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#### Abstract

Orbitides are plant-derived small cyclic peptides with a wide range of biological activities. Phytochemical investigation of the whole plants of Dianthus chinensis was performed with the aim to discover new bioactive orbitides. Five undescribed proline-containing orbitides, dianthiamides A-E (1-5), were isolated from a methanolic extract of Dianthus chinensis. Their structures were elucidated by extensive analysis of 1D and 2D NMR and HRESI-TOF-MS as well as ESI-MS/MS fragmentation data. The absolute configuration of the amino acid residues of compounds $1-5$ was determined by Marfey's method. All compounds were tested for their cytotoxic activity, and dianthiamide A (1) exhibited weak activity against A549 cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $47.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Dianthus chinensis L. is a perennial herbaceous plant belonging to the Caryophyllaceae family, and is distributed widely in Europe and Eastern Asia. The whole plant of D. chinensis is commonly used as a traditional medicine in Korea for treating diuretic, carcinoma, urethritis, and carbuncles [1-6]. Previous investigation on the phytochemical constituents of the genus Dianthus led to the isolation of cyclopeptides [4-9], dianthramides [5,10,11], triterpenoidal saponins [1-3,12,13], anthocyanins [14], and pyran-type glycosides [15]. Orbitides, formerly known as Caryophyllaceae-type cyclic peptides, are N-to-C cyclized plant peptides lacking disulfide bonds, which possess 5 to 12 amino acid residues. Orbitides are ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified cyclic peptides, which have been discovered in many plants of the families such as Annonaceae, Asteraceae, Caryophyllaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Lamiaceae, Linaceae, Phytolaccaceae, Rutaceae, Schizandraceae, and Verbenaceae. Recently, orbitides have gained increasing attention owed to a wide range of biological activities including cytotoxic, antimalarial, immunomodulatory, and antiproliferative activities [16-19]. The Dianthus genus is a rich source of proline-containing orbitides, some of which showed cytotoxic activity against several cancer cell lines [5,6,18,20]. Therefore, we have embarked on a research program for the isolation of new bioactive orbitides from medicinal plant, and five undescribed orbitides, dianthiamides A-E (1-5) (Figure 1), were isolated from a MeOH extract of the whole plants of the D. chinensis. Herein, the isolation and structure determination as well as their cytotoxic activity against A549 cell line are described.
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Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-5.

## 2. Results and Discussion

Dianthiamide A (1) was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder. Its molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ was determined from the HRESI-TOF-MS data ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 739.4144$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd for 739.4137). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR in conjunction with HSQC data of 1 displayed the presence of 37 carbon signals assigned to eight amide carbonyl carbons ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $168.8,169.8,170.8,170.9,171.1,171.4,172.3$, and 173.4), seven $\alpha$-amino acid carbons [ $\delta_{C} 44.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.6(\mathrm{CH}), 49.6(\mathrm{CH}), 56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 59.6(\mathrm{CH}), 60.8(\mathrm{CH})$, and $61.6(\mathrm{CH})$ ], six aromatic carbons [ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 126.8,128.8,(2 \mathrm{C}), 129.1(2 \mathrm{C})$, and 138.4 ], two methines, ten methylenes, and four methyls (Table 1), suggesting that $\mathbf{1}$ is a heptapeptide. Furthermore, HSQC, HMBC, and COSY spectra showed the identification of seven amino acid residues including phenylalanine $(\mathrm{F})$, glycine $(\mathrm{G})$, isoleucine $(\mathrm{I})$, asparagine $(\mathrm{N})$, leucine $(\mathrm{L})$, and two prolines ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ).

In the HMBC and ROESY experiments, the cyclic feature and amino acid sequence of 1 were elucidated by the correlations observed between the amino acid $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and continuous amide group (CONH). Therefore, the linear sequence of 1 was identified as G-F-L- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}$. Also, the HMBC correlation from Gly- $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.40\right.$ and 3.55 ) to Asn- $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 172.3\right)$ as well as the ROESY correlation between Gly-NH ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.70$ ) and Asn-H $\alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.18\right)$ established the
cyclic heptapeptide as cyclo-G-F-L-P $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}$ (Figure 2). The amino acid sequence of $\mathbf{1}$ was further confirmed by analysis of the ESI-MS/MS fragment ions. Presumably, though there were several ring-opening sites, it occurred at two preferred positions at $\operatorname{Pro}_{a}{ }^{4}-\operatorname{Pro}_{b}{ }^{5}$ and $\mathrm{Ile}^{6}-\mathrm{Asn}^{7}$, respectively. Each the linear sequences, $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}-\mathrm{Ile}^{6}-\mathrm{Asn}^{7}-\mathrm{Gly}^{1}-\mathrm{Phe}^{2}-\mathrm{Leu}^{3}-\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$ $\left(\mathrm{b}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Asn}^{7}-\mathrm{Gly}^{1}-\mathrm{Phe}^{2}-\mathrm{Leu}^{3}-\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}-\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}-\mathrm{Ile}^{6}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{7} \mathrm{NI}\right)$ was certified by acylium ions $\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{NI}\right)$ and after loss of $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{NI}\right)$ at $m / z 642\left(\mathrm{~b}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\right), 626\left(\mathrm{~b}_{6} \mathrm{NI}\right), 529\left(\mathrm{~b}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{b}_{5} \mathrm{NI}\right), 404\left(\mathrm{a}_{4} \mathrm{NI}\right), 364\left(\mathrm{~b}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 319\left(\mathrm{~b}_{3} \mathrm{NI}\right)$, and $211\left(\mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, corresponding to the successive loss of amino acid residues (Figure S9).

