

Effectiveness and safety of hypotensive resuscitation in traumatic hemorrhagic shock A protocol for meta-analysis

Hua Wang, MM^{a,*}, Mao-Bing Chen, MD^b, Xu-Wen Zheng, MD^b, Qi-Han Zheng, MM^b

Abstract

Background: Hypotensive resuscitation is an old study. But its benefits and losses are still controversial. In clinic, the method of fluid resuscitation needs more reliable experimental evidence. This study's objective is to systematically evaluate the efficacy of hypotensive resuscitation in patients with traumatic hemorrhagic shock.

Methods and analysis: Through October 2019, Web of Science, PubMed, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and Clinical Trials will be systematically searched to identify randomized controlled trials exploring the efficacy of hypotensive resuscitation in traumatic hemorrhagic shock. Strict screening and quality evaluation will be independently performed on the obtained literature by 2 researchers; outcome indexes will be extracted, and a meta-analysis will be performed on the data using Revman 5.3 software.

Ethics and dissemination: The stronger evidence about the efficacy of hypotensive resuscitation in traumatic hemorrhagic shock will be provided for clinicians.

Trial registration number: PROSPERO CRD42019133169.

Strengths of this study: This study is not only a simple combination of data, but also to verify and discuss the reliability of the results, and provide more convincing evidence for clinicians.

Limitations of this study: Firstly, according to the previous literature researching, it is found that the number of relevant randomized controlled trials is small and the quality level of the literature is uneven. Secondly, the efficacy of hypotensive resuscitation is discussed for a long time, different trials may take place at different times. Comparability between different trials is reduced.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, CNKI = China National Knowledge Infrastructure, CR = conventional resuscitation, HR = hypotensive resuscitation, PROSPERO = international prospective register of systematic reviews, RCTs = randomized controlled trials, RR = relative risk.

Keywords: hemorrhagic, hypotensive resuscitation, meta-analysis, resuscitation, shock, shock, traumatic

1. Introduction

With the increase of vehicles and large machinery, trauma has become the most common cause of death for the people under 44

This work was funded by the Guidance plan for social development of Changzhou Municipal Science and Technology (CE20175008), Changzhou City, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the report, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

There is no need for ethical approval, and the review will be reported in a peerreviewed journal.

^a Department of ICU, Wujin People Hospital, ^b Department of Emergency, Wujin People Hospital Affiliated with Jiangsu University, and the Wujin Clinical College of Xuzhou Medical University, Changzhou Jiangsu, PR China.

^{*} Correspondence: Hua Wang, Wujin People Hospital, No.2 Yongning North Road, Changzhou City, Jiangsu Province, PR China (e-mail: 470228249@qq.com).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Wang H, Chen MB, Zheng XW, Zheng QH. Effectiveness and safety of hypotensive resuscitation in traumatic hemorrhagic shock: a protocol for meta-analysis. Medicine 2019;98:48(e18145).

Received: 30 October 2019 / Accepted: 30 October 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000018145 years old,^[1] with more than 5 million patients dying from trauma globally every year.^[2] Blood loss is one of the main cause of death from trauma. Once hemorrhagic shock occurs, the mortality of patients will greatly increase.^[3] Traumatic hemorrhagic shock refers to a series of reaction syndromes caused by injury to and bleeding of the viscera, decreased effective circulating blood volume and insufficient microcirculation perfusion.^[4,5]

The most effective treatment for hemorrhagic shock is usually surgery, but how resuscitation should be chosen prior to surgery has not been determined.^[6,7] At present, there are 2 methods of resuscitation in patients with traumatic hemorrhagic shock: aggressive resuscitation and hypotensive resuscitation.^[8] In traditional resuscitation therapy, it is emphasized that the effective circulating blood volume should be restored as soon as possible, which is called aggressive resuscitation.^[9,10] Then, the concept of hypotensive resuscitation was proposed. The difference between aggressive resuscitation and hypotensive resuscitation is targeted blood pressure management.^[11] Hypotensive resuscitation is better than aggressive resuscitation in theory, but it is lack of RCTs supporting. Is it because the sample size is too small, or the differences between 2 resuscitations are too small? It is unknown which method is preferable in the real world setting. This study attempts to clarify the differences between aggressive resuscitation and hypotensive resuscitation, aiming to provide evidence for the treatment of traumatic hemorrhagic shock.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

