

# Case Series: EGFR and ROS-1 Co-Occurrence in Advanced Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer

Turki Alfayea, 1,2,3 Alaa A. Salim, Mohammad Alkaiyato, 1,2,3 Samah Al-Rehaily 5

Address correspondence to Dr. Turki Alfayea (turki.alfayea@gmail.com).

Sources of Support: None. Conflicts of Interest: None.

Submitted: Dec 19, 2023; First Revision Received: Apr 17, 2023; Accepted: Apr 22, 2023; First Published: Jun 7, 2024.

Alfayea T, Salim AA, Alkaiyat M, Al-Rehaily S. Case series: EGFR and ROS-1 co-occurrence in advanced non–small cell lung cancer. *J Immunother Precis Oncol.* 2024; 7:300–303. DOI: 10.36401/JIPO-23-48.

This work is published under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International License.

### **ABSTRACT**

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a heterogeneous disease with diverse molecular alterations. Two of the most common genetic abnormalities found in advanced NSCLC are mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) and rearrangements in the ROS proto-oncogene 1 (*ROS-1*). Although these two alterations are typically mutually exclusive, there have been reports of their co-occurrence in a small subset of NSCLC patients. The discovery of this comutation has recently become apparent due to the increased use of more sensitive whole genome sequencing. We share our experience with two cases of coexisting *EGFR* and *ROS-1* alterations. The first case is a 60-year-old man diagnosed with advanced adenocarcinoma of the lung with metastasis to bone and left adrenal gland. The second case is a 49-year-old woman diagnosed with stage IV lung adenocarcinoma with metastasis to the contralateral lung and diffuse abdominal lymphadenopathy. The first case was treated with osimertinib, and currently has had a stable disease on this medication for more than 3 years. The second case had a short interval of stable disease on osimertinib; then she developed progressive disease with poor response to anti–ROS-1 therapy. We believe patients with advanced NSCLC may have a higher incidence of coalterations, especially in the areas of the world with higher *EGFR* mutations and in the era of higher usage of whole genome sequencing. The presence of comutations will allow for a good long-term response to anti-EGFR therapy. This highlights the importance of the use of next-generation sequencing whenever possible and considers variant allele frequency as a factor in directing the therapy. There are many other unanswered questions, such as the best treatment sequencing or even the combined targeted therapy approach. This case series may add some information to the current literature.

Keywords: EGFR, ROS-1, co-occurrence, advanced lung adenocarcinoma

## **INTRODUCTION**

Lung cancer in the population of Saudi Arabia is still uncommon, with a 3.4% incidence of all newly diagnosed cases in 2020.<sup>[1]</sup> It is the seventh most common cancer among Saudi nationals and the fifth most common cancer among males. The national incidence of an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation in lung cancer patients is around 28.7%, [2] which is more common than the incidence in the Western population. The use of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) has greatly improved the survival and quality of life of patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and with EGFR mutations. However, many patients exhibit de novo or primary/early resistance. The development of comprehensive genomic profiling has led to the discovery of various mutations and coexisting genetic alterations, and many studies have revealed that concurrent genetic alterations may play an important

role in the response and resistance of *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC to EGFR-TKIs. Therefore, a better understanding of specific concurrent gene alterations and their impact on EGFR-TKI treatment efficacy is necessary to optimize clinical outcomes.<sup>[3]</sup>

The coexistence of an *EGFR* driver mutation with other driver alterations like ROS proto-oncogene 1 (*ROS-1*) rearrangement, *KRAS* mutation, *BRAF* mutation, and *ALK* rearrangement is rare globally, and there is no clear consensus on approaching such conditions due to the rarity. <sup>[4–6]</sup> To our knowledge, no cases of this coalteration have been reported in our country and the Gulf region. We share our experience managing two cases with *EGFR* and *ROS-1* coalterations. One of these cases has been on anti-EGFR therapy for more than 3 years with excellent partial response.

