INVITED REVIEW

Immunological Reviews WILEY

From thymus to periphery: Molecular basis of effector $\gamma\delta\text{-T}$ cell differentiation

Gina J. Fiala¹ | Anita Q. Gomes^{1,2} | Bruno Silva-Santos¹

Revised: 7 August 2020

¹Instituto de Medicina Molecular João Lobo Antunes, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

²H&TRC Health & Technology Research Center, ESTeSL–Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

Correspondence

Bruno Silva-Santos, Instituto de Medicina Molecular João Lobo Antunes, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal. Email: bssantos@medicina.ulisboa.pt

Funding information

H2020 European Research Council, Grant/ Award Number: CoG_676401; European Commission Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship, Grant/Award Number: 752932

Abstract

The contributions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to immune (patho)physiology in many pre-clinical mouse models have been associated with their rapid and abundant provision of two critical cytokines, interferon- γ (IFN- γ) and interleukin-17A (IL-17). These are typically produced by distinct effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets that can be segregated on the basis of surface expression levels of receptors such as CD27, CD44 or CD45RB, among others. Unlike conventional T cells that egress the thymus as naïve lymphocytes awaiting further differentiation upon activation, a large fraction of murine $\gamma\delta$ T cells commits to either IFN-y or IL-17 expression during thymic development. However, extrathymic signals can both regulate pre-programmed $\gamma\delta$ T cells; and induce peripheral differentiation of naïve $\gamma\delta$ T cells into effectors. Here we review the key cellular events of "developmental pre-programming" in the mouse thymus; and the molecular basis for effector function maintenance vs plasticity in the periphery. We highlight some of our contributions towards elucidating the role of T cell receptor, co-receptors (like CD27 and CD28) and cytokine signals (such as IL-1 β and IL-23) in these processes, and the various levels of gene regulation involved, from the chromatin landscape to microRNA-based post-transcriptional control of $\gamma\delta$ T cell functional plasticity.

KEYWORDS

effector T cell differentiation, Gamma-delta T cells, IL-17, Thymic T cell development

$1 \quad | \quad \text{INTRODUCTION: GENERATING} \\ \text{SUBSETS OF MURINE } \gamma \delta \text{ T CELLS} \\$

The biology of $\gamma\delta$ T cells has been extensively studied in mouse models, on which we will focus this review. Such studies have shown that while $\gamma\delta$ T cells develop alongside $\alpha\beta$ T cells in the thymus, upon thymic egress most $\gamma\delta$ T cells atypically localize to non-lymphoid peripheral tissues, where they can comprise up to 50% of all T cells. In the mouse, the tissue localization of $\gamma\delta$ T cells segregates with

surface expression of specific T cell receptor (TCR) γ chains. Early work on the genetics of TCR rearrangement during fetal, neonatal and adult thymocyte development revealed an organized and sequential rearrangement of specific $V\gamma$ -gene segments in developing $\gamma\delta$ T cells during ontogeny. This ordered rearrangement results in timed production of defined $\gamma\delta$ T cell populations, which leave the thymus and populate different epithelial-rich tissues in the adult animal (reviewed in 1). Interestingly, the timely controlled pattern of $V\gamma$ chain expression during ontogeny recapitulates the order of $V\gamma$

This article is part of a series of reviews covering $\gamma\delta$ T cells appearing in Volume 298 of *Immunological Reviews*

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Immunological Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Gina J. Fiala and Anita Q. Gomes are contributed equally to this work.

WILEY- Immunological Reviews

organization at the $C\gamma$ 1-TCR γ locus and proceeds from 3'-located V γ 5 to 5'-located V γ 4² (mouse V γ gene nomenclature of 3).

The first T cells produced in the fetal thymus are dendritic epidermal T cells (DETC), a specialized subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing an invariant V γ 5V δ 1 TCR. Subsequently, V γ 6⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are generated.^{4,5} Both DETC and V $\gamma 6^+ \gamma \delta$ T cells develop exclusively in the fetal thymus and have no junctional diversity due to absence of terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase expression. DETC are found in the adult skin, while $V\gamma 6^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells localize to diverse tissues such as, eg, uterine epithelia, tongue and meninges.⁶⁻⁸ Importantly, generation of DETC and Vy6⁺ y δ T cells is restricted to the confined window of fetal development and cannot be induced in adult animals. 9,10 Similarly, a subset of NKTy δ T cells expressing a Vy1V $\delta 6$ TCR is mainly produced in the perinatal phase.¹¹ Other V γ 1⁺ and V γ 4⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells develop from late fetal life onwards, throughout adulthood. These show higher junctional diversity and localize to diverse sites including peripheral lymphoid tissues, where they represent the majority of yo T cells in the adult mouse. The distinctive developmental phases, orchestration of TCR rearrangements and specialized tissue localization suggest a diversity of physiological roles for $\gamma\delta$ T cells encompassing features of both innate and adaptive - or "adaptate" immune surveillance.¹²

The participation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in immune responses through production of IFN- γ has long been established, and linked to beneficial roles in many cancer settings (reviewed in 13), as well as in viral, parasitic and intracellular bacterial infections, such as *Listeria monocytogenes*.^{14,15} By contrast, $\gamma\delta$ T cells producing IL-17 ($\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells) were identified more recently in mice as required for IL-17-mediated neutrophil recruitment during the early immune response to *E coli* infection.^{16,17} Since then, $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells have been described in diverse tissues as playing either beneficial roles in host defense against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Candida albicans*, among other infections, or detrimental roles in inflammatory diseases and in cancer, where they can promote angiogenesis, immune suppression and tumor cell growth (reviewed in 13,18,19).

The importance of $\gamma\delta$ T cell functions mediated by IFN- γ and IL-17 has moved the field towards acknowledging two main effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets (Figure 1):

- 1. IFN- γ -producing ($\gamma\delta$ IFN) T cells, including a fetal/ perinatal-derived subgroup expressing invariant and semi-invariant TCRs with no junctional diversity, which is made up by V γ 5⁺ DETC (in the skin) and the majority of V δ 6.1/V δ 6.3⁺ $\gamma\delta$ NKT cells (liver and spleen); and post-natally generated cells expressing more polyclonal TCR $\gamma\delta$ (mostly V γ 1⁺ or V γ 4⁺) with junctional diversity (localized in lymphoid tissues).
- 2. $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells usually expressing V $\gamma 6^+$ or V $\gamma 4^+$ TCRs, although minor populations expressing V $\gamma 1$ and V $\gamma 2/3$ chains have been described in the thymus and most notably in the liver.^{20,21} V $\gamma 6^+$ $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells are dominated by one invariant V $\gamma 6V\delta 1$ clone lacking additional N-nucleotide insertions and few semi-invariant V $\gamma 6V\delta 1$ clones,^{7,22-24} whereas V $\gamma 4^+ \gamma \delta 17$ T cells present a more oligoclonal population encompassing multiple (semi)invariant TCRs.^{22,25}

In this review, we will focus on the biology of effector $\gamma\delta$ T cells making IFN γ or IL-17, from their early steps of differentiation in the thymus to their functional properties in the periphery, and the underlying molecular mechanisms.

2 | QUEST FOR SURFACE MARKERS FOR MOUSE $\gamma \delta$ T CELL EFFECTOR SUBSETS

Over the last decade or so, the field has put much effort into establishing markers to identify and analyze the two main effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. The initial description of $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells characterized



FIGURE 1 Thymic development of $\gamma\delta$ T cells throughout mouse ontogeny. Limited windows in time allow for the generation of specific $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in the murine thymus. $\gamma\delta$ T cell development starts during early fetal and continues throughout life. The combination of distinct progenitors and a changing thymic microenvironment, constrains $\gamma\delta$ T cell development during ontogeny. $\gamma\delta$ T cells undergo effector cell differentiation inside the thymus influenced by signals received through the TCR. Strong TCR signaling promotes the IFN γ effector program, while IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells develop upon no/weak TCR signals. The dynamic changes of $\gamma\delta$ T cell development are further reflected in specific patterns of TCR γ chain rearrangement and expression

them as CD3^{high}CD4⁻CD8⁻CD45RB^{low}CD44^{high}CD62L^{low}, implicating a memory-like phenotype.¹⁶ It was therefore unexpected that peripheral $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells did not express the IL-2 and IL-15 receptor common β chain (CD122) but the IL-2R α chain (CD25).²⁶ By contrast, CD122 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells correlated well with IFN γ production and thus became a marker for IFN γ -producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells ($\gamma\delta$ IFN T cells),²⁶ later consolidated by Chien and colleagues.²⁷ While CD122 and CD25 associated well with effector fates in the peritoneal cavity, it soon became clear that most $\gamma\delta$ T cells in other tissues did not express either CD122 or CD25, although they produced considerable amounts of IFN γ or IL-17 upon stimulation. By studying the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor "superfamily" member CD27, our group described a robust marker differentially expressed on effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in multiple tissues.²⁸

CD27 had been previously used to characterize functional subsets of $\alpha\beta$ T cells and natural killer (NK) cells.²⁹⁻³¹ Among $\gamma\delta$ T cells, in most tissues, CD27 was expressed by 70%-90% of the cells, while 10%-30% were CD27⁻ in the steady state. We found that CD27⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells were CD44^{high}CD62L^{low} and contained all IL-17 producers but very few $\gamma \delta$ IFN T cells. In contrast, CD27⁺ $\gamma \delta$ T encompassed most CD122⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, had lower expression of CD44 and produced IFN_γ but essentially no IL-17.²⁸ Importantly, CD27 segregated two stable subsets in naïve mice, which retained their cytokine production characteristics upon in vitro culture and exposure to cytokines implicated in $\alpha\beta$ T cell polarization, or upon adoptive transfer into lymphopenic recipients, even upon infection with malaria parasites (Plasmodium berghei). Most interestingly, we found that the effector phenotypes were already established during thymic development, and since embryonic life. Our detailed analysis of $\gamma\delta$ T cell development revealed that manipulating CD70-CD27 signals on developing $\gamma\delta$ T cells in fetal thymic organ cultures (FTOC) impacted effector phenotype acquisition. In particular, CD27 signals were required for expression of the lymphotoxin- β receptor (LT β R) and downstream genes previously associated with IFN γ production in $\gamma\delta$ T cells.³² Consistent with this, CD27-deficient mice showed a specific reduction in IFN γ (but not IL-17) producers. Therefore, we established CD27 not only as a useful marker, but also a key regulator of $\gamma\delta$ T cell functional differentiation.²⁸ Similarly, Ly-6C expression was described to subdivide effector $\gamma\delta$ T cells, with $\gamma\delta$ IFN cells being Ly-6C⁺ while $\gamma \delta 17$ cells are exclusively Ly-6C^{-.33} By comparing $\gamma \delta$ T cells, based on CD44 and Ly-6C expression, with CD8⁺ $\alpha\beta$ T cells, the authors suggested $\gamma\delta$ T cell naïve-like and memory-like subsets sharing characteristics with adaptive $\alpha\beta$ T cells.³³ Of note, the downregulation of CD27 has been documented when naïve $\gamma\delta$ T cells encountered cognate antigen and underwent peripheral differentiation³⁴ similarly to what has been reported for human antigen-experienced/ memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells.^{35,36}

