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#### Abstract

A new series of pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one derivatives having the essential pharmacophoric features of EGFR inhibitors has been designed and synthesised. Cell viability screening was performed for these compounds against A-549, PC-3, HCT-116, and MCF-7 cell lines at a dose of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The highest active derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{8 d} \mathbf{9} \mathbf{9}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ ) were selected for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ screening. Compounds $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8} \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{9 a}$ showed the highest cytotoxic activities and were further investigated for wild EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and mutant EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$ inhibitory activities. Compound 8a showed the highest inhibitory activities against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\mathrm{T} 790 \mathrm{M}}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.099 and $0.123 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. In addition, it arrested the cell cycle at pre-G1 phase and induced a significant apoptotic effect in PC-3 cells. Furthermore, compound $\mathbf{8 a}$ induced a 5.3 -fold increase in the level of caspase-3 in PC-3 cells. Finally, docking studies were carried out to examine the binding mode of the synthesised compounds against both EGFR ${ }^{W T}$ and EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$.
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## 1. Introduction

According to WHO, cancer was the direct cause of 10 million deaths in 2020 and the cost of cancer treatment globally was US\$1.16 trillion in $2010^{\text { }}$. Several internal and external factors can cause cancer. The most well-known factors are hormonal disorders, genetic mutations, radiations, smoking tobacco, metals, polluted food, chemicals, and infectious organisms ${ }^{2-4}$. Resistance against anticancer drugs is considered one of the most serious problems in cancer management ${ }^{5}$. Due to the high residence of many cancer types, the discovery of new anticancer agents with high effect, less resistance, and fewer side effects is an urgent need.

Protein kinases (PKs) are a group of enzymes that are responsible for the transference of phosphate from ATP molecule to tyrosine, serine and/or threonine amino acids in protein substrates ${ }^{6,7}$. Furthermore, PKs promote cellular signalling processes such as cell growth regulation, differentiation, migration, and metabolism ${ }^{8}$. PKs have been found to be overexpressed in a variety of human malignancies ${ }^{9}$. Accordingly, the inhibition of PKs has emerged as a selective method for killing cancer cells ${ }^{10}$. Receptor tyrosine
kinases (RTKs) are vital category protein kinases. About 20 different RTKs have been discovered that have similar structures ${ }^{11}$.

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) belongs to the RTKs family that stimulates differentiation and proliferation of cells after the binding of its specific active ligand ${ }^{12}$. EGFR structure has an extracellular part (at the surface of the cells) and an intracellular part. The activation of the outer part leads to an activation of the intracellular region of the receptor and a phosphorylation of the intracellular substrates ${ }^{13}$. This step facilitates cell growth, synthesis of DNA, and the expression of oncogenes ${ }^{14}$. It was reported that EGFR is over-expressed and implicated in the pathogenesis and progression of various human carcinomas ${ }^{15}$. In many patients, resistance against cancer therapy arises from an acquired mutation in the EGFR kinase domain (T790M). Such mutant EGFR is called EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M16 }}$. Thus, EGFRs (wild and mutant types) are interesting biological targets for the discovery of new anticancer agents ${ }^{17,18}$.

The ATP binding site of EGFR consists of five regions; an aden-ine-binding pocket, a sugar region (ribose binding pocket), a hydrophobic region I, a hydrophobic region II, and a phosphatebinding region ${ }^{19-21}$. Most of the reported EGFR inhibitors are ATPcompetitive inhibitor small molecules that have specific moieties
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to occupy the adenine-binding pocket, the hydrophobic region I, and the hydrophobic region $\|^{10}$ (Figure 1).

EGFR inhibitors have a specific Y-shaped structure ${ }^{23}$. In addition, the structure of EGFR inhibitors should comprise many essential pharmacophoric features ${ }^{24}$. Each feature binds at a specific region in the ATP binding site. For example, a flat hetero aromatic system is an essential feature of EGFR inhibitor to occupy the adenine binding pocket of the ATP binding site. Such hetero structure can form hydrogen bonds with some amino acids as Met769, Thr790, and Thr854 ${ }^{25}$. Also, a terminal hydrophobic head of the EGFR inhibitor can occupy the hydrophobic region I


Figure 1. The essential pharmacophoric features of erlotinib as an EGFR inhibitor occupying three pockets in the ATP binding site based on Reference ${ }^{22}$.
forming many hydrophobic interactions ${ }^{24}$. Finally, a hydrophobic tail be buried in the hydrophobic region II producing high affinity ${ }^{19,26}$.

Till now, three generations of EGFR inhibitors were approved by the FDA (Figure 2). Erlotinib $I^{27}$ and gefitinib $I I^{28}$ are examples of the first generation. The generated mutation in EGFR led to the acquired drug resistance and reduced efficacy in cancer treatment ${ }^{29}$. The mutant form of protein (EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$ ) resists the affinity of ATP-competitive inhibitors ${ }^{30}$. The second-generation of EGFR inhibitors was approved to overcome the drug resistance that was induced by EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$. These inhibitors can form covalent interactions with Cys797 at the ATP binding site ${ }^{31-33}$. Pelitinib IIII ${ }^{34}$ is a well-known example of this class. Unfortunately, low maximal-tol-erated-dose, the major drawback of this class, led to poor clinical outcomes ${ }^{35,36}$. Osimertinib $5^{37}$, an example of the third-generation EGFR inhibitors, exhibited greater activities against mutant form (EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$ ) than the wild form (EGFR ${ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$ ). Recently, toxic epidermal necrolysis was reported upon the administration of olmutinib ${ }^{38}$. Hence, many efforts are still required to reach more potent and less toxic EGFR inhibitors.

Pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one moiety was utilised before for the synthesis of various anticancer agents ${ }^{39-42}$, and EGFR inhibitors ${ }^{43}$. Interestingly it was included in the discovery of highly specific inhibitors against the mutant EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M44 }}$.

As an extension of our previous efforts in the design and synthesis of new anticancer agents ${ }^{45-51}$, especially that target RTKs ${ }^{52,53}$ and EGFR ${ }^{22}$ 54-58, we used the pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin$4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one moiety as a building block for the design and synthesis of new anticancer agents targeting the wild EGFR (EGFR ${ }^{W T}$ ) as well as the mutant EGFR (EGFRT ${ }^{790 \mathrm{M}}$ ).


Erlotinib I ( $1^{\text {st }}$ generation $)$


Pelitinib II (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation)
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Figure 2. Some reported EGFR-TK inhibitors and their basic pharmacophoric features.

