## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

**WILEY** 

# **Precipitation and nitrogen addition enhance biomass allocation to aboveground in an alpine steppe**

**Changbin Li**<sup>1,2,[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9239-3304)</sup>  $\bullet$  | Zhi Zheng<sup>1,2</sup> | Yunfeng Peng<sup>4</sup> | Xiuqing Nie<sup>1,2,3</sup> | Lucun Yang<sup>1,2</sup> | **Yuanming Xiao**<sup>1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2485-6172),3</sup> | Guoving Zhou<sup>1,2</sup> | **D** 

1 Key Laboratory of Tibetan Medicine Research, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Science, Xining, China

2 Qinghai Key Laboratory of Qing‐Tibet Biological Resources, Xining, China

<sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China

4 State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

#### **Correspondence**

Guoying Zhou, Key Laboratory of Tibetan Medicine Research, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, Chinese Academy of Science, No. 23 Xinning Road, Xining, Qinghai 810008, China. Email: [zhougy@nwipb.cas.cn](mailto:zhougy@nwipb.cas.cn)

#### **Funding information**

International Communication and Cooperation Project of Qinghai Province, Grant/Award Number: 2019‐HZ‐807; Qinghai Province High‐level Innovative "Thousand Talents" Program; Natural Science Foundation of Qinghai Province, Grant/Award Number: 2019‐ZJ‐910

# **Abstract**

There are two important allocation hypotheses in plant biomass allocation: allomet‐ ric and isometric. We tested these two hypotheses in an alpine steppe using plant biomass allocation under nitrogen (N) addition and precipitation (Precip) changes at a community level. An in situ field manipulation experiment was conducted to examine the two hypotheses and the responses of the biomass to N addition (10 g N m<sup>-2</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) and altered Precip (±50% precipitation) in an alpine steppe on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau from 2013 to 2016. We found that the plant community biomass differed in its response to N addition and reduced Precip such that N addition significantly increased aboveground biomass (AGB), while reduced Precip significantly decreased AGB from 2014 to 2016. Moreover, reduced Precip enhanced deep soil belowground biomass (BGB). In the natural alpine steppe, the allocation between AGB and BGB was consistent with the isometric hypotheses. In contrast, N addition or altered Precip enhanced biomass allocation to aboveground, thus leading to allometric growth. More importantly, reduced Precip enhanced biomass allocation into deep soil. Our study provides insight into the responses of alpine steppes to global climate change by linking AGB and BGB allocation.

### **KEYWORDS**

aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, nitrogen addition, precipitation changes, Tibetan alpine steppe

# **1** | **INTRODUCTION**

Human activity‐induced climate change, especially in part due to shifts in precipitation patterns, and enhanced emission of biological reactive nitrogen (N) to the atmosphere have had profound impacts on the global water and N cycle (Basto et al., 2018; Stevens, 2019; Yu et al., 2019). As water and N are the most important factors that determine the growth and survival of plant and limit the production of grassland ecosystems (Bobbink et al., 2010; Greaver et al., 2016), the altered Precip and enhanced N deposition affect grassland

ecosystem functions and services that are essential for well‐being of humanity (Basto et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018). Despite numerous reports on the effects of altered Precip and enhanced N deposition grassland ecosystems (Liu et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2011), less is known with respect to how altered Precip, enhanced N deposition, and their interaction in the alpine steppe will influence aboveground and belowground biomass alloca‐ tion, especially at different soil layer (Liu et al., 2018).

Aboveground and belowground biomass allocation reflected evolutionarily derived strategies for resource acquisition and

