

pubs.acs.org/journal/aidcbc

Review

Targeting Crucial Host Factors of SARS-CoV-2

Anil Mathew Tharappel,* Subodh Kumar Samrat, Zhong Li, and Hongmin Li*

 Cite This: https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00456
 Read Online

 ACCESS
 Metrics & More
 Image: Article Recommendations

ABSTRACT: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has spread worldwide since its first incidence in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. Although the case fatality rate of COVID-19 appears to be lower than that of SARS and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), the higher transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 has caused the total fatality to surpass other viral diseases, reaching more than 1 million globally as of October 6, 2020. The rate at which the disease is spreading calls for a therapy that is useful for treating a large population. Multiple intersecting viral and host factor targets involved in the life cycle of the virus are being explored. Because of the frequent mutations, many coronaviruses gain zoonotic potential, which is dependent on the presence of cell receptors and proteases, and therefore the targeting of the viral proteins has some drawbacks, as strain-specific drug resistance can occur. Moreover, the limited number of



proteins in a virus makes the number of available targets small. Although SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 share common mechanisms of entry and replication, there are substantial differences in viral proteins such as the spike (S) protein. In contrast, targeting cellular factors may result in a broader range of therapies, reducing the chances of developing drug resistance. In this Review, we discuss the role of primary host factors such as the cell receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), cellular proteases of S protein priming, post-translational modifiers, kinases, inflammatory cells, and their pharmacological intervention in the infection of SARS-CoV-2 and related viruses.

KEYWORDS: SARS-CoV-2, host factors, drug targets, inhibitors, coronavirus, COVID-19

Influenza viruses and coronaviruses are the cause of many L severe disease outbreaks, mainly affecting the respiratory tract. Although the global case fatality rate (CFR) of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by recently discovered severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) appears to be lower than that of SARS-CoV (9.5%) and the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) (34.4%), the higher rate of person-to-person transmissibility of COVID-19¹⁻³ has caused the disease to spread globally within a short period. Following the first reported case from Wuhan, China, in December 2019,4-7 the disease has spread to other parts of China⁸ and quickly to other countries. By October 6, 2020, almost 35 million people were infected globally, with nearly 1,039,406 deaths, comprised mainly of older people with underlying comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, or cardiovascular disease.^{9,10} In the United States of America alone, there are over 7.3 million infected people and almost 208,433 fatalities.¹¹⁻¹³ On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 outbreak as the sixth public health emergency of international concern, following swine flu (H1N1, 2009), polio (Poliovirus, 2014), Ebola (EBOV, 2014), Zika virus disease (ZIKV, 2015-2016), and the Kivu Ebola epidemic (2018–2020).¹⁴ The outbreak of MERS (MERS-CoV, 2012), although not declared as a public

health emergency, is still ongoing and has caused 858 deaths since September 2012. 15

As per the classification by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), the family *Coronaviridae* has two subfamilies, subfamily *Letovirinae* and subfamily *Orthocoronavirinae*. The subfamily *Orthocoronavirinae* has four genera, including genus *Alphacoronavirus*, genus *Betacoronavirus*, genus *Gammacoronavirus*, and genus *Deltacoronavirus*. The *Betacoronavirus* has 5 subgenera, and SARS-related viruses belong to subgenus *Sarbecoviruses*, whereas MERS-related coronaviruses belong to subgenus *Merbicovirus*.¹⁶ The coronaviruses that infect humans include human coronaviruses (HCoV) 229E (HCoV-229E) and HCoV-NL63 in the *Alphacoronaviruses* and HCoV-OC43, HCoV-HKU1, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV in the *Betacoronaviruses*.¹⁷

SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus with a genome size of 29.8 to 29.9 kbp. 26 SARS-CoV-2 and

Received: June 27, 2020



Figure 1. Potential host factors as drug targets in the SARS-CoV-2 life cycle. The studies on SARS-CoV and other coronaviruses have helped to predict the life cycle of SARS-CoV-2. SARS-CoV-2 attaches to the cell surface receptor angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) by its spike protein,^{3,18–20} which is cleaved by cell surface proteases such as TMPRSS2, furin, TMPRSS4, and TMPRSS11^{21,22} to initiate cell entry. Various host kinases, including Abelson tyrosine kinases (Abl2) and phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate/phosphatidylinositol 5-kinase (PIKfyve), are involved in the membrane/intracellular trafficking of SARS-CoV-2.^{23,24} When the virus is taken up into endosomes, cathepsin L can also prime the spike protein. In SARS-CoV, upon release of viral RNA into the cytoplasm, ORF1 and ORF2 (open reading frame, ORF) are translated to polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab. These polyproteins are then cleaved by virally encoded chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) and papain-like protease (PLpro) to give 16 nonstructural proteins (Nsps) forming an RNA replicase–transcriptase complex.²⁵ The copies of negative RNA are produced, and using this as a template, positive RNA is produced in the replication process. Through discontinuous transcription, 7–9 subgenomic RNAs are produced.²⁵ The viral nucleocapsids are assembled from genomic RNA and N protein in the cytoplasm. Post-translational modifications such as glycosylation happen in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the Golgi complex. After budding of the virions into the lumen of the ER–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) of infected cells, they are released by exocytosis. The cleavage of the spike protein of the newly released virions also can happen at the cell surface.



Figure 2. Comparison of the S protein of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.^{21,39} Basic amino acid residues are in red colored text. S1: spike subunit 1; S2: spike subunit 2; RBD: receptor-binding domain; RBM: receptor-binding motif; TMD: transmembrane domain.

SARS-CoV are phylogenetically related, sharing approximately 79.6% genomic sequence identity;³ their spike (S) proteins also have a high degree of homology.^{27,28} Both viruses also use ACE2 as a common cell receptor²¹ (Figure 1). ACE2 is a critical host enzyme involved in regulating blood pressure. However, since the enzyme active site and SARS-CoV receptor-binding site on ACE2 are at different positions,^{29–31} it may be possible to target the receptor region without

compromising enzyme function. Coronaviruses encode a structural S glycoprotein, which is responsible for binding to the host receptor. The S protein has two functional subunits: a receptor-binding domain S1 and a second domain, S2, that contains sequences that mediate fusion of the viral and cell membranes (Figure 2). Host cell proteases are required to cleave the S glycoprotein, leading to exposure of the fusion peptides, which is required for cell entry (Figure 1). The S

protein has two cleavage sites, S1/S2 and S2'. The cellular proteases transmembrane protease serine 2/epitheliasin (TMPRSS2) and furin are required for activation of SARS-CoV-2 in human airway cells (Calu3).³² One study employing a pseudovirus also supported the role of furin and TMPRSS2 in TMPRSS2⁺ Calu3 cells and outlined the requirement of a multibasic S1/S2 cleavage site.²¹ However, further study is required with primary lung cells and actual SARS-CoV-2, as the expression of other proteases varies with cell type.³³

The enveloped viruses enter cells in two ways. The virus can fuse with the cell membrane and deliver its genome to the cytosol. Alternatively, using endocytic machinery, the virus may be endocytosed and activated by acidic pH in the endosome or endosomal proteases. Following the fusion of viral and endosomal membranes, the viral genome is released to the cytosol; hence, the endocytic pathway may be pH dependent. The SARS-CoV entry is mediated by clathrin- and caveolae-independent mechanisms.³⁴ Endosomal protease cathepsin L is also involved in S protein priming, the proteolytic separation process of the S1 and S2 subunits.²² Specific inhibitors of host proteases can block priming of the S protein and thus prevent entry. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, which interfere with the pH of endosomes, can also inhibit SARS-CoV-2 entry in Vero E6 cells.³⁵ The coronavirus genome carries multiple open reading frames (ORFs), and all the coronaviruses have two large ORFs (ORF1a and ORF1b) at 5' occupying two-thirds of the viral genome³⁶ and encoding nonstructural proteins. The remaining part of the genome encodes structural and other proteins that are expressed from subgenomic mRNAs.³⁷ Once released into the cytoplasm, the viral RNA is used by host ribosomes to initiate translation of viral polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab. The virus-encoded chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro) and papain-like protease (Plpro) cleave these polyproteins into 16 functional nonstructural proteins (Nsps). Several Nsps, such as helicase and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), together with host factors, form a replicationtranscription complex that is responsible for the replication of viral RNA.38

The β -coronaviruses (MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV) and γ coronavirus (infectious bronchitis virus) modify ER membranes to facilitate viral replication and RNA synthesis, indicating double-membrane vesicles as a potential drug target in coronavirus infection.⁴⁰ During the assembly of coronaviruses, the N protein and RNA form a helical nucleocapsid.⁴¹ The ER bound structural proteins, S, envelope E, and membrane (M), along with helical nucleocapsid, assemble into virions resulting in budding through the membrane⁴¹ and then are transported via vesicles and released out of the cell (Figure 1). Many host enzymes in the ER and Golgi complex, including ER- α glucosidases I and II, are involved in posttranslational modifications, including glycosylation. As some of the viral proteins and host cell receptors are glycosylated, blocking of glycosylation by inhibitors can interfere with viral replication and pathogenicity.⁴²⁻⁴⁴ Mature virions are then released from the infected cell through exocytosis.⁴⁵

Detailed information on virus dynamics and host response is necessary for diagnosis, drug design, and therapy. With the availability of real-time PCR and antibody-based tests, there are improvements in the diagnosis process,^{11,46} but so far, there is no therapy or vaccine formally approved by the FDA for COVID-19. However, on May 1, 2020, the FDA issued an emergency use authorization for the investigational smallmolecule antiviral drug remdesivir as a therapy for COVID-19confirmed patients hospitalized with severe disease. Recently, the FDA-approved drug dexamethasone has been shown to reduce COVID-19 deaths by nearly 1/3 in extremely ill patients on ventilators in the RECOVERY clinical trial.47 Although these results are exciting and encouraging, our choices are still very limited, and a complete cure remains a timely challenge. Hence, there is an urgent need for research on the repurposing of previously approved drugs, as developing a new drug might be time-consuming. Several small molecules are being validated in addition to developing antibodies and vaccines. Clinical trials of promising drug candidates are also being conducted to find a quick solution to rapidly spreading COVID-19. Viruses use host machinery at various stages of the viral life cycle, starting from cell attachment to the release of the virion. Many invading viruses subvert host functions. It is essential for advancing our understanding of viral life cycles by identifying these altered functions, which can provide novel drug targets that are less likely to mutate post-therapy. Although SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are closely related, there is a difference in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) region of their spike proteins.^{19,39} Initial studies show possible cross-neutralization between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV,³⁹ but studies with patient sera indicate that, although crossbinding of antibodies between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV occurred, the cross-neutralization was rare and weak.^{48,49} However, potent cross-neutralizing (at 100 nM) monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were developed from the memory B cells of survivors of the SARS-CoV outbreak in 2003, and out of nine neutralizing antibodies, eight targeted the RBD region of S protein, while one targeted the N-terminal domain of the S1 region of the S protein.⁵⁰ Because a significant portion of non-neutralizing antibodies against the virus is present in the sera of SARS-CoV-2 infected people⁴⁹ and as antibody-dependent infection of human macrophages by SARS-CoV has been reported,⁵¹ the risk of antibodydependent enhancement (ADE) needs to be carefully evaluated during the process of vaccine development.⁵²⁻

Targeting host factors may result in therapies with a broader range than traditional antivirals, and modern-day bioinformatics tools can help us speed up studies. Out of 29 proteins of SARS-CoV-2, 26 have been expressed in the human cell to identify the host-interacting proteins, and scientists have successfully identified 66 druggable human proteins out of 332 high-confidence SARS-CoV-2-human protein—protein interactions.⁵⁵ Upon further screening of these hit molecules with multiple viral assays, two groups of molecules with antiviral activity have been identified, including the inhibitors of mRNA translation and the predicted regulators of the Sigma-1 and Sigma-2 receptors, which are reported to be involved in the early steps of viral RNA replication of some RNA viruses such as HCV and HIV.^{56,57}

This Review discusses the recent developments in the identification of critical host factors as drug targets in various stages of the life cycle of SARS-CoV-2 and related viruses. We also discuss the pharmacological intervention of these host factors.

RECEPTOR ACE2

ACE2 is a negative regulator of the renin–angiotensin system and is expressed in the lung, brain, heart, kidney, liver, endothelium, and intestine.^{58–60} Alveolar epithelial type II cells of the lungs have abundant ACE2 receptors.⁶¹ Coexpression of

Table 1. Proteases Known to Play a Role in the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 Life Cy	cles
--	------

protease	mRNA (kbp)	protein	knockout mouse
TMPRSS2	3.2	Human-492 aa, Mouse-400 aa	no known abnormalities ⁹⁵
(epitheliasin)		54 kDa (70 kDa in SDS-PAGE with post-translational modifications)	
TMPRSS11D (HAT)	2.8	Human-418 aa, Mouse-417 aa	no known abnormalities ⁹⁶
TMPRSS4 (CAP2)	5.5	Human-437 aa, Mouse-435 aa	no known abnormalities ⁹⁷
cathepsin L	1.6	Human-333 aa, Mouse-334 aa	abnormal skin and bone development ⁹⁸
furin	4.2	Human-794 aa, Mouse-793 aa	furin null mice die ⁹⁹
			no adverse effects with short-term administration of furin-inhibitor hexa-D-arginine 100

ACE2 and proteases involved in S protein priming might make the cells more susceptible to COVID-19. Coronaviruses HCoV-NL63, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 bind to ACE2 by their RBDs of the S protein^{3,27,62} and do not use previously reported coronavirus receptors such as aminopeptidase N and dipeptidyl peptidase-4.3 The RBD of SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE2 with a 10-20-fold higher affinity compared to that of SARS-CoV.⁶³ This may contribute to the higher infectivity and transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 compared to SARS-CoV.^{63,64} The S protein of SARS-CoV-2 binds approximately 1000 times more tightly to ACE2 than the bat virus S protein does with dissociation constant (K_d) values of <100 nM and >40 μ M, respectively.⁶⁵ In addition, a recent bioinformatic analysis speculates that an A321Q mutation from SARS-CoV to SARS-CoV-2 in the endosome-associated-protein-like domain of the nsp2 protein could stabilize the protein and account for a higher contagious ability of SARS-CoV-2 than SARS-CoV.⁶⁶ However, the possibility of this difference in a nonstructural protein leading to an increase in SARS-CoV-2 contagious ability needs to be further validated.

The binding sites for HCoV-NL63, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 on ACE2 are distinct from the active site of the enzyme.^{29–31} Hence, not surprisingly, the treatment of ACE-2bearing cells with MLN-4760, a potent ACE2 enzyme inhibitor, has no effect on the S–RBD interaction or virus entry.⁶⁷ However, it should be noted that the interaction between the S protein of HCoV-NL63 and ACE2 is slightly different from that between SARS-CoV and ACE2.^{45,68} Specific mutations in ACE2 that are known to affect the binding of SARS-CoV have not affected the binding of HCoV-NL63.⁶⁹ Moreover, unlike SARS-CoV RBD, which is linear, the RBD of the HCoV-NL63S protein is located between residues 232 and 694 and is not linear.⁷⁰

Because the area of interaction between ACE2 and viral S protein is quite large, 63 peptides or antibodies can cover a larger area and have the chemical properties needed to apprehend the virus before it sticks to a cell. Although peptidebased drugs have issues with cell permeability and stability, the success of clinically approved peptide Fuzeon (Roche) to treat human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) by inhibiting fusion suggests that this direction is promising. A 23-mer peptide binder selenium-binding protein 1 (SBP1) derived from the ACE2 α 1 helix binds RBD of SARS-CoV-2 with low nanomolar affinity ($K_d = 47$ nM) in a kinetic binding assay.⁷¹ Recombinant human ACE2 (rhACE2) has been used in clinical studies,^{72,73} indicating its safety. With the clinical-grade soluble ACE2, the SARS-CoV-2 recovery from Vero cells is reduced by a factor of 1000-5000, which is further supported by studies on engineered human blood vessel organoids and human kidney organoids.⁷⁴ A rhACE2

fused to a fragment crystallizable region (Fc) fragment has also showed binding to RBD with higher affinity and neutralized viruses pseudotyped with S glycoproteins from SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.⁷⁵

Although soluble ACE2, ACE2-Fc, and enzyme-inactive ACE2-Fc fusion proteins can block SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV from infecting cells,^{74,76,77} using these proteins as drugs may have a number of adverse effects. As ACE2 is a key enzyme playing a central role in the homeostatic control of cardiorenal actions,⁷⁷ the administration of wild-type (WT or native) ACE2 may over-catalyze their natural substrates. Using an inactive ACE2 mutant may solve the problem, but if it binds to the substrate, it can still compete with natural ACE2, leading to under-catalyzation of the substrate. These adverse effects may disturb the host hormone balance. Also, native ACE2 does not have favorable pharmacokinetic (PK) properties.⁷² In contrast, although ACE2-Fc-fusion can improve PK, it may lead to an Fc receptor-mediated enhanced infection similar to antibody-dependent enhancement that has been observed for SARS CoV and SARS-CoV-2.^{51,78-80} Therefore, additional new approaches are needed to target virus entry.

HOST PROTEASES

There are 588 human proteases listed in the degradome database:⁸¹ 192 metalloproteases, 184 serine proteases, 164 cysteine proteases, 27 threonine, and 21 aspartyl proteases.⁸² Transmembrane serine proteases (TTSP) can be classified into three groups on the basis of transmembrane domain structure: Type I, which has a carboxy-terminal transmembrane domain; Type II, which has an amino-terminal transmembrane domain spanning through the cytosol; Type III, which anchors to the membrane by glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol (GPI).⁸³ The Type II group of serine proteases has 20 proteases that are subdivided into four subfamilies: hepsin/transmembrane protease/serine (TMPRSS), human airway trypsin-like (HAT)/differentially expressed in squamous cell carcinoma (DESC), matriptase, and Corin.⁸⁴

Proteases are druggable, and many small-molecule inhibitors have been approved for clinical use.⁸⁵ Aprotinin with 58 aa is a nonspecific protease inhibitor, especially for trypsin, chymotrypsin, plasmin, and kallikrein.⁸⁶ It was originally approved by the FDA for preventing blood loss and transfusion during coronary artery bypass graft surgery⁸⁷ but was later suspended due to an increased risk of complications or death.⁸⁸ Aprotinin attenuates inflammatory, coagulation, and fibrinolytic pathways by inhibiting kallikrein, thrombin, and plasmin,.⁸⁹ Aprotinin also inhibits the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines⁹⁰ and hence can be studied further in regard to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Inhalable aprotinin is approved in Russia to treat mild-to-moderate forms of influenza and parainfluenza in



Figure 3. Human TMPRSS2.¹⁰² The extracellular domain includes a serine protease domain (aa 255-492), a scavenger receptor cysteine-rich domain (SRCA, aa 149-242), and LDL receptor class A (LDLRA, aa 113-148) having a binding site for calcium. The figure also shows the transmembrane domain (TMD, aa 84-106) and cytoplasmic domain (aa 1-84). Histidine (H), aspartate (D), and serine (S) are the three catalytic residues; the attached numbers indicate their positions.

humans.⁹¹ Aprotinin inhibits TMPRSS2 in a dose-dependent manner,⁹² and in influenza and parainfluenza mouse models, it reduced the mortality rate by 50%.⁹¹

Coronaviruses use multiple strategies for proteolytic priming of the S protein using proteases such as endosomal cathepsins, cell surface TMPRSS proteases, furin, and trypsin.⁹³ Coronaviruses enter cells by fusion, either directly at the cell surface or by being internalized by endosomes.⁹³ In SARS-CoV and some other coronaviruses, the S cleavage occurs at two distinct sites, one at the S1/S2 boundary and another within the SARS-CoV S2 domain (S2', R797). Also, a furin cleavage site at the S2' cleavage site within S2 793-KPTKR-797 (S2') has been shown to allow trypsin-independent cell fusion in this domain, which is increased when another cleavage site is added at the junction of S1 and S2.⁹⁴ The important host proteases and their knockout studies are listed in Table 1.

Compared to the classical route of targeting viral components, the inhibition of host factors such as proteases involved in the virus life cycle could be advantageous due to the reduced risk for rapid drug resistance. The selection of target proteases must be made carefully, as these proteases are involved in normal physiology and are structurally similar to other family members. Combination therapies may reduce side effects because of the lower drug dose.⁸²

TMPRSS2

TMPRSS2 (transmembrane protease serine S1 member 2; the murine TMPRSS2 orthologue, also known as epitheliasin) is a multidomain Type II transmembrane serine protease. The human and mouse TMRSS2 genes encode proteins of 492 (Figure 3) and 490 amino acids, respectively. The proteins are highly glycosylated, showing a higher molecular mass (70 kDa) than the predicted molecular weight of ~54 kDa in sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.⁹⁵ Amino acid sequence similarity between mouse and human TMPRSS2 is 81.4%.¹⁰¹ TMPRSS2 is expressed in the epithelia of the gastrointestinal, urogenital, and respiratory tracts of the embryo and adult mouse.¹⁰¹

The activation of TMPRSS2 requires its cleavage, which is autocatalytic, releasing a 32 kDa serine protease domain.¹⁰³ Having trypsin-like specificity, when released into the extracellular space, the active serine protease may interact with other proteins on the cell surface, soluble proteins, matrix components, and proteins on the adjacent cells.^{101,103} His₂₉₆, Asp₃₄₅, and Ser₄₄₁ are the three residues in the active site of TMPRSS2.¹⁰⁴

Coexpression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 is found in human lungs,¹⁰⁵ primary conjunctival and pterygium lines,¹⁰⁶ nasal and bronchial epithelium,^{107,108} and gut.¹⁰⁹ Although other host proteases have been described to prime the spike proteins,

there is a significant contribution by TMPRSS2 in vitro.¹⁹ The expression of TMPRSS2 is increased in prostate cancer cells and regulated by androgens.^{110,111} Androgen receptor activity is considered a requirement for transcription of the TMPRSS2 gene.¹¹² Therefore, androgen may play a role in SARS-CoV-2.113 Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) has decreased TMPRSS2.¹¹⁴ In a population-based study of 4532 men with prostate cancer, there was a lower rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in men receiving ADT than in patients who did not.115 Although the study had shortcomings, such as its observational nature, the use of a tumor registry as a comparison group, and the small samples of SARS-CoV-2positive patients without ADT (n = 114) and with ADT (n = 114)4), the findings support the hypothesis of a protective role of androgen deprivation in COVID-19.¹¹⁶ In vivo studies in TMPRSS2-knockout (KO) would give more clarity on whether TMPRSS2 is dispensable for SARS-CoV-2 infection and pathogenesis.