### 1.1. Rationale of molecular design

For years, our team synthesised several EGFR inhibitors which showed promising anticancer activities. In 2018, a series of 1 H -pyr-azolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine derivatives were synthesised and evaluated for their inhibitory activities against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$. compound V potently inhibited the two EGFR types with a good apoptotic effect and arrested the cell cycle at the $\mathrm{G}_{2} / \mathrm{M}$ phase. Such compounds comprise two hetero-aromatic rings ( 1 H -pyra-zolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine) to occupy the adenine binding pocket ${ }^{22}$.

In 2019, we designed and synthesised a series of thieno[2,3d]pyrimidine derivatives as EGFR and HER2 tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Compound VI was the most active member producing significant apoptosis. This compound contains two hetero-aromatic rings (thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine) to occupy the adenine binding pocket ${ }^{54}$.

In 2020, our team designed and synthesised a new series of pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile derivatives as EGFR inhibitors. Compound VII showed high inhibitory activities against $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\text {WT }}$ and EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$. In addition, it arrested the cell cycle at the G2/M phase and induced a significant apoptotic effect in HCT-116, HepG-2, MCF-7cells. This compound contains one hetero-aromatic ring (pyrimidine) to occupy the adenine binding pocket ${ }^{55}$.

In the current work, we used the previously reported active candidates ( $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{VI}$, and VII$)^{22,54,55}$ as lead compounds in the design of the new derivatives. The rationale of our molecular design depended on the modification of such compounds to get new EGFR inhibitors. The modification was carried out at three features following the essential features of EGFR inhibitors. Concerning the terminal hydrophobic head and the hydrophobic tail, different substituted benzene rings were used to study the SAR of the synthesised compounds. Regarding the flat hetero-aromatic system, we used three different systems. The first one is pyrido[2,3-d]pyri-midin-4(3H)-one moiety which comprises two hetero-aromatic rings (compounds $\mathbf{9 a - e}$ ). The second one is pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]tria-zolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one moiety which composes three heteroaromatic rings (compounds 10a-d, 11a-e, and 12a-d). The third one is 5 H -pyrido $\left[2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline- $5,7(12 \mathrm{H})$ dione moiety which constitutes four hetero-aromatic rings (compounds 8a-d; Figure 3).

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Chemistry

In continuation of the previous work ${ }^{59}$, the starting precursor 2-thioxo-2,3-dihydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)-one derivatives 7a-e were afforded via the reaction of the appropriate chalcones 6a-e with 6 -aminothiouracil 3 . The target compounds were synthesised in acceptable yield as reported ${ }^{59}$. Here in, the structure of the new 2-thioxo-2,3-dihydropyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)-one derivative 7 a was proved by elemental and spectral analyses. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable singlet signals at $\delta$ 12.51, 13.23 ppm correspond to the two protons of each NH groups. Also, a singlet signal was recorded at $\delta 7.97 \mathrm{ppm}$, corresponding to the proton at C 6 of pyridopyrimidine ring. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 a analogue displayed two characteristic signals at $\delta 162.29,175.61$ corresponding to carbons of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ groups, respectively.

The $\quad 5 \mathrm{H}$-pyrido[ $\left.2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)dione analogues 8a-d were synthesised through the reaction of compounds $\mathbf{7 b} \mathbf{- e}$ with anthranilic acid in the presence of catalytic amount of sodium ethoxide under reflux condition ${ }^{60}$. Their chemical structures were confirmed by elemental and spectral data for
example the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound $\mathbf{8 d}$ revealed an increase in the integration of aromatic region at $\delta 6.76-8.15 \mathrm{ppm}$, and the presence of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable singlet signal assigned for one proton of NH group at $\delta 11.64 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed the characteristic two signals for the two carbons of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ signals at $\delta$ 161.45 and 169.46 ppm . The mass spectrum for 8 d revealed the expected molecular ion peak at $m / z$ of 520 . Finally, IR spectrum of 8 b displayed absorption bands at 1693,1750 and $3410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and one NH groups, respectively.

The 2-hydrazinopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one derivatives 9a-e were depicted through the nucleophilic attack of hydrazine hydrate upon the key derivatives 7a-e following the reported method ${ }^{60}$. The newly hydrazinyl derivative 9a was proved by spectral data. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlet signals at $\delta$ 8.23, 9.12 ppm assigned for three protons of hydrazinyl group $\mathrm{NHNH}_{2}$.

Cyclo-condensation of the 2-hydrazinyl derivative 9a-e with ethyl chloroformate in dry pyridine produced pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione derivatives 10a-d. The IR spectrum of compound 10d revealed the presence of three absorption bands at 1708,3437 , and $3425 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned for two carbonyl and two NH groups, respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the same compound showed two $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable signals at $\delta 9.26,11.07 \mathrm{ppm}$ assigned for two NH groups. Mass spectrum of compound 10c showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ of 479 and its isotope at $m / z$ of 481 .

Reaction of hydrazinyl derivatives 9a-e with ammonium thiocyanate in glacial acetic acid under reflux afforded 3-aminopyr-ido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one derivatives 11a-e. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound 11a revealed the presence of two exchangeable singlet signals at 7.09 and 7.33 ppm assigned for NH and $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups. Mass spectra of compound 11c illustrated the expected molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ of 400.5 .

The 3-phenylpyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)one analogues 12a-e were obtained via the reaction of hydrazinyl derivatives 9a-e with benzoyl chloride in pyridine under reflux conditions. Analytical and spectroscopic measurements confirmed the structures of compounds 12a-d. The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ displayed two absorption bands at $1720,3414 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and NH groups, respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the same series gave an increase in aromatic integration due to the presence of an extra phenyl ring. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ revealed a molecular ion peak at $m / z$ of 459 (Schemes 1 and 2).

### 2.2. Biological evaluation

### 2.2.1. In vitro antiproliferative activities

All the final synthesised (19) compounds were tested for their anticancer activities against four tumour cell lines namely, lung cancer (A-549), prostate cancer (PC-3), colon cancer (HCT-116), and breast cancer (MCF-7) using standard MTT method ${ }^{61-63}$. Preliminary screening against the cancer cell lines was performed, using doxorubicin as a reference drug at doses of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Variable results were recorded for the screened compounds as depicted in Table 1. The pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 a} \mathbf{, ~ 8} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{8 d}$, and $\mathbf{9 a}$ ) that exhibited inhibitory activity $\geq 70 \%$ were selected for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ screening comparing erlotinib.