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

**12194 WII FY** Ecology and Evolution **and Example 2018** Control and Aller AL.

adaptation to their environment (Lambers, Chapin, & Pons, 2008). Theoretically, plant should allocate more carbon to organs that ac‐ quire essential and limiting resources (Johnson, Rowland, Corkidi, & Allen, 2008). For example, as plant growth is limited by soil resources such as nutrient and water, plant species will allocate more carbon to root systems to acquire limiting soil resource (Johnson et al., 2008). In contrast, in light‐limited ecosystem, plant species will allocate more carbon to leaves to intercept light and fix  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for plant growth (Hautier, Niklaus, & Hector, 2009). This leads to allometric allocations that variations in environmental conditions affect aboveground and belowground biomass allocation (Bloom, Chapin, & Mooney, 1985; Chapin, Bloom, Field, & Waring, 1987). By contrast, plants rely on their homeostasis to cope with the environment, thus leading to an isometric allocation that aboveground biomass (AGB) scales with be‐ lowground biomass (BGB) in an isometric manner (Enquist & Niklas, 2002; Niklas, 2004). Previous studies found that, in community level of Tibetan Plateau grassland ecosystems, AGB and BGB allocation fitted the isometric hypothesis (McCarthy & Enquist, 2007; Yang, Fang, Ji, & Han, 2009), while on an individual level, the allocation fitted the allometric relationship (Wang, Niu, Yang, & Zhou, 2010), in‐ dicating that AGB and BGB allocation has strong scale dependence in grassland ecosystems of Tibetan Plateau grassland ecosystems. Plant growth in the alpine steppe is colimited by N and water (Hooper & Johnson, 1999). Thus, altered Precip and enhanced N deposition should have impacts on AGB and BGB allocation. However, we less known how altered Precip, enhanced N deposition, and their interaction had impacts on AGB and BGB allocation relationship.

Alpine ecosystem is believed among the most sensitive eco‐ systems to global changes (Chen et al., 2013). Alpine steppe is an integral part of the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau. Human activities have led to dramatic changes in Precip and atmospheric deposition (Galloway et al., 2008; Peñuelas et al., 2013; Reay, Dentener, Smith, Grace, & Feely, 2008). For example, during the period 1990–2003, atmospheric N deposition has increased substantially from 8.7 to 13.8 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in the region (Lü & Tian, 2007). Our precious studies have shown that altered precipitation and enhanced N deposition had a marked influence on aboveground community biomass (Li et al., 2018). However, we less known their responses of commu‐ nity BGB and allocation between AGB and BGB to altered Precip, enhanced N deposition, and their interaction in the alpine steppe. To address these issues, we investigated the biomass allocation and tested the allometric and isometric allocation hypotheses at the community level of alpine steppe under enhanced N deposition and altered precipitation patterns.

# **2** | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1** | **Study site**

The field experiment was conducted on the Sanjiaocheng Sheep Breeding Farm (37°18′N, 100°15′E, 3,286 m a.s.l), in Qinghai Province, China. The experiment site was located in an alpine steppe with mean annual temperature of 0.08°C and precipitation of 387 mm which occurs predominantly in the growing season (June to August). The soil belongs to chestnut soil. Vegetation is an alpine steppe community mainly dominated by *Stipa purpurea*, and accom‐ panied by *Poa crymophila* and *Artemisia scoparia* (Peng & Yang, 2016).

### **2.2** | **Experimental design**

The experiment was established in 2013 following exclusion of live‐ stock grazing by fencing. The manipulative experiments include six treatments  $(N_1P_1$ : ambient N addition with reduced precipitation 50%;  $N_1P_2$ : ambient N addition with ambient precipitation;  $N_1P_2$ : ambient N addition with enhanced precipitation 50%;  $N_2P_1$ : N addition with reduced precipitation;  $N_2P_2$ : N addition with ambient precipitation; and  $N_2P_2$ : N addition with enhanced precipitation). Each treatment had five replicates, and 30 3.3  $m \times 2.7$  m plots separated by 2-m-wide buffer strips were established in a  $5 \times 6$  block design. The precipitation treatment was controlled by sunlight‐pervious concave polyvinyl chloride (PVC) boards (1 mm thick) at the 15° angle above each plot. The reduced precipitation treatment was controlled by the nonslotted channels, and 50% of the intercepted rainwater was collected and stored. The enhanced treatment was provided by slotted channels that sprinkled the collected water from the reduced plots immediately after the rain, resulting in a 50% increase relative to ambient precipitation. The ambient precipitation treatment plots were also installed with slotted channels. To avoid surface runoff, metal plates were inserted to a soil depth of 20 cm with 10 cm re‐ maining above the surface around each plot. Moreover, to simulate ambient N deposition, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (10 g N m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, N > 99%) was used in N addition plots. The fertilizers were mixed with water in 1‐L water by sprinkling evenly using a sprayer to each plot. Ambient N addition plots received equal dose of water. This N deposition rate is higher than the current natural N deposition rate (ranging from 0.87 to 1.38 g N ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) in this region, but atmospheric N deposition rates as high as 5.46 g m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> in China (Xu et al., 2018). A recent study in the same region demonstrated that N addition rate of 8 g m−2 year−1 led to N saturation in soil (Peng & Yang, 2016).

