RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Analyses of medical coping styles and related factors among female patients undergoing in vitro fertilization and embryonic transfer

#### Liwen Shen<sup>1,2</sup>, Lanfeng Xing<sup>3</sup>\*

1 Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China, 2 Department of Center for Reproductive Medicine, Huzhou Maternity & Child Health Care Hospital, Huzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China, 3 Department of Reproductive Endocrinology, Branch of National Clinical Research Center for Obstetrics & Gynecology, Women's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* xinglf@zju.edu.cn

# Abstract

# Objective

This study investigated the medical coping styles of female patients treated with *in vitro* fertilization and embryonic transfer (IVF-ET), and analyzed the effects of alexithymia and social support on their choice of coping style.

# Methods

A survey was conducted with 285 female patients undergoing IVF-ET in a reproductive medical center of a third-grade class-A hospital in China using the Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire, the Social Support Rating Scale, and the Toronto Alexithymia scale.

## Results

Patients who underwent IVF-ET treatment had a higher score for avoidance as a coping mode than did normal controls. Utilization of social support predicted the use of confrontation as a coping style. Difficulty identifying feelings, objective support, and utilization of social support were factors in the choice of avoidance as a coping style, and length of infertility treatment, difficulty identifying feelings, and subjective support predicted patients' use of the acceptance-resignation as a coping style.

# Conclusion

Patients who undergo IVF-ET generally select the coping style of avoidance, which is not conducive to treatment. Targeted intervention strategies should be developed based on the factors influencing patients' choice of coping style(s) to guide them in choosing positive coping methods, improve compliance, and achieve successful pregnancy outcomes.



# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Shen L, Xing L (2020) Analyses of medical coping styles and related factors among female patients undergoing in vitro fertilization and embryonic transfer. PLoS ONE 15(4): e0231033. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033

**Editor:** Yang Yu, Peking University Third Hospital, CHINA

Received: August 25, 2019

Accepted: March 14, 2020

Published: April 3, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Shen, Xing. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Introduction

*In vitro* fertilization and embryonic transfer (IVF-ET) technology, one of the most significant scientific advances of the 20th century, is a major breakthrough in the field of assisted reproductive technology and a sign of hope for fertility among infertile women. However, due to the invasive nature of the procedure and uncertain outcome, patients can experience severe psychological stress [1]. Studies have shown that [2] the level of psychological stress is related to pregnancy outcomes of the infertility treatment, and that excessive stress is not conducive to pregnancy. Coping involves a variety of strategies and methods for dealing with threatening stressors and for alleviating or eliminating stress [3]. The choice of coping strategy determines whether patients in treatment of infertility adopt a positive or negative attitude to face infertility and comply with treatment, which ultimately affects the future course of treatment and even the success of the IVF-ET treatment [4, 5]. Although several studies have found a correlation between medical coping style and multiple psychological and emotional characteristics in female patients treated with IVF-ET [6], there are few studies on the factors influencing the choice of medical coping styles.

Social support has been reported to improve a variety of negative emotions and mental states, and help individuals with chronic conditions adapt psychologically and behaviorally [7]. Multiple studies have shown that depression and anxiety are common among infertile women [8]. Depression and anxiety are often accompanied by alexithymia [9], which is difficult to distinguish from personality traits or emotions. This study aimed to examine the medical coping style of patients undergoing IVF-ET treatment and analyze the influence of alexithymia and social support on their selection of medical coping styles to provide evidence-based recommendations for how to help patients adopt effective strategies to cope with medical issues and to improve their compliance with treatment.

#### Materials and methods

#### Ethics statement

The Ethics Committee of the obstetrics and gynecology hospital affiliated with Zhejiang University approved this study(Ethics approval number:20180067). All the study participants signed the informed forms before filling in the questionnaire, which ensured that the respondents voluntarily participated in the survey with full knowledge. All the questionnaires were completed in anonymously.

#### **Participants**

This cross-sectional study was conducted with female patients who were treated with IVF-ET in the reproductive endocrinology clinic of a hospital. Data were collected from June 2018 to August 2018. The criteria for inclusion were as follows: age 20–40 years old; junior high school or higher educational level; the ability to read and answer questions independently; and voluntary participation in the survey. The exclusion criteria were as follows: experienced a major life event in the past 6 months; diagnosed with a severe physical or mental disorder; history of receiving donor sperm for IVF; history of a pre-implantation genetic diagnosis or pre-implantation genetic screening.

#### Survey instruments

The researchers designed a general questionnaire after consulting the relevant literature. It consists of 13 items to collected data on respondents' age, educational level, family's annual

income, length of marriage, cause of infertility, and birth history (number of children) of the infertile patients.

The Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire, developed by Feifel et al., [10] is one of the few scales designed to assess coping styles of patients' who are experiencing medical events.Qianjin Jiang [11] adapted and standardized the Chinese version with consideration of China's cultural characteristics. The scale consists of 20 items that measure three dimensions (coping modes). Each item is scored on a 4-point scale ranging from 1 to 4. The three dimensions are confrontation, avoidance, and acceptance-resignation. A higher the cumulative score on each dimension indicates a greater likelihood that the respondent will use the corresponding coping mode.

The Toronto Alexithymia Scale was developed by Taylor et al<sup>[12]</sup>, and adapted for use with Chinese samples by Yonggui Yuan [13]. The scale is divided into three dimensions: difficulty identifying feelings (DIF), difficulty describing feelings (DDF), and externally oriented thinking (EOT). The scale yields dimension scores and a total score.

