## Association of Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase Gene Polymorphisms with Coronary Artery Disease: An Updated Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review



## Himanshu Rai<sup>1\*9</sup>, Farah Parveen<sup>2</sup>, Sudeep Kumar<sup>1\*9</sup>, Aditya Kapoor<sup>1</sup>, Nakul Sinha<sup>3</sup>

1 Department of Cardiology, Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, 2 Department of Medical Genetics, Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India, 3 Department of Cardiology, Sahara India Medical Institute, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Abstract

Several association studies of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (*NOS3*) gene polymorphisms with respect to coronary artery disease (CAD) have been published in the past two decades. However, their association with the disease, especially among different ethnic subgroups, still remains controversial. This prompted us to conduct a systematic review and an updated structured meta-analysis, which is the largest so far (89 articles, 132 separate studies, and a sample size of 69,235), examining association of three polymorphic forms of the *NOS3* gene (i.e. Glu298Asp, T786-C and 27bp VNTR b/a) with CAD. In a subgroup analysis, we tested their association separately among published studies originating predominantly from European, Middle Eastern, Asian, Asian-Indian and African ancestries. The pooled analysis confirmed the association of all the three selected SNP with CAD in three different genetic models transcending all ancestries worldwide. The Glu298Asp polymorphism showed strongest association (OR range = 1.28-1.52, and P<0.00001 for all comparisons), followed by T786-C (OR range = 1.34-1.42, and P<0.0001 for all comparisons) and 4b/a, (OR range = 1.54-1.87, and P<0.002 for all comparisons) in our pooled analysis. Subgroup analysis revealed that Glu298Asp (OR range = 1.54-1.87, and P<0.004 for all comparisons) and 4b/a (OR range = 1.71-3.02, and P<0.00001 for all comparisons) have highest degree of association amongst the Middle Easterners. On the other hand, T786-C and its minor allele seem to carry a highest risk for CAD among subjects of Asian ancestry (OR range = 1.61-1.90, and P<0.01 for all comparisons).

Citation: Rai H, Parveen F, Kumar S, Kapoor A, Sinha N (2014) Association of Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase Gene Polymorphisms with Coronary Artery Disease: An Updated Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. PLoS ONE 9(11): e113363. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363

Editor: Jinglu Ai, St Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Canada

Received July 20, 2014; Accepted October 22, 2014; Published November 19, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Rai et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. Data are available as Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors have no funding or support to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* Email: himanshurai1981@gmail.com (HR); sudeepkum@yahoo.com (SK)

(9 These authors contributed equally to this work.

## Introduction

Endothelial-derived nitric oxide (NO) has been known to be a major contributor in vascular regulation and has been amply implicated with coronary artery disease (CAD). NO is an important relaxing factor in the human body and is involved in a variety of different important physiological functions. It is synthesized in the human body from L-arginine by at least three isoforms of NO synthase (NOS), viz. inducible NOS, constitutive neuronal NOS and constitutive endothelial NOS (eNOS). [1] NO causes vascular relaxation, it suppresses platelet and leucocyte adhesion to the vascular endothelium [2-4] and reduces smooth muscle cell proliferation and migration [5]. It also scavenges superoxide radicals [2] and limits the oxidation of atherogenic low density lipoproteins [3] resulting in an overall vasoprotective effect. Intracellular NO has thus been considered as an inhibitor of several key processes leading to atherosclerotic plaque formation. [4] Inhibition or reduction of NO on the other hand, may accelerate this process. NO production has been known to be influenced by several polymorphisms of the NOS3 gene. The

*NOS3* gene is located on chromosome 7q35-36, consists of 26 exons with a total size of 21 kb and it encodes for intracellular NO production [6]. This gene is expressionally and functionally regulated through multiple regulatory steps, and entails several polymorphisms [3], some of which have functional consequences.

# NOS3 polymorphisms, their role in NO production and their vascular end effects

Hingorani et al., [7] first described a point mutation of guanine (G) to thymine (T) at nucleotide 1917 in exon 7 of the *NOS3* gene, resulting in the replacement of glutamic acid by aspartic acid at codon 298 (Glu298Asp, also known as G894-T, rs1799983). This variant has been shown to be associated with coronary spasm, [8] essential hypertension [9] and the risk of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) [3,10,11]. Controversial results have been obtained over the years when this single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) was evaluated with respect to its effect on intracellular NO production. Some studies have demonstrated lower concentrations of intracellular NO in genotypes carrying Asp alleles [12], while others have reported lack of association of this SNP with NO

production [13]. Apparently, apart from possibly having lower NO concentrations in the human body, genotypes carrying Asp alleles have also been shown to have greater red blood cell (RBC) aggregability [14]. This makes them more prone to suffer from acute coronary events, especially from those of thrombotic origin. Befittingly, over the years this SNP has been shown to be associated to myocardial infarction (MI) [10,11,15], coronary artery spasm [10] and CAD. [2–4,13,16,17] However, association of this SNP with CAD has been a matter of dispute as some studies have also reported its lack of association with the disease. [18–23] Geo-ethnic differences and the role of environmental factors in various populations could have been the prime reason behind these contradictory results.

Another common variant of this gene results by a cytosine replacing a thymine at nucleotide -786 (T786-C, rs2070744) at the 5'-flanking region of the NOS3 gene. [24] The resulting T786-C variant has been shown to reduce the NOS3 promoter activity approximately by 50% and has been associated with an increased risk for coronary artery spasm among subjects of Japanese ethnicity. [24] Recently, this polymorphism has also been shown to influence the plasma NO concentrations in hypertensive and type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. [25] It has also has been implicated as a risk factor for developing hypertension. [25] Several published reports strongly suggest that this functional variant in the NOS3 promoter region (T786-C) is associated with reduction in the promoter efficiency and the level of expressed enzyme, leading to increased CAD risk. [26-28] Apart from hypertension [25,29] and coronary artery spasm, [24] T786-C has also been investigated by several researchers for its association with the presence and severity of CAD, [3,30] and MI. [31] However, some studies have also reported no association with CAD. [32]

There are also several variable number of tandem repeats (VNTRs) with functional significance within *NOS3* gene. Among them polymorphic repeats close to the 5' end of the gene, the 27base pair (bp) repeat in intron 4 is one of the most studied. The resulting rare 4-repeat allele has been shown to be associated with CAD among subjects belonging to European ancestry. [33] This 4a/b mutation of *NOS3* gene was found to be associated with MI, among subjects of Turkish descent. [34] Significant association of the 4a/b polymorphism with CAD and MI has been amply reported in several ethnic populations even after adjustment of traditional risk factors. [22,33,35,36] On the other hand, a few groups have also reported lack of association of this SNP with CAD. [37–39]

The only meta-analysis to assess the role of all three NOS3 SNPs with respect to CAD reported association only in recessive genetic model of Glu298Asp, while dominant and allelic comparisons came out to be non-associated in the pooled analysis (all ethnicities combined). [40] On the other hand, lack of association with CAD was seen for all three genetic models of T786-C and 4b/a. [40] Since the publication of the aforementioned study, [40] a few more recent meta-analyses focusing on either one of the selected SNPs were published reporting varied results of either association or lack of association among different employed genetic models. [41–44] None of them had strict criteria for inclusion of studies, which may have led to inaccurate results. Some of these published meta-analyses also included studies where genotype frequencies among controls deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Such deviation may hint a non-random inclusion of controls among the studies in question, which could have led to inaccurate results. Studies with several surrogate endpoints, such as "coronary artery spasm" and "heart failure", were also included in these previously published meta-analyses. These endpoints may or may not correspond to the disease profile

and pathology of CAD in general therefore such studies should have been ideally excluded. On the top of that, ethnic classifications in subgroup analyses were not appropriate in these meta-analyses and the studies were broadly and wrongly classified. We hypothesize that all these described factors may have resulted in biased effect sizes reported in all pooled as well as ethnic subgroups in these previously published meta-analyses. [40–44] It is also noteworthy that no genome wide association study (GWAS) published till date has identified either of these selected SNPs as risk factors for CAD. Thus, a structured meta-analysis of published association studies for NOS3 polymorphisms, which would aid to improve the existing understanding of these polymorphic forms of this gene in relation to CAD was warranted. Taking this as an objective, we conducted a systematic review and updated metaanalysis to ascertain the role of the three most common NOS3 gene polymorphisms in CAD. We also tested their association separately among published studies originating predominantly from European, Middle Eastern, Asian, Asian-Indian and African ancestries

## **Materials and Methods**

## Literature Search

The databases of the US National Institutes of Health (PubMed), EMBASE and a MEDLINE Scopus and Web of Knowledge were systematically searched for relevant articles published online by March 2014. Both medical search headings and open text fields were used to identify articles. We systematically searched various databases and the reference lists of the relevant publications using the combination of terms like 'NOS3' OR 'eNOS' OR 'constitutive endothelial NOS' OR 'ecNOS' OR 'endothelial nitric oxide synthase' OR 'endothelial NO synthase' paired with 'atherosclerotic heart disease' OR 'coronary artery disease' OR 'CAD' OR 'MI' OR 'Myocardial Infarction' OR 'AMI' OR Acute Myocardial Infarction OR 'ACS' OR 'Acute Coronary Syndrome' AND 'polymorphism' OR 'mutation' OR 'gene polymorphism' Or 'SNP' OR 'Single Nucleotide Polymorphism'. The search in these databases was restricted to articles relating to humans, covering all relevant English language publications published up to March 2014. All references cited in the resulting studies and in previously published reviews on this topic were examined to identify additional work not indexed in internet databases. Papers without sufficient information were identified and their corresponding authors were contacted via at least three emails (spaced one week apart) requesting needed information. Publications whose corresponding authors did not respond were thenceforth not included. Since data from unpublished studies is unlikely to be trustworthy, we chose not to include it into this present meta-analysis. The decision to include studies was hierarchical; initially study titles, then abstracts and finally the full body of the text were assessed. The following information was recorded from the retrieved studies: author's names, publication year, country where the study was conducted, study design, inclusion criteria for CAD patients and normal controls, methods used for genotyping and the distribution of polymorphic genotypes and alleles in each group.

To be included in the meta-analysis, articles had to assess the association between CAD or MI patients and CAD free controls. Our selection criteria included studies that met all of the following criteria: (1) published in a peer-reviewed journal and independent studies using original data; (2) unrelated case-control or cohort studies; (3) providing complete data with genotype and allele frequencies to calculate the odds ratio (OR) with confidence interval (CI) and p values; (4) CAD patient diagnosis based on

coronary angiography/clinical assessment and controls not being CAD patients; (5) all studies included had to be published in English language; and (6) all included studies had to have their genotype distribution among controls consistent with Hardy Weinberg approximations. We excluded the case reports, case studies and the studies not providing adequate information on selection criteria and the actual distribution of genotypes in each group. We also purposefully excluded studies with a surrogate endpoint such as "coronary artery spasm" or "heart failure". Strict adherence to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses-PRISMA statement [45] and the specific recommendations for genetic meta-analysis in the HuGE Review Handbook, version 1.0 was ensured.

#### Data collection and Statistical Analysis

All required data along with genotypic distribution among cases and controls were recorded from publications (in duplicate) on a paper proforma. Later allele frequency was calculated manually and all the data was then transcribed on to a Microsoft Excel program on a computer. We checked departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) among control population for each included study using Michael H. Court's (2005–2008) online calculator (http://www.tufts.edu/~mcourt01/Documents/Court%20lab%20-%20HW%20 calculator.xls). Studies with genotype frequency among controls yielding a p value of <0.05, did not conform to HWE approximations and were thus excluded.

All calculations in this meta-analysis were carried out using Review Manager (*RevMan*) [Computer program]. Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012. The extracted data from all publications were tested using previously described three genetic models i.e. dominant, recessive, and allelic model. [46] Bivariate and random or fixed effect models were used for calculating effect size (i.e. Odds ratios: ORs). For each study, individual and summary ORs and its 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated (for all three genetic models), using either random (DerSimonian-Laird method) [47] or fixed effects model (Mantel-Haenszel method). [48] The pooled odds ratio (OR) with a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to assess the degree of association. Based on the individual ORs, the pooled OR was estimated, the significance of which was determined by Z test (P<0.05 was considered statistically significant). Summary P value and Z value was also calculated (as listed) for each study group, for each genetic model to express the significance and degree of association. Existence of heterogeneity was tested using a Q test. It was performed using Higgins  $I^2$  statistics ( $I^2$ ) and Cochran's Q statistics ( $P_{\rm O}$ ) for each group of studies. Those resulting with  $I^2 > 50\%$  and  $P_O \stackrel{<}{<} 0.01$  were identified as a heterogeneous group. Low, moderate and high heterogeneity was defined according to previously published estimates [49] using cut off points of  $\overline{I}^2$  values as 25%, 50% and 75%. Using this calculation,  $I^2$  value of <50% and P<sub>O</sub>>0.01 was considered the criterion for selecting fixed effects for analysis. On the other hand, random effects were used for analyses for groups having  $I^2$  values of >50%. In order to define the sources of heterogeneity, subgroup analysis was performed. The subgroups were classified according to predominant ancestry among which the study was conducted. Publication bias was identified by examining Begg's funnel plots [50] and by estimates from the Egger's test. [51] Sensitivity analysis was also performed in each ancestral group in every studied genetic model.

Adjustment of environmental factors is an important aspect in conducting meta-analysis of genetic association studies. However, since genetic variation among individuals is assigned at the time of birth, environmental factors like diet and lifestyle which an individual experiences thenceforth have no role in changing that attribute. [52] These factors, though can have a huge impact over the phenotype, cannot confound to the association between the genotype and the resultant phenotype. It is almost impossible to adjust environmental factors in meta-analyses of this nature. The full data is not available for every included manuscript and we have to work with only the published information. Although in an attempt to adjust the environmental factors, we stratified the studies according to predominant ethnicity among which it was conducted, which was the most we could have done.