Besides lacking CD27 expression, $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells were found to express SCART1 and SCART2 and CCR6 in the adult mouse.^{37,38} CCR6 is the receptor for CCL20, a chemokine expressed upon microbial exposure, which has been reported to regulate lymphocyte migration towards sites of inflammation. The enrichment of $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells among CCR6⁺ $\gamma \delta$ T cells in the adult thymus and peripheral tissues

Immunological Reviews -WILEY

was especially prominent and resulted in establishment of CCR6 as a $\gamma\delta 17$ T cell marker. Of note, in the neonatal thymus only V $\gamma\delta^+$ $\gamma\delta 17$ thymocytes express CCR6, whereas the vast majority of V γ 4⁺ $\gamma\delta$ 17 thymocytes do not; it was suggested that CCR6 expression might be induced extrathymically on dermal V γ 4⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells.³⁹ A detailed analysis of surface marker expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the neonatal thymus found that CCR6 was exclusively expressed on mature (CD24⁻) $\gamma\delta$ T thymocytes.²⁰ In the neonate, CD24⁻ V γ 4⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are mostly absent from the thymus. Therefore, it remains possible that CCR6 induction occurs intrathymically on $V\gamma4^+$ cells that promptly leave to peripheral sites. Interestingly, the expression of the two scavenger receptors SCART1 and SCART2 was shown to be mutual exclusive on Vy6⁺ and Vy4⁺ dermal y δ 17 T cells, respectively, possibly due to different ontogenic origins.⁴⁰ More recently, the combined use of CD24, CD44 and CD45RB allowed Pennington and colleagues to clearly identify the IFN_y (CD24⁻CD44highCD45RB⁺) and IL-17 (CD24⁻CD44highCD45RB-) committed subsets, as well as their developmental trajectories from immature (CD25⁺CD24⁺) γδ thymocytes.²⁰ We find this phenotypic characterization of $\gamma\delta$ T cells especially helpful (Figure 2).

Different thymic trajectories for the generation of $\gamma\delta$ IFN cells have been recently described by introducing transient surface markers, namely CD117, CD200 and CD371, as useful tools to segregate CD24⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells within the adult thymus.⁴¹ CD371 identified the most immature $\gamma\delta$ T cells; in the absence of TCR ligation during development, these cells matured to naïve ($\gamma\delta$ Tn) cells with a gene expression profile similar to $\gamma\delta$ 17 cells, and were exported to the periphery still expressing CD24. In contrast, TCR ligation induced expression of CD200 and biased development towards $\gamma\delta$ IFN, with an intermediate stage marked by CD117 expression.⁴¹ This study emphasized the thymic export of CD24⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in adult mice; and confirmed that CD24⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the adult thymus mostly represent long-lived resident effector cells generated during fetal/ perinatal life.



FIGURE 2 Expression status of cell surface receptors that segregate effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. IL-17⁺and IFN $\gamma^+\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets express different surface receptors enabling their identification and isolation based on these markers. The most commonly used markers are highlighted for IL-17⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T (blue) and IFN $\gamma^+\gamma\delta$ T cells (red). Noted within brackets are surface markers expressed on particular subpopulations of the respective effector subsets

3 | THYMIC γδ T CELL DEVELOPMENT: PRE-PROGRAMMING EFFECTOR FUNCTIONS

3.1 | TCR $\gamma\delta$ signaling – a driving force in effector cell commitment?

Among the surface markers that segregate the two $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector subsets (described above; Figure 2), we encounter several proteins known as activation or maturation markers on conventional $\alpha\beta$ T cells. In $\alpha\beta$ T cells, activation markers are typically linked to TCR stimulation. Could the signal (strength) transmitted by the TCR $\gamma\delta$ determine the acquisition of effector fate in $\gamma\delta$ thymocytes?

A major difficulty in $\gamma\delta$ T cell research has been the limited knowledge on specific TCRy δ ligands. Therefore, most of the early studies analyzing the impact of TCR signaling were carried out using TCR transgenic mice with known specificities, which did not allow to enquire the full $\gamma\delta$ T cell repertoire and its TCR(V γ)-based subsetting described above. The synthesis of T10/T22-tetramers (two closely cules) for flow cytometry analysis enabled the first study of a minor but antigen-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cell subset within wildtype (ie non-transgenic) mice.⁴² The analysis of T10/T22-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells in wildtype and β 2-microglobulin-deficient mice found that these $\gamma\delta$ T cells developed in both mice and, consequently, antigen encounter was neither required nor inhibitory for their generation.²⁷ Interestingly, the expression of maturation markers on T10/T22-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells was affected in
^{β2}-microglobulin-deficient mice. While T10/T22-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells in wildtype mice included cells expressing high levels of CD122 and were enriched for IFN_γ producers, this subset was not detected in T10/T22-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells of β 2-microglobulin-deficient mice. Both mouse strains developed CD122^{low} T10/T22-tetramerspecific $\gamma\delta$ T cells which produced predominantly IL-17. Therefore, this study suggested that $\gamma\delta 17$ T cell commitment was independent of ligand encounter during development, whereas the IFN_γproducing fate required TCR engagement in the thymus.²⁷

A subsequent study from our laboratory has provided additional data supporting a role of TCR signaling in the development of specific $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector subsets. The research was based on a new mouse model, haploinsufficient for both CD3 γ and CD3 δ (termed CD3DH, for CD3 double haploinsufficiency), where we found both TCR $\gamma\delta$ surface expression and TCR $\gamma\delta$ signal strength to be substantially reduced.⁴³ Consistent with this, thymic CD3DH $\gamma\delta$ T cells had reduced expression levels of the activation/ maturation markers CD122, CD44, CD73 and CD5. A detailed analysis of thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cells throughout ontogeny revealed that $V\gamma 6^+ \gamma \delta 17$ T cells were decreased in early life but $V\gamma 4^+ \gamma \delta 17$ T cells developed normally, resulting in normal $\gamma \delta 17$ T cell abundance in the periphery of adult mice. In contrast, $\gamma \delta$ IFN T cells were diminished throughout life in the thymus and in peripheral lymphoid organs of CD3DH mice. Most importantly, CD122⁺ NK1.1⁺ $\gamma \delta$ IFN T cells (mostly V γ 1⁺) were virtually absent, but their thymic development could be rescued upon injection of an agonist CD3 antibody. The reduction in peripheral

 γ \deltaIFN T cells in CD3DH mice associated with less susceptibility to *P berghei* ANKA-driven experimental cerebral malaria, an inflammatory syndrome dependent on IFN γ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells.^{43,44}

The CD3DH phenotype is surprising considering that single haplodeficient mice did not show a similar impairment, and because several studies on TCR composition reported that CD3 δ was not incorporated into the murine TCR $\gamma\delta$ at least on mature $\gamma\delta$ T cells.^{45,46} Still, there might be a role of CD3 δ subunit expression levels on TCR $\gamma\delta$ assembly and expression during thymic development yet to be elucidated.

It is also intriguing that CD122⁺NK1.1⁺ $\gamma \delta$ IFN T cells appear to be especially dependent on $\text{TCR}\gamma\delta$ signaling during development. It may be that these CD122⁺NK1.1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells represent a unique subset that has yet to be fully explored; we next summarize additional findings that support this possibility. In the thymus of adult wildtype mice, CD122⁺NK1.1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells are found among the CD44^{high}CD24^{low} mature $\gamma\delta$ T cells (also termed "cluster B").⁴⁷ Cluster B in adult mice is made up of two mature $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets, the $\gamma\delta$ IFN NKT cells and the $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells, both thought to represent resident cells originating from an early developmental wave. Indeed, about 50% express NK1.1, CD122 and CD27 and are strongly biased for V γ 1V δ 6.3 TCR expression, which identifies them as $\gamma\delta NKT$ cells of early ontogenic origin.^{38,47} It has been suggested that $\gamma\delta NKT$ cells undergo strong agonist selection during development, with endogenous ligand-mediated activation of the V γ 1V δ 6.3 TCR inducing promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger (PLZF) expression and effector maturation of these cells.^{48,49} In the periphery, V γ 1V δ 6.3 $\gamma\delta$ NKT cells are most abundant in the liver. In the recent characterization of a conditional Bcl11b knockout mouse, two hepatic $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets with different developmental requirements were described: a NK1.1⁺CD5⁻ subset generated early in newborn mice producing exclusively IFNγ rapidly upon infection; and a NK1.1⁻CD5⁺ subset that comprised both IFN γ and IL-17 producers.⁵⁰ Interestingly, Bcl11b deficiency resulted in a complete loss of the NK1.1⁻CD5⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subset (besides a general block in $\alpha\beta$ T cell development), whereas the NK1.1⁺CD5⁻ proved to be Bcl11b-independent and retained CD122, high PLZF expression and IFN_Y production upon stimulation. These observations suggest that the NK1.1⁺ $\gamma \delta$ IFN T cell subset has specific developmental properties and represents a unique innate-like population.

Another important clue on the role of TCR signaling in effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation came from the analysis of Skint-1-deficient mice. V $\gamma5V\delta1$ TCR-expressing DETC were shown to rely on encountering Skint-1 during development in the embryonic thymus to embark on the IFN γ effector program. Absence of Skint-1 expression in a naturally occurring mutant strain resulted in V $\gamma5V\delta1$ T cells that atypically produced IL-17 instead of IFN γ .⁵¹ The experiments suggested that TCR ligation-induced signaling was the driving force to switch away from an intrinsic fetal $\gamma\delta17$ T cell fate.

A cell-intrinsic program indeed appears to drive the development of $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells in the fetal/ perinatal thymus. Thus, IL-17 expression in early precursors prior to TCR rearrangement has been documented in the fetal thymus; and $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells appear to require only weak or even no TCR signaling for their development.^{9,52} Moreover, strong TCR $\gamma\delta$ stimulation in fetal thymic organ culture (FTOC) drastically reduced the generation of $\gamma\delta17$ T cells.²⁰ It is worth to note that FTOC provide population-based endpoint analyses but do not allow following single thymocytes during development. Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that specific cells/ populations are lost from the pool rather than undergoing fate switching.