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for dianthiamide A (1) $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{2} d_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, d in ppm, $J$ in Hz ).

| Position | $\delta^{\delta} \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta^{\text {H }}$ ( J in Hz) | Position | $\delta^{\delta} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathcal{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | cis- $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 169.8, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.9, C |  |
| NH |  | 8.70 (t, 4.2) | $\alpha$ | 60.8, CH | 4.55 (d, 7.0) |
| $\alpha$ | 44.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.55 (dd, 16.8, 4.2) | $\beta$ | 31.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.33 \text { (dd, 11.9, } \\ 7.0) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 3.40 (dd, 16.8, 5.6) |  |  | 1.92 (m) |
| Phe ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | $\gamma$ | 22.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.85 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.1, C |  |  |  | 1.46 (m) |
| NH |  | 7.69 (d, 9.1) | $\delta$ | 46.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.46 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 56.0, CH | 4.38 (m) |  |  | 3.25 ( $\mathrm{t}, 9.8$ ) |
| $\beta$ | 37.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.01 (m) | $\mathrm{Ile}^{6}$ | 171.4, C |  |
|  |  | 2.97 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| Phe-1' | 138.4, C |  | NH |  | 8.49 (d, 7.7) |
| $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 129.1, CH | 7.15-7.27 (m) | $\alpha$ | 61.6, CH | 3.66 (dd, 9.8, 7.7) |
| $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ | 128.8, CH | 7.15-7.27 (m) | $\beta$ | 35.3, CH | 2.05 (m) |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 126.8, CH | 7.15-7.27 (m) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.90 ( $\mathrm{t}, 7.7$ ) |
| Leu ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 168.8, C |  | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 25.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.50 (m) |
| NH |  | 7.28 (m) |  |  | 1.15 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 48.6, CH | 4.48 (m) | $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 10.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.82 (d, 7.7) |
| $\beta$ | 41.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.68 (m) | Asn ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.19 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 172.3, C |  |
| $\gamma$-CH | 24.6, CH | 1.51 (m) | NH$\alpha$ |  | 7.26 (m) |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.85 (d, 6.3) |  | $\begin{gathered} 49.6, \mathrm{CH} \\ 35.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \end{gathered}$ | 4.18 (m) |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.88 (d, 6.3) | $\beta$ |  | 3.15 (m) |
| trans-Proa ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  | 3.05 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.8, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 173.4, C |  |
| $\alpha$ | 59.6, CH | 4.45 (t, 7.0) | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 7.97 (s) |
| $\beta$ | 28.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.27 (m) |  |  | 7.54 (s) |
|  |  | 1.64 (m) |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$ | 25.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.96 (m) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.83 (m) |  |  |  |
| $\delta$ | 47.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.44 (m) |  |  |  |

The geometry of proline residues was assigned on the basis of the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ values and the presence of a ROE correlations between the proline $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ or $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of previous amino acid. The $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ value ( 3.2 ppm ) of the $\mathrm{PrO}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$ and the ROE correlation between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.48\right)$ of $\mathrm{Leu}^{3}$ and the $\mathrm{H} \delta\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.44\right)$ of $\mathrm{Pro}_{a}{ }^{4}$ indicated that the amide bond in the $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$ was a trans. However, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ value ( 9.0 ppm ) of the $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$ and the ROE correlation between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.45\right)$ of $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$ and the $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.55\right)$ of $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$ indicated that the geometry of $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$ was a cis (Figure 3) [21-23]. The absolute configuration of amino acid residues in 1 were identified as L configuration, which was deduced by acid hydrolysis and Marfey's derivatization, followed by HPLC analysis [24-27]. The N- $\alpha-(2,4-$ dinitro-5-fluorophenyl)-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA)-derivatives of 1 gave peaks at $t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{min})$ 15.0 (L-Asp, $m / z 386$ ), 20.8 (L-Pro, $m / z 368$ ), 29.5 (L-Leu, $m / z 384$ ), 29.7 (L-Phe, $m / z 418$ ),
and 30.2 (L-Ile, $m / z 384$ ) (Figure S10). Therefore, dianthiamide A (1) was established as cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Phe ${ }^{2}$-L-Leu ${ }^{3}$-L-trans- Pro $_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$-L-cis- Pro $_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$-L-Asn ${ }^{7}$ ).
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Figure 2. Key COSY, HMBC, and ROESY correlations of 1-5.