### Results

The preliminary web search identified a total of 452 potential publications, of which 336 were immediately excluded because of obvious irrelevance after studying their titles and/or abstracts. A total of 116 articles were selected to be reviewed in full text, among which 27 articles were excluded as they met our previously described exclusion criteria. We finally selected 89 articles, which reported 132 separate studies, involving a total sample size of 69,235 to be used in the present meta-analysis. This process is explained in the form of a flowchart in Figure 1. The included studies were further broadly classified according to the predominant ancestry among which they were conducted. Accordingly the studies were included in one of the five ancestral groups, viz. European, Middle Eastern, Asian, Asian-Indian or African. The general characteristics of studies classified in each ancestral group, reporting association studies involving any of the three SNPs, along with an estimate of total sample tested are depicted in **Table 1.** Among these included studies, cases were generally recruited in referral centres with documented CAD or MI or ACS, and the controls were without any direct evidence of overt disease. The number of cases among all selected studies varied from 22 to 2,085, while the numbers of controls varied from 21 to 3,918.

#### Meta-analysis for NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism

**Pooled analysis.** A total of 60 case control studies [2,3,5,10,11,13–16,18,20–23,28,32,37–39,53–90] investigating the association between Glu298Asp polymorphism and CAD, with a total of 15,957 CAD patients and 14,075 normal controls were included in the pooled analysis (All ancestries combined). (**Tables 1 and 2**) This analysis, using three different genetic models showed moderate to high heterogeneity ( $I^2$  range = 58–72% and P<sub>Q</sub>< 0.00001 for all comparisons). (**Table 2**) All three genetic models for Glu298Asp also showed significant association with CAD (OR range = 1.28–1.52, Z value range = 5.14–6.33 and P<0.00001 for all comparisons). (**Table 3 and Figures 2, S1 and S2**)

Subgroup analysis. Among subgroups for Glu298Asp, 17 studies belonged to the European, [2,3,5,15,18,20,21,28,32,37-39,53-65] 14 to the Middle Eastern, [14,16,23,66-76] 10 to the Asian, [10,11,22,77-83] 8 to the Asian-Indian [13,84-90] and 1 to the African [57] group. (Tables 1 and 2) The Asian-Indian subgroup in all its genetic models showed low heterogeneity  $(I^2)$ range = 18-23% and P<sub>Q</sub> range = 0.26-0.28). All other ancestral groups (apart from African which had only 1 study) showed moderate to high heterogeneity ( $I^2$  range = 33–78% and  $P_O$ range = 0.16 to <0.00001). (Table 2) Appropriate effects were thus used for further analysis. Four out of 5 ancestral groups (except Africans) showed significant association with CAD in all three genetic models. The Middle Eastern group showed highest levels of association amongst the studied groups (Z value range = 2.92-3.94 and P  $\leq 0.004$  for all comparisons), followed by European group (Z value range = 2.10-3.37 and P $\leq 0.04$  for all comparisons). (Table 3 and Figures 2, S1 and S2)



**Figure 1. Selection of studies for inclusion.** doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.g001

## Meta-analysis for NOS3 T786-C polymorphism

**Pooled analysis.** Twenty nine case-control studies [5,17,22,23,27,28,31,32,37,39,54,55,57,58,62,64,65,76,79,82,89–97] with 7,043 CAD patients and 10,409 controls, investigating the association between T786-C polymorphism and CAD were included in the pooled analysis. (**Tables 1 and 2**) Moderate heterogeneity was seen across all genetic models ( $I^2$  range = 35–69%, P<sub>Q</sub> range = 0.03 to <0.00001). (**Table 2**) All genetic models also showed significantly associated with CAD showing ORs in the

range of 1.34–1.42, Z value ranging from 4.97–5.32 and P values of <0.00001 (for all comparisons). (Table 3 and Figures 3, S3 and S4)

**Subgroup analysis.** Among the ancestral subgroups, 15 studies constituted the European group, [5,27,28,32,37,39,54,55,58, 62,64,65,91–93] while 5,6,2 and 1 study respectively constituted Middle Eastern, [17,23,76,94,95] Asian, [22,31,79,82,96,97] Asian-Indian [89,90] and African [57] group. (**Tables 1 and 2**) Moderate to high degree of heterogeneity was seen in almost all ancestral groups

Study	Year	Country	SNPs studied	MAF (Cases/Contr	ls)		Total sample size (for each SNP)	Outcome
				Glu298Asp	4b/a	T786-C		
EUROPEAN ANCESTRY GROUP								
Wang et al. [33]	1996	Australia	4b/a		0.14/0.17	,	702	CAD
Cai et al. [18]	1999	Australia	Glu298Asp	0.32/0.36	ı	,	763	CAD
Hingorani et al.(CHAOS) [3]	1999	N	Glu298Asp	0.48/0.31	ı	,	436	CAD
Hingorani et al.(CHAOS-2) [3]	1999	UK	Glu298Asp	0.40/0.31	ı		432	W
Poirier et al.(1) [38]	1999	Ireland	Glu298Asp	0.43/0.39	ı	,	318	M
Poirier et al.(2) [38]	1999	France	Glu298Asp	0.34/0.39	I	ı	789	M
<sup>-</sup> owkes et al. [99]	2000	NK	4b/a	,	0.16/0.12	ı	437	CAD
Pulkkinen et al. [100]	2000	Finland	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	0.32/0.30	0.20/0.16		669 for each	CAD
sigusch et al. [101]	2000	Germany	4b/a		0.15/0.17	,	1043	CAD
Alvarez et al. [27]	2001	Spain	4b/a, T786-C	I	0.12/0.14	0.46/0.37	470 for each	PCAD
Granath et al. [37]	2001	Australia	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.33/0.34	0.14/0.15	0.38/0.38	1194, 1187 and 1196 respectively	PCAD
Gardemann et al. [2]	2002	Germany	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	0.33/0.31	0.14/0.16		3250 and 3215 respectively for each	CAD
Colombo et al. [5]	2003	Italy	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.38/0.31	,	0.51/0.40	415 and 374 respectively for each	CAD
Schmoelzer et al. [20]	2003	Austria	Glu298Asp	0.34/0.31	ı	,	488	CAD
Agema et al. [54]	2004	Netherlands	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.32/0.39	0.15/0.10	0.38/0.36	1329, 1218 and 1096 respectively for each	CAD
atini et al. [55]	2004	Italy	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.39/0/33	0.19/0.15	0.48/0.39	1014 for each	ACS
etonja et al. [102]	2004	Slovenia	4b/a	,	0.17/0.19	,	260	PCAD
Antoniades et al. [56]	2005	Greece	Glu298Asp	0.36/0.30	I	,	747	M
Milutinovic et al. [103]	2005	Slovenia	4b/a		0.19/0.21	,	403	PCAD
3ao et al.(1) [104]	2005	USA	4b/a	,	0.15/0.14	,	151	CAD
Rios et al. [28]	2005	Brazil	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.37/0.32	ı	0.44/0.34	497 for each	CAD
Josenko et al. [91]	2006	Ukraine	4b/a, T786-C	ı	0.20/0.21	0.34/0.29	304 for each	ACS
aramillo et.al. [21]	2006	Chile	Glu298Asp	0.21/0.15	ı	,	184	CAD
Rios et al.(1) [57]	2007	Brazil	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	0.29/0.26	0.18/0.23	ı	447 for each	CAD
Sampaio et al. [58]	2007	Brazil	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.31/0.28	0.16/0.19	0.25/0.37	219 for each	PMI
Andrikopoulos et al. [59]	2008	Greece	Glu298Asp	0.33/0.31			2329	AMI
Jaramillo et al. [92]	2008	Chile	T786-C			0.24/0.22	221	CAD
Szperl et al. [60]	2008	Poland	Glu298Asp	0.32/0.27			418	CAD
/asilakou et al. [61]	2008	Greece	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	0.27/0.30	0.19/0.15	,	370 for each	CAD
Gluba et al. [62]								

Table 1. Cont.								
Study	Year	Country	SNPs studied	MAF (Cases/Controls)			Total sample size (for each SNP)	Outcome
				Glu 298 Asp	4b/a	Т786-С		
Kincl et al. [105]	2009	Czech republic	4b/a		0.18/0.18		1161	CAD
Meluzin et al. [93]	2009	Czech republic	4b/a, T786-C		0.18/0.15	0.38/0.32	419 for each	CAD
Dafni et al. [15]	2010	Greece	Glu298Asp	0.36/0.29	,	,	422	M
lsordia-Salas et al. [63]	2010	Mexico	Glu298Asp	0.25/0.14	1		360	PMI
Jaramillo et al. [39]	2010	Chile	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.21/0.18	0.08/0.08	0.24/0.22	224 for each	CAD
Ragia et al. [32]	2010	Greece	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.31/0.32	ı	0.44/0.47	309	CAD
da Costa Escobar Piccoli et al. [64]	2012	Brazil	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.34/0.26	0.22/0.21	0.36/0.33	241, 240 and 246 respectively for each	ACS
Zigra et al. [65]	2013	Greece	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.32/0.31	ı	0.39/0.19	210	PMI
MIDDLE EASTERN ANCESTRY GR	TOUP							
Aras et al. [66]	2002	Turkey	Glu298Asp	0.33/0.28	ı	1	398	CAD
Cine et al. [34]	2002	Turkey	4b/a		0.18/0.10		513	MI
Afrasyap et al. [67]	2004	Turkey	Glu298Asp	0.34/0.30	,		400	CAD
Berdeli et al. [68]	2005	Turkey	Glu298Asp	0.46/0.17	ı	ı	198	PCAD
Cam et al. [69]	2005	Turkey	Glu298Asp	0.46/0.17	,		198	PCAD
Matyar et al. [106]	2005	Turkey	4b/a		0.21/0.14		266	CAD
Agirbasli et al. [107]	2006	Turkey	4b/a		0.14/0.10		204	PCAD
Kerkeni et al. [70]	2006	Tunisia	Glu298Asp	0.33/0.22	I	I	220	CAD
Salimi et al. [109]	2006	Iran	4b/a		0.17/0.10	,	299	CAD
Tangurek et al. [94]	2006	Turkey	T786-C	1	ı	0.37/0.26	211	CAD
Jemaa et al. [110]	2007	Tunisia	4b/a	,	0.22/0.14	ı	560	MI
Ciftci et al. [95]	2008	Turkey	T786-C	,	ı	0.33/0.19	61	CAD
Alp et al. [23]	2009	Turkey	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.28/0.25	ı	0.29/0.29	268 for each	CAD
Alkharfy et al. [71]	2010	Saudi Arabia	Glu298Asp	0.31/0.19	I	I	287	CAD
Bor-Kucukatay et al. [14]	2010	Turkey	Glu298Asp	0.25/0.18	ı	,	157	CAD
Salimi et al. [16]	2010	Iran	Glu298Asp	0.32/0.23	ı		502	CAD
Agirbasli et al. [108]	2011	Turkey	4b/a	,	0.13/0.15	,	180	PCAD
Motawi et al. [72]	2011	Egypt	Glu298Asp	0.37/0.34	I	I	150	CAD
Gad et al. [73]	2012	Egypt	Glu298Asp	0.27/0.25	ı	,	205	AMI
Rahimi et al. [74]	2012	Iran	Glu298Asp	0.26/0.26	ı		309	CAD
Salimi et al. [17]	2012	Iran	T786-C		,	0.33/0.20	502	CAD
Abdel Aziz et al. [75]	2013	Egypt	Glu298Asp	0.39/0.26	ı		235	PCAD
Ekmekci et al. [111]	2013	Turkey	4b/a		0.20/0.09		120	PCAD
Kallel et al. [76]	2013	Tunisia	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.26/0.26	0.22/0.14	0.37/0.35	528 for each	MI
_								

Table 1. Cont.								
							Total sample size	
Study	Year	Country	SNPs studied		46.6	7 2011	(for each SNP)	Outcome
				dsAoesuit	40/4	1/00-0		
ASIAN ANCESTRY GROUP								
Hibi et al. [11]	1998	Japan	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	60.0/60.0	0.12/0.11		583 for each	AMI
Ichihara et al. [35]	1998	Japan	4b/a		0.14/0.10	1	1005	M
Odawara et al. [112]	1998	Japan	4b/a		013/0.08		164	CAD
Shimasaki et al. [10]	1998	Japan	Glu298Asp	0.11/0.07	ı	ı	892	M
Nakagami et al. [113]	1999	Japan	4b/a		0.25/0.16		74	CAD
Nakayama et al. [31]	2000	Japan	T786-C		ı	0.12/0.04	554	M
Park et al. [36]	2000	Korea	4b/a	,	0.14/0.12		327	AMI
Yoon et al. [77]	2000	Korea	Glu298Asp	0.08/0.07	ı	ı	238	CAD
Lee et al. [114]	2001	Korea	4b/a		0.10/0.12		520	CAD
Takagi et al. [96]	2001	Japan	T786-C		ı	0.12/0.11	4372	M
Wang et al. [78]	2001	China	Glu298Asp	0.10/0.10	ı	,	436	CAD
Hwang et al. [115]	2002	Taiwan	4b/a		0.11/0.10		219	CAD
Jo et al. [79]	2006	Korea	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.10/0.09	ı	0.16/0.11	932 for each	MI
Kim et al. [22]	2007	Korea	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	60.0/60.0	0.13/0.09	0.13/0.09	366, 358 and 367 respectively for each	CAD
Lin et al. [80]	2008	Taiwan	Glu298Asp, 4b/a	0.42/0.22	0.14/0.11		198	CVD
Tamemoto et al. [81]	2008	Japan	Glu298Asp	0.18/0.06	I	ı	337	CAD
Bae et al. [82]	2010	Korea	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.09/0.08	0.12/0.09	0.12/0.08	388 for each	CAD
Han et al. [97]	2010	China	T786-C		I	0.23/0.15	622	CAD
Min et al. [83]	2010	Korea	Glu298Asp	0.11/0.04	ı		438	CAD
ASIAN-INDIAN ANCESTRY GROUP								
Mathew et al. [84]	2008	India	Glu298Asp	0.15/0.12	ı	,	200	CAD
Angeline et al. [13]	2010	India	Glu298Asp	0.29/0.17	I	ı	200	AMI
Bhanushali et al. [85]	2010	India	Glu298Asp	0.18/0.17	ı	,	200	CAD
Gururajan et al. [116]	2010	India	4b/a	ı	0.28/0.14	1	206	ACS
Saini et al. [86]	2011	India	Glu298Asp	0.12/0.06	,	,	110	CAD
Rai et al. [87]	2012	India	Glu298Asp	0.21/0.17	I	ı	427	CAD
Saini et al. [88]	2012	India	Glu298Asp	0.10/0.06	ı	,	110	CAD
Arun Kumar et al. [89]	2013	India	Glu298Asp, T786-C	0.15/0.15	ı	0.23/0.20	887 for each	MI
Narne et al. [90]	2013	India	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.21/0.16	0.19/0.19	0.29/0.18	281 for each	CAD
AFRICAN ANCESTRY GROUP								
Hooper et al. [98]	1999	USA	4b/a		0.36/0.26		295	MI
Rao et al.(2) [104]	2005	USA	4b/a	ı	0.36/0.26	ı	43	CAD
_								