The Social Support Rating Scale was developed in China by Shuiyuan Xiao [14], and is widely used in studies on social support conducted in China. The scale has 10 items that measure three dimensions: objective support, subjective support, and utilization of support. The ratings of the items are summed for a total score of social support, with a higher score indicating better social support.

#### Study procedures

Before the investigation was officially launched, training was conducted for the investigators who distributed the questionnaires, which contained an explanation of the study's objectives. The patients signed the informed consent form at the same time the questionnaire was distributed to ensure their participation was voluntary and anonymous, and that their consent was given with their full knowledge of the study. Patients returned their questionnaires immediately after they completed them.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). The descriptive data collected in the general questionnaire are summarized as frequencies and percentages. The total score for each scale and the scores on all of their dimensions are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. General demographic differences in medical coping modes were analyzed using the independent- samples t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Pearson's correlations were used to analyze the relationships between alexithymia, social support, and medical coping modes. General demographic data, alexithymia, and the impact of social support on medical coping modes were analyzed using linear regression.

#### Results

In this study, 320 questionnaires were distributed, 304 were returned and 19 invalid questionnaires were eliminated; 285 valid questionnaires were analyzed, with a return rate of 89.06%. Table 1 presents participants' demographic data, clinical history, and treatment for infertility.

#### Medical coping modes of patients treated with IVF-ET and normal controls

The use of avoidance as a coping mode was significantly higher among the patients treated with IVF-ET than the normal controls [11]. The use of acceptance-resignation was lower in the patients than the normal controls, but the difference was marginal, as shown in Table 2.

