Effect of vitamin D supplementation on polycystic ovary syndrome: A meta-analysis

CHEN-YUN MIAO¹, XIAO-JIE FANG², YUN CHEN³ and QIN ZHANG³

¹Third Clinical Medical College, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310053; Departments of ²Anorectal Surgery and ³TCM Gynecology, Hangzhou Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310000, P.R. China

Received April 24, 2019; Accepted December 6, 2019

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2020.8525

Abstract. The aim of the present meta-analysis was to evaluate the effect of vitamin D supplementation on patients with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). A literature search was performed to identify all of the relevant studies comparing the effect of vitamin D supplementation with placebo in PCOS patients, in the PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases. All statistical analyses were performed on case-control studies using Review Manager 5.3 software, provided by the Cochrane Collaboration. A total of 11 studies involving 483 participants were included in the current meta-analysis. Vitamin D supplementation appeared to lead to an improvement in the levels of total testosterone [weighted mean differences (WMD) = -0.10, 95% CI (-0.18, -0.02)], homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [WMD = -0.44], 95% CI (-0.86, -0.03)], homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function [WMD = -16.65, 95% CI (-19.49, -13.80)], total cholesterol [WMD = -11.90, 95% CI (-15.67, -8.13)] and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol [WMD = -4.54; 95% CI (-7.29, -1.80)]. The results failed to show a positive effect of vitamin D supplementation on the body mass index, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate, triglyceride levels or high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol. In conclusion, the data from the available randomized controlled trials (RCTs) suggested vitamin D supplementation reduced insulin resistance and hyperandrogenism, as well improving the lipid metabolism of patients with PCOS to an extent. Further high-quality RCTs from a variety of regions in the world are required to determine the effectiveness of vitamin D supplementation in PCOS patients, and to determine a suitable dose and unit of vitamin D.

Correspondence to: Dr Qin Zhang, Department of TCM Gynecology, Hangzhou Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 453 Tiyuchang Road, Shangcheng, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310000, P.R. China

E-mail: zhqin@zjwh.gov.cn

Key words: meta-analysis, vitamin D, polycystic ovary syndrome, lipid metabolic, insulin resistance

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is a common female endocrine disorder, affecting 6-12% of women of reproductive age globally (1). It is characterized by symptoms such as menstrual irregularity, anovulatory infertility, hyperandrogenism and obesity, as well as metabolic dysfunctions including insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia (2). These symptoms can have a serious impact on the quality of life and health of patients. However, due to the complexity of this disease, the pathogenesis remains unclear, and an optimal treatment has not been determined (3). There is a growing need to develop innovative interventions to treat this disease.

Recently, a series of studies have demonstrated that vitamin D deficiency (VDD) is common in patients with PCOS and that VDD may be associated with metabolic and endocrine disorders in PCOS (4-7). Compared with the general population, the prevalence of VDD is relatively higher in PCOS patients (6). Vitamin D is a steroid hormone that is involved in the balance of calcium phosphate and bone mineralization (8). Vitamin D receptors are expressed at 2,776 genomic positions and modulate the expression of 229 genes in more than 30 different tissues, including the pancreas, liver, immune cells, brain and ovaries (9). As a result, vitamin D supplementation for PCOS therapy has attracted attention.

Although a growing number of intervention studies have assessed the relationship between vitamin D supplementation and PCOS, there is a lack of convincing evidence demonstrating the effect of vitamin D supplementation on PCOS. Therefore, the objective of this meta-analysis was to quantitatively summarize the available evidence to assess the effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic and hormonal functions in patients with PCOS.

Materials and methods

Data sources and search strategy. In the present study, a systematic search was performed, searching for studies between January 1965 and July 2019 in PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/), Embase (https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/embase-biomedical-research), Web of Science (http://webofscience.com) and Ovid Medline (http://ovidsp.ovid.com/). The search was initially conducted using Medical

Subject Headings and the following free key words: Polycystic ovary syndrome, polycystic ovary disease, PCOS, calcitriol and vitamin D. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating the effects of vitamin D supplementation for patients with PCOS were included in the current meta-analysis. A full manual search of the bibliographies of selected studies was performed to identify additional studies.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies were included if they met the following criteria: A randomized, controlled clinical trial comparing the effects of vitamin D supplementation with placebo; studies that enrolled women with a strict diagnosis of PCOS based on diagnostic criteria produced by the Rotterdam European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) and the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM); the main outcomes included body mass index (BMI), total testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate (DHEAs), homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function (HOMA-B), triglycerides, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) or low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C). For each trial, the most recent data was used in the present analysis.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: Studies reporting other diseases, such as diabetes, Cushing's syndrome, hyperthyroidism or other hormone-related disorders; studies reporting other treatments, such as calcium or metformin; and studies not published in English.

