Variations of chromosomes 2 and 3 gene expression profiles among pulmonary telocytes, pneumocytes, airway cells, mesenchymal stem cells and lymphocytes

Minghuan Zheng ^{a, #}, Xiaoru Sun ^{b, #}, Miaomiao Zhang ^a, Mengjia Qian ^a, Yonghua Zheng ^a, Meiyi Li ^c, Sanda M. Cretoiu ^{d, e}, Chengshui Chen ^b, Luonan Chen ^c, Dragos Cretoiu ^{d, e}, Laurentiu M. Popescu ^{d, e, *}, Hao Fang ^{f, *}, Xiangdong Wang ^{a, b, *}

 ^a Biomedical Research Center, Minhang Hospital & Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University Center for Clinical Bioinformatics, Shanghai, China
^b Department of Pulmonary Medicine, The First affiliated Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China ^c State Key Lab of Systems Biology, Chinese Academy of Science, Shanghai, China
^d Department of Cell Biology and Histology, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania ^e Victor Babeş National Institute of Pathology, Bucharest, Romania
^f Department of Anesthesiology, Zhongshan Hospital and Jinshan Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Received: May 29, 2014; Accepted: August 18, 2014

Abstract

Telocytes (TCs) were identified as a distinct cellular type of the interstitial tissue and defined as cells with extremely long telopodes (Tps). Our previous data demonstrated patterns of mouse TC-specific gene profiles on chromosome 1. The present study focuses on the identification of characters and patterns of TC-specific or TC-dominated gene expression profiles in chromosome 2 and 3, the network of principle genes and potential functional association. We compared gene expression profiles of pulmonary TCs, mesenchymal stem cells, fibroblasts, alveolar type II cells, airway basal cells, proximal airway cells, CD8⁺T cells from bronchial lymph nodes (T-BL), and CD8⁺ T cells from lungs (T-LL). We identified that 26 or 80 genes of TCs in chromosome 2 and 13 or 59 genes of TCs up- or down-regulated in chromosome 3, as compared with other cells respectively. Obvious overexpression of MyI9 in chromosome 2 of TCs different from other cells, indicates that biological functions of TCs are mainly associated with tissue/organ injury and ageing, while down-expression of PItp implies that TCs may be associated with inhibition or reduction of inflammation in the lung. Dominant overexpression of Sh3glb1, Tm4sf1 or Csf1 in chromosome 3 of TCs is mainly associated with tumour promotion in lung cancer, while most down-expression of Pde5 may be involved in the development of pulmonary fibrosis and other acute and chronic interstitial lung disease.

Keywords: TCs • mesenchymal stem cells • fibroblasts • chromosome 2 • chromosome 3 • lung

Introduction

Telocytes (TCs) were first described as a distinct cell type in 2010 [1, 2], followed by a significant growing of research globally [3, 4], as

#MZ and XS had equal contributions to the article.

*Correspondence to: Hao FANG, M.D., Ph.D.,

E-mail: drfanghao@163.com;

or Xiangdong WANG, M.D., Ph.D., E-mail: xiangdong.wang@clintransmed.org;

or L.M. POPESCU, M.D., Ph.D., E-mail: LMP@jcmm.org detailed in www.telocytes.com. TCs were found in multiple tissues and organs, such as heart [5–7], kidney [8] and urinary tract [9, 10], skin [11, 12] eye [13], mammary gland [14], digestive tract [15, 16], skeletal muscles [17] and neuromuscular spindles [18], uterus [19–22] and placenta [2], liver [23] and gall bladder [24, 25], pleura [26], trachea [27] and lungs [28]. TCs are mainly recognized and characterized by electron microscopy, the only technique able to highlight their characteristic extensions – the telopodes (Tps), consisting of thin segments – podomers, alternating with dilated regions – podoms [1]. Other characteristics of Tps include: (*i*) the unusual and varied

© 2014 The Authors.

doi: 10.1111/jcmm.12429

Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. length, between tens and thousands of micrometres; (*ii*) the branching network pattern, forming a labyrinthine system; (*iii*) the communications through homo- and heterocellular junctions exosome and ectosome release [6, 20, 22]. TCs were found to link nerve fibres, blood vessels, secretory acini and exocrine epithelial ducts [29–31], and different cell types, *e.g.* macrophages, lymphocytes, mast cells, stem cells [32–34]. TCs form 3-dimensional networks within organs/ tissues [20, 35]. Networks integrity may be affected in many pathological conditions, such as systemic sclerosis [36], skin basal and squamous cell carcinomas [37] and Crohn's disease [38].

Telocytes differ from fibroblasts (Fbs) and mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) as demonstrated by miR signatures and genetic profiles [15, 39, 40]. Proteomic signatures of the TCs are also supportive for the uniqueness and helpful in understanding of the functions. The data from omics studies demonstrated that elements within TCs are involved in (i) intercellular signalling, (ii) mechanical sensing and mechanochemical conversion task, (iii) tissue homoeostasis and remodelling/renewal, (iv) anti-oxidative stress and anti-ageing cellular mechanisms, (v) cancer cell proliferation through the inhibition of apoptosis [40, 41]. Our recent work explored patterns of mouse TCspecific gene profiles on chromosome 1 and showed important roles for TCs in the prevention of tissue inflammation and fibrogenesis, development of lung inflammatory diseases or modulation of immune cell responses [42]. However, dominant patterns and specificity of gene and protein profiles of TCs which are different from other cells existed in the lung is still not completed and unclear.

The present study undertakes an in-depth analysis to find out the characters and patterns of TC-specific or TC-dominated gene expression profiles in chromosome 2 and 3, investigate the network of principle genes, and explore potential functional association. Comparisons are made among pulmonary TCs, MSCs, Fbs, alveolar type II cells (ATII), airway basal cells (ABCs), proximal airway cells (PACs), CD8⁺T cells from bronchial lymph nodes (T-BL) and CD8⁺ T cells from lung (T-L), which may interact with TCs in the lung and trachea. Furthermore, we applied the most complete reference library of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Gene Expression Omnibus database to identify key functional genes, and characteristic networks by bioinformatics tools.

Material and methods

Isolation and culture

Telocytes were isolated from the lung tissues of mice, primary cultured in a concentration of 1×10^5 cells/cm², and harvested on days 5 (TC D5) and on days 10 (TC D10), as described previously [43]. RNA isolation, preparation, labelling, and hybridization were performed for DNA microarray (The Mouse 4×44 K Gene Expression Array, Agilent, Shanghai, China). About 39,000+ mouse genes and transcripts represented with public domain annotations were gained, according to the protocol of One-Color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Analysis. The hybridized arrays were washed, fixed and scanned by the Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner (part number G2505B).

Data collection and mining

We selectively collected gene expression profiles of pulmonary TCs on days 5 (TC D5) and 10 (TC D10), Fbs, MSCs, from our study [43], ATII, ABCs, PACs, T-BL and T-L, from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus database (GSE6846 [44], GSE27379 [45], GSE28651 [46]). The microarray was composed of 45,101 probes. We eliminated the probe sets without corresponding official symbol, leaving 39,417 probes and 21,680 genes.

The gene expression profiles are from our earlier study, which are composed of 23,861 probes, of pulmonary TCs on days 5 and 10, Fbs and MSCs are composed of 23,861 probes [43]. There were 13,236 probes and 11,545 genes after further eliminating the probes without corresponding official symbol, which we focused on in the present study. From the total of 11,545 genes, 917 genes of the chromosome 2 and 567 genes of the chromosome 3 were analysed.

Identification of differentially expressed genes

There are about 20,000–25,000 genes in mouse, of which about 85% are similar with humans, and the propensity of functional changes was reflected in different levels of the gene expression in particular cell types. We used gene expression profiles between mouse lung cells to seek for the specific regulated and identify genes specific to TCs and their function. The fold change was utilized to identify differentially expressed genes or simply differential genes. Up- or down- regulated folds of TCs genes were calculated as compared with other cells and subtracted its own multiple of TCs, after the average of gene expression in each cell was obtained from the raw data of multi-databases, as shown in Data S1.

Results

The final data analysis by bioinformatics tools showed that in chromosome 2, 26 genes were overexpressed in TCs, as compared with those in other cells (Table 1). Among them, 20 genes (1110008F13Rik, 2310003F16Rik, 2900064A13Rik, Abl1, Ass1, Commd3, Commd7, Creb3l1, Dlgap4, Edf1, Id1, Manbal, Mocs3, Psmc3, Sdccag3, Slc39a13, Snai1, Spc25, Tubb2c, Srxn1) were overexpressed between 0 and 1 folds (Table 1A). Four genes, Dbndd2 (Dysbindin domain-containing protein 2), Fbn1 (fibrillin 1), Tfpi (tissue factor pathway inhibitor) and Ak1 (adenylate kinase 1) genes, were overexpressed one- to- fourfold, in both TC D5 and TC D10, as compared with other cells (Table 1B). Highest overexpressed gene, Myl9 (myosin, light chain 9), was over fourfold up-regulated in both TC D5 and TC D10 compared with other cells (Table 1C). 80 genes in TCs were down-regulated, as compared with other cells (Table 2). Of them, Gzf1, Pltp, Polr1b, Tasp1, Zbtb34 and Zfp120 were down-regulated more than onefold in TCs compared with other cells.