All compounds were barely active against breast cancer (MCF7) cell line at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (\% of inhibition ranging from 5 to $68 \%$ (Table 1). By focussing on the prostatic cell line (PC-3), the anticancer profile of the tested compounds was significantly improved especially the tetracyclic derivatives $\mathbf{8 a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.98 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ and $\mathbf{8 d}$ $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.12 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ that exhibited about 1.5 times more active than


Figure 3. Synthesis of new EGFR inhibitors strategy.
erlotinib ( $11.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). In addition, compound 9a showed a strong activity against $\mathrm{PC}-3$ line with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $9.26 \mu \mathrm{M}$. For compound 8d, it showed a strong anti-proliferative activity against A549 with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $7.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$ which is comparable to erlotinib $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.53 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ revealed a moderate inhibitory activity against PC-3 cell line with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $18.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Generally, no cytotoxic activity was observed against the colon cancer cell line (HCT-116), but compounds 8a, 8b, 8d, 12b revealed mild cytotoxic activity.

### 2.2.2. Structural-activity relationship

The synthetic pathway of the target compounds was depicted in two schemes starting with thioxo-precursors 7a-e to afford tetracyclic derivatives 8a-d, hydrazinyl derivatives 9a-e, and triazolyl derivatives 10a-d, 11a-e, and 12a-d (Figure 4).

Expansion of pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one core to give tetracyclic 5 H -pyrido [ $\left.2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)dione derivatives $\mathbf{8 a - d}$ showed the preferred impact on the evaluated anticancer activity. Compounds $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ exhibited the most






Scheme 1. General procedure for the synthesis of the target compound 7a-e, 8a-d, and $\mathbf{9 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{e}$.
potent cytotoxic activity against A-549 cell line with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 16.2, 16, and $7.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, and the later was equipotent to erlotinib $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=6.53 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

Concerning prostate cancer cell line (PC-3), both compounds $\mathbf{8 a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.98 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, and $\mathbf{8 d}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.12 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, were two-fold more potent than the reference molecule ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=11.05 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). It was noticed that the electronic factor greatly influences the anticancer activity of the same series against lung and prostate cancer cells. For example, the existence of electron-donating $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ group at 4-position of compounds $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 d}$ was beneficial for activity. The modification of tetracyclic derivatives $\mathbf{8 a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=7.98 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ into hydrazinyl derivatives $\mathbf{9 a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=9.26 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ ) decreased the
anticancer activity against prostate cancer cell line ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=$ $9.26 \mu \mathrm{M})$. In addition, the expansion of pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin$4(3 \mathrm{H})$-one scaffold into triazolyl analogues caused a remarkable drop in the activity with an inhibition range from 2 to $52 \%$ (Table 2).

### 2.2.3. EGFR $^{W T}$ kinase inhibitory assay

The promising antiproliferative compounds (8a, 8d, and 9a) were further examined for their EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ kinase inhibitory activities using Homogeneous time resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay ${ }^{64}$. Erlotinib was used as a reference molecule (Table 3).


Scheme 2. General procedure for the synthesis of the target compound 10a-d, 11a-e and 12a-d.

The tested derivatives 8a, 8d, and $\mathbf{9 a}$ showed promising inhibitory activities against EGFR ${ }^{W T}$ with $I C_{50}$ values of $0.099,0.419$, and $0.594 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. compounds 8a showed a good activity compared to erlotinib ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.043 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Whereas compounds $\mathbf{8 d}$ and 9a showed moderate act nlpOm kinase inhibitory assay

To evaluate the potential activity of the synthesised compounds against the mutant form of EGFR, the most active cytotoxic compounds ( $\mathbf{8 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{8 d}$ and $\mathbf{9 a}$ ) were tested for their inhibitory effect against EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$. Erlotinib was used as a positive control.

The tested compounds 8a, 8d and 9a showed inhibitory effects against $E G F R^{T 790 M}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.123,0.290$, and $0.571 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Compound 8a exhibited the highest inhibitory effect but less than erlotinib ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.071 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). While compounds 8d and 9a showed moderate inhibitory activities (Table 3).

### 2.2.4. Cell cycle analysis

Based on the above-mentioned biological testing, the most promising candidate $\mathbf{8 a}$ was subjected to flow cytometry analysis to investigate its effect on the cell cycle distribution in the most sensitive cell line (PC-3). The reported protocol described by Wand et al. ${ }^{65}$ was applied in this test. PC-3 cells were incubated with compound 8 a for 24 h in a concentration equal to its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ against
such cell line $(7.98 \mu \mathrm{M})$. After that, the different phases of the cell cycle were analysed.

Compound 8a showed different effects on the cell cycle distribution. Compared to the control cells (Cont. (PC-3)), the cell population increased at the phases of pre-G1 and \%S by 22 and 1.3 folds, respectively. For the Pre-G1phase, the cell increased from $1.78 \%$ (in cont. cells) to $41.06 \%$ (at the treated cells). In the $S$ phase, the cell increased from $41.03 \%$ (in cont. cells) to $53.69 \%$ (at the treated cells). On the other hand, the cell population decreased in both the G0-G1 and the G2-M phases. Such results obviously reveal that compound 8a can arrest the PC-3 cell line at pre- $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ of the cell cycle (Figure 5 and Supplementary data).

### 2.2.5. Annexin V-FITC apoptosis assay

To analyse the apoptotic effect of the most active compound $\mathbf{8 a}$, Annexin V and PI double staining assay with FITC was applied ${ }^{66}$. In this test, PC-3 cells were incubated with compound 8a at a concentration of $7.98 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The results were depicted in (Figure 6 and Supplementary data).

Investigating the results of Annexin V and PI double staining assay, revealed that compound 8a produced a significant increase in the early apoptosis ratio from 0.43 to $13.92 \%$ ( 32 -fold). Also, it exerted an increase in the late apoptosis ratio from 0.15 to

Table 1. Percentage of growth inhibition activity of compounds 7a, 8a-d, 9a, 10a-e against A549, PC-3, HCT-116 and MCF-7 at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

|  | Growth inhibition (\%) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Comp. | A549 | PC-3 | HCT-116 | MCF-7 |
| $\mathbf{7 a}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 14 | 12 | 18 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 90 | 98 | 84 | 32 |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{b}}$ | 94 | 96 | 67 | 9 |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | 8 | 17 | 9 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | 97 | 95 | 78 | 15 |
| $\mathbf{9}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 42 | 90 | 89 | 68 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 17 | 29 | 21 | 41 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{b}}$ | 7 | 15 | 14 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | 9 | 52 | 23 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 2 | 30 | 9 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}_{\mathbf{b}}$ | 28 | 43 | 15 | 29 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | 26 | 28 | 3 | 23 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | 5 | 20 | 3 | 37 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 e}_{\mathbf{e}}$ | 30 | 22 | 6 | 23 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | 41 | 28 | 35 | 45 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}_{\mathbf{b}}$ | 33 | 36 | 56 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}_{\mathbf{c}}$ | 22 | 30 | 33 | 55 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | 4 | 14 | 5 | 15 |
| Doxorubicin | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

22.49\% (150-fold). Such findings indicate that compound 8a has a significant apoptotic effect against PC-3 cells.