Data extraction. Data extraction and quality assessment were performed by two authors independently. The following information was extracted and entered into a database: The first author's name, year of publication, country, study design, date of accrual, diagnostic criteria for PCOS, the basic characteristics of participants (principal baseline characteristics such as number and age), the control interventions and the main outcomes which included BMI, total testosterone, DHEAs, HOMA-IR, HOMA-B, triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C.

Study quality. The quality of each study was independently assessed by two authors according to the following criteria: Studies designed with case characteristics matched to controls; the studies followed strict inclusion and exclusion criteria for patients; and the methodological quality of the included studies described by Jadad RCT guidelines (a randomized method, concealment allocation, a blinding method and a follow-up) and the Cochrane risk bias assessment tool (10).

Statistical analysis. Continuous data are presented as the mean and SD for all included studies. Weighted mean differences (WMDs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. In order to measure the heterogeneity among all included studies, Cochran's Q-tests (χ^2 test) were used. A Cochran Q-test with P>0.10 and the I² statistic <50% indicated statistical homogeneity. If the results of the Cochran Q-test favored homogeneity among the studies, the fixed-effect model was used to calculate the total effect size. The random-effects model of meta-analysis was used when unexplained statistical heterogeneity was present. P≤0.05 and a 95% CI not containing 0 (WMD) were considered to be statistically significant. Funnel plots were used to assess publication bias. The current meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3 software (https://community.cochrane.org/help/tools-and-software/revman-5/revman-5-download/installation) provided by the Cochrane Collaboration.

Results

Study selection. A total of 2,123 relevant studies were obtained in the preliminary examination. After reading the titles and abstracts, 132 related studies were selected. After obtaining the full texts and reviewing them one by one, 121 studies were further excluded. Among them, 76 studies did not conform to the inclusion criteria, 30 studies did not have complete data and 15 studies were of low quality. A total of 11 studies were eventually included. The literature screening process is shown in Fig. 1.

Characteristics of included studies. A total of 11 studies involving 483 participants were included in the meta-analysis. Table I lists the basic characteristics of all included studies. The diagnosis of PCOS in all 11 studies was based on the guidelines of the ESHRE and ASRM. A total of seven studies were conducted in Iran, two were in the United States, one was in India and one was in the UK. A total of 11 studies compared post-intervention differences in metabolic and endocrine parameters between vitamin D supplements and placebo groups.

Assessment of bias risk. The quality assessment of eligible studies was accomplished using the Jadad and Cochrane standards. The results are shown in Table II, and Figs. 2 and 3.

Meta-analysis

Effect of vitamin D supplementation on the BMIs of patients with PCOS. A total of five studies were included with the main outcome indicator being the BMI. Heterogeneity tests showed no heterogeneity in each study (χ^2 =1.00; P=0.91; I²=0%). The results of the meta-analysis using the fixed effect model showed that the BMI of the vitamin D group was not significantly different from that of the placebo group [WMD = 0.00, 95% CI (-0.20, 0.20), P=1.00; Fig. 4].

Effects of vitamin D supplementation on androgen level in patients with PCOS. The main outcome indicators in the present study were total testosterone and DHEA levels. Heterogeneity tests were carried out according to the varying outcome indicators, and the results showed that there was no heterogeneity in either study (χ^2 =0.79, P=0.94, I²=0%; Fig. 5; χ^2 =1.42, P=0.84, I²=0%; Fig. 6). The meta-analysis was conducted using the fixed effect model. The results showed that the total testosterone in the vitamin D group was lower than that in the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant [WMD = -0.10, 95% CI (-0.18, -0.02), P=0.02; Fig. 5]. However, the difference in DHEA levels between the vitamin D group and the placebo group was not statistically significant [WMD = 0.01, 95% CI (-0.04, 0.06), P=0.80; Fig. 6].