#1 "Wounds and Injuries" [Mesh]
#2 Trauma* [Title/Abstract] OR Injur* [Title/Abstract] OR Wound* [Title/Abstract]
OR vulnerar* [Title/Abstract]
#3 #1 OR #2
#4 "Shock, Hemorrhagic" [Mesh]
#5 Shock, Hemorrhagic [Title/Abstract] OR Hemorrhagic shock [Title/Abstract]
#6 #4 OR #5
#7 hypotensive resuscitation [Title/Abstract] OR limited resuscitation [Title/Abstract]
I OR controlled resuscitation [Title/Abstract] OR limited fluid resuscitation [Title/Abstract]
Abstract] OR controlled fluid resuscitation [Title/Abstract] OR hypotensive fluid resuscitation [Title/Abstract]
#8 #3 OR #6
#9 #7 AND #8

Figure 1. Retrieval strategy for the PubMed database.

In the preliminary data preparation process, we found that there was a similar previous meta-analysis study,^[12] but we found that their results were not stable, and the evidences ware not reliable. It included too many low-quality studies, and its disposition was flawed. Therefore, this meta-analysis is conducted to obtain a more stable and convincing conclusion through various methods.

2. Methods

2.1. Design and registration

A meta-analysis will be conducted to systematically evaluate the efficacy of hypotensive resuscitation in patients with traumatic hemorrhagic shock. This protocol has been registered on the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROS-PERO), registration number: CRD42019133169 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO). No ethical approval is required since this study used data that were already in the public domain.

2.2. Study selection

2.2.1. Study type. This study will include Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs).

2.2.2. Study object. The study objects had the following requirements:

- 1. adult patients;
- 2. a clear diagnosis of shock; and
- 3. trauma and blood loss.

2.2.3. Intervening measure. Patients will be randomized into 2 groups: the hypotensive resuscitation (HR) group and the conventional resuscitation (CR) group. In the hypotensive resuscitation group, patients will receive less fluid resuscitation, keeping their blood pressure at a lower level, while in the conventional resuscitation group, patients will receive conventional fluid resuscitation, keeping their blood pressure at a normal level.

2.2.4. Outcome indicator. The following outcomes will be compared between the HR group and CR group:

1. overall mortality,

2. 24-hour mortality.

2.2.5. Exclusion criteria. Studies that investigated other causes of shock including neurogenic shock, surgical bleeding shock, and hysterorrhexis shock will be excluded. Studies with data that could not be extracted or utilized, animal experiments, and literature reviews, and other types of non-experimental articles will be excluded.

2.3. Data sources and searches

We searched English language publications through September 2019 using the following databases: Web of Science, PubMed, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and Clinical Trials. The search terms were "hypotensive resuscitation", "hemorrhagic shock", and "trauma". Here, we use the PubMed database as an example (Fig. 1).

2.4. Study screening, data extraction, and risk assessment of bias

Data will be collected independently by 2 researchers. The unqualified studies will be eliminated, and the qualified ones will be screened by reading the title, abstract, and full text. Then, the research data will be extracted and checked, and disagreements will be discussed or a decision was made by the author. The extracted data will include the following:

- 1. basic information of the study, including title, author, and year of publication;
- 2. characteristics of the included study, consisting of study duration, sample sizes of the intervention group and the control group, and intervention measures;
- 3. outcome indicators and the data included; and

The risk of bias in the included studies will be assessed using the RCT bias risk assessment tool recommended in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (5.1.0).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Revman 5.3 software will be used for the meta-analysis. The relative risk (RR) will be used as an effect indicator for dichotomous variables, and the estimated value and 95% confidence interval (CI) will be included as effect analysis statistics. A heterogeneity test will be conducted with the results of each study. To make the results more stable, the random effects model, a more conservative effects model, will be adopted in this meta-analysis. The significance level will be set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

2.6. Subgroup analysis

Subgroups will be established based on quality evaluation of studies.

2.7. Assessment of publication bias

If more than 10 articles are available for quantitative analysis, we will generate funnel plots to assess publication bias. A symmetrical distribution of funnel plot data indicates that there is no publication bias, otherwise, we will analyze the possible cause and give reasonable interpretation for asymmetric funnel plots.

2.8. Confidence in cumulative evidence

GRADE^[13] system will be used for assessing the quality of our evidence. According to the grading system, the level of evidence will be rated high, moderate, low, and very low.