Study	Year	Country	SNPs studied	MAF (Cases/Control	(s)		Total sample size (for each SNP)	Outcome
				Glu 298 Asp	4b/a	T786-C		
Rios et al.(2) [57]	2007	Brazil	Glu298Asp, 4b/a, T786-C	0.27/0.22	0.21/0.20	0.32/0.22	268 for each	CAD
The studies were grouped according Abbreviations used- CAD: Coronary Al Jobin 0.1327/iournal.pone.0113363.4010	to predominar rtery Disease; I	nt ancestry among w VII: Myocardial Infarct	hich they were conducted. iion; AMI: Acute Myocardial Infarc	ction; ACS: Acute Corona	ry Syndrome; PCAI	): Premature CAD; PI	Ml: Premature Ml; CVD: Cardiova	scular Disease

(except Africans, which had only 1 study), and in amongst almost all comparisons, barring the recessive model amongst the Asians which was rather homogenous ( $I^2$  range = 50–72%, P<sub>Q</sub> range = 0.16 to < 0.00001). (**Table 2**) All ancestral subgroups showed significant association in dominant genetic model, similarly all (except Asian-Indians) showed significant association in the allelic model. On the other hand, only Europeans and Asians showed significant association in recessive model. If we disregard the Africans which had only 1 study in its subgroup, Asians showed the highest degree of association consistently in all three models (OR range = 1.61–1.90, Z value range = 2.50–3.56 and P value range = 0.01–0.0004), followed by the Europeans (OR range = 1.21–1.32, Z value range = 2.19–3.96 and P value range = 0.03 to <0.0001). (**Table 3 and Figures 3 S3 and S4**)

## Meta-analysis 4b/a (27bp VNTR) polymorphism

**Pooled analysis.** Forty three case-control studies, [2,11,22,27,33–37,39,54,55,57,58,61,64,76,80,82,90,91,93,98–116] with 12,477 CAD patients and 9,274 controls analysing the association of 27bp b/a VNTR polymorphism and CAD were included in the pooled analysis. (**Table 2**) Low to moderate heterogeneity was seen among the three genetic models ( $I^2 = 17-61\%$ ,  $P_Q = 0.17$  to <0.00001). (**Table 2**) However, all three genetic models consistently showed significant association with CAD (OR range = 1.19–1.41, Z value range = 3.11–3.99, P≤0.002 for all comparisons). (**Table 3 and Figures 4, S5 and S6**)

Subgroup analysis. A total of 20 studies from European, [2,27,33,37,39,54,55,57,58,61,64,91,93,99-105] 8 from Middle Eastern, [34,76,106-111] 10 from Asian, [11,22,35,36,80,82,112-115] 2 from Asian-Indian [90,116] and 3 from African [57,98,104] group were included for subgroup analysis. (Table 2) Middle Eastern, Asian and African groups showed considerably low heterogeneity among the three genetic models ( $I^2$  range = 0-33%;  $P_Q$  range = 0.18–0.83), while European and Asian-Indian groups showed very low to very high heterogeneity ( $I^2 range = 0$ -87%; P<sub>O</sub> range = 0.72–0.005). (**Table 2**) The Middle Eastern and Asian groups showed significant association in all three models. It is however noteworthy that the Middle Eastern subgroup showed stronger association (OR range = 1.71-3.02, Z value range = 4.65-7.09, P<0.0001 for all comparisons) than the Asian subgroup (OR range = 1.22-2.48, Z value range = 2.45-3.06, P $\leq 0.01$  for all comparisons) consistently in all three models. African group showed moderate association limited only to recessive and allelic models (OR range = 1.37-2.02, Z value range = 2.07-2.34, P  $\leq$ 0.02), whereas Asian-Indian group showed marginal association in only the recessive genetic model (OR = 2.63, Z value = 1.99, P value = 0.05). The European group however showed no association in any of the three genetic models (P>0.05). (Table 3 and Figures 4, S5 and S6)

## Source of heterogeneity

Higher degree of heterogeneity was seen amongst almost all ancestral groups (except Asian-Indians) in all comparisons for Glu298Asp polymorphism. All groups were fairly heterogeneous in all comparisons for T786-C polymorphism. Fairly low heterogeneity was seen amongst Middle Eastern and Asian groups in all comparisons for 4b/a polymorphism. (**Table 2**) Environmental factors like diet, exercise etc. could be the probable reasons behind seen heterogeneity among the groups. We did all we could by classifying studies into five broad subgroups, based on predominant ancestry, in order to determine sources of heterogeneity. Further classification would have only made the samples in each subgroup smaller and their results impotent. (**Table 2**)

Table 1. Cont.

Table 2. Basic characteristics of the studied groups classified according to predominant ancestries.

	Heterogeneity ( <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> ;	P <sub>Q</sub> )		Number of Studies	Cases (n)/Controls (n)	Total sample
	Dominant genetic model*	Recessive genetic model**	Allelic genetic model***	-		
Glu298Asp polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	65%; <0.00001	58%; <0.00001	72%; <0.00001	60	15,957/14,075	30,032
European ancestry group	52%; 0.0008	63%; <0.00001	69%; <0.00001	27	10,738/7,748	18,486
Middle Eastern ancestry group	64%; 0.0005	65%; 0.0005	77%; <0.00001	14	2,303/1,752	4,055
Asian ancestry group	78%; <0.00001	33%; 0.16	68%; 0.0008	10	1,649/3,159	4,808
Asian-Indian ancestry group	18%; 0.28	23%; 0.26	21%; 0.27	8	1,120/1,295	2,415
African ancestry group	-	-	-	1	147/121	268
T786-C polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	66%; <0.00001	35%; 0.03	69%; <0.00001	29	7,043/10,409	17,452
European ancestry group	71%; <0.00001	38%; 0.07	72%; <0.00001	15	3,977/3,234	7,211
Middle Eastern ancestry group	50%; 0.09	68%; 0.01	70%; 0.009	5	879/691	1,570
Asian ancestry group	67%; 0.009	0%; 0.69	68%; 0.009	6	1,593/5,642	7,235
Asian-Indian ancestry group	50%; 0.16	70%; 0.07	69%; 0.07	2	447/721	1,168
African ancestry group	-	-	-	1	147/121	268
4b/a polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	60%; <0.00001	17%; 0.17	61%; <0.00001	43	12,477/9,274	21,751
European ancestry group	51%; 0.005	0%; 0.72	47%; 0.01	20	8,779/5,373	14,152
Middle Eastern ancestry group	0%; 0.83	0%; 0.66	0%; 0.80	8	1,356/1,314	2,670
Asian ancestry group	28%; 0.18	0%; 0.72	0%; 0.50	10	1,797/2,039	3,836
Asian-Indian ancestry group	87%; 0.005	0%; 0.65	87%; 0.005	2	266/221	487
African ancestry group	33%; 0.22	0%; 0.58	0%; 0.37	3	279/327	606

Abbreviations:  $P_Q$ : Cochran's Q statistics;  $l^2$ : Higgin's  $l^2$  statistics.

\*Dominant genetic model for Glu298Asp: TT+GT vs. GG; for T786-C: CC+CT vs. TT; for 4b/a: 4a4a+4a4b vs. 4b4b.

\*\*Recessive genetic model for Glu298Asp: TT vs. GG+GT; for T786-C: CC vs. TT+CT; for 4b/a: 4a4a vs. 4a4b+4b4b.

\*\*\*Allelic genetic model for Glu298Asp: Allele T vs. G; for T786-C: Allele C vs. T; for 4b/a: Allele 4a vs. 4b.

Notes: Heterogeneity was tested among groups of studies using Higgin's  $l^2$  statistics and Cochran's Q statistics (P<sub>Q</sub>). Group showing  $l^2$ >50% and P<sub>Q</sub><0.01 were considered heterogeneous, others were considered homogenous.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.t002

## Potential publication Bias

Potential publication bias among groups of studies for each SNP was assessed by two different statistical tests, viz. Egger's plots [51] and Begg's funnel plots. [50] The recessive genetic model showed least heterogeneity for all three SNPs among all ethnic groups (as seen in **Table 2**), its data was thus selected to generate Egger's plots. No asymmetry in plots was seen for Glu298Asp or 4b/a for the pooled group or any of its ancestral subgroups. Egger's P values for Glu298Asp were 0.56, 0.11, 0.08, 0.42 and 0.56 respectively for pooled and European, Middle Eastern, Asian and Asian Indian subgroups. Similarly Egger's P values for 4b/a were 0.79, 0.15, 0.85, 0.92, 0.88 for pooled and European, Middle Eastern, Asian and African subgroups. Owing to less number of studies (n < 3), no P value from Egger's test was generated in African subgroup of Glu298Asp and Asian-Indian subgroup of 4/ a polymorphism. In case of T786-C, Egger's plot asymmetry was however seen for pooled (P = 0.002) and European (P = 0.004)subgroup. Rest of the two subgroups showed either symmetry in their plots (P = 0.71 and 0.91 for Middle Eastern and Asian groups respectively) or were their p values was incalculable (i.e. for Asian-Indian and African groups).

Begg's funnel plots, [50] were also generated to assess potential publication bias for each SNP in their three genetic models. Funnel plots are provided for pooled analysis obtained in allelic comparisons for all three studied polymorphisms. (Figure 5-A, B, C) Plots for dominant and recessive models for all three SNPs are also provided as (Figures S7-A, B; S8-A, B; S9-A, B). Each point in the figure represents OR of a study plotted against standard error (SE) of OR of that particular study. Different indicators of the studies belonging to each ancestry are used in these plots where they are seen to be generally contained within the inverted cone, indicating no significant publication bias, at least in the pooled analyses.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was performed in each study group in every genetic model, where we excluded studies one after another and conducted the analysis after each omission. The results in none of the groups and studied genetic models altered substantially to change the results from no association to significant association or the other way around (in groups of  $\geq$ 5 studies). This indicates that the present meta-analysis is robust in nature.

## Discussion

The present meta-analysis and structured systematic review is the most comprehensive till date and comprises of published studies which investigated the association of three most common