This study obtained permission from the institutional review board of King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The approval

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Oncology, Ministry of the National Guard - Health Affairs, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>King Abdullah International Medical Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Oncology, Princess Norah Oncology Center, King Abdulaziz Medical City, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

**Table 1.** Lung cancer next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel result (Case 1)

NGS Summary Case 1						
Positive	3	Clinically significant variants				
	8	Therapies with potential clinical benefit				
		Therapies associated with resistance				
Disease-relevant genes with no re	portable alterations i	identified				
ALK	BRAF	ERBB2				
MET	NRAS	P1K3C.A				
RET	MAP2K1	KRAS				
Disease-relevant mutations not de	etected in this patien	t				
EGFR T790M N	Not detected in 4511 NGS reads					
EGFR exon 19 deletion	Not detected in 3255 NGS reads					
EGFR C797S	Not detected in 4542 NGS reads					
Actionable genomic mutations						
Genomic findings detected	Allelic fraction	Therapies in lung adenocarcinoma	Approved therapies in other indications	Therapies associated with resistance		
SLC34A2-ROS1 fusion exon 13- exon 32 Pathogenic Tier 1A	Supported by 22,381 NGS reads	Ceritinib, crizotinib,	_	EGFR tyrosine kinase Inhibitor		
EGFR c.2573T>G (p.L858R)	29% (of 2787 reads)	Afatinib, dacomitinib,	_	—		
Pathogenic Tier 1A	,	erlotinib, gefitinib, osimertinib				
RAF1 c.770C>T (p.S257L) Tier 2C						
BRAF c.1787G>A (p.G596D) Tier 2C						

number is IRB/0678/24. Verbal consent was collected from the subjects and documented in the charts.

# CASE 1

A 60-year-old man, married, with a 20-pack-year history of smoking, was diagnosed with stage IV adenocarcinoma of the right lung on February 20, 2020. His disease was metastatic at presentation to rib bones and the left adrenal gland; he had an excellent performance status (ECOG performance status 0). His past medical history was consistent with hypertension, chronic kidney disease, and hypothyroidism on replacement therapy. His family history was unremarkable. Owing to the lack of genome sequencing in his primary medical facility, he started on a systemic therapy combination consisting of carboplatin, paclitaxel, and bevacizumab. After two cycles, he presented with an acute abdomen and was found to have a lactate dehydrogenase of 347 (125-220 U/L) and CO2 of 20 (23–31 mmol/L). His computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen, taken on March 20, 2020 (at presentation), revealed signs of free intra-abdominal air and large bowel perforation. Treatment was interrupted due to intestinal perforation related to bevacizumab. He underwent surgical exploration with colonic resection and intraabdominal washing. Upon patient review in our center, his solid tumors next-generation sequencing (NGS) tissue panel (52 genes) was done and revealed EGFR c.2573T>G (p.L858R) with an allelic fraction of 29% (of 2787 reads) and SLC32A2-ROS1 fusion (exon13-exon 32) with an allelic fraction of 22,381 NGS reads (Table 1). After the thoracic oncology multidisciplinary tumor board discussion, the patient started on osimertinib 80 mg oral daily in early May 2020. The first CT assessment on June 23, 2020 (about 2 months of osimertinib therapy), showed partial response in the right lung primary mass and the left adrenal lesion. His treatment has continued up to now; during this period, he received 42 months of osimertinib and did 14 CT scan evaluations, all of which showed disease stability. No grade 3 or 4 toxicities were observed.