### 2.2.6. Caspase-3 determination

The ability of a drug to induce apoptosis determines the sensitivity of the cancer cells against it. There are many signalling pathways that control apoptosis induction. Caspases family are considered as one of the most apoptotic regulators ${ }^{67}$. Activation of caspases especially (caspase-3) produces cell death ${ }^{68}$. In addition, it was reported that EGFR inhibitors exhibit significant

Table 2. $I C_{50}$ values of compounds $8_{a}, 8_{b}, 8_{d}, 9_{a}$ and $12_{b}$ against $A-549, P C-3$, HCT-116 and MCF-7.

|  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compounds | A-549 | PC-3 | HCT-116 | MCF-7 |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $16.2 \pm 2.4$ | $7.98 \pm 2.4$ | $25.61 \pm 1.3$ | - |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $10 \pm 2.4$ | $18.01 \pm 2.3$ | $26 \pm 1.3$ | - |
| $\mathbf{8}_{\mathbf{d}}$ | $7.23 \pm 2.1$ | $7.12 \pm 2.0$ | $70.17 \pm 2$ | - |
| $\mathbf{9}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | - | $9.26 \pm 2.4$ | - | $42 \pm 1.2$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | - | - | $86.26 \pm 2.2$ | - |
| Erlotinib | $6.53 \pm 0.82$ | $11.05 \pm 1.07$ | $5.47 \pm 0.3$ | $4.21 \pm 0.62$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are calculated as the mean of at least three different experiments.


Figure 4. SAR according to modifiable moieties in the target compounds.
apoptotic effects through the caspase pathway ${ }^{69,70}$. Here, the effect of the most active EGFR inhibitor 8a on caspase-3 was examined in PC-3 cells. Compound 8a was applied on PC-3 cells at a concentration of $3.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The results revealed that such a compound generated a marked increase in the level of cas-pase-3 ( $452.3 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{mL}, 5.3$-fold) compared to the control cells $(84.24 \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{mL})$. In addition, the tested compound showed a comparable effect with the reference compound; staurosporine ( 413.1 pg/mL; Table 4 and Supplementary data).

### 2.3. Docking studies

To confirm our rationale of design, the binding modes of the synthesised compounds were investigated against the proposed

| Table 3. In vitro enzymatic inhibitory activities against EGFR ${ }^{\text {L858R }}$ and $\mathrm{EGFR}^{790 \mathrm{M}}$. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\mathrm{WT}}$ | $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\mathrm{T790M}}$ |
| Comp. | $\mathrm{IC50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 8a | $0.099 \pm 0.007$ | $0.123 \pm 0.010$ |
| 8d | $0.419 \pm 0.029$ | $0.290 \pm 0.023$ |
| 9a | $0.594 \pm 0.042$ | $0.571 \pm 0.046$ |
| Erlotinib | $0.043 \pm 0.003$ | $0.071 \pm 0.006$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The results were presented as Mean $\pm$ Standard error (SE) of three different tests.


Cont. PC3
targets using a docking approach. The used biological targets in docking studies were EGFR-TK Wild-type (EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$, PDB: 4HJO) ${ }^{71}$ and EGFR-TK mutant type (EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$, PDB: $\left.3 W 2 O\right)^{72}$ using MOE 14.0 software. The co-crystallised ligands were used as reference molecules. The output of docking studies showed a high affinity of the synthesised compounds against the two tested targets compared to the reference molecules (Table 5).

To validate the docking procedures, the co-crystallised ligands (Erlotinib and TAK-285) were re-docked against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$, respectively. The RMSD of docked and original ligands of erlotinib and TAK-285 were 0.88 and $1.05 \AA$ A, respectively. These values indicate the validity of the docking protocol (Figures 7 and 8 ).

The co-crystallised ligand (erlotinib) of EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ showed a binding energy of $-22.12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The heterocyclic system (quinazoline moiety) was buried in the adenine pocket forming a hydrogen bond with Met769. Also, it formed four hydrophobic interactions with Lue694, Ala719, and Leu820. The ethynylphenyl moiety was oriented into the hydrophobic pocket I forming three hydrophobic interactions with Ala719, Val702, and Lys721. The 2methoxyethoxy groups occupied the hydrophobic region II forming a hydrogen bond with Cys773 (Figure 9).

Compound 8a showed a binding mode like that of erlotinib with a binding energy of $-19.29 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 5 H -pyrido[ $\left.2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione moiety
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Figure 5. PC3distribution upon treatment with compound 8a.
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Figure 6. Apoptosis and necrosis percent induced by compound 8a.

Table 4. Effect of compound 8 a on active caspase-3 in PC-3 cells after 24 h treatment.

| Sample | Caspase-3 $(\mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{mL})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 8a/PC-3 | $452.3 \pm 10.5$ |
| Staurosporine/PC-3 | $413.1 \pm 11.66$ |
| Cont. (PC-3) | $84.24 \pm 16.5$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values are given as mean $\pm$ SEM of three independent experiments.

Table 5. The docking binding free energies of the synthesised compounds against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$.

|  | Binding free energy (kcal/mol) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Comp. | EGFR $^{\text {WT }}$ | EGFR $^{\text {T790M }}$ |
| 7a | -16.16 | -11.59 |
| 7b | -16.61 | -11.77 |
| 7c | -19.17 | -15.03 |
| 7d | -21.41 | -16.26 |
| 7e | -22.42 | -19.57 |
| 8a | -19.29 | -15.63 |
| 8b | -19.06 | -18.13 |
| 8c | -21.92 | -19.40 |
| 8d | -21.92 | -19.30 |
| 9a | -15.80 | -12.15 |
| 9b | -16.62 | -15.14 |
| 9c | -19.23 | -15.18 |
| 9d | -21.55 | -17.63 |
| 9e | -22.46 | -17.63 |
| 10a | -17.13 | -15.27 |
| 10b | -19.19 | -15.20 |
| 10c | -21.28 | -16.57 |
| 10d | -22.48 | -19.86 |
| 11a | -17.02 | --14.22 |
| 11b | -16.92 | -15.58 |
| 11c | -19.28 | -15.89 |
| 11d | -21.60 | -17.33 |
| 11e | -22.32 | -19.22 |
| 12a | -20.96 | -18.50 |
| 12b | -21.02 | -20.62 |
| 12c | -21.67 | -21.70 |
| 12d | -23.46 | -22.39 |
| Erlotinib | -22.12 | - |
| TAK-285 | - | -18.70 |

occupied the adenine pocket of the EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ forming one hydrogen bond with the crucial amino acid Met769. In addition, it formed nine hydrophobic interactions with Val702, Leu694, and Leu820. The tolyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic pocket I forming four hydrophobic interactions with Leu890, Ala719, and Lys721. Moreover, the 4-chlorophenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming two hydrophobic interactions with Val702 and Arg817 (Figure 10).

Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ showed a binding energy of $-19.06 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 5 H -pyrido[2', $\left.3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione moiety was buried in the adenine pocket forming two hydrogen bonds with acid Met769 and Cys773. In addition, it formed six hydrophobic interactions with Val702, Leu694, and Leu820. The 4methoxyphenyl moiety occupied pocket I forming two hydrophobic interactions with val702, and Cys773. Moreover, the 4-chlorophenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic II forming three hydrophobic interactions with Val721 and Ala719 (Figure 11).

With regard to compound 8d, it showed a binding mode similar to the refrence molecules with a binding energy of $-21.92 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 5 H -pyrido[ $\left.2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazo-line-5,7(12H)-dione moiety was involved in two hydrogen bonds with the amino acids Met769 and Cys773 in the adenine pocket. In addition, it formed eight hydrophobic interactions with Val702,

Leu694, Gly772, and Leu820. The 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety occupied pocket I forming two hydrophobic interactions with Ala719 and Leu820. It formed two hydrogen bonds with Thr766 and Thr830. Moreover, the tolyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic Il forming three hydrophobic interactions with Val702, Arg817 and Cys773 (Figure 12).

Compound 9a showed a binding energy of $-15.80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 2-hydrazinylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one moiety was inserted in the adenine pocket forming a hydrogen bond with the amino acid Met769. Further, it formed five hydrophobic interactions with Val702, Leu694, and Leu820. The 4-chlorophenyl moiety occupied pocket I forming three hydrophobic interactions with Leu764, Lys721, and Ala719. Moreover, the 2,4-dichlorophenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic II forming five hydrophobic interactions with Val702, Arg817, Leu694, and Cys773 (Figure 13).

The synthesised compounds showed good binding affinities against $E G F R^{T 790 M}$ with binding free energies ranging from -11.59 to $-22.39 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Table 5). The co-crystallised ligand (TAK-285) exhibited a binding energy of $-18.70 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The pyrrolo[3,2d]pyrimidine moiety was buried in the adenine pocket forming a hydrogen bond with Met793 and three hydrophobic bonds with Leu844 and Ala743. The terminal 3-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy group occupied the hydrophobic pocket I forming a hydrogen bond with Lys745. Also, it formed seven hydrophobic interactions with Lys745, Glu762, Leu788, and lle759. In addition, the N-ethyl-3-hydroxy-3-methylbutanamide moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming hydrogen bond with Ser720. The phenyl moiety formed hydrophobic interactions with Met790, Val726, and Ala743 (Figure 14).

Compound 8c exhibited a binding mode similar to that of TAK- 285 with an affinity value of $-19.40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 5 H -pyrido[ $\left.2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione moiety occupied the adenine pocket of forming five hydrophobic interaction with Lys745, Glu762, and Leu844. Also, it formed three hydrogen bonds with Thr854, Met790, and Lys745. The 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic pocket I and 4-chloro phenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming three hydrophobic bonds with Leu718, Leu844, and Leu792 (Figure 15).

Compound 9a exhibited a binding energy of $-12.15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 2-hydrazinylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one moiety occupied the adenine pocket of forming four hydrophobic interaction with Lys745,Glu762, and Thr854. Also, it formed two hydrogen bonds with Glu762 and Mey766. The 2,4-dichlorophenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic pocket I and 4-chloro phenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming six hydrophobic bonds with Val726, Met793, Ala743, Leu844 and Leu792 (Figure 16).

Compound 10d exhibited a binding energy of $-19.86 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The 1,2-dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5dione moiety occupied the adenine pocket of forming three hydrogen bonds with Lys745, and Met790. Also, it formed four hydrophobic interactions with Lys745, and Met790. The 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic pocket I and tolyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming three hydrophobic bonds with Leu718, Leu844 and Leu792 (Figure 17).

Compound 12d exhibited a binding energy of $-22.39 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The pyrido[2,3-d][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one moiety occupied the adenine pocket of forming two hydrogen bonds with Gln791 and Met793. Also, it formed six hydrophobic interactions withVal726, Leu844, Ala743, and Met793. The 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic pocket I and tolyl moiety occupied the hydrophobic region II forming three hydrophobic bonds with Leu788, Ile759 and Lys745 (Figure 18).


Figure 7. ( $A$ and B) $3 D$ and 2D superimposition of the docked ligand (erlotinib; pink) and the original ligand (green) with RMSD value of $0.88 \AA$.

## 3. Conclusion

New nineteen pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one derivatives have been designed and synthesised as EGFR inhibitors. These compounds were evaluated for antiproliferative activities against A549, PC-3, HCT-116, and MCF-7 cell lines. Compounds $\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{8 d}$, 9a, and 12b exhibited the highest activities. Compound 8a showed promising activities against A-549, PC-3, and HCT-116 cell lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $16.2,7.98$, and $25.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Compounds $\mathbf{8 a} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{8 b}$, and $\mathbf{9 a}$ showed promising inhibitory activities against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.099,0.419$, and $0.594 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. In addition, such derivatives showed good inhibitory effects against EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.123,0.290$, and $0.571 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The most promising candidate 8a induced a significant apoptotic effect in PC-3 cells and arrested the cell cycle at the pre-G1 phase. Structure-activity relationship studies revealed that tetracyclic 5 H -pyrido $\left[2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ pyrimido[ 2,1 -b]quina-zoline-5,7(12H)-dione derivatives $\mathbf{8 a - d}$ have the preferred impact on the anticancer activity. In addition, the existence of an elec-tron-donating $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ group at 4-position of compounds 8a and 8d is beneficial for activity. To give an additional comprehensive
investigation about the mechanism of action of the synthesised compounds, docking studies were performed against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ and $E G F R^{T 790 M}$. Docking studies revealed that the synthesised compounds have similar binding modes against the prospective biological targets. This work introduces compounds 8a as a potential promising EGFR inhibitor.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Chemistry

### 4.1.1. General

All details of chemicals and different apparatus for analyses were provided in Supplementary data.