### **2.3** | **Field sampling**

Aboveground biomass was sampled in mid‐August annually by clipping all plants within three 0.25 m  $\times$  0.25 m quadrates that were randomly placed within each plot and not overlapped spatially among years. Briefly, shoots were cut at the soil surface and oven-dried at 65°C for 72 hr before they were weighed (Li et al., 2018). Thereafter, to assess the effect of altered precipitation, N addition, and their interaction on over all belowground biomass and aboveground biomass at different soil layer, we collected belowground biomass using three 8‐cm‐diam‐ eter soil cores from each plot in mid‐August 2016. The soil cores were further divided into three soil layers: 0–10, 10–20, and 20–30 cm. Three soil cores from the same layers in each plot were mixed and placed into root bags with a mesh size of 0.5 mm and immersed in water for 24 hr. These soil cores were flushed with running water. These root samples were picked up by manual dissection as described by Zheng,



FIGURE 1 Effects of precipitation changes and N addition on aboveground biomass (AGB) (a, c, e) and changes (%) in aboveground biomass (b, d, f) from 2013 to 2016. N1 indicates ambient N, nitrogen addition (N2), 50% precipitation reduction (Precip 1), ambient precipitation (Precip 2), 50% precipitation addition (Precip 3), error bars indicate the standard errors

Bai, and Zhang (2019). Then, they were oven-dried at 65°C for 48 hr weighted. Total belowground biomass was determined by pooling over three layers.

# **2.4** | **Statistical analysis**

To investigate N and precipitation-induced changes of aboveground net primary productivity, we used date from 2013 to 2016 to assess the effect of N addition, precipitation change, and their interaction on aboveground biomass. Prior to all statistical analy‐ ses, we tested the heterogeneity of variances, and original data were normalized using log-transformation or standardization prior to statistical analysis when necessary. Firstly, an univariate analysis of variance (UNIANOVA) was used to assess treatment effects on aboveground, in which N addition, precipitation change, and year were the categorical variables, whereas aboveground biomass was **12196 WII FY** Ecology and Evolution **and Example 2018** Control and Aller AL.

the continuous variable. For total belowground biomass, below‐ ground biomass from different soil depth and the ratio of root to shoot (R/S), we used the data from 2016. Moreover, unpaired tests were also used to evaluate the effects of N addition, precipitation on aboveground biomass at the same year, total belowground biomass, R/S, and belowground biomass from the same soil depth. The statistical analyses above were performed in SPSS 16.0.

To examine the two allocation hypotheses allometric alloca‐ tions and isometric allocation, reduced major axis (RMA) analyses were used to evaluate the relationship between log-transformed aboveground biomass and belowground biomass (Cheng & Niklas, 2006; Niklas, 2004).The slope (*α*) and *y*‐intercept (log *β*) of the log– log linear functions were determined using the software package SMATR (Standardized Major Axis Tests and Routines; Falster, 2003; Warton, Duursma, Falster, & Taskinen, 2012) in the R Version 3.51 (R Development Core Team, 2018).

# **3** | **RESULTS**

# **3.1** | **Effects of altered precipitation, N addition, and their interaction on aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, and aboveground– belowground biomass allocation**

Aboveground plant biomass differed in response to N addition and altered Precip (Figure 1a-f; Table 1). For example, N addition significantly enhanced aboveground biomass by 38%, 58%, and 60% in 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively (*p* < .05; Figure 1a,b). In contrast, reduced Precip led to a significant decrease in aboveground bio‐ mass by 24%, 34%, and 37% in 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively (Figure 1c,d), while enhanced Precip had no effect on aboveground biomass (Figure 1e,f).

In contrast to aboveground biomass responses, N addition had little impacts on overall belowground biomass (*p* = .20; Figure 2). Moreover, a differential response of belowground biomass to enhanced Precip and reduced Precip was observed after consecutive altered Precip for three years, such that enhanced Precip significantly increased below‐ ground biomass by 16%, while reduced Precip led to a marked decrease in belowground biomass by 34% (*p* = .02; Figure 2; Table 2).