The physiological role of TMPRSS2 is still not clear.95 However, TMPRSS2 is involved in inflammation, tumor growth, and metastasis.¹¹⁷⁻¹¹⁹ TMPRSS2-KO mice develop normally and survive to adulthood without any abnormalities in organ histology or function.^{95,120} In the TMPRSS2-KO mice model with low pathogenic influenza A viruses (H1N1, H3N2, and H7N9), the cleavage of HA was severely impaired, leading to failed infectivity, whereas the viruses were fully activated proteolytically in TMPRSS2^{+/+} wild-type mice.¹²⁰ TMPRSS2 cleaves surface glycoprotein HA of influenza viruses using a monobasic cleavage site, the fusion protein F of the human metapneumovirus, and the S protein of coronaviruses (HCoV-229E, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2). These cleavages are a prerequisite for virus fusion and propagation. The insert sequence SPRR in the S1/S2 protease cleavage site of SARS-CoV-2 enhances spike protein cleavage by TMPRSS2.^{21,121} R667 is required for SARS-CoV S cleavage by both trypsin and TMPRSS2, while R797 is dispensable. Conversely, R797 but not R667 is needed for the activation of SARS-CoV S by TMPRSS2.¹²²

TMPRSS2 is also involved in many other host activities, including cleavage of ACE2,^{123,124} which is the cell surface receptor for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. Production of the 13 kDa cleaved C-terminal ACE2 fragment was found to be dependent on the enzymatic activities of TMPRSS2, HAT, and hepsin, and this fragment was not generated when cells expressed enzymatically inactive mutants of these proteases, while TMPRSS3, TMPRSS4, and TMPRSS6 did not facilitate ACE2 proteolysis in HEK293 cells.¹²³ TMPRSS2 expression was previously described in several tumor entities, and hence, TMPRSS2 has emerged as a potential target for drug design. TMPRSS2 also plays a role in the influenza virus life cycle,¹²⁵ and hence, TMPRSS2-specific inhibitors may act as broad antivirals without causing substantial unwanted side effects.

Camostat mesylate (camostat) is a serine protease inhibitor and thus inhibits TMPRSS2 and other proteases. The drug is approved in Japan to treat chronic pancreatitis and postoperative reflux esophagitis¹⁹ and is known to inhibit the entry of various viruses. However, one study showed that camostatmediated suppression of SARS-S entry never exceeded 65%, even in the presence of a high concentration of the drug (100 μ M), indicating that, despite the presence of TMPRSS2, 35% of the viruses utilized endosomal cathepsins for cell entry.¹²⁶

Nafamostat mesylate (nafamostat), also called as FUT-175 and 6'-amidino-2-naphthyl-4-guanidinobenzoate dihydrochloride, is a broad-spectrum serine protease inhibitor originally synthesized by Fujii and Hitomi.¹²⁷ Nafamostat, a strong tryptase inhibitor,¹²⁸ is used as a short-acting anticoagulant,¹ and it also has some antiviral and anticancer properties.^{130,131} Nafamostat was found to inhibit MERS-S-mediated membrane fusion at an IC₅₀ of 0.1 μ M, which is 10-fold less than that of camostat mesylate; also, with nafamostat, the reduction in MERS-CoV load was 100-fold more than the camostat.¹³² Recently, studies revealed that nafamostat was very potent in inhibiting the membrane fusion and infection of SARS-CoV-2 in human lung cells with potency in the nanomolar range.¹³³ In clinical trials, nafamostat showed no major adverse effects; 134-136 however, as an anticoagulant, the risk of bleeding is one of the most common adverse effects (>5%).^{130,137-139} In one case, a 65 year-old man experienced cardiac arrest following nafamostat treatment.¹⁴⁰ In this regard, clinical trials of nafamostat for COVID-19 should be carefully monitored for safety aspects. Nafamostat is administered by intravenous infusion, whereas camostat is administered orally.

In a substrate-based screening, a TMPRSS2 inhibitor with a K_i value of 0.9 nM was identified that efficiently blocked influenza virus propagation in human airway epithelial cells at 10 and 50 μ M.¹⁴¹ The mechanism of action of TMPRSS2 inhibitors may be by allosteric action or through directly binding to the active site, and directly binding to the active site may be advantageous, as it can inhibit related airway proteases such as TMPRSS4 and HAT.¹⁴² In another screening of 68 640 compounds, bromhexine hydrochloride (BHH), an FDA-approved ingredient in mucolytic cough suppressants, has emerged as a strong inhibitor of TMPRSS2 (IC₅₀ 0.75 μ M), in addition to other compounds such as 0591-5329, 4401-0077, 4554-5138, and 8008-1235.¹¹² In addition, 4-(2-aminomethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride (AEBSF) and anti-inflammatory protein alpha-1 antitrypsin (A1AT, FDA-approved) are also inhibitors of TMPRSS2.

TMPRSS11D

TMPRSS11D is also known as human airway trypsin-like protease (HAT), a type II transmembrane serine protease coexpressed with ACE2 in bronchial epithelial cells and pneumocytes.¹⁴³ TMPRSS11D was first identified in fluid secreted in human airways (trachea and bronchi).¹⁴⁴ It has a catalytic region and membrane anchoring region. The amino acid sequence of the catalytical region of this enzyme reveals high structural homology with other members of human serine protease such as mast cell tryptase, hepsin, or acrosin.^{145–148} The protease is involved in several important physiological functions, such as the stimulation of the proliferation of human bronchial fibroblasts¹⁴⁹ engaged in the protease-activated receptor 2.¹⁵⁰

TMPRSS11D is made up of 2817 bp of mRNA. The gene TMPRSS11D is the human ortholog of a long splice variant of the rat airway trypsin-like serine protease 1 (RAT1), also called rat adrenal secretory serine protease (AsP).¹⁵² Human TMPRSS11D protein consists of 418 aa and a predicted molecular mass of ~46 kDa, having 67% homology in amino acid sequences of RAT1 and 66% with mouse airway trypsinlike protease (MAT1).¹⁵¹ The activation mechanism of TMPRSS11D has not been studied in detail. The active form of HAT with 27 kDa has been consistently detected as a soluble protein.¹⁴⁴ TMPRSS11D cleaves the S protein in a slightly different way than TMPRSS2. Mutagenesis and mass spectrometry studies revealed that TMPRSS11D cleaved the SARS-CoV S protein at R667 and activated SARS-S for cellcell fusion in both cis and trans, whereas TMPRSS2 cleaved SARS-S at multiple sites and activated SARS-S only in trans.¹⁵³ Also, TMPRSS2 but not TMPRSS11D expression rendered SARS-S-driven virus-cell fusion independent of cathepsin activity.¹⁵³ Tmprss11d^{-/-} mice did not develop any deformities in embryonic development, health, and long-term survival in the absence of external challenges or additional genetic deficits, and hence, TMPRSS11D appears to be dispensable.⁹⁶

In HEK-293T cells expressing the S protein of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 and GFP-expressing replicon (eGFP), there was increased syncytia formation in the presence of TMPRSS11D, indicating splicing of an S protein by TMPRSS11D.²² Substrate analog inhibitors of TMPRSS11D with 4-amidinobenzylamide moiety were checked for activity and selectivity; the incorporation of norvaline led to a potent inhibitor ($K_i = 15$ nM) with improved selectivity for HAT in comparison to the coagulation proteases thrombin and factor Xa or fibrinolytic plasmin. Additionally, these inhibitors were able to inhibit influenza virus replication in MDCK cells expressing HAT.¹⁵⁴

TMPRSS4

TMPRSS4/cyclase-associated actin cytoskeleton regulatory protein 2 (CAP2) is another member of type II transmembrane serine proteases, previously referred to as TMPRSS3.^{155,156} TMPRSS4 protein is around 48 kDa in size with 437 aa and is known to be involved in cancer.¹⁵⁵ TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 knockdown by RNA interference in Caco-2 cells reduced the spread of the influenza virus, whereas treatment with trypsin released a fully infectious virus.¹⁵⁷ In a cell-cell fusion assay with 293T effector cells expressing SARS-CoV S and 293T target cells transfected to express ACE2 or TMPRSS4, SARS-CoV S was activated for cell-cell membrane fusion but failed to cleave SARS-CoV S as determined by Western blot.¹⁵⁸ In addition to TMPRSS2, TMPRSS4 was also involved in the SARS-CoV-2 entry in human small intestinal enterocytes.¹⁵⁹ Mice deficient in TMPRSS4 were viable, fertile, and without any known histological abnormalities.⁹

Screening of a compound library against TMPRSS4 serine protease activity yielded several classes of compounds, including 2-hydroxydiarylamide with an IC₅₀ of 6 μ M.¹⁶⁰ *N*-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl)-5-chloro-2-hydroxybenza-mide, a derivative of 2-hydroxydiarylamide, also exhibited relatively potent inhibitory activity (IC₅₀ = 11 μ M) against TMPRSS4.¹⁶⁰

■ CATHESPIN AND OTHER CYSTEINE PROTEASES

Cathepsins are serine or cysteine proteases, most of which become activated at the low pH found in lysosomes. Cysteine cathepsins are involved in various physiological processes and are especially present at high concentrations in endosomes and lysosomes where they are required for the breakdown of protein and major histocompatibility complex class II-mediated immune responses.^{161,162} The human genome has 11 cathepsins that include B, C, F, H, K, L, O, S, V, W, and X.¹⁶³ Cathepsins are found in the cytoplasm, cell nucleus, and the extracellular space,¹⁶⁴ and some cathepsins have largely overlapping specificities. Cathepsin has been associated with various diseases, including cancer.¹⁶⁴

Cathepsin L is a lysosomal cysteine protease that is synthesized as a preproform¹⁶⁵ and processed into a 41 kDa active form in the Golgi apparatus. The active form has two fates: to be targeted to lysosomes and to be secreted out of the cells. Mice deficient in cathepsin L show abnormal skin and bone development and have increased resistance to osteoporosis following ovariectomy.⁹⁸

Some cathepsins have been known to be involved in the pathogenesis of viruses. In 293T cells expressing the porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) S protein, cathepsins L and B in lysosomes primed the S protein for membrane fusion, and similar fusions were also observed with extracellular trypsin in cell cultures; however, pretreatment of cells with bafilomycin-A1, a lysosomal acidification inhibitor, completely inhibited the entry of PDCoV.¹⁶⁶ Additionally, the ablation of cathepsins L and B using siRNA reduced viral infection significantly. The treatment of cells with trypsin-activated PDCoV entry in the absence of the endosomal pathway indicates two independent mechanisms of cell entry.¹⁶⁶ Enveloped viruses such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, ebolavirus (EBOV), hepatitis E virus, and Nipah virus require cathepsin L for their glycoprotein processing and cleavage; for SARS-CoV and EBOV, the cleavage can happen by cathepsin L in the endocytic vesicles.^{167,168} For SARS-CoV S protein, the cleavage by cathepsin L supposedly occurs at a postreceptor-binding stage during virus entry.¹⁶⁹

In HEK293 cells expressing human ACE2, the SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirions entry was inhibited by E64D (inhibitor of cathepsin B, H, and L and calpain) and SID 26681509 (inhibitor of cathepsin L) but not by CA-074 (inhibitor of cathepsin B).²² Cathepsin B has roles in the life cycle of various viruses. Although cathepsin B^{-/-} macrophages and cathepsin inhibitor CA-074Me-treated A549 cells are able to incorporate influenza A virus virions and permit viral RNA synthesis, they produce less HA protein and progeny virions than wild-type or untreated cells.¹⁷⁰ Compared to TMPRSS2, cathepsin may be less necessary in the coronavirus entry and life cycle. HCoV-229E prefers cell-surface TMPRSS2 to endosomal cathepsins for cell entry,¹⁷¹ and TMPRSS2 can activate HCoV-229E for cathepsin-independent host cell entry.¹⁷² In BALB/c mouse models, the mouse survivability was 60% with the serine protease inhibitor camostat (30 mg/ kg) when mice were challenged with SARS-CoV (MA15), whereas cysteine protease inhibitor SMDC256160 at (50 mg/ kg) did not lead to any improvement in survival.^{21,124} HCoV-NL63 infection is not dependent on cathepsin L activities.¹⁷³

Due to its increased specificity, the cysteine protease inhibitor E64C (an analog of E64) inhibits infection by the SARS-CoV S glycoprotein within HIV pseudovirions.¹⁶⁸ E64D

is a permeable cell derivative of E64C and inhibits calpain and other cysteine proteases, such as papain and cathepsins B and L. However, even at 50 μ M, E64D does not inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication.³² The addition of E64D to cell cultures should be done in serum-free media, as esterases in serum will cleave the ethyl ester and reduce cell permeability. More potent and specific inhibitors for cathepsin may be required to combat SARS-CoV-2 infection. K11777 is an irreversible cysteine protease inhibitor and was shown to inhibit SARS-CoV replication with an IC₅₀ of <0.05 μ M for strains in Vero 76 cells, displaying low IC_{90}'s of 0.35 and 1.04 μM against strains Urbani and Toronto-2 of the SARS-CoV, respectively, in a virus reduction assay.¹²⁴ K11777 also has good PK profiles in animal models¹⁷⁴ and hence represents a potential molecule for use in developing drugs against SARS-CoV2. The screening of 1000 molecules for cathepsin L inhibitors yielded MDL28170 (also known as calpain inhibitor III or Z-Val-Phe-CHO) as a potent inhibitor of cathepsin L-mediated substrate cleavage with an IC_{50} of 2.5 nM,¹⁶⁸ and it was also able to inhibit SARS-CoV entry in a pseudotype infection assay.¹⁷⁵ MDL28170 also inhibits other cysteine proteases such as calpain. Other inhibitors of calpain, SJA 6017^{176} and BLD-2660, are under clinical study for use in COVID-19.¹⁷⁷ Calpain inhibitors also show anti-inflammatory properties.^{178,179} Calpain inhibitors I and II also inhibit SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and hence have potential for inclusion in the design of dual inhibitors.¹⁸

FURIN

Furin is grouped into the family of highly specific protein convertases (PCs), which are calcium dependent.¹⁸¹ The type I transmembrane protein furin has a 104 kDa pro-furin precursor and is then converted into a 98 kDa form by an autocatalytic process.¹⁸² Furin has a role in various normal physiological and also pathogenic processes, such as viral propagation, activation of a bacterial toxin, cancer, and metastasis.¹⁸³ Furin is also known as a paired basic amino acid cleaving enzyme (PACE). In mammals, the PC family includes nine members, out of which seven members including furin, PC1/3, PC2, PC4, PACE4, PC5/6, and PC7 cleave after multiple basic residues.^{184,185} The PCs (PC1/3, PC2), which specialize in peptide-hormone and neuropeptide processing, are also called prohormone convertases. Furin and its analogs are involved in the maturation of a huge number of inactive protein precursors^{186,187} and are therefore involved in many normal physiological processes. Protein convertase furin displays embryonic lethality,^{99,188} but short-term administration of the furin inhibitor hexa-D-arginine did not show any adverse effects in mice.¹⁰⁰ Also, these proteases are involved in various diseases, such as viral and bacterial infections, neurodegenerative disorders, tumorigenesis, diabetes, and atherosclerosis.^{181,183,189}

Furin can activate a glycoprotein of HIV-1,¹⁹⁰ and trafficking of MHV to lysosomes and processing by lysosomal proteases were dispensable when the furin cleavage site was introduced upstream of the fusion peptide in the S protein.¹⁹¹ The inhibition of furin but not lysosomal protease affected MERS-CoV, which has a minimal furin cleavage site just upstream of the fusion peptide in Huh-7 cells.¹⁹¹

On the basis of the structural analysis, SARS-CoV-2 has a furin-like cleavage site, which is absent in SARS-CoV^{18,192} as well as SL-CoV-RaTG13, a CoV with the highest nucleotide sequence homology to SARS-CoV-2, which was isolated from

SI. No	Compound name and structure	Initial use	Host factors of SARS- CoV-2	In vitro and in vivo efficacy and clinical trials against Coronaviruses (expected/actual start date for phase study)	SI. No	Compound name and structure	Initial use	Host factors of SARS- CoV-2	In vitro and in vivo efficacy and clinical trials against Coronaviruses (expected/actual start date for phase study)
1	Camostat mesylate $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & $	Pancreatitis	TMPRSS2 ¹⁹ Pro- inflammatory cytokines ²⁸¹	SARS-CoV in mouse ¹²⁴ SARS-CoV-2 in CaCo2, Vero- TMPRSS2 ¹⁹ Phases 1 and 2: April 4 2020 ²⁸⁶ Phase 2: May 31 2020 ²⁸⁷ Phase 3: April 11 2020 ²⁸⁸ Phase 4: June 1 2020 ²⁸⁹	6	Chloroquine HN CI	Anti-malaria	ACE2 Glycosylation 253,256 Anti- inflammation 308	SARS-CoV, Vero-E6 cells ^{309,310} SARS-CoV-2, Vero cells ³¹¹ HCoV-OC43, newborn C57BL/6 mice ³¹² Phase 2: April 7 2020 ³¹³ , April 6, 2020 ³¹⁴
2	$\begin{array}{c} Nafamostat \mbox{ mesylate} \\ \\ \underset{Net_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}}}{\overset{H_{2}}{\overset{H_{2}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$	Anticoagulant	TMPRSS2 ¹³² Pro- inflammatory cytokines ²⁹¹	MERS-CoV, Vero-TMPRSS2 and Calu5 cells ¹³² SARS-CoV-2, Calu3 cells ¹³³ Phases 2 and 3: April 2020 ²⁹²	7	Hydroxychloroquine HN CI	Anti-malaria, Anti- rheumatoid arthritis, Anti-lupus ³¹⁵	Endosomal pH elevation Pro- inflammatory cytokines ³¹⁷	SARS-CoV-2, Vero cells ³¹¹ Phase 4: April 28 2020 ³¹⁸
3	Brohexine hydrochloride	Mucolytic agent ²⁹³	TMPRSS2 112	Phase 4: April 10 2020 ²⁹⁴	8	Miglustat OH HO,,N HO OH K-11/77	Gaucher and Niemann- Pick type C diseases ²⁵⁰	Inhibits β- glucosidase 2 involved in the glycosylation ^{319,320}	SARS-CoV, Vero cells ⁴² SARS-CoV-2, Vero cells ²⁴⁹
4		Anti-cancer	Abl2 (membrane fusion?) ²⁹⁶ Pro- inflammatory cytokines ²⁹⁷	MERS-CoV, Vero and MRC5 cells ²⁰⁰ SARS-CoV, Vero and Calu3 cells ²⁰² SARS-CoV-2, Vero cells ²⁹⁸ Case Report ²¹ Phase 2: Sept 1 2020 ²⁹⁹ , April 13 2020 ³⁰⁰ Phase 3: June 2 2020 ³⁰¹ , July 29 2020	9		Chagas disease ³²¹	Cathepsins B and L ³²²	SARS-CoV, Vero cells ¹²⁴
5	Apilimod		PIKfyve (endosomal fusion?) ²³ Pro- ses ³⁰⁴ inflammatory Phase 2: Jul 15,2020 ³⁰⁶	10	BLD-2660 (structure not available)	Anti-fibrotic activity in NASH 323	calpain?	Phase 2:-May 4, 2020 177	
		Crohn's (end disease ³⁰³ fusi Autoimmune Pro diseases ³⁰⁴ infl		SARS-CoV-2, Vero cells- ²³ iPSC cells ²¹⁹ Phase 2: Jul 15,2020 ³⁰⁶	11	DAS181 (recombinant sialidase protein)	Parainfluenza 324	Removes host sialic acid 325	Phases 2 and 3: May 25, 2020 263
	cytokines 3		cytokines 305						

Table 2. Compounds That Have the Potential to Target Host Factors of SARS-CoV-2 and Their Current Status

a bat in Yunnan in 2013. In Calu3 cells, furin and TMPRSS2 cleave the S protein of SARS-CoV-2 at the S1/S2 site.¹⁹³ Hoffmann et al.²¹ demonstrated that furin inhibitor dec-RVKR-CMK inhibited SARS-CoV-2 entry. However, dec-RVKR-CMK also inhibits other proteases such as cathepsins L and B, trypsin, papain, and TMPRSS2.¹⁹⁴ Macro and small molecules can be used as inhibitors of PCs. Most of the small molecule inhibitors belong to three groups, including pure peptides, peptide mimetics, or nonpeptidic compounds.¹⁸³ Decanoyl-Arg-Val-Lys-Arg-CMK and hexa-D-arginine (D6R) are small synthetic furin inhibitors that are suitable for clinical purposes.¹⁹⁵ Although SARS-CoV-2 replication was inhibited by a furin inhibitor MI-1851 in human airway cells,³² the nonspecificity and irreversibility of available furin inhibitors are major concerns. Whether furin is an attractive drug target for SARS-CoV-2 will require additional investigation.