## CASE 2

A 49-year-old married woman who never smoked, with a history of uterine fibroid, a post myomectomy in 2015, and an endometrial polypectomy in 2021, is presented in Case 2. In July 2022, she was diagnosed with stage IV lung adenocarcinoma of the left side with metastasis to the contralateral lung, peritoneum, and retroperitoneal abdominal lymph nodes. She was symptomatic with dyspnea and abdominal pain as well. Her ECOG performance status at diagnosis was one. Owing to unexpected delays in NGS panel results and in light of her severe symptoms, the decision was made to proceed with carboplatin and pemetrexed. She received one cycle of chemotherapy. The solid tumors NGS tissue panel (52 genes) results came after cycle one and revealed multiple mutations, RAF1 c.770C>T (p.S257L), SLC34A2-ROS1 fusion with an allelic fraction of 43638 NGS reads, BRAF c.1787G>A (p.G596D), and EGFR c.2156G>T (p.G719V) (Table 2). The baseline echocardiogram was normal. The thoracic oncology multidisciplinary meeting recommended starting her on osimertinib 80 mg orally daily. She tolerated the treatment very well, with no reportable toxicity. The first CT scan assessment (after 2 months of osimertinib therapy) showed partial treatment response in the mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes with stable disease in the primary tumor site. The second CT scan assessment in early December 2022 revealed disease

Table 2. Lung cancer next-generation sequencing (NGS) panel result (Case 2)

NGS Summary Case 2							
Positive		4	Clinically significant variants				
		8	Therapies with potential clinical benefit				
		0	Therapies associated with resistance				
Actionable genomic mutations							
Genomic findings detected	Allelic fraction	Therapies in lung adenocarcinoma	Approved therapies in other indications	Therapies associated with resistance			
EGFR c.2156G>T (p.G719V) Pathogenic Tier 1A	8.40% (of 2000 reads)	Dacomitinib, erlotinib, gefitinib, osimertinib	_	_			
SLC34A2-ROS1 fusion Pathogenic Tier 1A	Supported by 43,638 NGS reads	Certinib, crizotinib, entrectinib, lorlatinib	_	_			

progression in the mediastinal lymph nodes, mild disease progression of the primary tumor, and worsening in the size of the abdominal lymph nodes. Moreover, she was admitted as a case of pericardial effusion, which was thought to be an osimertinib adverse event. After cardiac condition stabilization, she started on crizotinib with short clinical stability for approximately 6 weeks. Unfortunately, her clinical condition deteriorated and had further progression on imaging. Her performance could not allow for systemic chemotherapy, and she passed away on January 24, 2023.

#### **DISCUSSION**

EGFR mutation is a recognized biomarker alteration in advanced NSCLC. It accounts for 15% to 30%. In some areas of the world, the incidence of EGFR reaches up to 50%.<sup>[7]</sup> The incidence of EGFR mutation in the Middle East and North Africa is higher than that of the Western population, with reported incidences of 21% to 28%.<sup>[8]</sup> Clinical evidence revealed that treatment with EGFR-TKIs is associated with better treatment response, progressionfree survival, and overall survival compared with chemotherapy for advanced NSCLC in the first-line setting in patients harboring EGFR mutation. Furthermore, thirdgeneration EGFR-TKIs are superior to the first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs in terms of response rate, progression-free survival, overall survival, and intracranial disease control rate. [9] The majority of patients with the EGFR mutation have a mutation in exon 19 (deletion) or exon 21 L858R (point mutation). There are many other uncommon EGFR mutations, such as G719X in exon 18, L861Q in exon 21, and S768I in exon 20. [10]

ROS-1 rearrangement is a well-known molecular subtype of advanced non-squamous NSCLC, which accounts for around 1% to 2%. They are frequently seen in a younger age woman with adenocarcinoma. ROS-1 rearrangement generally has no overlap with other oncogenic driver mutations. In both cases, an NGS-based gene panel test was used, which consists of hotspot mutations in 35 genes and gene fusions in 23 genes using formalin-fixed, paraffinembedded tissue. This method appears superior to other traditional testing methods like immunohistochemistry

and fluorescence in situ hybridization in the era of precision medicine.