A set of genes are specifically up- or down-regulated in pulmonary TCs, as compared with other cells in chromosome 2 (Table 3), up- or down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs D5 were 576 or 341, 559 or 358, 228 or 689, 287 or 630, 277 or 640, 181 or 736, or 210 or 707, respectively, as compared with MSCs, Fbs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs, or PACs. Up- or down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs

Table 1 Summary of genes expressed preferentially in TCs, as compared with others							
Compared pairs/fold up-regulated	>0	>1	>4				
TC5 versus others	64	18	2				
TC10 versus others	56	13	4				
TCs versus others	26	6	2				

Folds (TC5 versus others/TC10 versus others)							
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell
(A) Genes up-regulat	ed between 0- and	1-folds in TCs as	compared with o	thers			
1110008F13Rik	-0.41/-0.18	-0.46/-0.25	-0.73/-0.72	-0.79/-0.80	-0.85/-0.85	-0.91/-0.91	-0.91/-0.92
2310003F16Rik	-0.40/-0.04	-0.49/-0.19	-0.97/-0.97	-0.52/-0.46	-0.56/-0.50	-0.82/-0.80	-0.86/-0.84
2900064A13Rik	-0.13/-0.21	-0.41/-0.46	-0.37/-0.58	-0.29/-0.54	-0.30/-0.54	-0.24/-0.51	-0.19/-0.47
Abl1	-0.75/-0.67	-0.43/-0.23	-0.76/-0.76	-0.29/-0.32	-0.70/-0.71	-0.82/-0.83	-0.89/-0.90
Ass1	-0.44/-0.34	-0.96/-0.95	-0.82/-0.84	-0.94/-0.95	-0.80/-0.83	-0.90/-0.92	-0.80/-0.84
Commd3	-0.63/-0.13	-0.63/-0.54	-0.56/-0.62	-0.78/-0.59	-0.76/-0.60	-0.13/-0.34	-0.24/-0.65
Commd7	-0.29/-0.56	-0.62/-0.91	-0.58/-0.49	-0.53/-0.99	-0.54/-0.99	-0.24/-0.87	-0.60/-0.89
Creb3l1	-0.65/-0.66	-0.93/-0.44	-0.46/-0.35	-0.99/-0.18	-0.99/-0.52	-0.86/-0.25	-0.88/-0.29
Dlgap4	-0.79/-0.98	-0.65/-0.96	-0.44/-0.77	-0.28/-1.00	-0.58/-0.96	-0.34/-0.37	-0.38/-0.71
Edf1	-0.44/-0.17	-0.40/-0.08	-0.51/-0.73	-0.78/-0.76	-0.81/-0.61	-0.26/-0.28	-0.26/-0.66
ld1	-0.98/-0.70	-0.97/-0.03	-0.74/-0.20	-0.99/-0.50	-0.95/-0.45	-0.27/-0.63	-0.66/-0.59
Manbal	-0.49/-0.51	-0.43/-0.43	-0.77/-0.34	-0.79/-0.19	-0.66/-0.19	-0.37/-0.20	-0.71/-0.25
Mocs3	-0.81/-0.53	-0.38/-0.02	-0.30/-0.90	-0.55/-0.95	-0.51/-0.95	-0.67/-0.55	-0.63/-0.57
Psmc3	-0.64/-0.46	-0.58/-0.20	-0.34/-0.29	-0.17/-0.58	-0.18/-0.36	-0.18/-0.67	-0.23/-0.93
Sdccag3	-0.44/-0.46	-0.48/-0.60	-0.76/-0.37	-0.77/-0.98	-0.74/-0.94	-0.73/-0.45	-0.67/-0.18
Slc39a13	-0.51/-0.59	-0.28/-0.77	-0.13/-0.42	-0.46/-0.73	-0.20/-0.75	-0.58/-0.72	-0.91/-0.80
Snai1	-0.84/-0.26	-0.37/-0.15	-0.92/-0.67	-0.93/-0.74	-0.95/-0.58	-0.95/-0.40	-0.97/-0.59
Spc25	-0.41/-0.37	-0.24/-0.40	-0.79/-0.91	-0.61/-0.91	-0.41/-0.91	-0.19/-0.58	-0.07/-0.85
Tubb2c	-0.73/-0.59	-0.84/-0.48	-0.47/-0.40	-0.74/-0.90	-0.77/-0.89	-0.73/-0.57	-0.81/-0.74
Srxn1	-0.49/-0.68	-0.71/-0.30	-0.32/-0.86	-0.96/-0.85	-0.93/-0.83	-0.69/-0.86	-0.41/-0.93
(B) Genes up-regulat	ed between 1- and	4-folds in TCs as	compared with o	ther			
Dbndd2	-0.61/-1.00	-0.66/-0.98	-0.90/-0.73	-0.96/-0.96	-0.95/-0.96	-0.83/-0.87	-0.81/-0.90
Fbn1	-0.95/-0.98	-0.84/-0.53	-0.89/-0.84	-0.95/-0.82	-0.95/-0.84	-0.66/-0.87	-0.89/-0.76
Tfpi	-0.60/-0.72	-0.94/-0.58	-0.85/-0.85	-0.66/-0.95	-0.73/-0.96	-0.84/-0.78	-0.82/-0.86
Ak1	-0.80/-0.74	-0.84/-0.96	-0.69/-0.93	-1.00/-0.85	-0.97/-0.87	-0.94/-0.93	-0.97/-0.92
(C) Genes up-regulat	ed between >4-fold	ds in TCs as comp	pared with others				
MyI9	-1.00/-0.96	-0.92/-0.91	-0.98/-0.92	-1.00/-0.96	-1.00/-0.98	-0.98/-0.88	-1.00/-0.94

© 2014 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

J. Cell. Mol. Med. Vol 18, No 10, 2014

Table 2 Summary of genes expressed preferentially in TCs, as compared with others

Compared pairs/fold down-regulated	>0	>1	>4
TC5 versus others	140	14	0
TC10 versus others	236	38	0
TCs versus others	80	6	0

	Folds (TC5 versus others/TC10 versus others)								
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell		
(A) Genes down-regula	A) Genes down-regulated between 0- and 1-folds in TCs as compared with others								
1500012F01Rik	1.00/2.24	2.51/4.68	0.09/0.29	0.83/1.11	1.30/1.68	2.50/3.01	4.17/4.95		
1600027N09Rik	0.02/0.26	0.24/0.53	3.26/2.85	5.59/4.77	6.52/5.68	6.23/5.32	6.80/5.85		
1700058C13Rik	0.01/0.29	0.03/0.31	6.00/5.54	6.63/5.93	15.2/13.91	7.23/6.46	4.82/4.30		
2010317E24Rik	0.71/2.34	1.58/4.04	0.03/0.47	0.23/0.70	1.38/2.34	10.45/14.81	10.14/14.46		
2810408M09Rik	1.13/1.13	0.66/0.66	20.58/14.77	1.23/0.58	14.91/10.44	65.85/46.34	29.46/20.69		
4921504E06Rik	0.16/0.37	0.31/0.55	6.25/5.27	0.87/0.57	8.86/7.40	11.6/9.56	9.10/7.51		
6820408C15Rik	0.02/0.06	0.54/0.61	99.87/75.87	8.76/6.22	28.01/20.77	26.11/19.03	17.2/12.51		
Abca2	0.77/1.04	0.51/0.73	11.84/9.81	5.21/4.07	6.05/4.84	8.82/7.01	6.99/5.55		
Acvr2a	1.31/1.33	0.42/0.43	23.28/16.86	13.98/9.7	25.29/18.05	84.04/59.65	70.93/50.56		
Angptl2	0.52/0.45	1.97/0.31	16.78/0.65	9.96/1.22	5.33/2.03	14.94/3.34	20.00/1.38		
Api5	0.06/0.28	0.40/1.50	3.39/9.94	6.09/5.56	4.92/2.84	3.10/8.51	3.11/11.60		
Arhgap1	0.30/0.39	0.05/0.84	1.45/3.21	1.27/5.61	0.44/4.59	4.67/2.81	3.64/2.84		
Arpc5I	1.84/1.17	0.11/0.75	1.81/1.99	9.73/1.70	7.56/0.73	9.55/5.73	5.43/4.53		
Atf2	0.15/2.57	0.26/0.39	2.39/1.58	7.92/8.55	3.92/6.73	8.87/8.38	5.91/4.74		
B2m	1.13/0.44	0.57/1.06	2.50/1.63	4.18/3.06	4.50/2.19	2.32/1.70	1.22/1.32		
Catsper2	1.51/0.83	0.31/1.01	14.79/2.94	24.41/9.06	27.92/4.63	19.7/10.11	15.59/6.82		
Cbfa2t2	0.21/0.82	0.43/0.34	0.27/1.18	0.97/2.13	0.86/2.37	0.60/1.00	0.28/0.35		
Cdca7	1.89/1.49	6.28/0.30	1.05/10.46	1.2/16.91	0.10/19.66	2.18/13.55	2.49/10.73		
Cep110	1.61/0.62	0.28/0.92	0.84/0.24	7.14/0.87	4.90/0.79	5.53/0.51	2.91/0.22		
Chchd5	0.45/3.93	0.13/11.43	6.34/1.55	3.16/1.67	2.13/0.35	12.54/2.84	10.66/3.24		
Ciz1	0.30/2.69	0.21/0.81	1.53/0.90	0.84/7.16	0.68/4.99	7.8/5.53	4.74/2.93		
Cry2	0.11/1.18	0.15/0.69	13.7/7.05	4.83/3.43	2.42/2.38	10.9/13.38	11.47/11.46		
Ddx27	0.42/0.74	0.44/0.62	0.20/1.47	1.27/0.74	1.09/0.61	5.47/7.32	6.52/4.46		
Ddx31	0.31/0.36	0.93/0.91	0.51/2.65	1.79/8.07	0.75/9.21	5.42/17.28	5.09/13.41		
Depdc7	1.34/0.33	0.42/0.38	13.2/11.89	0.67/3.96	2.85/1.96	2.96/9.11	33.03/9.65		