Figure 3. Proline isomerization of 1.

Dianthiamide $\mathrm{B}(2)$ was isolated as a yellow amorphous powder, the HRESI-TOF-MS data were consistent with the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left(\mathrm{~m} / z 647.3161[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right.$; calcd for 647.3163 ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and HSQC NMR spectra of 2 showed 32 carbons, consisting of seven amide carbonyl carbons, six $\alpha$-amino acid carbons, six aromatic carbons, two methines, seven methylenes, and four methyls (Table 2). HSQC, HMBC, and COSY spectra demonstrated the presence of six amino acid residues including aspartic acid (D), phenylalanine (F), glycine (G), isoleucine (I), leucine (L), and proline (P). Moreover, HMBC, COSY, and ROESY spectra indicated that the sequence and connectivity of the hexapeptide was cyclo-G-L-P-F-D-I (Figure 2). The HMBC correlations between $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.19\right)$ of Ile ${ }^{6}$ and two carbonyls ( $\delta_{C} 176.2$ and 177.2) of Asp ${ }^{5}$ showed that dehydration of $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{Ile}^{6}$ and $\mathrm{COOH}-$ Asp ${ }^{5}$ formed an additional five membered ring system (pyrrolidine-2,5-dione). The amino acid sequence in 2 was further supported by the fragmentation pattern of ESI-MS/MS data, in which the preferred ring-opening of 2 occurred at the amide bond between leucine and proline (Figure S19). The geometry of amide bond of $\mathrm{Pro}^{3}$ residue in 2 was assigned the trans configuration, on the basis of the difference of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift $\left(\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}\right.$ $=3.9 \mathrm{ppm})$ [21-23] as well as the ROE correlation between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.51\right)$ of $\mathrm{Leu}^{2}$ and the $\mathrm{H} \delta\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.68\right.$ and 3.42$)$ of $\mathrm{Pro}^{3}$ residue. In addition, the absolute configuration of six amino acid residues in $\mathbf{2}$ were all assigned as L, which was determined by HPLC analysis of the acid hydrolysate after Marfey's derivatization (Figure S20). Therefore, dianthiamide B (2) was determined as cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Leu ${ }^{2}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$-L-Phe ${ }^{4}$-L-Asp ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$ ).

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for dianthiamide $B(2)\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}\right.$ in $\mathrm{ppm}, J$ in Hz ).

| Position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) | Position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\mathcal{\delta}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Phe ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 168.7, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.7, C |  |
| NH |  | 8.68 (m) | NH |  | 8.68 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 43.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.65 (t, 7.0) | $\alpha$ | 57.2, CH | 3.80 (m) |
| Leu ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | $\beta$ | 33.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.33 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.7, C |  |  |  | 3.30 (m) |
| NH |  | 6.50 (m) | Phe-1 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 139.6, C |  |
| $\alpha$ | 48.6, CH | 4.51 (m) | $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 129.6, CH | 7.10-7.30 (m) |
| $\beta$ | $40.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.40 (m) | $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ | 128.6, CH | $7.10-7.30$ (m) |
|  |  | 1.20 (m) | $4^{\prime}$ | 126.6, CH | 7.10-7.30 (m) |
| $\gamma$-CH | 24.6, CH | 1.62 (m) | Asp ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.91 (d, 7.0) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 176.2, C |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 21.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.93 (d, 7.0) | NH |  | 7.95 (d, 8.4) |
| trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | $\alpha$ | 47.3, CH | 5.23 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.7, C |  | $\beta$ | 36.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.22 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 61.0, CH | 3.92 (t, 7.0) |  |  | 2.05 (d, 3.5) |
| $\beta$ | 29.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.85 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 177.2, C |  |
|  |  | 1.67 (m) | Ile ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| $\gamma$ | 25.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.01 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 167.0, C |  |
|  |  | 1.83 (m) | $\alpha$ | 61.5, CH | 4.19 (d, 11.9) |
| $\delta$ | 47.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.68 (m) | $\beta$ | 30.4, CH | 2.68 (m) |
|  |  | 3.42 (m) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.87 (t, 7.7) |
|  |  |  | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 24.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.38 (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.95 (m) |
|  |  |  | $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 10.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.79 (d, 7.7) |

