non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Case Reports

Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes and blasts of the mixed T/myeloid phenotype: a case report

Xian-Fu Sheng^{1,*}, Li-Li Hong^{1,*},

Fei-Yan Huang² and Hai-Feng Zhuang¹

Abstract

<u>© ()</u> (S)

A rare but clinically important diagnostic dilemma arises when cases meet the criteria for both acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes (AML-MRC) and mixed phenotype acute leukemia, especially those that evolve from myelodysplastic syndrome. We describe a 56-year-old male patient who presented with cytopenias and was initially diagnosed with myelodysplastic syndrome with single lineage dysplasia. Nearly I year later, this patient progressed to acute leukemia, and his blast cells simultaneously expressed T-lymphoid and myeloid antigens. Cytogenetic analysis showed a 20q deletion, and next-generation sequencing showed mutations of ASXLI, NRAS, PHF6, RUNXI, TP53, and PIGA. He was diagnosed with AML-MRC with blasts of the mixed T/myeloid phenotype according to the latest World Health Organization guidelines. In accordance with the treatment principles of AML-MRC, we chose an AML-like regimen for four cycles, but the patient did not achieve remission. Finally, we adhered to the treatment principles of mixed phenotype acute leukemia, and he achieved remission after a course of ALL-like regimen chemotherapy.

¹Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (Zhejiang Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine), Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

²Department of Clinical Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University (Zhejiang Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine), Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Hai-Feng Zhuang, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, 54 Youdian Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310053, China. Email: zhuanghaifeng5@163.com



2022, Vol. 50(9) 1-7

© The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines:



Journal of International Medical Research

sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605221122741

journals.sagepub.com/home/imr

Keywords

Myelodysplastic syndrome, acute myeloid leukemia, myelodysplasia-related changes, mixed phenotype acute leukemia, flow cytometry, genic mutation

Date received: 8 June 2022; accepted: 5 August 2022

Introduction

Mixed phenotype acute leukemia (MPAL) is a rare and aggressive leukemia, comprising 1.5% to 2.8% of newly diagnosed acute leukemias.¹ MPAL is defined as leukemia co-expressing certain antigens of more than one lineage of the same blast cells (biphenotypic leukemia) or that with separate populations of blasts of different lineages (bilineal leukemia).² However, a rare but clinically important diagnostic dilemma arises when cases evolve from myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS).

MDS is a group of heterogeneous hematological malignancies with a high risk of progression to acute myeloid leukemia (AML). AML with myelodysplasia-related changes (AML-MRC) represents a subgroup of AML defined by the presence of one or more of the following features: multilineage dysplasia (defined as the presence of >50% dysplastic cells in at least two cell lines), a history of MDS or MDS/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN), and specific MDS-defining cytogenetic abnormalities.³ A small number of patients fit the diagnosis of both MPAL and AML-MRC, and questions remain as to which category such patients belong. The latest World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines classify such patients as having AML-MRC with a secondary notation of a mixed phenotype.⁴ To date, only five AML-MRC cases with a mixed lineage have been reported.^{4–7} We report the first case of AML-MRC with the mixed T/myeloid phenotype in one population of blast cells, which evolved from MDS with single lineage dysplasia (MDS-SLD).

Case presentation

History of past illness

In January 2020, a 54-year-old man presented to an another hospital with repeated fever, cough and sputum. A computed tomography scan showed the presence of pneumonia. He had thrombocytopenia $(40 \times 10^9/L)$, and the white blood cell and hemoglobin counts were within the reference range. He went home after the pneumonia was cured, and did not undergo further examinations to clarify the etiology of the thrombocytopenia.

In February 2021, his complete blood cell count data showed cytopenias with a white blood cell count of 2.3×10^9 /L, hemoglobin concentration of 95 g/L, and platelet count of 25×10^9 /L. Bone marrow (BM) aspirate showed marked signs of dysplasia in granulocytes (10%), together with 3.5% myeloid blasts. Flow cytometric (FC) analysis of BM showed that myeloid blasts accounted for 4.16% (CD34⁺/ CD117⁺/cMPO⁺/CD38⁺/CD13⁺/CD33⁺ CD3⁻/CD5⁻/CD7⁻/CD8⁻/CD10⁻/ and CD19⁻). Karyotyping analysis showed 46, XY, del (20) (a11.2). A diagnosis of MDS-SLD with the revised international scoring prognostic system of the intermediate-risk group according to the 2016 WHO classification was made. He received only supportive care and refused hypomethylating agents for treatment.

In November 2021, he was hospitalized again for pneumonia, and a second BM analysis was performed. An increased number of blasts (21.5%) were observed. FC demonstrated one population of blasts (18.39%) with $CD34^+/HLA-DR^+/CD117^+/CD38^+/cMPO^+$ (dim)/CD13⁺/CD7⁺/CD5⁺ (dim), part $cCD3^+$ (dim), $CD10^+/TdT^+/CD33^+$, and a lack of CD3/CD19/CD20.