Authors, year	RCT	Date of accrual	Region	No. of patients	Age	Symptoms	Treatment	Course	Outcome	(Refs.)
Jafari-Sfidvajani <i>et al</i> , 2018	¥	March 2016- February 2017	Iran	30	28.43±6.27	PCOS, overweight, VDD	Weight-loss intervention + 50,000 IU/week oral vitamin D3	12 weeks	BMI, total testosterone, DHEAS	(25)
				30	27.83±5.71		Weight loss intervention + placebo			
Seyyed Abootorabi <i>et al</i> , 2018	Y	1	Iran	19	26.21±4.62	PCOS, VDD	50,000 IU of oral vitamin D3	8 weeks	HOMA-IR, HOMA-B, QUICKI, FPG	(26)
				17	22.76 ± 4.40		Placebo			
Maktabi <i>et al</i> , 2017	Υ	April 2016 -June	Iran	35	22.0±1.6	Phenotype B of	50,000 IU vitamin D	12 weeks	FPG, HOMA-IR, HOMA-B,	(27)
		0107		35	23.1 ± 3.3	FUUS, VDD	every 2 weeks Placebo		UULUAL, IOIAI LESIOSIEFIOIIE, DHEAS, triglycerides, total	
									cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C	
Ardabili <i>et al</i> , 2012	Y	March 2010-June 2010	Iran	24	26.8±4.7	PCOS, VDD	50,000 IU of vitamin D3 every 20 days	8 weeks	BMI, HOMA, HOMA-IR, OUICKI. FPG	(28)
				26	27.0±3.7		Placebo			
Raja-Khan <i>et al</i> , 2014	Υ	July 2009-November	USA	11	28.2 ± 5.2	PCOS	Vitamin D3 12,000 IU	12 weeks	BMI, QUICKI, HOMA-IR,	(29)
		2010					daily		FPG, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglyc	
				11	28.7 ± 5.6		Placebo		erides, total cholesterol, total	
									testosterone	
Garg <i>et al</i> , 2015	Υ	/	India	15	22.0 ± 4.61	PCOS	Metformin (1,500 mg/	24 weeks	BMI, HOMA-IR, FPG,	(30)
							day) + vitamin D 4,000		DHEAs, triglycerides, total	
							IU/day (monthly dose of		cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C,	
							120,000 IU)		total testosterone	
				17	22.8±4.56		Metformin (1,500 mg/			
							day) + placebo			
Dastorani et al, 2018	Υ	December 2017-	Iran	20	29.9±4.4	PCOS	50,000 IU vitamin D	8 weeks	FPG, Insulin, HOMA-IR,	(31)
		March 2018		Ċ			every other week		QUICKI, Triglycerides, Total	
				07	<i>5</i> 0.1±5.4		Placebo		cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C	
Javed et al, 2019	Y	/	UK	18	28.6±5.5	VDD	Vitamin D 3200 IU daily	12 weeks	hs-CRP, BMI, HOMA-IR,	(13)
				19	29.1 ± 7.5	PCOS	Placebo		weight, lipid profile, glucose	
									levels, insulin levels, FAI,	
									testosterone, TC, LDL-C,	
									HDL-C, TG	
Irani et al, 2015	Y	October 2013-January	USA	35	30.5 ± 1.0	VDD, PCOS	50,000 IU of oral vitamin	8 weeks	HOMA-IR, HOMA-B, ,	(32)
		2015					D3 once weekly		triglycerides total cholesterol,	
				18	29.6 ± 1.7		Placebo once weekly		HDL-C, LDL-C, DHEAS,	
									total testosterone	

Table I. Major characteristics measured in the current study.

2643

Authors vear	RCT	Date of accrital	Region	No. of natients	A ore	Symptoms	Treatment	Collese	Outcome	(Refs)
autoro, year	INU		IIOISOII	hauvun	1150	emodunto		20 mor	Ourcourte	(
Foroozanfard <i>et al</i> , 2015	Υ	June-August 2014	Iran	26	/	VDD, PCOS	50,000 IU vitamin D	8 weeks	HOMA-B	(33)
							weekly and calcium			
							placebo daily			
				26	/		Calcium placebo daily			
							plus vitamin D placebo weekly			
Bonakdaran <i>et al</i> , 2012	Υ	/	Iran	15	24.7 ± 3.3	PCOS	Calcitriol 0.5 μ g/day	12 weeks	Total testosterone, DHEAS,	(34)
				16	25.2±7.9		Placebo		HOMA-IR	
Y, yes; BMI, body mass i	ndex; DH	EAS, dehydroepiandros	sterone sulfa	te; FPG, fast	ing plasma gl	lucose; HDL-C, h	igh density lipoprotein-cholesterol	; HOMA, hor	neostasis model assessment; H()MA-B,
homeostasis model assess	sment of B	-cell function; HOMA-1	IR, homeost	asis model as	sessment of i	nsulin resistance	; IU, international units; LDL-C, lo	w density lipo	protein-cholesterol ; OUICKI, o	uantita-

Table I. Continued

tive insulin sensitivity check index; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; RCT, randomized controlled trial; VDD, vitamin D deficiency.