Dianthiamide C (3) was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder, showed a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ as determined by its HRESI-TOF-MS data ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 750.4165$ [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; calcd 750.4160). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and HSQC NMR data of 3 displayed the presence of 37 carbon signals including seven amide carbonyls, as well as seven $\alpha$-amino acid carbons, suggesting a heptapeptide (Table 3). Full assignments of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for each amino acid residue were accomplished by combined analysis of COSY, HSQC, and HMBC spectra and suggested that $\mathbf{3}$ was composed of seven amino acid such as phenylalanine
(F), glycine (G), isoleucine (I), two leucines ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ), proline $(\mathrm{P})$, and serine (S) residue (Table 3). The HMBC and ROESY spectra indicated that the amino acid sequence was cyclo-G-La - S-P-F-I-L ${ }_{b}$ (Figure 2), which was further confirmed by ESI-MS/MS fragmentation analysis (Figure S29). The observed $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ value ( 3.2 ppm ) of the $\mathrm{Pro}^{4}$ and the ROE correlation from the $\mathrm{H} \alpha\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.89\right)$ of $\mathrm{Ser}^{3}$ to the $\mathrm{H} \delta\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.91\right.$ and 3.42) of $\mathrm{Pro}^{4}$ revealed that the geometry of $\mathrm{Pro}^{4}$ of $\mathbf{3}$ was a trans configuration [21-23]. The absolute configuration of 3 was determined by Marfey's method [24-27], which indicated that all the amino acids were L configuration (Figure S30). Therefore, dianthiamide C (3) was confirmed as cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Leu ${ }^{2}$-L-Ser ${ }^{3}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{4}$-L-Phe ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$-L-Leu ${ }^{7}$ ).

Table 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for dianthiamide C (3) $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6} d_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}\right.$ in $\mathrm{ppm}, J$ in Hz ).

| Position | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz ) | Position | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta^{\text {H }}$ ( J in Hz ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Phe ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 169.1, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.7, C |  |
| NH |  | 8.91 (t, 4.9) | NH |  | 7.43 (d, 9.8) |
| $\alpha$ | 43.2, CH | 4.00 (m) | $\alpha$ | 54.6, CH | 4.38 (m) |
|  |  | 3.33 (m) | $\beta$ | 38.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.21 \text { (dd, 13.3, } \\ 3.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Leua ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 2.62 (t, 13.3) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.2, C |  | Phe-1' | 138.4, C |  |
| NH |  | 8.10 (d, 10.5) | $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 129.4, CH | 7.18-7.31 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 53.0, CH | 4.52 (m) | $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ | 128.6, CH | 7.18-7.31 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 43.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.42 (m) | $4^{\prime}$ | 126.8, CH | 7.18-7.31 (m) |
|  |  | 1.34 (m) | $\mathrm{Ile}^{6}$ |  |  |
| $\gamma$-CH | 24.5, CH | 1.55 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.6, C |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.87 (d, 6.3) | NH |  | 7.01 (d, 9.1) |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.84 (d, 6.3) | $\alpha$ | 56.4, CH | 4.35 (m) |
| Ser ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | $\beta$ | 37.9, CH | 1.71 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 168.9, C |  | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 15.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.82 (t, 7.7) |
| NH |  | 8.73 (d, 7.0) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 24.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.39 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 54.2, CH | 4.89 (br s) |  |  | 1.00 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 61.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.24(\mathrm{~m}) \\ 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, 10.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ & \text { Leu }_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{7} \end{aligned}$ | 11.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.81 (d, 7.7) |
| trans-Pro ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 172.3, C |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.5, C |  | NH |  | 8.71 (br s) |
| $\alpha$ | 62.2, CH | 3.98 (m) | $\alpha$ | 54.2, CH | 3.87 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 29.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.97 (m) | $\beta$ | 40.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.47 (m) |
|  |  | 1.24 (m) | $\gamma$-CH | 24.4, CH | 1.54 (m) |
| $\gamma$ | 25.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.79 (m) | $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.86 (m) |
|  |  | 1.68 (m) | $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 22.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.93 (m) |
| $\delta$ | 47.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.91 (m) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.42 (m) |  |  |  |

Dianthiamide D (4), a yellow amorphous powder, gave the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, based on the HRESI-TOF-MS data ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 755.4448[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; calcd 755.4426). Detailed analyses of the 1D and 2D (COSY, HSQC, and HMBC) NMR data revealed that 4 was a octapeptide containing alanine (A), glycine (G), isoleucine (I), two prolines ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\left.P_{b}\right)$ and three valines $\left(V_{a}, V_{b}\right.$, and $\left.V_{c}\right)$ residues (Table 4). The amino acid sequence of 4 was established as cyclo-G-A- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$ by analysis of HMBC and ROESY data (Figure 2). This conclusion was also supported by the ESI-MS/MS sequence analysis (Figure S39). The observed $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ values of the $\operatorname{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}(2.6 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\operatorname{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{8}$ (4.0 ppm) and the ROE correlations from the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of $\mathrm{Ile}^{4}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.48\right)$ to the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ of $\operatorname{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.79\right.$ and 3.62), and from the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of $\operatorname{Val}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{7}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.43\right)$ to the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ of $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{8}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.76\right.$ and 3.53) indicated that the geometry of both $\operatorname{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}$ and $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{8}$ of 4 were trans configuration [21-23]. Moreover, the absolute configuration of 4 was assigned by Marfey's method [24-27], which indicated that all the amino acids had L configuration (Figure S40). Therefore, dianthiamide D (4) was established as cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ala}^{2}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Val}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{3}$-L-Ile ${ }^{4}$-L-trans- $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Val}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{6}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Val}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{7}$-L-trans- $\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{8}$ ).