TABLE 1 Summary of univariate analysis of variance (UNIANOVA) of nitrogen addition and precipitation change on aboveground biomass from 2013 to 2016

| Difference source          | df             | Mean square | F-value | p-value |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Year (Y)                   | 3              | 180.204.48  | 44.15   | 5.001   |
| Nitrogen (N)               | 1              | 161.262.94  | 39.51   | < 0.01  |
| Precipitation (Precip)     | $\overline{2}$ | 47.129.98   | 11.55   | 5.001   |
| $Y \times N$               | 3              | 21,391.31   | 5.24    | .002    |
| $Y \times$ Precip          | 6              | 12.050.97   | 2.95    | .011    |
| $N \times$ Precip          | $\mathfrak{D}$ | 1,965.08    | 0.48    | .619    |
| $Y \times N \times$ Precip | 6              | 2.590.45    | 0.64    | .702    |

To further explore whether belowground biomass in the different soil layers differs in their response to altered Precip, N addition, and their interaction, belowground biomass from 10, 20, and 30 cm deep soil was also determined. N addition and enhanced Precip had no ef‐ fect on belowground biomass from three soil layers (Figure 3a,b,e,f). In contrast, belowground biomass from different soil layers differed in their responses to reduced Precip. Reduced Precip significantly increased biomass at 20–30 cm soil layers (*p* = .03), while it had little impacts on belowground biomass at 10 and 20 cm depth soil layers (Figure 3c,d; Table 3).

In this study, N addition significantly influenced the R/S ratio ( $p$  < .05; Table 4), reducing it by 8%, 26%, and 27% for N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>, and  $N_2P_3$ , respectively (Figure 4). Compared with the N addition, the effect of Precip on R/S was not significant (*p* = .26; Table 4).

# **3.2** | **Effects of altered precipitation, N addition, and their interaction on aboveground– belowground biomass allocation**

Consecutive N addition and altered Precip had differential effects on aboveground and belowground biomass allocation. N addition significantly reduced the ratio of root to shoot (R/S), leading to a marked increase in aboveground biomass allocation, while altered Precip and the interaction between altered Precip and N addition had little impacts on R/S (Figure 4; Table 4).



FIGURE 2 Effects of precipitation changes and N addition on belowground biomass (BGB) in 2016. N1 indicates ambient N, nitrogen addition (N2), 50% precipitation reduction (Precip 1), nature precipitation (Precip 2), 50% precipitation addition (Precip 3), error bars indicate the standard errors

TABLE 2 Summary of univariate analysis of variance (UNIANOVA) of nitrogen addition and precipitation change on belowground biomass (BGB) in 2016





FIGURE 3 Effects of precipitation changes and N addition on belowground biomass (BGB) in 2016 (a, c, e) and changes (%) in belowground biomass (b, d, f) from 0–10 cm to 20–30 cm. N1 indicates ambient N, nitrogen addition (N2), 50% precipitation reduction (Precip 1), ambient precipitation (Precip 2), 50% precipitation addition (P3), ′ *p* < .1, error bars indicate the standard errors

To further explore the relationships between N addition and al‐ tered precipitation, two allocation hypotheses were tested by testing plant biomass allocation under different N addition and precipita‐ tion condition. In natural alpine steppe, the isometric relationships between aboveground and belowground biomass allocation were observed (Figure 5a). In contrast, N addition and enhanced Precip significantly increased aboveground biomass allocation, leading to allometric allocations between aboveground and belowground bio‐ mass, while reduced Precip had no effect on the relationship between aboveground and belowground biomass allocation (Figure 5b,c).

# **4** | **DISCUSSIONS**

Several manipulative experiments to simulate the changes in precipitation pattern and atmospheric N deposition have dem‐ onstrated that enhanced N input and enhanced precipitation in‐ creased aboveground plant biomass, while reduced precipitation led a marked decrease in aboveground plant biomass (Liu et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2016; Yang, Fang, Ma, Guo, & Mohammat, 2010). In the present study, we found that N addition significantly en‐ hanced plant community aboveground biomass, while enhanced **12198 WII FY** Ecology and Evolution **and Example 2018** Control and Aller AL.





precipitation had no effect on aboveground plant biomass and reduced precipitation significantly decreased plant community aboveground biomass (Figure 1). These findings highlight that the alpine steppe was limited by soil N availability, but not water. This might be due to the fact that cold climates in cold alpine steppe depressed N availability and water vaporization (He et al., 2006, 2008).