Table 2. Continue	Table 2. Continued							
	Folds (TC5 <i>vei</i>	rsus others/TC10) <i>versus</i> others)					
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell	
Dsn1	0.61/0.26	1.33/0.31	6.39/6.62	39.29/12.48	47.16/10.54	12.99/6.54	23.88/5.26	
Elp4	0.76/1.09	0.25/1.12	5.62/0.30	1.29/1.37	1.03/1.21	14.19/5.74	10.08/6.88	
Ext2	0.44/0.46	0.68/1.16	23.82/0.23	31.23/1.21	21.21/0.41	20.92/4.07	11.20/3.83	
Fmnl2	0.18/1.37	0.15/0.44	11.91/9.50	5.60/0.20	12.75/1.80	15.11/1.84	21.28/23.52	
Fubp3	0.04/0.99	0.42/1.88	14.72/5.69	34.28/34.4	32.79/41.90	93.41/11.27	73.83/20.93	
Gapvd1	0.04/0.18	0.09/3.47	3.56/5.04	5.04/13.37	3.84/8.91	3.82/4.01	3.24/2.43	
Gmeb2	0.30/1.39	0.70/0.70	15.73/5.58	17.11/1.21	7.65/0.98	2.14/13.63	2.63/9.73	
Hat1	0.56/0.99	0.09/1.32	12.93/24.06	49.03/30.61	46.29/21.08	26.19/20.45	29.7/11.00	
ll15ra	1.42/0.59	0.07/0.54	2.25/11.67	0.64/5.29	2.21/12.29	4.80/14.33	1.58/20.32	
Mapkbp1	0.03/0.18	0.13/2.25	96.09/4.23	25.15/33.87	22.48/30.68	123.55/25.01	263.85/15.93	
Mga	0.68/0.24	0.34/0.68	4.24/12.63	4.57/28.72	5.14/27.86	8.89/78.35	7.84/62.23	
Mkks	0.26/0.64	0.25/0.71	1.66/4.26	3.04/5.77	2.84/4.51	6.40/4.39	6.51/3.77	
MIIt10	0.11/1.18	0.06/0.54	5.21/2.72	32.27/10.63	27.76/16.87	15.03/12.57	12.67/9.62	
Mrps5	0.30/0.37	0.29/0.79	2.85/11.87	3.12/12.53	1.15/5.55	16.43/1.34	8.73/1.72	
Necab3	3.74/0.72	0.49/0.20	3.72/10.21	2.23/38.12	6.28/36.48	11.85/20.21	3.01/23.07	
Nr6a1	0.87/2.71	0.40/0.63	18.84/2.64	14.87/0.78	18.42/2.54	41.84/5.30	67.71/1.82	
Ntng2	0.19/0.21	0.25/0.33	0.4/82.62	10.52/20.87	12.47/18.91	4.3/102.97	1.66/221.24	
Nusap1	0.59/1.31	1.62/0.83	0.56/4.26	0.21/4.42	0.4/5.07	2.96/8.61	4.62/7.64	
Olfr73	0.10/0.04	0.27/0.02	1.12/0.60	4.73/1.36	1.03/1.28	20.44/3.31	5.83/3.40	
P2rx3	0.88/0.59	0.47/0.52	0.77/5.49	5.71/32.77	1.29/28.59	5.36/15.24	12.31/12.91	
Pdhx	1.09/0.82	0.10/0.80	11.01/2.93	7.06/3.08	5.76/1.16	5.97/16.23	6.61/8.67	
Phf20	0.77/3.35	0.87/0.37	0.88/2.17	5.00/1.11	3.20/3.81	1.03/7.36	0.67/1.62	
Polr3f	0.83/1.25	0.21/0.69	10.77/16.44	10.86/12.56	14.35/15.82	15.73/35.52	7.5/57.87	
Rae1	0.71/0.82	0.58/0.91	0.59/0.56	1.34/11.47	1.61/13.79	4.08/4.73	1.55/1.89	
Rbm38	1.52/0.58	0.11/0.70	18.93/2.24	5.43/1.14	12.22/1.26	10.19/9.83	8.49/7.36	
Scn1a	9.47/0.74	2.38/0.87	0.73/1.13	0.61/3.84	2.46/3.60	7.56/0.30	4.58/0.57	
SIc12a5	4.24/0.92	0.59/2.15	2.84/0.38	3.26/0.04	3.41/0.21	4.93/2.38	5.08/3.83	
Slc27a4	1.12/0.22	0.50/0.41	28.79/0.72	13.26/3.52	6.48/0.62	6.51/15.87	6.71/4.40	
SIc34a3	0.33/1.15	0.38/0.69	10.17/0.48	10.98/4.46	19.71/0.89	20.08/4.17	17.79/9.87	
Spata2	0.54/1.15	0.59/0.13	0.65/8.00	1.75/4.87	0.78/3.99	4.44/4.06	3.95/4.56	

© 2014 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.

	Folds (TC5 <i>versus</i> others/TC10 <i>versus</i> others)						
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell
Sptlc3	0.42/0.74	0.31/1.10	26.19/5.08	2.31/7.18	13.32/8.05	179.36/10.23	250.13/8.40
Ss18l1	0.33/1.71	1.15/1.85	24.07/1.10	70.3/5.51	106.73/3.61	142.18/1.19	87.02/0.81
Surf6	0.14/2.78	0.03/0.71	0.36/0.10	1.56/1.44	0.98/0.59	4.86/3.33	5.65/2.12
Timm10	1.11/0.80	0.16/0.19	0.79/7.50	0.44/7.32	0.44/9.91	3.52/10.71	2.82/4.98
Trub2	0.41/1.52	0.58/1.33	0.34/0.72	1.71/1.45	0.55/1.77	4.38/4.31	1.61/1.68
Ttll9	0.06/2.02	0.03/0.34	13.59/16.46	4.75/4.47	8.44/10.41	63.39/8.51	39.11/7.1
Yme1l1	1.17/14.07	0.17/3.87	4.23/0.82	10.71/0.64	12.14/2.59	21.28/7.73	18.6/4.72
Arl6ip6	0.33/5.28	0.27/0.91	0.09/2.37	1.77/2.62	1.30/2.81	3.37/4.03	2.27/4.19
Cep152	0.58/2.53	1.26/5.71	1.95/0.32	28.84/1.17	18.63/0.56	5.03/3.14	2.63/3.27
Chd6	0.54/0.90	1.20/0.97	11.69/10.67	70.65/11.16	61.55/20.31	58.35/20.35	34.4/18.12
Ddb2	3.10/1.00	0.72/1.06	10.74/0.56	81.94/1.53	91.16/0.66	88.59/3.99	61.48/3.57
Dnmt3b	1.85/0.69	0.05/0.56	1.45/22.66	16.44/1.80	14.99/11.27	3.61/151.12	1.68/211.91
Dut	0.04/2.30	0.36/0.50	0.80/1.54	2.32/5.41	1.35/3.55	14.44/1.97	9.05/1.33
Emilin3	0.83/0.44	0.86/0.29	26.92/0.25	42.07/1.28	59.95/0.79	7.44/4.22	12.92/4.95
Entpd6	1.61/1.68	0.30/0.48	41.36/0.66	38.04/0.30	17.21/0.32	17.79/3.07	12.23/2.46
Mettl5	0.61/0.10	0.37/0.06	2.72/10.00	6.88/3.21	4.9/6.00	6.01/46.04	5.83/28.46
Myef2	0.58/0.69	0.11/0.33	0.74/1.38	0.91/3.04	2.12/3.57	4.87/9.78	3.94/8.22
Rif1	0.94/1.19	1.76/3.64	0.98/14.02	5.85/10.48	7.01/7.97	3.27/6.11	2.11/0.65
Sfmbt2	2.56/2.25	3.80/1.98	1.09/1.49	7.35/0.88	9.00/1.40	2.16/7.25	0.94/5.39
(B) Genes down-regula	ated between 1- a	nd 4-folds in TCs	as compared with	h others			
Gzf1	1/3.12	3.24/1.05	17.78/47.88	13.78/42.76	10.39/19.69	8.17/20.02	1.12/13.88
Pltp	9.94/3.83	2.17/3.01	189.9/16.60	7.67/31.99	8.30/21.33	110.19/13.79	96.66/22.44
Polr1b	1.83/1.59	1.33/1.47	7.01/5.03	6.45/10.25	3.06/18.41	10.71/37.36	8.50/46.25
Tasp1	2.19/7.78	1.12/8.13	1.96/2.59	15.91/2.34	12.47/4.49	20.97/8.59	8.31/9.50
Zbtb34	2.82/4.42	1.78/2.60	58.06/2.67	131.6/19.40	78.44/15.48	69.44/25.45	58.72/10.27
Zfp120	1.92/1.70	1.84/1.62	17.79/11.67	21.29/13.60	18.08/11.68	11.75/7.33	8.68/5.36