	Case	es	Contr	ols		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 European ancestry group								
Cai et al.	389	1210	114	316	2.1%	0.84 [0.65, 1.09]	1999	+
Poirier et al.(1)	140	326	122	310	1.9%	1.16 [0.85, 1.59]	1999	
Hingorani et al.(CHAOS)	285	596	86	276	1.9%	2.02 [1.50, 2.74]	1999	
Hingorani et al.(CHAOS-2)	197	498	113	366	2.0%	1.47 [1.10, 1.95]	1999	
Poirier et al.(2)	254	736	327	842	2.3%	0.83 [0.68, 1.02]	1999	
Pulkkinen et al.	355	1118	67	220	1.9%	1.06 [0.78, 1.45]	2000	
Granath et al.	374	1142	419	1246	2.4%	0.96 [0.81, 1.14]	2001	
Gardemann et al.	1395	4170	/19	2330	2.5%	1.13 [1.01, 1.26]	2002	
Colombo et al.	202	330	92	294	1.9%	1.33 [0.98, 1.80]	2003	
Scrimoeizer et al.	104	480	152	490	2.0%	1.17 [0.90, 1.34]	2003	
Agenna et al. Estini et al	271	964	260	1074	2.470	1.27 [1.06 1.52]	2004	
Antoniadas et al	163	456	311	1074	2.3%	1 30 [1 03 1 64]	2004	
Rins et al	162	438	178	556	2.2%	1.25 [0.96, 1.62]	2005	
Jaramillo et al.(1)	47	224	22	144	1.2%	1.47 [0.84, 2.57]	2006	
Rios et al.(1)	173	602	76	292	1.9%	1.15 [0.84, 1.57]	2007	
Sampaio et al.	72	230	59	208	1.6%	1.15 [0.76, 1.74]	2007	
Vasilakou et al.	115	418	96	322	1.9%	0.89 [0.65, 1.23]	2008	
Andrikopoulos et al.	1059	3204	453	1454	2.5%	1.09 [0.95, 1.25]	2008	
Szperi et al.	97	302	144	534	1.9%	1.28 [0.94, 1.74]	2008	
Gluba et al.	158	556	83	268	1.9%	0.88 [0.64, 1.22]	2009	
Jaramillo et al.(2)	47	224	40	224	1.4%	1.22 [0.76, 1.95]	2010	
Dafni et al.	148	408	125	436	2.0%	1.42 [1.06, 1.89]	2010	
Isordia-Salas et al.	90	360	50	360	1.7%	2.07 [1.41, 3.03]	2010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ragia et al.	97	308	100	310	1.8%	0.97 [0.69, 1.35]	2010	
ua Costa Escobar Piccoli et al. Ziaro et el	88	256	58	226	1.6%	1.52 [1.02, 2.25]	2012	
∠igra et al. Subtotal (95% Ct)	68	21476	64	206	1.6%	1.03 [0.68, 1.56]	2013	
Total events	7204	2 14/0	4075	13490	32.0%	1.15[1.05, 1.26]		×
Heterogeneity Tau? = 0.02; Ob?	1201 - - 1208 -	f= 26 /0	48/5	11)· IZ = 4	30.94			
Test for overall effort: 7 – 2.00 /P	- 03.04,0 = 0.002\	i – 20 (P	~ 0.000	JI), F≓ (	5370			
reactor overall ellect, Z = 3.08 (P	- 0.002)							
3.1.2 Middle Eastern ancestry o	roup							
Aras et al.	183	562	66	234	1.8%	1,23 (0.88 1.72)	2002	
Afrasvap et al.	169	500	90	300	1.9%	1,19 (0.87, 1.62)	2004	
Berdeli et al.	105	230	28	166	1.3%	4.14 [2.56, 6.70]	2005	
Cam et al.	105	230	28	166	1.3%	4.14 [2.56, 6.70]	2005	
Kerkeni et al.	66	200	53	240	1.5%	1.74 [1.14, 2.66]	2006	
Alp et al.	81	292	62	244	1.6%	1.13 [0.77, 1.66]	2009	
Salimi et al.	155	482	118	522	2.0%	1.62 [1.23, 2.15]	2010	
Bor-Kucukatay et al.	42	166	27	148	1.2%	1.52 [0.88, 2.62]	2010	
Alkharfy et al.	87	284	54	290	1.6%	1.93 [1.31, 2.85]	2010	
Motawi et al.	74	200	34	100	1.3%	1.14 [0.69, 1.89]	2011	
Rahimi et al.	108	414	53	204	1.7%	1.01 [0.69, 1.47]	2012	
Gad et al.	57	208	50	202	1.5%	1.15 [0.74, 1.78]	2012	
Kallel et al.	158	606	116	450	2.0%	1.02 [0.77, 1.34]	2013	
Abdel-Aziz et al.	90	232	63	238	1.6%	1.76 [1.19, 2.60]	2013	
Subtotal (95% CI)		4606		3504	22.4%	1.54 [1.24, 1.91]		-
Listerements	1480	(- 10 /D	842	143-12-1	770/			
Test for everall effect: 7 = 3.04 /P	= 56.72, 0	1=13 (P	< 0.000	J1); I*= ;	196			
Test for overall effect. Z = 3.94 (P	< 0.0001	<i>.</i>						
3.1.3 Asian ancestry group								
Hibi et al	42	452	62	714	1.6%	1 08 0 71 1 621	1998	
Shimasaki et al	61	570	82	1214	1.8%	1.65 [1.17, 2.34]	1998	
Yoon et al	17	220	18	256	0.9%	1 11 [0 56 2 20]	2000	
Wang et al	42	436	44	436	1.5%	0.95 [0.61, 1.48]	2001	
Jo et al.	27	258	141	1606	1.5%	1.21 [0.79, 1.88]	2006	
Kim et al.	28	294	38	438	1.3%	1.11 [0.66, 1.85]	2007	
Tamemoto et al.	19	108	36	566	1.1%	3.14 [1.73, 5.72]	2008	
Lin et al.	101	240	35	156	1.4%	2.51 [1.59, 3.96]	2008	
Min et al.	36	336	20	540	1.1%	3.12 [1.77, 5.49]	2010	
Bae et al.	36	384	33	392	1.3%	1.13 [0.69, 1.85]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3298		6318	13.4%	1.51 [1.15, 1.98]		-
Liotal events	409	f= 0 /m	509	12- 001	v			
Test for overall effect: 7 = 3.00 (0	- 28.34, d - 0.000	1 = A (h =	- 0.0008;	, i= 68°	90			
reaction over all effect. Z = 3.00 (P	- 0.003)							
3.1.4 Asian-Indian ancestry grou	ıp							
Mathew et al.	30	200	24	200	1.1%	1.29 (0.73, 2.30)	2008	
Bhanushali et al.	37	200	35	200	1.3%	1.07 [0.64, 1.78]	2010	
Angeline et al.	58	200	35	200	1.4%	1.93 [1.20, 3.10]	2010	
Saini et al.(1)	15	120	6	100	0.5%	2.24 [0.83, 6.00]	2011	
Rai et al.	104	506	60	348	1.8%	1.24 [0.87, 1.77]	2012	+
Saini et al.(2)	12	120	6	100	0.5%	1.74 [0.63, 4.82]	2012	
Name et al.	66	320	39	242	1.5%	1.35 [0.87, 2.09]	2013	
Arun Kumar et al.	86	574	186	1200	2.0%	0.96 [0.73, 1.27]	2013	
Suptotal (95% CI)		2240		2590	10.0%	1.26 [1.05, 1.53]		-
Total events	408	-	391					
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.01; Chi* =	= 8.82, df	= / (P =	0.27); l²:	= 21%				
restfor overall effect: Z = 2.44 (P	= 0.01)							
3.1.5 African ancestry group								
Rine at al (2)	04	204	64	242	1 604	1 37 10 00 1 071	2007	
Subtotal (95% CI)	01	294	54	242	1.6%	1.32 [0.89, 1.97]	2007	
Total events	81	204	54	272				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	01		54					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.39 (P	= 0.17)							
Total (95% CI)		31914		28150	100.0%	1.29 [1.19, 1.40]		•
Total events	9579		6671					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	= 211.48,	df = 59 (	P < 0.00	001); l² =	72%		1.	
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.33 (P	< 0.0000	1)						0.2 0.0 I Z 5 Decreased risk of CAD Increased risk of CAD
Test for subgroup differences: Ch	ni² = 8.84,	df = 4 (F	P = 0.07)	I <sup>2</sup> = 54.8	3%			

**Figure 2.** Forest plot depicting results after analyses for the allelic model (*Allele T vs. G*) of *NO53* Glu298Asp polymorphism. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. Effect size using fixed effects was recalculated for Asian-Indian group which showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimate for Asian-Indians was, OR, 95%CI = 1.23, 1.05–1.44; Z = 2.59; P = 0.01. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.g002

NOS3 gene polymorphisms, (i.e. Glu298Asp, 4b/a and T786-C) with CAD.

All comparisons in the pooled analysis for all three SNPs indicated significant association with CAD. The pooled results of Glu298Asp suggested that the presence of even a single T allele in a genotype effects in an increased risk of CAD. The risk of CAD is even higher if the genotype contains more than 1, T allele. This association is evident by the obtained pooled OR in allelic comparison (OR = 1.29, Z value = 6.33 and p<0.00001). (**Ta**ble 3 and Figure 2) The same pattern of association is seen for almost all the ancestral groups (except Africans), where generally higher ORs are seen in recessive models as compared to their dominant models. (Table 3 and Figures S1 and S2) Consistent to the seen pattern, the T allele also showed a significant association with CAD in almost all ancestral groups, barring the Africans which had only 1 study. (Table 3 and Figure 2) In the case of T786-C polymorphism, our pooled as well as subgroup results also suggested an increased risk in genotypes carrying the minor (C) allele. All three genetic models showed significant association in the pooled analysis. Significant association was also seen in all ancestral subgroups in at least two out of three genetic models. As in the case of Glu298Asp, the risk increased in genotypes of T786-C, which were homozygous to the C allele. However, contrary to the trends seen in case of Glu298Asp and 4b/a, we observed that for T786-C, Asians followed by Europeans showed highest degree of associations across all three genetic models. (Table 3 and Figure 3) The presence of 4a allele of 4b/ a polymorphism resulted in an increased risk for CAD in the pooled analysis (OR = 1.20) and specifically among two ancestral groups viz. Middle Eastern (OR = 1.71) and Asian (OR = 1.25). (Table 3 and Figure 4) Here too, genotypes carrying >1, 4a alleles showed notably higher risk in pooled analysis as well as in the Middle Eastern and Asian subgroups. The pooled as well as the Middle Eastern and Asian subgroups also showed significant association in the allelic model. As far as the 4b/a polymorphism is concerned, Africans showed significant association in recessive and allelic model, while Asian-Indians showed a hint of association in the recessive model. (Table 3 and Figures 4, S5 and S6)

Our pooled analysis showed significant associations of all three selected SNPs with CAD in every employed genetic model. Our results are in contrast to the previously published meta-analysis (for all three selected SNPs), [40] which was not able to deduce any significant associations for T786-C and 4b/a and only showed significant association for Glu298Asp under dominant genetic model. One reason for the difference in the results of the present study and the study by Li et al., [40] can be the sheer number of studies included. Since the year 2010 when the study by Li et al. [40] was published, a number of studies came out in the public domain among which several of them reported statistically significant associations. This previous meta-analysis [40] included a much lesser number of studies, thus making the present study a more comprehensive one (56 vs. 132 studies in previous vs. present study respectively). Several other recent meta-analyses which assessed either one of the three NOS3 SNPs are also now into public domain. [41-44] The pooled analysis for Glu298Asp in the meta-analysis by Tian et al., [43] reported significant associations in dominant (OR = 1.17), recessive (OR = 1.59) and allelic (OR = 1.26) models which are somewhat comparable to those

deduced in our study. In the meta-analysis by Tian et al. [43], the Asian subgroup for Glu298Asp showed significant association to CHD in all employed genetic models, the effect sizes of which are similar to those deduced for the Asian subgroup in the present study. There is also a meta-analysis by Zhang et al., [41] who reported significant association (with OR = 1.52), in allelic comparisons of Glu298Asp among Asians, the effect size of which is similar to that deduced in our study (OR = 1.51). We also obtained similar results for Asian subgroup with another previously published meta-analysis for T786-C [42]. In fact our study can be considered as an improvement on all the previously published meta-analyses on polymorphic forms of NOS3 gene and CAD, [40-44] not only in the terms of higher number of studies included but also in terms of better classification of ancestral groups and assessment of their individual risk. This allowed us to unravel the degree of associations among several other important ancestral groups such as Middle Easterners and Asian-Indians apart from Europeans and Asians which were already amply investigated by several previously published meta-analyses.

There are basically two major merits of the present study which makes it superior to all previously published meta-analyses on this subject. [40–44] Firstly, our study is the most comprehensive till date and we ended up including most number of individual studies which transcribed into the largest sample size ever studied for each of the three *NOS3* SNPs. Secondly, our study had strict inclusion/ exclusion criteria, and we excluded studies with surrogate endpoints, and omitted studies in which controls did not conform to HWE proportions (most of which were included in previously published meta-analyses). This methodology ensured that we eliminated studies with potentially biased/inaccurate results, thus possibly presenting a real picture.

The biochemical basis of the association of CAD with various NOS3 gene polymorphisms has been studied in detail by several researchers. Most schools of thought suggest that all these SNPs of NOS3 gene viz. Glu298Asp, T786-C and 4b/a 27bp VNTR via various mechanisms affect the serum nitrite/nitrate  $(NO_x)$ concentrations in the human body. Over a period of time this imbalance in NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations results in "endothelial dysfunction" which finally blooms into an overt form of CAD. It is plausible that this degree of association with CAD and the resulting NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations are affected by gene-gene, geneenvironment interactions. Compensatory mechanism of the human body against CAD may also increase NO secretion from the vascular endothelium during sudden reperfusion after acute coronary syndromes. [117,118] Therefore it remains unclear whether the increase in NO levels is a "cause" or an "effect" of acute coronary syndromes. Clearly the association between these SNPs and CAD, probably also has different biochemical basis rather than solely brought about by variations in  $NO_x$  concentrations.

Positive association of Glu298Asp with CAD/MI has been reported over the years in several published studies. We found that along with a significant association for all three genetic models in our pooled analysis, this SNP seen to be associated with CAD separately among all ancestral groups, with the highest degree of association seen amongst the Middle Easterners. (**Table 3 and Figures 2, S1 and S2**) The reason of this differential risk of CAD seen among different ancestral groups carrying this Table 3. Meta-analysis results for NOS3 gene polymorphisms.