As a final point on TCR signaling, several studies have reported variable expression and dependency on associated proteins by different $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. The main Src family kinase Lck was surprisingly poorly expressed in mature $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells in the thymus⁴⁰ (and our unpublished observations), while Syk deficiency negatively impacted especially on $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells⁵³; and Blk was required specifically for V $\gamma6^+$ $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cell development.⁵⁴ These observations may also indicate that there is more heterogeneity among developing $\gamma\delta$ T cells (even at the signal transduction level) than initially expected.

3.2 | $\gamma \delta$ T cell progenitors – common or different for the effector subsets?

The identification of pre-programmed $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector subsets and their key contributions to peripheral immune responses highlighted, once more, the importance of understanding thymic development. How $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector subsets acquire their effector functions during thymic development remains an area of active research and controversies, particularly whether $\gamma\delta$ 17 and $\gamma\delta$ IFN T cells arise from common or distinct thymic progenitors.^{52,55} To understand the argument, we need to start at the $\alpha\beta/\gamma\delta$ T cell bifurcation during early thymocyte development.

In the adult mouse, bipotential thymocytes were found up to the DN (double negative/CD4⁻CD8⁻) stage 2 (DN2), in which they simultaneously rearranged and transcribed *Trb*, *Trg* and *Trd*,⁴⁷ whereas by the DN3 stage, the two lineages had fully diverged, as assessed through in vitro developmental potential assays.^{56,57} Two types of model have been proposed for the bifurcation of the $\alpha\beta$ and $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineages: pre-commitment and instructive models. A pre-commitment model posits that a developing thymocyte is already set on its path/ fate when the TCR is expressed; and only cells that express a TCR concordant with its pre-determined fate (ie, pre-TCR for $\alpha\beta$ T cells, and TCR $\gamma\delta$ for $\gamma\delta$ T cells) are able to develop further, while mismatched cells die off. On the other hand, an instructive model argues that the precursor is bipotential, and is directed into one or the other T cell lineage based on the TCR signals it receives, namely on the strength of such signals (reviewed in 58).

In support of pre-commitment, cell heterogeneity within the DN2 subset has been described and linked to different lineage biases *prior* to TCR expression. One notable example is the expression of the interleukin 7 receptor (IL-7R), since intrathymic injection and reconstitution of fetal thymic lobes with purified DN2 populations from adult mice demonstrated that the progeny of IL-7R^{high} DN2 cells presented a higher $\gamma\delta$ T cell to $\alpha\beta$ T ratio compared to that of IL-7R^{low} DN2 cells.⁵⁹ Furthermore, IL-7R signaling has been clearly implicated in the regulation of gene rearrangement and transcription Immunological Reviews -WILEY

at the *TCR*_γ *locus*,⁶⁰⁻⁶² while it may play additional roles in promoting the survival of $\gamma\delta$ lineage cells.^{63,64} Similarly, SRY-related high mobility group box transcription factor 13 (Sox13) was identified as a putative $\gamma\delta$ T cell-specific lineage regulator in the thymus.⁶⁵ Subsequent studies showed that Sox13 is required exclusively for thymic development of V $\gamma4^+$ $\gamma\delta17$ T cells, as these were absent in a spontaneous Sox13 mutant mouse strain, while other $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets appeared to develop normally.^{66,67}

On the other hand, TCR signal "strength" emerged as a key determinant based on studies using transgenic TCRy\delta expression, in which the manipulation of downstream signaling mediators had major effects on $\gamma\delta$ vs $\alpha\beta$ T cell commitment. In such settings, $\gamma\delta$ T cells required stronger TCR signals to develop than their $\alpha\beta$ T cell counterparts.^{68,69} It is noteworthy that besides full "knock-out" (KO) mice, also conditional KO strategies have been used to assess the role of signaling proteins during development and activation of T cells. Conditional KO strategies rely on introduction of a loxP site flanked version of the gene of interested and the expression of Cre recombinase from a specific promoter whose activity is restricted to a certain cell type or tissue. The heterogeneity within the $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineage and our incomplete understanding of its developmental trajectories impose limitations to the use of several conditional KO mice for the analysis of $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Indeed, conditional deletion using the proximal promoter of Lck-driven Cre (pLckCre) was shown to occur in DN2 cells and to efficiently target the $\alpha\beta$ T cell lineage,^{70,71} but had complex effects on $\gamma\delta$ T cells: while it failed to delete floxed genes in most adult-derived $\gamma\delta$ T cells, it was significantly more efficient (in mediating deletion) in $\gamma\delta$ T cells generated during early life, including DETC, $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells and $\gamma\delta NKT$ cells.^72 These data further suggest that the developmental pathways of distinct $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets may differ significantly.

An interesting possibility is the existence of various points of divergence from the $\alpha\beta$ T cell lineage path for discrete $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. Support for such a "multiple branching-off model" first came from studies on E17 fetal thymi. While DN2 cells expressing high levels of c-kit developed into both IFN γ^+ and IL-17⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, c-kit^{low} DN3 cells failed to give rise to $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells.⁷³

More recently, a progenitor fate analysis and single-cell RNA sequencing of discrete DN1 subpopulations, as previously defined by Petrie and colleagues,⁷⁴ suggested that $V\gamma 4^+ \gamma \delta 17$ T cells derive from CD44⁺CD25⁻CD24⁺c-Kit⁻ (DN1d) but not DN2 precursors.⁵² Such DN1d cells (from neonatal and young mice) contained a large proportion of Sox13-expressing cells, previously linked to $V\gamma 4^+ \gamma \delta 17$ T cell development.^{66,75} Spidale et al showed that DN1d cells had a transcriptional profile similar to that of V γ 4⁺ γ δ 17 T cells, which was inferred to be TCR-independent from the analysis of mutant mice.⁵² In the original analysis of DN1d cells by Petrie and colleagues, it had been noted their poor proliferative potential and faster differentiation kinetics when compared to other DN1 and DN2 subsets. Moreover, the adult DN1d cell population contained no canonical T cell progenitors, showed B-cell potential in vitro, and was therefore dismissed from being an intermediate between the common lymphoid progenitor and DN2 thymocytes.⁷⁴

-WILEY- Immunological Reviews

In contrast with the conclusions of Spidale et al.⁵² the earlier study by Shibata and colleagues reported that c-Kit^{high} DN2 cells can develop into $v\delta 17$ T cells.⁷³ Of note, the two teams analyzed thymocytes at different ages, which could explain the contradictory findings. Shibata et al used purified E17 thymocytes and analyzed total $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells, which contain many V $\gamma 6^+$ cells, whereas Spidale et al employed DN1 and DN2 precursors from 10-day-old thymi, which were introduced into FTOC, and focused on V γ 4⁺ γ δ 17 T cell development. Since $\gamma \delta 17$ T cell thymocyte development is supposed to be terminated in 10-day-old mice,⁹ the guestion remains whether those DN1d progenitors are potential "leftovers" from the perinatal phase, which are "reset" for $\gamma\delta 17$ T cell development upon transfer into the embryonic (E15) thymic environment of the FTOC. Thus, it would be informative to gain experimental evidence from the late fetal/ perinatal phase on Vy4⁺ y δ 17 T cell development excluding a DN2 stage, while directly assessing the differences to earlier fetal Vy6⁺ y δ 17 T cell development.

In sum, there may be two separate waves of $\gamma\delta$ T cell development that differ substantially with regard to thymic precursors and dependence on TCR signaling. The first wave in the fetus is made up by a set of progenitor cells whose origin is not yet fully elucidated, but some reports suggest they may arise in the yolk sac.^{10,52} These fetal progenitors are the first to develop in the thymus, an organ dynamically changing its composition and providing different microenvironments to developing T cells. 76,77 Indeed, the first $\gamma\delta$ T cells, expressing TCR $V\gamma$ chains that follow the timing of chromatin opening along the *Tcrg* locus, may develop into IL-17 producers by default, with no or low TCR signaling involved - unless the rearranged TCRs encounter their ligands, as it is the case for $V\gamma5V\delta1$ DETC precursors, and potentially for Vy1V δ 6 y δ NKT cells. Ligand encounter does not seem to positively select the TCR repertoire, but instead divert the effector fate towards IFNy production. Later on, a second wave of T cell progenitors enters the thymus; these differentiate towards γδIFN cells upon TCR signaling but are no longer prone to differentiate towards a default $\gamma \delta 17$ fate, instead generating naïve, uncommitted yo T cells that can display adaptive-like behavior in the periphery.^{41,76}

Next, we will focus on the current view of the molecular mechanisms that underlie the acquisition and maintenance (or potential change) of $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector functions.

4 | $\gamma \delta$ T CELL DIFFERENTIATION: FROM CHROMATIN TO POST-TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION

The acquisition of the capacity to secrete IFN γ and IL-17 during thymic development distinguishes $\gamma\delta$ T cells from their $\alpha\beta$ T helper cell counterparts, whose effector cell differentiation (Th1 or Th17, in this case) occurs in peripheral lymphoid organs upon activation in specific inflammatory milieus.⁷⁸ Thus, it became important to decipher the molecular mechanisms of $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation, and assess how similar or different they are from those previously established for CD4⁺ T helper cells.

Some of the key questions addressed over the past decade have been:

- 1. How peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets expressing IFN γ or IL-17 compare at the molecular level to their Th1 and Th17 counterparts, respectively;
- Whether the molecular determinants identified in peripheral γδ T cell subsets are imprinted during thymic development;
- 3. What are the relative contributions of epigenetic, transcriptional and post-transcriptional (including microRNA-based) mechanisms to the regulation of IFN γ and IL-17 expression in effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets.

In the next sections, we review the currently available data that provide some answers to these broad and still outstanding questions.