Table 2. Continued

D10 were 431 or 486, 408 or 509, 238 or 679, 294 or 623, 288 or 629, 182 or 735, or 222 or 695, as compared with MSCs, Fbs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs respectively. Up- and down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs were 406 or 316, 388 or 338, 204 or 655, 262 or 598, 251 or 603, 158 or 712, or 180 or 665, as compared with MSCs, Fbs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs respectively.

In chromosome 3, 13 genes were higher than 0-fold in TCs, as compared with those in other cells (Table 4), of which 10 genes

(Agl, Ecm1, Golim4, Kcnab1, Lce1a2, Nexn, Pde4dip, Plekho1, Psrc1, Rhoc, Rit1, Scamp3, Sec22b) were overexpressed 0- to 1-fold (Table 4A). Three genes Sh3glb1 (SH3-domain GRB2-like B1 – endophilin), Tm4sf1 (transmembrane 4 superfamily member 1) and Csf1 (colony stimulating factor 1) were overexpressed more than onefold, in both TC D5 and TC D10, as compared with other cells (Table 4B). 59 genes in TCs were down-regulated, as compared with other cells (Table 5). Of them, 1700013F07Rik, Amy1, Anp32e,

Compared pairs	Up>0	Up>1	Up>4	Down>0	Down>1	Down>4			
TC5 <i>versus</i> stem	576	194	50	341	80	14			
TC10 versus stem	431	136	41	486	152	27			
TCs <i>versus</i> stem	406	116	30	316	73	14			
TC5 versus fibroblast	559	201	79	358	107	17			
TC10 versus fibroblast	408	166	61	509	178	33			
TCs <i>versus</i> fibroblast	388	140	56	338	93	14			
TC5 versus ATII	228	102	36	689	504	289			
TC10 versus ATII	238	104	35	679	516	296			
TCs versus ATII	204	86	30	655	476	268			
TC5 versus CD8BL	287	174	89	630	689	303			
TC10 versus CD8BL	294	196	97	623	485	284			
TCs versus CD8BL	262	160	81	598	461	262			
TC5 versus CD8LL	277	178	92	640	487	306			
TC10 versus CD8LL	288	187	97	629	482	289			
TCs versus CD8LL	251	162	84	603	458	263			
TC5 versus basal cell	181	89	42	736	612	414			
TC10 versus basal cell	182	101	41	735	601	406			
TCs <i>versus</i> basal cell	158	79	36	712	573	375			
TC5 versus duct cell	210	118	50	707	552	358			
TC10 versus duct cell	222	117	51	695	548	345			
TCs versus duct cell	180	103	42	665	522	320			

Table 3 The number of genes specifically up- or down-regulated in pulmonary telocytes, as compared with other cells respectively

Dnase2b, Fmo5, Pde5a, Phf17, Rwdd3 and Trim33 were down-regulated more than onefold, in both TC D5 and TC D10, as compared with other cells.

In chromosome 3 (Table 6), up- or down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs D5 were 345 or 222, 352 or 215, 377 or 190, 214 or 353, 201 or 366, 130 or 437, or 137 or 430, as compared with Fbs, MSCs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs respectively. Up- or down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs D5 were 265 or 302, 263 or 304, 138 or 429, 188 or 379, 168 or 399, 95 or 472, or 120 or 447, as compared with Fbs, MSCs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs respectively. Up- and down-regulated genes more than 0-fold of TCs were 255 or 212, 247 or 199, 367 or 128, 181 or 346, 164 or 362, 87 or 429, or 110 or 420, as compared with Fbs, MSCs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs respectively. Details of up- or down gene variations of chromosome 2 and 3, including the number and names of up- or down-regulated genes more than 0-fold among different cells, were listed in Data S2.

The relationships of the more than 0-fold up-regulated genes of chromosome 2 and 3 in TC D5 and/or TC D10 were analysed by String Network analysis (www.string-db.org), as compared with other cells, to identify direct (physical) and indirect (functional) associations between selected genes of TCs. TC-specific or dominating genes in TC D5 and TC D10 were selected by up- or down-expression more than 0-fold, as compared with other cells. Figure 1A and C demonstrated the distribution of such active gene group in chromosome 2 and 3 of all cells, and interactions or potential functional links of those genes of TCs.

In chromosome 2, about 30-50% of TCs genes showed similar patterns of gene expression in MSCs, Fbs or ATII, while 5-15% of TCs genes showed similarities with ABCs, PACs, T-BL or T-L. Top 50 up- or down-regulated genes of each cell were also evaluated and their distribution within chromosome 2 genes showed the difference between them, as shown in Figure 1B. High expressed genes of each cell within chromosome 2 were evaluated and distributed as red col-

J. Cell. Mol. Med. Vol 18, No 10, 2014

Table 4 Summary of genes expressed preferentially in TCs, as compared with others

Compared pairs/fold up-regulated	>0	>1	>4
TC5 versus others	42	10	0
TC10 versus others	30	7	2
TCs versus others	13	3	0

	Folds (TC5 versus others/TC10 versus others)							
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell	
(A) Genes up-r	egulated between ()- and 1-folds in T(Cs as compared wi	th others				
Agl	-0.31/-0.25	-0.42/-0.37	-0.64/-0.6	-0.54/-0.5	-0.81/-0.79	-0.63/-0.6	-0.79/-0.77	
Ecm1	-0.96/-0.96	-0.9/-0.9	-0.91/-0.91	-0.96/-0.96	-0.85/-0.85	-0.48/-0.47	-0.24/-0.23	
Golim4	-0.65/-0.63	-0.24/-0.2	-0.86/-0.85	-0.8/-0.79	-0.86/-0.86	-0.65/-0.63	-0.48/-0.45	
Kcnab1	-0.94/-0.95	-0.39/-0.54	-0.73/-0.8	-0.62/-0.71	-0.9/-0.93	-0.24/-0.42	-0.65/-0.73	
Lce1a2	-0.16/-0.3	-0.41/-0.52	-0.01/-0.18	-0.03/-0.2	-0.24/-0.37	-0.83/-0.86	-0.93/-0.94	
Nexn	-0.59/-0.47	-0.3/-0.08	-0.82/-0.76	-0.9/-0.87	-0.96/-0.95	-0.64/-0.52	-0.78/-0.71	
Pde4dip	-0.65/-0.69	-0.52/-0.58	-0.19/-0.29	-0.11/-0.22	-0.4/-0.47	-0.71/-0.75	-0.68/-0.72	
Plekho1	-0.07/-0.3	-0.8/-0.85	-0.8/-0.85	-0.85/-0.89	-0.97/-0.98	-0.95/-0.97	-0.98/-0.99	
Psrc1	-0.8/-0.7	-0.78/-0.67	-0.81/-0.73	-0.72/-0.59	-0.62/-0.45	-0.32/0	-0.38/-0.1	
Rhoc	-0.57/-0.52	-0.5/-0.43	-0.85/-0.83	-1/-1	-0.92/-0.91	-0.6/-0.55	-0.73/-0.69	
Rit1	-0.54/-0.53	-0.66/-0.65	-0.58/-0.57	-0.69/-0.68	-0.66/-0.65	0.25/-0.22	-0.57/-0.55	
Scamp3	-0.34/-0.34	-0.55/-0.55	-0.79/-0.79	-0.61/-0.61	-0.72/-0.72	-0.82/-0.82	-0.83/-0.83	
Sec22b	-0.41/-0.08	-0.5/-0.23	-0.49/-0.21	-0.55/-0.3	-0.63/-0.43	-0.56/-0.32	-0.65/-0.46	
(B) Genes up-r	egulated between	1- and 4-folds in T(Cs as compared wi	th other				
Sh3glb1	-0.73/-0.68	-0.62/-0.54	-0.81/-0.77	-0.79/-0.75	-0.8/-0.76	-0.69/-0.62	-0.7/-0.63	
Tm4sf1	-1/-1	-0.6/-0.67	-0.85/-0.88	-1/-1	-1/-1	-0.99/-0.99	-0.99/-0.99	
Csf1	-0.71/-0.65	-0.73/-0.68	-0.93/-0.92	-0.98/-0.98	-0.98/-0.97	-0.91/-0.9	-0.92/-0.91	

our (Fig. 1B). The distribution of the high expressed genes and low expressed genes both in TC D5 and TC D10 indicates that they are in the centre of the small cluster and different from the other cells. Among the 26 co-up-expressed genes (Table 1A-C), 7 genes were found to have certain interactions (Fig. 1A).