A BM biopsy showed hypercellularity (90.0%) and an increased amount of immature granulocytes. Because cCD3 expression was low and immunohistochemical staining for cCD3 was negative, a diagnosis of MPAL was not established. Taken together, these results led to a diagnosis of AML-MRC with T cell antigen expression. Because of severe pulmonary infection, he was not able to have intensive induction chemotherapy. He received one cycle of decitabine (30 mg, intravenous infusion for 5 days) and one cycle of azacitidine (100 mg, subcutaneous infusion for 7 days) combined with venetoclax (100 mg on day 4, 200 mg on day 5, and 400 mg on days 6-28) treatment. He developed severe hematopoietic depression after chemotherapy, complicated by severe pneumonia and cerebral hemorrhage. Unfortunately, he did not achieve any remission. Another cycle of etoposide (100 mg, intravenous infusion for 5 days) combined with cytarabine (30 mg, subcutaneous infusion for 7 days) was then conducted. The patient still achieved no remission, and the immune phenotype of blast cells was not obviously reduced during this period.

History of the present illness

At 56 years old, the man had repeated fever with cough and sputum for 2 weeks, accompanied by dizziness, chest tightness, shortness of breath, and other symptoms. The man was then admitted to hospital. He was married and had one son. No additional family history was obtained.

Physical examination upon admission

A physical examination indicated skin and mucosal hemorrhage, and no hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, or lymphadenopathy.

Laboratory examinations

In April 2022, we performed another BM aspirate and observed that blast cells were increased to 40% with dysplastic features (Figure 1). FC analysis showed one population of aberrant blast cells (31.74%). These cells expressed CD34, CD117, HLA-DR, CD13, CD5, and CD7. Part of the cells expressed cMPO (57.41%), TdT (60.02%), cCD3 (50.32%), CD38 (58.62%), and CD10 (38.62%). All of the cells were negative for CD3, CD9, CD19, and cCD79a (Figure 2). Chromosomal karyotyping showed a 46, XY, del (20) (q11.2) karyotype. A reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction test was performed for 43 leukemia-causing to screen



Figure I. Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes with blasts of the mixed T/myeloid phenotype. Bone marrow aspirate shows one population of blasts with dysplastic features (a–c).



Figure 2. Flow cytometry shows a population of blasts with acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasiarelated changes with T cell antigen expression of CD7 and low cCD3 in November 2021 (a–f). Flow cytometry shows a population of blasts with mixed T/myeloid lineage as shown by expression of CD34, HLA-DR, CD117, CD13, CD5, CD7, cMPO, and cCD3, and negativity for CD79a in April 2022 (g–m).

chromosomal translocations. The patient tested negative for all 43 translocations. A panel of 72 genes that are frequently mutated in myeloid malignant neoplasms was examined using next-generation sequencing. The following mutations were found: ASXL1 (c.1927dupG:p.G642fs, variant allele frequency [VAF]: 78.33%), NRAS (c.G35A:p.G12D, VAF: 34.48%), PHF6 (c.C820T:p.R274X, VAF: 100%), RUNX1 (c.G497A:pR166Q, VAF: 30.14%), TP53 (c.G910T: p.E304X, VAF: 7.32%), and PIGA (c.C345A:p.Y115X, VAF: 8.62%).

Imaging examinations

A computed tomography scan showed the presence of pneumonia, pleural effusion, and pericardial effusion.

Final diagnosis and treatment

On the basis of our findings, we established the diagnosis of AML-MRC with blasts of the mixed T/myeloid phenotype.

The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status was 3. We chose a hybrid regimen consisting of dexamethasone (7.5 mg, days 1-4) in combination with azacitidine (100 mg, days 1–7) and HAA (homoharringtonine 2 mg, days 1–5; aclacinomycin, 20 mg, days 1, 3, and 5; and cytarabine 50 mg, days 1-10). However, he failed to achieve remission again. Finally, an ALL-based therapy (vinorelbine 30 mg, days 1, 8, 15, and 22; doxorubicin hydrochloride liposome 20 mg, days 1-3; cyclophosphamide 1 g, days 1 and 15; and dexamethasone 7.5 mg on days 1–15, and 5 mg on days 16–28) was applied intravenously for one cycle. After this time, complete remission with an incomplete blood count recovery was achieved with 3% residual abnormal blasts.

Outcome and follow-up

The patient achieved complete remission with an incomplete recovery of the blood count and is still alive. He is ready to undergo an allogeneic transplant. The reporting of this study conforms to the CARE guidelines.⁸

Discussion

AML-MRC accounts for 24% to 48% of all AML cases and is associated with worse outcomes than AML not otherwise specified.9,10 MPAL represents an uncommon, but heterogenous, disease and is associated with an inferior outcome relative to AML and ALL cohorts.¹ Some cases may have the overlapping features of AML-MRC and MPAL, which lead to difficulties in classification. To date, there is no independent WHO diagnostic category for such patients with both AML-MRC and MPAL features. Although the WHO classification suggests classifying this entity as AML-MRC with blasts of a mixed phenotype, whether it can accurately reflect the characteristics of such patients is questionable.

