

The Early Stage of the Atrial Electroanatomic Remodeling as Substrates for Atrial Fibrillation in Hypertensive Patients

Xiaomeng Yin, PhD; Yan Zhao, MD; Yutao Xi, MD, PhD; Nancy Cheng, MS; Yunlong Xia, MD, PhD; Shulong Zhang, MD, PhD; Yingxue Dong, MD, PhD; Dong Chang, MD, PhD; Jie Cheng, MD, PhD; Yanzong Yang, MD, PhD; Lianjun Gao, MD, PhD

Background—Hypertension is one of the most important risk factors for atrial fibrillation (AF). Recent studies suggest right atrial remodeling in hypertensive patients may be associated with increased inducibility of AF. This study sought to characterize the electroanatomic features of left and right atria and pulmonary veins (PVs) in hypertensive patients.

Methods and Results—A prospective observational study was conducted on patients who underwent ablation for paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia or paroxysmal AF. Electrophysiological features of the PVs and atria, including event-related potentials, conduction time, and inducibility and vulnerability of AF, were characterized during cardiac catheterization. Anatomic and hemodynamic features were assessed by using echocardiographic and computer tomography imaging. When 15 hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were compared with 17 normotensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were compared with 17 normotensive patients with increased dispersions (P<0.001) but slightly prolonged atrial event-related potentials (P=NS) and had prolonged interatrial and intra-atrial conduction times (P<0.001). Additionally, the hypertensive patients had increased vulnerability and inducibility of AF and prolonged duration of induced AF (P<0.01). All of these changes were more pronounced in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal AF. Anatomically, compared with the normotensive patients, the diameters of 4 PVs in the hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia were significantly enlarged (P<0.01) and became more remarkable in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal supraventricular t

Conclusions—The hypertensive patients showed electroanatomic changes associated with increased vulnerability to AF, including shortened event-related potentials with increased dispersion, prolonged conduction time, and increased PV diameter, but these changes were not appreciated in the atria. Additionally, these changes became more dramatic in hypertensive patients with paroxysmal AF. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2014;3:e001033 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.001033)

Key Words: atrial fibrillation • atrium • hypertension • pulmonary veins • remodeling

H ypertension is 1 of the most important risk factors of atrial fibrillation (AF).¹ In the Framingham Heart Study and the Manitoba Follow-up Study,^{2,3} hypertensive patients have a 1.4- to 1.9-fold greater risk of AF than do normotensive subjects. Hypertension is also the number one independent risk factor for AF simply because of its worldwide

Received April 17, 2014; accepted August 18, 2014.

prevalence.⁴ However, although the association of hypertension with AF has been well established, its underlying mechanism in the development of AF remains unknown.

Current experimental evidence suggests that hypertension associated with left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy and impaired LV diastolic function may increase left atrial (LA) pressure (and result in enlargement), thereby slowing atrial conduction velocity; this favors the development and maintenance of AF.^{5,6} However, there is distinct lack of clinical data describing the electroanatomic properties in hypertensive patients with or without AF.

Recently, Medi et al⁷ compared right atrial (RA) electrophysiological activity in hypertensive patients without AF with the activity in a normotensive group. They found a significantly slowed conduction with slightly shortened eventrelated potentials (ERPs) in hypertensive patients, which may be associated with an increased inducibility of AF. Nevertheless, studies have yet to be conducted detailing the role of the LA in the context of pulmonary vein (PV) electrophysiology,

From the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning, China (X.Y., Y.Z., Y. Xia, S.Z., Y.D., D.C., Y.Y., L.G.); Texas Heart Institute/St Luke's Hospital, Houston, TX (X.Y., Y. Xi, N.C., J.C.); Section of Cardiology, University of Texas School of Medicine at Houston, Houston, TX (Y. Xi, J.C.). **Correspondence to:** Lianjun Gao, MD, PhD, The First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, 222 Zhongshan Rd, Dalian, Liaoning, China 116011. E-mail: lianjungaomd@gmail.com

^{© 2014} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley Blackwell. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

which acts as a critical substrate for AF initiation and maintenance^{8,9} and therefore remains an important therapeutic target in AF management.^{10,11}

In the current study, we aimed to prospectively evaluate electroanatomic properties in hypertensive patients with and without AF. We sought to identify whether hypertensive patients exhibited abnormal electrophysiology and any anatomic substrate for the development of AF. In addition, we aimed to determine whether such abnormities varied in severity in comparing hypertensive patients with and without AF.

Methods

The study protocol was preapproved by the Research Development and Human Ethics Committee at the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University. All patients gave written informed consent before enrolling in the study.