4.1 | Epigenetic regulation of effector $\gamma \delta$ T cell differentiation

Epigenetic mechanisms operating at the chromatin level control the maintenance of transcriptional networks ensuring autonomous maintenance of lineage phenotypes in differentiated cells, even through mitotic divisions.⁷⁹ A very important of such epigenetic mechanisms is based on histone modifications, which can either associate with active gene expression or with gene repression. Thus, histone acetylation, H3K4 (lysine 4 of histone 3) methylation (H3K4me2 and H3K4me3) and H3K36me3 marks are associated with active transcription,^{80,81} whereas H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 marks are deposited on silenced genes.⁸¹ Some of the first studies on genome-wide distribution of histone marks were actually performed on T cells.^{81,82} By correlating histone modifications with gene expression profiles, such studies constituted the basis of our current understanding of the epigenetic (histone-based) landscape of active, repressed regions or "poised" genes. The latter, also called bivalent, show both active and repressive marks, mainly H3K4me2/ me3 and H3K27me3, respectively.⁸³ This poised state enables silenced genes to be rapidly induced under particular conditions, with gain of active histone marks and loss of repressive marks, therefore allowing developmental or functional plasticity. This is the case for CD4⁺ T helper cell subsets, where genes encoding transcription factors exhibit a large spectrum of epigenetic marks and allow for functional plasticity.83

Recurring to CD27 levels to segregate IFN γ^+ and IL-17⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, we isolated CD27⁺ ($\gamma\delta$ 27⁺) and CD27⁻ ($\gamma\delta$ 27⁻) $\gamma\delta$ T cells, respectively, from peripheral organs of C57BL/6 mice and subjected them to Chipseq (chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by deep sequencing) analysis of activating H3K4me2 and repressive H3K27me3 marks.⁸⁴ By comparing with Th1 and Th17 populations generated in vitro, we found that most of the genes differentially marked between $\gamma\delta$ 27⁺ vs $\gamma\delta$ 27⁻ T cell subsets were not segregating between Th1 and Th17 cells, suggesting that lineage-specific mechanisms operate in $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation.^{83,84} An example was DkK3, a modulator of Wnt signaling with known regulatory functions in CD8⁺T cells,⁸⁵ which displayed active H3K4me2 marks selectively in $\gamma \delta 27^-$ T cells but not in $\gamma \delta 27^+$ T cells nor in either CD4⁺ helper T subset.⁸⁴ Interestingly, Dkk3^{-/-} $\gamma \delta 27^-$ T cells were enriched for IL-17 producers when compared with wildtype controls, although the underlying mechanism is yet to be clarified.

Our detailed analysis of chromatin marks in genes involved in global $\gamma\delta$ T cell biology revealed that those implicated in $\gamma\delta$ T cell development (Bcl11b, Id3 or Etv5) and survival (Actb, Bcl2, Bcl2l1, B2m) displayed, as expected, very similar histone marking in $\gamma \delta 27^{+}$ and $\gamma \delta 27^{-}$ T cells.⁸⁴ However, the pattern of active vs repressive marking in genes implicated in the cytokine production programs was unexpected. In particular, we were surprised to find that $Ifn\gamma$ and its transcriptional regulators Tbx21, Eomes and Hlx, were all "primed" for expression in both $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets (Figure 3). By contrast, the presence of active histone modifications (H3K4me2 and H3 Acetylation) on II17a and its key regulators, such as Rorc, Blk or Batf, as well as other type 17 signatures, like Ccr6, Il1r1 and Il23r, was clearly restricted to $\gamma \delta 27^-$ T cells. Consistent with this chromatin landscape, the transcript levels of II17a were substantially different (>1000-fold) between the two subsets, whereas Ifng was only mildly (<10-fold) higher in $\gamma \delta 27^+$ cells compared to $\gamma \delta 27^-$ cells. Importantly, the key epigenetic and transcriptional signatures observed in peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets were also present in their thymic counterparts,⁸⁴ thus reinforcing the concept of developmental programming of effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in the thymus. 27,55

Building on these molecular analyses, we challenged the functionalities of $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in vitro and in vivo. We found that while $\gamma\delta 27^+$ cells do not produce IL-17, even under strong type 17-driving conditions, $\gamma\delta 27^-$ T cells can co-express IFN γ (with IL-17) in highly inflammatory settings, ie, upon stimulation with high amounts of



FIGURE 3 Epigenetically "active" gene loci associated with $\gamma\delta$ T cell effector functions. CD27⁻and CD27⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, corresponding to IL-17⁺and IFN $\gamma^+\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets, respectively, display active dimethylated H3K4me2 marks in loci of genes associated with their effector functions (from the Chip-Seq analysis in84). Loci of genes associated with IL-17 production (blue) are only actively marked in CD27 T cells, whereas loci of genes related with IFN γ production (red) are actively marked in both subsets, highlighting the potential of IL-17⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells to engage in both cytokine programs

Immunological Reviews -WILEY

IL-1 β and IL-23, or in an ovarian cancer microenvironment.⁸⁴ These data suggested that in contrast to the "hard-wired" IFNy producers within $\gamma \delta 27^+$ T cells, IL-17-producing $\gamma \delta 27^-$ cells are endowed with functional plasticity, which can be deployed (to co-express $IFN\gamma$) under guite specific conditions. Subsequent work from our group showed that the polyfunctional $\gamma\delta 27^{-}$ ($\gamma\delta 17$) T cell population can also secrete IL-17F, IL-22 and GM-CSF upon IL-1β and IL-23 stimulation,⁸⁶ which is fully consistent with the permissive landscape of this subset.⁸⁴ Likewise, within CD4⁺ T cells, Th17 cells are substantially more prone to plasticity than their Th1 or Th2 counterparts. Thus, Th17 cells are able to transdifferentiate into Th1, regulatory T (Treg) and follicular helper T (Tfh) cells,⁸⁷ with chromatin-based mechanisms, including bivalent marks in master regulators like Tbx21 or Gata3, also underlying their functional plasticity.⁸³ Of note, Th17 cell plasticity is much more evident than that of $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells. For example, under the IL-23-rich inflammatory environment of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), Th17 converted into Th1 cells, whereas $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells remained "pure" IL-17 producers, ie they did not acquire IFN γ expression.⁸⁸ This limited $\gamma\delta$ T cell plasticity and its underlying mechanisms will be addressed at a later point (see 4.3.) in this review.

4.2 | Transcriptional control of effector $\gamma \delta$ T cell subsets

Following the genome-wide analysis of $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets, 84 our group assessed the specific roles played by "master" transcription factors, like T-bet and ROR γt , in controlling IFN γ and IL-17 production in these lymphocyte populations.

T-bet deficiency was found to significantly reduce IFN γ expression by peripheral $\gamma \delta 27^+$ T cells both in vitro and in vivo upon infection with murid herpes viruses, while also impairing IFN γ production by $\gamma \delta 27^-$ CCR6⁺ T cells stimulated with IL-1 β or IL-23 in vitro or during *Listeria* infection in vivo.⁸⁶ By contrast, Eomes was not essential, since no differences in IFN γ expression were observed in Eomes^{-/-} $\gamma \delta 27^+$ cells compared to controls,⁸⁶ which confirmed T-bet as the main regulator of IFN γ expression by $\gamma \delta$ T cells.⁸⁹

On the other hand, ROR γ t (*Rorc*) deficiency completely abolished the production of IL-17 by $\gamma \delta 27^-$ T cells.⁸⁶ While in CD4⁺ T cells, IL-17 production had been shown to be co-regulated by the auxiliary transcription factors ROR α and BATF,⁹⁰ these factors were not required for IL-17 production by $\gamma \delta$ T cells, since the corresponding mouse KO models displayed normal $\gamma \delta 17$ T cell numbers.⁸⁶ The same was true for IRF4, shown to be dispensable for IL-17 production by $\gamma \delta$ T cells and other innate-like lymphocyte lineages.⁹¹

Of relevance, in $\gamma\delta$ T cells, an additional and critical layer of TF-mediated regulation occurs during thymic development,⁹² and has been analysed in a global perspective by the Immgen consortium, that performed gene expression profiling of thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets.⁷⁵ This genome-wide analysis identified three clusters of immature $\gamma\delta$ T cells associated with distinct effector programs: the IL-17 producers (V $\gamma6^+$ and V $\gamma4^+$), the IFN- γ producers (V $\gamma1^+$, V7⁺)

-WILEY- Immunological Reviews

and DETCs (V γ 5⁺), with *Rorc, Maf, Sox13*, and *Sox4* associated with the IL-17A producers; and *Tcf7* (TCF-1), *Lef1, Tbx21* (T-bet) *and Eomes* with the IFN γ producers. These results were confirmed in additional studies that showed, for example, that Sox13, Sox4, and the Ets family member ETV5, are key regulators of the development of γ 617 T cells.^{66,67} Additionally, Hes-1, a component of the Notchsignaling pathway, and RelB, a member of NF- κ B family, promote IL-17 production by γ 6 thymocytes,^{93,94} while ID3 antagonizes this program by binding to HEB, an E protein TF required for expression of Sox13 and Sox4.⁹⁵ Of note, although Eomes expression levels are consistently higher in γ 6IFN compared to γ 617 cells, this TF is not essential for γ 6IFN cell differentiation,⁸⁶ as mentioned above.

A recent study has added an important temporal dimension to the role of some of these transcription factors regulating thymic $\gamma\delta 17$ cell differentiation. Upon performing single-cell analyses of *Sox13*, *Maf* and *Rorc* knockout mice, Sagar and colleagues have shown a sequential activation of these factors during both fetal and adult $\gamma\delta 17$ cell differentiation.⁹⁶ More specifically, Sox13-deficient mice lacked Maf⁺ Rorc⁺ II17a⁺ II17f⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the fetal thymus, and displayed reduced levels of Maf, Blk and Roc in $\gamma\delta$ T cells from adult thymus, whereas Maf-deficient fetal thymi lacked Rorc⁺ II17a⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, and Rorc-deleted $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not show reduced Sox13 or Maf expression. Thus, during thymic $\gamma\delta 17$ cell development, Sox13 acts upstream of c-MAF which is essential for ROR γ t function in orchestrating the $\gamma\delta 17$ program.⁹⁶

A more detailed discussion on the transcriptional networks operating in effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets, especially during thymic pre-programming, is provided by Anderson and colleagues elsewhere in this issue. Of note, an important regulatory function of TFs is to act as chromatin remodelers, mostly as promoters of open chromatin, thus leaving specific T cell loci accessible to other TFs/regulatory factors. Although, so far, no studies have specifically addressed this issue in $\gamma\delta$ T cells, several TFs have been implicated in chromatin remodelling during CD4⁺ T helper cell differentiation. This is the case for BATF and IRF4, which promote opening of chromatin at Th17 cell-specific loci, allowing access to ROR γ t.⁹⁰ Also, members of the STAT family of TFs were shown to shape the landscape of Th1, Th2 and Th17 cells, with STAT1 and STAT4 inducing T-bet, which then acts in conjunction with Eomes, Hlx, and Runx to induce Th1 cell differentiation, while STAT3 promotes Th17 cell differentiation.^{83,97,98} Although the role of STAT1 and STAT4 in the differentiation of IFN $\gamma^+ \gamma \delta$ T cells has not been addressed, STAT3 was shown to be dispensable for the generation of IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells.⁹³ Therefore, the relevance of this layer of regulation (chromatin remodeling) for effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation remains unclear.

Other issues that require further elucidation are (i) which extracellular cues, including potential TCR ligands, may feed in and regulate the transcriptional programs required for effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation; and (ii) how the transcriptional networks described separately for thymic and peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cells are integrated, and potentially cross-talk, within the cell. Future studies, based on single-cell analysis, will likely contribute to improving our understanding of these phenomena.

