The Prevalence of Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) in Patients with Multiple Sclerosis (MS): A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Abstract

Background: This systematic review and meta-analysis aim to update the pooled prevalence of Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS). **Methods:** Two researchers independently and systematically searched PubMed, Scopus, EMBASE, Web of Science, and google scholar. They also searched for references of the included studies, and conference abstracts that were published up to September 2021. **Results:** The literature search revealed 5719 articles, after deleting duplicates 3616 remained. Finally, 17 studies were included. The pooled prevalence of IBD in MS was 1% ($I^2 = 96.3\%$, P < 0.001). The pooled odds ratio of developing IBD in MS cases was 1.36 (95% CI: 1.1-1.6) ($I^2 = 58.3$, P = 0.01). **Conclusions:** The results of this systematic review and meta-analysis show that the pooled prevalence of IBD in MS patients was 1% and the pooled odds ratio of developing IBD in MS cases was 1.36.

Keywords: *Inflammatory bowel disease, multiple sclerosis, prevalence*

Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an inflammatory disease targeting the central nervous system (CNS) mostly affecting youth in productive age. [1,2] Patients with MS have a wide range of physical and psychological co-morbidities. [3-7] These comorbidities are associated with a decreased quality of life, more hospitalization, imposing a cost to both the health system and the patients, and a higher rate of mortality. [8]

Previous studies suggested that the presence of co-morbidities in MS is related to diagnostic delays, more MS-related disability, and a greater risk of disability progression during the disease.^[9]

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) including ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease, is another autoimmune disorder. It is shown that the prevalence of IBD before and after diagnosis is higher in MS patients than in controls. III In recent years, evidence for reciprocal comorbidity of MS and IBD has increased. Literature suggests that MS share genetic risk with IBD but the magnitude of this overlap is not clear. III TNF alpha play role in the pathogenesis of both diseases.

In a previous systematic review and meta-analysis, Kosmidou *et al.*^[16] reported

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

that MS patients have an increased risk of having IBD of 50%. Their study was published in 2017 and in this systematic review, we want to update their results. So, the goal of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to update the pooled prevalence of IBD in MS patients.

Methods

Literature search

Two researchers independently and systematically searched PubMed, Scopus, EMBASE, Web of Science, and google scholar. They also searched for references of the included studies, and conference abstracts published up to September 2021.

Inclusion criteria were

We included cross-sectional studies which had reported the prevalence of IBD (UC/CD) in MS patients.

Exclusion criteria were

Letters to the editor, case-control, case reports, and cross-sectional studies which had no clear data.

Data search and extraction

The search strategy included the MeSH and text words such as ("Disseminated Sclerosis" OR "multiple sclerosis" OR "MS"

How to cite this article: Nasirzadeh A, Jahanshahi R, Ghajarzadeh M, Mohammadi A, Moghadasi AN. The prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Prev Med 2023;14:81.

Amirreza Nasirzadeh, Reza Jahanshahi¹, Mahsa Ghajarzadeh², Aida Mohammadi³, Abdorreza Naser Moghadasi²

Student Research Committee, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran, ¹Department of Neurology, University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran, ²Multiple Sclerosis Research Center, Neuroscience Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, İran, ³Universal Council of Epidemiology (UCE), Universal Scientific Education and Research Network (USERN), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Abdorreza Naser Moghadasi,

Sina Hospital, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: abdorrezamoghadasi@

gmail.com

Access this article online

Website:

www.ijpvmjournal.net/www.ijpm.ir

10.4103/ijpvm.ijpvm_44_22

Quick Response Code:



OR "Acute Fulminating") AND ("IBD" OR "Inflammatory Bowel Disease" OR "Crohn's Enteritis" OR "Regional Enteritis" OR "Crohn's Disease" OR "Granulomatous Enteritis" OR "Ileocolitis" OR "Granulomatous Colitis" OR "Terminal Ileitis" OR "Regional Ileitis" OR "Regional Ileitides" OR "Idiopathic Proctocolitis" OR "Ulcerative Colitis" OR "Colitis Gravis").

Two independent researchers independently evaluated the articles.

Data regarding the total number of participants, first author, publication year, country of origin, mean age, and the number of patients with IBD (UC/CD) was recorded.

Risk of bias assessment

We evaluated the risk of potential bias with the Hoy quality assessment scale (adapted for cross-sectional studies).^[17]

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using STATA (Version 14.0; Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, USA). We used random effects model. The pooled ODDs ratio (OR) was calculated.