Study Population

Of 68 patients who were referred to the First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University for ablation procedures for paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (PSVT) or paroxysmal AF (PAF) between February 2011 and July 2012, 44 patients were included in the present study. Seventeen patients had PSVT due to concealed left atrioventricular accessory only. Fifteen patients of these had a history of longstanding systemic hypertension with PSVT (6.27 ± 3.65 years) with an average blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mm Hg in 24 hours. And, 12 of 44 patients were diagnosed with longstanding systemic hypertension $(10.83\pm6.69 \text{ years})$ and PAF (4.67±1.97 years). Exclusion criteria included persistent AF (AF onset lasting >7 days) or permanent AF; any current or previous amiodarone use; LV ejection fraction <50%; congenital, ischemic, or valvular heart disease; stroke; diabetes mellitus; body mass index $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$; and renal impairment.

Clinical Examination

The clinical examinations and questionnaires included 12-lead ECG, 24-hour Holter study, transthoracic echocardiography, computer tomography, and a detailed history of conditions and review of medications. All antiarrhythmic medications were discontinued for >5 half-lives before any procedures were performed.

Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure \geq 140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mm Hg. PSVT was diagnosed with ECG recorded at PSVT onset and confirmed on the basis of the following electrophysiology study. PAF was defined as episodes of AF that were detected with use of the Holter monitor and were spontaneously terminated within 7 days of onset.

EP Studies

Electrophysiological studies were performed in sinus rhythm on fasting patients with conscious sedation. The following catheters were positioned via the femoral venous approach (Figure 1A): (1) 20-pole decapolar Lasso catheters (Biosense-Webster, Inc) at the antrum of the PVs; (2) 10-pole catheter (St Jude Medical Inc) within the coronary sinus (CS); (3) 3.5mm-tip catheter (Biosense-Webster, Inc) at the high RA (HRA);



Figure 1. Dispersion of effective refractory periods (ERPs) in 3 groups. A, Dispersion of EPR among left atria (LA) and pulmonary veins (PVs). B, Dispersion of ERP between right atria (RA) and LA. C, Dispersion of ERP among RA, LA, and PVs. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 vs PSVT; #P<0.05, ##P<0.01 vs HT with PSVT. HT indicates hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; PSVT, paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia.

and (4) 2-pole catheter (St Jude Medical Inc) at the inferior RA (IRA). Standard surface ECGs and bipolar endocardial electrograms with filter from 30 to 500 Hz were continuously monitored and stored. ERPs were measured at 8 different sites: left superior PV, left inferior PV, right superior PV, right inferior PV, HRA, IRA, and proximal and distal CSs (CSp and CSd, respectively). Each ERP was measured at twice diastolic threshold at cycle lengths of 500 ms. The average ERP tested twice at each site was used. The "ERP" was defined as the longest coupling interval failing to propagate to the atrium (Figure 1B). "Dispersion of ERP" was defined as the range between the longest and shortest ERP for each patient within any designated area.¹²

"AF" was defined as any rapid atrial activity (rate >350 beats/min) with irregular cycle length, polarity, configuration, and amplitude on atrial electrograms lasting >5 cycles.¹² "Vulnerability window" (VW) was defined as the range of coupling intervals of the extrastimulus at which AF was induced.¹²

Interatrial and intra-atrial activation times were measured at a cycle length of 500 ms. Activation time between PV and LA was calculated by subtracting the earliest PV signal from the last CSp activation signal. LA intra-atrial activation time was calculated by subtracting the earliest CSp signal from the last CSd signal recorded on the CS catheter. Interatrial activation time was calculated by subtracting the earliest HRA signal from the last CSd signal recorded.

Measurement of the Hemodynamics With Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed using an ultrasound system (Vivid 7; GE), equipped with a 3.5-MHz transducer. Chamber dimensions were evaluated at standard

sections, including LA diameter, LV end-diastolic diameter, LV end-systolic diameter, LV wall thickness, LA volume index, and LV ejection fraction.

Measurement of the PV Diameters With Computed Tomography Scanning

Multislice computed tomography was performed using a GE LightSpeed VCT 64 Slice CT (GE Medical Systems) at a thickness of 0.625 mm with a prospective ECG-triggered x-ray tube modulation in all patients. Nonionic contrast was used to image PVs. PV diameters were measured with the maximal anterior-posterior distance in the oblique-sagittal view by consensus of 2 observers unaware of the clinical data.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean±SD, and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. ANOVA was used to compare 3 groups. Comparisons between 2 groups were made using the unpaired Student's *t* test for continuous variables and the χ^2 test for categorical variables. Values of *P*<0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed by using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc).