Figure 1. Literature retrieval process. Through searches of the PubMed, Embase, Medline and Web of Science databases, a total of 2,123 relevant studies were obtained. A total of 1,867 studies were obtained after removing duplicates. Of these, 256 were obtained after limiting the research direction and research type, and 124 of them were deleted after further reading of the title and abstract of the article. The remaining 132 studies were examined carefully. Among them, 76 studies did not meet the inclusion criteria, 30 studies lacked complete data, and 15 low-quality studies were excluded. Finally, 11 studies were selected for meta-analysis.

Effects of vitamin D supplementation on blood glucose metabolism in patients with PCOS. The outcome indicators that were used to evaluate the effect of vitamin D treatment on the blood glucose metabolism of patients were HOMA-IR and HOMA-B. The heterogeneity test was carried out according to the different outcome indicators, and the results showed that there was moderate heterogeneity among the studies (HOMA-IR, χ^2 =8.38, P=0.21, I²=28%; HOMA-B, χ^2 =7.69, P=0.10, I²=48%). The indicators were analyzed using the fixed effect model. Meta-analysis results showed that the HOMA-IR and HOMA-B levels in the vitamin D group were significantly lower than those of the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant [WMD = -0.44, 95% CI (-0.86, -0.03),

	Randomized	Concealment	Blinding		Total	
Authors, year	method	allocation	method	Follow-up	score	(Refs.)
Jafari-Sfidvajani <i>et al</i> , 2018	2	1	2	1	6	(25)
Seyyed Abootorabi et al, 2018	1	1	0	1	3	(26)
Maktabi et al, 2017	2	2	2	0	6	(27)
Foroozanfard et al, 2015	2	2	2	1	7	(33)
Irani et al, 2015	1	1	1	1	4	(32)
Garg et al, 2015	2	2	1	1	6	(30)
Raja-Khan et al, 2014	2	2	2	1	7	(29)
Ardabili et al, 2012	2	2	1	1	6	(28)
Bonakdaran et al, 2012	1	1	0	1	3	(34)
Javed et al, 2019	2	1	2	0	5	(13)
Dastorani et al, 2018	2	1	2	1	6	(31)

Table II. Quality assessment of the included studies using the Jadad Scale.



Figure 2. Quality of the included 11 studies, evaluated using the Cochrane bias risk assessment tool provided by the Review Manager software. Different colors (green, red and yellow) and symbols (' +', '-' and '?') were used in the figure to indicate low risk bias, high risk bias and unclear, respectively.

P=0.04; Fig. 7; WMD = -16.65, 95% CI (-19.49, -13.80), P<0.01; Fig. 8].

Effects of vitamin D supplementation on lipid metabolism in patients with PCOS. The outcome indicators that were used to evaluate the effect of vitamin D on the lipid metabolism of patients were triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL-C and HDL-C. The heterogeneity test was carried out according to different



Figure 3. Quality assessment chart. The percentage of each level and the level of each specific study item are presented.

outcome indicators, and the results showed that there was no heterogeneity in each study (triglycerides, χ^2 =0.81, P=0.94, I²=0%; total cholesterol, χ^2 =5.11, P=0.28, I²=22%; LDL-C, χ^2 =2.68, P=0.61, I²=0%; HDL-C, χ^2 =1.83, P=0.77, I²=0%). The meta-analysis was conducted using the fixed effect model. The results showed that the total cholesterol and LDL-C levels of the vitamin D group were significantly lower than those of the placebo group, and that the difference was statistically significant [WMD =- 11.90, 95% CI (-15.67, -8.13), P<0.01; Fig. 9]; WMD = -4.54, 95% CI (-7.29, -1.80), P=0.001; Fig. 10]. However, the difference in the triglyceride and HDL-C levels between the vitamin D group and the placebo group was not statistically significant [WMD = -5.59, 95% CI (-12.11, 0.93), P=0.09; Fig. 11; WMD = -0.43, 95% CI (-1.56, 0.69), P=0.45; Fig. 12].

Sensitivity analyses and publication bias. Sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the stability of the results using the random-effect method. The results showed that there was no significant change when any one of the studies was excluded from the total analyses. Funnel plots were used to evaluate the significance of publication bias. The results of the funnel plots showed that there was no significant publication bias for HDL-C (Fig. S1), HOMA-IR (Fig. S3), LDL-C (Fig. S4), total testosterone (Fig. S6), BMI (Fig. S8) and DHEAs (Fig. S9). However, publication biases were found in HOMA-B (Fig. S2), total cholesterol (Fig. S5) and triglycerides (Fig. S7).