KINASES

Viruses hijack many host kinases at various steps of their life cycle.^{196,197} Since these kinases are upstream of cellular pathways, they become good targets for broad-spectrum therapy. Following the successful development and approval of kinase inhibitors for cancer¹⁹⁸ and inflammation,¹⁹⁹ kinases appear to be a good choice for repurposing as antivirals. Many of the approved inhibitors of several families of kinases, such as Nak, ErbB, Src, Abl, Cdk, and PI3K/Akt/mTor, have been shown to have antiviral activity.²⁰⁰ However, the antiviral potential of many more kinase inhibitors remains unexplored, particularly against SARS viruses.

Abl kinases are reversible nonreceptor tyrosine kinases, which are a subgroup of tyrosine kinases that rely on intracellular signals originated by the extracellular receptor;²⁰¹ these kinases regulate many cellular pathways such as cell migration, adhesion, and actin reorganization.²⁰² Mammals

have two Abl kinases, Abl1 (Abl in mice) and Abl2 (Arg in mice).²⁰² Abl pathway inhibitors are known to have antiviral activity in the EBOV, coxsackievirus, and vaccinia virus.²⁰³⁻²⁰⁶ More recently, small molecules imatinib mesylate and dasatinib have been shown to have antiviral activity toward SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV.²⁰⁷ Imatinib targets Abl2, which is required for efficient SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV replication,²⁰² and has an IC₅₀ of 9.82 and 17.69 μ M toward SARS-CoV1 and MERS-CoV, respectively. The IC₅₀ of dasatinib is 2.10 and 5.47 μ M in SARS-CoV1 and MERS-CoV, respectively. Similar IC₅₀ values were observed for both imatinib and dasatinib against SARS-CoV-2 at nontoxic concentrations.²⁰⁸ The administration of imatinib to a COVID-19 patient was also shown to improve fever and other laboratory parameters,²⁴ and clinical trials of imatinib are ongoing (Table 2). The anti-inflammatory activity²⁰⁹ and possible interference in virus-endosomal membrane fusion²⁰² might potentiate the efficacy of imatinib in COVID-19 treatment. Apart from imatinib, other kinase inhibitors also have shown activity against SARS-CoV-2 and other related viruses.²¹⁰ Abl and Arg kinases have been found in cancer cells and are known to promote the secretion of the endosomal protease cathepsin $L_{,}^{211}$ which is involved in SARS-CoV S protein cleavage priming.¹⁶⁸

The Src family kinases are also known to have a role in coronaviruses. Saracatinib, an inhibitor of Abl/Src, inhibits MERS-CoV with an IC₅₀ of 2.9 μ M in Huh-7 cells at the initial stages of the MERS-CoV life cycle.²¹² AP2-associated protein kinase 1, which promotes endocytosis, and cyclin G-associated kinase, which mediates endocytosis, belong to the Nak family and may be exploited by some viruses, including HCV²¹³ and DENV.²¹⁴ Abemaciclib (CDK4/6 inhibitor) and osimertinib (inhibitor of EGFR) have shown antiviral activity toward SARS-CoV-2 in Vero cells with IC₅₀ values of 6.6 and 3.2 μ M, respectively.²¹⁵ The Janus kinase-2 inhibitor fedratinib also

suppresses the production of TH17-related cytokines, thus indicating the potential in treating COVID-19 for patients with TH17-related cytokine storms.²¹⁶

Phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate/phosphatidylinositol 5kinase (PIKfyve), an endosomal lipid kinase, is responsible for the production of phosphoinositide PI(3,5)P2, which is involved in endomembrane homeostasis. PIKfyve, a class III lipid kinase having a size of 240 kDa, is found in the cytosolic side of the endosomal membranes.^{217,218} Apilimod, a small molecule inhibitor of PIKfyve, has shown inhibition of the infection of a chimeric vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) bearing the fusion proteins of SARS-CoV-2 by preventing the release of the viral contents from the endosomes in human astroglial SVG-A derived cells as well as in fully infectious SARS-CoV-2 in Vero E6 cells with an IC₅₀ of 10-15 nM.²³ Apilimod has also shown 85% inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 in human pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived pneumocyte-like cells.²¹⁹ Although apilimod does not inhibit cathepsin B and L activity or alter endosomal pH, there are reports of interference of apilimod in the maturation of endosomes.²²⁰ Clinical trials of apilimod have been conducted for Crohn's disease, rheumatoid arthritis, and common variable immunodeficiency,^{221,222} and it has been found to be well tolerated. Other inhibitors of PIKfyve such as Vacuolin-1, YM201636, and the WX8 family of chemicals have been tested for cancer and autoimmune diseases.²²³⁻²²⁵ Currently, several clinical trials of kinase inhibitors are ongoing in COVID-19 patients²¹⁰ (Table 2). The anti-inflammatory and/or antiviral activity of some of the kinase inhibitors may be beneficial in COVID-19 cases involving cytokine storms. However, the risk factors of fungal and bacterial infection must be considered when treating with kinase inhibitors.²²⁶

POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFIERS

After being translated from mRNA, many proteins undergo chemical modifications before attaining their functions in different cells across the body. Post-translational modification (PTM) is required for the development of their functional diversity, homing, proper folding, and solubility. Various kinds of PTM occur in the ER or Golgi complex by the addition of functional groups (phosphorylation, glycosylation, lipidation (palmitoylation and myristoylation), acetylation, and methylation), cleaving of peptide bonds, or the formation of disulfide bonds.²²⁷ Many viruses make use of PTM processes, such as glycosylation, which plays a role in immune evasion, virulence, and attachment.^{228,229} Although there are several forms of glycosylation occurring in nature, N-linked and O-linked glycosylations play significant roles in viral pathology. In Nlinked glycosylation, the carbohydrate (also called glycans) attaches to the amide nitrogen of the asparagine residue of the protein. This attachment occurs early in protein synthesis and is followed by trimming and remodeling of the oligosaccharide in the ER and Golgi complex to form glycoproteins with different sizes of oligosacharides.²²⁸

Glucosidases I and II present in the ER trim the threeterminal glucose moieties on the N-linked glycans attached to nascent glycoproteins²²⁸ Iminosugars are carbohydrate mimetics in which the endocyclic oxygen of the parent carbohydrate is replaced with nitrogen; these are known to inhibit ER- α glucosidases involved in the glycosylation process. Several iminosugars have been explored in the past three decades as antiviral agents²³⁰ in mouse models against viruses such as dengue virus (DENV),^{231–236} Japanese encephalitis virus,²³⁷ EBOV, and Marburg virus.²³⁸ Naturally occurring 1deoxynojirimycin (DNJ) and castanospermine are the sources of many derivatives that are currently being tested. Clinical trials have been conducted in DENV,²³⁹ HIV,²⁴⁰ and hepatitis C (HCV) patients.²⁴¹ In HIV patients, although some effects on viremia were observed with an *n*-butylated form of DNJ (NB-DNJ) and with celgosivir, a prodrug of castanospermine, the maintenance of therapeutic concentrations of the drug in serum and permeability inside the cells was difficult.²⁴² In dengue patients, celgosivir did not reduce fever or viral burden but reduced TNF- α levels.²³⁹ However, these clinical studies indicate that the iminosugars DNJ and celgosivir are well tolerated and safe and, hence, might be modified for better potency.

In SARS-CoV, there are 23 potential glycosylation sites in the S protein,⁴¹ two of which are in the RBD region (aa 319-515). Mutation in the RBD glycosylation sites N330 or N357 does not affect binding to ACE2, indicating these glycosylation sites may not be necessary for the attachment of SARS-CoV to cells. However, cell surface C-type lectin receptors such as DC-SIGN and L-SIGN bind to glycosylated ligands of many viruses, augmenting the virus entry.^{243,244} In one study, the SARS-CoV S protein bound to 293T cells overexpressing DC-SIGN with lower efficiency than cells overexpressing both DC-SIGN and ACE2. Since lectin DC-SIGN binds to carbohydrates, improper glycosylation of the S protein may counter the binding.^{243,243} Glycosylation sites N109, N118, N119, N158, N227, N589, and N699 in the S protein have been found to be critical for SARS-CoV entry mediated by the DC-SIGN and/or L-SIGN.²⁴⁶ An interaction of mannose-binding lectin with SARS-CoV S-pseudotyped virus could block the binding of the virus to DC-SIGN, and N-linked glycosylation at N330 is critical for the interaction of mannose-binding lectin with SARS-CoV S protein.^{247,248}

Apart from the viral protein, the glycosylation of the host protein also plays a role in viral propagation. Four iminosugars *N*-butyl-DNJ, CM-10-18, IHVR-11029, and IHVR-17028 significantly inhibited the transduction of SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 spike glycoprotein-pseudotyped lentiviral particles by altering the N-linked glycan structure of ACE2, resulting in impaired membrane fusion and the reduction of infectious virions.⁴² Butyl-DNJ (also known as miglustat) was also found to be effective against SARS-CoV-2 with an IC₅₀ of 41 ± 22 μ M in a plaque reduction assay.²⁴⁹ Miglustat is presently in clinical use for Gaucher disease and Niemann-Pick disease type C.²⁵⁰

In SARS-CoV, proteins S, E, M, and 8ab are N-glycosylated, whereas 3a is O-glycosylated.²⁴⁷ The S protein of the SARS-CoV-2 is highly glycosylated with 22 N glycosylation potential sites, and there is O-glycosylation at Thr323 and Ser325 in the RBD region, which ranges from aa 331 to 524.251 The glycosylations of the S and E proteins are required for proper folding of the proteins and to retain the infectivity of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2.²⁵² One of the possible mechanisms by which chloroquine exhibits anti-SARS-CoV activity is by interfering in the glycosylation of the ACE2 receptor.²⁵³ Apart from binding to a defined protein receptor, some coronaviruses have a sialic acid-binding activity.^{254,255} Chloroquine inhibits quinone reductase $2^{256}_{,256}$ which is structurally close to UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerases involved in sialic acid biosynthesis. Sialic acid was the first defined virus receptor.²⁵⁷ Beta-CoVs recognize O-acetylated sialic acid and carry a sialyl-O-acetyl-esterase that cleaves off the sialic acid

moieties and thus helps in the release of virus from the infected cells.²⁵⁷ However, in a clinical study with 821 asymptomatic participants exposed to people with confirmed COVID-19, there was no improvement of illness with hydroxychloroquine treatment started in adults after 4 days of exposure to a SARS-CoV-2-infected individual within 6 ft for over 10 min.²⁵⁸

Apart from the glycosylated proteins of the virus itself, the cell receptors of several viruses are also glycosylated proteins. Thus, the inhibition of ER glucosidases can also disrupt viral receptors in addition to affecting viral glycoproteins. The efficient attachment of the SARS-CoV virions to the host cells may require the N-linked glycosylation of SARS-CoV, although it is not required for binding to ACE2.²⁴⁷

DAS181 is a recombinant sialidase protein of *Actinomyces viscosus* origin that cleaves sialic acid present on the surface of epithelial cells lining the human airway tract. DAS181 protected mice from lethal avian influenza H5N1 virus infection.^{259,260} DAS181 removes sialic acid from the respiratory epithelium²⁶¹ and thereby prevents the binding of influenza²⁵⁹ and parainfluenza²⁶² viruses. Clinical evaluation of DAS181 for the prevention of COVID-19 is underway.²⁶³

Disulfide bond formation is another type of post-translational modification. When dithiothreitol was added to murine coronavirus mouse hepatitis (MHV)-infected cells, the produced S protein was reduced and did not bind to a monoclonal antibody, indicating the role of disulfide bonding in the folding of S proteins²⁶⁵; however, the reduction of recombinant SARS-S protein did not affect binding to ACE2.²⁶⁴

Palmitoylation happens when fatty acids such as palmitic acid attach to cysteine, serine, or threonine. Palmitoylation of the coronavirus S protein was initially identified following the incorporation of ³H-palmitate to the S protein of the MHV-A59²⁶⁶ and treatment with 2-bromopalmitate, an inhibitor of palmitoyl acyltransferase that reduced palmitoylation of the MHV S protein and the infectivity of MHV *in vitro*.²⁶⁷ The mutational analysis of cysteine-rich clusters of the cytoplasmic portion of the SARS-CoV S protein indicates the role of palmitoylation for the fusogenic activity of the SARS-CoV S protein.²⁶⁸

In summary, glycosylation, disulfide bond formation, and palmitoylation are important post-translational modifications with respect to viruses. Current studies are mainly focused on the pharmacological interference of glycosylation.

PRO-INFLAMMATORY CYTOKINES

Another important host factor that is associated with the severity of the SARS-CoV-2 infection is unregulated inflammation reported to cause cytokine storms, leading to organ failure and death.^{269,270} Although the infection rate is lower in children, the multisystem inflammatory syndrome has been reported.²⁷¹ Higher levels of cytokines such as IL-1 β , IFN-γ, IP-10, MCP-1, IL-4, and IL-10 are reported in COVID-19 patients.⁵ Moreover, higher plasma levels of IL-2, IL-7, IL-10, GCSF, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1A, and TNF- α were reported in ICU COVID-19 patients with severe disease.^{5,272} SARS-CoV-2 infection activates an inflammatory response that plays an antiviral role, but overproduction of cytokine occurs when there is a loss of negative feedback. This unbalanced feedback recruits more immune cells to the site of infection, leading to organ damage. Suppressing cytokine storms is essential for preventing disease deterioration in patients with COVID-19, especially in critically ill patients.

The acute lung injury due to SARS-CoV infection-mediated aggressive inflammation²⁷³ can lead to fatality. In a mouse model, ACE2 is known to give protection from severe acute lung injury by acid aspiration or sepsis, and downregulation of ACE2 reduces the protective effect to lung injury.²⁷⁴ In macrophages, ACE2 controls the expression of several proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF- α and IL-6 *in vitro*.²⁷⁵ SARS-CoV tends to downregulate ACE2, thus increasing the expression of cytokines.^{61,76} A detailed study of the effect of ACE2 downregulation and its effect on the cytokine storm in COVID-19 is required.^{20,276,277} Taken together, these data call for clinical validation of a combination of virus life cycle blockers and anti-inflammation therapies to minimize the severity of COVID-19.

Apart from the antiviral activity, many of the front-runners among promising drugs against COVID-19 (Table 2), including camostat, nafamostat, imatinib, and hydroxychloroquine, also have anti-inflammatory activity.^{278–283} Ambroxol hydrochloride is an active N-desmethyl metabolite of bromhexine hydrochloride, also known to be anti-inflammatory.²⁸⁴ Various anti-inflammatory agents such as tocilizumab, camrelizumab, and thymosin and methylprednisolone are in clinical trials targeting COVID-19.²⁸⁵ Furthermore, a detailed understanding of immune dysfunction is necessary to select immunomodulators to retain homeostasis.

CONCLUSIONS

Several coronaviruses are responsible for new disease outbreaks around the world, including COVID-19. The combination of high transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 and unregulated host immune response during infection makes the disease more severe in elderly people and those with previous medical conditions. Apart from the loss of lives, the interruption of daily activities has created global social disruption and economic loss. Hence, there is an unmet medical need for broad antivirals that combat these diseases. The frequent evolution of viruses not only changes the severity of the disease but also contributes to the development of drug resistance. In this regard, modulating the host factors involved in the viral life cycle is a good strategy against viral diseases. There are several ongoing preclinical and clinical studies mainly aimed at repurposing the approved drugs as well as lead candidates (Table 2). Variation in the severity of infection of COVID-19 makes recruitment for clinical trials complicated. Hence, detailed guidelines are needed for conducting and comparing the results across the globe.

Considering the available data, TMPRSS2 seems to be an attractive target, mainly because it is a major host protease that cleaves the SARS-CoV-2 S protein followed by cell fusion. Moreover, TMPRSS2^{-/-} mice do not show any abnormality, and hence, TMPRSS2 is dispensable, although it is involved in many other host processes, including ACE2 activation. More TMPRSS2 inhibitors should be investigated and tested against SARS-CoV-2. Other proteases, including furin, TMPRSS4, TMPR11D/HAT, and cathepsin L, are also involved in the viral entry process, but further studies are required to know to what extent they influence viral entry. Treatment with a combination of protease inhibitors can be beneficial; however, the nonspecificity of the available protease inhibitors hinders their study in wild-type cells. The highly glycosylated S and E proteins of SARS-CoV-2 open the window for testing the molecules that interfere with both the glycan processing of viral proteins and the host proteins involved in the virus

propagation. Some kinase inhibitors, especially Abl2 inhibitors and PIKfyve, are also promising as they are both antiviral and anti-inflammatory in nature. The cytokine storm, which is one of the main causes of organ failure and death, must be dealt with using anti-inflammatory drugs. Therefore, drugs with both antiviral and anti-inflammatory properties might be beneficial in treating COVID-19. Given the complexity of coronaviruses and the host interaction, continued work is needed to unravel their molecular nature further and to find out compounds that interfere in the host—pathogen interaction.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Anil Mathew Tharappel Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12208, United States; Phone: +1 518 4869834; Email: anil.tharappel@ health.ny.gov
- Hongmin Li Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12208, United States; Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Public Health, University at Albany, Albany, New York 12201, United States;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-8684-5308; Phone: +1 518 4734201; Email: Hongmin.li@health.ny.gov

Authors

 Subodh Kumar Samrat – Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12208, United States
 Zhong Li – Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York 12208, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.0c00456

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The suggestions from Dr. McClive-Reed of Heath Research, Inc. are greatly acknowledged. H.L. was partially supported by NIH grants AI131669, AI133219, AI140491, AI134568, AI140406, AI141178, and AI140726.

ABBREVIATIONS

A1At, anti-inflammatory protein alpha-1 antitrypsin; ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme; AEBSF, 4-(2-aminomethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride; ASP, adrenal secretory serine protease; BHH, bromhexine hydrochloride; CAP2, cyclaseassociated actin cytoskeleton regulatory protein 2, also TMPRSS4; CFR, case fatality rate; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; Dec-RVKR-CMK, decanoyl-Arg-Val-Lys-Argchloromethyl ketone; DENV, dengue virus; DESC, differentially expressed in squamous cell carcinoma; DFP, diisopropylfluorophosphate; E, envelope; EBOV, Ebola virus; eGFP, green fluorescent protein-expressing replicon; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; Fc, fragment crystallizable region; GPI, glycophosphatidylinositol; HA, hemagglutinin; HAT, human airway trypsin-like protease, also TMPRSS11D; HCoV, human coronavirus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HEK, Homo sapiens embryonic kidney; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; K_d, dissociation constant; K_i, inhibitor constant; KO, knockout; M, membrane; MAT1, mouse airway trypsinlike protease; MDCK, Madin-Darby canine kidney; MERS-CoV, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus; N, nucleocapsid protein; NSP, nonstructural protein; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PCs, proprotein convertases; PDCoV, porcine deltacoronavirus; PK, pharmacokinetic; RAT-1, rat airway trypsin-like serine protease 1; RBD, receptor-binding domain; RBM, receptor-binding motif; RdRp, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; rhACE2, recombinant human ACE2; S, spike protein; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; SPB1, selenium-binding protein 1; TMD, transmembrane domain; TMPRSS, transmembrane protease serine; TMPRSS11D, also HAT; TMPRSS2, TMPRSS S1 member 2, also epitheliasin; TMPRSS4, also CAP2; TSP, transmembrane series proteases; TTSP, Type II TSP; WT, wild-type or native; ZIKV, Zika virus; α 1-PDX, α 1-antitrypsin Portland; MHV, mouse hepatitis virus (murine coronavirus); AAK1, AP2-associated protein kinase 1; Abl, Abelson murine leukemia viral oncogene homologue kinase; Akt, v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene; Arg, Abl2; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; DAS181, recombinant sialidase protein; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; E-protein, envelope small membrane protein; ErbB, derived from the oncogene encoded by the erythroblastosis virus; GAK, cyclin G-associated kinase; GCSF, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor; H5N1, highly pathogenic Asian avian influenza A; Huh-7, human hepatoma cell line; IC, inhibitory concentration; IFN- γ , interferon gamma; IL, interleukin; MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, also CCL2; MIP-1A, macrophage inflammatory protein 1alpha; mTor, mechanistic target of rapamycin; Nak, numb associated kinase; P13K, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase; Src, derived from the gene encoded by the Rous sarcoma virus; TH17, T cells producing IL-17; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor; PIKfyve, phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate/phosphatidylinositol 5-kinase; IP-10, IFN-γ-inducible protein; PTM, posttranslational modification

REFERENCES

(1) Du, L., He, Y., Zhou, Y., Liu, S., Zheng, B.-J., and Jiang, S. (2009) The Spike Protein of SARS-CoV-a Target for Vaccine and Therapeutic Development. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7 (3), 226–236.