4.3 | Post-transcriptional regulation of $\gamma \delta T$ cell plasticity

Our group has been recently addressing the role of non-coding RNAs, with special attention to microRNAs, in effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) constitute a fundamental layer of post-transcriptional regulation, acting as negative regulators of expression for most mammalian genes by promoting the degradation of mRNAs or preventing their translation.⁹⁹ The overall relevance of miRNAs for immune cell functions has been demonstrated upon specific depletion of key enzymes involved in the biogenesis of miR-NAs in early stages of immune cell differentiation. Namely, ablation of all mature miRNAs at early stages of thymocyte development via genetic deletion of Dicer or Drosha, two crucial enzymes in miRNA biogenesis,¹⁰⁰ results in a developmental block that reduces numbers of peripheral mature $\alpha\beta$ T and invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells. Moreover, CD4⁺ T cells show reduced proliferation and survival after in vitro stimulation, but increased frequencies of IFN_γ producers, implicating miRNAs in T helper cell differentiation.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ Furthermore, the absence of microRNAs in naïve T cells hinders the development and function of regulatory T cells (Tregs), thus disrupting the balance between effector and regulatory T cells, breaking tolerance and causing immune pathology in vivo.^{101,103,104}

Interestingly, the development of $\gamma\delta$ T cells is not impaired by miRNA ablation; on the contrary, there is a substantial increase of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the double negative thymic compartment of mice conditionally lacking Dicer in early thymocytes.¹⁰⁵ However, only a very limited number of studies have addressed the role of miRNAs in $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation. miR-133b and miR-206 were the first miRNAs shown to be co-regulated with IL-17 in both $\gamma\delta$ and $\alpha\beta$ T cells, but had no functional impact on cytokine production.¹⁰⁶ In another study, based on the fact that miR-181a/b-1 cluster is highly expressed during thymocyte development and positively regulates TCR signal strength,^{107,108} Prinz and co-workers assessed its role in $\gamma\delta$ T cells, but found miR-181a/b-1 deficiency to have no impact on thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cell numbers or differentiation towards and IL-17- or IFN γ -producing effectors.¹⁰⁹

More recently, our group identified miR-146a as functionally relevant for $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation, and an important determinant of the limited functional plasticity of $\gamma\delta 27^-$ cells (Figure 4). Based on microarray analysis, we identified 35 miRNAs differentially expressed between $\gamma\delta 27^+$ and $\gamma\delta 27^-$ T cells from peripheral organs.¹¹⁰ MiR-146a and miR-146b were both upregulated in $\gamma\delta 27^-$ cells, but the later showed very low abundance in all T cell subsets analysed, and was therefore not studied further. MiR-146a had previously been shown to exert anti-inflammatory functions, including via regulation of the IFN γ program in both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺T cells.¹¹¹ Consistent with the profile observed in peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cells, miR-146a displayed markedly increased expression levels as thymic precursors matured into $\gamma\delta 27^-$ thymocytes.¹¹⁰ Interestingly, miR-146a expression could not be modulated neither by exogenous TCR stimulation nor inflammatory cytokines, thus suggesting a tight control by endogenous thymic signals. Functional overexpression studies showed that miR-146a down-regulates IFN γ production in $\gamma \delta 27^+$ T cells. Conversely, in the miR-146a KO mouse model,¹¹¹ a cell-intrinsic increased frequency of double-producing IL-17⁺ IFN γ^+ cells was observed among $\gamma \delta 27^-$ T cells in vitro (stimulated with IL-1 β and IL-23) and in vivo, upon *Listeria monocytogenes* infection.¹¹⁰

A differential Argonaute-2 (Ago2) RIP-seq strategy allowed us to identify Nod1 as a novel target of miR-146a in $\gamma\delta$ T cells,¹¹⁰ distinct from the conventional miR-146a targets (Traf6, Irak1, and Stat1, among others) identified in other immune cells such macrophages, B cells and $\alpha\beta$ T cells,¹¹¹⁻¹¹⁶ thus highlighting lineage-specific roles for miRNAs based on the potential target transcripts that are present. We found Nod1 expression levels to be consistently lower in $\gamma\delta$ 27-T cells (and V γ 1⁻V γ 4⁻/V γ 6⁺ γ δ T cells) compared to γ δ 27⁺T cells, in accordance with the expected inverse correlation between the levels of a given miRNA and its target mRNA. In fact, the high expression of Nod1 in $\gamma \delta 27^+$ T cells is consistent with Nod1-mediated promotion of IFN_γ production in CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, given that Nod1-deficient $\alpha\beta$ T cells have impaired IFN γ responses in vivo.¹¹⁷ Furthermore, we found that Nod1^{-/-} $\gamma \delta 27^-$ cells were unable to differentiate into IL-17⁺ IFN γ^+ double producers, in opposition to the phenotype (accumulation of IL-17⁺ IFN γ^+ cells) of miR-146a^{-/-} $\gamma\delta$ 27⁻ cells (all compared to WT controls).¹¹⁰ Moreover, by performing a genetic rescue experiment upon crossing miR-146a^{-/-} with Nod1^{-/-} mice (analyzing littermate controls) revealed that heterozygous Nod1 reduction (in miR-146^{-/-} Nod1^{+/-} mice) prevented the accumulation of double producers among $\gamma\delta 27^-$ T cells observed in miR-146^{-/-} Nod1^{+/+} mice. These results collectively indicate that Nod1 is the key miR-146a target implicated in the regulation of $\gamma \delta 27^-$ cell plasticity. Of note, - Immunological Reviews -WILEY

until now, only one other miRNA has been implicated in T helper cell plasticity, miR-10a, which restricts regulatory CD4⁺ T cells from acquiring Th17 and follicular helper T cell characteristics.¹¹⁸

In sum, we have shown that miR-146a limits $\gamma\delta$ T cell plasticity by targeting Nod1, an intracellular pattern recognition receptor that is an important mediator of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-induced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines,¹¹⁹ although the specific mechanism of action in $\gamma\delta$ T cells remains to be elucidated. Beyond miR-146a, our group is committed to characterize other relevant miRNAs, and even the full miRNome of effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets.

4.4 | Extracellular signals that control peripheral effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets

Peripheral effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses rely either on the activation and expansion of thymically (fetally/ perinatally) pre-programmed cells; or on activation and de novo differentiation of effectors from naïve $\gamma\delta$ T cells exported from the adult thymus.¹²⁰ While, barring a few exceptions,³⁴ antigen-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses remain illusive (as does the molecular identity of most TCR $\gamma\delta$ ligands), various extracellular signals conveyed by co-receptors and cytokine receptors have been implicated in controlling the peripheral pools of effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets.

Our group has shown that the CD70⁻CD27 pathway, besides critical during $\gamma\delta$ thymocyte development,²⁸ selectively promotes peripheral IFN $\gamma^+ \gamma\delta$ T cell responses via expansion of $\gamma\delta27^+$ cells.¹²¹ CD27 signal-ling, which synergizes with TCR signals via non-canonical NF- κ B, is required for survival and proliferation of $\gamma\delta27^+$ cells, thus controlling their



FIGURE 4 Regulation of IL-17 and IFN γ expression in $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. Integration of different levels of gene expression in CD27⁻(IL-17⁺) and CD27⁺(IFN γ^+) $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. CD27⁺IFN γ -producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells display active marks (H3K4me2) exclusively in IFN γ and related transcription factor loci, while the IL-17 locus is repressed (as dictated by repressive H3K27me3 marks). By contrast, CD27⁻IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells display active chromatin marks (H3K4me2) in both IL-17 and IFN γ gene loci, as well as their respective transcriptional regulators ROR γ t and T-bet, which drive IL-17 and IFN γ mRNA expression, respectively. However, co-production of IL-17 and IFN γ is only observed under limited and strongly inflammatory conditions. In the steady state, CD27⁻IL-17-producing $\gamma\delta$ T cells express high levels of miR-146a, which acts as a brake for IFN γ production by inhibiting the expression of Nod1, an inducer of IFN γ production in $\gamma\delta$ T cells

WILEY- Immunological Reviews

responses to viral and parasitic infections in vivo.¹²¹ CD28 is another co-receptor that supports TCR signalling in promoting $\gamma\delta$ T cell survival and proliferation, in this case via induction of IL-2 production.^{122,123} This is seemingly important for both $\gamma\delta$ IFN and $\gamma\delta$ 17 cells, since CD28-deficient mice failed to expand both subsets upon *Plasmodium berghei* infection, which contrasted with the $\gamma\delta$ IFN-specific effect of CD27.¹²¹⁻¹²³

Other "costimulatory" (or inhibitory) receptors reported to differentially impact on $\gamma \delta IFN$ and $\gamma \delta 17$ T cells are CD137, CD30, BTLA and PD-1. Agonist anti-CD137 (4-1BB) antibodies promoted the expansion of IFN γ^+ V $\gamma 1^+$ T cells, which protected mice from *Listeria* infection in an IFN γ -dependent manner.¹²⁴ On the other hand, CD30-deficient mice displayed a selective depletion of IL-17⁺ V $\gamma 6^+$ T cells in mucosal tissues in the steady-state and upon *Listeria* infection, which associated with reduced bacterial clearance, and could be rescued upon administration of an agonist anti-CD30 antibody.¹²⁵ As for BTLA and PD-1, they were shown to be important negative regulators of dermal $\gamma \delta 17$ cells, with major pathophysiological impacted on psoriatic-like skin inflammation.^{126,127}

Another important molecular layer of $\gamma\delta$ T cell activation and differentiation are cytokines, including usual suspects like IL-2¹²² or IL-7,¹²⁸ but especially the innate cytokines IL-1 β and IL-23, which are pivotal for $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells. Indeed, stimulation with IL-1 β and IL-23, but not TGF- β or IL-6, is sufficient to trigger abundant IL-17 secretion by $\gamma\delta$ 27⁻ cells in vitro, even in the absence of TCR stimulation.^{86,129,130} This "innate" mode of $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cell activation is underlined by their rapid response to pathogen-associated molecular patterns like lipopolysaccharide or lipoproteins, ligands for TLR4 and TLR2, respectively, via myeloid cells producing the key IL-1 β and IL-23 cytokines.¹²¹ Notably, IL-1 β and IL-23 are also the main inducers of $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cell plasticity, ie the co-expression of IFN γ with IL-17 in $\gamma\delta$ 27⁻ T cells, ^{84,86} as discussed above.