Age (yara)     20-34     213     7.47       Ba30     210     25.00     25.00       Residence     City     137     45.10       Toron     78.00     27.40     25.00       Isite only with hashand and in-laws     107     86.00       Live with hashand and in-laws     20     7.70       Live with hashand and premise     20.00     32.00       Colleges Kachelor's degree     100     32.00       Colleges Cachelor     20     20.00 <th>Variable</th> <th>Group</th> <th>Number (persons)</th> <th>Percentage %</th>	Variable	Group	Number (persons)	Percentage %
Sedence725.3ResidenceCity1374.1Toron70027.427.4Neral arcs3023.624.6Living arrangementEverolly blushand and in laws8730.5Everolly blushand and in laws8730.530.5Everolly blushand in laws, and husband's brothers, and blushand's brothers	Age (years)	20~34	213	74.7
Residunce Impairment Impairment Impairment Impairment   Living arrangement Incomposition loss Impairment Impairment   Living husband and parents Impairment Impairment   Living husband in-lows, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or Impairment Impairment   Living husband, in-lows, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or Impairment Impairment   Living husband, in-lows, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or Impairment Impairment   Call Call Call Call Call Call Call Call		35~39	72	25.3
Town     Factor     Factor     Factor       Rual area     06     586       Living arrangement     Live only with husband and in-laws     87     586       Live with husband, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or     9     32       Fall     Live with husband, and areas     12     439       Collego/Bachdor's degree     130     56.     56.       Goulder and alow     63     56.     56.       Worker     11     39     36.       Gorenment efficial     64     14.     36.       Gorenment efficial personnel     16     56.     13.       Annual household income (hern hors)     34     36.     36.       Annual household income (hern hors)     34.     36.     36.       Annual household income (hern hors)     34.     36.     36.       Annual household income (hern hors)     36.     36.	Residence	City	137	48.1
Initial paragementReal area90246Live with hushand and n-laws87305Live with hushand and n-laws87305Live with hushand and n-laws2277Live with hushand and parents2277Live with hushand and n-laws125439Live with hushand and n-laws125439Live with hushand and parents126439Calleger Bechelo's degree15052.6Calleger Bechelo's degree16035.0Calleger Bechelo's degree16035.0Calleger Bechelo's degree1139.0Fingle Bechelo's degree1139.0Fingle Gerchelo's degree124.0Gore Carlea davo124.0Gore Carlea davo124.0Gore Carlea davo1230.0Gore Carlea davo1230.0Gore Carlea davo1230.0Gore Carlea davo1214.7Marker david Beronnel1214.7Marker david Beronnel1214.7Manual househol income (ter through35.012.3Juan david Beronnel1214.7Juan david Beronnel12.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Beronnel13.012.3Juan david Be		Town	78	27.4
Living arrangementIs with husband and in-lawsIs of aSelectIce with husband and in-laws8737Ice with husband and an entris277Ice with husband and husband's bothers, and their children, addres32Ice with husband, husband husband's bothers, and their children, addres32Ice with husband and parents1032Ice with husband parents1032 <td></td> <td>Rural area</td> <td>20</td> <td>24.6</td>		Rural area	20	24.6
Investit husband and n-laws8730.5Ive with husband, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and227.7Ive with husband, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and3.23.2Educational levelInici right school, high school, ne secondary school12.54.3.9Callege/Bachelo's degree1505.2.65.2.6Graduat and abov103.55.6More113.93.2FamploymentYorker11.03.9Government official9.03.23.2Government official9.03.23.2Government official9.03.23.2Horelea16.05.03.2Self-endpord16.05.03.2Horelea13.012.33.2Annual household income (ten thousand yaan)3.53.63.1Jason3.43.53.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.43.23.23.2Jason3.23.23.23.2Jason3.23.2 <td< td=""><td>Living arrangement</td><td>Live only with husband</td><td>167</td><td>58.6</td></td<>	Living arrangement	Live only with husband	167	58.6
Ice with hashand, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or227.7Ice with hashand, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or93.2Educational levelInto it high school, ng scondary school1254.5Ice gel/Sachdor's degree1303.2Graduate and alove103.5More103.9Famer414Office clerk943.2Tenner43.2Tencher103.2Tencher103.2Tencher103.2Tencher103.2Tencher103.2Tencher103.2Tencher101.2Motical personnal165.2Seroment official301.2Tencher103.2Annual household income (ten thomage)3.61.2Juan2.58.31.2Juan2.58.31.2Juan4.33.21.2Juan2.58.31.2Juan3.61.21.2Juan2.51.21.2Juan2.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2Juan2.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2Juan3.51.21.2 </td <td></td> <td>Live with husband and in-laws</td> <td>87</td> <td>30.5</td>		Live with husband and in-laws	87	30.5
Ive with hisband, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or93.2Fiducational level[union high school, or secondary school1254.3.9College/Bachleo's degree1505.6Graduate and above105.5More5318.6Worker5318.6More41.4Office clerk943.2Government official93.2Heacher117.4Medical personnel165.6Scif-emplayed165.6Scif-emplayed2014.7Others5312.3Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)6.38.83-83-83.83.83-83-83.83.83-83-83.83.83-83.93.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-83.83.83.83-93.43.83.83-83.83.83.83-93.93.83.83-103.51.83.13-103.13.13.13-103.13.13.1 <td></td> <td>Live with husband and parents</td> <td>22</td> <td>7.7</td>		Live with husband and parents	22	7.7
Bélucational levelInuiro high school, or secondary school12543.9College/Bacheo's degree15052.6Graduate and above1053.0BemploymentNone5318.6Worker3139.0Farmer41.4Office clerk9433.0Government official9432.0Facher165.6Self-employed2616.0Medical personnel165.6Self-employed258.8Juanna258.8Juanna53.012.3Annual household income (ten thoussand yuan)4325.0Self-employed58.8Juanna16.035.1Juanna15.822.5Self-employed2225.3Juanna16.035.1Juanna16.037.2Self-employed5.016.0Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.037.2Juanna16.016.1Juanna16.115.4Juann		Live with husband, in-laws, and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or others.	9	3.2
College/Bachlor's degree15052.6Graduate ad above103.5Graduate ad above103.6None5316.0Worker113.9Farmer41.4Greenent official93.2Government official93.2Heislal personnel16.05.0Selfenployed21.05.0Merical personnel16.05.0Selfenployed20.01.0Janau houshold income (fen thouse)3.03.0Janau household income (fen thousehold income (fe	Educational level	Junior high school, high school, or secondary school	125	43.9
Induct and above     Index     Side       Employment     Nor     Side     Side       Vorkar     Index     Side     Side       Farmer     Index     Side     Side       Order derb     Greich     Side     Side       Teacher     Index     Side     Side       Medical personnel     Index     Side     Side       Method personnel     Side     Side     Side       Method personnel     Index     Side     Side       Manual household income (ten thousend     Side     Side     Side       Manual household income (ten thousend)     Side     Side     Side       Manual household income (ten thousend)     Side     Side     Side       Manual household income (ten thousend)     Side     Side     Side       Manual household income (		College/Bachelor's degree	150	52.6