### 4.1.2. General procedure for synthesis of thioxopyridopyrimidinone

 $7 a-e$A mixture of the appropriate $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones 6a-e ( 0.01 mol ) and 6-amino-2,3-dihydro-2-thioxopyrimidin-4(1H)-one (3) $(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was heated in dry DMF ( 20 ml ) under reflux for


Figure 8. ( $A$ and B) 3D and 2D superimposition of the docked ligand of mutant EGFR (TAK-285; Pink) and the original ligand (green) with RMSD value of $1.06 \AA$.

10-15 h. After cooling, the precipitates were filtered and crystallised from DMF to afford compounds 7a-e. All spectral data of thioxo derivatives 7b-e was reported in our previous work ${ }^{73}$. Herein, we described our newly synthesised thioxo precursor 7a.
4.1.2.1. 7-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-2-thioxo-2,3dihydropyrido [2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(1H)-one (7a). Yield (50\%); m.p.
$318-320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3387 (NH), 1701 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.40-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.97$ (s, 1H, pyridine-H6), 8.26 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, chlorophenyl-H2,H6), 12.50 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); 13.23 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13}$ CNMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 108.8, 118.5, 126.5, 127.7, 128.7, 129.1, 130.1, 132.4, 133.1, 134.7, 136.7, 149.3, 152.4, 158.3, 158.6, 162.2, 175.6; MS (m/z) 434; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}\right)$ : C, 52.50; H, 2.32; N, 9.67; Found: C, 52.57; H, 2.36; N, 9.73\%.


Figure 9. Erlotinib docked into the active site of EGFR ${ }^{W T}$.

### 4.1.3. General procedure for synthesis of 2,4-diaryl-5Hpyrido

[2',3':4,5] pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione(8a-d)
A mixture of 2-thioxopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives 7a-e ( 0.01 mol ) and anthranilic acid ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated under reflux for 20 h in the presence of $2 \%$ sodium ethoxide ( 20 ml ) The reaction mixture was cooled, poured into ice cold water and acidified by diluted hydrochloric acid. The formed precipitate was filtered, washed several times with water, dried and washed with hot ethanol to give the compounds $\mathbf{8 a - d}$.
4.1.3.1. 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(p-tolyl)-5H-pyrido[2',3':4,5]pyrimido [2,1-b] quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione (8a). Yield (68\%); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \quad\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3479(\mathrm{NH}), 1750(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.36$ (s, 3H, CH3$), 6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 6.66 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), $7.10(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

C6-pyridine), 7.56 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.42 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 11.19 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.3, 106.3, 114.5, 116.1, 118.4, 127.7, 128.6, 129.0, 129.3, 129.36, 129.6, 131.8, 132.6, 135.5, 136.0, 136.2, 137.4, 150.1, 153.6, 154.2, 157.9, 169.7, 169.8; MS (m/z): $466(M+2), 464\left(M^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, 69.75; H, 3.69; N, 12.05; \% Found: C, 69.82; H, 3.74; N, 12.11\%.

### 4.1.3.2. 4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(p-tolyl)-5H-pyrido[2',3':4,5]

pyrimido[2,1-b] quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione (8b). Yield (52\%); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3410(\mathrm{NH}), 1693(\mathrm{C}=0), 1750(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.92-6.95 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.29-7.44 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, C-6 pyridine), $8.07-8.10$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 11.11 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.3, 55.6, 106.3, 114.5, 116.2, 118.7, 127.3, 128.1, 129.3, 129.3, 129.6, 131.4, 132.2,


Figure 10. Compound 8a docked into the active site of EGFR ${ }^{W T}$.
135.4, 136.8, 136.2, 137.8, 150.2, 153.7, 154.8, 154.5, 157.6, 169.7, 169.8; MS (m/z): 460; Anal. Calc, for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$; C, 73.03; H, 4.38; N, 12.17\%; Found: C, 73.07; H, 4.44; N, 12.23\%.
4.1.3.3. 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-5H-pyrido [2',3':4,5] pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione (8c). Yield (87\%); m. p. $<300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3468(\mathrm{NH}), 1708(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.51(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}),, 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$, ), 7.62-7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H,), 7.76 (s, 1H, C-pyridine), 8.32 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 11.24 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 56.6,60.6,106.3,106.7,109.0,115.0,119.6$, 126.9, 129.3, 129.6, 129.9, 130.4, 131.5, 133.9, 134.2, 135.6, 137.9,
150.5, 152.5, 153.4, 157.8, 159.1, 161.4, 170.6; MS (m/z): 542 $(\mathrm{M}+2), 540\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, 64.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.91$; N, 10.36\%; Found: C, 64.44; H, 3.95; N, 10.41\%.
4.1.3.4. 2-(p-Tolyl)-4-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-5H-pyrido[2',3':4,5] pyrimido[2,1-b]quinazoline-5,7(12H)-dione (8d). Yield (57\%); m.p. $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3421(\mathrm{NH}), 1697(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.21-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}),, 7.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.46 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine), 7.57 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.99 (d, J=8Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 8.14 (d, J=8Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 11.65 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.6, 56.2, 60.2, 106.0, 106.3, 106.7, 118.0, 127.9, 128.6, 129.3, 129.3,


Figure 11. Compound $\mathbf{8 b}$ docked into the active site of $E G F R^{W T}$.
129.6, 129.9, 134.2, 134.6, 136.5, 137.9, 140.9, 144.2, 150.1, 152.2, 153.4, 154.1, 159.1, 161.4, 169.4; MS ( $m / z$ ): 520; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) ; \mathrm{C}, 69.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.65 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.76 \%$; Found: C, 69.25; H, 4.71; N, 10.82\%.
4.1.4. General procedure for synthesis of 2-Hydrazinyl -5,7-diary-Ipyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one (9a-e)
A mixture of 2-thioxopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives 7a-e ( 0.004 mol ) and hydrazine hydrate ( $99 \%, 3 \mathrm{ml}, 0.006 \mathrm{~mol}$, ) was heated under reflux in absolute ethanol ( 20 ml ) for $10-15 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the precipitate was filtered and washed with hot ethanol to give compounds 9a-e. All spectral data of hydrazino derivatives 9b-e was reported in our previous work ${ }^{73}$. Herein we described our newly synthesised hydrazine precursor 9a.
4.1.4.1. 7-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-2-hydrazineyl-pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3H)-one 9a. Yield (45\%); m.p. $265-267^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

IR ( KBr ) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3398,3367(\mathrm{NH})$ and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 7.59 (d, J=8 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.61-7.62 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 8.09 (s, 1H, H6-pyridine), 8.23 (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 8.47 (d, J=8Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 9.18 (brs, 1H, NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 12.83, (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 106.5,112.8,120.3,124.7,129.0,129.2,130.1$, 131.6, 132.9, 136.14, 136.19, 144.7, 147.8, 148.6, 157.9, 160.1, 174.6; $M S(m / z): 438(M+6), 436(M+4), 434(M+2), 432\left(M^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, $52.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.19 \%$; Found: C, 52.78; H, 2.86; N, 16.25.
4.1.5. General procedure for synthesis of 6.8-diaryl-pyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione (10a-d)
A mixture of 2-hydrazinylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine 9 b -e ( 1 mmol ) and ethyl chloroformate $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 10 ml ) was heated under reflux for $9 h^{60}$. The reaction mixture was cooled and the obtained solid was filtered, washed with ethanol, dried, and crystallised from DMF: EtOH (1:2).