Discussion

The current meta-analysis of RCTs was designed to determine the efficacy of vitamin D supplementation in



Figure 4. A total of five studies (n=201) reported the BMI at the endpoint. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.91, I^2 =0%). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that there was no statistical difference in the BMI between the vitamin D group and the placebo group. Weighted mean difference = 0.00, 95% confidence interval (-0.20, 0.20), P=1.00. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 5. A total of five studies (n=215) reported the total testosterone levels at the endpoint. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.94, $I^2=0\%$). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that the total testosterone levels of the vitamin D group were lower than those of the placebo group, and that the difference was statistically significant. Weighted mean difference = -0.10, 95% CI (- 0.18, -0.02), P=0.02. CI, confidence interval.



Figure 6. A total of five studies (n=246) reported the DHEA values at the endpoint. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.84, I^2 =0%). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that there was no statistical difference in DHEAs between the vitamin D group and the placebo group. Weighted mean difference = 0.01, 95% confidence interval (-0.04, 0.06), P=0.80. CI, confidence interval; DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone.

	Expe	rimer	ntal	Co	ontrol	_		Mean difference		M	lean diff	erence	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% C		IV	. Fixed,	95% CI	
Bonakdaran 2012	-1.5	5.9	15	-0.9	2.55	16	1.6%	-0.60 [-3.84, 2.64]			+		
Hania 2012	0.53	2.2	24	-0.061	1.06	26	18.3%	0.59 [-0.38, 1.56]			*		
Nazia Raja-Khan 2014	2.32	6.65	11	0.19	3.53	11	0.9%	2.13 [-2.32, 6.58]			+		
Rajesh Khadgawat 2015	-1.5	2.97	15	-0.6	2	17	5.4%	-0.90 [-2.68, 0.88]			-		
Sakineh Nourisaeidlou 2017	0.04	1.19	19	0.32	1.35	17	24.7%	-0.28 [-1.12, 0.56]			*		
Thozhukat Sathyapalan.2019	-16.3	52.5	18	-19.3	54.3	19	0.0%	3.00 [-31.41, 37.41]		_			
Zatollah Asemi 2017	-0.3	0.8	35	0.6	1.6	35	49.0%	-0.90 [-1.49, -0.31]					
Total (95% CI)			137			141	100.0%	-0.44 [-0.86, -0.03]					
Heterogeneity: Chi2 = 8.38, df =	6 (P = 0	21); P	= 28%							-	<u> </u>		400
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.09 (P = 0.04)							-100 Fa	-50 avours (experim	ental) F	avours [conti	rol]

Figure 7. A total of seven studies (n=278) reported HOMA-IR values at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.21, I^2 =28%). Results of the meta-analysis of the fixed-effect model showed that the HOMA-IR value in the vitamin D group was lower than that in the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant. Weighted mean difference = -0.44, 95% CI (-0.86, -0.03), P=0.04. CI, confidence interval; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance.

PCOS. HOMA-IR, HOMA-B are both important factors in the development of diabetes, and are also used as one of the main methods to measure insulin sensitivity (11,12). In regards to lipid metabolism, LDL-C, HDL-C, triglycerides and total cholesterol are common indicators of the lipid profile of a patient, and LDL-C is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (13). Evidence from the current results suggested that the use of vitamin D, as a treatment for patients with PCOS, could improve insulin resistance, hyperandrogenism and a number of the lipid metabolic parameters in PCOS patients in the short-term follow-up intervention.



Figure 8. A total of five studies (n=261) reported HOMA-B values at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.10, I2=48%). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that the endpoint HOMA-B value of the vitamin D group was lower than that of the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant. Weighted mean difference = -16.65, 95% CI (-19.49, -13.80), P<0.01. CI, confidence interval; HOMA-B, homeostasis model assessment of β -cell function.



Figure 9. A total of five studies (n=217) reported total cholesterol values at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.28, I^2 =22%). The results of the meta-analysis on the fixed-effect model showed that the total cholesterol value of the vitamin D group was lower than that of the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant. Weighted mean difference = -11.90, 95% CI (-15.67, -8.13), P<0.01. CI, confidence interval.



Figure 10. A total of five studies (n=217) reported LDL-C values at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.61, $I^2=0\%$). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that the LDL-C value of the vitamin D group was lower than that in the placebo group, and the difference was statistically significant. Weighted mean difference = -4.54, 95%CI (-7.29, -1.80), P=0.001. CI, confidence interval; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol.