	Dominant gener	tic model*	Recessive genet	ic model**	Allelic genetic n	nodel***
	OR, 95% CI	Z; P value	OR, 95% CI	Z; P value	OR, 95% CI	Z; P value
Glu298Asp polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	1.28, 1.17-1.40	5.34; <0.00001	1.52, 1.30-1.79	5.14; <0.00001	1.29, 1.19-1.40	6.33; <0.00001
European ancestry group	1.11, 1.01–1.22	2.10; 0.04	1.36, 1.14–1.63	3.37; 0.0007	1.15, 1.05–1.26	3.08; 0.002
Middle Eastern ancestry group	1.55, 1.25–1.93	3.94; <0.0001	1.87, 1.23–2.85	2.92; 0.004	1.54, 1.24–1.91	3.94; <0.0001
Asian ancestry group	1.60, 1.12–2.29	2.56; 0.01	2.22, 1.21-4.08 <sup>F</sup>	2.57; 0.01 <sup>F</sup>	1.51, 1.15–1.98	3.00; 0.003
Asian-Indian ancestry group	1.21, 1.01–1.45 <sup>F</sup>	2.07; 0.04 <sup>F</sup>	1.85, 1.12–3.04 <sup>F</sup>	2.42; 0.02 <sup>F</sup>	1.23, 1.05–1.44 <sup>F</sup>	2.59; 0.01 <sup>F</sup>
African ancestry group	1.22, 0.75–1.99	0.81; 0.42	2.84, 0.90-8.94	1.78; 0.07	1.32, 0.89–1.97	1.39; 0.17
T786-C polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	1.42, 1.25–1.62	5.24; <0.00001	1.36, 1.20–1.53 <sup>F</sup>	4.97; <0.00001 <sup>F</sup>	1.34, 1.20–1.49	5.32; <0.00001
European ancestry group	1.25, 1.02–1.52	2.19; 0.03	1.32, 1.15–1.52 <sup>F</sup>	3.96; <0.0001 <sup>F</sup>	1.21, 1.05–1.40	2.56; 0.01
Middle Eastern ancestry group	1.59, 1.16–2.18	2.91; 0.004	1.35, 0.69–2.65	0.87; 0.38	1.43, 1.04–1.97	2.20; 0.03
Asian ancestry group	1.67, 1.26–2.23	3.51; 0.0004	1.90, 1.15–3.14 <sup>F</sup>	2.50; 0.01 <sup>F</sup>	1.61, 1.24–2.10	3.56; 0.0004
Asian-Indian ancestry group	1.53, 1.04–2.26	2.16; 0.03	1.73, 0.38–7.95	0.71; 0.48	1.44, 0.95–2.18	1.71; 0.09
African ancestry group	1.91, 1.17–3.12	2.58; 0.010	1.85, 0.77–4.44	1.37; 0.17	1.70, 1.15–2.52	2.67; 0.008
4b/a polymorphism						
All ancestries combined	1.19, 1.07–1.32	3.11; 0.002	1.41, 1.19–1.67 <sup>F</sup>	3.99; <0.0001 <sup>F</sup>	1.20, 1.09–1.32	3.77; 0.0002
European ancestry group	1.00, 0.89–1.13	0.06; 0.95	1.03, 0.83–1.26 <sup>F</sup>	0.24; 0.81 <sup>F</sup>	0.99, 0.93–1.06 <sup>F</sup>	0.19; 0.85 <sup>F</sup>
Middle Eastern ancestry group	1.73, 1.46–2.05 <sup>F</sup>	6.28; <0.00001 <sup>F</sup>	3.02, 1.90–4.81 <sup>F</sup>	4.65; <0.00001 <sup>F</sup>	1.71, 1.47–1.98 <sup>F</sup>	7.09; <0.00001 <sup>F</sup>
Asian ancestry group	1.22, 1.04–1.42 <sup>F</sup>	2.45; 0.01 <sup>F</sup>	2.48, 1.38–4.47 <sup>F</sup>	3.03; 0.002 <sup>F</sup>	1.25, 1.08–1.44 <sup>F</sup>	3.06; 0.002 <sup>F</sup>
Asian-Indian ancestry group	1.57, 0.53–4.64	0.82; 0.41	2.63, 1.02–6.82 <sup>F</sup>	1.99; 0.05 <sup>F</sup>	1.56, 0.62–3.94	0.94; 0.35
African ancestry group	1.37, 0.99–1.90 <sup>F</sup>	1.87; 0.06 <sup>F</sup>	2.02, 1.04–3.91 <sup>F</sup>	2.07; 0.04 <sup>F</sup>	1.37, 1.05–1.78 <sup>F</sup>	2.34; 0.02 <sup>F</sup>

Abbreviations: OR, 95% CI: Odds Ratio with its 95% Confidence Interval; <sup>F</sup>: Results derived using Fixed effects for analysis. Random effects were used for all other calculations. \*Dominant genetic model for Glu298Asp: TT+GT vs. GG; for T786-C: CC+CT vs. TT; for 4b/a: 4a4a+4a4b vs. 4b4b.

\*\*Recessive genetic model for Glu298Asp: TT vs. GG+GT; for T786-C: CC vs. TT+CT; for 4b/a: 4a4a vs. 4a4b+4b4b.

\*\*\*Allelic genetic model for Glu298Asp: Allele T vs. G; for T786-C: Allele C vs. T; for 4b/a: Allele 4a vs. 4b.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.t003

polymorphism is unknown and should be investigated upon. We presume that this difference could had been brought about by the variance in Asp allele frequency (range = 0.04-0.39), as well as several known and unknown gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. The biochemical basis of the association of CAD with Asp allele carriers has although been extensively studied upon however a clear consensus is still lacking. Some studies have reported an association of this SNP with intracellular NO concentration. [12] The mechanism by which the Asp allele possibly reduces NO bioavailability in the human body has also been hypothesized. It has been suggested that the Asp298 is subjected to selective proteolytic cleavage in the endothelial cells and vascular tissues. [119,120] The resultant cleaved fragments especially among the homozygous mutants of this SNP are expected to lack NO synthase activity [13] thus reducing bioavailability of NO in the body. [119,120] Contrary to this notion several studies [13,14,67] have reported lack of association of plasma  $NO_x$  concentrations with any of the genotypes or alleles for this SNP. Also, since these associations are often masked, as it is affected by several physiological and pathological conditions, the real effect of NOS3 gene on plasma  $NO_x$  levels is hard to determine. [14] As already discussed genotypes carrying Asp alleles impart greater RBC aggregability. [14] Genotypes having Asp alleles have also been shown to have (i) a reduced blood pressure fall after exercise training, [121] (ii) an enhanced systemic presser response to phenylephrine [12] (iii) lower basal blood flow and reduced vasodilation to adenosine in coronary arteries [122]

and (iv) a reduced flow-mediated dilatation of the brachial artery, [123] making them more prone to suffer from coronary events. It is possible that these effects coupled with possible modulation in bioavailability of NO in presence of interactions with other genes and environmental factors may accelerate the process of endothelial dysfunction and subsequent emergence of overt CAD among Asp variants of the *NOS3* gene.

The T786-C gene variant of NOS3 has also been implicated over the years with CAD occurrence by several investigators. Along with a significant association among all three genetic models in our pooled analysis, Asians seemed to have strongest association with CAD than other ancestral groups. (Table 3, Figure 3, S3 and S4) The reason behind this dissimilar degree of association among these ancestral groups is unknown. More in depth studies are warranted to answer this pertinent question. In vitro reporter gene assays performed by Nakayama et al., [24] somewhat explained the possible functional effect of this SNP. They demonstrated that T786-C mutation reduces the promoter activity by as high as 50%, suggesting that in several C allele carriers the L-arginine/NO pathway does not function properly, reducing NO concentrations and causing endothelial dysfunction. [24] Other studies have also shown lower NOS3 mRNA and serum NOx levels in genotypes with  $\geq 1$ , 786C alleles, [26] although contradictory results have also been published. [124] Apart from lower NOx concentrations [26], synergistic effect of NOS3 Glu298Asp and T786-C polymorphism has also been reported

	Case	s	Contr	ols		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 European ancestry group								
Granath et al	439	1146	471	1246	4.9%	1 02 0 87 1 211	2001	
Alvarez et al	155	340	223	600	41%	1 42 [1 08 1 86]	2001	
Colombo et al	240	472	111	276	3.8%	1 54 [1 14 2 08]	2003	
Agema et al	620	1/10	290	774	1 9%	1 09 [0 00 1 30]	2000	
Estini et al	461	064	416	1074	4.070	1 40 [0.30, 1.30]	2004	
Pice et el	401	304	410	550	4.0 %	1.40 [1.24, 1.70]	2004	
Rius et al.	194	430	107	330	4.270	1.07 [1.21, 2.03]	2005	
Dosenko et al.	152	442	48	100	3.270	1.29 [0.87, 1.90]	2006	
Sampalo et al.	57	230		208	3.1%	0.56 [0.37, 0.85]	2007	
Jaramilio et al.(1)	54	224	49	218	2.9%	1.10 [0.70, 1.70]	2008	
Meluzin et al.	274	724	36	114	3.0%	1.32 [0.86, 2.01]	2009	
Gluba et al.	116	556	58	268	3.4%	0.95 [0.67, 1.36]	2009	
Jaramillo et al.(2)	54	224	50	224	2.9%	1.11 [0.71, 1.71]	2010	
Ragia et al.	137	308	147	310	3.7%	0.89 [0.65, 1.22]	2010	
da Costa Escobar Piccoli et al.	95	264	76	228	3.3%	1.12 [0.77, 1.63]	2012	
Zigra et al.	83	214	39	206	2.8%	2.71 [1.74, 4.23]	2013	·
Subtotal (95% CI)		7954		6468	54.8%	1.21 [1.05, 1.40]		◆
Total events	3050		2268					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	50.41, df	= 14 (P	< 0.0000	01); I <sup>2</sup> = 1	72%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.56 (P	= 0.01)							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
3.1.2 Middle Eastern ancestry gr	oup							
Tangurek et al	119	318	27	104	2.5%	1 71 [1 04 2 79]	2006	
Ciffri et al	20	60	12	67	1 3 96	2 08 0 91 1 77	2008	
Ain et al	94	202	70	244	3.3%	1 00 0 89 1 46	2000	
Polimi at al	160	402	104	522	1 006	1 06 [1 47 2 61]	2003	
Kallol et al	222	606	166	460	4.0%	1 00 [0.47, 2.01]	2012	
Subtotal (95% CI)	222	1758	150	1392	4.2 %	1/13 [1 0/1 1 07]	2015	
Total quanta	600	1750	260	1502	15.570	145[104, 157]		
Hotorogonoity: Tours - 0.00: Chiz-	003 1246 df	- 4 /D -	-0.000	<b>Z</b> = 700				
Taskfar susrall offects Z = 0.09, China	- 13.40, ul	= 4 (P -	= 0.009),	1 = 70%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = Z.20$ (P	= 0.03)							
2.1.2 Agion apposite group								
5.1.5 Asian ancestry group	~ 7	740			0.000			
Nakayama et al.	87	/18	16	390	2.3%	3.22 [1.86, 5.58]	2000	
lagaki et al.	107	908	834	7836	4.5%	1.12 [0.91, 1.39]	2001	
Jo et al.	40	258	170	1606	3.3%	1.55 [1.07, 2.25]	2006	
Kim et al.	39	294	38	440	2.7%	1.62 [1.01, 2.60]	2007	
Han et al.	144	624	92	620	3.9%	1.72 [1.29, 2.30]	2010	
Bae et al.	45	384	32	392	2.6%	1.49 [0.93, 2.41]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)		3186		11284	19.3%	1.61 [1.24, 2.10]		-
Total events	462		1182					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.07; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	: 15.44, df	= 5 (P =	= 0.009);	I <sup>≈</sup> = 68%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.56 (P	= 0.0004)							
3.1.4 Asian-Indian ancestry grou	lb.							
Arun Kumar et al.	134	574	243	1200	4.3%	1.20 [0.94, 1.52]	2013	+
Name et al.	93	320	44	242	3.1%	1.84 [1.23, 2.77]	2013	
Subtotal (95% CI)		894		1442	7.4%	1.44 [0.95, 2.18]		
Total events	227		287					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	: 3.20, df =	1 (P =	0.07); l <sup>2</sup> =	69%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.71 (P	= 0.09)							
3.1.5 African ancestry group								
Rios et al.(2)	95	294	53	242	3.2%	1.70 [1.15, 2.52]	2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Subtotal (95% CI)		294		242	3.2%	1.70 [1.15, 2.52]		
Total events	95		53					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.67 (P	= 0.008)							
	,							
Total (95% CI)		14086		20818	100.0%	1.34 [1.20, 1.49]		•
Total events	4437		4150					Ť
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05: Chi <sup>2</sup> -	91 11 NF	= 28 / -	-100 10000	11): IF = 4	69%			
Teet for overall effect: 7 – 6.33 /P	< 0.00004	→ 20 (F )	. 0.0000					0.2 0.5 1 Ż Ś
Taet for subgroup differences: Ch	12 - 5 50	/ df = 1/1	2 – N 22V	2 - 00 ×	196			Decreased risk of CAD Increased risk of CAD
reactor subgroup unerefices. Of	n = 0.08,	ui – 4 (r	- 0.23),	1 - 20.4	10			

Figure 3. Forest plot depicting results after analyses for the allelic model (*Allele C vs. T*) of *NO53* T786-C polymorphism. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.g003

to be the cause of increased CAD risk among carriers of this SNP. [5]

Clear scientific evidence is lacking which can explain the seen association of *NOS3* 4b/a VNTR polymorphism with CAD. In contrast to the previous studies, [40,44] the pooled results of our study yielded significant association amongst all three genetic models. Highest degree of association among the Middle Eastern group was seen in all three genetic models. (**Table 2 and Figures 4, S5 and S6**) The precise reason of this heightened risk amongst subjects of Middle Eastern ancestry is unknown and can only be speculated upon. Here again the influence of environmental factors such as diet and exercise may well be associated