Table 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for dianthiamide $\mathrm{D}(4)\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}\right.$ in $\mathrm{ppm}, J$ in Hz$)$.

| Position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) | Position | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly ${ }^{1}$ | trans-Pro ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 168.4, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.7, C |  |
| NH |  | $8.97(t, 4.9)$ | $\alpha$ | 62.0, CH | 4.29 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 43.2, CH | 3.84 (dd, 14.7, 4.9) | $\beta$ | 27.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.11 (m) |
|  |  | 3.33 (dd, 14.7, 4.2) |  |  | 1.91 (m) |
| Ala ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | $\gamma$ | 25.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.89 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 173.1, C |  |  |  | 1.79 (m) |
| NH |  | 7.30 (d, 7.0) | $\delta$ | 48.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.79 (m) |
| $\alpha$ | 47.2, CH | 4.62 (t, 7.0) |  |  | 3.62 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 19.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.42 (d, 7.0) | Val ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Val}^{3}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.6, C |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.4, C |  | NH |  | 8.24 (d, 7.0) |
| NH |  | 7.99 (d, 4.9) | $\alpha$ | 60.0, CH | 3.89 (dd, 7.0, 4.2) |
| $\alpha$ | 60.8, CH | 3.71 (t, 4.9) | $\beta$ | 29.3, CH | 2.23 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 28.7, CH | 2.14 (m) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 20.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.90 (m) |
| $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.92 (d, 6.3) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.85 (d, 5.6) |
| $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.93 (d, 6.3) | Val ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ile}^{4}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 169.8, C |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 173.0, C |  | NH |  | 7.16 (d, 7.0) |
| NH |  | 6.70 (d, 7.7) | $\alpha$ | 55.6, CH | 4.43 (t, 7.0) |
| $\alpha$ | 54.7, CH | 4.48 (d, 7.7) | $\beta$ | 31.1, CH | 2.02 (m) |
| $\beta$ | 35.9, CH | 1.81 (m) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.86 (m) |
| $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 15.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.88 (t, 5.6) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 18.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.75 (d, 5.6) |
| $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 24.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.49 (m) | trans-Pro ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.08 (m) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 173.0, C |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.83 (d, 7.0) | $\alpha$ | 60.8, CH | 4.18 (m) |
|  |  |  | $\beta$ | 29.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.08 (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.74 (m) |
|  |  |  | $\gamma$ | 25.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.99 (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.84 (m) |
|  |  |  | $\delta$ | 47.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.76 (m) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3.53 (m) |

Dianthiamide E (5), a yellow amorphous powder. Its molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ was determined from the HRESI-TOF-MS data ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 743.4097[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd for 743.4086). Analysis of 1D and 2D (COSY, HSQC, and HMBC) NMR data (Table 5 and Figure 2) as well as ESI-MS/MS sequence data (Figure S49) demonstrated that the seven amino acid residues were phenylalanine $(\mathrm{F})$, glycine $(\mathrm{G})$, isoleucine $(\mathrm{I})$, asparagine $(\mathrm{N})$, leucine $(\mathrm{L})$, proline ( P ), and threonine ( T ). The sequence of these amino acid residues was assigned as cyclo-G-N-P-L-T-I-F by the observed HMBC and ROESY data (Figure 2).

The small difference of the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{C} \gamma}$ values of the $\mathrm{Pro}^{3}(4.4 \mathrm{ppm})$ and the ROE correlations between the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ of $\mathrm{Asn}^{2}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 4.79\right)$ and the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ of $\mathrm{Pro}^{3}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.73\right.$ and 3.56) revealed that the geometry of $\mathrm{Pro}^{3}$ of 5 was a trans [21-23]. Furthermore, Marfey's analysis assigned L configurations to all the amino acid residues in 5 (Figure S50) [24-27]. Therefore, dianthiamide E (5) was established as cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Asn ${ }^{2}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$-L-Leu ${ }^{4}$-L-Thr ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$ -L-Phe ${ }^{7}$ ).