	Expe	eriment	al	C	ontrol			Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Mohamad Irani 2015	-21	21.07	35	-15	17.94	18	36.2%	-6.00 [-16.84, 4.84]	-=-
Nazia Raja-Khan 2014	-11.35	65.9	11	1.26	81.54	11	1.1%	-12.61 [-74.57, 49.35]	
Rajesh Khadgawat 2015	-35	88.79	15	-11	32.79	17	1.9%	-24.00 [-71.56, 23.56]	
Zatollah Asemi 2017	-0.5	46.4	35	6.9	23.6	35	14.3%	-7.40 [-24.65, 9.85]	
Zatollah Asemi.2018	2.1	17.4	20	5.9	13.2	20	46.5%	-3.80 [-13.37, 5.77]	-=-
Total (95% CI)			116			101	100.0%	-5.59 [-12.11, 0.93]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0.81,	df=4 (P	= 0.94);	I ² = 09	6					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.1$	68 (P = 0	.09)							Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Figure 11. A total of five studies (n=217) reported the triglyceride levels at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.94, I^2 =0%). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that there was no statistical difference in triglyceride levels between the vitamin D group and the placebo group. Weighted mean difference = -5.59, 95% CI (-12.11, 0.93), P=0.09. CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom.

	Exp	eriment	tal	C	Control			Mean difference	Mean difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	IV. Fixed, 95% CI
Mohamad Irani 2015	2	2.51	35	3	3.06	18	46.9%	-1.00 [-2.64, 0.64]	
Nazia Raja-Khan 2014	0.19	18.01	11	-2.73	10.78	11	0.8%	2.92 [-9.48, 15.32]	
Rajesh Khadgawat 2015	1	5.2	15	2	6	17	8.4%	-1.00 [-4.88, 2.88]	+
Zatollah Asemi 2017	-0.2	6.5	35	-1.2	5.7	35	15.4%	1.00 [-1.86, 3.86]	t
Zatollah Asemi.2018	-1	2.8	20	-0.8	3.9	20	28.5%	-0.20 [-2.30, 1.90]	Ť
Total (95% CI)			116			101	100.0%	-0.43 [-1.56, 0.69]	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.83,	df = 4 (F	P = 0.77); l² = 0	%					
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0$.	.75 (P =	0.45)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 12. A total of five studies (n=217) reported HDL-C value at the endpoint. There was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the results (P=0.77, I^2 =0%). The meta-analysis results of the fixed-effect model showed that there was no statistical difference in HDL-C values between the vitamin D group and the placebo group at the at the endpoint. Weighted mean difference = -0.43, 95% CI (-1.56, 0.69), P=0.45. CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol.

These outcomes are consistent with several previous reports. Several similar studies (14-16) have demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation therapy has a beneficial effect on insulin resistance in women with PCOS. Wehr et al (17) found that after 12 weeks of vitamin D treatment, total cholesterol and LDL-C levels significantly increased, while there was no obvious change in HDL-C and triglycerides levels. Following 24 weeks of intervention, significant changes were observed in the levels of total cholesterol, LDL-C and triglycerides. According to this study, some of the non-significant results may be attributed to the short duration of the intervention. A previous meta-analysis by Azadi-Yazdi et al (18) suggested that vitamin D supplementation may significantly affect the serum total testosterone, whilst not being effective in improving other markers of the androgenic profile. These results are consistent with the results of the present study.

Insulin resistance is defined decreased sensitivity of tissues to insulin, thereby resulting in reduced glucose utilization. As glucose does not enter the target cells, serum glucose concentrations increase, which stimulates pancreatic β -cells to secrete more insulin into the blood. It is generally considered that insulin resistance plays an pivotal role in the pathogenesis of PCOS (19). Insulin resistance is associated with the development of impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes, as well as affecting lipid metabolism (20). However, insulin resistance also increases hyperandrogenism by affecting the production of hepatic sex hormone-binding globulin (21). Vitamin D may contribute to the development of insulin resistance by enhancing PPARy expression, which enhances insulin synthesis and release, and vitamin D may promote insulin receptor expression or suppression of proinflammatory cytokines (9,22,23).

However, a 2016 meta-analysis (24) reported that vitamin D supplementation did not influence the glucose and lipid metabolic parameters in PCOS patients. Due to the different interventions of the included studies, the result of the present meta-analysis is different from that of the 2016 meta-analysis, in which the intervention method was vitamin D supplementation or metformin.

The current meta-analysis had a number of strengths, including a comprehensive literature search, specified inclusion and exclusion criteria, explicit methods for data extraction and the inclusion of measures taken to properly reduce the influence of bias and to assess heterogeneity. However, despite these strengths, the current meta-analysis also had a number of limitations. Firstly, the number of studies available for each meta-analysis was less than seven, which may be too small for the assessment of publication bias through funnel plots. Most studies examined had a small sample size and short term follow-ups. There were also many variations among studies such as doses and units of vitamin D that were used, as well as the duration of interventions. The present study was unable to investigate the curative effects of different doses and treatment durations. Furthermore, varying levels of exposure to sunlight may have had an impact on the analysis, which may have affected the quality of the results. A number of the studies also did not apply appropriate randomization and allocation concealment, thus there is a risk of selection bias.