To determine heterogeneity, Inconsistency (I²) was calculated.

Results

The literature search revealed 5719 articles, after deleting duplicates 3616 remained. Finally, 17 studies were included [Figure 1].

Finally, 17 articles were included. Totally105155 MS patients and 506423 controls were evaluated.

Basic characteristics of included studies are summarized in Table 1.

The pooled prevalence of IBD in MS was 1% ($I^2 = 96.3\%$, P < 0.001) [Figure 2].

The pooled odds ratio of developing IBD in MS cases was $1.36 \text{ (95\%CI: } 1.1\text{-}1.6) \text{ (I}^2 = 58.3, P = 0.01) \text{ [Figure 3]}.$

The results of Hoy quality assessment in seen in Table 2.

Discussion

The results of this study show that the pooled prevalence of IBD in MS is 1% and the odds of developing IBD in MS cases was 1.36 which shows that MS patients 36% have significantly higher odds of developing IBD.

Kosmidou *et al.*^[16] evaluated both the risk of developing MS in IBD and IBD in MS and found that both IBD and MS patients have a fifty percent increased risk of developing MS or IBD. They estimated the pooled RR of




Figure 1: Flow diagram presenting the selection of eligible studies according to PRISMA 2020 flow diagram




Figure 2: The pooled prevalence of IBD in MS




Figure 3: The pooled odds ratio of developing IBD in MS cases

IBD comorbidity in MS cases as 1.55 (95% CI: 1.32–1.88). The difference between the result of our systematic review and the previous one could be due to the higher number of included studies in our survey. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis showed the risk of developing IBD in MS as 1.53, P < 0.001.[18]

MS patients suffer from a wide range of comorbidities (both physical and psychological) which are associated with

many adverse outcomes such as utilizing health care and imposing costs.

Kirby *et al.*^[19] found that comorbid autoimmune disease is not associated with MS progression except asthma which was related to higher disability status.

Nielsen *et al.*^[20] enrolled 12403 MS and 20 798 controls and found that MS patients were at higher risk of developing