Binployment     None     53     18.6       Worker     11     39       Farmer     14     31       Office clerk     94     33       Government official     9     32       Teacher     21     7.4       Medical personnel     160     5.6       Self-employed     22     14.7       Others     35     12.3       Annual household income (ren thousand yuan)     3-8     8.8       3-8     3-8     29.5       9-14     100     35.1       15-80     163     5.2       9-14     100     35.1       15-80     163     5.2       9-14     100     35.1       15-80     163     5.2       16     5.1     1.4       15-80     1.4     1.4       16     5.6     1.4       15     1.4     1.4       16     1.4     1.4       16     1.4     1.4       16 <td></td> <td>Graduate and above</td> <td>10</td> <td>3.5</td>		Graduate and above	10	3.5
Worker113.9Farmer41.4Farmer41.4Office clerk93.2Government official93.2Facher21.07.4Medical personnel165.6Self-employed2214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thoussal yuan)3.825.59-1410035.115-807225.39-1410035.115-8010035.115-80165.2205.10165.2165.10165.2101016.15.2101017.91.4115.1010.11.910165.61.3105.101.31.3105.101.31.31110.11.41.1121.01.11.1145.101.31.31510.31.31.3165.61.31.3171.41.11.118None1.31.319None1.31.3191.41.41.1101.41.41.1111.41.11.1121.11.11.1131.11.11.1141.11.11.115 <td>Employment</td> <td>None</td> <td>53</td> <td>18.6</td>	Employment	None	53	18.6
Farmer41.4Office clck943.3Government official93.2Teacher217.4Medical personnel217.4Medical personnel211.0Self-employed421.47Others351.2.3Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)32.53-88.429.59-141003.115-8012.32.515-8012.33.115-8012.33.115-801635.22-101635.25-101635.25-101635.25-101645.22-41.01.65-101635.11605.11.35-101.31.35-101.65.61605.11.317.05.11.318119.01.35-101.31.319.01.41.410.051.310.051.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.310.01.01.3		Worker	11	3.9
Office clerk9433Government official932Teacher217.4Hedical personnel165.6Self-employed4214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thousan yuan)3-825.03-83-88429.59-1410035.115-807225.35-101635.25-101635.25-101665.65-101665.65-101665.65-10165.65-10165.65-10165.665.119.310519.310519.35-1016.15.15-1016.15.15-1017.46.15-1016.15.15-1016.15.15-1016.15.15-1016.15.15-1016.15.15-1016.116.15-1017.46.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.316.15-1019.3 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Farmer</td><td>4</td><td>1.4</td></td<>		Farmer	4	1.4
Government official93.2Tacher217.4Tacher217.4Medical personnel165.6Self-employed4214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)3.82.58.83-83-8.18.42.953-8.110.035.13.115-8010.035.13.115-8010.035.13.115-8016.37.22.5255.116.37.226.25.116.37.227.15.11.65.110165.61.31010.11.41.4115.11.31.3125.11.31.31310.15.11.3141.41.41.4141.41.41.4155.11.31.3161.51.31.3175.11.31.3181.61.61.5141.41.41.5151.31.51.5161.61.61.5175.11.51.5181.61.61.5191.61.61.5101.61.61.6111.61.61.6121.61.61.6131.6 <td></td> <td>Office clerk</td> <td>94</td> <td>33</td>		Office clerk	94	33
Facher217.4Medical personnel165.6Medical personnel165.6Generployed4214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)2.38.83-83-88429.59-1410035.110035.112.358041.41015.25.15-1010637.25-1010637.22-41065.1105.117.92-45.119.35-105.119.35-105.119.35-105.119.3105.115.1110.05.112105.11310.515.11415.41515.115.11615.115.11615.115.11615.115.11615.115.11415.115.11513.115.11615.115.11715.115.11816.115.1191114.11915.115.1111415.11214.114.11415.115.11515.115.11615.115.11715.115.11816.115.1 <td></td> <td>Government official</td> <td>9</td> <td>3.2</td>		Government official	9	3.2
Medical personnel165.6Self-employed4214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thousang yuan)3-8258.83-83-88429.59-1410035.13.115-807225.33.1>8041.41.4Length of the marriage (years)516357.25-101663.73.2>10165.63.2>10165.61.12-41.11.11.12-41.11.11.1>1051.81.3Birth history (number of children)None2378.2Mone children and more4415.41.4Mone children15755.11.3Affactor3.01.01.52-33.11.44.9Male factor1.44.91.5Male factor1.44.44.5Male factor1.44.51.5Male factor1.44.51.5Male factor1.44.51.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.5Male factor1.63.61.6 <td></td> <td>Teacher</td> <td>21</td> <td>7.4</td>		Teacher	21	7.4
Self-employed4214.7Others3512.3Annual household income (ten thousang yuan)3.3258.83-8-3.68429.59-1410035.13.115-807225.33.026.016357.23.127.05.1010637.227.05.101063.727.15.101065.62.41.41.41.42.41.41.41.42.55.101.65.62.65.105.11.32.65.11.31.33.15.11.31.33.15.11.31.33.16.15.11.33.16.15.11.33.16.15.11.33.17.23.11.33.17.23.11.33.17.25.11.33.17.25.11.33.17.25.11.33.17.25.11.33.17.25.11.33.27.25.11.33.37.25.11.33.47.25.11.33.57.33.23.13.67.43.11.53.77.33.33.13.87.33.33.13.97.33.33.1		Medical personnel	16	5.6
Others     35     12.3       Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)     <3		Self-employed	42	14.7
Annual household income (ten thousand yuan)     <3		Others	35	12.3
yuan)3-88429.59-1410035.115-807225.3>8041.4Length of the marriage (years)<5	Annual household income (ten thousand	<3	25	8.8
9-1410035.115-807225.3>8041.4Length of the marriage (years)<5	yuan)	3~8	84	29.5
15-807225.3>8041.4Length of the marriage (years)<5		9~14	100	35.1
>8041.4Length of the marriage (years)<5		15~80	72	25.3
Length of the marriage (years)     <5     5-10     106     37.2       >10     >10     37.2     37.2     37.2       >10     16     5.6     5.6       Length of infertility (years)     <2		>80	4	1.4
5-10     106     37.2       >10     16     5.6       Length of infertility (years)     <2	Length of the marriage (years)	<5	163	57.2
>1050Length of infertility (years)<2		5~10	106	37.2
		>10	16	5.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Length of infertility (years)	<2	51	17.9
		2~4	174	61.1
>1051.8Birth history (number of children)None23783.2One childTwo children and more4415.4History of abortion (number)None15755.11None10.5223010.523010.52144.9Cause of infertilityFemale factor18464.6Male factors238.1Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4		5~10	55	19.3
Birth history (number of children)None23783.2One child		>10	5	1.8
One childIncomeTwo children and more4415.4History of abortion (number)None15755.1118429.523010.523010.523144.9Cause of infertilityFemale factor18464.6Male factor238.1Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4	Birth history (number of children)	None	237	83.2
Image: None4415.4History of abortion (number)None15755.1118429.523010.523010.523144.9Cause of infertilityFemale factor18464.6Male factor238.1Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4	·	One child		
History of abortion (number)     None     157     55.1       1     84     29.5       2     30     10.5       >3     14     4.9       Cause of infertility     Female factor     184     64.6       Male factor     23     8.1       Both factors     37     13       Unknown reason     41     14.4		Two children and more	44	15.4
	History of abortion (number)	None	157	55.1
		1	84	29.5
≥3     14     4.9       Cause of infertility     Female factor     184     64.6       Male factor     23     8.1       Both factors     37     13       Unknown reason     41     14.4		2	30	10.5
Cause of infertilityFemale factor18464.6Male factor238.1Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4		≥3	14	4.9
Male factor238.1Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4	Cause of infertility	Female factor	184	64.6
Both factors3713Unknown reason4114.4	,	Male factor	23	8.1
Unknown reason 41 14.4		Both factors	37	13
		Unknown reason	41	14.4