Finally, IL-1 β and especially IL-23 can also drive de novo differentiation of $\gamma\delta 17$ cells from naïve peripheral $\gamma\delta$ T cells. While the acquisition of the IL-17-producing capacity of "natural" or thymic $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells occurs exclusively during fetal/ perinatal development,²⁶ relying on fetal progenitors⁹ and fetal thymic microenvironment,¹³¹ inflammatory IL-1 β and IL-23 signals were found to induce the differentiation of peripheral $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells from adult bone marrow-derived naïve precursors.^{132,133} This was shown not only in vitro but also in animal models or multiple sclerosis ¹³⁰ and psoriasis.¹³³ More recently, Zarin et al demonstrated that IL-1 β and IL-23 also supported differentiation of $\gamma\delta 17$ T cells in an in vitro OP9-DL4 co-culture system and in FTOC, suggesting an unanticipated role for these inflammatory cytokines during thymic development.^{134,135}

5 | OPEN QUESTIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

This review focused on several layers of regulation of mouse effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell differentiation, while highlighting our group's main contributions. Despite the significant progress made over the past decade, various issues remain incompletely understood.

The signals involved in dictating effector cell commitment continue to be an area of intensive research, now benefiting from full transcriptomic comparisons and pseudotime alignments of single thymocytes through the use of single-cell RNA sequencing approaches. These may allow the dissection of novel TCR-dependent vs independent thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cell developmental pathways, as well as resolve the contradiction of having low or no TCR signalling in $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cell development within a $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineage promoted (at the $\alpha\beta/\gamma\delta$ bifurcation) by strong TCR signals. Moreover, such single-cell approaches will permit further validation of the proposed model suggesting that $\gamma\delta$ 17 and $\gamma\delta$ IFN T cells arise from distinct thymic progenitors.^{52,55}

Besides the TCR, for which the identification of *bona fide* ligands remains a priority,¹³⁶ it will be important to clarify which other molecular cues determine effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell commitment, especially for $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cells for which TCR signals seem irrelevant (or even counterproductive.²⁰ Recent evidence suggest that Notch signaling promotes $\gamma\delta$ 17 T cell development,^{134,135} but it would be important to clarify the role of other major signaling axis, such as Wnt and Hedgehog.¹³⁷ Ongoing studies to dissect the transcriptome and proteome of single thymocytes at different developmental stages will help to gain more insight into the trajectories and decision-making during development of the heterogeneous $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineage, taking into account ontogenic timing, distinct progenitor pools and the highly dynamic thymic microenvironment.

Following the seminal research performed on the basis of surface markers or TCR V γ chain usage, we believe future studies should employ cytokine reporter mice to isolate pure populations of IL-17- or IFN γ -expressing cells, so that cellular and molecular properties can be directly associated to effector functions (within heterogeneous $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets). We have generated mice with reporter gene markers for both cytokines (*il17a*-GFP *Ifng*-YFP), which we are using to define the full mRNA and miRNA transcriptomes of "pure" effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets. By combining with single-cell technologies it will be possible to enquire the potential heterogeneity even within IL-17- or IFN γ -expressing populations.

Another critical aspect will be how to best assess the function of particular genes within the $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineage. Indeed, a major limitation of our (and other group's) studies so far is the analysis of $\gamma\delta$ T cell phenotypes in full KO animals, rather than having specific gene ablation in $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Although some promoters, such as *Lck* or *Cd4*, have been used to delete genes in the T cell compartment, in what refers to $\gamma\delta$ T cells, the conditional KO strategy that ensures the most efficient deletion of genes is based on the use of *Vav1* promoter-driven Cre (*Vav1*Cre).⁷² Thus, future studies should use this strategy to test gene requirements within the $\gamma\delta$ T cell lineage. Ideally, one would use a highly penetrant lineage-specific promoter, but that quest has turned out very difficult, with even *Tcrd*-Cre showing limitations to delete genes in most $\gamma\delta$ T cell populations (except DETC).¹³⁸

Upcoming research should further elucidate the extracellular signals that drive peripheral effector $\gamma\delta$ T cell responses. A current view that requires more experimental support is that $\gamma\delta$ 17 cells respond to innate signals, whereas $\gamma\delta$ IFN cells may be involved in

adaptive-like responses, including antigen specificity (for which, again, the identification of TCR $\gamma\delta$ ligands remains critical). This is an outstanding topic also in human $\gamma\delta$ T cell biology.¹³⁹ On the other hand, with $\gamma\delta$ 17 cells being found to play important roles in steady-state tissue physiology,^{8,140-143} the signals that regulate their activities in situ are now also a notable unresolved question.

Finally, while this review was focused on IL-17 and IFN γ production as hallmark effector functions of murine $\gamma\delta$ T cells, one should highlight their versatility in mice and humans: besides being highly cytotoxic, as acknowledged for decades and currently being explored for cancer immunotherapy,^{144,145} $\gamma\delta$ T cells can provide a pleiotropy of factors, from antimicrobial peptides ¹⁴⁶ to wound healing associated cytokines like amphiregulin.¹⁴⁷ Therefore, we believe the modulation of $\gamma\delta$ T cell activities will hold promise in multiple settings of infection and inflammation-associated diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We dedicate this article to the loving memory of $\gamma\delta$ T cell pioneer, Wendy Havran (1955-2020). We thank Nina Schmolka, Karine Serre, Julie Ribot, Miguel Muñoz-Ruiz, Dan Pennington, Adrian Hayday and Immo Prinz for insightful discussions on these topics over the years. This work was funded by the European Research Council (CoG_646701 to BS-S.). GJF is supported by a European Commission Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (ref. 752932).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Gina J. Fiala https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8226-5584 Anita Q. Gomes https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3348-0448 Bruno Silva-Santos https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4141-9302

REFERENCES

- 1. Carding SR, Egan PJ. Gammadelta T cells: functional plasticity and heterogeneity. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2002;2:336-345.
- Xiong N, Baker JE, Kang C, Raulet DH. The genomic arrangement of T cell receptor variable genes is a determinant of the developmental rearrangement pattern. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004;101:260-265.
- Heilig JS, Tonegawa S. Diversity of murine gamma genes and expression in fetal and adult T lymphocytes. *Nature*. 1986;322:836-840.
- Havran WL, Allison JP. Developmentally ordered appearance of thymocytes expressing different T-cell antigen receptors. *Nature*. 1988;335:443-445.
- Heyborne KD, Cranfill RL, Carding SR, Born WK, O'Brien RL. Characterization of gamma delta T lymphocytes at the maternal-fetal interface. *J Immunol.* 1992;149:2872-2878.
- Asarnow DM, Kuziel WA, Bonyhadi M, Tigelaar RE, Tucker PW, Allison JP. Limited diversity of gamma delta antigen receptor genes of Thy-1+ dendritic epidermal cells. *Cell*. 1988;55:837-847.
- Itohara S, et al. Homing of a gamma delta thymocyte subset with homogeneous T-cell receptors to mucosal epithelia. *Nature*. 1990;343:754-757.

- Ribeiro M, Brigas HC, Temido-Ferreira M, et al. Meningeal gammadelta T cell-derived IL-17 controls synaptic plasticity and shortterm memory. *Sci Immunol.* 2019;4, eaay5199.
- Haas JD, et al. Development of interleukin-17-producing gammadelta T cells is restricted to a functional embryonic wave. *Immunity*. 2012;37:48-59.
- Gentek R, et al. Epidermal gammadelta T cells originate from yolk sac hematopoiesis and clonally self-renew in the adult. J Exp Med. 2018;215:2994-3005.
- Grigoriadou K, Boucontet L, Pereira P. Most IL-4-producing gamma delta thymocytes of adult mice originate from fetal precursors. J Immunol. 2003;171:2413-2420.
- 12. Hayday AC. gammadelta T cell update: adaptate orchestrators of immune surveillance. *J Immunol*. 2019;203:311-320.
- 13. Silva-Santos B, Serre K, Norell H. gammadelta T cells in cancer. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2015;15:683-691.
- Hiromatsu K, et al. A protective role of gamma/delta T cells in primary infection with Listeria monocytogenes in mice. J Exp Med. 1992;175:49-56.
- 15. Sheridan BS, et al. gammadelta T cells exhibit multifunctional and protective memory in intestinal tissues. *Immunity*. 2013;39:184-195.
- Stark MA, Huo Y, Burcin TL, Morris MA, Olson TS, Ley K. Phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils regulates granulopoiesis via IL-23 and IL-17. *Immunity*. 2005;22:285-294.
- Shibata K, Yamada H, Hara H, Kishihara K, Yoshikai Y. Resident Vdelta1+ gammadelta T cells control early infiltration of neutrophils after Escherichia coli infection via IL-17 production. J Immunol. 2007;178:4466-4472.
- McKenzie DR, Comerford I, Silva-Santos B, McColl SR. The emerging complexity of gammadeltaT17 cells. Front Immunol. 2018;9:796.
- Papotto PH, Ribot JC, Silva-Santos B. IL-17(+) gammadelta T cells as kick-starters of inflammation. Nat Immunol. 2017;18:604-611.
- Sumaria N, Grandjean CL, Silva-Santos B, Pennington DJ. Strong TCRgammadelta signaling prohibits thymic development of IL-17A-secreting gammadelta T cells. *Cell Rep.* 2017;19:2469-2476.
- Li F, et al. The microbiota maintain homeostasis of liver-resident gammadeltaT-17 cells in a lipid antigen/CD1d-dependent manner. Nat Commun. 2017;7:13839.
- 22. Wei YL, et al. A highly focused antigen receptor repertoire characterizes gammadelta T cells that are poised to make IL-17 rapidly in naive animals. *Front Immunol.* 2015;6:118.
- Fujikado N, et al. Aire inhibits the generation of a perinatal population of interleukin-17A-producing gammadelta T cells to promote immunologic tolerance. *Immunity*. 2016;45:999-1012.
- Sandrock I, et al. Genetic models reveal origin, persistence and non-redundant functions of IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells. *J Exp Med*. 2018;215:3006-3018.
- Kashani E, et al. A clonotypic Vgamma4Jgamma1/ Vdelta5Ddelta2Jdelta1 innate gammadelta T-cell population restricted to the CCR6(+)CD27(-) subset. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6477.
- Shibata K, Yamada H, Nakamura R, Sun X, Itsumi M, Yoshikai Y. Identification of CD25+ gamma delta T cells as fetal thymus-derived naturally occurring IL-17 producers. J Immunol. 2008;181:5940-5947.
- Jensen KD, et al. Thymic selection determines gammadelta T cell effector fate: antigen-naive cells make interleukin-17 and antigen-experienced cells make interferon gamma. *Immunity*. 2008;29:90-100.
- Ribot JC, et al. CD27 is a thymic determinant of the balance between interferon-gamma- and interleukin 17-producing gammadelta T cell subsets. *Nat Immunol.* 2009;10:427-436.
- 29. Borst J, Hendriks J, Xiao Y. CD27 and CD70 in T cell and B cell activation. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2005;17:275-281.
- Ruprecht CR, et al. Coexpression of CD25 and CD27 identifies FoxP3+ regulatory T cells in inflamed synovia. J Exp Med. 2005;201:1793-1803.