In conclusion, despite several limitations, the present study found that vitamin D supplementation could affect insulin resistance, lipid metabolism and, to an extent, hyperandrogenism in patients with PCOS. Therefore, vitamin D should be considered as a treatment for PCOS. There is a strong need for further research to directly validate the results of the present study. Further studies should include large sample sizes and long-term interventions, with double-blind placebo controls. It would also be of benefit to perform these studies worldwide, especially in areas where women are exposed to abundant sunlight. Additionally, it would be of interest to the effects of vitamin D on the induction of ovulation or menstrual cycle regulation in patients with PCOS. Further experiments should also be designed to explore the pathogenesis between vitamin D and PCOS. Finally, an optimal dose of vitamin D should be determined to allow for an effective therapy to be developed.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

No funding was received.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

CYM and XJF were involved in data management and statistics, and drafted the manuscript. QZ and YC verifed the extracted data following the literature search, monitored the study and drafted the manuscript. QZ deisgned the current study. XJF and YC conducted the searches and performed statistical anlaysis. QZ and CYM performed extracted the data and contributed to quality assessment. All authors contributed to drafting and revising the manuscript and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Bozdag G, Mumusoglu S, Zengin D, Karabulut E and Yildiz BO: The prevalence and phenotypic features of polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod 31: 2841-2855, 2016.

- 2. Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome (pcos). Hum Reprod 19: 41-47, 2004.
- 3. Jin P and Xie Y: Treatment strategies for women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Gynecol Endocrinol 34: 272-277, 2018.
- 4. Wild RA, Rizzo M, Clifton S and Carmina E: Lipid levels in polycystic ovary syndrome: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril 95: 1073-1079, 2011.
- 5. Thomson RL, Spedding S and Buckley JD: Vitamin D in the aetiology and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 77: 343-350, 2012.
- 6. Mousa A, Naderpoor N, Teede HJ, De Courten MP, Scragg R and De Courten B: Vitamin D and cardiometabolic risk factors and diseases. Minerva Endocrinol 40: 213-230, 2015. Trummer C, Pilz S, Schwetz V, Obermayer-Pietsch B and
- Lerchbaum E: Vitamin D, PCOS and androgens in men: A systematic review. Endocr Connect 7: R95-R113, 2018
- 8. Holick MF: Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 357: 266-281, 2007
- 9. Gatti D, Idolazzi L and Fassio A: Vitamin D: Not just bone, but also immunity. Minerva Med 107: 452-460, 2016.
- Jørgensen L, Paludan-Müller AS, Laursen DR, Savović J, Boutron I, Sterne JA, Higgins JP and Hróbjartsson A: Evaluation of the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized clinical trials: Overview of published comments and analysis of user practice in cochrane and non-cochrane reviews. Syst Rev 5: 80, 2016.
- 11. Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G and Quon MJ: Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: A simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 2402-2410, 2000.
- 12. Rössner SM, Neovius M, Mattsson A, Marcus C and Norgren S: HOMA-IR and QUICKI: Decide on a general standard instead of making further comparisons. Acta Paediatr 99: 1735-1740, 2010.
- 13. Javed Z, Papageorgiou M, Deshmukh H, Kilpatrick ES, Mann V, Corless L, Abouda G, Rigby AS, Atkin SL and Sathyapalan T: A randomized, controlled trial of vitamin D supplementation on cardiovascular risk factors, hormones, and liver markers in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Nutrients 11: E188, 2019.
- 14. Selimoglu H, Duran C, Kiyici S, Ersoy C, Guclu M, Ozkaya G, Tuncel E, Erturk E and Imamoglu S: The effect of vitamin D replacement therapy on insulin resistance and androgen levels in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Endocrinol Invest 33: 234-238, 2010.
- 15. Łagowska K, Bajerska J and Jamka M: The role of vitamin D oral supplementation in insulin resistance in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrients 10: E1637, 2018.
- 16. Hu Z, Chen J, Sun X, Wang L and Wang A: Efficacy of vitamin D supplementation on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients: A meta-analysis of interventional studies. Medicine (Baltimore) 98: e14970, 2019.
- 17. Wehr E, Pieber TR and Obermayer-Pietsch B: Effect of vitamin D3 treatment on glucose metabolism and menstrual frequency in polycystic ovary syndrome women: A pilot study. J Endocrinol Invest 34: 757-763, 2011.
- 18. Azadi-Yazdi M, Nadjarzadeh A, Khosravi-Boroujeni H and, Salehi-Abargouei A: The effect of vitamin D supplementation on the androgenic profile in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. Horm Metab Res 49: 174-179, 2017.
- 19. Cetkovic N, Pellicano R, Bjelica A and Abenavoli L: Polycystic ovary syndrome and vitamin D serum levels. Minerva Endocrinol 44: 82-84, 2019.
- 20. Dargham SR, Ahmed L, Kilpatrick ES and Atkin SL: The prevalence and metabolic characteristics of polycystic ovary syndrome in the Qatari population. PLoS One 12: e0181467, 2017.
- 21. Pugeat M, Crave J, Tourniaire J and Forest M: Clinical utility of sex hormone-binding globulin measurement. Horm Res 45: 148-155, 1996.