Figure 12. Compound 8 d docked into the active site of EGFR ${ }^{\mathrm{WT}}$.
4.1.5.1. 8-(4-Chlorophenyl)-6-(p-tolyl)-1,2-dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione (10a). Yield (80\%); m.p. $347-349^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3383(\mathrm{NH}), 1685(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1647(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.24-7.26$ (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.37 (d, J=8Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.48-7.62 (m, 4H, 2Ar$\mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), $7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-6 p y r i d i n e),$,8.48 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.2, 108.6, 121.5, 128.1, 128.8, 129.4, 129.8, 134.5, 134.8, 135.7, 137.8, 145.7, 147.4, 148.0, 155.7, 159.4, 169.9; MS (m/z): 405 $(M+2), 403\left(M^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 62.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.49$; N, 17.34\%; Found: C, 62.54; H, 3.55; N, 17.40\%.
4.1.5.2. 6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-8-(p-tolyl)-1,2-dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione (10b). Yield (54\%); m.p.
$366-368^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR(KBr) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3398 (NH), 3375 (NH), 1697 (C=O), $1654(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, 5 H, Ar-H), 7.79 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine), 8.06 (brs, 2H, 2NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 8.57 (d, J=8Hz, 1H, Ar-H); MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 399; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ : C, 66.16; H, 4.29; $\mathrm{N}, 17.53 \%$; Found: C; 66.24, H, 4.35; N, 17.60\%.
4.1.5.3. 8-(4-Chlorophenyl)-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydro-pyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione (10c). Yield (67\%); m.p. $\quad 367-369^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \quad\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3160$ (2NH), 1759, 1697(2 C=O) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, OCH3), $3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 7.89 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine), 8.22-8.77 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 9.46 (s, 2H,


Figure 13. Compound 9a docked into the active site of EGFR ${ }^{W T}$.

2NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm); 56.1, 59.7, 105.7, 106.8, 116.4, 117.5, 119.1, 128.0, 129.6, 137.7, 140.5, 148.8, 149.4, 152.3, 155.8, 158.4, 161.9, 169.6; MS (m/z): 481 (M+2), 479 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, 57.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.59 \%$; Found: C; 57.64, H, 3.85; N, 14.64\%.
4.1.5.4 $\quad 8$-(p-Tolyl)-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-1,2-dihydropyr-ido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo [4,3-a]pyrimidine-3,5-dione (10d). Yield (54\%); m.p. $391-393^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3494 (NH), 3383 (NH), 1685 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : 2.37 (s, 3H, CH3 $), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.73$ (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34-7.45 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{pyridine}), 8.07-8.11$ (m, 2H, Ar-H), 9.26 (s, 1H, NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 11.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); MS (m/z): 459. Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 62.74; H, 4.61; N, 15.24\%; Found: C; 62.81, H, 4.67; N, 15.31\%.
4.1.6. General procedure for 3-amino-6,8-diaryl-2,3-dihydropyr-ido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one 11(a-e) A mixture of 2-hydrazinylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines 9a-e ( 0.002 mol ) and ammonium thiocyanate ( $2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in glacial acetic acid $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated under reflux for 10 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, poured onto iced water and the precipitate was filtered, dried and washed with hot ethanol ${ }^{60}$.
4.1.6.1. 3-Amino-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-10,10adihydropyrido [2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (11a). Yield (33\%); m.p. $381-383^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3421,3356 $\left(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1681$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.09$ (brs, 1H, NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 7.33 (brs, 2H, NH2, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), $7.52-7.63$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.70 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.85 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine), 8.04 (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.28 (d, J= $12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$,


Figure 14. Co-crystallised ligand (TAK-285) docked into the active site of EGFR ${ }^{T 790 \mathrm{M}}$.

Ar-H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 119.5, 127.4, 128.4, 129.1, 129.8, 131.0, 132.1, 132.4, 134.4, 134.7, 136.4, 136.7, 138.0, 141.1, 147.6, 148.5, 154.5, 167.2; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 463 ( $\mathrm{M}+6$ ), $461(\mathrm{M}+4), 459$ $(M+2), 457\left(M^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, 52.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.42$; N, 18.36\%; Found: C, 52.55; H, 2.47; N, 18.42\%.
4.1.6.2. 3-Amino-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(p-tolyl)-2,3-dihydropyr-ido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4] triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (11b). Yield (33\%); m.p. $383-385^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $3422,3394\left(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 1697 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.16-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.62$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.88 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-6 p y r i d i n e), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 11.66 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 11.65, (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 20.6, 106.5, 109.3,
119.7, 122.7, 127.1, 128.3, 129.4, 134.7, 135.5, 137.4, 145.8, 147.3, 150.2, 153.7, 155.6, 167.4; MS (m/z): $404(\mathrm{M}+2)$, $402\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$; $\mathrm{C}, 62.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.86 \%$; Found: C , 62.66; H, 3.84; N, 20.91\%.
4.1.6.3. 3-Amino-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-8-(p-tolyl)-2,3-dihydropyr-ido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4] triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (11c). Yield (47\%); m.p. $381-383^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3425, $4332\left(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 1697 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 2.35 (s, 3 H , $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.91-6.96 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.31-7.40 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.81 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine), 8.14 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 11.11 (brs, 2H, NH2, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 11.57 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.2, 54.7, 112.8, 127.7, 129.4, 130.1, 133.4, 139.7, 140.7, 145.4, 145.7, 149.6, 150.0,


