTTTGGTGGGTTGAACT
CHEK1	ATATGAAGCGTGCCGTAGACT
	TGCCTATGTCTGGCTCTATTCTG
GAPDH	GACAGTCAGCCGCATCTTCT
	ACCAAATCCGTTGACTCCGA
hsa-U6	CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACA
	AACGCTTCACGAATTTGCGT
hsa-miR-181a-5p	ACGCTGACCTATGAATTGACAGCC

some studies have reported that miR-181a was involved in the pathogenesis of HCC by inducing hepatocyte epithelialmesenchymal transition and decreasing autophagy [46, 47]. A study by C. Zou et al. confirmed that miR-181a plays an important role in the progression of HCC autophagy-related modulator [48]. We found that FOS and EGR1 were both targeted by miR-181a-5p based on prediction results of key genes target, and the targeting relationship between miR-181a-5p and FOS, EGR1, has been confirmed in some studies [49, 50]. And based on correlation analysis from TCGA data, there was a tendency to a positive association between FOS and EGR1. Furthermore, the expression of FOS protein downregulated by miR-181a has been reported [51]. And another study revealed that aberrant EGR1 expression could be suppressed by miR-181a-5p directly [52].

The role of the p53 pathway in the progression of HCC has been reported in the literature [53, 54]. As an important component of the p53 pathway, TP53 (tumor protein p53) is the common target of EGR1 and FOS. Studies found that increased EGR1 expression could activate p53 signaling pathway to induce apoptosis in HCC cells [55, 56]. Association between FOS and p53 signaling has also been reported, but the specific interaction relationship is not yet clear [57]. In the current study, we found that CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 were the target genes of TP53 based on bioinformatics analysis and literature confirmation. Cyclin B1 (CCNB1) and cyclin B2 (CCNB2) are important members of the cyclin family and are important cell cycle regulators related to G2/M detection sites [58, 59]. One of its important roles is to modulate and form a complex with cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) to phosphorylate the substrate, initiate the cell to G2/M phase from G1/S, and promote mitosis [60]. Checkpoint kinase 1 (CHEK1), as a DNA damage sensor and cell death pathway stimulator, regulates the progression of the cell cycle at the S phase, G2/M checkpoint [61]. Damaged DNA activates CHEK1, causing cell cycle arrest and repairing damaged



FIGURE 8: Validation of key genes and hsa-miR-181a-5p expression in 20 pairs of HCC and adjacent nontumor tissues by qRT-PCR. Detection of EGR1, FOS, FOSB, CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 mRNA expression and hsa-miR-181a-5p expression in HCC versus adjacent nontumor tissues was performed using qRT-PCR. Levels of EGR1, FOS, and FOSB mRNA were 0.493 ± 0.558 -, 0.494 ± 0.476 -, and 0.500 ± 0.551 -fold downregulated in tumor tissues, respectively, compared to those in the adjacent nontumor ones. And the levels of CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 mRNA were 3.938 ± 3.887 -, 3.225 ± 3.388 -, and 3.186 ± 3.508 -fold upregulated. The relative expression of hsa-miR-181a-5p was 1.694 ± 1.236 -fold upregulated. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and * * *p<0.001.

DNA; if extensive damage is not repaired, it induces apoptosis, thereby maintaining genome integrity and stability [62]. Due to its anti-injury effect, CHEK1 plays an important role in tumor development and apoptosis [63-65]. Many studies have reported that CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 were involved in p53 signaling pathway [66-68]. We found that mRNA levels of three p53 markers including CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1 were significantly upregulated in 20 HCC tumor tissues versus nontumor adjacent tissues by bioinformatics analysis and experimental verification, and their mRNA expression levels were all negatively correlated with HCC patients' survival rates (p<0.001). Therefore, we speculated that as an onco-microRNA, miR-181a-5p could inhibit expression of FOS and EGR1 to regulate p53 signaling pathway, which may be achieved through the upregulation of CCNB1, CCNB2, and CHEK1, thereby promoting the progression of HCC.