Recently, it has been reported that cyclic peptides isolated from the genus Dianthus exhibited cytotoxic activity against several cancer cell lines [5,6,18]. Therefore, all isolates were tested for their cytotoxic activity against human non-small cell lung cancer A549 and human stomach adenocarcinoma MKN-28 cells, with docetaxel as a positive control. However, dianthiamide A (1) only showed weak activity against A549 cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $47.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and docetaxel was used as a positive control ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 0.08 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). The other compounds 2-5 were inactive against A549 and MKN-28 cells (IC $50:>200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

Table 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data for dianthiamide $\mathrm{E}(5)$ ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$, d in $\mathrm{ppm}, J$ in Hz ).

| Position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{\text {H }}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) | Position | $\delta_{C}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{J}$ in Hz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gly ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Thr ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 169.2, C |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 170.7, C |  |
| NH |  | 8.01 (m) | NH |  | 7.57 (d, 9.1) |
| $\alpha$ | 43.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4.02 (m) | $\alpha$ | 57.0, CH | 4.67 (m) |
|  |  | 3.31 (m) | $\beta$ | 68.7, CH | 4.25 (m) |
| Asn ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.4, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.03 (d, 6.3) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.0, C |  | OH |  | 5.26 (d, 6.3) |
| NH |  | 7.15 | Ile ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |
| $\alpha$ | 48.8, CH | 4.79 (dd, 14.0, 7.0) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.1, C |  |
| $\beta$ | 37.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.75 (m) | NH |  | 8.00 (m) |
|  |  | 2.57 (m) | $\alpha$ | 59.2, CH | 3.95 (t, 5.6) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 172.2, C |  | $\beta$ | 35.7, CH | 1.88 (m) |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ |  | 7.75 (br s) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 15.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.70 (d, 7.0) |
|  |  | 7.20 (m) | $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 23.9, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0.97 (m) |
| trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11.8, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.68 (d, 7.0) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.5, C |  | Phe ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |
| $\alpha$ | 62.1, CH | 4.11 (t, 7.7) | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.6, C |  |
| $\beta$ | 29.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 2.20 (m) | NH |  | 7.78 (d, 2.1) |
|  |  | 1.71 (m) | $\alpha$ | 54.9, CH | 4.41 (m) |
| $\gamma$ | 25.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.92 (m) | $\beta$ | 37.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.13 \text { (dd, 14.0, } \\ & 5.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\delta$ | 47.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 3.73 (m) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, 14.0, \\ 9.1) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 3.56 (m) | Phe-1' | 138.0, C |  |
| Leu ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | $2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ | 129.4, CH | 7.17-7.29 (m) |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 172.2, C |  | $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ | 128.7, CH | 7.17-7.29 (m) |
| NH |  | 8.39 (br s) | $4^{\prime}$ | 126.8, CH | 7.17-7.29 (m) |
| a | 52.4, CH | 4.00 (m) |  |  |  |
| $\beta$ | 39.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.79 (m) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.63 (m) |  |  |  |
| $\gamma$ | 25.1, CH | 1.54 (m) |  |  |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.88 (d, 7.0) |  |  |  |
| $\delta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 21.3, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.82 (d, 7.0) |  |  |  |

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Chemicals

HPLC grade acetonitrile was purchased from m Fisher Chemical (Loughborough, UK) and all other chromatographic solvents were purchased from Duksan Pure Chemicals Co., Cheongju, Korea). Paclitaxel as a positive control was obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA, USA). MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

### 3.2. General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-1000 polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a JASCO UV-550 spectrophotometer. ECD spectra were obtained on a JASCO J-715 spectrometer, and IR spectra were measured on a JASCO FT-IR 4100 spectrometer (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 700 MHz spectrometer (Bruker, MA, USA) using DMSO- $d_{6}$ as solvent. ESI-MS and HRESI-TOF-MS were obtained with LCQ Fleet (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) and maXis 4G mass spectrometers (Bruker, Bremen, Germany), respectively. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (70-230 mesh, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and Lichroprep RP-18 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). MPLC was performed on a Biotage Isolera Prime chromatography system (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden). Preparative HPLC was performed using Waters HPLC system equipped with two Waters 515 pumps with a 2996 photodiode-array detector (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) using an YMC J'sphere

ODS-H80 column ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 150 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$, i.d., Kyoto, Japan, flow rate $6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). TLC was performed using precoated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) plates, and spots were detected by a $10 \%$ vanillin- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in water spray reagent.

### 3.3. Plant Material

The dried whole plants of Dianthus chinensis L. (Caryophyllaceae) were purchased from Kyungdong herbal market in Seoul, Korea, in June 2014. A voucher specimen (CBNU-2014-06-DC) was authenticated by B.Y.H. and deposited at the Herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, Chungbuk National University, Korea.