	Cas	es	Contr	ols		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	Year	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 European ancestry group								
Wang et al.	158	1098	52	306	2.8%	0.82 [0.58, 1.16]	1996	
Sigusch et al.	183	1260	140	826	3.4%	0.83 [0.65, 1.06]	2000	
Pulkkinen et al.	221	1118	36	220	2.5%	1.26 [0.86, 1.85]	2000	
Fowkes et al.	45	274	74	600	2.5%	1.40 (0.93, 2.09)	2000	
Granath et al.	160	1134	186	1240	3.5%	0.93 [0.74, 1.17]	2001	
Alvarez et al	41	340	84	600	2.5%	0.84 (0.56, 1.26)	2001	
Gardemann et al	589	4118	378	2312	4.0%	0.85 (0.74, 0.98)	2002	
l etonia et al	53	302	42	218	2.2%	0.89 (0.57, 1.40)	2002	
Estorija et al. Fatini et al	196	954	166	1074	3.5%	1 32 [1 05 1 67]	2004	
Agomo ot ol	210	1604	100	022	3.3%	1.52 [1.05, 1.07]	2004	
Agenna et al.	218	1004	30	332	3.3%	1.04 [1.18, 1.88]	2004	
Rau et al.(1)	30	244	8	58	1.0%	1.08 [0.47, 2.47]	2005	
Milutinovic et al.	82	430	18	3/6	2.8%	0.90 [0.64, 1.27]	2005	
Dosenko et al.	87	442	35	100	2.3%	0.92 [0.59, 1.42]	2006	
Rios et al.(1)	111	602	66	292	2.8%	0.77 [0.55, 1.09]	2007	
Sampalo et al.	37	230	40	206	2.0%	0.80 [0.49, 1.30]	2007	
Vasilakou et al.	78	418	49	322	2.5%	1.28 [0.86, 1.89]	2008	
Meluzin et al.	132	724	17	114	1.8%	1.27 [0.73, 2.20]	2009	
Kincl et al.	342	1878	81	444	3.2%	1.00 [0.76, 1.30]	2009	
Jaramillo et al.	18	224	18	224	1.4%	1.00 [0.51, 1.98]	2010	
da Costa Escobar Piccoli et al.	59	264	46	216	2.3%	1.06 [0.69, 1.64]	2012	
Subtotal (95% CI)		17558		10746	52.2%	1.01 [0.91, 1.12]		•
Total events	2837		1689					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.02; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	35.91, c	lf = 19 (F	= 0.01);	l² = 47%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.20 (P	= 0.84)							
	.,							
3.1.2 Middle Eastern ancestry gr	oup							
Cine et al.	73	414	59	612	2.6%	2.01 [1.39.2.90]	2002	
Matvar et al	57	266	37	266	2.2%	1 69 [1 07 2 66]	2005	
Salimi et al	47	787	31	316	21%	1 84 [1 13 2 99]	2006	
Agirbasli et al	20	204	21	204	1.6%	1 44 [0 79 2 63]	2006	
Jomaa otal	125	620	60	500	2 0 %	1.44 [0.73, 2.03]	2000	
Jeiliaa et al.	130	100	03	100	2.370	1.74 [1.27, 2.38]	2007	
Agirbasii et al.(1)	104	100	7 I 6 A	100	2.470	1.20 [0.00, 1.90]	2011	
Kallel et al.	131	606	64	450	2.9%	1.66 [1.20, 2.31]	2013	
Ekmekci et al.	28	140	9	100	1.1%	2.53 [1.14, 5.63]	2013	
Subtotal (95% CI)		2/12		2028	17.8%	1.70[1.47, 1.98]		
Total events	582		361					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² =	: 3.86, df	= 7 (P =	0.80); I² =	= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 7.06 (P	< 0.0000	1)						
3.1.3 Asian ancestry group								
Odawara et al.	11	84	19	244	1.1%	1.78 [0.81, 3.92]	1998	
lchihara et al.	125	910	111	1100	3.2%	1.42 [1.08, 1.86]	1998	
Hibi et al.	56	452	78	714	2.7%	1.15 [0.80, 1.66]	1998	
Nakagami et al.	20	80	11	68	1.0%	1.73 [0.76, 3.92]	1999	
Park et al.	33	242	51	412	2.1%	1.12 (0.70, 1.79)	2000	
Lee et al	59	610	51	430	2.5%	0.80 (0.54, 1.18)	2001	
Hwang et al	34	298	14	140	1.4%	1 16 [0 60 2 24]	2002	
kim et al	30	200	40	477	21%	1 46 [0.00, 2.24]	2002	
l in et al	34	240	17	156	1.5%	1 35 [0 73 2 51]	2001	
Enrecal. Reported	46	240	26	202	2.106	1.33 [0.73, 2.31]	2000	
Subtotal (05% CI)	40	3504	30	4079	10 0%	1.39 [0.07, 2.21]	2010	
Subtotal (95% CI)		5594		4070	19.070	1.25 [ 1.00, 1.44]		▼
l otal events	457		427					
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.00; Chi* =	8.32, df	= 9 (P =	u.5U); I* =	= 0%				
l est for overall effect: Z = 3.06 (P	= 0.002)							
2.4.4 Animulation								
3.1.4 Asian-Indian ancestry grou	þ							
Gururajan et al.	60	212	27	200	2.0%	2.53 [1.53, 4.19]	2010	
Name et al	60	320	46	242	2.3%	0.98 [0.64, 1.51]	2013	
Subtotal (95% CI)		532		442	4.3%	1.56 [0.62, 3.94]		
Total events	120		73					
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.39; Chi <sup>2</sup> =	7.88, df	= 1 (P =	0.005); l <sup>a</sup>	= 87%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.94 (P	= 0.35)							
3.1.5 African ancestry group								
Hooper et al.	80	220	98	370	2.7%	1.59 [1.11. 2.27]	1999	
Ban et al (2)	16	44	11	42	0.9%	1.61 [0.64 4.05]	2005	
Rios et al (2)	59 59	70/	49	242	2106	1 08 0 71 1 651	2003	
Subtotal (95% Cl)	02	558	40	654	5.9%	1.37 [1.05, 1.78]	2007	
Total events	150	550	157	0.04	0.070			
Hotorogonoity: Tou2 - 0.00: Obi2-	108ء مبر 100ء	- 2/0-	7כו -⊊ויגרכח	- 0%				
Toot for overall offerth 7 = 2.25 /C	- 1.98, df - 0.022	– z (P =	0.37); P=	- 070				
rest for overall effect: $Z = 2.35$ (P :	= 0.02)							
Total (0E% CI)		34054		40540	100.00	1 20 14 00 4 201		▲
Total (95% CI)		24954		18548	100.0%	1.20 [1.09, 1.32]		▼
Total events	4154		2707					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.05; Chi² =	108.15,	df= 42 (	P < 0.000	001); I <b>²</b> =	61%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.77 (P :	= 0.0002	)						Decreased risk of CAD Increased risk of CAD
Test for subgroup differences: Ch	i <sup>2</sup> = 33.3	6, df = 4	(P < 0.00	001), I <sup>z</sup> =	= 88.0%			

**Figure 4. Forest plot depicting results after analyses for the allelic model** (Allele 4a vs. 4b) of NOS3 4b/a VNTR polymorphism. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. Effect sizes using fixed effects were recalculated for European, Middle Eastern, Asian and African groups which showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimates were, OR, 95%CI=0.99, 0.93–1.06; Z=0.19; P=0.85 for Europeans; OR, 95%CI=1.71, 1.47–1.98; Z=7.09; P<0.00001 for Middle Easterners; OR, 95%CI=1.25, 1.08–1.44; Z=3.06; P=0.002 for Asians and OR, 95%CI=1.37, 1.05–1.78; Z=2.34; P=0.02 for Africans. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.g004

with the increased risk. Conflicting reports between the intron-4 variant and NO pathway activity are there in the public domain. Genotypes carrying  $\geq 1$  mutant alleles have been shown to have lower plasma NO levels and reduced protein expression, [125,126] although some studies have demonstrated otherwise. [77,124,127] As this polymorphism is located in the intron region of the gene, even if it is not functional it might act as a risk factor and act in linkage disequilibrium with other regulatory regions and functional gene variants of the *NOS3* gene. [124]

We believe that the present study has successfully answered the research question that it aimed at the outset and is statistically powered enough to the stand by the results it obtained. Although, there is a scope for limitations in every meta-analysis of genetic association studies and ours was no exception either. Firstly, we believe that there was under representation of the South-Asian region, and we found no published data on the subject from several South-Asian countries like Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Pakistan etc., which have a sizable population inflicted with CAD. Secondly possibility of errors in genotyping, presence of selection bias and risk of inadequate sample size among different studies cannot be ruled out. It is also noteworthy that in case of polygenic diseases like CAD, an association study cannot test causality, it can merely measure statistical associations. Also, during meta-analysis of association studies we cannot ensure interference of linkage disequilibrium of the selected SNP with another closely linked site and its extent of effect on the overall association. Even after using two statistical tools, we could not detect any hint of publication bias amongst our study groups, at least for Glu298Asp and 4b/a polymorphisms. However, Egger's plot asymmetry was observed, with significant p values for pooled and European subgroup of T786-C polymorphism. Since the accuracy of Egger's test is debatable, and this asymmetry in the aforementioned plots could have possibly been due to factors like inter-study variations or small study effects among European subgroup for T786-C. Even then, the role of existing publication bias cannot be completely ruled out. This also qualifies to be listed as another small limitation in the present study.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, since the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of studies are critical parts of a meta-analysis and can substantially affect results, we find that the present study is the most comprehensive till date and is systematically planned to funnel out erroneous studies. We thus feel that our study projects the real picture, and confirms the association of the three common NOS3 gene polymorphisms i.e. Glu298Asp, T786-C and 4a/b VNTR with CAD transcending all ancestries worldwide. Results amongst different ancestral subgroups were rather illuminating. Amongst the studied NOS3 SNPs, both Glu298Asp and 4b/a showed strongest association among the Middle Eastern subgroup. On the other hand, T786-C and its minor allele seem to carry the highest risk for CAD among subjects of Asian ancestry. Our study significantly improves the understanding of the relation between this gene and CAD and its association amongst subjects of all major ancestries. These findings should thenceforth be assessed clinically for its implications in prevention and treatment strategies of CAD.

#### Supporting Information

Figure S1 Forest plot depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism assessed under dominant (*TT*+*GT vs. GG*) genetic **model.** Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. Effect sizes using fixed effects were recalculated for Asian-Indian group which showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimate for Asian-Indians was, OR, 95%CI = 1.21, 1.01–1.45; Z = 2.07; P = 0.04. (TIF)

Figure S2 Forest plot depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting NOS3 Glu298Asp polymorphism assessed under recessive (*TT vs. GG+GT*) genetic model. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. Effect sizes using fixed effects were recalculated for Asian and Asian-Indian groups which



**Figure 5. Begg's funnel plots for testing publication bias in Allelic comparisons.** Each point in each figure represents OR of a study plotted against the standard error (SE) its OR. Different indicators of the studies belonging to each ancestral group are used in these plots. **Figure 5A**: *Allele T vs. G* for Glu298Asp; **Figure 5B**: *Allele C vs. T* for T786-C; **Figure 5C**: *Allele 4a vs. 4b* for 4b/a. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113363.q005

showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimates were, OR, 95%CI = 2.22, 1.21–4.08; Z = 2.57; P = 0.01 and OR, 95%CI = 1.85, 1.12–3.04; Z = 2.42; P = 0.02 for Asians and Asian-Indians respectively. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Forest plot depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting *NOS3* T786-C polymorphism assessed under dominant (*CC+CT vs. TT*) genetic model. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis.

(TIF)

Figure S4 Forest plot depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting *NOS3* T786-C polymorphism assessed under recessive (*CC vs. TT+CT*) genetic model. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using fixed effects for analysis. Effect sizes using random effects were recalculated for three ancestral subgroups viz. Middle Eastern, Asian-Indian and African, which showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimates were, OR, 95%CI = 1.35, 0.69-2.65; Z = 0.87; P = 0.38 for Middle Easterners; OR, 95%CI = 1.73, 0.38–7.95; Z = 0.71; P = 0.48 for Asian-Indians and OR, 95%CI = 1.85, 0.77–4.44; Z = 1.37; P = 0.17 for Africans. (TIF)

Figure S5 Forest plot depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting NOS3 4b/a VNTR polymorphism assessed under dominant (4a4a+4a4b vs. 4b4b) genetic model. Effect size estimates for all ancestral groups in this plot were obtained using random effects for analysis. Effect sizes using fixed effects were recalculated for Middle Eastern and Asian groups which showed homogenous distribution among its included studies. Recalculated effect size estimates were, OR, 95%CI=1.73, 1.46-2.05; Z=6.28; P=<0.00001 and OR, 95%CI=1.22, 1.04-1.42; Z=2.45; P=0.01 for Middle Easterners and Asians respectively. (TIF)

Figure S6 Forest plots depicting results of meta-analysis of studies reporting NOS3 4b/a VNTR polymorphism assessed under recessive (4a4a vs. 4a4b+4b4b) genetic model. Since all the ancestral groups showed homogenous distribution among its included studies, effect size estimates for all groups in this plot were obtained using fixed effects for analysis. (TIF)

#### References

- Moncada S, Higgs A (1993) The L-arginine-nitric oxide pathway. N Engl J Med 329(27): 2002–2012.
- Gardemann A, Lohre J, Cayci S, Katz N, Tillmanns H, et al. (2002) The T allele of the missense Glu(298)Asp endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism is associated with coronary heart disease in younger individuals with high atherosclerotic risk profile. Atherosclerosis 160(1): 167–175.
- Hingorani AD, Liang CF, Fatibene J, Lyon A, Monteith S, et al. (1999) A common variant of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase (Glu298—>Asp) is a major risk factor for coronary artery disease in the UK. Circulation 100(14): 1515–1520.
- Colombo MG, Andreassi MG, Paradossi U, Botto N, Manfredi S, et al. (2002) Evidence for association of a common variant of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene (Glu298—>Asp polymorphism) to the presence, extent, and severity of coronary artery disease. Heart 87(6): 525–528.
- Colombo MG, Paradossi U, Andreassi MG, Botto N, Manfredi S, et al. (2003) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms and risk of coronary artery disease. Clin Chem 49(3): 389–395.
- Marsden PA, Heng HH, Scherer SW, Stewart RJ, Hall AV, et al. (1993) Structure and chromosomal localization of the human constitutive endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene. J Biol Chem 268(23): 17478–17488.
- Hingorani A, Jia H, Stevens P, Monteith M, Brown M (1995) A Common Variant in Exon 7 of the Endothelial Constitutive Nitric Oxide Synthase Gene:

Figure S7 Begg's funnel plots for testing publication bias in comparisons under different genetic models for *NOS3* Glu298Asp polymorphism. Each point in each figure represents OR of a study plotted against the standard error (SE) its OR. Different indicators of the studies belonging to each ancestral group are used in these plots. Figure S7A: Begg's Plot for comparisons under dominant genetic model (*TT+GT vs. GG*); Figure S7B: Begg's Plot for comparisons under recessive genetic model (*TT vs. GG+GT*).

(TIF)

Figure S8 Begg's funnel plots for testing publication bias in comparisons under different genetic models for *NOS3* T786-C polymorphism. Each point in each figure represents OR of a study plotted against the standard error (SE) its OR. Different indicators of the studies belonging to each ancestral group are used in these plots. Figure S8A: Begg's Plot for comparisons under dominant genetic model (*CC+CT vs. TT*); Figure S8B: Begg's Plot for comparisons under recessive genetic model (*CC vs. TT+CT*). (TIF)

Figure S9 Begg's funnel plots for testing publication bias in comparisons under different genetic models for *NOS3* 4b/a VNTR gene polymorphism. Each point in each figure represents OR of a study plotted against the standard error (SE) its OR. Different indicators of the studies belonging to each ancestral group are used in these plots. Figure S9A: Begg's Plot for comparisons under dominant genetic model (4a4a+4a4b vs. 4b4b); Figure S9B: Begg's Plot for comparisons under recessive genetic model (4a4a vs. 4a4b+4b4b). (TIF)

Data S1 The raw data collected from all the published association studies included in the present meta-analysis.