Year Country Study type Male Female F/M ratio Total Primary patients with primary disease 2008 Denmark Cohort - - - - 12403 MS 12403 2008 United States Cross- 2172 6811 3.135819521 8983 MS 12403 2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 929 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 6602 2021 Scribia cross-sectional 2125 6654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 972 2021 Scribia cross-sectional 2224492418 99983 MS 52265 2010 Australia cross-sectional 264 4.2225490196 13668 MS		lable 1:	lable 1: Basic characteristics of the included studies	cteristics	of the inclu	ided studies				
2008 Denmark Cohort Co		Female	F/M ratio	Total	Primary	Number of	Concomitant	Concomitant Number of patients	control	Control
2008 Denmark Cohort - - 12403 MS 12403 2008 United States Cross- 2172 6811 3.135819521 8983 MS 12403 - - - - - 12403 MS 12403 - sectional - - - 12403 MS 12403 - sectional - - - - 12403 MS 12403 - 2020 Australia Cohort 2080 4522 2.17403442 68430 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 6602 2021 Norway Case-Control 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 8779 2021 Serbia cross-sectional 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 658					disease (n)	patients with	disease	with concomitant	(u)	disease (n)
2008 Denmark Cohort - - 12403 MS 12403 2008 United States Cross- 2172 6811 3.135819521 8983 MS 12403 2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 8983 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 660 2011 NorthAmerican cross-sectional 2125 6.54 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 8779 2021 Australia cross-sectional 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 902 2021 Australia cross-sectional 1264 3.24692418 99983 MS 5265 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.25490196 13658 MS 5296	·			,		primary disease		uisease		
2008 United States Cross- 2172 (811) 3.13819521 8983 MS 12403 - sectional sectional 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 8983 - 2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 929 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 101 558 5.24752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 660 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2024 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 5756 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.25440916 <	Cohort -		1	12403	MS	12403	nc	29		ı
2008 United States Cross- 2172 (811) 3.135819521 8983 MS 8983 - 2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 929 - 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.290322581 1464 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 1122 3.290322581 1464 MS 1464 2021 Norway Case-Control 102 3.896440129 1518 MS 673 2021 Australia cross-sectional 204 3.896440129 1518 MS 52265 2021 Canada cross-sectional 1754 3.896440129 1548 9933 MS 5266	ı	,	1	12403	MS	12403	СД	9		,
sectional sectional - 2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.161111111 929 MS 929 - 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 1464 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 660 2021 Australia Cohort 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 8779 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2024 3.24692418 99983 MS 25265 s 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3.774 MS 5296	Cross-	6811	3.135819521	8983	MS	8983	IBD	307	,	,
2020 Australia Cohort 180 749 4.16111111 929 MS 929 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 6602 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.290322581 1464 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 660 2021 Australia Cobort 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 6779 2021 Serbia cross-sectional 17576 2.284692418 9993 MS 2725 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 13658 MS 658 Gould UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 13658 MS 658 gould 201 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3	sectional									
- 2020 Sweden Cohort 2080 4522 2.174038462 68430 MS 6602 2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032581 1464 MS 1464 insen 2021 North American cross-sectional 2125 6654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 8779 2021 Australia Cohort 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 1518 2021 Australia cross-sectional cross-sectional 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 204 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 2050 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 2050 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		749	4.1611111111	929	MS	929	IBD	26	,	1
2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 660 2021 Norway Case-Control 341 1122 3.29032281 1464 MS 1464 2021 Norway Case-Control 302 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 8779 2021 Australia cross-sectional 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 8779 2021 Serbia cross-sectional 2022 MS 2725 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 202 MS 9928 MS 2725 5 2040 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 25265 5 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3		4522	2.174038462	68430	MS	6602	СД	34	61828	294
2017 Iran Case-Control 101 558 5.524752475 1081 MS 660 2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.290322581 1464 MS 1464 2021 Norway Case-Control 322,0322581 1464 MS 1464 2011 North American cross-sectional 2125 6654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 637 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2021 Serbia cross-sectional 2725 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 789 17576 2.284692418 99983 MS 2725 2021 Canada cohort 768 17576 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218	2080	4522	2.174038462	68430	MS	6602	UC	41	61828	436
2021 Canada Cohort 341 1122 3.290322581 1464 MS 1464 nisen 2021 Norway Case-Control 637 MS 637 MS 637 2011 North American cross-sectional 2125 6654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 637 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2021 2725 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 902 MS 2725 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS <		558	5.524752475	1081	MS	099	IBD	5	421	_
msen 2021 Norway Case-Control 654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 637 2011 North American cross-sectional 2021 Australia Cohort 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 8779 2021 Australia cross-sectional 2725 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 902 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 204 454 2.225490196 13658 MS 55265 5 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 13658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3 7738 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS <td></td> <td>1122</td> <td>3.290322581</td> <td>1464</td> <td>MS</td> <td>1464</td> <td>IBD</td> <td>9</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1122	3.290322581	1464	MS	1464	IBD	9		
2011 NorthAmerican cross-sectional 2125 6654 3.13123E+11 8779 MS 8779 2021 Australia cross-sectional 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 1518 MS 1518 2021 Serbia cross-sectional cross-sectional 2725 MS 2725 MS 2725 MS 2019 Australia cross-sectional cohort 7689 17576 2.284692418 99983 MS 902 MS 902 MS 5 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 206 Case-Control 2076 1366 MS 8531 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276	Case-Control			637	MS	637	IBD	~		
2021 Australia Cohort 309 1204 3.896440129 1518 MS 1518 2021 Serbia cross-sectional 2725 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 902 MS 2725 s 2021 Canada cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		6654	3.13123E+11	8779	MS	8779	IBD	06		
2021 Serbia cross-sectional 902 MS 2725 2019 Australia cross-sectional 902 MS 902 3 2021 Canada cohort 7689 17576 2.284692418 99983 MS 25265 5 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		1204	3.896440129	1518	MS	1518	IBD	39		
2019 Australia cross-sectional 902 MS 902 2021 Canada cohort 7689 17576 2.284692418 99983 MS 25265 5 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Gould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 palan 2007 Canada Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5031 sefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276	cross-sectional			2725	MS	2725	IBD	6		
2021 Canada cohort 7689 17576 2.284692418 99983 MS 25265 2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 Sould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 Solation USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 Solation USA Case-Control 2.6 7738 MS 5031 Solation USA Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276	cross-sectional			902	MS	902	IBD	26		
2004 UK Cohort 204 454 2.225490196 136658 MS 658 3ould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 3oalan 2007 Canada Case-Control 2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 50276 2.6 7738 MS 20276 2.75 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		17576	2.284692418	99983	MS	25265	IBD	169	74718	388
3 sould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 3 sould 2010 USA Case-Control 2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 50276 3.7076 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		454	2.225490196	136658	MS	658	UC	5	136000	330
Sould 2010 USA Case-Control 1324 3972 3 31774 MS 5296 Dalan 2007 Canada Case-Control 2.6 7738 MS 5031 20276 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276	204	454	2.225490196	136658	MS	658	СД	2	136000	196
solan Case-Control 2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 5031 3.01 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		3972	С	31774	MS	5296	IBD	42	26478	120
2.6 7738 MS 5031 2.6 7738 MS 5031 6efat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276	Case-Control		2.6	7738	MS	5031	UC	6	2707	4
2.6 7738 MS 5031 cefat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276			2.6	7738	MS	5031	СД	11	2707	4
efat 2012 Sweden Case-Control 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276 7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276			2.6	7738	MS	5031	UC and CD	20	2707	~
7058 13218 1.87276849 224227 MS 20276		13218	1.87276849	224227	MS	20276	UC	113	203951	819
	7058	13218	1.87276849	224227	MS	20276	СД	93	203951	699
109 211 1.935779817 640 MS 320	Case-Control 109	211	1.935779817	640	MS	320	IBD	5	320	0