5. Conclusion

Using multiple cohorts profile datasets and integrated bioinformatical analysis, we identified commonly 237 DEGs, and finally found EGR1, FOS, and FOSB, 3 cancer-related TFs, which were downregulated in HCC. In addition, we constructed the transcription factor-related regulatory networks based on EGR1, FOS, and FOSB and identified possible miR-181a-5p \rightarrow FOS/EGR1 \rightarrow p53 signaling pathway axis. It should be noted that this study examined the conclusion by qRT-PCR and bioinformatics analysis; further research needs to be done to explore more specific mechanisms. Notwith-standing its limitation, these findings significantly improved the understanding of underlying molecular mechanisms in HCC, and the key genes and pathways could be used as diagnostic and therapeutic targets and diagnostic biomarkers.

Data Availability

Expression profiles of GSE84402, GSE33006, GSE84005, GSE12941, and GSE64632 in the manuscript were downloaded from NCBI-GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

All authors were involved in the study and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The work was funded by the project of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Disease Translational Medicine Platform Construction (2017F009).

Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: KEGG mapping analysis diagram of 42 key genes most enriched pathway (p53 signaling pathway). Table S1: clinicopathological characteristics of 20 HCC patients. Table S2: 62 regulation modes formed by 42 differentially expressed mRNAs in the TF-gene regulatory network. All regulation information was derived from TRRUST version 2. Table S3: prediction results of differentially expressed miRNA targets in HCC by miRTarbase. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- L. A. Torre, F. Bray, R. L. Siegel, J. Ferlay, and J. Lortet-Tieulent, "Global cancer statistics, 2012," *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 87–108, 2015.
- [2] S. P. Choo, W. L. Tan, B. K. P. Goh, W. M. Tai, and A. X. Zhu, "Comparison of hepatocellular carcinoma in Eastern versus Western populations," *Cancer*, vol. 122, no. 22, pp. 3430–3446, 2016.
- [3] W. Chen, R. Zheng, P. D. Baade et al., "Cancer statistics in China, 2015," CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 115– 132, 2016.
- [4] H. Wang, X. Huo, X.-R. Yang et al., "STAT3-mediated upregulation of lncRNA HOXD-AS1 as a ceRNA facilitates liver cancer metastasis by regulating SOX4," *Molecular Cancer*, vol. 16, no. 1, article no. 136, 2017.

- [5] D. Sun, X. Wang, G. Sui, S. Chen, M. Yu, and P. Zhang, "Downregulation of miR-374b-5p promotes chemotherapeutic resistance in pancreatic cancer by upregulating multiple anti-apoptotic proteins," *International Journal of Oncology*, 2018.
- [6] O. V. Grinchuk, S. P. Yenamandra, R. Iyer et al., "Tumor-adjacent tissue co-expression profile analysis reveals pro-oncogenic ribosomal gene signature for prognosis of resectable hepatocellular carcinoma," *Molecular Oncology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 89–113, 2018.
- [7] Y. Zhang, J.-C. Huang, K.-T. Cai et al., "Long non-coding RNA HOTTIP promotes hepatocellular carcinoma tumorigenesis and development: A comprehensive investigation based on bioinformatics, qRT-PCR and meta-Analysis of 393 cases," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1705–1721, 2017.
- [8] F. Kong, T. Zhu, N. Li et al., "Bioinformatics analysis of the proteins interacting with LASP-1 and their association with HBVrelated hepatocellular carcinoma," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2017.
- [9] Y. Zhang, R. Kang, W. Liu et al., "Identification and analysis of P53-mediated competing endogenous RNA network in human hepatocellular carcinoma," *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1213–1221, 2017.
- [10] K. Liu, J. Lee, J. Y. Kim et al., "Mitophagy Controls the Activities of Tumor Suppressor p53 to Regulate Hepatic Cancer Stem Cells," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 281–292.e5, 2017.
- [11] M. Ye, Z. Fang, H. Gu et al., "Histone deacetylase 5 promotes the migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma via increasing the transcription of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α under hypoxia condition," *Tumor Biology*, vol. 39, no. 6, Article ID 1010428317705034, 2017.
- [12] S. Hua, L. Lei, L. Deng et al., "MiR-139-5p inhibits aerobic glycolysis, cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma via a reciprocal regulatory interaction with ETS1," *Oncogene*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1624–1636, 2018.
- [13] Q. Zhang, G. Song, L. Yao et al., "miR-3928v is induced by HBx via NF-κB/EGR1 and contributes to hepatocellular carcinoma malignancy by down-regulating VDAC3," *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 37, no. 1, 2018.
- [14] The Gene Ontology Consortium, "Expansion of the Gene Ontology knowledgebase and resources," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 45, no. D1, pp. D331–D338, 2017.
- [15] M. Ashburner, C. A. Ball, J. A. Blake et al., "Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 2000.
- [16] M. Kanehisa, M. Furumichi, M. Tanabe, Y. Sato, and K. Morishima, "KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. D353– D361, 2017.
- [17] M. Kanehisa and S. Goto, "KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 27–30, 2000.
- [18] Y. Huang, H.-C. Chen, C.-W. Chiang, C.-T. Yeh, S.-J. Chen, and C.-K. Chou, "Identification of a two-layer regulatory network of proliferation-related microRNAs in hepatoma cells," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 40, no. 20, pp. 10478–10493, 2012.
- [19] R. Satow, M. Shitashige, Y. Kanai et al., "Combined functional genome survey of therapeutic targets for hepatocellular carcinoma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 2518–2528, 2010.