### 3.4. Isolation and Purification of Compounds 1-5

The dried and powdered whole plants of D. chinensis ( 3.0 kg ) were extracted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \times 16 \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. The extract was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue ( 470 g ) was suspended in water and partitioned successively with $n$-hexane $(2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~L})$. $\mathrm{The}^{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-soluble fraction $(13 \mathrm{~g})$ was separated by MPLC with Lichroprep RP-18 column and eluted with MeOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient system (10:90 to 100:0) to give eleven fractions (DCC1-DCC11). DCC1 (1.2 g) was separated on a silica gel column and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ gradient (from 100:0 to $0: 100,400 \mathrm{~mL}$ for each step) to obtain seven fractions (DCC1-1-DCC1-7) by MPLC. DCC1-4 $(90 \mathrm{mg})$ was further purified by preparative HPLC (Waters system, YMC J'sphere ODS-H80, $150 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d., $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30: 70$ to $60: 40$, flow rate $6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) to yield compound 1 ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.1 \mathrm{~min}, 15 \mathrm{mg}$ ). DCC7 ( 1.0 g ) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (from 100:0 to 0:100, 400 mL for each step) to give seven fractions (DCC7-1-DCC7-7) by MPLC. DCC7-4 $(90 \mathrm{mg})$ was further purified by preparative HPLC ( $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 35: 65$ to $65: 35$ ) to yield compound $2\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}=21.4 \mathrm{~min}, 17 \mathrm{mg}\right)$. DCC9 $(2.2 \mathrm{~g})$ was separated on a silica gel column and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ gradient (from 100:0 to 0:100, 400 mL for each step) to obtain nine fractions (DCC9-1-DCC9-9) by MPLC. DCC9-7 ( 130 mg ) was further purified by preparative HPLC ( $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30: 70$ to $60: 40$ ) to afford compounds $3\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}=18.9 \mathrm{~min}, 6 \mathrm{mg}\right)$ and $5\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}=23.1 \mathrm{~min}, 4 \mathrm{mg}\right)$. DCC9-9 $(90 \mathrm{mg})$ was further purified by preparative HPLC (MeCN- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30: 70$ to $60: 40$ ) to afford compound 4 $\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}=21.9 \mathrm{~min}, 5 \mathrm{mg}\right)$.

### 3.5. Characterization of Compounds $\mathbf{1 - 5}$

Dianthiamide A (1, cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Phe}^{2}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Leu}{ }^{3}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{4}$-L-cis $-\mathrm{Pro}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$-L-Asn $\left.{ }^{7}\right)$ ), Yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-41.2(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 203(3.65)$ $\mathrm{nm} ; \operatorname{ECD}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 201(-10.9), 210(-6.5), 219(-7.8) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $v_{\text {max }}$ (film) 3330, 2944, 1657, 1530, $1454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Table 1 ; ESI-MS $m / z 739[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRESI-TOF-MS $m / z 739.4144[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}, 739.4137$ ).

Dianthiamide B ( $\mathbf{2}$, cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Leu ${ }^{2}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$-L-Phe ${ }^{4}$-L-Asp ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$ )), Yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-20.0(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 202(3.70) \mathrm{nm}$; ECD (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\Delta \varepsilon) 201(-3.2), 205(-1.5), 217(-4.2) n m ;$ IR $v_{\text {max }}(f i l m) 3312,2972$, 1644, 1530, $1448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Table 2; ESI-MS $m / z 647$ [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$; HRESI-TOF-MS $m / z 647.3161$ [M + Na] ${ }^{+}$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{7}, 647.3163\right)$.

Dianthiamide C ( $\mathbf{3}$, cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Leu ${ }^{2}$-L-Ser ${ }^{3}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{4}$-L-Phe ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$-L-Leu ${ }^{7}$ )), Yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-45.2(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 204$ (3.24) $\mathrm{nm} ; \mathrm{ECD}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 207(+1.4), 226(-8.6) \mathrm{nm} ;$ IR $v_{\max }$ (film) 3311, 2944, 1644, $1530,1462 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Table 3; ESI-MS $m / z 750\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$; HRESI-TOF-MS $m / z 750.4165[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}, 750.4160\right)$.

Dianthiamide D (4, cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Ala ${ }^{2}$-L-Vala ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ L-Ile ${ }^{4}$-L-trans-Proa ${ }^{5}$-L-Val ${ }^{6}{ }^{6}$-L-Val ${ }_{c}{ }^{7}$-Ltrans $\left.-\mathrm{Pro}_{b}{ }^{8}\right)$ ), Yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-37.8(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}$ ( $\log \varepsilon$ ) $210(3.44) \mathrm{nm} ;$ ECD (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 201(+9.1), 219(-7.1) \mathrm{nm} ;$ IR $v_{\max }$ (film)

3312, 2943, 1644, 1548, $1454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Table 4; ESI-MS $m / z 755[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$; HRESI-TOF-MS $m / z 755.4448$ [M + $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}, 755.4426$ ).