#### Table 1. Participants' demographic and clinical characteristics.

(Continued)

#### Table 1. (Continued)

Variable	Group	Number (persons)	Percentage %
Length of infertility treatment (years)	<1	108	37.9
	1~3 years	139	48.8
	>3	38	13.3
Infertility type	Primary infertility	149	52.3
	Secondary infertility	136	47.7

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033.t001

#### Medical coping modes and characteristics of patients treated with IVF-ET

The univariate analysis of the medical coping modes and demographic and clinical characteristics of patients treated with IVF-ET showed that length of the marriage, birth history, length of infertility treatment, and type of infertility of the patients who used acceptance-resignation as a coping mode were significantly different from that of other patients (Table 3).

# Correlations of medical coping modes with alexithymia among patients treated with IVF-ET

<u>Table 4</u> shows the correlations of medical coping modes with the alexithymia total and dimension scores of the patients treated with IVF-ET. The alexithymia total score had a significant positive correlation with acceptance-resignation, DIF had a significant positive correlation with avoidance and acceptance-resignation, and EOT had a significant negative correlation with confrontation.

Table 5 shows the analysis of the correlations between social support and the coping modes of the patients treated with IVF-ET. Total social support and all three dimensions of social support had significant negative correlations with acceptance-resignation and significant positive correlations with avoidance. All measures except objective support also had positive significant positive correlations with confrontation.

# Multivariate regression of medical coping modes on the demographic and clinical factors

The statistically significant variables identified in the univariate analysis (length of marriage, birth history, length of infertility treatment, and infertility type), were the independent variables in the regression analysis and acceptance-resignation was the dependent variable (Table 6). The results showed that length of infertility treatment significantly predicted the use of acceptance-resignation as a coping mode by the patients treated with IVF-ET.

Items	IVF-ET	Normal	t	Р
Confrontation	19.22±3.00	19.48±3.81	-1.435	0.153
Avoidance	15.72±2.45	14.44±2.97	8.80	< 0.001
Acceptance-resignation	8.54±2.38	8.81±3.17	-1.89	0.06

IVF-ET, in vitro fertilization and embryonic transfer.

Items	Groups	Confrontation	Avoidance	Acceptance- resignation
Age (years)	20~34	19.20±3.06	15.74±2.36	8.66±2.30
	35~39	19.31±2.85	15.64±2.71	8.19±2.59
	F/t value	0.07	0.095	2.079
	P-value	0.792	0.758	0.15
Residence	City	19.45±2.79	15.77±2.31	8.70±2.60
	Town	19.09±2.94	15.53±2.47	8.26±1.90
	Rural area	18.93±3.46	15.83±2.70	8.56±2.43
	F/t value	7.34	0.337	0.865
	P-value	0.445	0.714	0.422
Living arrangement	Live only with husband	19.32±2.96	15.89±2.44	8.80±2.48
Living arrangement	Live with husband and in-laws	19.39±3.11	15.59±2.48	8.21±2.32
	Live with husband and parents	19.00±2.4	15.23±2.27	7.77±1.5
	Live with husband, in-laws and husband's brothers, and their children, and/or others	16.44±3.13	14.89±2.76	9.00±2.35
	F/t value	2.804	1.01	2.107
	P-value	0.4	0.391	0.099
Educational level	Junior high school, high school, or secondary school	18.81±3.45	15.54±2.41	8.30±2.42
	College/Bachelor's degree	19.59±2.61	15.81±2.42	8.64±2.27
	Graduate and above	19.00±1.94	16.40±3.34	10.10±3.14
	F/t value	2.341	0.816	2.927
	P-value	0.098	0.443	0.055
Employment	None	18.94±3.16	15.57±2.41	9.02±2.51
	Worker	19.73±3.74	16.36±3.14	8.181.89
	Farmer	18.25±5.06	16.25±2.36	7.25±0.96
	Office clerk	19.27±2.85	15.62±2.68	8.56±2.71
	Government official	18.78±2.22	15.67±2.78	7.67±1.73
	Teacher	19.48±2.64	16.14±2.10	9.05±1.88
	Medical personnel	20.25±2.05	15.81±2.17	9.44±2.03
	Self-employed	19.64±3.32	15.98±2.25	7.95±2.15
	Others	18.49±3.07	15.34±2.24	8.26±2.12
	F/t value	0.789	0.402	1.396
	P-value	0.613	0.919	0.198
Annual household income (ten	<3	19.68±4.09	16.04±2.48	9.64±2.96
thousand yuan)	3~8	18.56±3.33	15.25±2.33	8.14±2.10
	9~14	19.54±2.63	15.86±2.50	8.71±2.44
	15~80	19.36±2.61	15.99±2.52	8.43±2.32
	>80	20.00±2.449	15.00±1.41	8.00±2.45
	F/t value	1.564	1.266	2.17
	P-value	0.184	0.283	0.073
Length of marriage (years)	<5	19.06±3.07	15.76±2.38	8.40±2.15
	5~10	19.45±2.88	15.65±2.48	8.95±2.73
	>10	19.38±3.18	15.69±3.01	7.31±1.66
	F/t value	0.565	0.065	4.09
	P-value	0.569	0.937	0.018