- [20] H. Peng, M. Ishida, L. Li et al., "Pseudogene INTS6P1 regulates its cognate gene INTS6 through competitive binding of miR-17-5p in hepatocellular carcinoma," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 5666–5677, 2015.
- [21] H. Su, J. Yang, T. Xu et al., "MicroRNA-101, down-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma, promotes apoptosis and suppresses tumorigenicity," *Cancer Research*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 1135–1142, 2009.
- [22] F. Zhao, Z. Xuan, L. Liu, and M. Q. Zhang, "TRED: a transcriptional regulatory element database and a platform for in silico gene regulation studies," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, pp. D103–D107, 2005.
- [23] H. Han, J.-W. Cho, S. Lee et al., "TRRUST v2: An expanded reference database of human and mouse transcriptional regulatory interactions," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. D380– D386, 2018.
- [24] C.-H. Chou, S. Shrestha, C.-D. Yang et al., "MiRTarBase update 2018: A resource for experimentally validated microRNA-target interactions," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. D296– D302, 2018.
- [25] D. S. Chandrashekar, B. Bashel, S. A. H. Balasubramanya et al., "UALCAN: A portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses," *Neoplasia (United States)*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 649–658, 2017.
- [26] S. V. Vasaikar, P. Straub, J. Wang, and B. Zhang, "LinkedOmics: Analyzing multi-omics data within and across 32 cancer types," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. D956–D963, 2018.
- [27] B. L. Caputto, A. M. Cardozo Gizzi, and G. A. Gil, "C-Fos: An AP-1 transcription factor with an additional cytoplasmic, non-genomic lipid synthesis activation capacity," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, vol. 1841, no. 9, pp. 1241–1246, 2014.
- [28] H. Li, J. Li, S. Jia et al., "miR675 upregulates long noncoding RNA H19 through activating EGR1 in human liver cancer," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 31, pp. 31958–31984, 2015.
- [29] K. Milde-Langosch, "The Fos family of transcription factors and their role in tumourigenesis," *European Journal of Cancer*, vol. 41, no. 16, pp. 2449–2461, 2005.
- [30] L. Min, Y. Ji, L. Bakiri et al., "Liver cancer initiation is controlled by AP-1 through SIRT6-dependent inhibition of survivin," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1203–1211, 2012.
- [31] T. Zhang, X. Fan, L. Song et al., "c-Fos is involved in inhibition of human bladder carcinoma T24 cells by Brazilin," *IUBMB Life*, vol. 67, no. 3, pp. 175–181, 2015.
- [32] S. P. Jin, J. H. Kim, M. A. Kim et al., "Prognostic significance of loss of c-fos protein in gastric carcinoma," *Pathology & Oncology Research*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 284–289, 2007.
- [33] L. Oliveira-Ferrer, K. Rößler, V. Haustein et al., "C-FOS suppresses ovarian cancer progression by changing adhesion," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 753–763, 2014.
- [34] J. Guo, J. Li, Y. Zhao et al., "Expression of c-fos was associated with clinicopathologic characteristics and prognosis in pancreatic cancer," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. e0120332, 2015.
- [35] L. Bakiri, R. Hamacher, O. Graña et al., "Liver carcinogenesis by FOS-dependent inflammation and cholesterol dysregulation," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 214, no. 5, pp. 1387– 1409, 2017.
- [36] N. Muhammad, S. Bhattacharya, R. Steele, N. Phillips, and R. B. Ray, "Involvement of c-Fos in the promotion of cancer stemlike cell properties in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 3120–3128, 2017.