Another important finding is that N addition had no effect on belowground biomass (Figure 2). In long‐term N‐limited grassland ecosystems, their native plant species have evolved mechanisms to cope with low N availability (Chapin, 1980). Exogenous N input into soil will lead to shift from being N limited to light limited (Bobbink et al., 2010; Hautier et al., 2009). Therefore, in contrast to the re‐ sponse of aboveground biomass to N addition, N addition hardly led to change in belowground biomass. In contrast, we found that en‐ hanced precipitation significantly increased belowground biomass, while reduced precipitation markedly decreased belowground bio‐ mass (Figure 2). In the field, we observed that enhanced precipitation had no effect on community structure, while reduced precipitation favored the growth of deep-root plant species, and suppressed shallow-root plants, leading to loss of shallow-root plant species (personal observation). However, we found that reduced precipitation significantly enhanced root biomass in deep soil layer, mainly due to that fact that reduced precipitation favored deep‐root plant growth to enhance the efficiency of water availability.

The isometric relationships between aboveground and be‐ lowground biomass were found in the natural alpine steppe of community levels (Figure 5). Some studies have also reported an isometric relationship between aboveground and belowground biomass, for example, Yang et al. (2009) and Enquist and Niklas (2002). These consistent results indicate that coexisting plant species have evolved some mechanisms to adapt their environments and to maintain a common growth (Falster et al., 2015). For example, in long-term evolution, coexisting species occur inter‐ and intraspecific competition to acquire aboveground and belowground resources (Grace et al., 2016; Grime, 1974, 2006),

leading to an isometric growth between shoot and root systems at the community level and allometric grow at the species level. Conversely, external resource input into soils and/or unsuitable conditions greatly affect the relationships between aboveground and belowground biomass allocations and carbon flux (Coomes, Holdaway, Kobe, Lines, & Allen, 2012; Jenkins & Pierce, 2017). In the present study, we found that N and water increment enhanced aboveground biomass allocation, leading to an allometric growth between aboveground and belowground plant biomass (Figure 5). This suggests that changes in environment will affect the balance

TABLE 4 Summary of univariate analysis of variance (UNIANOVA) of nitrogen addition and precipitation change on changing of root: shoot ratio (R/S)

| Difference source | df            | Mean square | <b>F-value</b> | <i>p</i> -value |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Nitrogen (N)      |               | 7.959.20    | 4.30           | .049            |
| Precipitation (P) | $\mathcal{L}$ | 2.638.07    | 1.42           | .26             |
| $N \times P$      |               | 2.008.54    | 1.08           | .36             |



FIGURE 4 Effects of precipitation changes and N addition on changing of R/S ratios (a) and changes (%) in R/S (b).  $N_1P_1$ indicates the 50% precipitation reduction treatment, ambient precipitation ( $N_1P_2$ ), 50% precipitation addition treatment ( $N_1P_3$ ), 50% precipitation reduction with nitrogen addition treatment  $(N_2P_1)$ , the ambient precipitation without nitrogen addition  $(N_2P_2)$ , 50% precipitation addition with nitrogen addition ( $N_2P_3$ ), error bars indicate the standard errors



FIGURE 5 Relationships between aboveground biomass (AGB) and belowground biomass (BGB) in Tibetan Plateau. (a) The slope of the relationship between log AGB and log BGB for overall treatments was 1.06, with 95% confidence intervals of 0.89–1.23. (b) The black line denotes the allocation relationship for N ambient (N1), while the green line indicates the relationship for N addition (N2). The 95% confidence intervals of the slopes for N1 and N2 were 0.59–1.06 and 1.02–1.45, respectively. (c) The green indicates the allocation relationship for P reduction (Precip 1), and the black denotes the relationship for P ambient (Precip 2), while the red indicates the relationship for Precip addition (Precip 3). The 95% confidence intervals of the slopes for Precip 1, Precip 2, and Precip 3 were 0.72–1.48, 0.78–1.42, and 1.02–1.41, respectively