Results

Baseline Demographics of the Study Population

As shown in Table 1, the average age of the 44 patients was 57.09 ± 7.11 years, and 50% of patients were male. There was no significant difference in age (*P*=0.257) or sex (*P*=0.939) among the 3 groups. Hypertensive patients had significantly

	PSVT (n=17)	HT With PSVT (n=15)	HT With PAF (n=12)	P Value
Mean age, y	54.82±7.20	58.60±5.95	58.42±8.28	0.257
Males	9 (52.9%)	7 (46.7%)	6 (50%)	0.939
Systolic BP, mm Hg	119.12±9.06	155.33±8.96	152.50±7.83	<0.0001
Diastolic BP, mm Hg	75.29±7.39	93±3.02	93.5±3.78	<0.0001
HT history, y	_	6.27±3.65	10.83±6.69	0.006
PSVT history, y	13.82±6.00	15.33±4.80	—	0.379
PAF history, y	_	—	4.67±1.97	—
ACEI/A2RB use, %	0	5 (33)	9 (75)	<0.0001
Calcium channel blockers, %	6 (35)	9 (60)	5 (42)	0.357
β-Receptor blockers, %	4 (24)	8 (53)	4 (33)	0.210

 Table 1. Patient Characteristics

PSVT indicates paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia; HT, hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; BP, blood pressure; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; vARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker.

increased systolic and diastolic blood pressures (P<0.0001 versus the normotensive patient with PSVT). Duration of PSVT history had no significant difference in patients with or without hypertension (P=0.379). Patients with PAF had a longer history of hypertension (~4 years) than did those with PSVT (P=0.006), while the average blood pressure was comparable (P=0.406 for systolic blood pressure, P=0.809 for diastolic blood pressure). PAF patients had used more angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers than had the hypertensive patients with PSVT (75% versus 33%, P<0.01). However, there was no significant difference in the use of calcium channel blockers (P=0.357) or β -receptor blockers (P=0.21).

Changes of ERPs in the Hypertensive Patients

Eight ERP sites were tested and compared among the 3 groups (Table 2). Hypertensive patients had significantly shortened PV ERPs (P<0.05) compared with normotensive patients. Furthermore, PV ERP shortening was particularly pronounced in hypertensive patients with PAF (P<0.01). Atrial ERPs, tested at CSp, CSd, HRA, and IRA, were slightly prolonged in hypertensive patients with PSVT compared with normotensive patients with PSVT (P=NS). Atrial ERPs were slightly shortened in hypertensive patients with PAF (P=NS).

Increased Heterogeneity of ERPs in the Hypertensive Patients

As shown in Figure 1, dispersion was defined as the difference in ERPs from site to site. Dispersion of ERPs was compared between the LA (CSp and CSd) and PVs (left inferior

	PSVT (n=17)	HT With PSVT (n=15)	HT With PAF (n=12)	P Value
LSPV	218±26	201±25*	$175\pm17^{\dagger\$}$	< 0.0001
LIPV	221±24	202±18*	184±22 ^{†‡}	<0.0001
RSPV	224±22	202±28*	173±24 ^{†§}	< 0.0001
RIPV	225±17	206±31*	183±26 ^{†#}	< 0.0001
CSp	230±25	242±12	228±9	0.092
CSd	226±19	240±26	224±17	0.117
HRA	217±17	229±25	221±17	0.204
IRA	223±14	232±21	220±14	0.151

Table 2. Effective Refractor Periods in 8 Sites

PSVT indicates paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia; HT, hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; CSp, paroxysmal of coronary sinus vein; CSd, distal of coronary sinus vein; HRA, high right atria; IRA, inferior right atria.

*P<0.05, †P<0.01 vs PSVT; ‡P<0.05, §P<0.01 vs HT with PSVT.

PV, left superior PV, right inferior PV, right superior PV) (PV-LA, Figure 1A), between the LA and RA (HRA and IRA) (LA-RA, Figure 1B), and among the RA, LA, and PVs (LA-RA-PV, Figure 1C), respectively. Compared with normotensive patients with PSVT, hypertensive patients with PSVT showed significantly increased dispersion of ERPs either between PVs and LA (67.67±30.64 versus 45.09±12.98, P<0.05) or among the RA, LA, and PVs (86.0±22.85 versus 53.24±13.57, P<0.05). Furthermore, significant changes in ERP dispersion were detected in hypertensive patients with PAF, compared with hypertensive patients with PSVT (92.5±23.4, P<0.01 for PV-LA, 94.17±21.51, P<0.05 for LA-RA-PV versus the hypertensive patients with PSVT) and the normotensive patients with PSVT (P<0.01 for both PV-LA and LA-RA-PV). However, ERP dispersions between LA-RA were comparable among the 3 groups (P=0.67).