(2) Zaki, A. M., van Boheemen, S., Bestebroer, T. M., Osterhaus, A. D. M. E., and Fouchier, R. A. M. (2012) Isolation of a Novel Coronavirus from a Man with Pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 367 (19), 1814–1820.

(3) Zhou, P., Yang, X.-L., Wang, X.-G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Si, H.-R., Zhu, Y., Li, B., Huang, C.-L., Chen, H.-D., Chen, J., Luo, Y., Guo, H., Jiang, R.-D., Liu, M.-Q., Chen, Y., Shen, X.-R., Wang, X., Zheng, X.-S., Zhao, K., Chen, Q.-J., Deng, F., Liu, L.-L., Yan, B., Zhan, F.-X., Wang, Y.-Y., Xiao, G.-F., and Shi, Z.-L. (2020) A Pneumonia Outbreak Associated with a New Coronavirus of Probable Bat Origin. *Nature 579* (7798), 270–273.

(4) Chen, N., Zhou, M., Dong, X., Qu, J., Gong, F., Han, Y., Qiu, Y., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Wei, Y., Xia, J., Yu, T., Zhang, X., and Zhang, L. (2020) Epidemiological and Clinical Characteristics of 99 Cases of 2019 Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia in Wuhan, China: A Descriptive Study. *Lancet* 395 (10223), 507–513.

(5) Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., Cheng, Z., Yu, T., Xia, J., Wei, Y., Wu, W., Xie, X., Yin, W., Li, H., Liu, M., Xiao, Y., Gao, H., Guo, L., Xie, J., Wang, G., Jiang, R., Gao, Z., Jin, Q., Wang, J., and Cao, B. (2020) Clinical Features of Patients Infected with 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 395 (10223), 497–506.

(6) Li, Q., Guan, X., Wu, P., Wang, X., Zhou, L., Tong, Y., Ren, R., Leung, K. S. M., Lau, E. H. Y., Wong, J. Y., Xing, X., Xiang, N., Wu, Y., Li, C., Chen, Q., Li, D., Liu, T., Zhao, J., Liu, M., Tu, W., Chen, C., Jin, L., Yang, R., Wang, Q., Zhou, S., Wang, R., Liu, H., Luo, Y., Liu, Y., Shao, G., Li, H., Tao, Z., Yang, Y., Deng, Z., Liu, B., Ma, Z., Zhang, Y., Shi, G., Lam, T. T. Y., Wu, J. T., Gao, G. F., Cowling, B. J., Yang, B., Leung, G. M., and Feng, Z. (2020) Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382 (13), 1199–1207.

(7) Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., Niu, P., Zhan, F., Ma, X., Wang, D., Xu, W., Wu, G., Gao, G. F., and Tan, W. (2020) A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382 (8), 727–733.

(8) Chan, J. F.-W., Yuan, S., Kok, K.-H., To, K. K.-W., Chu, H., Yang, J., Xing, F., Liu, J., Yip, C. C.-Y., Poon, R. W.-S., Tsoi, H.-W., Lo, S. K.-F., Chan, K.-H., Poon, V. K.-M., Chan, W.-M., Ip, J. D., Cai, J.-P., Cheng, V. C.-C., Chen, H., Hui, C. K.-M., and Yuen, K.-Y. (2020) A Familial Cluster of Pneumonia Associated with the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Indicating Person-to-Person Transmission: A Study of a Family Cluster. *Lancet* 395 (10223), 514–523.

(9) Adhikari, S. P., Meng, S., Wu, Y.-J., Mao, Y.-P., Ye, R.-X., Wang, Q.-Z., Sun, C., Sylvia, S., Rozelle, S., Raat, H., and Zhou, H. (2020) Epidemiology, Causes, Clinical Manifestation and Diagnosis, Prevention and Control of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) during the Early Outbreak Period: A Scoping Review. *Infect Dis Poverty* 9 (1), 29.

(10) WHO (accessed 2020-10-06) WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard, https://covid19.who.int.

(11) Aggarwal, S., Garcia-Telles, N., Aggarwal, G., Lavie, C., Lippi, G., and Henry, B. M. (2020) Clinical Features, Laboratory Characteristics, and Outcomes of Patients Hospitalized with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Early Report from the United States. *Diagnosis (Berl)* 7 (2), 91–96.

(12) Holshue, M. L., DeBolt, C., Lindquist, S., Lofy, K. H., Wiesman, J., Bruce, H., Spitters, C., Ericson, K., Wilkerson, S., Tural, A., Diaz, G., Cohn, A., Fox, L., Patel, A., Gerber, S. I., Kim, L., Tong, S., Lu, X., Lindstrom, S., Pallansch, M. A., Weldon, W. C., Biggs, H. M., Uyeki, T. M., and Pillai, S. K. (2020) First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382 (10), 929–936.

(13) (accessed 2020-10-06) United States of America: WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard, https://covid19.who. int/region/amro/country/us.

(14) WHO (accessed 2020-06-25) Coronavirus to ebola: the most recent global health emergencies, https://www.pharmaceutical-technology.com/features/coronavirus-ebola-latest-global-health-emergencies-who/.

(15) WHO (accessed 2020-06-25) Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), http://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en/.

(16) International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) (accessed 2020-09-06) *Taxonomy*, https://talk.ictvonline.org/taxonomy/.

(17) Xia, S., Liu, M., Wang, C., Xu, W., Lan, Q., Feng, S., Qi, F., Bao, L., Du, L., Liu, S., Qin, C., Sun, F., Shi, Z., Zhu, Y., Jiang, S., and Lu, L. (2020) Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 (Previously 2019-NCoV) Infection by a Highly Potent Pan-Coronavirus Fusion Inhibitor Targeting Its Spike Protein That Harbors a High Capacity to Mediate Membrane Fusion. *Cell Res.* 30 (4), 343–355.

(18) Walls, A. C., Park, Y.-J., Tortorici, M. A., Wall, A., McGuire, A. T., and Veesler, D. (2020) Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Glycoprotein. *Cell* 181 (2), 281–292.e6.

(19) Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S., Krüger, N., Herrler, T., Erichsen, S., Schiergens, T. S., Herrler, G., Wu, N.-H., Nitsche, A., Müller, M. A., Drosten, C., and Pöhlmann, S. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell 181* (2), 271– 280.e8.

(20) Verdecchia, P., Cavallini, C., Spanevello, A., and Angeli, F. (2020) The Pivotal Link between ACE2 Deficiency and SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* 76, 14–20.

(21) Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., and Pöhlmann, S. (2020) A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. *Mol. Cell* 78, 779.

(22) Ou, X., Liu, Y., Lei, X., Li, P., Mi, D., Ren, L., Guo, L., Guo, R., Chen, T., Hu, J., Xiang, Z., Mu, Z., Chen, X., Chen, J., Hu, K., Jin, Q., Wang, J., and Qian, Z. (2020) Characterization of Spike Glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on Virus Entry and Its Immune Cross-Reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1620.

(23) Kang, Y.-L., Chou, Y., Rothlauf, P. W., Liu, Z., Soh, T. K., Cureton, D., Case, J. B., Chen, R. E., Diamond, M. S., Whelan, S. P. J., and Kirchhausen, T. (2020) Inhibition of PIKfyve Kinase Prevents Infection by Zaire Ebolavirus and SARS-CoV-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 117 (34), 20803–20813.

(24) Morales-Ortega, A., Bernal-Bello, D., Llarena-Barroso, C., Frutos-Pérez, B., Duarte-Millán, M. Á., García de Viedma-García, V., Farfán-Sedano, A. I., Canalejo-Castrillero, E., Ruiz-Giardín, J. M., Ruiz-Ruiz, J., and San Martín-López, J. V. (2020) Imatinib for COVID-19: A Case Report. *Clin. Immunol. 218*, 108518.

(25) Song, Z., Xu, Y., Bao, L., Zhang, L., Yu, P., Qu, Y., Zhu, H., Zhao, W., Han, Y., and Qin, C. (2019) From SARS to MERS, Thrusting Coronaviruses into the Spotlight. *Viruses* 11 (1), 59.

(26) Khailany, R. A., Safdar, M., and Ozaslan, M. (2020) Genomic Characterization of a Novel SARS-CoV-2. *Gene Rep 19*, 100682.

(27) Hofmann, H., Pyrc, K., van der Hoek, L., Geier, M., Berkhout, B., and Pohlmann, S. (2005) Human Coronavirus NL63 Employs the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Receptor for Cellular Entry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (22), 7988–7993.

(28) Xu, X., Chen, P., Wang, J., Feng, J., Zhou, H., Li, X., Zhong, W., and Hao, P. (2020) Evolution of the Novel Coronavirus from the Ongoing Wuhan Outbreak and Modeling of Its Spike Protein for Risk of Human Transmission. *Sci. China: Life Sci.* 63 (3), 457–460.

(29) Guy, J. L., Jackson, R. M., Jensen, H. A., Hooper, N. M., and Turner, A. J. (2005) Identification of Critical Active-Site Residues in Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme-2 (ACE2) by Site-Directed Mutagenesis. *FEBS J.* 272 (14), 3512–3520.

(30) Mathewson, A. C., Bishop, A., Yao, Y., Kemp, F., Ren, J., Chen, H., Xu, X., Berkhout, B., van der Hoek, L., and Jones, I. M. (2008) Interaction of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus and NL63 Coronavirus Spike Proteins with Angiotensin Converting Enzyme-2. J. Gen. Virol. 89 (11), 2741–2745.

(31) Towler, P., Staker, B., Prasad, S. G., Menon, S., Tang, J., Parsons, T., Ryan, D., Fisher, M., Williams, D., Dales, N. A., Patane, M. A., and Pantoliano, M. W. (2004) ACE2 X-Ray Structures Reveal a Large Hinge-Bending Motion Important for Inhibitor Binding and Catalysis. J. Biol. Chem. 279 (17), 17996–18007.

(32) Bestle, D., Heindl, M. R., Limburg, H., Van Lam van, T., Pilgram, O., Moulton, H., Stein, D. A., Hardes, K., Eickmann, M., Dolnik, O., Rohde, C., Klenk, H.-D., Garten, W., Steinmetzer, T., and Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E. (2020) TMPRSS2 and Furin Are Both Essential for Proteolytic Activation of SARS-CoV-2 in Human Airway Cells. *Life Sci. Alliance* 3 (9), e202000786.

(33) Park, J.-E., Li, K., Barlan, A., Fehr, A. R., Perlman, S., McCray, P. B., and Gallagher, T. (2016) Proteolytic Processing of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spikes Expands Virus Tropism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113 (43), 12262–12267.

(34) Wang, H., Yang, P., Liu, K., Guo, F., Zhang, Y., Zhang, G., and Jiang, C. (2008) SARS Coronavirus Entry into Host Cells through a Novel Clathrin- and Caveolae-Independent Endocytic Pathway. *Cell Res.* 18 (2), 290–301.

(35) Liu, J., Cao, R., Xu, M., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Hu, H., Li, Y., Hu, Z., Zhong, W., and Wang, M. (2020) Hydroxychloroquine, a Less Toxic Derivative of Chloroquine, Is Effective in Inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Vitro. *Cell Discovery* 6 (1), 1–4.

(36) Nakagawa, K., Lokugamage, K. G., and Makino, S. (2016) Viral and Cellular mRNA Translation in Coronavirus-Infected Cells. *Adv. Virus Res.* 96, 165–192.

(37) Sawicki, S. G., Sawicki, D. L., and Siddell, S. G. (2007) A Contemporary View of Coronavirus Transcription. *J. Virol.* 81 (1), 20–29.

(38) Hagemeijer, M. C., Verheije, M. H., Ulasli, M., Shaltiel, I. A., de Vries, L. A., Reggiori, F., Rottier, P. J. M., and de Haan, C. A. M. (2010) Dynamics of Coronavirus Replication-Transcription Complexes. *J. Virol.* 84 (4), 2134–2149.

(39) Tai, W., He, L., Zhang, X., Pu, J., Voronin, D., Jiang, S., Zhou, Y., and Du, L. (2020) Characterization of the Receptor-Binding Domain (RBD) of 2019 Novel Coronavirus: Implication for Development of RBD Protein as a Viral Attachment Inhibitor and Vaccine. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* 17, 613–620.

(40) Snijder, E. J., Limpens, R. W. A. L., de Wilde, A. H., de Jong, A. W. M., Zevenhoven-Dobbe, J. C., Maier, H. J., Faas, F. F. G. A., Koster, A. J., and Bárcena, M. (2020) A Unifying Structural and Functional Model of the Coronavirus Replication Organelle: Tracking down RNA Synthesis. *PLoS Biol.* 18 (6), e3000715.

(41) Rota, P. A., Oberste, M. S., Monroe, S. S., Nix, W. A., Campagnoli, R., Icenogle, J. P., Peñaranda, S., Bankamp, B., Maher, K., Chen, M., Tong, S., Tamin, A., Lowe, L., Frace, M., DeRisi, J. L., Chen, Q., Wang, D., Erdman, D. D., Peret, T. C. T., Burns, C., Ksiazek, T. G., Rollin, P. E., Sanchez, A., Liffick, S., Holloway, B., Limor, J., McCaustland, K., Olsen-Rasmussen, M., Fouchier, R., Günther, S., Osterhaus, A. D. M. E., Drosten, C., Pallansch, M. A., Anderson, L. J., and Bellini, W. J. (2003) Characterization of a Novel Coronavirus Associated with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *Science* 300 (5624), 1394–1399.

(42) Zhao, X., Guo, F., Comunale, M. A., Mehta, A., Sehgal, M., Jain, P., Cuconati, A., Lin, H., Block, T. M., Chang, J., and Guo, J.-T. (2015) Inhibition of Endoplasmic Reticulum-Resident Glucosidases Impairs Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus and Human Coronavirus NL63 Spike Protein-Mediated Entry by Altering the Glycan Processing of Angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme 2. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 59 (1), 206–216.

(43) Sayce, A. C., Alonzi, D. S., Killingbeck, S. S., Tyrrell, B. E., Hill, M. L., Caputo, A. T., Iwaki, R., Kinami, K., Ide, D., Kiappes, J. L., Beatty, P. R., Kato, A., Harris, E., Dwek, R. A., Miller, J. L., and Zitzmann, N. (2016) Iminosugars Inhibit Dengue Virus Production via Inhibition of ER Alpha-Glucosidases—Not Glycolipid Processing Enzymes. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 10 (3), e0004524.

(44) Fleet, G. W. J., Karpas, A., Dwek, R. A., Fellows, L. E., Tyms, A. S., Petursson, S., Namgoong, S. K., Ramsden, N. G., Smith, P. W., Son, J. C., Wilson, F., Witty, D. R., Jacob, G. S., and Rademacher, T. W. (1988) Inhibition of HIV Replication by Amino-Sugar Derivatives. *FEBS Lett.* 237 (1–2), 128–132.

(45) Hofmann, H., and Pöhlmann, S. (2004) Cellular Entry of the SARS Coronavirus. *Trends Microbiol.* 12 (10), 466–472.

(46) Pujadas, E., Ibeh, N., Hernandez, M. M., Waluszko, A., Sidorenko, T., Flores, V., Shiffrin, B., Chiu, N., Young-Francois, A., Nowak, M. D., Paniz-Mondolfi, A. E., Sordillo, E. M., Cordon-Cardo, C., Houldsworth, J., and Gitman, M. R. (2020) Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 Detection from Nasopharyngeal Swab Samples by the Roche Cobas[®] 6800 SARS-CoV-2 Test and a Laboratory-Developed Real-Time RT-PCR Test. *J. Med. Virol.* 92, 1695.

(47) Ledford, H. (2020) Coronavirus Breakthrough: Dexamethasone Is First Drug Shown to Save Lives. *Nature* 582 (7813), 469–469.
(48) Lv, H., Wu, N. C., Tsang, O. T.-Y., Yuan, M., Perera, R. A. P. M., Leung, W. S., So, R. T. Y., Chan, J. M. C., Yip, G. K., Chik, T. S. H., Wang, Y., Choi, C. Y. C., Lin, Y., Ng, W. W., Zhao, J., Poon, L. L. M., Peiris, J. S. M., Wilson, I. A., and Mok, C. K. P. (2020) Cross-Reactive Antibody Response between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV Infections. *Cell Rep.* 31 (9), 107725.

(49) Anderson, D. E., Tan, C. W., Chia, W. N., Young, B. E., Linster, M., Low, J. H., Tan, Y.-J., Chen, M. I.-C., Smith, G. J. D., Leo, Y. S., Lye, D. C., and Wang, L.-F. (2020) Lack of Cross-Neutralization by SARS Patient Sera towards SARS-CoV-2. *Emerging Microbes Infect.* 9 (1), 900–902.

(50) Wec, A. Z., Wrapp, D., Herbert, A. S., Maurer, D. P., Haslwanter, D., Sakharkar, M., Jangra, R. K., Dieterle, M. E., Lilov, A., Huang, D., Tse, L. V., Johnson, N. V., Hsieh, C.-L., Wang, N., Nett, J. H., Champney, E., Burnina, I., Brown, M., Lin, S., Sinclair, M., Johnson, C., Pudi, S., Bortz, R., Wirchnianski, A. S., Laudermilch, E., Florez, C., Fels, J. M., O'Brien, C. M., Graham, B. S., Nemazee, D., Burton, D. R., Baric, R. S., Voss, J. E., Chandran, K., Dye, J. M., McLellan, J. S., and Walker, L. M. (2020) Broad Neutralization of SARS-Related Viruses by Human Monoclonal Antibodies. *Science* 369, 731.

(51) Yip, M. S., Leung, N. H. L., Cheung, C. Y., Li, P. H., Lee, H. H. Y., Daëron, M., Peiris, J. S. M., Bruzzone, R., and Jaume, M. (2014) Antibody-Dependent Infection of Human Macrophages by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. *Virol. J.* 11, 82.

(52) Arvin, A. M., Fink, K., Schmid, M. A., Cathcart, A., Spreafico, R., Havenar-Daughton, C., Lanzavecchia, A., Corti, D., and Virgin, H. W. (2020) A Perspective on Potential Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature 584* (7821), 353–363.

(53) Eroshenko, N., Gill, T., Keaveney, M. K., Church, G. M., Trevejo, J. M., and Rajaniemi, H. (2020) Implications of Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Infection for SARS-CoV-2 Countermeasures. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38 (7), 789–791.

(54) Samrat, S. K., Tharappel, A. M., Li, Z., and Li, H. (2020) Prospect of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein: Potential Role in Vaccine and Therapeutic Development. *Virus Res.* 288, 198141.

(55) Gordon, D. E., Jang, G. M., Bouhaddou, M., Xu, J., Obernier, K., White, K. M., O'Meara, M. J., Rezelj, V. V., Guo, J. Z., Swaney, D. L., Tummino, T. A., Huettenhain, R., Kaake, R. M., Richards, A. L., Tutuncuoglu, B., Foussard, H., Batra, J., Haas, K., Modak, M., Kim, M., Haas, P., Polacco, B. J., Braberg, H., Fabius, J. M., Eckhardt, M., Soucheray, M., Bennett, M. J., Cakir, M., McGregor, M. J., Li, Q., Meyer, B., Roesch, F., Vallet, T., Mac Kain, A., Miorin, L., Moreno, E., Naing, Z. Z. C., Zhou, Y., Peng, S., Shi, Y., Zhang, Z., Shen, W., Kirby, I. T., Melnyk, J. E., Chorba, J. S., Lou, K., Dai, S. A., Barrio-Hernandez, I., Memon, D., Hernandez-Armenta, C., Lyu, J., Mathy, C. J. P., Perica, T., Pilla, K. B., Ganesan, S. J., Saltzberg, D. J., Rakesh, R., Liu, X., Rosenthal, S. B., Calviello, L., Venkataramanan, S., Liboy-Lugo, J., Lin, Y., Huang, X.-P., Liu, Y., Wankowicz, S. A., Bohn, M., Safari, M., Ugur, F. S., Koh, C., Savar, N. S., Tran, Q. D., Shengjuler, D., Fletcher, S. J., O'Neal, M. C., Cai, Y., Chang, J. C. J., Broadhurst, D. J., Klippsten, S., Sharp, P. P., Wenzell, N. A., Kuzuoglu, D., Wang, H.-Y., Trenker, R., Young, J. M., Cavero, D. A., Hiatt, J., Roth, T. L., Rathore, U., Subramanian, A., Noack, J., Hubert, M., Stroud, R. M., Frankel, A. D., Rosenberg, O. S., Verba, K. A., Agard, D. A., Ott, M., Emerman, M., Jura, N., von Zastrow, M., Verdin, E., Ashworth, A., Schwartz, O., d'Enfert, C., Mukherjee, S., Jacobson, M., Malik, H. S., Fujimori, D. G., Ideker, T., Craik, C. S., Floor, S. N., Fraser, J. S., Gross, J. D., Sali, A., Roth, B. L., Ruggero, D., Taunton, J., Kortemme, T., Beltrao, P., Vignuzzi, M., García-Sastre, A., Shokat, K. M., Shoichet, B. K., and Krogan, N. J. (2020) A SARS-CoV-2 Protein Interaction Map Reveals Targets for Drug Repurposing. Nature 583, 459 - 468.

(56) Friesland, M., Mingorance, L., Chung, J., Chisari, F. V., and Gastaminza, P. (2013) Sigma-1 Receptor Regulates Early Steps of Viral RNA Replication at the Onset of Hepatitis C Virus Infection. *J. Virol* 87 (11), 6377–6390.