between belowground and aboveground growth. More surprising, we found that decrease in the precipitation had little effect on aboveground and belowground biomass, still maintaining an iso‐ metric growth. However, more biomass allocation into deep soil was observed in the present alpine steppe ecosystem (Figure 3). More root biomass in deep soil suggests that reduced precipitation stimulates root proliferation in deep soil, thus enhancing the abil‐ ity to acquire water resources (Lambers et al., 2008). Therefore, future studies on impacts of altered precipitation should take root biomass from different soil layers into account in the community level.

# **5** | **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we demonstrated that altered precipitation and N addition led to changes in the relationship between aboveground and belowground biomass allocation. Specifically, in natural alpine steppe, aboveground and belowground biomass allocation conform to isomet‐ ric hypothesis. In contrast, precipitation and N enrichment enhanced aboveground biomass allocation, leading to allometric allocation. Moreover, we demonstrated that reduced precipitation had little im‐ pacts on aboveground and belowground biomass allocation, while it enhanced more biomass into deep soil. Therefore, our finding provides a novel insight into N and precipitation-induced changes in the aboveground and belowground biomass allocation in the alpine steppe.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study is funded by Natural Science Foundation of Qinghai Province (2019‐ZJ‐910), and International Communication and Cooperation Project of Qinghai Province (2019‐HZ‐807) and Qinghai Province High‐level Innovative "Thousand Talents" Program. We thank Zebing Zhong, Hechun Liu, Feng Xiong, and Yi Ning for fa‐ cilitating our field surveys of the Tibetan Plateau (2014‐2015) and providing laboratory assistance.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

None declared.

### **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION**

C.B.L., Z.Z., X.Q.N., L.C.Y., and Y.M.X. collected the data and partici‐ pated in discussions. C.B.L. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript with Z.Z., G.Y.Z., and Y.F.P.

## **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

We had uploaded our data to the OSF. [https://mfr.osf.io/rende](https://mfr.osf.io/render?url=https%3A%2F%2Fosf.io%2Fmd234%2Fdownload) [r?url=https%3A%2F%2Fosf.io%2Fmd234%2Fdownload](https://mfr.osf.io/render?url=https%3A%2F%2Fosf.io%2Fmd234%2Fdownload)

### **ORCID**

*Changbin Li* <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9239-3304> *Guoying Zhou* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2485-6172>