Increased Intra-atrial and Interatrial Activation Times in Hypertensive Patients

The interatrial (HRA-CSd) and intra-atrial (CSp-CSd) activation times during HRA pacing were slightly prolonged in hypertensive patients with PSVT compared with those in normotensive patients (P=0.08 in HRA-CSd, P=0.09 in CSd-CSp) (Table 3). However, prolongations became more remarkable and significant in hypertensive patients with PAF (P<0.01 versus hypertensive patients with PSVT, P<0.001 versus normotensive patients). More important was that activation times from PVs to CSp during PV pacing were prolonged significantly in hypertensive patients with PSVT compared with those in normotensive patients (P<0.05) and in hypertensive patients with PAF (P<0.001 versus normotensive patients, P<0.01 versus hypertensive patients with PSVT).

	PSVT (n=17)	HT With PSVT (n=15)	HT With PAF (n=12)	P Value
LSPV-CSp	39±13	51±11*	$67\pm15^{\dagger\$}$	< 0.0001
LIPV-CSp	32±12	40±10*	54±11 ^{†§}	< 0.0001
RSPV-CSp	43±14	53±11*	70±14 ^{†§}	< 0.0001
RIPV-CSp	35±12	42±10	56±11 ^{†§}	< 0.0001
CSp-CSd	37±13	44±9	54±13 ^{†‡}	< 0.01
HRA-CSd	69±16	79±15	99±10 ^{†§}	< 0.0001

 Table 3. Interatrial and Intra-atrial Conduction Times

PSVT indicates paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia; HT, hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; CSp, paroxysmal of coronary sinus vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; CSd, distal of coronary sinus vein; HRA, high right atria.

*P<0.05, †P<0.01 vs PSVT; ‡P<0.05, §P<0.01 vs HT with PSVT.

Increased VWs, Inducibility, and Duration of AF in the Hypertensive Patients

AF inducibility and VWs were examined in 3 groups at pacing sites of the left superior PV with programmed pacing (S1S2). The VWs of AF were significantly wider in hypertensive patients than in normotensive patients with PSVT (46 ± 8.9 ms versus 16 ± 4.8 ms, P<0.01), and VWs were even wider in hypertensive patients with PAF (70 ± 14.1 ms versus normotensive patients, P<0.01, versus hypertensive patients with PSVT, P<0.01), as shown in Figure 2A.

Nonsustained AF (<30 seconds) was induced in 3 (18%) of 17 normotensive patients with PSVT, in 7 (47%) of 15 hypertensive patients with PSVT (*P*<0.05 versus normotensive patients), and in 8 (67%) of 12 hypertensive patients with PAF (*P*<0.05 versus normotensive patients, *P*=0.132 versus hypertensive patients with PSVT). Compared with normotensive patients, longer durations of induced nonsustained AF were shown in hypertensive patients with PSVT (15.0 \pm 5.89 versus 3.6 \pm 2.08 seconds in normotensive patients, *P*<0.01) and with PAF (22.4 \pm 5.63 seconds, *P*<0.01 versus normotensive patients or versus hypertensive patients with PSVT) (Figure 2B). Moreover, as shown in Figure 2C, sustained AF (lasting >30 seconds) was not induced in any of the normotensive patients with PSVT but was inducible in 3 (20%) of 15 hypertensive patients with PSVT (P<0.01 versus normotensive patients) and in 5 (41.67%) of 12 hypertensive patients with PAF (P<0.01 versus normotensive or versus hypertensive patients with PSVT). The average duration of sustained AF was longer in hypertensive patients with PAF (864±226 seconds) than in those with PSVT (90±30 seconds, P<0.01).

Dilated PVs in the Hypertensive Patients

Anatomic features of the heart were evaluated on echocardiography and computed tomography scanning. As shown in Table 4, average LV ejection fraction was 64%, with no significant difference among the 3 groups (P=0.196) during sinus rhythm. Compared with normotensive patients, hypertensive patients had increased LV mass index (P<0.0001) and thickness (P<0.05). There were no differences in LV end-diastolic diameter (P=0.79), LV end-systolic diameter (P=0.781), and volume index (P=0.152) of the LA among the 3 groups. However, compared with normotensive patients with PSVT, the diameters of 4 PVs in hypertensive patients with



Figure 2. Increased vulnerability window, and duration of induced-AF in the 3 groups. A, Increased VW in the hypertensive with PSVT and with PAF. B, Duration of induced nonsustained AF. C, Duration of induced sustained AF. HT indicates hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; PSVT, paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia; VW, vulnerable windows of atria. ***P*<0.01 vs PSVT; ##*P*<0.01 vs HT with PSVT.