In chromosome 3, about 50–60% of TCs genes showed similar patterns of gene expression in Fbs, MSCs, PACs or ABCs, while 0–20% of TCs genes showed similarities with ABCs, PACs, T-BL or T-L. Top 50 up- or down-regulated genes of each cell were also evaluated and their distribution within chromosome 3 genes showed the difference between them, as shown in Figure 1D. High expressed genes of each cell within chromosome 3 were evaluated and distributed as red colour (Fig. 1D). The distribution of up-expressed genes

and down-expressed genes in TC D5 and TC D10 indicates that they are in the centre of the small cluster and different from the other cells. Among the 16 co-up-expressed genes (Table 4A and B), no clear or certain interactions (Fig. 1C) were found. The hierarchical cluster analysis of the differentially expressed genes (Fig. 2) clearly shows that TCs are poorly related to the other cell lines.

Discussion

Mouse chromosomes are the best studied mammalian chromosomes and are considered as gold standard of human comparative map, although genomic rearrangements occur during evolution. Certain

Table 5 Summary of genes expressed preferentially in TCs, as compared with others							
Compared pairs/fold down-regulated	>0	>1	>4				
TC5 versus others	79	12	0				
TC10 versus others	137	22	1				
TCs versus others	59	9	0				

	Folds (TC5 v	Folds (TC5 <i>versus</i> others/TC10 <i>versus</i> others)								
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell			
(A) Genes down-regu	ılated between	0- and 1-folds i	n TCs as compare	d with others						
1700027A23Rik	0.9/1.57	0.08/0.46	162.04/220.27	4.95/7.07	0.43/0.94	28.1/38.49	8.2/11.48			
2810403A07Rik	0.81/1.11	0.7/0.99	0.47/0.72	3.33/4.07	4.16/5.04	1.31/1.7	0.96/1.3			
4932438A13Rik	1.12/1.11	0.9/0.9	4.4/4.39	76.24/75.99	78.16/77.9	9.48/9.44	8.12/8.09			
4933421E11Rik	1.61/2.74	0.22/0.74	0.3/0.87	5.03/7.64	4.6/7.02	2.41/3.89	0.14/0.64			
A530020G20Rik	0.13/0.92	0.02/0.73	6.3/11.39	1.91/3.94	2.91/5.64	2.4/4.77	1.14/2.63			
Acadm	5.66/5.92	0.22/0.27	4.5/4.72	6.8/7.1	4.87/5.09	6.25/6.53	5.1/5.33			
Adh6a	0.46/0.67	0.44/0.65	5.68/6.63	8.32/9.65	11.57/13.37	50.97/58.39	25.27/29.03			
Ahcyl1	0.62/0.88	0.14/0.32	1.5/1.91	1.14/1.49	0.51/0.76	2.4/2.95	1.9/2.38			
Alx3	1.08/1.53	1.35/1.87	0.45/0.77	0.95/1.38	5.61/7.05	0.82/1.22	1.64/2.21			
Atp11b	0.44/0.93	0.99/1.66	2.2/3.29	28.57/38.63	22.24/30.15	10.08/13.85	15.11/20.59			
Car3	0.25/0.29	0.04/0.07	3.72/3.87	4.07/4.23	6.87/7.12	40.74/42.05	22.72/23.47			
Clcc1	1.62/2.56	0.03/0.39	0.08/0.47	0.81/1.45	0.7/1.31	3.29/4.83	3.25/4.77			
Cryz	3.65/6.13	3.67/6.15	0.91/1.92	0.17/0.79	0/0.53	0.51/1.32	2.14/3.8			
Ctso	0.22/0.15	1.18/1.05	151.36/142.4	336.91/317.03	271.25/255.24	245.94/231.42	92.66/87.15			
Gnat2	1.35/1.57	0.19/0.3	1.09/1.29	2.4/2.72	4.24/4.73	8.71/9.63	1.89/2.17			
Gpsm2	1.89/2.66	1.39/2.03	0.36/0.72	3.42/4.59	5.15/6.78	14.01/17.99	18.95/24.24			
Hax1	0.16/0.6	0.66/1.3	1.25/2.1	0.9/1.62	0.94/1.68	0.6/1.21	0.84/1.54			
HItf	0.31/0.45	0.45/0.61	3.26/3.75	18.55/20.78	18.24/20.44	7.82/8.83	3.57/4.09			
Hps3	1.39/1.42	0.56/0.58	0.8/0.82	8.25/8.37	6.09/6.18	2.97/3.02	0.26/0.27			
Ints3	0.83/0.94	0.23/0.3	27.92/29.65	13.8/14.68	6.12/6.54	23.06/24.5	26.27/27.9			
lsg20l2	0.09/0.62	0.06/0.57	2.61/4.35	4.77/7.55	3.23/5.27	5.9/9.22	6.17/9.62			
Lass2	0.06/0.12	0.01/0.07	5.54/5.9	2.13/2.3	1.64/1.79	1.12/1.23	0.41/0.49			
Lrrc40	0.4/0.16	0.42/0.18	102.03/84.89	256.01/213.26	257.71/214.68	537.73/448.12	349.78/291.43			
Lrrcc1	0.1/0.63	0.19/0.77	0.6/1.37	2.23/3.8	1.26/2.35	5.2/8.21	2.45/4.13			
Mfsd8	0.71/0.3	0.45/0.11	11.71/8.67	24.91/18.72	19.66/14.72	32.59/24.56	33.42/25.19			

	Folds (TC5 <i>versus</i> others/TC10 <i>versus</i> others)								
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell		
Mrpl24	0.64/1.57	0.06/0.66	1.1/2.27	3.11/5.41	1.92/3.56	1.85/3.45	1.82/3.41		
Mrpl9	0.29/0.47	0.44/0.64	3.16/3.73	7.18/8.3	5.33/6.19	8.23/9.48	7.87/9.08		
Ndufb5	1/1.86	0.01/0.44	2.17/3.55	2.34/3.79	0.88/1.69	2.78/4.42	2.95/4.66		
Odf2I	1.26/1.48	0.17/0.29	5.61/6.26	11.82/13.08	7.95/8.83	44.13/48.56	37.95/41.78		
Papss1	1.13/1.25	0.44/0.52	2.44/2.62	1.53/1.66	0.28/0.34	1.73/1.88	1.64/1.78		
Pgrmc2	1.11/1.55	0.08/0.31	4.75/5.96	1.45/1.97	2/2.62	29.73/36.17	15.37/18.8		
Plk4	0.46/0.88	0.71/1.2	2.33/3.29	11.64/15.29	12.8/16.79	7.3/9.69	12.53/16.43		
Prpf38b	1.18/0.93	0.68/0.49	11.25/9.81	50/44	66.2/58.3	44.75/39.37	34.78/30.58		
Rabggtb	0.81/0.52	1.09/0.75	8.12/6.64	53.93/45.01	57.59/48.07	37.82/31.52	48.92/40.81		
Rapgef2	0.29/0.68	0.63/1.11	2.8/3.92	10.47/13.85	8.15/10.86	0.24/0.6	0.61/1.09		
Rps4x	0.11/0.67	0.62/1.45	0.39/1.1	0.85/1.79	1.99/3.51	0.67/1.53	0.54/1.32		
Sars	3.16/4.85	1.32/2.27	1.03/1.86	0.4/0.98	0.09/0.54	0.41/0.99	0.3/0.83		
Setdb1	0.25/0.87	0.6/1.39	0.03/0.55	1.29/2.42	1.07/2.1	2.73/4.58	1.8/3.19		
Siah2	1.29/1.68	1.79/2.27	3.92/4.77	0.21/0.42	0.87/1.19	3.28/4.02	3.03/3.72		
Slc33a1	0.75/0.58	0.72/0.55	5.56/4.91	2.19/1.87	1.75/1.48	3.02/2.62	0.75/0.58		
Smc4	0.07/0.85	0.89/2.26	0.12/0.94	14.46/25.7	14.77/26.25	2.22/4.56	6.28/11.58		
Sohlh2	0.02/0.11	0.08/0.17	1.69/1.93	0.91/1.07	1.86/2.11	1.58/1.8	1.85/2.1		
Spata5	0.06/0.88	0.21/1.16	0.19/1.12	0.32/1.36	0.4/1.49	1.15/2.83	1.51/3.47		
Syt6	0.23/0.6	0.21/0.57	0.15/0.5	0.55/1.02	0.43/0.87	1.27/1.96	0.29/0.69		
Tbl1xr1	2.36/1.6	0.89/0.47	2.59/1.79	4.02/2.9	3.66/2.61	16.91/12.89	13.56/10.29		
Txnip	0.77/0.21	1.35/0.6	54.04/36.58	107.86/73.33	65.79/44.6	36.39/24.53	26.15/17.53		
UbqIn4	0.18/0.58	0.21/0.63	11.94/16.36	5.82/8.15	2.66/3.91	28.73/38.87	40.6/54.79		
Wdr77	0.14/0.7	0.97/1.92	3.67/5.93	1.52/2.74	0.79/1.66	2.28/3.88	2.96/4.88		
Ythdf3	0.22/0.54	0.64/1.07	3.08/4.17	6.8/8.87	6.44/8.41	3.68/4.92	3/4.06		
Zzz3	0.1/0.64	0.73/1.58	0.1/0.64	3.91/6.33	3.67/5.96	1.29/2.42	0.6/1.39		
(B) Genes down-regu	lated between	1- and 4-folds i	n TCs as compare	d with others					
1700013F07Rik	6.5/7.08	1.64/1.84	34.73/37.52	2.1/2.34	7.8/8.48	29.96/32.38	47.84/51.65		
Amy1	2.81/3.86	8.06/10.55	9.44/12.32	7.37/9.67	14.79/19.13	31.07/39.88	24.64/31.68		
Anp32e	8.69/11.5	2.79/3.89	121.76/157.32	245.2/316.52	243.57/314.42	356.1/459.55	464.63/599.52		
Dnase2b	2.08/2.96	1.85/2.67	1.08/1.68	1.08/1.67	9.48/12.48	10.22/13.44	6.68/8.87		