- [37] V. Baron, E. D. Adamson, A. Calogero, G. Ragona, and D. Mercola, "The transcription factor Egr1 is a direct regulator of multiple tumor suppressors including TGFβ1, PTEN, p53 and fibronectin," *Cancer Gene Therapy*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 115–124, 2006.
- [38] A. Krones-Herzig, S. Mittal, K. Yule et al., "Early growth response 1 acts as a tumor suppressor in vivo and in vitro via regulation of p53," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 5133–5143, 2005.
- [39] C. Z. Zhang, S. Chen, C. Wang et al., "CBX8 exhibits oncogenic activity via AKT/β-catenin activation in hepatocellular carcinoma," *Cancer Research*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 51–63, 2018.
- [40] L. Wang, H. Sun, X. Wang et al., "EGR1 mediates miR-203a suppress the hepatocellular carcinoma cells progression by targeting HOXD3 through EGFR signaling pathway," *Oncotarget*, vol. 7, no. 29, pp. 45302–45316, 2016.
- [41] S. Chang, B. Chen, X. Wang, K. Wu, and Y. Sun, "Long noncoding RNA XIST regulates PTEN expression by sponging miR-181a and promotes hepatocellular carcinoma progression," *BMC Cancer*, vol. 17, no. 1, article no. 248, 2017.
- [42] B. Yan, H. Li, X. Yang et al., "Unraveling regulatory programs for NF-kappaB, p53 and MicroRNAs in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. e73656, 2013.
- [43] J.-C. Neel and J.-J. Lebrun, "Activin and TGFβ regulate expression of the microRNA-181 family to promote cell migration and invasion in breast cancer cells," *Cellular Signalling*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 1556–1566, 2013.
- [44] J. Liu, D. Xu, Q. Wang, D. Zheng, X. Jiang, and L. Xu, "LPS induced miR-181a promotes pancreatic cancer cell migration via targeting PTEN and MAP2K4," *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1452–1460, 2014.
- [45] C. Pop-Bica, S. Pintea, R. Cojocneanu-Petric et al., "MiR-181 family-specific behavior in different cancers: a meta-analysis view," *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 17–32, 2018.
- [46] J. Brockhausen, S. S. Tay, and C. A. Grzelak, "miR-181a mediates TGF-β-induced hepatocyte EMT and is dysregulated in cirrhosis and hepatocellular cancer," *Liver International*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 240–253, 2014.
- [47] J. Yang, Y. He, N. Zhai, S. Ding, J. Li, and Z. Peng, "MicroRNA-181a inhibits autophagy by targeting Atg5 in hepatocellular carcinoma," *Frontiers in Bioscience*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 388–396, 2018.
- [48] C. Zou, J. Chen, K. Chen et al., "Functional analysis of miR-181a and Fas involved in hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma pathogenesis," *Experimental Cell Research*, vol. 331, no. 2, pp. 352–361, 2015.
- [49] S. Y. Wu, R. Rupaimoole, F. Shen et al., "A miR-192-EGRI-HOXB9 regulatory network controls the angiogenic switch in cancer," *Nature Communications*, vol. 7, 2016.
- [50] J. Zhu, K. Yao, J. Guo et al., "miR-181a and miR-150 regulate dendritic cell immune inflammatory responses and cardiomyocyte apoptosis via targeting JAK1–STAT1/c-Fos pathway," *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 2884–2895, 2017.
- [51] C. Wu, Y. Gong, J. Yuan et al., "microRNA-181a represses ox-LDL-stimulated inflammatory response in dendritic cell by targeting c-Fos," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 2355–2363, 2012.
- [52] P. Xu, M.-P. Guan, J.-G. Bi, D. Wang, Z.-J. Zheng, and Y.-M. Xue, "High glucose down-regulates microRNA-181a-5p to increase pro-fibrotic gene expression by targeting early growth response