In the first case, EGFR exon 21 L858R mutation was detected, which is the second most common mutation after exon 19 deletion and results in high sensitivity to EGFR-TKIs. [11] Our second case harbors an uncommon EGFR exon 18 mutation p.G719V, part of point mutations resulting from the substitution of glycine at position 719 with other genes like alanine (G719A), cysteine (G719C), and serine (G719S). Like G719X in exon 18, L861Q in exon 21, and S768I in exon 20, all had modest responses to EGFR-TKI therapy as well. [10]

Currently, there are more than  $20\ ROS-1$  fusion partners, including CD74, EZR, FIG1, CCD6, KDELR2, LRI3, SDC4, SLC34A2, TPM3 and TPD52L1. The most common rearrangement is CD 74, which accounts for 40% to 45%; however, another common fusion partner is SLC34A2-ROS-1, which accounts for  $12\%.^{[12]}$  Treatment options include crizotinib, ALK, and MET inhibitors. [13] Lorlatinib, a third-generation ALK inhibitor, is also an effective therapy, especially in patients who are progressing on crizotinib. Entrectinib, a TRK inhibitor, is an effective treatment option in first-line settings, especially in patients harboring brain metastasis. [15] Our reported two cases harbor SLC34A2-ROS-1 fusion; this fusion is responsive to crizotinib. [16]

Comutations of the EGFR and ROS-1 rearrangement are extremely uncommon. Detection of comutations becomes more evident because of the use of higher-sensitive methods of detecting these mutations, such as NGS. The comutation may consist of a major driver mutation and minor coexisting mutation (subclonal with low variant allele frequency) that coexist with no clear clinical significance. In a retrospective analysis of 421 patients with an EGFR mutation, the rate of ALK and ROS-1 fusion genes was 3.1% using reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; in this retrospective analysis, patients with concomitant ALK and ROS-1 treated with EGFR-TKI had shorter progressionfree survival compared with those without concomitant mutations; however, no difference in overall survival. Patients treated with ROS-1 inhibitors had a median progression-free survival of 6 months only. [5] Wiesweg et al. [6] reported concomitant oncogenic driver mutation in the EGFR domain in 6 of 25 ROS-1-positive patients, 5 of whom had responded to anti-EGFR therapy.

Lambros et al. [17] reported 10 patients with *ROS1* rearrangements and concomitant *EGFR* mutations treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs. Their responses include six partial responses (PR), two stable diseases (SDs), and two progressive diseases (PDs). Second-line crizotinib therapy was used in four patients upon disease progression on EGFR-TKI therapy, with two PR, one SD, and one PD observed. [17]

Our two cases of *EGFR* and *ROS-1* rearrangements coexistence have adenocarcinoma histology, both patients were under 65 years old, and both have the common clinical characteristics of *EGFR* and *ROS-1* rearrangements. Nonetheless, no unique clinical features that can clinically help to anticipate this genetic coexistence.

There is no consensus on how to sequence the treatment of such conditions; this is expected due to the rarity of this situation. Few factors can contribute to the decision-making in this regard, like the prevalence of the mutations and the NGS-reported variant allele frequency. Higher variant allele frequency may help in choosing the first-line therapy needs to be offered. Owing to the higher prevalence of the EGFR mutation in our population and lack of variant allele frequency data, we treated our two cases with anti-EGFR-TKIs. The first case had one of the commonest *EGFR* mutations and showed excellent progression-free survival with very long overall survival; however, the second case showed only stable disease lasting approximately 6 months only. The explanation of poor treatment response in the second case is possibly related to the presence of an uncommon EGFR mutation known to be less responsive to anti-EGFR therapy. The other reason is the coexistence of BRAF mutation, which is known to have less systemic treatment response, and finally, the higher ROS-1 variant allelic fraction reads. The question that remains is what the subsequent therapy is upon disease progression. Generally, sequencing of second-line targeted therapy can be considered carefully in patients with diffuse and mild progression; however, switching to systemic chemotherapy should be considered in case of rapidly progressive disease. Our second case received crizotinib because she was not fit for systemic chemotherapy. She responded shortly to crizotinib, which is likely in consensus with the general belief and understanding of poor treatment outcomes in patients whose genetic profiling has more than one alteration. [18]