#### Table 3. Medical coping modes and demographic and clinical characteristics of patients treated with IVF-ET.

(Continued)

Items	Groups	Confrontation	Avoidance	Acceptance- resignation
Length of infertility (years)	<2	18.76±3.03	15.47±2.41	8.31±2.16
	2~4	19.39±3.08	15.92±2.46	8.39±2.25
	5~10	19.09±2.76	15.42±2.28	9.11±2.84
	>10	19.8±2.78	14.4±3.78	10.00±3.00
	F/t value	0.659	1.329	2.075
	P-value	0.578	0.265	0.104
Birth history (children)	None	19.13±2.84	15.69±2.33	8.74±2.40
	One child	19.73±3.75	15.80±3.06	7.57±2.11
	Two children and more	19.25±3.59	16.25±2.63	7.50±1.29
	F/t value	0.73	0.129	5.035
	P-value	0.483	0.879	0.007
History of abortion (number)	None	19.17±2.95	15.71±2.32	8.64±2.51
	1	19.44±2.93	15.63±2.48	8.60±2.30
	2	18.63±3.34	15.43±2.27	8.23±2.00
	≥3	19.86±3.44	16.93±3.71	7.86±2.14
	F/t value	0.757	1.317	0.648
	P-value	0.519	0.269	0.585
Cause of infertility	Female factor	19.10±2.97	15.72±2.52	8.48±2.37
	Male factor	18.96±2.65	14.91±2.11	8.83±1.88
	Both factors	19.32±2.77	15.70±2.22	8.84±288
	Unknown reason	19.83±3.54	16.17±2.44	8.39±2.26
	F/t value	0.726	1.301	0.389
	P-value	0.537	0.274	0.761
Length of infertility treatment (years)	<1	19.05±2.92	15.87±2.47	7.92±2.03
	1~3 years	19.17±3.06	15.72±2.46	8.77±2.50
	>3	19.95±3.00	15.26±2.37	9.50±2.45
	F/t value	1.32	0.864	7.779
	P-value	0.269	0.423	0.001
Infertility type	Primary infertility	19.19±2.91	15.81±2.44	8.88±2.51
	Secondary infertility	19.26±3.12	15.61±2.47	8.18±2.19
	F/t value	0.031	0.482	6.299
	P-value	0.861	0.488	0.013

#### Table 3. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033.t003

#### Table 4. Correlation analysis of alexithymia and medical coping modes among patients treated with IVF-ET (r).

Dimension	Confrontation	Avoidance	Acceptance-resignation
Alexithymia	43	.079	.169**
DIF	.026	.143*	.203**
DDF	045	.045	.100
ЕОТ	121*	043	.069

DIF, difficulty identifying feelings; DDF, difficulty describing feelings; EOT, externally oriented thinking

 $^{*}P < 0.05$ 

\*\* P < 0.01.

Dimension	Confrontation	Avoidance	Acceptance-resignation
Social support	.207**	.212**	269**
Objective support	.110	.180**	195**
Subjective support	.151*	.123*	234**
Utilization of support	.264**	.240**	161**

#### Table 5. Correlation analysis of social support and medical coping modes in female patients treated with IVF-ET (r).

 $^{*} P < 0.05$ 

 $^{**}$  P < 0.01

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033.t005

# Multiple regression of medical coping modes on alexithymia and social support

The statistically significant variables identified in the correlation analysis (EOT, subjective support, and utilization of support) were the independent variables, and confrontation was the dependent variable for the regression analysis. Utilization of support significantly predicted the use of confrontation as a coping mode by patients treated with IVF-ET, as shown in Table 7.

The statistically significant variables from the correlation analysis (DIF, objective support, subjective support, and utilization of support) were the independent variables and avoidance was the dependent variable for the multiple regression analysis. The analysis showed that DIF, objective support, and the utilization of support significantly predicted the use of the avoidance as a coping mode by the patients treated with IVF-ET (Table 8).

The statistically significant variables from the correlation analysis (total scores for alexithymia, DIF, social support, objective support, subjective support, and utilization of support) were the independent variables and acceptance-resignation was the dependent variable for the regression analysis. The results showed that DIF and subjective support significantly predicted the use of acceptance-resignation as a coping mode, as shown in Table 9.

### Discussion

This study investigated the medical coping styles of female patients treated with IVF-ET using a questionnaire survey, and found that their use of avoidance as a medical coping mode was significantly higher than that of normal controls, which is similar to the results of other studies [15, 16]. Although the participants selected for these studies were at different stages of treatment, none of them used confrontation as a coping mode during their treatment for infertility. Avoidance and acceptance with resignation are often viewed as negative coping modes [17]; however, studies have suggested that [18] avoidance can be useful as a form of self-protection, which can reduce the likelihood of adverse reactions caused by stressful events over a short time. Therefore, female patients treated with IVF-ET might temporarily reduce negative

Table 6.	Multivariate analy	vsis of the acce	ptance-resignation	coping mode among	y the pat	ients treated with IVF-ET.
Table 0.	multival late allar	y sis of the acce	plance-resignation	coping mout among	g une pai	icities treated with 1 v 1 - L 1.