				Tabl		nality as	le 2: Quality assessment checklist for included studies	ecklist	for incl	uded st	udies						
Risk of bias items	Abbasi <i>et al</i> .	i Edwards <i>et al</i> .	Abbasi Edwards Benjaminsen Castelo- et al. et al. Branco et al.	Castelo- Branco et al.		Marrie <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Ramagopalan <i>et al.</i>	n Maric et al.	Nielsen <i>et al</i> .	Rotsteir et al.	n Parks et al.	Tremlett et al.	Pangan <i>et al.</i> (2021)	n Pangan et al. (2019)	Langer- Marrie Ramagopalan Maric Nielsen Rotstein Parks Tremlett Pangan Pangan Roshanisefat Chen Marrie Gould <i>et al. et al.</i> (2001) (2019)	t Chen [et al. e (Marrie <i>et al.</i> (2008)
Was the study's target population a close representation of the national population in relation to relevant variables, e.g., age, sex, and occupation?	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk high risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low	Low risk	Low I risk r	Low risk
Was the sampling frame a true or close representation of the target population?	Low risk	High risl	High risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low I risk r	Low risk
orm of ction of the was a rtaken?	Low risk	High risl	High risk High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk High risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	High I risk r	Low risk
Was the likelihood of non-response bias minimal?	Low risk	High risl	High risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High I risk r	Low risk
Were data collected Low directly from risk the subjects (as opposed to a proxy)?	Low risk	High risl	High risk High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low risk	Low risk	High risk	Low I risk r	Low risk
cceptable nition used idy?	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low I risk r	Low risk
Was the study instrument that measured the parameter of interest (e.g. prevalence of low back pain) shown to have reliability and validity (if necessary)?	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low I risk r	Low risk

							Table 2: Contd	ntd								
Risk of bias items Abbasi Edwards Benjaminsen Castelo- Langer- Marrie Ramagopalan Maric Nielsen Rotstein Parks Tremlett Pangan Pangan Roshanisefat Chen Marri	Abba	si Edwards	Benjaminsen	Castelo-	Langer-	Marrie	Ramagopalan	Maric	Nielsen	Rotstein	Parks	Tremlett Panga	an Panga	n Roshanisefat	t Chen N	<u> </u>
	et al.	et al. et al. et al.	et al.	Branco Gould	Gould	et al.	et al.	et al.	et al.	et al. et al. et al.	et al.	et al. et al. et al.	et al.	et al.	et al. et al.	t al.
				et al. et al.		(2011)						(2021	(2021) (2019)		ت	(2008)
Was the same mode Low Low risk Low risk	Low	Low risk		Low	Low		Low risk	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low Low risk Low	Low	Low risk	Low L	Low
of data collection risk	risk			risk	risk	risk		risk risk	risk	risk	risk	risk	risk		risk ri	risk
used for all																
subjects?																
Were the	Low	Low Low risk Low risk		Low	Low	Low	Low risk	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low Low risk Low	Low	Low risk	Low L	MO'
numerator(s) and	risk			risk	risk	risk			risk	risk	risk	risk	risk			risk
denominator(s) for																
the parameter of																
interest appropriate																
Summary on the	Low	Moderate	Moderate Low risk	Low	Low	Low	Low risk	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low Low risk Low	Low	Low risk	Low L	Low
overall risk of	risk	risk		risk	risk	risk			risk	risk	risk	risk	risk			isk
study bias																

ulcerative colitis (RR = 2). They also found that the first degree of MS patients is at higher risk of developing Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.