- Vossen MT, et al. CD27 defines phenotypically and functionally different human NK cell subsets. J Immunol. 2008;180: 3739-3745.
- Silva-Santos B, Pennington DJ, Hayday AC. Lymphotoxin-mediated regulation of gammadelta cell differentiation by alphabeta T cell progenitors. *Science*. 2005;307:925-928.
- Lombes A, et al. Adaptive immune-like gamma/delta T lymphocytes share many common features with their alpha/beta T cell counterparts. J Immunol. 2015;195:1449-1458.
- Zeng X, et al. gammadelta T cells recognize a microbial encoded B cell antigen to initiate a rapid antigen-specific interleukin-17 response. *Immunity*. 2012;37:524-534.
- Davey MS, et al. Clonal selection in the human Vdelta1 T cell repertoire indicates gammadelta TCR-dependent adaptive immune surveillance. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:14760.
- Davey MS, et al. The human Vdelta2(+) T-cell compartment comprises distinct innate-like Vgamma9(+) and adaptive Vgamma9(-) subsets. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1760.
- Kisielow J, Kopf M, Karjalainen K. SCART scavenger receptors identify a novel subset of adult gammadelta T cells. *J Immunol.* 2008;181:1710-1716.
- Haas JD, et al. CCR6 and NK1.1 distinguish between IL-17A and IFN-gamma-producing gammadelta effector T cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2009;39:3488-3497.
- Cai Y, et al. Differential developmental requirement and peripheral regulation for dermal Vgamma4 and Vgamma6T17 cells in health and inflammation. *Nat Commun.* 2014;5:3986.
- Tan L, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics identifies the adaptation of Scart1(+) Vgamma6(+) T cells to skin residency as activated effector cells. *Cell Rep.* 2019;27:3657-3671 e3654.
- Buus TB, Odum N, Geisler C, Lauritsen JPH. Three distinct developmental pathways for adaptive and two IFN-gammaproducing gammadelta T subsets in adult thymus. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:1911.
- 42. Crowley MP, et al. A population of murine gammadelta T cells that recognize an inducible MHC class Ib molecule. *Science*. 2000;287:314-316.
- 43. Munoz-Ruiz M, et al. TCR signal strength controls thymic differentiation of discrete proinflammatory gammadelta T cell subsets. *Nat Immunol.* 2016;17:721-727.
- 44. Ribot JC, et al. gammadelta-T cells promote IFN-gammadependent Plasmodium pathogenesis upon liver-stage infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2019;116:9979-9988.
- 45. Hayes SM, Love PE. Stoichiometry of the murine gammadelta T cell receptor. *J Exp Med*. 2006;203:47-52.
- 46. Siegers GM, et al. Different composition of the human and the mouse gammadelta T cell receptor explains different phenotypes of CD3gamma and CD3delta immunodeficiencies. J Exp Med. 2007;204:2537-2544.
- Prinz I, Sansoni A, Kissenpfennig A, Ardouin L, Malissen M, Malissen B. Visualization of the earliest steps of gammadelta T cell development in the adult thymus. *Nat Immunol.* 2006;7:995-1003.
- Kreslavsky T, et al. TCR-inducible PLZF transcription factor required for innate phenotype of a subset of gammadelta T cells with restricted TCR diversity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009;106:12453-12458.
- Alonzo ES, et al. Development of promyelocytic zinc finger and ThPOK-expressing innate gamma delta T cells is controlled by strength of TCR signaling and Id3. *J Immunol*. 2010;184:1268-1279.
- Hatano S, Murakami T, Noguchi N, Yamada H, Yoshikai Y. CD5(-) NK1.1(+) gammadelta T cells that develop in a Bcl11b-independent manner participate in early protection against infection. *Cell Rep.* 2017;21:1191-1202.
- 51. Turchinovich G, Hayday AC. Skint-1 identifies a common molecular mechanism for the development of interferon-gamma-secreting

versus interleukin-17-secreting gammadelta T cells. *Immunity*. 2011;35:59-68.

- Spidale NA, et al. Interleukin-17-producing gammadelta T cells originate from SOX13(+) progenitors that are independent of gammadeltaTCR signaling. *Immunity*. 2018;49:857-872 e855.
- Muro R, Nitta T, Nakano K, Okamura T, Takayanagi H, Suzuki H. gammadeltaTCR recruits the Syk/PI3K axis to drive proinflammatory differentiation program. J Clin Investig. 2018;128:415-426.
- Laird RM, Laky K, Hayes SM. Unexpected role for the B cell-specific Src family kinase B lymphoid kinase in the development of IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells. J Immunol. 2010;185:6518-6527.
- Sumaria N, Martin S, Pennington DJ. Developmental origins of murine gammadelta T-cell subsets. *Immunology*. 2019;156:299-304.
- Ciofani M, Knowles GC, Wiest DL, von Boehmer H, Zuniga-Pflucker JC. Stage-specific and differential notch dependency at the alphabeta and gammadelta T lineage bifurcation. *Immunity*. 2006;25:105-116.
- Kreslavsky T, Garbe AI, Krueger A, von Boehmer H. T cell receptor-instructed alphabeta versus gammadelta lineage commitment revealed by single-cell analysis. J Exp Med. 2008;205:1173-1186.
- Ciofani M, Zuniga-Pflucker JC. Determining gammadelta versus alphass T cell development. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010;10:657-663.
- Kang J, Volkmann A, Raulet DH. Evidence that gammadelta versus alphabeta T cell fate determination is initiated independently of T cell receptor signaling. *J Exp Med*. 2001;193:689-698.
- Durum SK, et al. Interleukin 7 receptor control of T cell receptor gamma gene rearrangement: role of receptor-associated chains and locus accessibility. J Exp Med. 1998;188:2233-2241.
- Kang J, Coles M, Raulet DH. Defective development of gamma/ delta T cells in interleukin 7 receptor-deficient mice is due to impaired expression of T cell receptor gamma genes. J Exp Med. 1999;190:973-982.
- Schlissel MS, Durum SD, Muegge K. The interleukin 7 receptor is required for T cell receptor gamma locus accessibility to the V(D)J recombinase. J Exp Med. 2000;191:1045-1050.
- 63. Hayes SM, Sirr A, Jacob S, Sim GK, Augustin A. Role of IL-7 in the shaping of the pulmonary gamma delta T cell repertoire. *J Immunol*. 1996;156:2723-2729.
- Laky K, Lewis JM, Tigelaar RE, Puddington L. Distinct requirements for IL-7 in development of TCR gamma delta cells during fetal and adult life. *J Immunol*. 2003;170:4087-4094.
- 65. Melichar HJ, et al. Regulation of gammadelta versus alphabeta T lymphocyte differentiation by the transcription factor SOX13. *Science*. 2007;315:230-233.
- Malhotra N, et al. A network of high-mobility group box transcription factors programs innate interleukin-17 production. *Immunity*. 2013;38:681-693.
- 67. Gray EE, et al. Deficiency in IL-17-committed Vgamma4(+) gammadelta T cells in a spontaneous Sox13-mutant CD45.1(+) congenic mouse substrain provides protection from dermatitis. *Nat Immunol.* 2013;14:584-592.
- Haks MC, et al. Attenuation of gammadeltaTCR signaling efficiently diverts thymocytes to the alphabeta lineage. *Immunity*. 2005;22:595-606.
- Hayes SM, Li L, Love PE. TCR signal strength influences alphabeta/ gammadelta lineage fate. *Immunity*. 2005;22:583-593.
- Shi J, Petrie HT. Activation kinetics and off-target effects of thymus-initiated cre transgenes. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e46590.
- Shimizu C, et al. Progression of T cell lineage restriction in the earliest subpopulation of murine adult thymus visualized by the expression of lck proximal promoter activity. *Int Immunol.* 2001;13:105-117.
- Fiala GJ, et al. Proximal Lck promoter-driven Cre function is limited in neonatal and ineffective in adult gammadelta T cell development. J Immunol. 2019;203:569-579.

Immunological Reviews -WILEY

- 73. Shibata K, et al. IFN-gamma-producing and IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells differentiate at distinct developmental stages in murine fetal thymus. *J Immunol*. 2014;192:2210-2218.
- Porritt HE, Rumfelt LL, Tabrizifard S, Schmitt TM, Zuniga-Pflucker JC, Petrie HT. Heterogeneity among DN1 prothymocytes reveals multiple progenitors with different capacities to generate T cell and non-T cell lineages. *Immunity*. 2004;20:735-745.
- 75. Narayan K, et al. Intrathymic programming of effector fates in three molecularly distinct gammadelta T cell subtypes. *Nat Immunol.* 2012;13:511-518.
- Ramond C, et al. Two waves of distinct hematopoietic progenitor cells colonize the fetal thymus. *Nat Immunol.* 2014;15:27-35.
- Kernfeld EM, Genga RMJ, Neherin K, Magaletta ME, Xu P, Maehr R. A single-cell transcriptomic atlas of thymus organogenesis resolves cell types and developmental maturation. *Immunity*. 2018;48:1258-1270 e1256.
- Zhu J, Yamane H, Paul WE. Differentiation of effector CD4 T cell populations (*). Annu Rev Immunol. 2010;28:445-489.
- Li B, Carey M, Workman JL. The role of chromatin during transcription. *Cell*. 2007;128:707-719.
- Wang Z, et al. Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human genome. *Nat Genet*. 2008;40:897-903.
- 81. Barski A, Cuddapah S, Cui K, et al. High-resolution profiling of histone methylations in the human genome. *Cell*. 2007;129:823-837.
- Zhang JA, Mortazavi A, Williams BA, Wold BJ, Rothenberg EV. Dynamic transformations of genome-wide epigenetic marking and transcriptional control establish T cell identity. *Cell*. 2012;149:467-482.
- Wei G, Wei L, Zhu J, et al. Global mapping of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 reveals specificity and plasticity in lineage fate determination of differentiating CD4+ T cells. *Immunity*. 2009;30:155-167.
- Schmolka N, et al. Epigenetic and transcriptional signatures of stable versus plastic differentiation of proinflammatory gammadelta T cell subsets. *Nat Immunol.* 2013;14:1093-1100.
- Papatriantafyllou M, et al. Dickkopf-3, an immune modulator in peripheral CD8 T-cell tolerance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109:1631-1636.
- Barros-Martins J, et al. Effector gammadelta T cell differentiation relies on master but not auxiliary Th cell transcription factors. J Immunol. 2016;196:3642-3652.
- 87. Muranski P, Restifo NP. Essentials of Th17 cell commitment and plasticity. *Blood*. 2013;121:2402-2414.
- Hirota K, et al. Fate mapping of IL-17-producing T cells in inflammatory responses. *Nat Immunol.* 2011;12:255-263.
- Yin Z, Chen C, Szabo SJ, Glimcher LH, Ray A, Craft J. T-Bet expression and failure of GATA-3 cross-regulation lead to default production of IFN-gamma by gammadelta T cells. J Immunol. 2002;168:1566-1571.
- Ciofani M, et al. A validated regulatory network for Th17 cell specification. Cell. 2012;151:289-303.
- Raifer H, et al. Unlike alphabeta T cells, gammadelta T cells, LTi cells and NKT cells do not require IRF4 for the production of IL-17A and IL-22. Eur J Immunol. 2012;42:3189-3201.
- Munoz-Ruiz M, Sumaria N, Pennington DJ, Silva-Santos B. Thymic Determinants of gammadelta T Cell differentiation. *Trends Immunol.* 2017;38:336-344.
- Shibata K, et al. Notch-Hes1 pathway is required for the development of IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells. *Blood*. 2011;118:586-593.
- Powolny-Budnicka I, Riemann M, Tanzer S, Schmid RM, Hehlgans T, Weih F. RelA and RelB transcription factors in distinct thymocyte populations control lymphotoxin-dependent interleukin-17 production in gammadelta T cells. *Immunity*. 2011;34:364-374.