- 22. Hoseini R, Damirchi A and Babaei P: Vitamin D increases PPARy expression and promotes beneficial effects of physical activity in metabolic syndrome. Nutrition 36: 54-59, 2017.
- Pludowski P, Holick MF, Pilz S, Wagner CL, Hollis BW, Grant WB, Shoenfeld Y, Lerchbaum E, Llewellyn DJ, Kienreich K and Soni M: Vitamin D effects on musculoskeletal health, immunity, autoimmunity, cardiovascular disease, cancer, fertility, pregnancy, dementia and mortality-a review of recent evidence. Autoimmun Rev 12: 976-989, 2013.
- 24. Fang F, Ni K, Cai Y, Shang J, Zhang X and Xiong C: Effect of vitamin D supplementation on polycystic ovary syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Complement Ther Clin Pract 26: 53-60, 2017.
- 25. Jafari-Sfidvajani S, Ahangari R, Hozoori M, Mozaffari-Khosravi H, Fallahzadeh H and Nadjarzadeh A: The effect of vitamin D supplementation in combination with low-calorie diet on anthropometric indices and androgen hormones in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. J Endocrinol Invest 41: 597-607, 2018.
- 26. Seyyed Abootorabi M, Ayremlou P, Behroozi-Lak T and Nourisaeidlou S: The effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin resistance, visceral fat and adiponectin in vitamin D deficient women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. Gynecol Endocrinol 34: 489-494, 2018
- 27. Maktabi M, Chamani M and Asemi Z: The effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic status of patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Horm Metab Res 49: 493-498, 2017.
- 28. Ardabili HR, Gargari BP and Farzadi L: Vitamin D supplementation has no effect on insulin resistance assessment in women with polycystic ovary syndrome and vitamin D deficiency. Nutr Res 32: 195-201, 2012.
- 29. Raja-Khan N, Shah J, Stetter CM, Lott ME, Kunselman AR, Dodson WC and Legro RS: High-dose vitamin D supplementation and measures of insulin sensitivity in polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized, controlled pilot trial. Fertil Steril 101: 1740-1746, 2014.
- 30. Garg G, Kachhawa G, Ramot R, Khadgawat R, Tandon N, Sreenivas V, Kriplani A and Gupta N: Effect of vitamin D supplementation on insulin kinetics and cardiovascular risk factors in polycystic ovarian syndrome: A pilot study. Endocr Connect 4: 108-116, 2015.
- 31. Dastorani M, Aghadavod E, Mirhosseini N, Foroozanfard F, Zadeh Modarres S, Amiri Siavashani M and Asemi Z: The effects of vitamin D supplementation on metabolic profiles and gene expression of insulin and lipid metabolism in infertile polycystic ovary syndrome candidates for in vitro fertilization. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 16: 94, 2018.
- 32. Irani M, Seifer DB, Grazi RV, Julka N, Bhatt D, Kalgi B, Irani S, Tal O, Lambert-Messerlian G and Tal R: Vitamin D supplementation decreases TGF-β1 bioavailability in PCOS: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100: 4307-4314, 2015.
- 33. Foroozanfard F, Jamilian M, Bahmani F, Talaee R, Talaee N, Hashemi T, Nasri K, Asemi Z and Esmaillzadeh A: Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation influences biomarkers of inflammation and oxidative stress in overweight and vitamin D-deficient women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 83: 888-894, 2015.
- 34. Bonakdaran S, Mazloom Khorasani Z, Davachi B and Mazloom Khorasani J: The effects of calcitriol on improvement of insulin resistance, ovulation and comparison with metformin therapy in PCOS patients: A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. Iran J Reprod Med 10: 465-472, 2012.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.