(57) Roth, M. D., Whittaker, K. M., Choi, R., Tashkin, D. P., and Baldwin, G. C. (2005) Cocaine and Sigma-1 Receptors Modulate HIV Infection, Chemokine Receptors, and the HPA Axis in the HuPBL-SCID Model. *J. Leukocyte Biol.* 78 (6), 1198–1203.

(58) Crackower, M. A., Sarao, R., Oudit, G. Y., Yagil, C., Kozieradzki, I., Scanga, S. E., Oliveira-dos-Santos, A. J., da Costa, J., Zhang, L., Pei, Y., Scholey, J., Ferrario, C. M., Manoukian, A. S., Chappell, M. C., Backx, P. H., Yagil, Y., and Penninger, J. M. (2002) Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 Is an Essential Regulator of Heart Function. *Nature* 417 (6891), 822–828.

(59) Ding, Y., He, L., Zhang, Q., Huang, Z., Che, X., Hou, J., Wang, H., Shen, H., Qiu, L., Li, Z., Geng, J., Cai, J., Han, H., Li, X., Kang, W., Weng, D., Liang, P., and Jiang, S. (2004) Organ Distribution of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) Associated Coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS Patients: Implications for Pathogenesis and Virus Transmission Pathways. J. Pathol. 203 (2), 622–630.

(60) Hamming, I, Timens, W, Bulthuis, M., Lely, A., Navis, G., and van Goor, H (2004) Tissue Distribution of ACE2 Protein, the

Functional Receptor for SARS Coronavirus. A First Step in Understanding SARS Pathogenesis. J. Pathol. 203 (2), 631–637.

(61) He, L., Ding, Y., Zhang, Q., Che, X., He, Y., Shen, H., Wang, H., Li, Z., Zhao, L., Geng, J., Deng, Y., Yang, L., Li, J., Cai, J., Qiu, L., Wen, K., Xu, X., and Jiang, S. (2006) Expression of Elevated Levels of Pro-Inflammatory Cytokines in SARS-CoV-Infected ACE2+ Cells in SARS Patients: Relation to the Acute Lung Injury and Pathogenesis of SARS. J. Pathol. 210 (3), 288–297.

(62) Li, W., Moore, M. J., Vasilieva, N., Sui, J., Wong, S. K., Berne, M. A., Somasundaran, M., Sullivan, J. L., Luzuriaga, K., Greenough, T. C., Choe, H., and Farzan, M. (2003) Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 Is a Functional Receptor for the SARS Coronavirus. *Nature* 426 (6965), 450–454.

(63) Wrapp, D., Wang, N., Corbett, K. S., Goldsmith, J. A., Hsieh, C.-L., Abiona, O., Graham, B. S., and McLellan, J. S. (2020) Cryo-EM Structure of the 2019-NCoV Spike in the Prefusion Conformation. *Science* 367 (6483), 1260–1263.

(64) Chen, Y., Guo, Y., Pan, Y., and Zhao, Z. J. (2020) Structure Analysis of the Receptor Binding of 2019-NCoV. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 525, 135.

(65) Wrobel, A. G., Benton, D. J., Xu, P., Roustan, C., Martin, S. R., Rosenthal, P. B., Skehel, J. J., and Gamblin, S. J. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 and Bat RaTG13 Spike Glycoprotein Structures Inform on Virus Evolution and Furin-Cleavage Effects. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 27 (8), 763–767.

(66) Angeletti, S., Benvenuto, D., Bianchi, M., Giovanetti, M., Pascarella, S., and Ciccozzi, M. (2020) COVID-2019: The Role of the Nsp2 and Nsp3 in Its Pathogenesis. *J. Med. Virol.* 92, 584.

(67) Li, W., Zhang, C., Sui, J., Kuhn, J. H., Moore, M. J., Luo, S., Wong, S.-K., Huang, I.-C., Xu, K., Vasilieva, N., Murakami, A., He, Y., Marasco, W. A., Guan, Y., Choe, H., and Farzan, M. (2005) Receptor and Viral Determinants of SARS-Coronavirus Adaptation to Human ACE2. *EMBO J.* 24 (8), 1634–1643.

(68) Pyrc, K., Berkhout, B., and van der Hoek, L. (2007) The Novel Human Coronaviruses NL63 and HKU1. J. Virol. 81 (7), 3051–3057.

(69) Hofmann, H., Simmons, G., Rennekamp, A. J., Chaipan, C., Gramberg, T., Heck, E., Geier, M., Wegele, A., Marzi, A., Bates, P., and Pöhlmann, S. (2006) Highly Conserved Regions within the Spike Proteins of Human Coronaviruses 229E and NL63 Determine Recognition of Their Respective Cellular Receptors. *J. Virol.* 80 (17), 8639–8652.

(70) Wong, S. K., Li, W., Moore, M. J., Choe, H., and Farzan, M. (2004) A 193-Amino Acid Fragment of the SARS Coronavirus S Protein Efficiently Binds Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279 (5), 3197–3201.

(71) Zhang, G., Pomplun, S., Loftis, A. R., Loas, A., and Pentelute, B. L. (2020) The First-in-Class Peptide Binder to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein. *bioRxiv*, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.19.999318.

(72) Haschke, M., Schuster, M., Poglitsch, M., Loibner, H., Salzberg, M., Bruggisser, M., Penninger, J., and Krähenbühl, S. (2013) Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Recombinant Human Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 in Healthy Human Subjects. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 52 (9), 783–792.

(73) Khan, A., Benthin, C., Zeno, B., Albertson, T. E., Boyd, J., Christie, J. D., Hall, R., Poirier, G., Ronco, J. J., Tidswell, M., Hardes, K., Powley, W. M., Wright, T. J., Siederer, S. K., Fairman, D. A., Lipson, D. A., Bayliffe, A. I., and Lazaar, A. L. (2017) A Pilot Clinical Trial of Recombinant Human Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *Critical Care 21* (1), 234.

(74) Monteil, V., Kwon, H., Prado, P., Hagelkrüys, A., Wimmer, R. A., Stahl, M., Leopoldi, A., Garreta, E., Hurtado del Pozo, C., Prosper, F., Romero, J. P., Wirnsberger, G., Zhang, H., Slutsky, A. S., Conder, R., Montserrat, N., Mirazimi, A., and Penninger, J. M. (2020) Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Engineered Human Tissues Using Clinical-Grade Soluble Human ACE2. *Cell* 181, 905.

(75) Lei, C., Fu, W., Qian, K., Li, T., Zhang, S., Ding, M., and Hu, S. (2020) Potent Neutralization of 2019 Novel Coronavirus by Recombinant ACE2-Ig. *bioRxiv*, DOI: 10.1101/2020.02.01.929976.

(76) Kuba, K., Imai, Y., Rao, S., Gao, H., Guo, F., Guan, B., Huan, Y., Yang, P., Zhang, Y., Deng, W., Bao, L., Zhang, B., Liu, G., Wang, Z., Chappell, M., Liu, Y., Zheng, D., Leibbrandt, A., Wada, T., Slutsky, A. S., Liu, D., Qin, C., Jiang, C., and Penninger, J. M. (2005) A Crucial Role of Angiotensin Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS Coronavirus–Induced Lung Injury. *Nat. Med.* 11 (8), 875–879.

(77) Lei, C., Qian, K., Li, T., Zhang, S., Fu, W., Ding, M., and Hu, S. (2020) Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Pseudotyped Virus by Recombinant ACE2-Ig. *Nat. Commun.* 11 (1), 2070.

(78) Wan, Y., Shang, J., Sun, S., Tai, W., Chen, J., Geng, Q., He, L., Chen, Y., Wu, J., Shi, Z., Zhou, Y., Du, L., and Li, F. (2020) Molecular Mechanism for Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Coronavirus Entry. J. Virol. 94 (5), e02015-19.

(79) Wang, S.-F., Tseng, S.-P., Yen, C.-H., Yang, J.-Y., Tsao, C.-H., Shen, C.-W., Chen, K.-H., Liu, F.-T., Liu, W.-T., Chen, Y.-M. A., and Huang, J. C. (2014) Antibody-Dependent SARS Coronavirus Infection Is Mediated by Antibodies against Spike Proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 451 (2), 208–214.

(80) Yip, M. S., Leung, H. L., Li, P. H., Cheung, C. Y., Dutry, I., Li, D., Daëron, M., Bruzzone, R., Peiris, J. S., and Jaume, M. (2016) Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of SARS Coronavirus Infection and Its Role in the Pathogenesis of SARS. *Hong Kong Med. J.* 22 (3 Suppl4), 25–31.

(81) Quesada, V., Ordóñez, G. R., Sánchez, L. M., Puente, X. S., and López-Otín, C. (2009) The Degradome Database: Mammalian Proteases and Diseases of Proteolysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37 (suppl 1), D239–D243.

(82) Steinmetzer, T., and Hardes, K. (2018) The Antiviral Potential of Host Protease Inhibitors. In *Activation of Viruses by Host Proteases* (Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E., Garten, W., and Klenk, H. D., Eds.) pp 279–325, Springer International Publishing: Cham, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-75474-1 11.

(83) Netzel-Arnett, S., Hooper, J. D., Szabo, R., Madison, E. L., Quigley, J. P., Bugge, T. H., and Antalis, T. M. (2003) Membrane Anchored Serine Proteases: A Rapidly Expanding Group of Cell Surface Proteolytic Enzymes with Potential Roles in Cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 22 (2), 237–258.

(84) Szabo, R., and Bugge, T. H. (2008) Type II Transmembrane Serine Proteases in Development and Disease. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 40 (6), 1297–1316.

(85) Drag, M., and Salvesen, G. S. (2010) Emerging Principles in Protease-Based Drug Discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* 9 (9), 690–701.

(86) Ascenzi, P., Bocedi, A., Bolognesi, M., Spallarossa, A., Coletta, M., Cristofaro, R., and Menegatti, E. (2003) The Bovine Basic Pancreatic Trypsin Inhibitor (Kunitz Inhibitor): A Milestone Protein. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 4 (3), 231–251.

(87) Engles, L. (2005) Review and Application of Serine Protease Inhibition in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *Am. J. Health-Syst. Pharm.* 62 (18 Suppl 4), S9–S14.

(88) Mangano, D. T., Miao, Y., Vuylsteke, A., Tudor, I. C., Juneja, R., Filipescu, D., Hoeft, A., Fontes, M. L., Hillel, Z., Ott, E., Titov, T., Dietzel, C., and Levin, J. (2007) Foundation, for the I. of T. M. S. of P. I. R. G. and the I. R. and E. Mortality Associated With Aprotinin During 5 Years Following Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery. *JAMA* 297 (5), 471–479.

(89) Westaby, S. (1993) Aprotinin in Perspective. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 55 (4), 1033–1041.

(90) Mojcik, C. F., and Levy, J. H. (2001) Aprotinin and the Systemic Inflammatory Response after Cardiopulmonary Bypass. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 71 (2), 745–754.

(91) Ovcharenko, A. V., and Zhirnov, O. P. (1994) Aprotinin Aerosol Treatment of Influenza and Paramyxovirus Bronchopneumonia of Mice. *Antiviral Res.* 23 (2), 107–118.

(92) Böttcher, E., Freuer, C., Steinmetzer, T., Klenk, H.-D., and Garten, W. (2009) MDCK Cells That Express Proteases TMPRSS2 and HAT Provide a Cell System to Propagate Influenza Viruses in the Absence of Trypsin and to Study Cleavage of HA and Its Inhibition. *Vaccine* 27 (45), 6324–6329.

(93) Millet, J. K., and Whittaker, G. R. (2015) Host Cell Proteases: Critical Determinants of Coronavirus Tropism and Pathogenesis. *Virus Res.* 202, 120–134.

(94) Belouzard, S., Chu, V. C., and Whittaker, G. R. (2009) Activation of the SARS Coronavirus Spike Protein via Sequential Proteolytic Cleavage at Two Distinct Sites. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.* A. 106 (14), 5871–5876.

(95) Kim, T. S., Heinlein, C., Hackman, R. C., and Nelson, P. S. (2006) Phenotypic Analysis of Mice Lacking the Tmprss2-Encoded Protease. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 26 (3), 965–975.

(96) Sales, K. U., Hobson, J. P., Wagenaar-Miller, R., Szabo, R., Rasmussen, A. L., Bey, A., Shah, M. F., Molinolo, A. A., and Bugge, T. H. (2011) Expression and Genetic Loss of Function Analysis of the HAT/DESC Cluster Proteases TMPRSS11A and HAT. *PLoS One 6* (8), e23261.

(97) Keppner, A., Andreasen, D., Mérillat, A.-M., Bapst, J., Ansermet, C., Wang, Q., Maillard, M., Malsure, S., Nobile, A., and Hummler, E. (2015) Epithelial Sodium Channel-Mediated Sodium Transport Is Not Dependent on the Membrane-Bound Serine Protease CAP2/ Tmprss4. *PLoS One 10* (8), e0135224.

(98) Potts, W., Bowyer, J., Jones, H., Tucker, D., Freemont, A. J., Millest, A., Martin, C., Vernon, W., Neerunjun, D., Slynn, G., Harper, F., and Maciewicz, R. (2004) Cathepsin L-Deficient Mice Exhibit Abnormal Skin and Bone Development and Show Increased Resistance to Osteoporosis Following Ovariectomy. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.* 85 (2), 85–96.

(99) Creemers, J., and W, M. (2008) Knock-out Mouse Models of Proprotein Convertases: Unique Functions or Redundancy? *Front. Biosci., Landmark Ed. Volume* (13), 4960.

(100) Sarac, M. S., Cameron, A., and Lindberg, I. (2002) The Furin Inhibitor Hexa-d-Arginine Blocks the Activation of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Exotoxin A In Vivo. *Infect. Immun.* 70 (12), 7136–7139.

(101) Vaarala, M. H., Porvari, K., Kyllönen, A., Lukkarinen, O., and Vihko, P. (2001) The TMPRSS2 Gene Encoding Transmembrane Serine Protease Is Overexpressed in a Majority of Prostate Cancer Patients: Detection of Mutated TMPRSS2 Form in a Case of Aggressive Disease. *Int. J. Cancer* 94 (5), 705–710.

(102) Atlas of Genetics and Cytogenetics in Oncology and Haematology (accessed 2020-08-25) *TMPRSS2* (transmembrane protease, serine 2), http://atlasgeneticsoncology.org/Genes/GC_TMPRSS2.html.

(103) Afar, D. E. H., Vivanco, I., Hubert, R. S., Kuo, J., Chen, E., Saffran, D. C., Raitano, A. B., and Jakobovits, A. (2001) Catalytic Cleavage of the Androgen-Regulated TMPRSS2 Protease Results in Its Secretion by Prostate and Prostate Cancer Epithelia. *Cancer Res.* 61 (4), 1686–1692.

(104) Wilson, S., Greer, B., Hooper, J., Zijlstra, A., Walker, B., Quigley, J., and Hawthorne, S. (2005) The Membrane-Anchored Serine Protease, TMPRSS2, Activates PAR-2 in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Biochem. J.* 388 (3), 967–972.

(105) Lukassen, S., Chua, R. L., Trefzer, T., Kahn, N. C., Schneider, M. A., Muley, T., Winter, H., Meister, M., Veith, C., Boots, A. W., Hennig, B. P., Kreuter, M., Conrad, C., and Eils, R. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 and TMPRSS2 Are Primarily Expressed in Bronchial Transient Secretory Cells. *EMBO J.* 39 (10), e105114.

(106) Ma, D., Chen, C.-B., Jhanji, V., Xu, C., Yuan, X.-L., Liang, J.-J., Huang, Y., Cen, L.-P., and Ng, T. K. (2020) Expression of SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in Human Primary Conjunctival and Pterygium Cell Lines and in Mouse Cornea. *Eye 34*, 1212–1219.

(107) Bilinska, K., Jakubowska, P., VON BARTHELD, C. S., and Butowt, R. (2020) Expression of the SARS-CoV-2 Entry Proteins, ACE2 and TMPRSS2, in Cells of the Olfactory Epithelium: Identification of Cell Types and Trends with Age. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 11, 1555.

(108) Sungnak, W., Huang, N., Bécavin, C., Berg, M., Queen, R., Litvinukova, M., Talavera-López, C., Maatz, H., Reichart, D., Sampaziotis, F., Worlock, K. B., Yoshida, M., and Barnes, J. L. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 Entry Factors Are Highly Expressed in Nasal Epithelial Cells Together with Innate Immune Genes. *Nat. Med.* 26 (5), 681–687.

(109) Burgueño, J. F., Reich, A., Hazime, H., Quintero, M. A., Irina, F., Fritsch, J., Santander, A. M., Brito, N., Damas, O. M., Deshpande, A., Kerman, D. H., Zhang, L., Gao, Z., Ban, Y., Wang, L., Pignac-Kobinger, J., and Abreu, M. T. (2020) Expression of SARS-CoV-2 Entry Molecules ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in the Gut of Patients With IBD. *Inflamm Bowel Dis 26*, 797–808.

(110) Chen, Y.-W., Lee, M.-S., Lucht, A., Chou, F.-P., Huang, W., Havighurst, T. C., Kim, K., Wang, J.-K., Antalis, T. M., Johnson, M. D., and Lin, C.-Y. (2010) TMPRSS2, a Serine Protease Expressed in the Prostate on the Apical Surface of Luminal Epithelial Cells and Released into Semen in Prostasomes, Is Misregulated in Prostate Cancer Cells. *Am. J. Pathol.* 176 (6), 2986–2996.

(111) Lin, B., Ferguson, C., White, J. T., Wang, S., Vessella, R., True, L. D., Hood, L., and Nelson, P. S. (1999) Prostate-Localized and Androgen-Regulated Expression of the Membrane-Bound Serine Protease TMPRSS2. *Cancer Res.* 59 (17), 4180–4184.

(112) Lucas, J. M., Heinlein, C., Kim, T., Hernandez, S. A., Malik, M. S., True, L. D., Morrissey, C., Corey, E., Montgomery, B., Mostaghel, E., Clegg, N., Coleman, I., Brown, C. M., Schneider, E. L., Craik, C., Simon, J. A., Bedalov, A., and Nelson, P. S. (2014) The Androgen-Regulated Protease TMPRSS2 Activates a Proteolytic Cascade Involving Components of the Tumor Microenvironment and Promotes Prostate Cancer Metastasis. *Cancer Discovery* 4 (11), 1310–1325.

(113) Wambier, C. G., and Goren, A. (2020) Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection Is Likely to Be Androgen Mediated. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 83, 308.

(114) Shaw, G. L., Whitaker, H., Corcoran, M., Dunning, M. J., Luxton, H., Kay, J., Massie, C. E., Miller, J. L., Lamb, A. D., Ross-Adams, H., Russell, R., Nelson, A. W., Eldridge, M. D., Lynch, A. G., Ramos-Montoya, A., Mills, I. G., Taylor, A. E., Arlt, W., Shah, N., Warren, A. Y., and Neal, D. E. (2016) The Early Effects of Rapid Androgen Deprivation on Human Prostate Cancer. *Eur. Urol.* 70 (2), 214–218.

(115) Montopoli, M., Zumerle, S., Vettor, R., Rugge, M., Zorzi, M., Catapano, C. V., Carbone, G. M., Cavalli, A., Pagano, F., Ragazzi, E., Prayer-Galetti, T., and Alimonti, A. (2020) Androgen-Deprivation Therapies for Prostate Cancer and Risk of Infection by SARS-CoV-2: A Population-Based Study (N = 4532). *Ann. Oncol* 31 (8), 1040–1045.

(116) Ory, J., Lima, T. F. N., Towe, M., Frech, F. S., Best, J. C., Kava, B. R., and Ramasamy, R. (2020) Understanding the Complex Relationship Between Androgens and SARS-CoV2. *Urology* 144, 1.

(117) Cocks, T. M., and Moffatt, J. D. (2000) Protease-Activated Receptors: Sentries for Inflammation? *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 21 (3), 103–108.

(118) Darmoul, D., Gratio, V., Devaud, H., Lehy, T., and Laburthe, M. (2003) Aberrant Expression and Activation of the Thrombin Receptor Protease-Activated Receptor-1 Induces Cell Proliferation and Motility in Human Colon Cancer Cells. *Am. J. Pathol.* 162 (5), 1503–1513.

(119) Del Rosso, M., Fibbi, G., Pucci, M., D'Alessio, S., Del Rosso, A., Magnelli, L., and Chiarugi, V. (2002) Multiple Pathways of Cell Invasion Are Regulated by Multiple Families of Serine Proteases. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 19 (3), 193–207.

(120) Sakai, K., Ami, Y., Tahara, M., Kubota, T., Anraku, M., Abe, M., Nakajima, N., Sekizuka, T., Shirato, K., Suzaki, Y., Ainai, A., Nakatsu, Y., Kanou, K., Nakamura, K., Suzuki, T., Komase, K., Nobusawa, E., Maenaka, K., Kuroda, M., Hasegawa, H., Kawaoka, Y., Tashiro, M., and Takeda, M. (2014) The Host Protease TMPRSS2 Plays a Major Role in In Vivo Replication of Emerging H7N9 and Seasonal Influenza Viruses. J. Virol. 88 (10), 5608–5616.