- [53] J. Krstic, M. Galhuber, T. Schulz, M. Schupp, and A. Prokesch, "p53 as a dichotomous regulator of liver disease: the dose makes the medicine," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 921, 2018.
- [54] Y. Kong, L. Zhang, Y. Huang et al., "Pseudogene PDIA3P1 promotes cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and suppresses apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma by regulating the p53 pathway," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 407, pp. 76–83, 2018.
- [55] X. Li, J. Yu, M. V. Brock et al., "Epigenetic silencing of BCL6B inactivates p53 signaling and causes human hepatocellular carcinoma cell resist to 5-FU," *Oncotarget*, vol. 6, no. 13, pp. 11547–11560, 2015.
- [56] H.-C. Kirch, S. Flaswinkel, H. Rumpf, D. Brockmann, and H. Esche, "Expression of human p53 requires synergistic activation of transcription from the p53 promoter by AP-1, NF-κB and Myc/Max," Oncogene, vol. 18, no. 17, pp. 2728–2738, 1999.
- [57] J. Guinea-Viniegra, R. Zenz, H. Scheuch et al., "Differentiationinduced skin cancer suppression by FOS, p53, and TACE/ ADAM17," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 122, no. 8, pp. 2898–2910, 2012.
- [58] Z. Wang, M. Fan, D. Candas et al., "Cyclin B1/Cdk1 coordinates mitochondrial respiration for cell-cycle G2/M progression," *Developmental Cell*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 217–232, 2014.
- [59] H. Nam and J. M. van Deursen, "Cyclin B2 and p53 control proper timing of centrosome separation," *Nature Cell Biology*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 538-349, 2014.
- [60] H. Chiu, W. Huang, T. Liao et al., "Mechanistic insights into avian reovirus p17-modulated suppression of cell-cycle CDK/ cyclin complexes and enhancement of p53 and cyclin H interaction," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, Article ID jbc.RA118.002341, 2018.
- [61] J. J. J. Geenen and J. H. M. Schellens, "Molecular pathways: Targeting the protein kinase Weel in cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 23, no. 16, pp. 4540–4544, 2017.
- [62] Y. Zhang and T. Hunter, "Roles of Chk1 in cell biology and cancer therapy," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 134, no. 5, pp. 1013–1023, 2014.
- [63] T. Fishler, Y.-Y. Li, R.-H. Wang et al., "Genetic instability and mammary tumor formation in mice carrying mammaryspecific disruption of Chk1 and p53," *Oncogene*, vol. 29, no. 28, pp. 4007–4017, 2010.
- [64] H. Jin, G. Xu, Q. Zhang, Q. Pang, and M. Fang, "Synaptotagmin-7 is overexpressed in hepatocellular carcinoma and regulates hepatocellular carcinoma cell proliferation via Chk1–p53 signaling," *OncoTargets and Therapy*, vol. 10, pp. 4283–4293, 2017.
- [65] Y.-L. Nan, Y.-L. Hu, Z.-K. Liu et al., "Relationships between cell cycle pathway gene polymorphisms and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 22, no. 24, pp. 5558–5567, 2016.
- [66] A. Malki, R. Y. Elbayaa, O. Ali, A. Sultan, and A. M. Youssef, "Novel quinuclidinone derivatives induced apoptosis in human breast cancer via targeting p53," *Bioorganic Chemistry*, vol. 72, pp. 57–63, 2017.
- [67] S. Di Agostino, G. Sorrentino, E. Ingallina et al., "YAP enhances the pro-proliferative transcriptional activity of mutant p53 proteins," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 188–201, 2016.
- [68] L. Zheng, Y. Zhu, L. Lei, W. Sun, G. Cheng, and S. Yang, "Significant expression of CHK1 and p53 in bladder urothelial carcinoma as potential therapeutic targets and prognosis," *Oncology Letters*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 568–574, 2017.

2017