Table 4. Anatomic and Dynamic Features

	PSVT (n=17)	HT With PSVT (n=15)	HT With PAF (n=12)	P Value
Echocardiography				
LA diameter, mm	34.59±1.46	37.33±6.26	38.33±7.23	0.149
LAVI, mL/m ²	36.00±12.03	41.96±10.20	42.40±5.64	0.152
LVEDd, mm	44.59±3.39	45.67±6.15	45.66±4.91	0.79
LVEDs, mm	27.24±2.11	26.6±3.79	27.33±3.08	0.781
LV septal thickness, mm	10.47±1.55	11.6±1.68*	11.83±1.4*	0.045
LV mass index, g/m ²	98.69±14.01	112.45±16.84*	115.02±22.92*	<0.0001
LVEF, %	62±5.5	65.5±6.12	64.4±4.48	0.196
Computed tomography imaging				
LSPV diameter, mm	17.24±1.35	18.60±1.45*	20.00±1.75 ^{†‡}	<0.0001
LIPV diameter, mm	14.59±1.23	15.67±1.11*	16.75±1.06 ^{†‡}	<0.0001
RSPV diameter, mm	17.65±1.46	19.27±2.05*	20.83±2.04 ^{†‡}	< 0.0001
RIPV diameter, mm	14.24±1.39	15.13±0.74*	16±0.74 ^{†‡}	<0.0001

PSVT indicates paroxysmal superior ventricular tachycardia; HT, hypertension; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; LA, left atrium; LAVI, left atrial volume index; LVEDd, left ventricular diameter in diastole; LVEDs, left ventricular diameter in systole; LV, left ventricle (ventricular); LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein.

*P<0.05, †P<0.01 vs PSVT; ‡P<0.05 vs HT with PSVT.

PSVT were enlarged significantly (P<0.01) and became remarkably large in hypertensive patients with PAF (P<0.0001).

Discussion

Main Findings

In the present study, compared with normotensive patients with PSVT, hypertensive patients with PSVT have evidence of (1) significantly shortened ERP in PVs but not in atria; (2) increased dispersion of ERPs; (3) slowing of interatrial and intra-atrial conduction velocities, especially conduction from PVs to the LA; (4) increased inducibility and VWs of AF; and (5) enlarged diameters of PVs but not of atria. In addition, these changes were more pronounced in hypertensive patients with PAF than in those with PSVT.

Electroanatomic Remodeling of PVs in Hypertensive Patients Predisposes to AF

A series of studies have shown the established role of shortened ERP and its increased spatial dispersion heterogeneity in the promotion of AF.^{12–14} However, regarding ERPs in hypertensive patients, previous experimental studies indicated inconsistent results. Some studies showed shortened ERPs,^{15–17} but others showed prolonged ERPs or no changes in ERPs.^{7,18,19} Our findings not only concur with the recently reported findings of Medi et al⁷(demonstrating prolonged

atrial ERP and globally slowed conduction in the RA of hypertensive patients) but also are the first to report that hypertension shortened PV ERPs and slowed conduction from PVs to atria to a greater extent than in atrial ERPs and intra-atrial conduction. The shortening of PV ERPs was independent of PAF, in which AF may shorten PV ERPs as a mechanism of "AF begets AF."^{9,16,20} In addition, anatomic remodeling of PVs was detected in hypertensive patients with PSVT, when the function and volume of LV and LA were in normal ranges. This suggests PV remodeling may be the earliest sign of hypertension-related structural remodeling long before atria are affected, and this is consistent with electrical remodeling in PVs. Therefore, electroanatomic remodeling of PVs could be 1 mechanism by which hypertension predisposes individuals to AF.^{15,21}

Potential Mechanisms of Hypertension in AF

Trigger activity

Previous studies demonstrated the role of PVs in the initiation and maintenance of AF by multiple wavelet reentry and focal (trigger) activities.^{20,22,23} In hypertension, persistent elevations of ventricular pressure result in impaired dysfunction of LV diastole, as well as increased intra-atrial pressure. As a stretch stimulus, increased intra-atrial pressure can acutely produce afterdepolarizations through stretch-activated channels.²⁴ Kalifa et al²⁵demonstrated that increased intraatrial pressure promoted trigger activity within PVs on a stretch-related AF mode but not within the LA. Antihypertensive therapy also resulted in a decreased frequency of AF episodes or premature atrial contractions.^{26,27} Additionally, in hypertension, the activated sympathetic nervous system and the renin-angiotensin system shortened action potential duration and delayed conduction, promoting AF.^{28,29} Also, any burst of sympathovagal activities could facilitate AF induction by causing phase 3 early afterdepolarizations, resulting in triggered activity.³⁰