Acceptance- resignation coping mode	Variable	Regression coefficient	Standard regression coefficient	t	Р
	Marriage length	0.181	0.046	0.713	0.476
	Birth history	-0.703	-0.124	0.053	0.053
	Length of infertility treatment	0.704	0.199	3.33	0.001
	Infertility type	-0.532	-0.122	-1.75	0.081

R = 0.291,  $R^2 = 0.085$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.072$ , F = 6.478.

Confrontation coping mode	Variable	<b>Regression coefficient</b>	Standard regression coefficient	t	Р
	(Constant)	17.369		9.885	< 0.001
	EOT	-0.090	-0.084	-1.440	0.151
	Subjective support	0.040	0.062	0.997	0.320
	Utilization of support	0.383	0.227	3.620	< 0.001

Table 7. Multivariate analysis of the confrontation coping mode among the patients treated with IVF-ET.

EOT, externally oriented thinking; R = 0.282,  $R^2 = 0.079$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.069$ , F = 8.069.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033.t007

psychological stress during their treatment for infertility by deliberately ignoring their negative moods and emotions as a way of diverting their attention. At the same time, patients who received IVF-ET treatment often undergo lengthy medical consultations and various examinations and procedures in the early stage of treatment, and their initial eagerness to have a child may have slowly decreased. Exorbitant costs, frequent round-trips to and from hospitals, taking various drugs orally and by injection, and fluctuations in hormone levels caused by the drugs can lead to physiological changes, and the accumulated pressure can become unbearable, forcing the patient to accept the reality of infertility.

Using confrontation as a coping method is a sign that a patient is taking a positive approach to disease [19]. Shi Xiao [20] found that improving social support could reduce patients' anxiety and depression, and increase the use of positive coping strategies. As suggested in this study, patients may actively seek help from others, use the support provided by others, and alleviate economic pressures, physiological adverse reactions, and negative emotions generated during IVF-ET treatment by envisaging the current diagnosis and treatment plan, and actively cooperating with the treatment.

Di Tella et al. [21] believe that in order to reduce the negative effects of adverse events, patients with a high level of DIF often adopt unusual coping strategies. Tominaga [22] has proposed that patients with high DIF scores on the Toronto Alexithymia Scale tend to use avoid-ance strategies. This study reached the same conclusion; DIF predicted patients' use of avoidance as a coping mode. The patients who received IVF treatment may not have recognized or distinguished between physical and emotional feelings, and simply ignored their inner thoughts deliberately, perhaps because they realized it was impossible for them to identify the source of their feelings with accuracy, and it was difficult for others to understand their needs. At the same time, if the patients treated with IVF-ET were eager to seek help from others and had sufficient support and help from outsiders, they could have diverted their attention through the support and help from others, and talk about their inner thoughts.

The longer the infertility treatment lasts, the greater the patient's tendency to use acceptance-resignation as a coping mode. IVF-ET treatment is the last resort for infertility treatment and most of the patients underwent ovulation induction, artificial insemination, and other

Table 8.	Multivariate analy	vsis of the avoidanc	e coping mode	among patients treat	ed with IVF-ET
		,			

DIF, difficulty identifying feelings; R = 0.328,  $R^2 = 0.109$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.095$ , F = 2.329.

Acceptance-resignation coping mode	Variable	Regression coefficient	Standard regression coefficient	t	Р
	(Constant)	9.903		9.490	< 0.001
	DIF	0.096	0.178	3.051	0.002
	Subjective support	-0.098	-0.191	-3.030	0.003
	Objective support	-0.066	-0.081	-1.281	0.201
	Utilization of support	-0.050	-0.038	-0.592	0.554

Table 9. Multivariate analysis of the acceptance-resignation coping mode among patients treated with IVF-ET.

DIF, difficulty identifying feelings; R = 0.320,  $R^2 = 0.090$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.088$ , F = 8.002.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231033.t009

procedures in the early stage, expending a considerable amount of energy and financial resources to complete the IVF-ET step. The initial eagerness to seek treatment actively may have been gone, and patients were more likely to consider the idea of accepting infertility as a reality. Smimi [23] believes that DIF is inversely related to general cognition, memory, and executive ability. This study also suggests that patients with difficulty recognizing emotions often adopted the ineffective attitude of acceptance-resignation when responding to IVF treatment. In contrast, when patients felt they had a strong supportive base and there were many people who cared about them and were willing to help, they were not likely to consider the idea of giving up treatment; instead, they believed that they would definitely conceive and give birth through hard work.

### Conclusion

This study is the first to investigate alexithymia and social support as factors influencing the choice of medical coping modes by patients undergoing IVF-ET. The results provide new insights for helping these patients improve their compliance during treatment. Based on this study's results, the research team will actively explore effective psychological interventions, encourage patients to adopt effective coping methods, regain confidence, actively cooperate with treatment, and achieve satisfactory pregnancy outcomes.

A limitation of this study is that the research was conducted only in the largest maternal and child healthcare hospital in Zhejiang Province, and the people who diagnose and treat infertility are mainly in Zhejiang and the surrounding area, so the conclusion of this study is only applicable to the IVF treatment of women in Zhejiang Province.

## **Supporting information**

**S1 Table. The original data from questionnaires.** (XLSX)

# **Author Contributions**

Data curation: Liwen Shen. Formal analysis: Lanfeng Xing. Investigation: Liwen Shen. Project administration: Lanfeng Xing. Software: Liwen Shen. Writing – original draft: Liwen Shen. Writing – review & editing: Lanfeng Xing.