(121) Meng, T., Cao, H., Zhang, H., Kang, Z., Xu, D., Gong, H., Wang, J., Li, Z., Cui, X., Xu, H., Wei, H., Pan, X., Zhu, R., Xiao, J., Zhou, W., Cheng, L., and Liu, J. (2020) The Insert Sequence in SARS-CoV-2 Enhances Spike Protein Cleavage by TMPRSS. *bioRxiv*, 2020.02.08.926006, DOI: 10.1101/2020.02.08.926006. (122) Reinke, L. M., Spiegel, M., Plegge, T., Hartleib, A., Nehlmeier, I., Gierer, S., Hoffmann, M., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Winkler, M., and Pöhlmann, S. (2017) Different Residues in the SARS-CoV Spike Protein Determine Cleavage and Activation by the Host Cell Protease TMPRSS2. *PLoS One* 12 (6), e0179177.

(123) Heurich, A., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Gierer, S., Liepold, T., Jahn, O., and Pöhlmann, S. (2014) TMPRSS2 and ADAM17 Cleave ACE2 Differentially and Only Proteolysis by TMPRSS2 Augments Entry Driven by the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein. *Journal of Virology 88* (2), 1293–1307.

(124) Zhou, Y., Vedantham, P., Lu, K., Agudelo, J., Carrion, R., Nunneley, J. W., Barnard, D., Pöhlmann, S., McKerrow, J. H., Renslo, A. R., and Simmons, G. (2015) Protease Inhibitors Targeting Coronavirus and Filovirus Entry. *Antiviral Res. 116*, 76–84.

(125) Shen, L. W., Mao, H. J., Wu, Y. L., Tanaka, Y., and Zhang, W. (2017) TMPRSS2: A Potential Target for Treatment of Influenza Virus and Coronavirus Infections. *Biochimie* 142, 1–10.

(126) Kawase, M., Shirato, K., van der Hoek, L., Taguchi, F., and Matsuyama, S. (2012) Simultaneous Treatment of Human Bronchial Epithelial Cells with Serine and Cysteine Protease Inhibitors Prevents Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Entry. *J. Virol 86* (12), 6537–6545.

(127) Fujii, S., and Hitomi, Y. (1981) New Synthetic Inhibitors of C1r, C1 Esterase, Thrombin, Plasmin, Kallikrein and Trypsin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Enzymology 661* (2), 342–345. (128) Mori, S., Itoh, Y., Shinohata, R., Sendo, T., Oishi, R., and Nishibori, M. (2003) Nafamostat Mesilate Is an Extremely Potent Inhibitor of Human Tryptase. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 92 (4), 420–423.

(129) Al-Horani, R. A., and Desai, U. R. (2014) Recent Advances on Plasmin Inhibitors for the Treatment of Fibrinolysis-Related Disorders. *Med. Res. Rev.* 34 (6), 1168–1216.

(130) Chen, X., Xu, Z., Zeng, S., Wang, X., Liu, W., Qian, L., Wei, J., Yang, X., Shen, Q., Gong, Z., and Yan, Y. (2019) The Molecular Aspect of Antitumor Effects of Protease Inhibitor Nafamostat Mesylate and Its Role in Potential Clinical Applications. *Front. Oncol.* 9, 852.

(131) Kang, M.-W., Song, H.-J., Kang, S. K., Kim, Y., Jung, S., Jee, S., Moon, J. Y., Suh, K., Lee, S. D., Jeon, B. H., and Kim, C.-S. (2015) Nafamostat Mesilate Inhibits TNF- α -Induced Vascular Endothelial Cell Dysfunction by Inhibiting Reactive Oxygen Species Production. *Korean J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 19 (3), 229–234.

(132) Yamamoto, M., Matsuyama, S., Li, X., Takeda, M., Kawaguchi, Y., Inoue, J., and Matsuda, Z. (2016) Identification of Nafamostat as a Potent Inhibitor of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus S Protein-Mediated Membrane Fusion Using the Split-Protein-Based Cell-Cell Fusion Assay. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 60 (11), 6532–6539.

(133) Hoffmann, M., Schroeder, S., Kleine-Weber, H., Müller, M. A., Drosten, C., and Pöhlmann, S. (2020) Nafamostat Mesylate Blocks Activation of SARS-CoV-2: New Treatment Option for COVID-19. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 64, e00754-20.

(134) Choi, J.-Y., Kang, Y.-J., Jang, H. M., Jung, H.-Y., Cho, J.-H., Park, S.-H., Kim, Y.-L., and Kim, C.-D. (2015) Nafamostat Mesilate as an Anticoagulant During Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy in Patients With High Bleeding Risk. *Medicine (Philadelphia, PA, U. S.)* 94 (52), e2392.

(135) Furukawa, K., Uwagawa, T., Iwase, R., Haruki, K., Fujiwara, Y., Gocho, T., Shiba, H., Misawa, T., and Yanaga, K. (2012) Prognostic Factors of Unresectable Pancreatic Cancer Treated with Nafamostat Mesilate Combined with Gemcitabine Chemotherapy. *Anticancer Res.* 32 (11), 5121–5126.

(136) Uwagawa, T., Misawa, T., Sakamoto, T., Ito, R., Gocho, T., Shiba, H., Wakiyama, S., Hirohara, S., Sadaoka, S., and Yanaga, K. (2009) A Phase I Study of Full-Dose Gemcitabine and Regional Arterial Infusion of Nafamostat Mesilate for Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 20 (2), 239–243.

(137) Lim, J. Y., Kim, J. B., Choo, S. J., Chung, C. H., Lee, J. W., and Jung, S. H. (2016) Anticoagulation During Extracorporeal Membrane

Oxygenation; Nafamostat Mesilate Versus Heparin. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery* 102 (2), 534–539.

(138) Makino, S., Egi, M., Kita, H., Miyatake, Y., Kubota, K., and Mizobuchi, S. (2016) Comparison of Nafamostat Mesilate and Unfractionated Heparin as Anticoagulants during Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy. *Int. J. Artif. Organs 39* (1), 16–21.

(139) Sawada, K., Ohdo, M., Ino, T., Nakamura, T., Numata, T., Shibata, H., Sakou, J., Kusada, M., and Hibi, T. (2016) Safety and Tolerability of Nafamostat Mesilate and Heparin as Anticoagulants in Leukocytapheresis for Ulcerative Colitis: Post Hoc Analysis of a Large-Scale, Prospective, Observational Study. *Ther. Apheresis Dial.* 20 (2), 197–204.

(140) Kim, H. S., Lee, K. E., Oh, J. H., Jung, C. S., Choi, D., Kim, Y., Jeon, J. S., Han, D. C., and Noh, H. (2016) Cardiac Arrest Caused by Nafamostat Mesilate. *Kidney Research and Clinical Practice* 35 (3), 187–189.

(141) Meyer, D., Sielaff, F., Hammami, M., Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E., Garten, W., and Steinmetzer, T. (2013) Identification of the First Synthetic Inhibitors of the Type II Transmembrane Serine Protease TMPRSS2 Suitable for Inhibition of Influenza Virus Activation. *Biochem. J.* 452 (2), 331–343.

(142) Laporte, M., and Naesens, L. (2017) Airway Proteases: An Emerging Drug Target for Influenza and Other Respiratory Virus Infections. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 24, 16–24.

(143) Bertram, S., Heurich, A., Lavender, H., Gierer, S., Danisch, S., Perin, P., Lucas, J. M., Nelson, P. S., Pöhlmann, S., and Soilleux, E. J. (2012) Influenza and SARS-Coronavirus Activating Proteases TMPRSS2 and HAT Are Expressed at Multiple Sites in Human Respiratory and Gastrointestinal Tracts. *PLoS One* 7 (4), e35876.

(144) Yasuoka, S., Ohnishi, T., Kawano, S., Tsuchihashi, S., Ogawara, M., Masuda, K., Yamaoka, K., Takahashi, M., and Sano, T. (1997) Purification, Characterization, and Localization of a Novel Trypsin-like Protease Found in the Human Airway. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 16 (3), 300–308.

(145) Baba, T., Watanabe, K., Kashiwabara, S., and Arai, Y. (1989) Primary Structure of Human Proacrosin Deduced from Its cDNA Sequence. *FEBS Lett.* 244 (2), 296–300.

(146) Leytus, S. P., Loeb, K. R., Hagen, F. S., Kurachi, K., and Davie, E. W. (1988) A Novel Trypsin-like Serine Protease (Hepsin) with a Putative Transmembrane Domain Expressed by Human Liver and Hepatoma Cells. *Biochemistry* 27 (3), 1067–1074.

(147) Miller, J. S., Westin, E. H., and Schwartz, L. B. (1989) Cloning and Characterization of Complementary DNA for Human Tryptase. *J. Clin. Invest.* 84 (4), 1188–1195.

(148) Wysocka, M., Spichalska, B., Lesner, A., Jaros, M., Brzozowski, K., Łęgowska, A., and Rolka, K. (2010) Substrate Specificity and Inhibitory Study of Human Airway Trypsin-like Protease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 18 (15), 5504–5509.

(149) Matsushima, R., Takahashi, A., Nakaya, Y., Maezawa, H., Miki, M., Nakamura, Y., Ohgushi, F., and Yasuoka, S. (2006) Human Airway Trypsin-like Protease Stimulates Human Bronchial Fibroblast Proliferation in a Protease-Activated Receptor-2-Dependent Pathway. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 290 (2), L385–L395.

(150) Chokki, M., Eguchi, H., Hamamura, I., Mitsuhashi, H., and Kamimura, T. (2005) Human Airway Trypsin-like Protease Induces Amphiregulin Release through a Mechanism Involving Protease-Activated Receptor-2-Mediated ERK Activation and TNF α -Converting Enzyme Activity in Airway Epithelial Cells. *FEBS J.* 272 (24), 6387–6399.

(151) Menou, A., Duitman, J., Flajolet, P., Sallenave, J.-M., Mailleux, A. A., and Crestani, B. (2017) Human Airway Trypsin-like Protease, a Serine Protease Involved in Respiratory Diseases. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 312 (5), L657–L668.

(152) Hansen, I. A., Fassnacht, M., Hahner, S., Hammer, F., Schammann, M., Meyer, S. R., Bicknell, A. B., and Allolio, B. (2004) The Adrenal Secretory Serine Protease AsP Is a Short Secretory Isoform of the Transmembrane Airway Trypsin-like Protease. *Endocrinology* 145 (4), 1898–1905.

(153) Bertram, S., Glowacka, I., Müller, M. A., Lavender, H., Gnirss, K., Nehlmeier, I., Niemeyer, D., He, Y., Simmons, G., Drosten, C., Soilleux, E. J., Jahn, O., Steffen, I., and Pöhlmann, S. (2011) Cleavage and Activation of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein by Human Airway Trypsin-Like Protease. *Journal of Virology* 85 (24), 13363–13372.

(154) Sielaff, F., Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E., Meyer, D., Saupe, S. M., Volk, I. M., Garten, W., and Steinmetzer, T. (2011) Development of Substrate Analogue Inhibitors for the Human Airway Trypsin-like Protease HAT. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21 (16), 4860–4864.

(155) de Aberasturi, A. L., and Calvo, A. (2015) TMPRSS4: An Emerging Potential Therapeutic Target in Cancer. *Br. J. Cancer 112* (1), 4–8.

(156) Wallrapp, C., Hähnel, S., Müller-Pillasch, F., Burghardt, B., Iwamura, T., Ruthenbürger, M., Lerch, M. M., Adler, G., and Gress, T. M. (2000) A Novel Transmembrane Serine Protease (TMPRSS3) Overexpressed in Pancreatic Cancer1,2. *Cancer Res.* 60 (10), 2602– 2606.

(157) Bertram, S., Glowacka, I., Blazejewska, P., Soilleux, E., Allen, P., Danisch, S., Steffen, I., Choi, S.-Y., Park, Y., Schneider, H., Schughart, K., and Pöhlmann, S. (2010) TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 Facilitate Trypsin-Independent Spread of Influenza Virus in Caco-2 Cells. J. Virol. 84 (19), 10016–10025.

(158) Glowacka, I., Bertram, S., Müller, M. A., Allen, P., Soilleux, E., Pfefferle, S., Steffen, I., Tsegaye, T. S., He, Y., Gnirss, K., Niemeyer, D., Schneider, H., Drosten, C., and Pöhlmann, S. (2011) Evidence That TMPRSS2 Activates the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein for Membrane Fusion and Reduces Viral Control by the Humoral Immune Response^{∇}. J. Virol 85 (9), 4122–4134.

(159) Zang, R., Castro, M. F. G., McCune, B. T., Zeng, Q., Rothlauf, P. W., Sonnek, N. M., Liu, Z., Brulois, K. F., Wang, X., Greenberg, H. B., Diamond, M. S., Ciorba, M. A., Whelan, S. P. J., and Ding, S. (2020) TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 Promote SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Human Small Intestinal Enterocytes. *Science Immunology 5* (47), eabc3582.

(160) Kang, S., Min, H.-J., Kang, M.-S., Jung, M.-G., and Kim, S. (2013) Discovery of Novel 2-Hydroxydiarylamide Derivatives as TMPRSS4 Inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 23 (6), 1748–1751.

(161) Turk, V., Stoka, V., Vasiljeva, O., Renko, M., Sun, T., Turk, B., and Turk, D. (2012) Cysteine Cathepsins: From Structure, Function and Regulation to New Frontiers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics* 1824 (1), 68–88.

(162) Turk, V., Turk, B., and Turk, D. (2001) Lysosomal Cysteine Proteases: Facts and Opportunities. *EMBO J.* 20 (17), 4629–4633. (163) Rossi, A., Deveraux, Q., Turk, B., and Sali, A. (2004) Comprehensive Search for Cysteine Cathepsins in the Human

Genome. Biol. Chem. 385 (5), 363–372. (164) Vidak, E., Javoršek, U., Vizovišek, M., and Turk, B. (2019) Cysteine Cathepsins and Their Extracellular Roles: Shaping the

Microenvironment. *Cells 8* (3), 264. (165) Ishidoh, K., Towatari, T., Imajoh, S., Kawasaki, H., Kominami, E., Katunuma, N., and Suzuki, K. (1987) Molecular Cloning and

Sequencing of cDNA for Rat Cathepsin L. *FEBS Lett.* 223 (1), 69–73. (166) Zhang, J., Chen, J., Shi, D., Shi, H., Zhang, X., Liu, J., Cao, L., Zhu, X., Liu, Y., Wang, X., Ji, Z., and Feng, L. (2019) Porcine Deltacoronavirus Enters Cells via Two Pathways: A Protease-Mediated One at the Cell Surface and Another Facilitated by Cathepsins in the Endosome. J. Biol. Chem. 294 (25), 9830–9843.

(167) Kaletsky, R. L., Simmons, G., and Bates, P. (2007) Proteolysis of the Ebola Virus Glycoproteins Enhances Virus Binding and Infectivity. *J. Virol.* 81 (24), 13378–13384.

(168) Simmons, G., Gosalia, D. N., Rennekamp, A. J., Reeves, J. D., Diamond, S. L., and Bates, P. (2005) Inhibitors of Cathepsin L Prevent Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Entry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (33), 11876–11881. (169) Bosch, B. J., Bartelink, W., and Rottier, P. J. M. (2008) Cathepsin L Functionally Cleaves the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Class I Fusion Protein Upstream of Rather than Adjacent to the Fusion Peptide. J. Virol. 82 (17), 8887–8890.

(170) Coleman, M. D., Ha, S.-D., Haeryfar, S. M. M., Barr, S. D., and Kim, S. O. (2018) Cathepsin B Plays a Key Role in Optimal Production of the Influenza A Virus. *J. Virol Antivir Res.* 7, 1–20.

(171) Shirato, K., Kawase, M., and Matsuyama, S. (2018) Wild-Type Human Coronaviruses Prefer Cell-Surface TMPRSS2 to Endosomal Cathepsins for Cell Entry. *Virology* 517, 9–15.

(172) Bertram, S., Dijkman, R., Habjan, M., Heurich, A., Gierer, S., Glowacka, I., Welsch, K., Winkler, M., Schneider, H., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Thiel, V., and Pöhlmann, S. (2013) TMPRSS2 Activates the Human Coronavirus 229E for Cathepsin-Independent Host Cell Entry and Is Expressed in Viral Target Cells in the Respiratory Epithelium. J. Virol. 87 (11), 6150–6160.

(173) Huang, I.-C., Bosch, B. J., Li, F., Li, W., Lee, K. H., Ghiran, S., Vasilieva, N., Dermody, T. S., Harrison, S. C., Dormitzer, P. R., Farzan, M., Rottier, P. J. M., and Choe, H. (2006) SARS Coronavirus, but Not Human Coronavirus NL63, Utilizes Cathepsin L to Infect ACE2-Expressing Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281 (6), 3198–3203.

(174) Abdulla, M.-H., Lim, K.-C., Sajid, M., McKerrow, J. H., and Caffrey, C. R. (2007) Schistosomiasis Mansoni: Novel Chemotherapy Using a Cysteine Protease Inhibitor. *PLoS Med.* 4 (1), e14.

(175) Barnard, D. L., Hubbard, V. D., Burton, J., Smee, D. F., Morrey, J. D., Otto, M. J., and Sidwell, R. W. (2004) Inhibition of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Associated Coronavirus (SAR-SCoV) by Calpain Inhibitors and β -D-N4-Hydroxycytidine. *Antivir Chem. Chemother* 15 (1), 15–22.

(176) Fukiage, C., Azuma, M., Nakamura, Y., Tamada, Y., Nakamura, M., and Shearer, T. R. (1997) SJA6017, a Newly Synthesized Peptide Aldehyde Inhibitor of Calpain: Amelioration of Cataract in Cultured Rat Lenses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Basis Dis.* 1361 (3), 304–312.

(177) NIH (accessed 2020-05-27) Safety and Antiviral Activity of BLD-2660 in COVID-19 Hospitalized Subjects, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04334460.

(178) Kunz, S., Niederberger, E., Ehnert, C., Coste, O., Pfenninger, A., Kruip, J., Wendrich, T. M., Schmidtko, A., Tegeder, I., and Geisslinger, G. (2004) The Calpain Inhibitor MDL 28170 Prevents Inflammation-Induced Neurofilament Light Chain Breakdown in the Spinal Cord and Reduces Thermal Hyperalgesia. *Pain 110* (1), 409–418.

(179) Muniappan, L., Javidan, A., Jiang, W., Mohammadmoradi, S., Moorleghen, J. J., Katz, W. S., Balakrishnan, A., Howatt, D. A., and Subramanian, V. (2017) Calpain Inhibition Attenuates Adipose Tissue Inflammation and Fibrosis in Diet-Induced Obese Mice. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 14398.

(180) Ma, C., Sacco, M. D., Hurst, B., Townsend, J. A., Hu, Y., Szeto, T., Zhang, X., Tarbet, B., Marty, M. T., Chen, Y., and Wang, J. (2020) Boceprevir, GC-376, and Calpain Inhibitors II, XII Inhibit SARS-CoV-2 Viral Replication by Targeting the Viral Main Protease. *Cell Res.* 30 (8), 678–692.

(181) Thomas, G. (2002) Furin at the Cutting Edge: From Protein Traffic to Embryogenesis and Disease. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 3 (10), 753–766.

(182) Leduc, R., Molloy, S. S., Thorne, B. A., and Thomas, G. (1992) Activation of Human Furin Precursor Processing Endoprotease Occurs by an Intramolecular Autoproteolytic Cleavage. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267 (20), 14304–14308.

(183) Becker, G. L., Sielaff, F., Than, M. E., Lindberg, I., Routhier, S., Day, R., Lu, Y., Garten, W., and Steinmetzer, T. (2010) Potent Inhibitors of Furin and Furin-like Proprotein Convertases Containing Decarboxylated P1 Arginine Mimetics. *J. Med. Chem.* 53 (3), 1067–1075.

(184) Seidah, N. G. (2011) The Proprotein Convertases, 20 Years Later. In *Proprotein Convertases*, Methods in Molecular Biology (Mbikay, M., and Seidah, N. G., Eds.) pp 23–57, Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, DOI: 10.1007/978-1-61779-204-5 3. (185) Artenstein, A. W., and Opal, S. M. (2011) Proprotein Convertases in Health and Disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 365 (26), 2507–2518.

(186) Izidoro, M. A., Gouvea, I. E., Santos, J. A. N., Assis, D. M., Oliveira, V., Judice, W. A. S., Juliano, M. A., Lindberg, I., and Juliano, L. (2009) A Study of Human Furin Specificity Using Synthetic Peptides Derived from Natural Substrates, and Effects of Potassium Ions. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 487 (2), 105–114.

(187) Remacle, A. G., Shiryaev, S. A., Oh, E.-S., Cieplak, P., Srinivasan, A., Wei, G., Liddington, R. C., Ratnikov, B. I., Parent, A., Desjardins, R., Day, R., Smith, J. W., Lebl, M., and Strongin, A. Y. (2008) Substrate Cleavage Analysis of Furin and Related Proprotein Convertases A COMPARATIVE STUDY. J. Biol. Chem. 283 (30), 20897–20906.

(188) Roebroek, A. J., Umans, L., Pauli, I. G., Robertson, E. J., van Leuven, F., de Ven, W. J. V., and Constam, D. B. (1998) Failure of Ventral Closure and Axial Rotation in Embryos Lacking the Proprotein Convertase Furin. *Development* 125 (24), 4863–4876.

(189) Fugère, M., and Day, R. (2005) Cutting Back on Pro-Protein Convertases: The Latest Approaches to Pharmacological Inhibition. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 26 (6), 294–301.