Multiwave reentry

In the present study, diverse or opposite ERPs between atria and PVs in response to hypertension will further increase heterogeneities within the adjoining areas between the LA and PVs (also called the PV antrum). Therefore, these distinct differences in ERPs formed functional conduction delays within the PV antrum, which is also reflected by prolonged conduction times through the PV antrum. Combination of the shortening of refractoriness and slowing of conduction resulted in a shorter atrial wavelength, which increased the maximum number of wavelets with small sizes, established in the multiple wavelet theory of AF.^{21,31,32}

Arrhythmogenic substrate

LA dilation is associated with an increased risk of AF. Increased LA size changes its electrophysiological properties and may result in ERP shortening and conduction slowing.^{7,18,19} In the Framingham study, the adjusted risk of AF increased by 39% for every 5-mm increment in LA size.⁴ And, reduced LA diameter with antihypertension therapy was associated with reduced incidence of new-onset AF.²⁶ It also reported that 21% of hypertensive patients were found with an enlarged LA without evidence of ventricular hypertrophy.³³ The present study indicated that significantly enlarged PVs developed in hypertensive patients with increased inducibility of AF without significant LA changes, which might be an earlier detectable change than the atrial changes. Additionally, PV enlargement became more significant in hypertensive patients with PAF, as indicated by arrhythmogenic PVs.^{34,35}

Study Limitations

This was an observational study to investigate the mechanism of increased AF in hypertensive patients who underwent catheter ablation for PSVT or PAF. The patient population may not be comprehensively representative of a hypertensive population. Only consenting patients, who met strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, were enrolled; therefore, patient selection bias may limit clinical adaption of the results. Therefore, a larger study is warranted as the conclusions of this study are limited to poorly controlled hypertensive

patients, especially with the relatively small size of the patient population in this study. It would be beneficial to evaluate whether patients with well-controlled hypertension have PV changes or that, as blood pressure control worsened, PV changes became more pronounced. The sample size may not be sufficient to reach the conclusion that hypertension is the only reason for increased risk of AF to hypertensive patients. However, PV changes in such poorly controlled hypertensive patients may indicate some electroanatomic remodeling earlier than in atrial dimension. There are 2 possible explanations: one is that the electric remodeling reflects the heart's functional reservoir, which provides early and fast adaptation to stretch stress, and the other is that the parameters of ERP, VW, and duration of AF are more sensitive to electroanatomic remodeling in PVs than those of atrial dimension.

Another limitation of the study was that antihypertensive regimens were not controlled in the 3 groups. In particular, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors were used more often in hypertensive patients with PAF than in the other 2 groups, which may inhibit the electroanatomic remodeling as previous reported.³⁶ However, changes in hypertensive patients with PAF were more remarkable than in the hypertensive patients with PSVT, which provided important information of early remodeling of PVs in hypertension as a potential therapeutic target in the prevention of AF development.

Perspectives

Clinically, both the success rate and long-term recurrence rate of PV isolation were lower in AF patients with hypertension than those without.¹⁰ It has been speculated that there might be other mechanisms behind this association other than the role of PVs.³⁷ However, the present study indicated a measurable phenomenon of electroanatomic remodeling in PVs, detectable earlier than atrial dimensional changes, which may provide a substrate of AF pathogenesis in hypertensive patients. The changes in PVs appear to increase heterogeneity between PVs and atria, thereby promoting AF development. These changes suggest that PV electroanatomic remodeling is one of the (if not the main) mechanism of AF in hypertensive patients in this study. In the development of AF in hypertensive patients, there exists an early quantifiable stage of electroanatomic remodeling not in atria but in PVs. Although the heterogeneous sample study was small, our data suggest such patients sustain a higher risk of AF. Aggressive intervention should be considered, from adequately controlling blood pressure to PV isolation. However, a large, welldesigned study on a cohort of hypertensive patients with long-term follow-up would help to solidify the mechanism between early remodeling and AF.

Conclusion

The present study suggested for the first time, to our knowledge, that hypertensive patients possess shortened ERPs with increased dispersion in PVs, dilated PV diameters, and increased vulnerability and inducibility of AF with prolonged duration compared with normotensive patients with PSVT. The changes became remarkable and dramatic when AF episodes manifest in the hypertensive patient.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Mehul Patel for his careful proofreading of the manuscript.

Disclosures

None.