(ZIP)

**Checklist S1 PRISMA Checklist.** (DOC)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: HR SK. Analyzed the data: HR FP SK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: HR FP SK. Wrote the paper: HR FP SK AK NS.

Identification by Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism Analysis. Clinical Science 88(Pt s32): 21P-21P. (Abstract).

- Yoshimura M, Yasue H, Nakayama M, Shimasaki Y, Sumida H, et al. (1998) A missense Glu298Asp variant in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with coronary spasm in the Japanese. Hum Genet 103(1): 65–69.
- Miyamoto Y, Saito Y, Kajiyama N, Yoshimura M, Shimasaki Y, et al. (1998) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is positively associated with essential hypertension. Hypertension 32(1): 3–8.
- Shimasaki Y, Yasue H, Yoshimura M, Nakayama M, Kugiyama K, et al. (1998) Association of the missense Glu298Asp variant of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene with myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 31(7): 1506–1510.
- Hibi K, Ishigami T, Tamura K, Mizushima S, Nyui N, et al. (1998) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism and acute myocardial infarction. Hypertension 32(3): 521–526.
- Philip I, Plantefeve G, Vuillaumier-Barrot S, Vicaut E, LeMarie C, et al. (1999) G894T polymorphism in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with an enhanced vascular responsiveness to phenylephrine. Circulation 99(24): 3096–3098.
- Angeline T, Isabel W, Tsongalis GJ (2010) Endothelial nitric oxide gene polymorphisms, nitric oxide production and coronary artery disease risk in a South Indian population. Exp Mol Pathol 89(3): 205–208.

- Bor-Kucukatay M, Demir S, Akbay R, Dursunoglu D, Akdag B, et al. (2010) Relationship between hemorheology and Glu(298)Asp polymorphism of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene in patients with coronary artery disease. Mol Biol Rep 37(1): 171–178.
- Dafni C, Drakoulis N, Landt O, Panidis D, Reczko M, et al. (2010) Association of the eNOS E298D polymorphism and the risk of myocardial infarction in the Greek population. BMC Med Genet 11: 133.
- Salimi S, Firoozrai M, Zand H, Nakhaee A, Shafiee SM, et al. (2010) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene Glu298Asp polymorphism in patients with coronary artery disease. Ann Saudi Med 30(1): 33–37.
- Salimi S, Naghavi A, Firoozrai M, Zand H, Tavilani H, et al. (2012) Association of plasma nitric oxide concentration and endothelial nitric oxide synthase T-786C gene polymorphism in coronary artery disease. Pathophysiology 19(3): 157–162.
- Cai H, Wilcken DE, Wang XL (1999) The Glu-298—>Asp (894G—>T) mutation at exon 7 of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene and coronary artery disease. J Mol Med (Berl) 77(6): 511–514.
- Nassar BA, Bevin LD, Johnstone DE, O'Neill B J, Bata IR, et al. (2001) Relationship of the Glu298Asp polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene and early-onset coronary artery disease. Am Heart J 142(4): 586–589.
- Schmoelzer I, Renner W, Paulweber B, Malaimare L, Iglseder B, et al. (2003) Lack of association of the Glu298Asp polymorphism of endothelial nitric oxide synthase with manifest coronary artery disease, carotid atherosclerosis and forearm vascular reactivity in two Austrian populations. Eur J Clin Invest 33(3): 191–198.
- Jaramillo PC, Munoz MA, Lanas MC, Lanas ZF, Salazar LA (2006) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase G894T gene polymorphism in Chilean subjects with coronary artery disease and controls. Clin Chim Acta 371(1-2): 102–106.
- Kim IJ, Bae J, Lim SW, Cha DH, Cho HJ, et al. (2007) Influence of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms (-786T>C, 4a4b, 894G>T) in Korean patients with coronary artery disease. Thromb Res 119(5): 579–585.
- Alp E, Menevse S, Tulmac M, Kan D, Yalcin R, et al. (2009) Lack of association between matrix metalloproteinase-9 and endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in Turkish population. DNA Cell Biol 28(7): 343–350.
- Nakayama M, Yasue H, Yoshimura M, Shimasaki Y, Kugiyama K, et al. (1999) T-786—>C mutation in the 5'-flanking region of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with coronary spasm. Circulation 99(22): 2864–2870.
- Sandrim VC, de Syllos RW, Lisboa HR, Tres GS, Tanus-Santos JE (2006) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase haplotypes affect the susceptibility to hypertension in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Atherosclerosis 189(1): 241–246.
- Miyamoto Y, Saito Y, Nakayama M, Shimasaki Y, Yoshimura T, et al. (2000) Replication protein A1 reduces transcription of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene containing a -786T—>C mutation associated with coronary spastic angina. Hum Mol Genet 9(18): 2629–2637.
- Alvarez R, Gonzalez P, Batalla A, Reguero JR, Iglesias-Cubero G, et al. (2001) Association between the NOS3 (-786 T/C) and the ACE (I/D) DNA genotypes and early coronary artery disease. Nitric Oxide 5(4): 343–348.
- Rios DL, Callegari-Jacques SM, Hutz MH (2005) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase and fractalkine chemokine receptor polymorphisms on angiographically assessed coronary atherosclerosis. Clin Chim Acta 362(1-2): 138–146.
- Hyndman ME, Parsons HG, Verma S, Bridge PJ, Edworthy S, et al. (2002) The T-786—>C mutation in endothelial nitric oxide synthase is associated with hypertension. Hypertension 39(4): 919–922.
- Rossi GP, Cesari M, Zanchetta M, Colonna S, Maiolino G, et al. (2003) The T-786C endothelial nitric oxide synthase genotype is a novel risk factor for coronary artery disease in Caucasian patients of the GENICA study. J Am Coll Cardiol 41(6): 930–937.
- Nakayama M, Yasue H, Yoshimura M, Shimasaki Y, Ogawa H, et al. (2000) T(-786)—> C mutation in the 5'-flanking region of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with myocardial infarction, especially without coronary organic stenosis. Am J Cardiol 86(6): 628–634.
- Ragia G, Nikolaidis E, Tavridou A, Arvanitidis KI, Kanoni S, et al. (2010) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms -786T> C and 894G> T in coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients. Hum Genomics 4(6): 375– 383.
- 33. Wang XL, Sim AS, Badenhop RF, McCredie RM, Wilcken DE (1996) A smoking-dependent risk of coronary artery disease associated with a polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene. Nat Med 2(1): 41–45.
- Cine N, Hatemi AC, Erginel-Unaltuna N (2002) Association of a polymorphism of the ecNOS gene with myocardial infarction in a subgroup of Turkish MI patients. Clin Genet 61(1): 66–70.
- Ichihara S, Yamada Y, Fujimura T, Nakashima N, Yokota M (1998) Association of a polymorphism of the endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase gene with myocardial infarction in the Japanese population. Am J Cardiol 81(1): 83–86.
- 36. Park JE, Lee WH, Hwang TH, Chu JA, Kim S, et al. (2000) Aging affects the association between endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism and

acute myocardial infarction in the Korean male population. Korean J Intern Med 15(1): 65–70.

- Granath B, Taylor RR, van Bockxmeer FM, Mamotte CD (2001) Lack of evidence for association between endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in the Australian Caucasian population. J Cardiovasc Risk 8(4): 235–241.
- Poirier O, Mao C, Mallet C, Nicaud V, Herrmann SM, et al. (1999) Polymorphisms of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene - no consistent association with myocardial infarction in the ECTIM study. Eur J Clin Invest 29(4): 284–290.
- Jaramillo PC, Lanas C, Lanas F, Salazar LA (2010) Polymorphisms of the NOS3 gene in Southern Chilean subjects with coronary artery disease and controls. Clin Chim Acta 411(3-4): 258–262.
- Li J, Wu X, Li X, Feng G, He L, et al. (2010) The endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. Cardiology 116(4): 271–278.
- 41. Zhang K, Bai P, Shi S, Zhou B, Wang Y, et al. (2012) The G894T polymorphism on endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with increased coronary heart disease among Asia population: evidence from a Meta analysis. Thromb Res 130(2): 192–197.
- 42. Liu D, Jiang Z, Dai L, Zhang X, Yan C, et al. (2013) Association between the -786T>C lpolymorphism in the promoter region of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and risk of coronary artery disease: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Gene pii: S0378-1119(13)01333-4.
- Tian GX, Zeng XT, Wang XB, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. (2013) Association between the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene Glu298Asp polymorphism and coronary heart disease: a metaanalysis of 39 casecontrol studies. Mol Med Rep 7(4): 1310–1318.
- Yang Y, Du K, Liu Z, Lu X (2014). Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) 4b/a gene polymorphisms and coronary artery disease: evidence from a metaanalysis. Int J Mol Sci 15(5): 7987–8003.
- 45. Panic N, Leoncini E, de Belvis G, Ricciardi W, Boccia S (2013) Evaluation of the endorsement of the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement on the quality of published systematic review and meta-analyses. PLoS One 8(12): e83138.
- Lewis CM (2002) Genetic association studies: design, analysis and interpretation. Brief Bioinform 3(2): 146–153.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7(3): 177–188.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W (1959) Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 22(4): 719–748.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. Bmj 327(7414): 557–560.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M (1994) Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 50(4): 1088–1101.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. Bmj 315(7109): 629–634.
- Clayton D, McKeigue PM (2001) Epidemiological methods for studying genes and environmental factors in complex diseases. The Lancet 358(9290): 1356– 1360.
- 53. Pulkkinen A, Viitanen L, Kareinen A, Lehto S, Vauhkonen I, et al. (2000) Intron 4 polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with elevated blood pressure in type 2 diabetic patients with coronary heart disease. J Mol Med (Berl) 78(7): 372–379.
- Agema WR, de Maat MP, Zwinderman AH, Kastelein JJ, Rabelink TJ, et al. (2004) An integrated evaluation of endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase polymorphisms and coronary artery disease in men. Clin Sci (Lond) 107(3): 255–261.
- Fatini C, Sofi F, Sticchi E, Gensini F, Gori AM, et al. (2004) Influence of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms (G894T, 4a4b, T-786C) and hyperhomocysteinemia on the predisposition to acute coronary syndromes. Am Heart J.147(3): 516–521.
- Antoniades C, Tousoulis D, Vasiliadou C, Pitsavos C, Chrysochoou C, et al. (2005) Genetic polymorphism on endothelial nitric oxide synthase affects endothelial activation and inflammatory response during the acute phase of myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol.46(6): 1101–1109.
- Rios DL, D'Onofrio LO, Souza JK, Queiroz AM, Raduy-Maron L, et al. (2007) Smoking-dependent and haplotype-specific effects of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms on angiographically assessed coronary artery disease in Caucasian- and African-Brazilians. Atherosclerosis 193(1): 135–41.
- Sampaio MF, Hirata MH, Hirata RD, Santos FC, Picciotti R. et al. (2007) AMI is associated with polymorphisms in the NOS3 and FGB but not in PAI-1 genes in young adults. Clin Chim Acta 377(1-2): 154–162.
- Andrikopoulos GK, Grammatopoulos DK, Tzeis SE, Zervou SI, Richter DJ, et al. (2008) Association of the 894G>T polymorphism in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene with risk of acute myocardial infarction. BMC Med Genet 9: 43.
- Szperl M, Dzielinska Z, Roszczynko M, Malek LA, Makowiecka-Ciesla M, et al. (2008) Genetic variants in hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease and coexisting atheromatous renal artery stenosis. Med Sci Monit 14(12): Cr611–616.
- Vasilakou M, Votteas V, Kasparian C, Pantazopoulos N, Dedoussis G, et al. (2008) Lack of association between endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene

polymorphisms and risk of premature coronary artery disease in the Greek population. Acta Cardiol 63(5): 609-614.