### **REFERENCES**

- Basto, S., Thompson, K., Grime, J. P., Fridley, J. D., Calhim, S., Askew, A. P., & Rees, M. (2018). Severe effects of long‐term drought on calcareous grassland seed banks. *Npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, *1*(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-017-0007-3>
- Bloom, A. J., Chapin, F. S., III, & Mooney, H. A. (1985). Resource lim‐ itation in plants‐an economic analogy. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *16*(1), 363–392. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annur](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185.002051) [ev.es.16.110185.002051](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185.002051)
- Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., ... De Vries, W. (2010). Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: A synthesis. *Ecological Applications*, *20*(1), 30–59. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1140.1>
- Chapin, F. S. (1980). The mineral nutrition of wild plants, annual review. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *11*, 233–260. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001313) [org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001313](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.11.110180.001313)
- Chapin, F. S., Bloom, A. J., Field, C. B., & Waring, R. H. (1987). Plant re‐ sponses to multiple environmental factors. *BioScience*, *37*(1), 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1310177>
- Chen, H., Zhu, Q., Peng, C., Wu, N., Wang, Y., Fang, X., … Wu, J. (2013). The impacts of climate change and human activities on biogeochem‐ ical cycles on the Qinghai‐Tibetan Plateau. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(10), 2940–2955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12277>
- Cheng, D.‐L., & Niklas, K. J. (2006). Above‐and below‐ground biomass relationships across 1534 forested communities. *Annals of Botany*, *99*(1), 95–102.<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl206>
- Coomes, D. A., Holdaway, R. J., Kobe, R. K., Lines, E. R., & Allen, R. B. (2012). A general integrative framework for modelling woody biomass pro‐ duction and carbon sequestration rates in forests. *Journal of Ecology*, *100*(1), 42–64.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01920.x>
- Enquist, B. J., & Niklas, K. J. (2002). Global allocation rules for patterns of biomass partitioning in seed plants. *Science*, *295*(5559), 1517–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1066360>
- Falster, D. S. (2003). *(S) MATR: Standardised major axis tests and routines. Version 1.0*. Retrieved from [http://www.bio.mq.edu.au/ecology/](http://www.bio.mq.edu.au/ecology/SMATR) [SMATR](http://www.bio.mq.edu.au/ecology/SMATR)
- Falster, D. S., Duursma, R. A., Ishihara, M. I., Barneche, D. R., FitzJohn, R. G., & Vårhammar, A. … Aspinwall, M. J. (2015). BAAD: A biomass and allometry database for woody plants. *Ecology*, *96*(5), 1445. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1889.1) [doi.org/10.1890/14-1889.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1889.1)
- Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., … Sutton, M. A. (2008). Transformation of the nitro‐ gen cycle: Recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science*, *320*(5878), 889–892.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674>
- Grace, J. B., Anderson, T. M., Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., Adler, P. B., Harpole, W. S., … Smith, M. D. (2016). Integrative modelling reveals mechanisms linking productivity and plant species richness. *Nature*, *529*(7586), 390. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16524>
- Greaver, T. L., Clark, C. M., Compton, J. E., Vallano, D., Talhelm, A. F., Weaver, C. P., … Haeuber, R. A. (2016). Key ecological responses to nitrogen are altered by climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*(9), 836. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3088>
- Grime, J. P. (1974). Vegetation classification by reference to strategies. *Nature*, *250*(5461), 26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/250026a0>
- Grime, J. P. (2006). *Plant strategies, vegetation processes, and ecosystem properties*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P. A., & Hector, A. (2009). Competition for light causes plant biodiversity loss after eutrophication. *Science*, *324*(5927), 636– 638. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169640>
- He, J.‐S., Fang, J., Wang, Z., Guo, D., Flynn, D. F. B., & Geng, Z. (2006). Stoichiometry and large‐scale patterns of leaf carbon and nitrogen in the grassland biomes of China. *Oecologia*, *149*(1), 115–122. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0425-0) [doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0425-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0425-0)
- He, J.‐S., Wang, L., Flynn, D. F. B., Wang, X., Ma, W., & Fang, J. (2008). Leaf nitrogen: Phosphorus stoichiometry across Chinese grass‐ land biomes. *Oecologia*, *155*(2), 301–310. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0912-y) [s00442-007-0912-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0912-y)
- Hooper, D. U., & Johnson, L. (1999). Nitrogen limitation in dryland eco‐ systems: Responses to geographical and temporal variation in precip‐ itation. *Biogeochemistry*, *46*(1–3), 247–293. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01007582) [BF01007582](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01007582)
- Jenkins, D. G., & Pierce, S. (2017). General allometric scaling of net pri‐ mary production agrees with plant adaptive strategy theory and has tipping points. *Journal of Ecology*, *105*(4), 1094–1104. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12726) [org/10.1111/1365-2745.12726](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12726)
- Johnson, N. C., Rowland, D. L., Corkidi, L., & Allen, E. B. (2008). Plant win‐ ners and losers during grassland N‐eutrophication differ in biomass allocation and mycorrhizas. *Ecology*, *89*(10), 2868–2878. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1394.1) [org/10.1890/07-1394.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1394.1)