Table 5. Continued

Table 5. Continued

	Folds (TC5 <i>versus</i> others/TC10 <i>versus</i> others)									
Gene symbol	Fibroblast	Stem	ATII	CD8_T_BL	CD8_T_LL	Basal_cell	Duct_cell			
Fmo5	3.8/6	3.36/5.35	3.52/5.58	4.08/6.4	1.75/3.01	21.33/31.55	5.4/8.33			
Pde5a	4.09/2.99	3.94/2.87	4.58/3.37	39.25/30.54	12.26/9.39	44.99/35.04	15.96/12.29			
Phf17	1.24/1.76	3.48/4.52	1.04/1.52	3.4/4.42	3.67/4.75	11.3/14.14	4.48/5.75			
Rwdd3	2.98/4.43	2.52/3.8	2.98/4.43	14.07/19.53	10.16/14.21	28.88/39.73	8.77/12.31			
Trim33	1.93/5.56	1.06/3.62	4.2/10.64	26.9/61.48	17.78/41.07	3.1/8.17	2.63/7.13			

Table 6 The number of genes specifically up- or down-regulated in pulmonary telocytes, as compared with other cells respectively

Compared pairs	Up>0	Up>1	Up>4	Down>0	Down>1	Down>4
TC10 <i>versus</i> fibroblast	265	116	40	302	126	28
TC5 versus fibroblast	345	161	51	222	87	17
TCs <i>versus</i> fibroblast	255	100	33	212	78	12
TC10 versus stem	263	109	35	304	115	23
TC5 versus stem	352	134	41	215	63	12
TCs versus stem	247	85	27	199	58	12
TC10 versus ATII	138	67	22	429	306	177
TC5 versus ATII	377	278	137	190	93	32
TCs <i>versus</i> ATII	367	268	124	128	62	20
TC10 versus CD8BL	188	117	59	379	302	186
TC5 versus CD8BL	214	143	81	353	265	162
TCs versus CD8BL	181	113	57	346	254	153
TC10 versus CD8LL	168	99	51	399	307	197
TC5 versus CD8LL	201	138	66	366	278	163
TCs versus CD8LL	164	96	47	362	269	154
TC10 versus basal cell	95	55	19	472	388	261
TC5 <i>versus</i> basal cell	130	65	26	437	345	222
TCs versus basal cell	87	45	16	429	339	218
TC10 versus duct cell	120	56	26	447	373	234
TC5 versus duct cell	137	81	29	430	321	199
TCs versus duct cell	110	51	21	420	312	187

human disease genes were discovered by comparative genomics using the information derived from mapped mouse mutations, although they are not the simplest model for human comparison. In humans, chromosome 2 has the largest sequenced base pairs (237, 712, 649) [47], working with all of the autosomes in humans, spanning the second largest amount of total base pairs (242, 751, 149)

Figure 1 Expression profiles of the selected genes as an active group of chromosome 2 and 3 of TCs (TCs) isolated and cultured from mouse lungs on days 5 (D5) and 10 (D10), as compared with fibroblasts (Fbs), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), alveolar type II cells (ATII), airway basal cells (ABCs), proximal airway cells (PACs), CD8+ T cells come from bronchial lymph nodes (T-BL), and CD8+ T cells from lung (T-L) respectively (A and C). The profiles for entire genes are described in Data S1. The selected core network and whole mouse network are linked by the documented functional interactions from various databases (see Methods). Genes in each network are indicated in red and some of their nearest neighbours are indicated by dark grey nodes. A group of telocyte genes up-regulated and down-regulated more than 0-fold as compared with all other cells and existed in TCs on days 5 and 10 were selected as telocyte-specific or dominated genes in chromosome 2 and 3 (A and C). Top 50 up- or down-regulated genes of each cells were also evaluated and their distribution within chromosome 2 and 3 genes showed the difference between cells (B and D). Details of the selected network in each cell type are in Figure S1-S18.



and representing 16.2% of the total DNA [48–51]. Over 31 exactly known diseases were proposed to be associated with genes on chromosome 2. In mouse, chromosome 2 is entirely sequenced and has 3146 genes encoding 1780 proteins [47], of which 917 genes were measured by bioinformatics tools in the present study. Our data demonstrated that there were 26 or 80 up- or down-regulated genes of chromosome 2 in TCs, as compared with MSCs, Fbs, ATII, T-BL, T-LL, ABCs or PACs.

One gene Myl9 (myosin, light polypeptide 9) was overexpressed most in TCs, different from other cells. Myl9 regulatory gene encodes the regulatory light chains of myosin II molecule, known to play a central role in cell adhesion, migration and division. Recent results showed Myl9 as the only gene differentially expressed in the aged *versus* young injured arteries [52] implying that it may be related to tissue/organ injury and ageing. Therefore, it is possible that the overexpression of Myl9 in pulmonary TCs may play an important role in lung injury and ageing. There were six genes, *e.g.* Pltp, Gzf1, Polr1b, Tasp1, Zbtb34 and Zfp120, down-expressed most in TCs, different from other cells. The Pltp (phospholipid transfer protein) gene is widely expressed in the body, and plays an important role in lipid metabolism, immune modulation, lipopolysaccharide binding or neurodegenerative disease [53]. Pltp is highly expressed within the lung epithelium, in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or pulmonary inflammation [54]. TCs may play an important role of inhibiting inflammation in the lung. Roles of Gzf1 (GDNF-inducible zinc finger protein 1), Polr1b (polymerase (RNA) I polypeptide B), Tasp1

© 2014 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.



(taspase threonine aspartase 1), Zbtb34 (zinc finger and BTB domain containing 34) or Zfp120 (zinc finger protein 120) genes or proteins in the lung remain unclear. Thus, there is a further need to clarify the exact mechanisms and functions of these genes in TCs.

Mouse chromosome 3 has a total number of genes of 993 which encode a total of 669 proteins [47]. Human chromosome 3 has \sim 7% of the human genome probably related with, at least, 121 diseases that are associated with 105 genes [55] and also spanning the third largest amount of total base pairs (199, 446, 827) and represented about 6.5% of the total DNA in cells [56–59]. The chromosome 3 has 1550 genes, of which 567 genes of chromosome 3 were measured by bioinformatics tools in the present study. We showed that there were 13 or 59 up- or down-regulated genes of chromosome 3 in TCs, as compared with Fbs, MSCs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs. There were three genes, *e.g.* Sh3glb1, Tm4sf1 and Csf1, overexpressed in TCs.

Sh3glb1 gene encodes SH3-domain GRB2-like B1 or endophilin, known to have an extremely close relationship with Bax-interacting factor-1 (bif-1) [60, 61], involved in cell survival and proliferation under metabolic stress and evasion of apoptosis. SH3glb1 is a membrane curvature-inducing protein interact with BECN1 though UVRAG and regulates the post-Golgi trafficking of membrane-integrated ATG9A for autophagy. At the premalignant stage, allelic loss of Sh3glb1 could enhance Myc-induced chromosomal instability and



Figure 2 Hierarchical cluster analysis of the differentially expressed genes on chromosomes 2 and 3 among telocytes (TCs), mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), fibroblasts (Fbs), lymphocytes from lungs (T-LL) and from bronchial lymph nodes (T-BL), alveolar type II cells (ATII), proximal airway cells (PAC) and airway basal cells (ABC).

result in the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic proteins, including MCL1 and BCL2L1 [61], being responsible for enabling cells to survive and proliferate under metabolic stress and evasion of apoptosis. Endophilin is a membrane curvature-inducing protein that interacts with autophagy related beclin 1, although UV radiation resistance associated gene (Uvrag) and regulates the post-Golgi trafficking of membraneintegrated autophagy related 9A (Atg9A) protein. At the premalignant stage, allelic loss of Sh3glb1 enhances Myc-induced chromosomal instability and results in the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic proteins, including MCL1 and BCL2L1 [61]. So far, there is no reported association with any lung disease, however, we cannot exclude a role for TCs as having pro-proliferative effects through inhibition of apoptosis as showed in a previous study [41].