Figure 15. Binding of compound 8 c with $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\mathrm{T} 790 \mathrm{M}}$.
153.3, 153.6, 159.3, 162.6, 167.9; MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): 398. Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, $66.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 21.09 \%$; Found: $\mathrm{C} ; 66.36, \mathrm{H}, 4.60$; N, 21.14\%.
4.1.6.4. 3-Amino-8-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl) -2,3dihydropyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a] pyrimidin-5(1H)one(11d). Yield (85\%); m.p. $365-367^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr ) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3437,3425 ( $\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $1708(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.71$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 7.61-8(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H + C6-pyridine), 8.23-8.31 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 11.18 (brs, 2H, NH ${ }_{2}$, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 11.71 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 56.0, 60.0, 106.4, 106.2, 128.8, 129.1, 129.4, 129.8, 134.1, 135.7, 140.7, 150.0, 151.7, 152.9, 153.6, 157.3,
160.9, 167.3; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 480(\mathrm{M}+2)$, $478\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$; $\mathrm{C}, 57.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.00 ; \mathrm{N}, 17.55 \%$; Found: C, $57.74 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.07; N, 17.59\%.
4.1.6.5. 3-Amino-8-(p-Tolyl)-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihy-dropyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (11e). Yield (85\%); m.p. $358-360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3433, 3367 (NH, $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1701(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.73-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 7.32-7.39 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.92 (s, 1H, C6-pyridine,), 8.19 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 11.15 (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 11.61 (s, 1H, NH, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 20.5, 55.7, 59.7, 106.5, 107.9, 114.8, 117.5, 127.5, 129.4, 134.1, 137.1,


Figure 16. Binding of compound 9a with EGFR ${ }^{\mathrm{T790M}}$.
140.1, 150.0, 152.0, 153.4, 153.7, 158.6, 161.3, 167.7; MS (m/z): 458; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$; C, $62.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.33 \%$; Found: C, 62.94; H, 4.91; N, 18.39\%.
4.1.6.6. 3-Phenyl-6,8-disubistitutedphenylpyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]tria-zolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (12a-e). To a solution of hydrazine derivative $9 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{e}(0.001 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry pyridine ( 20 ml ) benzoyl chloride was added ( 0.001 mol ), and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux for $10-15 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling, the formed precipitate was filtered washed with hot ethanol to afford 12a-d, respectively.
4.1.6.7. 8-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-6-(p-tolyl)pyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (12a). Yield (67\%); m.p.
$315-317^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \quad\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3414(\mathrm{NH}) \quad 1750 \quad(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.27-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H), 11.21 (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 21.3, 106.3, 118.0, 128.2, 128.2, 128.6, 129.3, 135.5, 136.6, 136.9, 138.5, 142.2, 150.8, 151.5, 153.8, 154.1, 155.2, 158.1, 162.8, 166,1, 188.4; MS m/z (\%): 465(M + 2), $463\left(M^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)$; C, 69.90; H, 3.91; N, 15.10\%; Found: C, 69.97; H, 3.98; N, 15.14\%.
4.1.6.8. 6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-8-(p-tolyl)pyrido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (12b). Yield (33\%); m.p. $325-327^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3414, NH, $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) ; 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$,


Figure 17. Binding of compound 10 d with $\mathrm{EGFR}^{\mathrm{T790M}}$.
7.03-8.32 (m, 15H 14Ar-H + NH- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 21.6,55.5,113.2,127.1,127.6,128.5,129.0$, 129.5, 129.8, 129.9, 130.3, 130.9, 131.5, 132.7, 135.4, 143.6, 145.2, 150.4, 154.6, 158.4, 160.3, 170.6; MS (m/z): 459; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$; C, 73.19; H, 4.61; N, 15.24\%; Found: C, 73.25; H, 4.66; N, 15.27\%.
4.1.6.9. 8-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)pyr-ido[2,3-d][1, 2, 4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one (12c). Yield (33\%); m.p. 313-315 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3417 (NH), 1693 (C=O); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 3.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.8(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 7.47-59 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.59-7.63 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.93-8.06 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}+1 \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), $8.55-8.59$ (m, 1H, C6pyridine), 8.91-8.92 (m, 2H, Ar-H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ (ppm): 55.6, 59.6, 113.3, 123.9, 127.2, 128.9, 129.3, 129.5, 129.7, 129.8,
129.9, 130.3, 130.9, 132.6, 143.3, 146.5, 152.7, 160.2, 169.2; MS (m/ z): $541(\mathrm{M}+2), 539\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 64.51; H, 4.11; N, 12.97\%; Found: C, 64.57; H, 4.16; N, 13.03\%.
4.1.6.10. 3-Phenyl-8-(p-tolyl)-6-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)pyrido[2,3d][1, 2, 4]triazolo [4,3-a]pyrimidin-5(1H)-one(12d). Yield (33\%); m.p. $322-324^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr) $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3464(\mathrm{NH}), 1701(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 3.9 (s, 6H, 2OCH 3 ), 6.76-7.07 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.09-7.87 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 8.10-8.22 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 11.16 (brs, 1H, NH, D 2 exchangeable); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 20.8,56.1,60.1,105.5,106.5,107.9$, 108.9, 117.5, 118.8, 120.4, 127.4, 128.4, 129.1, 130.5, 132.3, 133.8, 134.4, 137.1, 140.1, 150.0, 151.7, 153.3, 158.6,162.6; MS (m/z): 519; Anal. Calc. for: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) ; \mathrm{C}, 69.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.48 \%$; Found: C, 69.41; H, 4.91; N, 13.52\%.


Figure 18. Binding of compound 12d with EGFR ${ }^{\text {T790M }}$.

### 4.2. Biological evaluation

4.2.1. In vitro cytotoxic activity

In vitro cytotoxicity was carried out using MTT assay protocol ${ }^{63}$ as described in Supplementary data.

### 4.2.2. In vitro EGFR kinase assay

In vitro EGFR inhibitory activity was assessed using Homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) assay ${ }^{64}$ as described in Supplementary data.

### 4.2.3. Cell cycle analysis

The effect of compound $\mathbf{8 a}$ on cell cycle distribution was performed using propidium iodide (PI) staining technique as described in Supplementary data ${ }^{65,74,75}$.

### 4.2.4. Apoptosis analysis

The effect of compound 8a on cell apoptosis was investigated as described in Supplementary data ${ }^{76-78}$.

### 4.3. Docking studies

Molecular docking studies of the synthesised compounds were carried out against EGFR ${ }^{\text {WT }}$ (PDB ID: 4HJO, resolution $2.75 \AA$ and $E G F R^{\text {T790M }}$ (PDB ID: $3 W 2 O$, resolution $2.35 \AA$ ) as described in Supplementary data ${ }^{22}$.
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