(190) Hallenberger, S., Bosch, V., Angliker, H., Shaw, E., Klenk, H.-D., and Garten, W. (1992) Inhibition of Furin-Mediated Cleavage Activation of HIV-1 Glycoprotein Gpl60. *Nature 360* (6402), 358– 361.

(191) Burkard, C., Verheije, M. H., Wicht, O., van Kasteren, S. I., van Kuppeveld, F. J., Haagmans, B. L., Pelkmans, L., Rottier, P. J. M., Bosch, B. J., and de Haan, C. A. M. (2014) Coronavirus Cell Entry Occurs through the Endo-/Lysosomal Pathway in a Proteolysis-Dependent Manner. *PLoS Pathog.* 10 (11), e1004502.

(192) Coutard, B., Valle, C., de Lamballerie, X., Canard, B., Seidah, N. G., and Decroly, E. (2020) The Spike Glycoprotein of the New Coronavirus 2019-NCoV Contains a Furin-like Cleavage Site Absent in CoV of the Same Clade. *Antiviral Res. 176*, 104742.

(193) Bestle, D., Heindl, M. R., Limburg, H., Van, T. V. L., Pilgram, O., Moulton, H., Stein, D. A., Hardes, K., Eickmann, M., Dolnik, O., Rohde, C., Becker, S., Klenk, H.-D., Garten, W., Steinmetzer, T., and Böttcher-Friebertshäuser, E. (2020) TMPRSS2 and Furin Are Both Essential for Proteolytic Activation and Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in Human Airway Epithelial Cells and Provide Promising Drug Targets. *bioRxiv*, 2020.04.15.042085, DOI: 10.1101/2020.04.15.042085.

(194) Matsuyama, S., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Terada, Y., Kawachi, K., Fukushi, S., and Kamitani, W. (2018) Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Protein Is Not Activated Directly by Cellular Furin during Viral Entry into Target Cells. *J. Virol.* 92 (19), e00683-18.

(195) Imran, M., Saleemi, M. K., Chen, Z., Wang, X., Zhou, D., Li, Y., Zhao, Z., Zheng, B., Li, Q., Cao, S., and Ye, J. (2019) Decanoyl-Arg-Val-Lys-Arg-Chloromethylketone: An Antiviral Compound That Acts against Flaviviruses through the Inhibition of Furin-Mediated PrM Cleavage. *Viruses 11* (11), 1011.

(196) Supekova, L., Supek, F., Lee, J., Chen, S., Gray, N., Pezacki, J. P., Schlapbach, A., and Schultz, P. G. (2008) Identification of Human Kinases Involved in Hepatitis C Virus Replication by Small Interference RNA Library Screening. J. Biol. Chem. 283 (1), 29–36. (197) Jiang, W.-M., Zhang, X.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Z., Liu, L., and Lu, H.-Z. (2014) A High Throughput RNAi Screen Reveals Determinants of HIV-1 Activity in Host Kinases. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 7 (5), 2229–2237.

(198) Gross, S., Rahal, R., Stransky, N., Lengauer, C., and Hoeflich, K. P. (2015) Targeting Cancer with Kinase Inhibitors. *J. Clin. Invest.* 125 (5), 1780–1789.

(199) Ott, P. A., and Adams, S. (2011) Small-Molecule Protein Kinase Inhibitors and Their Effects on the Immune System: Implications for Cancer Treatment. *Immunotherapy* 3 (2), 213–227. (200) Schor, S., and Einav, S. (2018) Repurposing of Kinase Inhibitors as Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Drugs. *DNA Cell Biol.* 37 (2), 63–69. (201) Siveen, K. S., Prabhu, K. S., Achkar, I. W., Kuttikrishnan, S., Shyam, S., Khan, A. Q., Merhi, M., Dermime, S., and Uddin, S. (2018) Role of Non Receptor Tyrosine Kinases in Hematological Malignances and Its Targeting by Natural Products. *Mol. Cancer* 17 (1), 31.

(202) Coleman, C. M., Sisk, J. M., Mingo, R. M., Nelson, E. A., White, J. M., and Frieman, M. B. (2016) Abelson Kinase Inhibitors Are Potent Inhibitors of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus and Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Fusion. J. Virol. 90 (19), 8924–8933.

(203) García, M., Cooper, A., Shi, W., Bornmann, W., Carrion, R., Kalman, D., and Nabel, G. J. (2012) Productive Replication of Ebola Virus Is Regulated by the C-Abl1 Tyrosine Kinase. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 4 (123), 123ra24.

(204) Kouznetsova, J., Sun, W., Martínez-Romero, C., Tawa, G., Shinn, P., Chen, C. Z., Schimmer, A., Sanderson, P., McKew, J. C., Zheng, W., and García-Sastre, A. (2014) Identification of 53 Compounds That Block Ebola Virus-like Particle Entry via a Repurposing Screen of Approved Drugs. *Emerging Microbes Infect.* 3 (1), 1–7.

(205) Coyne, C. B., and Bergelson, J. M. (2006) Virus-Induced Abl and Fyn Kinase Signals Permit Coxsackievirus Entry through Epithelial Tight Junctions. *Cell* 124 (1), 119–131.

(206) Newsome, T. P., Weisswange, I., Frischknecht, F., and Way, M. (2006) Abl Collaborates with Src Family Kinases to Stimulate Actin-Based Motility of Vaccinia Virus. *Cell. Microbiol.* 8 (2), 233–241.

(207) Dyall, J., Coleman, C. M., Hart, B. J., Venkataraman, T., Holbrook, M. R., Kindrachuk, J., Johnson, R. F., Olinger, G. G., Jahrling, P. B., Laidlaw, M., Johansen, L. M., Lear-Rooney, C. M., Glass, P. J., Hensley, L. E., and Frieman, M. B. (2014) Repurposing of Clinically Developed Drugs for Treatment of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58 (8), 4885–4893.

(208) Weston, S., Coleman, C. M., Haupt, R., Logue, J., Matthews, K., and Frieman, M. B. (2020) Broad Anti-Coronaviral Activity of FDA Approved Drugs against SARS-CoV-2 in Vitro and SARS-CoV in Vivo. *bioRxiv*, 2020.03.25.008482, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.25.008482.

(209) Wolf, A. M., Wolf, D., Rumpold, H., Ludwiczek, S., Enrich, B., Gastl, G., Weiss, G., and Tilg, H. (2005) The Kinase Inhibitor Imatinib Mesylate Inhibits $\text{TNF-}\alpha$ Production in Vitro and Prevents TNF-Dependent Acute Hepatic Inflammation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102 (38), 13622–13627.

(210) Weisberg, E., Parent, A., Yang, P. L., Sattler, M., Liu, Q., Liu, Q., Wang, J., Meng, C., Buhrlage, S. J., Gray, N., and Griffin, J. D. (2020) Repurposing of Kinase Inhibitors for Treatment of COVID-19. *Pharm. Res.* 37 (9), 167.

(211) Tripathi, R., Fiore, L. S., Richards, D. L., Yang, Y., Liu, J., Wang, C., and Plattner, R. (2018) Abl Kinase Regulation of Cysteine Cathepsin Secretion During Melanoma Invasion and Metastasis. *Sci. Signaling* 11 (518), eaao0422.

(212) Shin, J. S., Jung, E., Kim, M., Baric, R. S., and Go, Y. Y. (2018) Saracatinib Inhibits Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-Coronavirus Replication In Vitro. *Viruses 10* (6), 283.

(213) Neveu, G., Ziv-Av, A., Barouch-Bentov, R., Berkerman, E., Mulholland, J., and Einav, S. (2015) AP-2-Associated Protein Kinase 1 and Cyclin G-Associated Kinase Regulate Hepatitis C Virus Entry and Are Potential Drug Targets. *J. Virol.* 89 (8), 4387–4404.

(214) Bekerman, E., Neveu, G., Shulla, A., Brannan, J., Pu, S.-Y., Wang, S., Xiao, F., Barouch-Bentov, R., Bakken, R. R., Mateo, R., Govero, J., Nagamine, C. M., Diamond, M. S., De Jonghe, S., Herdewijn, P., Dye, J. M., Randall, G., and Einav, S. (2017) Anticancer Kinase Inhibitors Impair Intracellular Viral Trafficking and Exert Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Effects. *J. Clin. Invest.* 127 (4), 1338– 1352.

(215) Jeon, S., Ko, M., Lee, J., Choi, I., Byun, S. Y., Park, S., Shum, D., and Kim, S. (2020) Identification of Antiviral Drug Candidates

against SARS-CoV-2 from FDA-Approved Drugs. *bioRxiv*, DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.20.999730.

(216) Wu, D., and Yang, X. O. (2020) TH17 Responses in Cytokine Storm of COVID-19: An Emerging Target of JAK2 Inhibitor Fedratinib. *Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection* 53 (3), 368–370.

(217) Ikonomov, O. C., Sbrissa, D., and Shisheva, A. (2001) Mammalian Cell Morphology and Endocytic Membrane Homeostasis Require Enzymatically Active Phosphoinositide 5-Kinase PIKfyve. J. Biol. Chem. 276 (28), 26141–26147.

(218) Rutherford, A. C., Traer, C., Wassmer, T., Pattni, K., Bujny, M. V., Carlton, J. G., Stenmark, H., and Cullen, P. J. (2006) The Mammalian Phosphatidylinositol 3-Phosphate 5-Kinase (PIKfyve) Regulates Endosome-to-TGN Retrograde Transport. J. Cell Sci. 119 (19), 3944–3957.

(219) Riva, L., Yuan, S., Yin, X., Martin-Sancho, L., Matsunaga, N., Pache, L., Burgstaller-Muehlbacher, S., De Jesus, P. D., Teriete, P., Hull, M. V., Chang, M. W., Chan, J. F.-W., Cao, J., Poon, V. K.-M., Herbert, K. M., Cheng, K., Nguyen, T.-T. H., Rubanov, A., Pu, Y., Nguyen, C., Choi, A., Rathnasinghe, R., Schotsaert, M., Miorin, L., Dejosez, M., Zwaka, T. P., Sit, K.-Y., Martinez-Sobrido, L., Liu, W.-C., White, K. M., Chapman, M. E., Lendy, E. K., Glynne, R. J., Albrecht, R., Ruppin, E., Mesecar, A. D., Johnson, J. R., Benner, C., Sun, R., Schultz, P. G., Su, A. I., García-Sastre, A., Chatterjee, A. K., Yuen, K.-Y., and Chanda, S. K. (2020) Discovery of SARS-CoV-2 Antiviral Drugs through Large-Scale Compound Repurposing. *Nature 586*, 113–119.

(220) Nelson, E. A., Dyall, J., Hoenen, T., Barnes, A. B., Zhou, H., Liang, J. Y., Michelotti, J., Dewey, W. H., DeWald, L. E., Bennett, R. S., Morris, P. J., Guha, R., Klumpp-Thomas, C., McKnight, C., Chen, Y.-C., Xu, X., Wang, A., Hughes, E., Martin, S., Thomas, C., Jahrling, P. B., Hensley, L. E., Jr, Olinger, G. G., and White, J. M. (2017) The Phosphatidylinositol-3-Phosphate 5-Kinase Inhibitor Apilimod Blocks Filoviral Entry and Infection. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 11 (4), e0005540.

(221) Sbrissa, D., Naisan, G., Ikonomov, O. C., and Shisheva, A. (2018) Apilimod, a Candidate Anticancer Therapeutic, Arrests Not Only PtdIns(3,5)P2 but Also PtdIns5P Synthesis by PIKfyve and Induces Bafilomycin A1-Reversible Aberrant Endomembrane Dilation. *PLoS One* 13 (9), e0204532.

(222) Billich, A. (2007) Drug Evaluation: Apilimod, an Oral IL-12/ IL-23 Inhibitor for the Treatment of Autoimmune Diseases and Common Variable Immunodeficiency. *IDrugs 10* (1), 53–59.

(223) Cerny, J., Feng, Y., Yu, A., Miyake, K., Borgonovo, B., Klumperman, J., Meldolesi, J., McNeil, P. L., and Kirchhausen, T. (2004) The Small Chemical Vacuolin-1 Inhibits Ca2+-Dependent Lysosomal Exocytosis but Not Cell Resealing. *EMBO Rep.* 5 (9), 883–888.

(224) Jefferies, H. B. J., Cooke, F. T., Jat, P., Boucheron, C., Koizumi, T., Hayakawa, M., Kaizawa, H., Ohishi, T., Workman, P., Waterfield, M. D., and Parker, P. J. (2008) A Selective PIKfyve Inhibitor Blocks PtdIns(3,5)P2 Production and Disrupts Endomembrane Transport and Retroviral Budding. *EMBO Rep.* 9 (2), 164–170. (225) Sharma, G., Guardia, C. M., Roy, A., Vassilev, A., Saric, A., Griner, L. N., Marugan, J., Ferrer, M., Bonifacino, J. S., and DePamphilis, M. L. (2019) A Family of PIKFYVE Inhibitors with Therapeutic Potential against Autophagy-Dependent Cancer Cells Disrupt Multiple Events in Lysosome Homeostasis. *Autophagy* 15 (10), 1694–1718.

(226) Bechman, K., Galloway, J. B., and Winthrop, K. L. (2019) Small-Molecule Protein Kinases Inhibitors and the Risk of Fungal Infections. *Curr. Fungal Infect Rep* 13 (4), 229–243.

(227) Lodish, H., Berk, A., Zipursky, S. L., Matsudaira, P., Baltimore, D., and Darnell, J. (2000) Post-Translational Modifications and Quality Control in the Rough ER. In *Molecular Cell Biology*, 4th ed., W. H. Freeman, New York.

(228) Vigerust, D. J., and Shepherd, V. L. (2007) Virus Glycosylation: Role in Virulence and Immune Interactions. *Trends Microbiol.* 15 (5), 211–218.

(229) Watanabe, Y., Bowden, T. A., Wilson, I. A., and Crispin, M. (2019) Exploitation of Glycosylation in Enveloped Virus Pathobiology. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen. Subj.* 1863 (10), 1480–1497.

(230) Chang, J., Block, T. M., and Guo, J.-T. (2013) Antiviral Therapies Targeting Host ER Alpha-Glucosidases: Current Status and Future Directions. *Antiviral Res.* 99 (3), 251–260.

(231) Chang, J., Schul, W., Butters, T. D., Yip, A., Liu, B., Goh, A., Lakshminarayana, S. B., Alonzi, D., Reinkensmeier, G., Pan, X., Qu, X., Weidner, J. M., Wang, L., Yu, W., Borune, N., Kinch, M. A., Rayahin, J. E., Moriarty, R., Xu, X., Shi, P.-Y., Guo, J.-T., and Block, T. M. (2011) Combination of α -Glucosidase Inhibitor and Ribavirin for the Treatment of Dengue Virus Infection in Vitro and in Vivo. *Antiviral Res.* 89 (1), 26–34.

(232) Miller, J. L., Lachica, R., Sayce, A. C., Williams, J. P., Bapat, M., Dwek, R., Beatty, P. R., Harris, E., and Zitzmann, N. (2012) Liposome-Mediated Delivery of Iminosugars Enhances Efficacy against Dengue Virus in Vivo. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (12), 6379–6386.

(233) Perry, S. T., Buck, M. D., Plummer, E. M., Penmasta, R. A., Batra, H., Stavale, E. J., Warfield, K. L., Dwek, R. A., Butters, T. D., Alonzi, D. S., Lada, S. M., King, K., Klose, B., Ramstedt, U., and Shresta, S. (2013) An Iminosugar with Potent Inhibition of Dengue Virus Infection in Vivo. *Antiviral Res.* 98 (1), 35–43.

(234) Rathore, A. P. S., Paradkar, P. N., Watanabe, S., Tan, K. H., Sung, C., Connolly, J. E., Low, J., Ooi, E. E., and Vasudevan, S. G. (2011) Celgosivir Treatment Misfolds Dengue Virus NS1 Protein, Induces Cellular pro-Survival Genes and Protects against Lethal Challenge Mouse Model. *Antiviral Res.* 92 (3), 453–460.

(235) Watanabe, S., Rathore, A. P. S., Sung, C., Lu, F., Khoo, Y. M., Connolly, J., Low, J., Ooi, E. E., Lee, H. S., and Vasudevan, S. G. (2012) Dose- and Schedule-Dependent Protective Efficacy of Celgosivir in a Lethal Mouse Model for Dengue Virus Infection Informs Dosing Regimen for a Proof of Concept Clinical Trial. *Antiviral Res.* 96 (1), 32–35.

(236) Whitby, K., Pierson, T. C., Geiss, B., Lane, K., Engle, M., Zhou, Y., Doms, R. W., and Diamond, M. S. (2005) Castanospermine, a Potent Inhibitor of Dengue Virus Infection In Vitro and In Vivo. *J. Virol.* 79 (14), 8698–8706.

(237) Wu, S.-F., Lee, C.-J., Liao, C.-L., Dwek, R. A., Zitzmann, N., and Lin, Y.-L. (2002) Antiviral Effects of an Iminosugar Derivative on Flavivirus Infections. *J. Virol.* 76 (8), 3596–3604.

(238) Chang, J., Warren, T. K., Zhao, X., Gill, T., Guo, F., Wang, L., Comunale, M. A., Du, Y., Alonzi, D. S., Yu, W., Ye, H., Liu, F., Guo, J.-T., Mehta, A., Cuconati, A., Butters, T. D., Bavari, S., Xu, X., and Block, T. M. (2013) Small Molecule Inhibitors of ER α -Glucosidases Are Active against Multiple Hemorrhagic Fever Viruses. *Antiviral Res.* 98 (3), 432–440.

(239) Sung, C., Wei, Y., Watanabe, S., Lee, H. S., Khoo, Y. M., Fan, L., Rathore, A. P. S., Chan, K. W.-K., Choy, M. M., Kamaraj, U. S., Sessions, O. M., Aw, P., de Sessions, P. F., Lee, B., Connolly, J. E., Hibberd, M. L., Vijaykrishna, D., Wijaya, L., Ooi, E. E., Low, J. G.-H., and Vasudevan, S. G. (2016) Extended Evaluation of Virological, Immunological and Pharmacokinetic Endpoints of CELADEN: A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Celgosivir in Dengue Fever Patients. *PLoS Neglected Trop. Dis.* 10 (8), e0004851.

(240) Fischl, M. A., Resnick, L., Coombs, R., Kremer, A. B., Pottage, J. C., Fass, R. J., Fife, K. H., Powderly, W. G., Collier, A. C., and Aspinall, R. L. (1994) The Safety and Efficacy of Combination N-Butyl-Deoxynojirimycin (SC-48334) and Zidovudine in Patients with HIV-1 Infection and 200–500 CD4 Cells/Mm3. *J. Acquired Immune Defic. Syndr.* 7 (2), 139–147.

(241) NIH (accessed 2020-05-16) A Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of Celgosivir in Patients With Chronic Hepatitis C Genotype 1 Infection, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00157534.

(242) Alonzi, D. S., Scott, K. A., Dwek, R. A., and Zitzmann, N. (2017) Iminosugar Antivirals: The Therapeutic Sweet Spot. *Biochem.* Soc. Trans. 45 (2), 571–582.

(243) Marzi, A., Gramberg, T., Simmons, G., Möller, P., Rennekamp, A. J., Krumbiegel, M., Geier, M., Eisemann, J., Turza, N., Saunier, B., Steinkasserer, A., Becker, S., Bates, P., Hofmann, H., and Pöhlmann, S. (2004) DC-SIGN and DC-SIGNR Interact with the Glycoprotein of Marburg Virus and the S Protein of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. J. Virol. 78 (21), 12090–12095.

(244) Gillespie, L., Gerstenberg, K., Ana-Sosa-Batiz, F., Parsons, M. S., Farrukee, R., Krabbe, M., Spann, K., Brooks, A. G., Londrigan, S. L., and Reading, P. C. (2016) DC-SIGN and L-SIGN Are Attachment Factors That Promote Infection of Target Cells by Human Metapneumovirus in the Presence or Absence of Cellular Glycosaminoglycans. J. Virol. 90 (17), 7848–7863.

(245) Yang, Z.-Y., Huang, Y., Ganesh, L., Leung, K., Kong, W.-P., Schwartz, O., Subbarao, K., and Nabel, G. J. (2004) PH-Dependent Entry of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Is Mediated by the Spike Glycoprotein and Enhanced by Dendritic Cell Transfer through DC-SIGN. J. Virol. 78 (11), 5642–5650.

(246) Han, D. P., Lohani, M., and Cho, M. W. (2007) Specific Asparagine-Linked Glycosylation Sites Are Critical for DC-SIGN- and L-SIGN-Mediated Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Entry. *J. Virol.* 81 (21), 12029–12039.

(247) Fung, T. S., and Liu, D. X. (2018) Post-Translational Modifications of Coronavirus Proteins: Roles and Function. *Future Virol.* 13 (6), 405–430.

(248) Zhou, Y., Lu, K., Pfefferle, S., Bertram, S., Glowacka, I., Drosten, C., Pöhlmann, S., and Simmons, G. (2010) A Single Asparagine-Linked Glycosylation Site of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Spike Glycoprotein Facilitates Inhibition by Mannose-Binding Lectin through Multiple Mechanisms. *J. Virol.* 84 (17), 8753–8764.