Dianthiamide E ( 5 , cyclo-(Gly ${ }^{1}$-L-Asn ${ }^{2}$-L-trans-Pro ${ }^{3}$-L-Leu ${ }^{4}$-L-Thr ${ }^{5}$-L-Ile ${ }^{6}$-L-Phe ${ }^{7}$ )), Yellow amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-41.2(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 203$ (3.47) nm; ECD (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max }(\Delta \varepsilon) 201(-5.8) \mathrm{nm}$; IR $v_{\max }(f i l m) 3309,2942,1741,1644,1548 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), see Table 5; ESI-MS $m / z$ $743[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; HRESI-TOF-MS $m / z 743.4097[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9}, 743.4086$ ).

### 3.6. Absolute Configuration of Amino Acids in 1-5 Using Marfey's Method

Compounds 1-5 ( 0.5 mg ) were hydrolyzed in 1 mL of 6 N HCl at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After cooling to room temperature, the hydrolysate was evaporated to dryness and redissolved in $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. A solution of $N-\alpha-(2,4$-dinitro-5-fluorophenyl)-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA, Marfey's reagent, Sigma, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \%$ ) in acetone was added to each reaction vial. The reaction mixture was heated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , quenched by adding $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(800 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. A volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the FDAA derivatives were analyzed by LC/MS (YMC UltraHT Pro C ${ }_{18}$, S-2 $\mu \mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{~nm}, 50 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) at RT, and monitored by UV absorption at 340 nm . Aqueous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ containing $0.1 \%$ TFA was used as the mobile phase in a gradient mode (10-50\% $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for 0-40 min). From each standard, 50 mM aqueous solution of D- or L-amino acid (Ala, Asp, Phe, Ile, allo-Ile, Leu, Asn, Pro, Ser, Thr, allo-Thr, and Val) were taken, and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and a solution of $N$ - $\alpha$-(2,4-dinitro-5-fluorophenyl)-L-alaninamide (L-FDAA, Marfey's reagent, Sigma, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \%$ ) in acetone was added. The reaction mixture was heated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , quenched by adding $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(800 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. A volume of $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the FDAA derivatives were analyzed by LC/MS (YMC UltraHT Pro $\mathrm{C}_{18}, \mathrm{~S}-2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{~nm}, 50 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $0.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) at RT and monitored by UV absorption at 340 nm . Aqueous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ containing $0.1 \%$ TFA was used as the mobile phase in a gradient mode ( $10-50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ for $0-40 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The following retention times ( min ) were observed for the L-FDAA derivatives of the standards, respectively: 19.5 (L-Ala) and 22.7 (D-Ala), 15.0 (L-Asp) and 18.1 (D-Asp), 29.7 (L-Phe) and 33.4 (D-Phe), 29.5 (L-Leu) and 34.4 (D-Leu), 30.3 (L-Ile), 31.0 (L-allo-Ile) and 35.2 (D-Ile), 20.8 (L-Pro) and 22.1 (D-Pro), 13.6 (L-Ser) and 14.5 (D-Ser), 14.7 (L-Thr), 15.2 (L-allo-Thr) and 18.2 (D-Thr), and 25.4 (L-Val) and 29.6 (D-Val).

### 3.7. Cytotoxicity Assay

Human non-small cell lung cancer A549 and human stomach adenocarcinoma MKN28 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA, USA). A549 and MKN-28 cells were cultured as monolayers in RPMI1640 medium containing $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Growth-inhibitory effect of the isolated compounds on A549 cells and MKN-28 cells was evaluated using MTT assay [28]. Briefly, $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells of A549 cells or MKN-28 cells were seeded in each well of a 96-well plate, respectively, and incubated for 24 h . A549 cells or MKN-28 cells were then treated with various concentrations of compounds 1-5. The concentration range of the compound tested for the evaluation of the cytotoxic activity was $5-200 \mu \mathrm{M}$. After incubation of 48 h , MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) solution was added to each well and the plate was incubated for 4 h . The medium in each well was replaced with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to dissolve blue formazan crystals. The absorbance at 540 nm was measured using microplate reader (Molecular devices; SpectraMax, CA, USA). All data processing and IC 50 values were analyzed using GraphPad Prism v. 5 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Docetaxel was used as a positive control with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $0.08 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on A 549 cells [29].

## 4. Conclusions

We report the isolation and structure determination of five undescribed orbitides, dianthiamides A-E, from the whole plants of $D$. chinensis. The previously reported orbitides isolated from the genus Dianthus tend to have five to six amino acid residues [4-9]. All orbitides in this study, however, are characteristic of the presence of six to eight amino acids, while they are featured by containing at least one proline residue. All isolates were tested for their cytotoxic activity, and dianthiamide A (1) exhibited weak activity against the A549 cell line. Furthermore, from a chemotaxonomical point of view, it is noteworthy that this finding expands the orbitides diversity in the genus Dianthus.
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