Tm4sf1 (transmembrane 4 superfamily member 1) is a distant member of the transmembrane 4 superfamily of cell-surface proteins characterized by the presence of four hydrophobic domains [62]. It is highly expressed in different carcinomas. *e.a.* in lung cancer [62]. and lowly expressed in normal tissues [63]. Colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage) (Csf1) plays an important role in cancer metastasis and invasion. It is highly expressed in different carcinomas and expressed at relatively low levels (if at all) in many normal tissues [63]. High expression of Csf1 can increase metastasis and invasion of pulmonary adenocarcinomas [64]. For example, Tm4sf1 it was up-regulated in human adenocarcinoma A549 cell line, suggesting a poor prognosis for anticancer therapy [65]. Overexpression of TM4SF1 and Csf1 in lung TCs may have a role in the development of lung cancer. Among down-expressed genes in TCs. Pde5a (cGMPspecific phosphodiesterase 5A) has an obvious association with acute and chronic interstitial lung disease. Overexpression of Pde5a may accelerate the formation of pulmonary fibrosis, while downexpression of Pde5a has important roles and effects in pulmonary fibrosis-associated pulmonary hypertension [66, 67]. Therefore, we

© 2014 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine. concluded that Tm4sf1 and Csf1 found to be overexpressed in lung TCs may have a role in tumour promotion. There were nine genes, *e.g.* 1700013F07Rik, Amy1, Anp32e, Dnase2b, Fmo5, Pde5a, Phf17, Rwdd3 and Trim33, down-expressed most in TCs, different from other cells.

Among them, only phosphodiesterase 5a (Pde5a) cGMP-specific gene is obviously associated with acute and chronic interstitial lung disease. Its high expression promotes the pulmonary fibrosis, while the inhibition of Pde5a expression ameliorates right ventricular failure and pulmonary, when is associated with bleomicin, through a reduction in reactive oxygen species [68]. Therefore, Pde5a low expression in lung TCs may have therapeutic effect on pulmonary fibrosis and other acute and chronic interstitial lung disease, probably by modulation of oxidative stress levels, as previously shown [41].

In conclusion, the present study compared genetic variations of chromosome 2 and 3 of pulmonary TCs with other related cells, *e.g.* Fbs, MSCs, ATII, T-BL, T-L, ABCs or PACs. Our data showed a number of TCs-specific or dominant genes in chromosomes 2 and 3, different from other lung tissue resident cells or infiltrated cells. The TCs signatures of chromosome 2 and 3 genes indicate TCs may be mainly associated with anti-inflammatory responses, the prevention of lung cancer formation and development or protective effects on pulmonary fibrosis or acute and chronic interstitial lung diseases.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B115), Zhongshan Distinguished Professor Grant (XDW), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (91230204, 81270099, 81320108001, 81270131), the Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology (12JC1402200, 12431900207, 11410708600), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Z2080988), Zhejiang Provincial Science Technology Department Foundation (2010C14011) and Ministry of Education, Academic Special Science and Research Foundation for PhD Education (20130071110043). This work was partially supported (for DC) by the Sectorial Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government under the contract number POSDRU/89/1.5/S/141531.

Conflicts of interest

The authors confirm that there are no conflicts of interest.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Data S1 Data profiles for all genes.

Data S2 Details of up- or down regulated gene expression variations of chromosome 2 and 3.

Figure S1 Details of the selected core network genes in TCs isolated from the mouse lung and cultured for 5 days in chromosome 2.

Figure S2 Details of the selected core network genes in TCs isolated from the mouse lung and cultured for 10 days in chromosome 2.

Figure S3 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse mesenchymal stem cells in chromosome 2.

Figure S4 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse fibroblasts in chromosome 2.

Figure S5 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse alveolar type II cells in chromosome 2.

Figure S6 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse airway basal cells in chromosome 2.

Figure S7 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse proximal airway cells in chromosome 2.

Figure S8 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse CD8⁺ T cells come from bronchial lymph nodes in chromosome 2.

Figure S9 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse CD8⁺ T cells from lung in chromosome 2.

Figure S10 Details of the selected core network genes in TCs isolated from the mouse lung and cultured for 10 days in chromosome 3.

Figure S11 Details of the selected core network genes in TCs isolated from the mouse lung and cultured for 5 days in chromosome 3.

Figure S12 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse mesenchymal stem cells in chromosome 3.

Figure S13 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse fibroblasts in chromosome 3.

Figure S14 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse alveolar type II cells in chromosome 3.

Figure S15 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse airway basal cells in chromosome 3.

Figure S16 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse proximal airway cells in chromosome 3.

Figure S17 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse $CD8^+$ T cells come from bronchial lymph nodes in chromosome 3.

Figure S18 Details of the selected core network genes in mouse $CD8^+$ T cells from lung in chromosome 3.

References

- Popescu LM, Faussone-Pellegrini MS. TELOCYTES - a case of serendipity: the winding way from Interstitial Cells of Cajal (ICC), via Interstitial Cajal-Like Cells (ICLC) to TELO-CYTES. J Cell Mol Med. 2010; 14: 729–40.
- Suciu L, Popescu LM, Gherghiceanu M, et al. Telocytes in human term placenta: morphology and phenotype. *Cells Tissues Organs.* 2010; 192: 325–39.
- Smythies J, Edelstein L. Telocytes, exosomes, gap junctions and the cytoskeleton: the makings of a primitive nervous system? *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014; 7: 278.
- Diaz-Flores L, Gutierrez R, Garcia MP, et al. CD34+ stromal cells/fibroblasts/fibrocytes/telocytes as a tissue reserve and a principal source of mesenchymal cells. Location, morphology, function and role in pathology. *Histol Histopathol.* 2014; 29: 831–70.
- Popescu LM, Manole CG, Gherghiceanu M, et al. Telocytes in human epicardium. J Cell Mol Med. 2010; 14: 2085–93.
- Gherghiceanu M, Popescu LM. Cardiac telocytes - their junctions and functional implications. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2012; 348: 265–79.
- Yang Y, Sun W, Wu SM, et al. Telocytes in human heart valves. J Cell Mol Med. 2014; 18: 759–65. Doi:10.1111/jcmm.12285.
- Qi G, Lin M, Xu M, *et al.* Telocytes in the human kidney cortex. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2012; 16: 3116–22.
- Zheng Y, Zhu T, Lin M, et al. Telocytes in the urinary system. J Transl Med. 2012; 10: 188.
- Gevaert T, De Vos R, Van Der Aa F, et al. Identification of telocytes in the upper lamina propria of the human urinary tract. J Cell Mol Med. 2012; 16: 2085–93.
- Ceafalan L, Gherghiceanu M, Popescu LM, et al. Telocytes in human skin–are they involved in skin regeneration? J Cell Mol Med. 2012; 16: 1405–20.
- Rusu MC, Pop F, Hostiuc S, et al. Telocytes form networks in normal cardiac tissues. *Histol Histopathol*. 2012; 27: 807–16.
- Luesma MJ, Gherghiceanu M, Popescu LM. Telocytes and stem cells in limbus and uvea of mouse eye. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 1016–24.
- Mou Y, Wang Y, Li J, *et al.* Immunohistochemical characterization and functional identification of mammary gland telocytes in the self-assembly of reconstituted breast cancer tissue *in vitro. J Cell Mol Med.* 2013; 17: 65–75.