3. Discussion

Hypotensive resuscitation is an established method.^[14] Although guidelines and expert consensus recommend HR for resuscitation in patients with traumatic hemorrhagic shock, there are few RCTs to support this recommendation.^[15,16] The absence of evidence from high-level evidence-based medicine is a source of concern. The purpose of this meta-analysis was not to draw a positive or negative conclusion but, more importantly, to analyze the stability of the conclusion.

First, we found that the CNKI database was retrieved in the previous meta-analysis. I take a negative attitude towards this. Including the CNKI database could increase the number of studies included, but after carefully reading the full texts of these available studies, it was determined that the quality of research in the CNKI database is uneven. After weighing quantity and quality, this meta-analysis will not include the CNKI database. Then compared with the previous meta-analysis, the RCT bias risk assessment tool recommended in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions will be used. By analyzing the random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding method, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, etc, to evaluate the quality of the research.

Researchers think that during the early stages of trauma, aggressive resuscitation could reduce the patient's core

temperature, and excessive infusion could dilute the clotting factor. Meanwhile, raising blood pressure could result in the loss of red blood cells, leading to hypoxia in tissues and acidosis. These 3 conditions (hypothermia, clotting disorders, acidosis) are referred to as the triangle of death.^[17] Hypotensive resuscitation early after trauma provides the fluid needed to maintain the basic functions of the body, which can reduce bleeding and improve tissue hypoxia, theoretically reducing mortality and improving the patient's prognosis.^[18]

Why are the results of RCTs not as good as the theory? In actual clinical practice, it is difficult to control blood pressure in a short time to the target pressure. In hypotension resuscitation, the fluctuation of blood pressure will inevitably cause tissue ischemia and hypoxia, and cause tissue damage. This might be HR's weakness.

This study will conduct a meta-analysis of related RCTs, and provide evidence on the efficacy and safety of hypotensive resuscitation in traumatic hemorrhagic shock treatment, so as to better guide clinical practice.

Hua Wang orcid: 0000-0002-7288-5907.

References

- Heron M. Deaths: leading causes for 2016. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2018;67:1–77.
- [2] Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet 2018;392:1789–858.
- [3] Cannon JW. Hemorrhagic shock. N Engl J Med 2018;378:370-9.
- [4] Tachon G, Harrois A, Tanaka S, et al. Microcirculatory alterations in traumatic hemorrhagic shock. Crit Care Med 2014;42:1433–41.
- [5] Torres Filho I. Hemorrhagic shock and the microvasculature. Compr Physiol 2017;8:61–101.
- [6] Kirkman E, Watts S. Haemodynamic changes in trauma. Br J Anaesth 2014;113:266–75.
- [7] Lamb CM, MacGoey P, Navarro AP, et al. Damage control surgery in the era of damage control resuscitation. Br J Anaesth 2014;113:242–9.
- [8] Geeraedts LMJr, Pothof LA, Caldwell E, et al. Prehospital fluid resuscitation in hypotensive trauma patients: do we need a tailored approach? Injury 2015;46:4–9.
- [9] Harris T, Thomas GO, Brohi K. Early fluid resuscitation in severe trauma. BMJ 2012;345:e5752.
- [10] Myburgh JA, Mythen MG. Resuscitation fluids. N Engl J Med 2013;369:1243–51.
- [11] Shoemaker WC, Peitzman AB, Bellamy R, et al. Resuscitation from severe hemorrhage. Crit Care Med 1996;24(2 Suppl):S12–23.
- [12] Owattanapanich N, Chittawatanarat K, Benyakorn T, et al. Risks and benefits of hypotensive resuscitation in patients with traumatic hemorrhagic shock: a meta-analysis. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2018;26:107.
- [13] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008;336:924–6.
- [14] Dries DJ. Hypotensive resuscitation. Shock 1996;6:311-6.
- [15] Llau JV, Acosta FJ, Escolar G, et al. Multidisciplinary consensus document on the management of massive haemorrhage (HEMOMAS document). Med Intensiva 2015;39:483–504.
- [16] Woolley T, Thompson P, Kirkman E, et al. Trauma Hemostasis and Oxygenation Research Network position paper on the role of hypotensive resuscitation as part of remote damage control resuscitation. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2018;84(6S Suppl 1):S3–13.
- [17] Bentzer P, Griesdale DE, Boyd J, et al. Will this hemodynamically unstable patient respond to a bolus of intravenous fluids? JAMA 2016;316:1298–309.
- [18] Dadhwal US, Pathak N. Damage control philosophy in polytrauma. Med J Armed Forces India 2010;66:347–9.