#### References

- Li H, Zhu SJ, Yan CL, Chen L, Qin N, Lei J. Discussion on the relationship between social support, humiliation, self-esteem and negative emotion in infertility women. Chinese Journal of General Practice. 2012; 04: 425–427. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1007-9572.2012.04.022
- 2. Jiang M. Multivariate analysis of the effects of test-tube baby on pregnancy outcomes. Epidemiology and Health Statistics, 1st ed. Dalian; Dalian Medical University; 2016.
- Li FL, Hu JW. Research on psychological stress and coping mode. Medical Information, 2015; 24: 385– 386. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1006-1959.2015.24.584
- Tiemensma J, Gaab E, Voorhaar M, Asijee G, Kaptein AA. Illness perceptions and coping determine quality of life in COPD patients. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis, 2016; 11:2001–2007. https://doi.org/ 10.2147/COPD.S109227 PMID: 27601893
- McLaughlin M, Cassidy T. Psychosocial predictors of IVF success after one year: a follow-up study. J Reprod Infant Psychol, 2019; 37:311–321. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2018.1560398</u> PMID: 30585083
- Rockliff HE, Lightman SL, Rhidian E, Buchanan H, Gordon U, Vedhara K. A systematic review of psychosocial factors associated with emotional adjustment in in vitro fertilization patients. Hum Reprod Update, 2014; 20:594–613. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmu010 PMID: 24676468
- Kong L N, Zhu W F, He S, Yao Y, Yang L. Relationships Among Social Support, Coping Strategy, and Depressive Symptoms in Older Adults With Diabetes. J Gerontol Nurs, 2019; 45:40–46. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.3928/00989134-20190305-03 PMID: 30917204
- Massarotti C, Gentile G, Ferreccio C, Scaruffi P, Remorgida V, Anserini P. Impact of infertility and infertility treatments on quality of life and levels of anxiety and depression in women undergoing in vitro fertilization. Gynecol Endocrinol, 2019; 35: 485–489. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2018.1540575</u> PMID: 30612477
- Fietz J, Valencia N, Silani G. Alexithymia and autistic traits as possible predictors for traits related to depression, anxiety, and stress: A multivariate statistical approach. J Eval Clin Pract, 2018; 24:901– 908. https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.12961 PMID: 29882629
- Feifel H, Stracks Nagy VT.Coping strategies and associated features of medically ill patients. Psychosom Med, 1987; 49:616–625. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198711000-00007 PMID: 3423168
- Shen XH, Jiang QJ. Test report of 701 cases of Chinese version coping mode questionnaire. Chinese Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 2000; 01:22–24. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.1674-6554.2000. 01.008
- Taylor GJ, Ryan D, Bagby RM.Toward the development of a new self-report alexithymia scale.Psychother Psychosom, 1985, 44:191–199. https://doi.org/10.1159/000287912 PMID: 3837277
- 13. Yuan YG. Research status of alexithymia in China. Journal of Health Psychology, 2002; 4: 318–320. https://doi.org/10.3321/j.issn:1673–8225.2002.18.168
- Xiao SY. Theoretical foundation and research application of Social Support Rating Scale. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 1994; 2: 98–100.
- Edelmann R J, Connolly K J, Bartlett H. Coping strategies and psychological adjustment of couples presenting for IVF. J Psychosom Res, 1994; 38:355–364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(94)90040-x</u> PMID: 8064653
- Litt M D, Tennen H, Affleck G, Klock S. Coping and cognitive factors in adaptation to in vitro fertilization failure. J Behav Med, 1992; 15:171–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00848324 PMID: 1583680
- 17. Sun MY, Chen J. Research progress on coping modes of infertility patients. Chinese Journal of Modern Nursing,2017; 1:137–140. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.1674-2907.2017.01.036
- Chen YH, Shao XF, Wu J. Relevant research between the uncertainty of family members of liver cancer patients and their coping modes. Journal of Nursing and Rehabilitation, 2017; 2: 115–118. <u>https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1671-9875.2017.02.004</u>
- Song J, Guo N, Wu LH. Correlation and influencing factors of psychological resilience and medical coping in patients with first stroke. Chinese Journal of Health Psychology, 2018; 7: 999–1002. <u>https://doi.org/10.13342/j.cnki.cjhp.2018.07.013</u>
- Shi Xiao, Quan Song. Investigation on the influence of women's emotions and social support status on disease coping mode before in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. Chinese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2015; 2: 142–144.
- Di Tella M, Tesio V, Ghiggia A, Romeo A, Colonna F, Fusaro E, et al. Coping strategies and perceived social support in fibromyalgia syndrome: Relationship with alexithymia. Scand J Psychol, 2018; 59:167–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/sjop.12405 PMID: 29110306

- 22. Tominaga T, Choi H, Nagoshi Y, Wada Y, Fukui K. Relationship between alexithymia and coping strategies in patients with somatoform disorder. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat,2014; 10:55–62. https://doi.org/10. 2147/NDT.S55956 PMID: 24403835
- 23. Smirni D, Beadle J N, Paradiso S. An Initial Study of Alexithymia and Its Relationship With Cognitive Abilities Among Mild Cognitive Impairment, Mild Alzheimer's Disease, and Healthy Volunteers.J Nerv Ment Dis, 2018; 206:628–636. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.00000000000853 PMID: 30020208