- Chen X, Zheng Y, Manole CG, et al. Telocytes in human oesophagus. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 1506–12.
- Vannucchi MG, Traini C, Manetti M, et al. Telocytes express PDGFRalpha in the human gastrointestinal tract. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 1099–108.
- Manole CG, Cismasiu V, Gherghiceanu M, et al. Experimental acute myocardial infarction: telocytes involvement in neo-angiogenesis. J Cell Mol Med. 2011; 15: 2284–96.
- Diaz-Flores L, Gutierrez R, Saez FJ, et al. Telocytes in neuromuscular spindles. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 457–65.
- Cretoiu SM, Simionescu AA, Caravia L, et al. Complex effects of imatinib on spontaneous and oxytocin-induced contractions in human non-pregnant myometrium. Acta Physiol Hung. 2011; 98: 329–38.
- Cretoiu SM, Cretoiu D, Popescu LM. Human myometrium - the ultrastructural 3D network of telocytes. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2012; 16: 2844–9.
- Rosenbaum ST, Svalo J, Nielsen K, et al. Immunolocalization and expression of small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channels in human myometrium. J Cell Mol Med. 2012; 16: 3001–8.
- Cretoiu SM, Cretoiu D, Marin A, et al. Telocytes: ultrastructural, immunohistochemical and electrophysiological characteristics in human myometrium. *Reproduction*. 2013; 145: 357–70.
- Xiao J, Wang F, Liu Z, et al. Telocytes in liver: electron microscopic and immunofluorescent evidence. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 1537–42.
- Hinescu ME, Ardeleanu C, Gherghiceanu M, et al. Interstitial Cajal-like cells in human gallbladder. J Mol Histol. 2007; 38: 275–84.
- Matyja A, Gil K, Pasternak A, et al. Telocytes: new insight into the pathogenesis of gallstone disease. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 734–42.
- Hinescu ME, Gherghiceanu M, Suciu L, et al. Telocytes in pleura: two- and threedimensional imaging by transmission electron microscopy. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2011; 343: 389–97.
- Zheng Y, Li H, Manole CG, et al. Telocytes in trachea and lungs. J Cell Mol Med. 2011; 15: 2262–8.
- Popescu LM, Gherghiceanu M, Suciu LC, et al. Telocytes and putative stem cells in the lungs: electron microscopy, electron tomography and laser scanning microscopy. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2011; 345: 391–403.

- Nicolescu MI, Bucur A, Dinca O, et al. Telocytes in parotid glands. Anat Rec (Hoboken). 2012; 295: 378–85.
- Bosco C, Diaz E, Gutierrez R, et al. Ganglionar nervous cells and telocytes in the pancreas of Octodon degus: extra and intrapancreatic ganglionar cells and telocytes in the degus. Auton Neurosci. 2013; 177: 224–30.
- Popescu BO, Gherghiceanu M, Kostin S, et al. Telocytes in meninges and choroid plexus. *Neurosci Lett.* 2012; 516: 265–9.
- Gherghiceanu M, Popescu LM. Cardiomyocyte precursors and telocytes in epicardial stem cell niche: electron microscope images. J Cell Mol Med. 2010; 14: 871–7.
- Faussone-Pellegrini MS, Bani D. Relationships between telocytes and cardiomyocytes during pre- and post-natal life. J Cell Mol Med. 2010; 14: 1061–3.
- Cretoiu D, Cretoiu SM, Simionescu AA, et al. Telocytes, a distinct type of cell among the stromal cells present in the lamina propria of jejunum. *Histol Histopathol.* 2012; 27: 1067–78.
- Rusu MC, Loreto C, Manoiu VS. Network of telocytes in the temporomandibular joint disc of rats. *Acta Histochem*. 2014; 116: 663–8. Doi:10.1016/j.acthis.2013.12. 005.
- Manetti M, Guiducci S, Ruffo M, et al. Evidence for progressive reduction and loss of telocytes in the dermal cellular network of systemic sclerosis. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 482–96.
- Mirancea N, Morosanu AM, Mirancea GV, et al. Infrastructure of the telocytes from tumor stroma in the skin basal and squamous cell carcinomas. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2013; 54: 1025–37.
- Milia AF, Ruffo M, Manetti M, et al. Telocytes in Crohn's disease. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 1525–36.
- Cismasiu VB, Radu E, Popescu LM. miR-193 expression differentiates telocytes from other stromal cells. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2011; 15: 1071–4.
- Zheng Y, Cretoiu D, Yan G, et al. Comparative proteomic analysis of human lung telocytes with fibroblasts. J Cell Mol Med. 2014; 18: 568–89.
- Zheng Y, Cretoiu D, Yan G, Cretoiu SM, et al. Protein profiling of human lung telocytes and microvascular endothelial cells using iTRAQ quantitative proteomics. J Cell Mol Med. 2014; 18: 1035–59. Doi:10.1111/ jcmm.12350.

- Sun X, Zheng M, Zhang M, et al. Differences in the expression of chromosome 1 genes between lung telocytes and other cells: mesenchymal stem cells, fibroblasts, alveolar type II cells, airway epithelial cells and lymphocytes. J Cell Mol Med. 2014; 18: 801–10.
- Zheng Y, Zhang M, Qian M, et al. Genetic comparison of mouse lung telocytes with mesenchymal stem cells and fibroblasts. J Cell Mol Med. 2013; 17: 567–77.
- Xu Y, Ikegami M, Wang Y, et al. Gene expression and biological processes influenced by deletion of Stat3 in pulmonary type II epithelial cells. BMC Genomics. 2007: 8: 455.
- Tosiek MJ, Gruber AD, Bader SR, et al. CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ regulatory T cells are dispensable for controlling CD8+ T cell-mediated lung inflammation. J Immunol. 2011; 186: 6106–18.
- Hegab AE, Ha VL, Gilbert JL, et al. Novel stem/progenitor cell population from murine tracheal submucosal gland ducts with multipotent regenerative potential. Stem Cells. 2011; 29: 1283–93.
- All data in this table was derived from Vega Genome Browser database, 2008. Available at: http://vega.sanger.ac.uk/ (accessed Aug 15, 2014).
- Hillier LW, Graves TA, Fulton RS, et al. Generation and annotation of the DNA sequences of human chromosomes 2 and 4. *Nature*. 2005; 434: 724–31.
- Meyer M, Kircher M, Gansauge MT, et al. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. Science. 2012; 338: 222–6.
- Jw IJ, Baldini A, Ward DC, et al. Origin of human chromosome 2: an ancestral telomere-telomere fusion. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991; 88: 9051–5.

- Avarello R, Pedicini A, Caiulo A, *et al.* Evidence for an ancestral alphoid domain on the long arm of human chromosome 2. *Hum Genet.* 1992; 89: 247–9.
- Shehadeh LA, Webster KA, Hare JM, et al. Dynamic regulation of vascular myosin light chain (MYL9) with injury and aging. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6: e25855.
- Gautier T, Lagrost L. Plasma PLTP (phospholipid-transfer protein): an emerging role in 'reverse lipopolysaccharide transport' and innate immunity. *Biochem Soc Trans.* 2011; 39: 984–8.
- Brehm A, Geraghty P, Campos M, et al. Cathepsin G degradation of phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP) augments pulmonary inflammation. FASEB J. 2014; 28: 2318–31. Doi:10.1096/fj.13-246843.
- Naylor SL, Garcia DK. Chromosome 3. eLS, John Wiley & Sons, Inc; Hoboken, NJ, USA; 2006. DOI: 10.1038/npg.els. 0005812.
- Pradella S, Hans A, Sproer C, et al. Characterisation, genome size and genetic manipulation of the myxobacterium Sorangium cellulosum So ce56. Arch Microbiol. 2002; 178: 484–92.
- Nakabachi A, Yamashita A, Toh H, et al. The 160-kilobase genome of the bacterial endosymbiont Carsonella. Science. 2006; 314: 267.
- Paux E, Sourdille P, Salse J, et al. A physical map of the 1-gigabase bread wheat chromosome 3B. *Science*. 2008; 322: 101–4.
- Hergenreider E, Heydt S, Treguer K, et al. Atheroprotective communication between endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells through miRNAs. Nat Cell Biol. 2012; 14: 249–56.
- Khan MM, Strack S, Wild F, et al. Role of autophagy, SQSTM1, SH3GLB1, and TRIM63

in the turnover of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Autophagy*. 2014; 10: 123–36.

- Takahashi Y, Young MM, Serfass JM, et al. Sh3glb1/Bif-1 and mitophagy: acquisition of apoptosis resistance during Myc-driven lymphomagenesis. Autophagy. 2013; 9: 1107–9.
- Gordon GJ, Bueno R, Sugarbaker DJ. Genes associated with prognosis after surgery for malignant pleural mesothelioma promote tumor cell survival *in vitro. BMC Cancer.* 2011; 11: 169.
- Oriuchi N, Higuchi T, Hanaoka H, et al. Current status of cancer therapy with radiolabeled monoclonal antibody. Ann Nucl Med. 2005; 19: 355–65.
- Hung JY, Horn D, Woodruff K, et al. Colony-stimulating factor 1 potentiates lung cancer bone metastasis. *Lab Invest.* 2014; 94: 371–81. Doi:10.1038/labinvest.2014.1.
- Seo DC, Sung JM, Cho HJ, et al. Gene expression profiling of cancer stem cell in human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells. *Mol Cancer*. 2007; 6: 75.
- Xu J, Escamilla J, Mok S, et al. CSF1R signaling blockade stanches tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells and improves the efficacy of radiotherapy in prostate cancer. Cancer Res. 2013; 73: 2782–94.
- Cimino D, De Pitta C, Orso F, et al. miR148b is a major coordinator of breast cancer progression in a relapse-associated microRNA signature by targeting ITGA5, ROCK1, PIK3CA, NRAS, and CSF1. FASEB J. 2013; 27: 1223–35.
- Hemnes AR, Zaiman A, Champion HC. PDE5A inhibition attenuates bleomycininduced pulmonary fibrosis and pulmonary hypertension through inhibition of ROS generation and RhoA/Rho kinase activation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2008; 294: L24–33.