- 95. Jojic V, et al. Identification of transcriptional regulators in the mouse immune system. *Nat Immunol.* 2013;14:633-643.
- Sagar, et al. Deciphering the regulatory landscape of fetal and adult gammadelta T-cell development at single-cell resolution. *EMBO J.* 2020;39:e104159.
- Vahedi G, et al. STATs shape the active enhancer landscape of T cell populations. *Cell*. 2012;151:981-993.
- Durant L, et al. Diverse targets of the transcription factor STAT3 contribute to T cell pathogenicity and homeostasis. *Immunity*. 2010;32:605-615.
- 99. Friedman RC, Farh KK, Burge CB, Bartel DP. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.* 2009;19:92-105.
- 100. Ha M, Kim VN. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2014;15:509-524.
- 101. Chong MM, Rasmussen JP, Rudensky AY, Littman DR. The RNAseIII enzyme Drosha is critical in T cells for preventing lethal inflammatory disease. *J Exp Med*. 2008;2005-2017.
- Muljo SA, Ansel KM, Kanellopoulou C, Livingston DM, Rao A, Rajewsky K. Aberrant T cell differentiation in the absence of Dicer. *J Exp Med.* 2005;202:261-269.
- Cobb BS, et al. T cell lineage choice and differentiation in the absence of the RNase III enzyme Dicer. J Exp Med. 2005;201:1367-1373.
- Liston A, Lu LF, O'Carroll D, Tarakhovsky A, Rudensky AY. Dicerdependent microRNA pathway safeguards regulatory T cell function. J Exp Med. 2008;205:1993-2004.
- 105. Cobb BS, et al. A role for Dicer in immune regulation. *J Exp Med.* 2006;203:2519-2527.
- 106. Haas JD, et al. Expression of miRNAs miR-133b and miR-206 in the II17a/f locus is co-regulated with IL-17 production in alphabeta and gammadelta T cells. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e20171.
- 107. Zietara N, et al. Critical role for miR-181a/b-1 in agonist selection of invariant natural killer T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:7407-7412.
- 108. Li QJ, et al. miR-181a is an intrinsic modulator of T cell sensitivity and selection. *Cell*. 2007;129:147-161.
- Sandrock I, et al. MicroRNA-181a/b-1 Is not required for innate gammadelta NKT effector cell development. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0145010.
- 110. Schmolka N, et al. MicroRNA-146a controls functional plasticity in gammadelta T cells by targeting NOD1. *Sci Immunol*. 2018;3: eaao1392.
- Boldin MP, et al. miR-146a is a significant brake on autoimmunity, myeloproliferation, and cancer in mice. J Exp Med. 2011;208:1189-1201.
- 112. Yang L, et al. miR-146a controls the resolution of T cell responses in mice. J Exp Med. 2012;209:1655-1670.
- 113. Taganov KD, Boldin MP, Chang KJ, Baltimore D. NF-kappaBdependent induction of microRNA miR-146, an inhibitor targeted to signaling proteins of innate immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006;103:12481-12486.
- Nahid MA, Pauley KM, Satoh M, Chan EK. miR-146a is critical for endotoxin-induced tolerance: implication in innate immunity. *J Biol Chem.* 2009;284:34590-34599.
- 115. Chassin C, et al. miR-146a mediates protective innate immune tolerance in the neonate intestine. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2010;8:358-368.
- 116. Lu LF, et al. Function of miR-146a in controlling Treg cell-mediated regulation of Th1 responses. *Cell*. 2010;142:914-929.
- Zhan Y, Seregin SS, Chen J, Chen GY. Nod1 limits colitis-associated tumorigenesis by regulating IFN-gamma production. J Immunol. 2016;196:5121-5129.
- 118. Takahashi H, et al. TGF-beta and retinoic acid induce the microRNA miR-10a, which targets Bcl-6 and constrains the plasticity of helper T cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2012;13:587-595.

- 119. Keestra-Gounder AM, et al. NOD1 and NOD2 signalling links ER stress with inflammation. *Nature*. 2016;532:394-397.
- Chien YH, Zeng X, Prinz I. The natural and the inducible: interleukin (IL)-17-producing gammadelta T cells. *Trends Immunol.* 2013;34:151-154.
- 121. Ribot JC, et al. Cutting edge: adaptive versus innate receptor signals selectively control the pool sizes of murine IFN-gamma- or IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells upon infection. J Immunol. 2010;185:6421-6425.
- Ribot JC, Debarros A, Mancio-Silva L, Pamplona A, Silva-Santos B. B7-CD28 costimulatory signals control the survival and proliferation of murine and human gammadelta T cells via IL-2 production. *J Immunol.* 2012;189:1202-1208.
- Ribot JC, Silva-Santos B. Differentiation and activation of gammadelta T Lymphocytes: focus on CD27 and CD28 costimulatory receptors. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2013;785:95-105.
- 124. Lee SJ, et al. 4–1BB signal stimulates the activation, expansion, and effector functions of gammadelta T cells in mice and humans. *Eur J Immunol.* 2013;43:1839-1848.
- 125. Sun X, et al. CD30L/CD30 is critical for maintenance of IL-17Aproducing gammadelta T cells bearing Vgamma6 in mucosa-associated tissues in mice. *Mucosal Immunol*. 2013;6:1191-1201.
- Bekiaris V, Sedy JR, Macauley MG, Rhode-Kurnow A, Ware CF. The inhibitory receptor BTLA controls gammadelta T cell homeostasis and inflammatory responses. *Immunity*. 2013;39:1082-1094.
- 127. Imai Y, Ayithan N, Wu X, Yuan Y, Wang L, Hwang ST. Cutting Edge: PD-1 regulates imiquimod-induced psoriasiform dermatitis through inhibition of IL-17A expression by innate gammadelta-low T cells. J Immunol. 2015;195:421-425.
- Michel ML, Pang DJ, Haque SF, Potocnik AJ, Pennington DJ, Hayday AC. Interleukin 7 (IL-7) selectively promotes mouse and human IL-17-producing gammadelta cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:17549-17554.
- 129. Sutton CE, Lalor SJ, Sweeney CM, Brereton CF, Lavelle EC, Mills KH. Interleukin-1 and IL-23 induce innate IL-17 production from gammadelta T cells, amplifying Th17 responses and autoimmunity. *Immunity*. 2009;31:331-341.
- Wencker M, et al. Innate-like T cells straddle innate and adaptive immunity by altering antigen-receptor responsiveness. *Nat Immunol.* 2014;15:80-87.
- Mair F, et al. The NFkappaB-inducing kinase is essential for the developmental programming of skin-resident and IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells. *eLife*. 2015;4:e10087.
- Papotto PH, et al. IL-23 drives differentiation of peripheral gammadelta17 T cells from adult bone marrow-derived precursors. EMBO Rep. 2017;18:1957-1967.
- Muschaweckh A, Petermann F, Korn T. IL-1beta and IL-23 promote extrathymic commitment of CD27(+)CD122(-) gammadelta T cells to gammadeltaT17 cells. J Immunol. 2017;199:2668-2679.

- Zarin P, et al. Integration of T-cell receptor, Notch and cytokine signals programs mouse gammadelta T-cell effector differentiation. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2018;96:994-1007.
- 135. Fiala GJ, Silva-Santos B. How to develop IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells. *Immunol Cell Biol*. 2018;96:886-887.
- Willcox BE, Willcox CR. gammadelta TCR ligands: the quest to solve a 500-million-year-old mystery. Nat Immunol. 2019;20:121-128.
- Melichar H, Kang J. Integrated morphogen signal inputs in gammadelta versus alphabeta T-cell differentiation. *Immunol Rev.* 2007;215:32-45.
- Zhang B, et al. Differential requirements of TCR signaling in homeostatic maintenance and function of dendritic epidermal T cells. *J Immunol.* 2015;195:4282-4291.
- 139. Fichtner AS, Ravens S, Prinz I. Human gammadelta TCR repertoires in health and disease. *Cells*. 2020;9:800.
- 140. Kohlgruber AC, et al. gammadelta T cells producing interleukin-17A regulate adipose regulatory T cell homeostasis and thermogenesis. *Nat Immunol.* 2018;19:464-474.
- 141. Hu B, et al. gammadelta T cells and adipocyte IL-17RC control fat innervation and thermogenesis. *Nature*. 2020;578:610-614.
- 142. Ono T, et al. IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells enhance bone regeneration. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:10928.
- Wilharm A, et al. Mutual interplay between IL-17-producing gammadeltaT cells and microbiota orchestrates oral mucosal homeostasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019;116:2652-2661.
- 144. Silva-Santos B, Mensurado S, Coffelt SB. gammadelta T cells: pleiotropic immune effectors with therapeutic potential in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2019;19:392-404.
- 145. Sebestyen Z, Prinz I, Dechanet-Merville J, Silva-Santos B, Kuball J. Translating gammadelta (gammadelta) T cells and their receptors into cancer cell therapies. *Nat Rev Drug Discovery*. 2020;19:169-184.
- 146. Ismail AS, et al. Gammadelta intraepithelial lymphocytes are essential mediators of host-microbial homeostasis at the intestinal mucosal surface. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2011;108:8743-8748.
- 147. Krishnan S, et al. Amphiregulin-producing gammadelta T cells are vital for safeguarding oral barrier immune homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018;115:10738-10743.

How to cite this article: Fiala GJ, Gomes AQ, Silva-Santos B. From thymus to periphery: Molecular basis of effector γδ-T cell differentiation. *Immunol Rev.* 2020;298:47–60. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1111/imr.12918