(249) Rajasekharan, S., Bonotto, R. M., Kazungu, Y., Alves, L. N., Poggianella, M., Orellana, P. M., Skoko, N., Polez, S., and Marcello, A. (2020) Repurposing of Miglustat to Inhibit the Coronavirus Severe Acquired Respiratory Syndrome SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv*, DOI: 10.1101/2020.05.18.101691.

(250) Patterson, M. C., Vecchio, D., Prady, H., Abel, L., and Wraith, J. E. (2007) Miglustat for Treatment of Niemann-Pick C Disease: A Randomised Controlled Study. *Lancet Neurol.* 6 (9), 765–772.

(251) Shajahan, A., Supekar, N. T., Gleinich, A. S., and Azadi, P. (2020) Deducing the N- and O-Glycosylation Profile of the Spike Protein of Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv*, 2020.04.01.020966, DOI: 10.1093/glycob/cwaa042.

(252) Vankadari, N., and Wilce, J. A. (2020) Emerging COVID-19 Coronavirus: Glycan Shield and Structure Prediction of Spike Glycoprotein and Its Interaction with Human CD26. *Emerging Microbes Infect.* 9 (1), 601–604.

(253) Savarino, A., Trani, L. D., Donatelli, I., Cauda, R., and Cassone, A. (2006) New Insights into the Antiviral Effects of Chloroquine. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 6 (2), 67–69.

(254) Hoffmann, M., Müller, M. A., Drexler, J. F., Glende, J., Erdt, M., Gützkow, T., Losemann, C., Binger, T., Deng, H., Schwegmann-Weßels, C., Esser, K.-H., Drosten, C., and Herrler, G. (2013) Differential Sensitivity of Bat Cells to Infection by Enveloped RNA Viruses: Coronaviruses, Paramyxoviruses, Filoviruses, and Influenza Viruses. *PLoS One 8* (8), e72942.

(255) Engin, A. B., Engin, E. D., and Engin, A. (2020) Dual Function of Sialic Acid in Gastrointestinal SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 79, 103436.

(256) Kwiek, J. J., Haystead, T. A. J., and Rudolph, J. (2004) Kinetic Mechanism of Quinone Oxidoreductase 2 and Its Inhibition by the Antimalarial Quinolines. *Biochemistry* 43 (15), 4538–4547.

(257) Matrosovich, M., Herrler, G., and Klenk, H. D. (2013) Sialic Acid Receptors of Viruses. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 367, 1–28.

(258) Boulware, D. R., Pullen, M. F., Bangdiwala, A. S., Pastick, K. A., Lofgren, S. M., Okafor, E. C., Skipper, C. P., Nascene, A. A., Nicol, M. R., Abassi, M., Engen, N. W., Cheng, M. P., LaBar, D., Lother, S. A., MacKenzie, L. J., Drobot, G., Marten, N., Zarychanski, R., Kelly, L. E., Schwartz, I. S., McDonald, E. G., Rajasingham, R., Lee, T. C., and Hullsiek, K. H. A (2020) Randomized Trial of Hydroxychloroquine as Postexposure Prophylaxis for Covid-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383 (6), 517–525.

(259) Belser, J. A., Lu, X., Szretter, K. J., Jin, X., Aschenbrenner, L. M., Lee, A., Hawley, S., Kim, D. H., Malakhov, M. P., Yu, M., Fang, F., and Katz, J. M. (2007) DAS181, a Novel Sialidase Fusion Protein, Protects Mice from Lethal Avian Influenza H5N1 Virus Infection. J. Infect. Dis. 196 (10), 1493–1499.

(260) Zenilman, J. M., Fuchs, E. J., Hendrix, C. W., Radebaugh, C., Jurao, R., Nayak, S. U., Hamilton, R. G., and McLeod Griffiss, J. (2015) Phase 1 Clinical Trials of DAS181, an Inhaled Sialidase, in Healthy Adults. *Antiviral Res.* 123, 114–119.

(261) Triana-Baltzer, G. B., Babizki, M., Chan, M. C. W., Wong, A. C. N., Aschenbrenner, L. M., Campbell, E. R., Li, Q.-X., Chan, R. W. Y., Peiris, J. S. M., Nicholls, J. M., and Fang, F. (2010) DAS181, a Sialidase Fusion Protein, Protects Human Airway Epithelium against Influenza Virus Infection: An in Vitro Pharmacodynamic Analysis. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 65 (2), 275–284.

(262) Jones, B. G., Hayden, R. T., and Hurwitz, J. L. (2013) Inhibition of Primary Clinical Isolates of Human Parainfluenza Virus by DAS181 in Cell Culture and in a Cotton Rat Model. *Antiviral Res. 100* (2), 562–566.

(263) NIH (2020) DAS181 for STOP COVID-19: A Phase II/III, Multicenter, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Study, Clinical trial registration NCT04354389, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT04354389.

(264) Lavillette, D., Barbouche, R., Yao, Y., Boson, B., Cosset, F.-L., Jones, I. M., and Fenouillet, E. (2006) Significant Redox Insensitivity of the Functions of the SARS-CoV Spike Glycoprotein: Comparison with HIV Envelope. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281 (14), 9200–9204.

(265) Opstelten, D. J., de Groote, P., Horzinek, M. C., Vennema, H., and Rottier, P. J. (1993) Disulfide Bonds in Folding and Transport of Mouse Hepatitis Coronavirus Glycoproteins. *J. Virol.* 67 (12), 7394–7401.

(266) van Berlo, M. F., van den Brink, W. J., Horzinek, M. C., and van der Zeijst, B. A. M. (1987) Fatty Acid Acylation of Viral Proteins in Murine Hepatitis Virus-Infected Cells. *Arch. Virol.* 95 (1), 123–128.

(267) Thorp, E. B., Boscarino, J. A., Logan, H. L., Goletz, J. T., and Gallagher, T. M. (2006) Palmitoylations on Murine Coronavirus Spike Proteins Are Essential for Virion Assembly and Infectivity. *J. Virol.* 80 (3), 1280–1289.

(268) Petit, C. M., Chouljenko, V. N., Iyer, A., Colgrove, R., Farzan, M., Knipe, D. M., and Kousoulas, K. G. (2007) Palmitoylation of the Cysteine-Rich Endodomain of the SARS-Coronavirus Spike Glycoprotein Is Important for Spike-Mediated Cell Fusion. *Virology 360* (2), 264–274.

(269) Mehta, P., McAuley, D. F., Brown, M., Sanchez, E., Tattersall, R. S., and Manson, J. J. (2020) COVID-19: Consider Cytokine Storm Syndromes and Immunosuppression. *Lancet 395* (10229), 1033–1034.

(270) Ye, Q., Wang, B., and Mao, J. (2020) The Pathogenesis and Treatment of the 'Cytokine Storm' in COVID-19. J. Infect. 80 (6), 607–613.

(271) Jiang, L., Tang, K., Levin, M., Irfan, O., Morris, S. K., Wilson, K., Klein, J. D., and Bhutta, Z. A. (2020) COVID-19 and Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children and Adolescents. *Lancet Infect. Dis.*, 1 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30651-4.

(272) Noroozi, R., Branicki, W., Pyrc, K., Łabaj, P. P., Pospiech, E., Taheri, M., and Ghafouri-Fard, S. (2020) Altered Cytokine Levels and Immune Responses in Patients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Related Conditions. *Cytokine+ 133*, 155143.

(273) Wong, C. K., Lam, C. W. K., Wu, A. K. L., Ip, W. K., Lee, N. L. S., Chan, I. H. S., Lit, L. C. W., Hui, D. S. C., Chan, M. H. M., Chung, S. S. C., and Sung, J. J. Y. (2004) Plasma Inflammatory Cytokines and Chemokines in Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 136 (1), 95–103.

(274) Imai, Y., Kuba, K., Rao, S., Huan, Y., Guo, F., Guan, B., Yang, P., Sarao, R., Wada, T., Leong-Poi, H., Crackower, M. A., Fukamizu, A., Hui, C.-C., Hein, L., Uhlig, S., Slutsky, A. S., Jiang, C., and Penninger, J. M. (2005) Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 Protects from Severe Acute Lung Failure. *Nature* 436 (7047), 112–116.

(275) Patel, V. B., Mori, J., McLean, B. A., Basu, R., Das, S. K., Ramprasath, T., Parajuli, N., Penninger, J. M., Grant, M. B., Lopaschuk, G. D., and Oudit, G. Y. (2016) ACE2 Deficiency Worsens Epicardial Adipose Tissue Inflammation and Cardiac Dysfunction in Response to Diet-Induced Obesity. *Diabetes* 65 (1), 85–95.

(276) Ciulla, M. M. (2020) SARS-CoV-2 Downregulation of ACE2 and Pleiotropic Effects of ACEIs/ARBs. *Hypertens. Res.* 43 (9), 985–986.

(277) Song, P., Li, W., Xie, J., Hou, Y., and You, C. (2020) Cytokine Storm Induced by SARS-CoV-2. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 509, 280–287.

(278) Cho, E.-Y., Choi, S.-C., Lee, S.-H., Ahn, J.-Y., Im, L.-R., Kim, J.-H., Xin, M., Kwon, S.-U., Kim, D.-K., and Lee, Y.-M. (2011) Nafamostat Mesilate Attenuates Colonic Inflammation and Mast Cell Infiltration in the Experimental Colitis. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 11 (4), 412–417.

(279) Duan, H., Wu, Q., Yao, X., Fan, B., Shi, H., Zhao, C., Zhang, Y., Li, B., Sun, C., Kong, X., Zhou, X., and Feng, S. (2018) Nafamostat Mesilate Attenuates Inflammation and Apoptosis and Promotes Locomotor Recovery after Spinal Cord Injury. *CNS Neurosci. Ther.* 24 (5), 429–438.

(280) Fox, R. I. (1993) Mechanism of Action of Hydroxychloroquine as an Antirheumatic Drug. *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 23 (2 Suppl 1), 82–91.

(281) Gibo, J., Ito, T., Kawabe, K., Hisano, T., Inoue, M., Fujimori, N., Oono, T., Arita, Y., and Nawata, H. (2005) Camostat Mesilate Attenuates Pancreatic Fibrosis via Inhibition of Monocytes and Pancreatic Stellate Cells Activity. *Lab. Invest.* 85 (1), 75–89.

(282) Senda, S., Fujiyama, Y., Bamba, T., and Hosoda, S. (1993) Treatment of Ulcerative Colitis with Camostat Mesilate, A Serine Protease Inhibitor. *Intern. Med.* 32 (4), 350–354.

(283) Shukla, A. M., and Wagle Shukla, A. (2019) Expanding Horizons for Clinical Applications of Chloroquine, Hydroxychloroquine, and Related Structural Analogues. *Drugs Context 8*, 1.

(284) Gupta, P. R. (2010) Ambroxol - Resurgence of an Old Molecule as an Anti-Inflammatory Agent in Chronic Obstructive Airway Diseases. *Lung India* 27 (2), 46–48.

(285) Harrison, C. (2020) Coronavirus Puts Drug Repurposing on the Fast Track. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 38 (4), 379–381.

(286) NIH (accessed 2020-05-13) The Impact of Camostat Mesilate on COVID-19 Infection, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT04321096.

(287) NIH (2020) Camostat Mesylate in COVID-19 Outpatients: An Investigator-Initiated Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Phase IIa Trial, Clinical trial registration NCT04353284, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT04353284.

(288) NIH (2020) An Open-Label Study to Compare the Efficacy, Safety, and Tolerability of Hydroxychloroquine Combined With Azithromycin Compared to Hydroxychloroquine Combined With Camostat Mesylate and to "No Treatment" in Hospitalized Patients Suffering From a Mild or Moderate SARS CoV 2 Virus, Clinical trial registration NCT04355052, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT04355052.

(289) NIH (accessed 2020-05-27) Combination Therapy With Camostat Mesilate + Hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04338906.

(290) Hwang, S. D., Hyun, Y. K., Moon, S. J., Lee, S. C., and Yoon, S. Y. (2013) Nafamostat Mesilate for Anticoagulation in Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy. *Int. J. Artif. Organs* 36 (3), 208–216.

(291) Chen, C.-L., Wang, S.-D., Zeng, Z.-Y., Lin, K.-J., Kao, S.-T., Tani, T., Yu, C.-K., and Wang, J.-Y. (2006) Serine Protease Inhibitors Nafamostat Mesilate and Gabexate Mesilate Attenuate Allergen-Induced Airway Inflammation and Eosinophilia in a Murine Model of Asthma. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 118 (1), 105–112.

(292) NIH (accessed 2020-05-27) Efficacy of Nafamostat in Covid-19 Patients (RACONA Study), https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT04352400.

(293) Bateman, P. P. (1971) A New Mucolytic, Bromhexine ("bisolvon"). A Double-Blind Study. Med. J. Aust. 1 (18), 963–965.

(294) NIH (2020) Use of Bromhexine and Hydroxychloroquine for Treatment of COVID-19 Pneumonia, Clinical trial registration NCT04355026, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04355026. (295) Iqbal, N., and Iqbal, N. (2014) Imatinib: A Breakthrough of

Targeted Therapy in Cancer. Chemother. Res. Pract. 2014, 1.

(296) Sisk, J. M., Frieman, M. B., and Machamer, C. E. (2018) Coronavirus S Protein-Induced Fusion Is Blocked Prior to Hemifusion by Abl Kinase Inhibitors. J. Gen. Virol. 99 (5), 619–630.

(297) Vorkapic, E., Dugic, E., Vikingsson, S., Roy, J., Mäyränpää, M. I., Eriksson, P., and Wågsäter, D. (2016) Imatinib Treatment Attenuates Growth and Inflammation of Angiotensin II Induced Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. *Atherosclerosis* 249, 101–109.

(298) Mulgaonkar, N., Wang, H., Mallawarachchi, S., Ruzek, D., Martina, B., and Fernando, S. (2020) Bcr-Abl Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Imatinib as a Potential Drug for COVID-19. *bioRxiv*, 2020.06.18.158196, DOI: 10.1101/2020.06.18.158196.

(299) NIH (2020) Imatinib in COVID-19 Disease in Aged Patients, Clinical trial registration NCT04357613, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ ct2/show/NCT04357613.

(300) NIH (accessed 2020-08-24) Clinical Trial to Evaluate Efficacy of 3 Types of Treatment in Patients With Pneumonia by COVID-19, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04346147.

(301) NIH (accessed 2020-08-24) The Safety & Efficacy of Imatinib for the Treatment of SARS-COV-2 Induced Pneumonia, https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04422678.

(302) NIH (accessed 2020-08-24) Treatments to Decrease the Risk of Hospitalization or Death in Elderly Outpatients With Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infection (COVID-19), https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04356495.

(303) Sands, B. E., Jacobson, E. W., Sylwestrowicz, T., Younes, Z., Dryden, G., Fedorak, R., and Greenbloom, S. (2010) Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of the Oral Interleukin-12/23 Inhibitor Apilimod Mesylate for Treatment of Active Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 16 (7), 1209–1218.

(304) Krausz, S., Boumans, M. J. H., Gerlag, D. M., Lufkin, J., van Kuijk, A. W. R., Bakker, A., de Boer, M., Lodde, B. M., Reedquist, K. A., Jacobson, E. W., O'Meara, M., and Tak, P. P. (2012) Brief Report: A Phase IIa, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Apilimod Mesylate, an Interleukin-12/Interleukin-23 Inhibitor, in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 64 (6), 1750–1755.

(305) Wada, Y., Cardinale, I., Khatcherian, A., Chu, J., Kantor, A. B., Gottlieb, A. B., Tatsuta, N., Jacobson, E., Barsoum, J., and Krueger, J. G. (2012) Apilimod Inhibits the Production of IL-12 and IL-23 and Reduces Dendritic Cell Infiltration in Psoriasis. *PLoS One* 7 (4), e35069.

(306) NIH (accessed 2020-09-13) A Study of LAM-002A for the Prevention of Progression of COVID-19, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT04446377.

(307) Combrinck, J. M., Mabotha, T. E., Ncokazi, K. K., Ambele, M. A., Taylor, D., Smith, P. J., Hoppe, H. C., and Egan, T. J. (2013) Insights into the Role of Heme in the Mechanism of Action of Antimalarials. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 8 (1), 133–137.

(308) Oh, S., Shin, J. H., Jang, E. J., Won, H. Y., Kim, H. K., Jeong, M.-G., Kim, K. S., and Hwang, E. S. (2016) Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Chloroquine and Amodiaquine through P21-Mediated Suppression of T Cell Proliferation and Th1 Cell Differentiation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 474 (2), 345–350.

(309) Keyaerts, E., Vijgen, L., Maes, P., Neyts, J., and Van Ranst, M. (2004) In Vitro Inhibition of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus by Chloroquine. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 323 (1), 264–268.

(310) Vincent, M. J., Bergeron, E., Benjannet, S., Erickson, B. R., Rollin, P. E., Ksiazek, T. G., Seidah, N. G., and Nichol, S. T. (2005) Chloroquine Is a Potent Inhibitor of SARS Coronavirus Infection and Spread. *Virol. J. 2*, 69.

(311) Yao, X., Ye, F., Zhang, M., Cui, C., Huang, B., Niu, P., Liu, X., Zhao, L., Dong, E., Song, C., Zhan, S., Lu, R., Li, H., Tan, W., and Liu, D. (2020) In Vitro Antiviral Activity and Projection of Optimized

Dosing Design of Hydroxychloroquine for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 71, 732.

(312) Keyaerts, E., Li, S., Vijgen, L., Rysman, E., Verbeeck, J., Van Ranst, M., and Maes, P. (2009) Antiviral Activity of Chloroquine against Human Coronavirus OC43 Infection in Newborn Mice. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53 (8), 3416–3421.

(313) NIH (accessed 2020-05-27) The Vietnam Chloroquine Treatment on COVID-19, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04328493.

(314) NIH (accessed 2020-05-27) Chloroquine Phosphate Against Infection by the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19): The HOPE Open-Label, Non Randomized Clinical Trial, https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04344951.

(315) Ben-Zvi, I., Kivity, S., Langevitz, P., and Shoenfeld, Y. (2012) Hydroxychloroquine: From Malaria to Autoimmunity. *Clin. Rev.* Allergy Immunol. 42 (2), 145–153.

(316) Al-Bari, Md. A. A. (2017) Targeting Endosomal Acidification by Chloroquine Analogs as a Promising Strategy for the Treatment of Emerging Viral Diseases. *Pharmacol. Res. Perspect.* 5 (1), e00293.

(317) Ornstein, M. H., and Sperber, K. (1996) The Antiinflammatory and Antiviral Effects of Hydroxychloroquine in Two Patients with Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome and Active Inflammatory Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 39 (1), 157–161.

(318) NIH (2020) Hydroxychloroquine and Zinc With Either Azithromycin or Doxycycline for Treatment of COVID-19 in Outpatient Setting, Clinical trial registration NCT04370782, https://clinicaltrials. gov/ct2/show/NCT04370782.

(319) Sultana, S., Truong, N. Y., Vieira, D. B., Wigger, J. G. D., Forrester, A. M., Veinotte, C. J., Berman, J. N., and van der Spoel, A. C. (2016) Characterization of the Zebrafish Homolog of β -Glucosidase 2: A Target of the Drug Miglustat. *Zebrafish* 13 (3), 177–187.

(320) Zamoner, L. O. B., Aragão-Leoneti, V., and Carvalho, I. (2019) Iminosugars: Effects of Stereochemistry, Ring Size, and N-Substituents on Glucosidase Activities. *Pharmaceuticals* 12 (3), 108.

(321) Ndao, M., Beaulieu, C., Black, W. C., Isabel, E., Vasquez-Camargo, F., Nath-Chowdhury, M., Massé, F., Mellon, C., Methot, N., and Nicoll-Griffith, D. A. (2014) Reversible Cysteine Protease Inhibitors Show Promise for a Chagas Disease Cure. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58 (2), 1167–1178.

(322) Chaparro, J. D., Cheng, T., Tran, U. P., Andrade, R. M., Brenner, S. B. T., Hwang, G., Cohn, S., Hirata, K., McKerrow, J. H., and Reed, S. L. (2018) Two Key Cathepsins, TgCPB and TgCPL, Are Targeted by the Vinyl Sulfone Inhibitor K11777 in in Vitro and in Vivo Models of Toxoplasmosis. *PLoS One* 13 (3), e0193982.

(323) Sojoodi, M., Krishnan, S., Razavi, A. A., Day, M. R., Arora, G., Goshal, S., Li, S., Erstad, D. J., Caravan, P., Tanabe, K. K., Fuentes, M. E., and Fuchs, B. C. (2019) THU-093-The Calpain Inhibitor, BLD-2660, Has Robust Anti-Fibrotic Activity in a Rat Model of Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis. *J. Hepatol.* 70 (1), e201–e202.

(324) Salvatore, M., Satlin, M. J., Jacobs, S. E., Jenkins, S. G., Schuetz, A. N., Moss, R. B., Van Besien, K., Shore, T., and Soave, R. (2016) DAS181 for Treatment of Parainfluenza Virus Infections in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients at a Single Center. *Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant.* 22 (5), 965–970.

(325) Malakhov, M. P., Aschenbrenner, L. M., Smee, D. F., Wandersee, M. K., Sidwell, R. W., Gubareva, L. V., Mishin, V. P., Hayden, F. G., Kim, D. H., Ing, A., Campbell, E. R., Yu, M., and Fang, F. (2006) Sialidase Fusion Protein as a Novel Broad-Spectrum Inhibitor of Influenza Virus Infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50 (4), 1470–1479.