Castelo-Branco *et al.*^[21] enrolled 6602 MS patients and 61,828 healthy subjects and reported no significant difference in the frequency of UC and CD between the two groups.

The co-occurrence of IBD and MS could be explained by both genetic (single-nucleotide polymorphisms such as (rs13428812), UC (rs116555563) and CD (rs13428812, rs9977672)) and environmental risk factors (smoking, cold climate, socioeconomic status). [14,22-24] Yang *et al.*, [14] using Mendelian randomization found evidence for the causal effect of MS on UC and IBD.

In a review which was conducted by Katsanos *et al.*, [25] it was suggested that IBD cases have demyelinating events in both peripheral and central nervous systems and there is no exact evidence to decide if anti-TNF- α therapies result in developing demyelination or not.

As both MS and IBD are chronic inflammatory diseases there is no exact evidence that which of them preceded the other. The role of brain-gut interaction should not be ignored.

In a study by Lange and Shiner, jejunal biopsies of MS patients demonstrated intestinal inflammatory cell infiltration and villous atrophy.^[26]

Kosmidou *et al.*^[16] in their systematic review and meta-analysis found that the risk of developing IBD in MS cases and vice versa is similar in included studies.

The only point is that clinicians should consider gastrointestinal manifestations in MS cases.

IBD is a group of inflammatory relapsing autoimmune diseases that is the result of dysregulation of the adaptive and innate immune systems. In both MS and IBD, IL-17 level is high which prominent the role of T helper 17 in the pathogenesis of both diseases.^[27] MS and IBD have relapsing and remitting nature and evidence shows that MS medications such as interferons or rituximab could start or exacerbate the IBD in MS patients.^[27,28]

Both neurologists and gastroenterologists should be aware of MS or IBD comorbidity to consider better therapy and follow-up.

This systematic review and meta-analysis have some strengths. First, it is the first study. Second, the number of included studies is high. But, prospective cohort studies should be done to assess the incidence of IBD in MS.

Conclusion

The result of this systematic review and meta-analysis shows that the pooled prevalence of IBD in MS patients was 1% The pooled odds ratio of developing IBD in MS cases was 1.36.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Received: 07 Feb 22 Accepted: 27 Oct 22

Published: 22 Jun 23

References

- Ghajarzadeh M, Mahsa O, Sauraian MA, Moghadasi AN, Azimi A. Emotional intelligence (EI) of patients with multiple sclerosis (MS). Iran J Public Health 2014;43:1550-6.
- Mirmosayyeb O, Shaygannejad V, Nehzat N, Mohammadi A, Ghajarzadeh M. Prevalence of seizure/epilepsy in patients with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Prev Med 2021;12:14.
- Azimi A, Hanaei S, Sahraian MA, Mohammadifar M, Ramagopalan SV, Ghajarzadeh M. Prevalence of sexual dysfunction in women with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Maedica 2019;14:408-12.
- Ghajarzadeh M, Jalilian R, Eskandari G, Sahraian MA, Azimi A, Mohammadifar M. Fatigue in multiple sclerosis: Relationship with disease duration, physical disability, disease pattern, age and sex. Acta Neurologica Belgica 2013;113:411-4.
- Ghajarzadeh M, Mohammadi A, Sahraian MA. Risk of cancer in multiple sclerosis (MS): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Autoimmun Rev 2020;19:102650. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev. 2020.102650.
- Jalilian R, Ghajarzadeh M, Fateh R, Togha M, Sahraian MA, Azimi A. Comparison of sleep quality in women with migraine moreover, multiple sclerosis. Acta Medica Iranica 2014;52:690-3.
- Azimi A, Doosti R, Samani SMV, Roostaei B, Gashti SH, Navardi S, et al. Psychometric properties of the persian version of the PARADISE-24 questionnaire. Int J Prev Med 2021;12:50.
- Braunstein JB, Anderson GF, Gerstenblith G, Weller W, Niefeld M, Herbert R, et al. Noncardiac comorbidity increases preventable hospitalizations and mortality among Medicare beneficiaries with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1226-33.
- Marrie R, Horwitz R, Cutter G, Tyry T, Campagnolo D, Vollmer T. Comorbidity delays diagnosis and increases disability at diagnosis in MS. Neurology 2009;72:117-24.
- Kelsen JR, Russo P, Sullivan KE. Early-onset inflammatory bowel disease. Immunol Allergy Clin 2019;39:63-79.
- Marrie RA, Reider N, Cohen J, Stuve O, Sorensen PS, Cutter G, et al. A systematic review of the incidence and prevalence of autoimmune disease in multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler J 2015;21:282-93.
- Alkhawajah MM, Caminero AB, Freeman HJ, Oger JJ. Multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel diseases: What we know and what we would need to know! Mult Scler J 2013;19:259-65.
- Kimura K, Hunter SF, Thollander MS, Loftus EV Jr, Melton III LJ, O'Brien PC, et al. Concurrence of inflammatory bowel disease and multiple sclerosis. Mayo Clinic Proc 2000;75:802-6.
- 14. Yang Y, Musco H, Simpson-Yap S, Zhu Z, Wang Y, Lin X, et al. Investigating the shared genetic architecture between multiple