References

- 1. AFFIRM Investigators. Baseline characteristics of patients with atrial fibrillation: the affirm study. *Am Heart J.* 2002;143:991–1001.
- Kannel WB, Abbott RD, Savage DD, McNamara PM. Epidemiologic features of chronic atrial fibrillation: the framingham study. N Engl J Med. 1982;306:1018–1022.
- Krahn AD, Manfreda J, Tate RB, Mathewson FA, Cuddy TE. The natural history of atrial fibrillation: incidence, risk factors, and prognosis in the manitoba follow-up study. *Am J Med.* 1995;98:476–484.
- Kannel WB, Wolf PA, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Prevalence, incidence, prognosis, and predisposing conditions for atrial fibrillation: population-based estimates. *Am J Cardiol.* 1998;82:2N–9N.
- Casaclang-Verzosa G, Barnes ME, Blume G, Seward JB, Gersh BJ, Cha SS, Bailey KR, Tsang TS. C-reactive protein, left atrial volume, and atrial fibrillation: a prospective study in high-risk elderly. *Echocardiography*. 2010;27:394–399.
- Toh N, Kanzaki H, Nakatani S, Ohara T, Kim J, Kusano KF, Hashimura K, Ohe T, Ito H, Kitakaze M. Left atrial volume combined with atrial pump function identifies hypertensive patients with a history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. *Hypertension*. 2010;55:1150–1156.
- Medi C, Kalman JM, Spence SJ, Teh AW, Lee G, Bader I, Kaye DM, Kistler PM. Atrial electrical and structural changes associated with longstanding hypertension in humans: implications for the substrate for atrial fibrillation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2011;22:1317–1324.
- Haissaguerre M, Jais P, Shah DC, Takahashi A, Hocini M, Quiniou G, Garrigue S, Le Mouroux A, Le Metayer P, Clementy J. Spontaneous initiation of atrial fibrillation by ectopic beats originating in the pulmonary veins. *N Engl J Med.* 1998;339:659–666.
- Rostock T, Steven D, Lutomsky B, Servatius H, Drewitz I, Klemm H, Mullerleile K, Ventura R, Meinertz T, Willems S. Atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation in the pulmonary veins on the impact of atrial fibrillation on the electrophysiological properties of the pulmonary veins in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51:2153–2160.
- Shah AN, Mittal S, Sichrovsky TC, Cotiga D, Arshad A, Maleki K, Pierce WJ, Steinberg JS. Long-term outcome following successful pulmonary vein isolation: pattern and prediction of very late recurrence. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2008;19:661–667.
- Dixit S, Lin D, Frankel DS, Marchlinski FE. Catheter ablation for persistent atrial fibrillation: antral pulmonary vein isolation and elimination of nonpulmonary vein triggers are sufficient. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2012;5:1216–1223; discussion 1223.
- Oliveira M, da Silva MN, Timoteo AT, Feliciano J, Sousa L, Santos S, Silva-Carvalho L, Ferreira R. Inducibility of atrial fibrillation during electrophysiologic evaluation is associated with increased dispersion of atrial refractoriness. *Int J Cardiol.* 2009;136:130–135.
- Soylu M, Demir AD, Ozdemir O, Soylu O, Topaloglu S, Kunt A, Sasmaz A, Korkmaz S, Tasdemir O. Increased dispersion of refractoriness in patients with atrial fibrillation in the early postoperative period after coronary artery bypass grafting. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2003;14:28–31.