In conclusion, the incidence of concomitant EGFR and ROS-1 alterations in patients with advanced, non-squamous NSCLC may be higher than expected, and this encourages the conduct of NGS in a study with enough patients to reveal a more accurate frequency of this concomitant alteration. The presence of another driver alteration, like ROS-1 with the EGFR mutation, still allows patients to respond well to anti-EGFR therapy. The decision to use a first-line treatment targeting one mutation over another needs more clinical research as well as identification of factors that help in the clinical decisions, like variant allele frequency. The use of anti-EGFR and ROS-1 combination therapy in the first-line setting treatment is still an open area for exploration and cannot be recommended currently due to the lack of supportive evidence. Healthcare professionals should be vigilant about this presentation, and close observation is required.

#### References

- Cancer incidence report 2020 Saudi Arabia. Saudi Health Council; 2020
- Jazieh AR, Jaafar H, Jaloudi M, et al. Patterns of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation in non-small-cell lung cancers in the Gulf region. Mol Clin Oncol. 2015;3:1371–1374.
- 3. Guo Y, Song J, Wang Y, et al. Concurrent genetic alterations and other biomarkers predict treatment efficacy of EGFR TKIs in EGFR-mutant non-small cell lung cancer: a review. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:610923.
- Chen R-L, Yang J-J, Zhang X-C, et al. ROS1 rearrangement coexists with EGFR mutation in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(15, suppl).
- 5. Mao Y, Wu S. ALK and ROS1 concurrent with EGFR mutation in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2017;10:3399–3404.
- 6. Wiesweg M, Eberhardt WEE, Reis H, et al. High prevalence of concomitant oncogene mutations in prospectively identified patients with ROS1-positive metastatic lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2017;12:54–64.
- Shi Y, Au J S-K, Thonprasert S, et al. A prospective, molecular epidemiology study of EGFR mutations in Asian patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer of adenocarcinoma histology (PIONEER). J Thorac Oncol. 2014;9:154.
- 8. Benbrahim Z, Antonia T, Mellas N. EGFR mutation frequency in Middle East and African non-small cell lung cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer.* 2018;18:891.
- Ramalingam SS, Vansteenkiste J, Planchard D, et al. Overall survival with osimertinib in untreated, EGFR-mutated advanced NSCLC. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:41.
- 10. Zhang T, Wan B, Zhao Y, et al. Treatment of uncommon *EGFR* mutations in non-small cell lung cancer: new evidence and treatment. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2019;8:302–316.
- Mok TS, Wu Y-L, Thongprasert S, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin–paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:947–957.
- 12. Lin JJ, Shaw AT. Recent advances in targeting ROS1 in lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2017;12:1611–1625.
- 13. Shaw AT, Riely GJ, Bang Y-J, et al. Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): updated results, including overall survival, from PROFILE 1001. *Ann Oncol.* 2019;30:1121.
- 14. Shaw AT, Solomon BJ, Chiari R, et al. Lorlatinib in advanced ROS1-positive non-small-cell lung cancer: a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 1-2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2019;20:1691.
- 15. Dziadziuszko R, Krebs MG, De Braud F, et al. Updated integrated analysis of the efficacy and safety of entrectinib in locally advanced or metastatic ROS1 fusion-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2021;39:1253.
- 16. Zhao Z, Song Z, Wang X, et al. Response to crizotinib in a lung adenocarcinoma patient harboring a novel *SLC34A2-ROS1* fusion variant. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2017;10:4129–4133.
- 17. Lambros L, Guibourg B, Uguen A. ROS1-rearranged nonsmall cell lung cancers with concomitant oncogenic driver alterations: about some rare therapeutic dilemmas. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2018;19:e73–e74.
- Ulivi P, Chiadini E, Dazzi C, et al. Nonsquamous, nonsmall-cell lung cancer patients who carry a double mutation of EGFR, EML4-ALK or KRAS: frequency, clinical-pathological characteristics, and response to therapy. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2016;17:384–390.