- Gluba A, Banach M, Rysz J, Piotrowski G, Fendler W, et al. (2009) Is polymorphism within eNOS gene associated with the late onset of myocardial infarction? A pilot study. Angiology 60(5): 588–595.
- Isordia-Salas I, Leanos-Miranda A, Borrayo-Sanchez G (2010) The Glu298Asp polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with premature ST elevation myocardial infarction in Mexican population. Clin Chim Acta 411(7-8): 553–557.
- 64. da Costa Escobar Piccoli J, Manfredini V, Hamester FI, Bandinelli JB, Turkienicz IM, et al. (2012) Interaction between endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms (-786T>C, 894G>T and intron 4 a/b) and cardiovascular risk factors in acute coronary syndromes. Arch Med Res 43(3): 205–11.
- Zigra AM, Rallidis LS, Anastasiou G, Merkouri E, Gialeraki A (2013) eNOS gene variants and the risk of premature myocardial infarction. Dis Markers 34(6): 431–436.
- Aras O, Hanson NQ, Bakanay SM, Tsai MY, Gulec S (2002) Endothelial nitric oxide gene polymorphism (Glu298Asp) is not associated with coronary artery disease in Turkish population. Thromb Haemost;87(2): 347–349.
- Afrasyap L, Ozturk G (2004) NO level and endothelial NO synthase gene polymorphism (Glu298Asp) in the patients with coronary artery disease from the Turkish population. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 36(10): 661–666.
- Berdeli A, Sekuri C, Sirri Cam F, Ercan E, Sagcan A., et al. (2005) Association between the eNOS (Glu298Asp) and the RAS genes polymorphisms and premature coronary artery disease in a Turkish population. Clin Chim Acta 351(1-2): 87–94.
- 69. Cam SF, Sekuri C, Tengiz I, Ercan E, Sagcan A., et al. (2005) The G894T polymorphism on endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with premature coronary artery disease in a Turkish population. Thromb Res 116(4): 287–292.
- Kerkeni M, Addad F, Chauffert M, Myara A, Ben Farhat M, et al. (2006) Hyperhomocysteinemia, endothelial nitric oxide synthase polymorphism, and risk of coronary artery disease. Clin Chem 52(1): 53–58.
- Alkharfy KM, Al-Daghri NM, Al-Attas OS, Alokail MS, Draz HM, et al. (2010) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms (894G> T and -786T> C) and risk of coronary artery disease in a Saudi population. Arch Med Res 41(2): 134–141.
- Motawi T, Shaker O, Taha M, Sedrak H, Nabil M (2011) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase and angiotensinogen gene polymorphism in coronary artery diseases in Egypt. Angiology 62(2):191–7.
- Gad MZ, Abdel Rahman MF, Hashad IM, Abdel-Maksoud SM, Farag NM, et al. (2012) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase (G894T) gene polymorphism in a random sample of the Egyptian population: comparison with myocardial infarction patients. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 16(7): 695–700.
- Rahimi Z, Nourozi-Rad R (2012) Association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene variant (G894T) with coronary artery disease in Western Iran. Angiology 63(2): 131–137.
- Abdel-Aziz TA, Mohamed RH (2013) Association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms with classical risk factors in development of premature coronary artery disease. Mol Biol Rep 40(4): 3065–3071.
- Kallel A, Sbai MH, Sediri Y, Abdessalem S, Mourali MS, et al. (2013) Polymorphisms of the NOS3 gene and risk of myocardial infarction in the Tunisian population. Cytokine 64(3): 646–651.
- Yoon Y, Song J, Hong SH, Kim JQ (2000) Plasma nitric oxide concentrations and nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms in coronary artery disease. Clin Chem 46(10): 1626–1630.
- Wang CL, Hsu LA, Ko YS, Ko YL, Lee YH (2001) Lack of association between the Glu298Asp variant of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene and the risk of coronary artery disease among Taiwanese. J Formos Med Assoc 100(11): 736–740.
- Jo I, Moon J, Yoon S, Kim HT, Kim E, et al. (2006) Interaction between -786TC polymorphism in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene and smoking for myocardial infarction in Korean population. Clin Chim Acta 365(1-2):86–92.
- Lin NT, Lee MJ, Lee RP, Hong AI, Chen HI (2008) Analysis of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms with cardiovascular diseases in eastern Taiwan. Chin J Physiol 51(1): 42–47.
- Tamemoto H, Ishikawa SE, Kawakami M (2008) Association of the Glu298Asp polymorphism of the eNOS Gene with ischemic heart disease in Japanese diabetic subjects. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 80(2): 275–279.
- Bae J, Kim IJ, Hong SH, Sung JH, Lim SW, et al. (2010) Association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase polymorphisms with coronary artery disease in Korean individuals with or without diabetes mellitus. Exp Ther Med 1(4): 719– 724.
- Min BW, Na JY, Juhng SW, Park MS, Park JT, et al. (2010) A polymorphism (G894T) in eNOS increases the risk of coronary atherosclerosis rather than intracranial atherosclerosis in Koreans. Acta Neurol Belg 110(3): 255–262.
- Mathew J, Narayanan P, Sundaram R, Jayaraman B, Dutta TK, et al. (2008) Lack of association between Glu(298) asp polymorphism of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) gene and coronary artery disease in Tamilian population. Indian Heart J 60(3): 223–227.
- Bhanushali AA, Das BR (2010) Genetic variants at the APOE, lipoprotein lipase (LpL), cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP), and endothelial nitric

oxide (eNOS) genes and coronary artery disease (CAD): CETP Taq1 B2B2 associates with lower risk of CAD in Asian Indians. J Community Genet 1(2): 55–62.

- Saini V, Bhatnagar MK, Bhattacharjee J (2011) Association of endothelial dysfunction with endothelin, nitric oxide and eNOS Glu298Asp gene polymorphism in coronary artery disease. Dis Markers 31(4): 215–22.
- Rai H, Fitt J, Sharma AK, Sinha N, Kumar S, et al. (2012) Lack of association between Glu298Asp polymorphism and coronary artery disease in North Indians. Mol Biol Rep 39(5): 5995–6000.
- Saini V, Bhatnagar MK, Bhattacharjee J (2012) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase Glu298Asp (G894T) gene polymorphism in coronary artery disease patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metab Syndr 6(2): 106–9.
- Arun Kumar AS, Umamaheswaran G, Padmapriya R, Balachandar J, Adithan C (2013) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms and the risk of acute myocardial infarction in a South Indian population. Mol Biol Rep 40(2): 1275–81.
- Narne P, Ponnaluri KC, Singh S, Siraj M, Ishaq M (2013) Association of the genetic variants of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene with angiographically defined coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction in South Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Complications 27(3): 255– 261.
- 91. Dosenko VE, Zagoriy VY, Lutay YM, Parkhomenko AN, Moibenko AA (2006) Allelic polymorphism in the promoter (T—>C), but not in exon 7 (G—>T) or the variable number tandem repeat in intron 4, of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is positively associated with acute coronary syndrome in the Ukrainian population. Exp Clin Cardiol 11(1): 11–13.
- Jaramillo PC, Lanas C, Lanas F, Salazar LA (2008) -786T>C polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene in Chilean subjects with coronary artery disease and controls. Clin Chim Acta 387(1-2): 105–108.
- Meluzin J, Vasku A, Kincl V, Panovsky R, Sramkova T (2009) Association of coronary artery disease, erectile dysfunction, and endothelial nitric oxide synthase polymorphisms. Heart Vessels 24(3): 157–163.
- 94. Tangurek B, Ozer N, Sayar N, Terzi S, Yilmaz H, et al. (2006) The relationship between endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism (T-786 C) and coronary artery disease in the Turkish population. Heart Vessels 21(5): 285–290.
- Ciffci C, Melil S, Cebi Y, Ersoz M, Cagatay P, et al. (2008) Association of endothelial nitric oxide synthase promoter region (T-786C) gene polymorphism with acute coronary syndrome and coronary heart disease. Lipids Health Dis 7: 5.
- 96. Takagi S, Goto Y, Nonogi H, Baba S, Iwai N (2001) Genetic polymorphisms of angiotensin converting enzyme (I/D) and endothelial nitric oxide synthase (T(-788)C) genes in Japanese patients with myocardial infarction. Thromb Haemost Germany p. 1339–40.
- Han Y, Xu W, Zhang W, Liu N, Ji Y (2010) T-786C polymorphism in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with increased risk of coronary artery disease in a Chinese population. Pharmacology 85(4): 211–216.
- Hooper WC, Lally C, Austin H, Benson J, Dilley A, et al. (1999) The relationship between polymorphisms in the endothelial cell nitric oxide synthase gene and the platelet GPIIIa gene with myocardial infarction and venous thromboembolism in African Americans. Chest 116(4): 880–6.
- Fowkes FG, Lee AJ, Hau CM, Cooke A, Connor JM, et al. (2000) Methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) and nitric oxide synthase (ecNOS) genes and risks of peripheral arterial disease and coronary heart disease: Edinburgh Artery Study. Atherosclerosis 150(1): 179–185.
  Pulkkinen A, Viitanen L, Kareinen A, Lehto S, Vauhkonen I, et al. (2000)
- 100. Pulkkinen A, Viitanen L, Kareinen A, Lehto S, Vauhkonen I, et al. (2000) Intron 4 polymorphism of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with elevated blood pressure in type 2 diabetic patients with coronary heart disease. J Mol Med (Berl) 78(7): 372–379.
- 101. Sigusch HH, Surber R, Lehmann MH, Surber S, Weber J, et al. (2000) Lack of association between 27-bp repeat polymorphism in intron 4 of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene and the risk of coronary artery disease. Scand J Clin Lab Invest 60(3): 229–235.
- 102. Letonja M (2004) The eNOS gene polymorphism does not have a major impact on lipid parameters and premature coronary artery disease in Caucasian women. Acta Cardiol 59(6): 618–622.
- 103. Milutinovic A, Hruskovicova H (2005) The eNOS gene polymorphism does not have a major impact on lipid parameters and premature coronary artery disease in Slovene men (Caucasians). Folia Biol (Praha) 51(2): 47–49.
- 104. Rao S, Austin H, Davidoff MN, Zafari AM (2005) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase intron 4 polymorphism is a marker for coronary artery disease in African-American and Caucasian men. Ethn Dis 15(2): 191–7.
- 105. Kincl V, Vasku A, Meluzin J, Panovsky R, Semenka J, et al. (2009) Association of the eNOS 4a/b and -786T/C polymormphisms with coronary artery disease, obesity and diabetes mellitus. Folia Biol (Praha) 55(5): 187–191.
- 106. Matyar S, Attila G, Acarturk E, Akpinar O, Inal T (2005) eNOS gene intron 4 a/b VNTR polymorphism is a risk factor for coronary artery disease in Southern Turkey. Clin Chim Acta 354(1-2): 153–158.
- 107. Agirbasli D, Agirbasli M, Williams SM, Phillips JA 3rd (2006) Interaction among 5,10 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, plasminogen activator inhibitor and endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphisms predicts the severity of coronary artery disease in Turkish patients. Coron Artery Dis 17(5): 413–417.

- 108. Agirbasli M, Guney AI, Ozturhan HS, Agirbasli D, Ulucan K., et al. (2011) Multifactor dimensionality reduction analysis of MTHFR, PAI-1, ACE, PON1, and eNOS gene polymorphisms in patients with early onset coronary artery disease. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 18(6): 803–809.
- Salimi S, Firoozrai M, Nourmohammadi I, Shabani M, Mohebbi A (2006) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene intron4 VNTR polymorphism in patients with coronary artery disease in Iran. Indian J Med Res 124(6): 683– 688.
- 110. Jemaa R, Kallel A, Ben Ali S, Omar S, Chabrak S, et al. (2007) Association of a 27-bp repeat polymorphism in intron 4 of endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase gene with myocardial infarction in Tunisian patients. Clin Chem Lab Med 45(11): 1476–80.
- Ekmekci A, Ozcan KS, Gungor B, Abaci N, Osmonov D., et al. (2013) The relationship between endothelial nitric oxide synthase 4a/4b gene polymorphism and premature coronary artery disease. Acta Cardiol 68(5): 464–468.
- Odawara M, Sasaki K, Tachi Y, Yamashita K (1998) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism and coronary heart disease in Japanese NIDDM. Diabetologia 41(3): 365–366.
- 113. Nakagami H, Ikeda U, Maeda Y, Yamamoto K, Hojo Y, et al. (1999) Coronary artery disease and endothelial nitric oxide synthase and angiotensinconverting enzyme gene polymorphisms. J Thromb Thrombolysis 8(3): 191–5.
- 114. Lee WH, Hwang TH, Oh GT, Kwon SU, Choi YH, et al. (2001) Genetic factors associated with endothelial dysfunction affect the early onset of coronary artery disease in Korean males. Vasc Med 6(2): 103–108.
- 115. Hwang JJ, Tsai CT, Yeh HM, Chiang FT, Hsu KL, et al. (2002) The 27-bp tandem repeat polymorphism in intron 4 of the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is not associated with coronary artery disease in a hospital-based Taiwanese population. Cardiology 97(2): 67–72.
- 116. Gururajan P, Gurumurthy P, Victor D, Srinivasa Nageswara Rao G, Sai Babu R, et al. (2011) Plasma total nitric oxide and endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase (ecNOS) gene polymorphism: a study in a South Indian population. Biochem Genet 49(1-2): 96–103.
- 117. Salom MG, Arregui B, Carbonell LF, Ruiz F, Gonzalez-Mora JL, et al. (2005) Renal ischemia induces an increase in nitric oxide levels from tissue stores. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 289(5): R1459–1466.

- Kelm M, Feelisch M, Deussen A, Strauer BE, Schrader J (1991) Release of endothelium derived nitric oxide in relation to pressure and flow. Cardiovasc Res 25(10): 831–836.
- 119. Tesauro M, Thompson WC, Rogliani P, Qi L, Chaudhary PP, et al. (2000) Intracellular processing of endothelial nitric oxide synthase isoforms associated with differences in severity of cardiopulmonary diseases: cleavage of proteins with aspartate vs. glutamate at position 298. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97(6): 2832–2835.
- Persu A, Stoenoiu MS, Messiaen T, Davila S, Robino C, et al. (2002) Modifier effect of ENOS in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Hum Mol Genet. 11(3): 229–241.
- 121. Rankinen T, Rice T, Perusse L, Chagnon YC, Gagnon J, et al. (2000) NOS3 Glu298Asp genotype and blood pressure response to endurance training: the HERITAGE family study. Hypertension 36(5): 885–889.
- 122. Naber CK, Baumgart D, Altmann C, Siffert W, Erbel R, et al. (2001) eNOS 894T allele and coronary blood flow at rest and during adenosine-induced hyperemia. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 281(5): H1908–1912.
- Savvidou MD, Vallance PJ, Nicolaides KH, Hingorani AD (2001) Endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism and maternal vascular adaptation to pregnancy. Hypertension 38(6): 1289–1293.
- 124. Jeerooburkhan N, Jones LC, Bujac S, Cooper JA, Miller GJ, et al. (2001) Genetic and environmental determinants of plasma nitrogen oxides and risk of ischemic heart disease. Hypertension 38(5): 1054–1061.
- 125. Tsukada T, Yokoyama K, Arai T, Takemoto F, Hara S, et al. (1998) Evidence of association of the ecNOS gene polymorphism with plasma NO metabolite levels in humans. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 245(1): 190–193.
- 126. Wang XL, Sim AS, Wang MX, Murrell GA, Trudinger B, et al. (2000) Genotype dependent and cigarette specific effects on endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene expression and enzyme activity. FEBS Lett 471(1): 45–50.
- 127. Wang XL, Mahaney MC, Sim AS, Wang J, Wang J, et al. (1997) Genetic contribution of the endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase gene to plasma nitric oxide levels. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 17(11): 3147–3153.