- Lambers, H., Chapin, F. S., III, & Pons, T. L. (2008). *Plant physiological ecology*. Berlin, Germany: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Li, C., Peng, Y., Nie, X., Yang, Y., Yang, L., Li, F., … Zhou, G. (2018). Differential responses of heterotrophic and autotrophic respiration to nitrogen addition and precipitation changes in a Tibetan alpine steppe. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1), 16546. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34969-5) [s41598-018-34969-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34969-5)
- Liu, H., Mi, Z., Lin, L. I., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Zhang, F., … He, J.‐S. (2018). Shifting plant species composition in response to climate change stabilizes grassland primary production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *115*(16), 4051– 4056.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700299114>
- Lü, C., & Tian, H. (2007). Spatial and temporal patterns of nitrogen deposition in China: Synthesis of observational data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *112*(D22). [https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007990.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007990)
- Ma, Q., Liu, X., Li, Y., Li, L., Yu, H., Qi, M., … Xu, Z. (2019). Nitrogen depo‐ sition magnifies the sensitivity of desert steppe plant communities to large changes in precipitation. *Journal of Ecology*, 1–13. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13264) [org/10.1111/1365-2745.13264](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13264).
- McCarthy, M. C., & Enquist, B. J. (2007). Consistency between an allometric approach and optimal partitioning theory in global patterns of plant biomass allocation. *Functional Ecology*, *21*(4), 713–720. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01276.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01276.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01276.x)
- Niklas, K. J. (2004). Modelling below‐and above‐ground biomass for non‐ woody and woody plants. *Annals of Botany*, *95*(2), 315–321. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci028) [doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci028](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci028)
- Peng, Y., & Yang, Y. (2016). Allometric biomass partitioning under nitro‐ gen enrichment: Evidence from manipulative experiments around the world. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 28918. [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep2](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28918) [8918](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28918)
- Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., van der Velde, M., Bopp, L., … Janssens, I. A. (2013). Human‐induced nitrogen–phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems across the globe. *Nature Communications*, *4*, 2934. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomm](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3934) [s3934](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3934)
- R Development Core Team (2018). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from<http://www.r-project.org/>
- Reay, D. S., Dentener, F., Smith, P., Grace, J., & Feely, R. A. (2008). Global nitrogen deposition and carbon sinks. *Nature Geoscience*, *1*(7), 430. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo230>
- Stevens, C. J. (2019). Nitrogen in the environment. *Science*, *363*(6427), 578–580.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav8215>
- <bib xml:id=Tian, Q., Liu, N., Bai, W., Li, L., Chen, J., Reich, P. B., … Zhang, W.-H. (2016). A novel soil manganese mechanism drives plant species loss with increased nitrogen deposition in a temperate steppe. *Ecology*, *97*(1), 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1890/15-0917.1>
- Wang, L., Niu, K. C., Yang, Y. H., & Zhou, P. (2010). Patterns of above‐ and belowground biomass allocation in China's grasslands: Evidence from individual‐level observations. *Science China Life Sciences*, *53*(7), 851–857. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-010-4027-z>
- Warton, D. I., Duursma, R. A., Falster, D. S., & Taskinen, S. (2012). SMART 3‐ An R package for estimation and inference about allometric lines. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(2), 257–259. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00153.x) [org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00153.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00153.x)
- Xu, W., Zhao, Y., Liu, X., Dore, A. J., Zhang, L., Liu, L., & Cheng, M. (2018). Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in the Yangtze River basin: Spatial pattern and source attribution. *Environmental Pollution*, *232*, 546– 555.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.086>
- Yang, H., Li, Y., Wu, M., Zhang, Z., Li, L., & Wan, S. (2011). Plant community responses to nitrogen addition and increased precipitation: The im‐ portance of water availability and species traits. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(9), 2936–2944.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02423.x>
- Yang, Y., Fang, J., Ji, C., & Han, W. (2009). Above-and belowground biomass allocation in Tibetan grasslands. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *20*(1), 177–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.05566.x>
- Yang, Y., Fang, J., Ma, W., Guo, D., & Mohammat, A. (2010). Large‐scale pattern of biomass partitioning across China's

grasslands. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *19*(2), 268–277. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00502.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00502.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00502.x)

- Yu, G., Jia, Y., He, N., Zhu, J., Chen, Z., Wang, Q., … Goulding, K. (2019). Stabilization of atmospheric nitrogen deposition in China over the past decade. *Nature Geoscience*, *12*(6), 424. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0352-4) [s41561-019-0352-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0352-4)
- Zheng, Z., Bai, W., & Zhang, W.‐H. (2019). Root trait‐mediated below‐ ground competition and community composition of a temperate steppe under nitrogen enrichment. *Plant and Soil*, *437*(1–2), 341–354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-03989-z>

### **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Li C, Zheng Z, Peng Y, et al. Precipitation and nitrogen addition enhance biomass allocation to aboveground in an alpine steppe. *Ecol Evol*. 2019;9:12193–12201. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5706>