- sclerosis and inflammatory bowel diseases. Nat Commun 2021;12:1-12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25768-0.
- Kollias G, Douni E, Kassiotis G, Kontoyiannis D. On the role of tumor necrosis factor and receptors in models of multiorgan failure, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease. Immunol Rev 1999;169:175-94.
- Kosmidou M, Katsanos AH, Katsanos KH, Kyritsis AP, Tsivgoulis G, Christodoulou D, et al. Multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel diseases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol 2017;264:254-9.
- Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, Blyth F, March L, Bain C, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: Modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:934-9.
- Wang X, Wan J, Wang M, Zhang Y, Wu K, Yang F. Multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2022;9:132-40.
- Kirby S, Brown M, Murray T, Andreou P, Fisk J, Stadnyk K, et al., editors. Progression of multiple sclerosis in patients with other autoimmune diseases. Multiple Sclerosis. London NW1 3BH, England: Hodder Arnold, Hodder Headline Plc 338 Euston Road; 2005;11:S28-9.
- Nielsen NM, Frisch M, Rostgaard K, Wohlfahrt J, Hjalgrim H, Koch-Henriksen N, et al. Autoimmune diseases in patients with multiple sclerosis and their first-degree relatives: A nationwide cohort study in Denmark. Mult Scler J 2008;14:823-9.
- Castelo-Branco A, Chiesa F, Bengtsson CE, Lee S, Minton NN, Niemcryk S, et al. Non-infectious comorbidity in patients with multiple sclerosis: A national cohort study in Sweden. Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin 2020;6:2055217320947761. doi: 10.1177/2055217320947761.
- Gupta G, Gelfand JM, Lewis JD. Increased risk for demyelinating diseases in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2005;129:819-26.
- Minuk G, Lewkonia R. Possible familial association of multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease. N Engl J Med 1986;314:586.
- 24. De Felice KM, Novotna M, Enders FT, Faubion WA, Tremaine WJ, Kantarci OH, et al. Idiopathic inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Retrospective analysis of 9095 patients. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:99-107.
- Katsanos AH, Katsanos KH. Inflammatory bowel disease and demyelination: More than just a coincidence? Exp Rev Clin Immunol 2014;10:363-73.
- Camara-Lemarroy CR, Metz L, Meddings JB, Sharkey KA, Wee Yong V. The intestinal barrier in multiple sclerosis: Implications for pathophysiology and therapeutics. Brain 2018;141:1900-16.
- Shahmohammadi S, Sahraian MA, Shahmohammadi A, Doosti R, Zare-Mirzaie A, Naser Moghadasi A. A presentation of ulcerative colitis after rituximab therapy in a patient with multiple sclerosis and literature review. Mult Scler Relat Disord 2018;22:22-6.
- Lin CH, Kadakia S, Frieri M. New insights into an autoimmune mechanism, pharmacological treatment and relationship between multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel disease. Autoimmun Rev 2014;13:114-6.