- Li Z, Hertervig E, Carlson J, Johansson C, Olsson SB, Yuan S. Dispersion of refractoriness in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Evaluation with simultaneous endocardial recordings from both atria. *J Electrocardiol.* 2002;35:227–234.
- Satoh T, Zipes DP. Unequal atrial stretch in dogs increases dispersion of refractoriness conducive to developing atrial fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 1996;7:833–842.
- Ravelli F, Allessie M. Effects of atrial dilatation on refractory period and vulnerability to atrial fibrillation in the isolated langendorff-perfused rabbit heart. *Circulation*. 1997;96:1686–1695.
- Solti F, Vecsey T, Kekesi V, Juhasz-Nagy A. The effect of atrial dilatation on the genesis of atrial arrhythmias. *Cardiovasc Res.* 1989;23:882–886.
- Kistler PM, Sanders P, Dodic M, Spence SJ, Samuel CS, Zhao C, Charles JA, Edwards GA, Kalman JM. Atrial electrical and structural abnormalities in an ovine model of chronic blood pressure elevation after prenatal corticosteroid exposure: implications for development of atrial fibrillation. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:3045–3056.
- 19. Lau DH, Mackenzie L, Kelly DJ, Psaltis PJ, Worthington M, Rajendram A, Kelly DR, Nelson AJ, Zhang Y, Kuklik P, Brooks AG, Worthley SG, Faull RJ, Rao M, Edwards J, Saint DA, Sanders P. Short-term hypertension is associated with the development of atrial fibrillation substrate: a study in an ovine hypertensive model. *Heart Rhythm.* 2010;7:396–404.
- Jais P, Hocini M, Macle L, Choi KJ, Deisenhofer I, Weerasooriya R, Shah DC, Garrigue S, Raybaud F, Scavee C, Le Metayer P, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Distinctive electrophysiological properties of pulmonary veins in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2002;106:2479–2485.
- Moe GK, Abildskov JA. Atrial fibrillation as a self-sustaining arrhythmia independent of focal discharge. Am Heart J. 1959;58:59–70.
- Kumagai K, Yasuda T, Tojo H, Noguchi H, Matsumoto N, Nakashima H, Gondo N, Saku K. Role of rapid focal activation in the maintenance of atrial fibrillation originating from the pulmonary veins. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2000; 23:1823–1827.
- Arora R, Verheule S, Scott L, Navarrete A, Katari V, Wilson E, Vaz D, Olgin JE. Arrhythmogenic substrate of the pulmonary veins assessed by high-resolution optical mapping. *Circulation*. 2003;107:1816–1821.
- Wang Y, Joyner RW, Wagner MB, Cheng J, Lai D, Crawford BH. Stretchactivated channel activation promotes early afterdepolarizations in rat ventricular myocytes under oxidative stress. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* 2009;296:H1227–H1235.
- Kalifa J, Jalife J, Zaitsev AV, Bagwe S, Warren M, Moreno J, Berenfeld O, Nattel S. Intra-atrial pressure increases rate and organization of waves emanating from the superior pulmonary veins during atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2003;108:668–671.
- 26. Wachtell K, Gerdts E, Aurigemma GP, Boman K, Dahlof B, Nieminen MS, Olsen MH, Okin PM, Palmieri V, Rokkedal JE, Devereux RB. In-treatment reduced left atrial diameter during antihypertensive treatment is associated with reduced new-onset atrial fibrillation in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy: the life study. *Blood Press.* 2010;19:169–175.
- Okin PM, Wachtell K, Devereux RB, Harris KE, Jern S, Kjeldsen SE, Julius S, Lindholm LH, Nieminen MS, Edelman JM, Hille DA, Dahlof B. Regression of electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy and decreased incidence of new-onset atrial fibrillation in patients with hypertension. *JAMA*. 2006;296:1242–1248.
- Nakashima H, Kumagai K, Urata H, Gondo N, Ideishi M, Arakawa K. Angiotensin II antagonist prevents electrical remodeling in atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2000;101:2612–2617.
- Tan AY, Zhou S, Ogawa M, Song J, Chu M, Li H, Fishbein MC, Lin SF, Chen LS, Chen PS. Neural mechanisms of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and paroxysmal atrial tachycardia in ambulatory canines. *Circulation*. 2008;118: 916–925.
- Burashnikov A, Antzelevitch C. Reinduction of atrial fibrillation immediately after termination of the arrhythmia is mediated by late phase 3 early afterdepolarization-induced triggered activity. *Circulation*. 2003;107:2355– 2360.
- Moe GK, Rheinboldt WC, Abildskov JA. A computer model of atrial fibrillation. Am Heart J. 1964;67:200–220.
- Lee S, Sahadevan J, Khrestian CM, Durand DM, Waldo AL. High density mapping of atrial fibrillation during vagal nerve stimulation in the canine heart: restudying the Moe hypothesis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*. 2013;24:328– 335.
- Tedesco MA, Di Salvo G, Ratti G, Natale F, Iarussi D, Iacono A. Left atrial size in 164 hypertensive patients: an echocardiographic and ambulatory blood pressure study. *Clin Cardiol.* 2001;24:603–607.
- Lin WS, Prakash VS, Tai CT, Hsieh MH, Tsai CF, Yu WC, Lin YK, Ding YA, Chang MS, Chen SA. Pulmonary vein morphology in patients with paroxysmal atrial

fibrillation initiated by ectopic beats originating from the pulmonary veins: implications for catheter ablation. *Circulation*. 2000;101:1274–1281.

- Yamane T, Shah DC, Jais P, Hocini M, Peng JT, Deisenhofer I, Clementy J, Haissaguerre M. Dilatation as a marker of pulmonary veins initiating atrial fibrillation. J Interv Card Electrophysiol. 2002;6:245–249.
- 36. Healey JS, Baranchuk A, Crystal E, Morillo CA, Garfinkle M, Yusuf S, Connolly SJ. Prevention of atrial fibrillation with angiotensin-converting enzyme

inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: a meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1832–1839.

37. Lau DH, Mackenzie L, Kelly DJ, Psaltis PJ, Brooks AG, Worthington M, Rajendram A, Kelly DR, Zhang Y, Kuklik P, Nelson AJ, Wong CX, Worthley SG, Rao M, Faull RJ, Edwards J, Saint DA, Sanders P. Hypertension and atrial fibrillation: evidence of progressive atrial remodeling with electrostructural correlate in a conscious chronically instrumented ovine model. *Heart Rhythm*. 2010;7:1282–1290.