One issue is whether gene mutation profiles in such patients are similar to AML-MRC or similar to MPAL. As previously reported, de novo AML-MRC is characterized by a relatively high frequency of TP53, and secondary AML-MRC (those with antecedent MDS or MDS/MPN) have a higher frequency of SETBP1, RUNX1, and SRSF2 mutations.¹¹ An analysis by Devillier et al showed that AML-MRC had a gene profile with frequent alterations in ASXL1 and rare mutations in FLT3 and DNMT3A, and this profile was obviously different from that of non-AML-MRC.¹² However, mutations more commonly observed in AML in adults, such as DNMT3A, IDH1, and IDH2, are also comadults.13 monly found in MPAL in Therefore, genomic profiles may help in differentiating between AML-MRC and MPAL. Although the genetic mutation results in our patient appear to be more consistent with the AML-MRC profile, related reports are extremely scarce and more studies are needed to confirm this possibility.

Another issue is whether the current diagnostic classification facilitates patients' treatment options. The treatment options for patients with AML-MRC are still similar to those for patients with non-AML-MRC, including the 3+7 regimens, hypomethylating agents, venetoclax, liposomal daunorubicin-cytarabine (CPX-351), and allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, namely AML-like regimens.³ However, most of the current reports have shown that patients with MPAL benefit from beginning with ALL-like therapies.^{1,13,14} Therefore, if such patients are classified as a subtype of AML-MRC, the AML-like regimen is the first choice. However, if they are classified as a subtype of MPAL, an ALL-like regimen is preferred. Unfortunately, in our patient and a patient reported by Kim et al.,⁷ AML-like regimens were chosen, and both patients failed to achieve remission. However, our patient achieved an incomplete blood count recovery after receiving an ALL-like regimen. Therefore, such patients being classified as MPAL with MRC appears to be more appropriate, and ALL-like regimens are preferred.

Currently, a diagnosis of AML-MRC can be established on the basis of cytogenetic abnormalities, such as complex karyotypes. The frequency of complex karyotypes in MPAL was reported to be between 24% and 32%.^{14,15} Therefore, AML-MRC with mixed lineage blasts may occur more frequently than previously reported. Further studies are required the clinical to clarify and genetic characteristics of such patients to facilitate more accurate diagnostic classification systems while helping to guide treatment options.

Conclusion

We describe a patient with MDS-SLD who progressed to acute leukemia with blasts of the mixed T/myeloid phenotype. The current WHO classification suggests classifying this entity as AML-MRC with blasts of a mixed phenotype. Further studies are required to summarize the characteristics of such patients, and perhaps classifying them as MPAL with MRC will be applied in the near future.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Ethics statement

This study did not require approval by an ethics committee or institutional review board because the study did not involve human or drug experiments, and did it involve the use of human biological specimens. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for treatment and publication of this case report.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (No. LY19H290003); the Zhejiang Provincial Medical and Health Science and Technology Project (No. 2020KY196); and the Foundation of Zhejiang Province Chinese Medicine Science and Technology Planes (No. 2020ZA04).

Author contributions

Zhuang HF conceived and designed the study; Sheng XF and Hong Lili wrote the manuscript; Huang FY provided the images and material support.

ORCID iD

Hai-Feng Zhuang D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2802-7910

References

- Wolach O and Stone RM. Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia: current challenges in diagnosis and therapy. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2017; 24: 139–145. 2017/01/19. DOI: 10.1097/MOH.00000000000322.
- Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood* 2016; 127: 2391–2405. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2016-03-643544.
- Koenig KL, Sahasrabudhe KD, Sigmund AM, et al. AML with Myelodysplasia-Related Changes: Development, Challenges, and Treatment Advances. *Genes (Basel)* 2020; 11: 845. 2020/07/30. DOI: 10.3390/ genes11080845.
- Klairmont MM, Cheng J and Gradowski JF. AML with MDS-related changes and blasts of mixed lineage: time for a new provisional entity? *Leuk Lymphoma* 2018; 59: 2752–2754. 2018/04/05. DOI: 10.1080/ 10428194.2018.1443451.
- Kajal B and Chang H. Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes demonstrating mixed-lineage phenotype. *Blood* 2016; 128: 1663. 2017/01/18. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2016-06-723098.
- Wang M, Hong Y, Zou D, et al. Diagnostic dilemma in AML with MDS-related changes and blasts of mixed lineage: A case report. *Leuk Res* 2019; 85: 106216. 2019/08/20. DOI: 10.1016/j.leukres.2019.106216.
- Kim M, Zang DY, Lee J, et al. Mixed Phenotype Acute Leukemia that Evolved from Myelodysplastic Syndrome with Excess Blasts. *Lab Med* 2020; 51: 288–295. 2019/09/11. DOI: 10.1093/labmed/lmz054.
- 8. Gagnier JJ, Kienle G, Altman DG, et al. The CARE guidelines: consensus-based clinical

case reporting guideline development. *Headache* 2013; 53: 1541–1547. 2013/11/26. DOI: 10.1111/head.12246.

- Weinberg OK and Arber DA. Acute Myeloid Leukemia With Myelodysplasia-Related Changes: A New Definition. *Surg Pathol Clin* 2010; 3: 1153–1164. 2010/12/01. DOI: 10.1016/j.path.2010.09.012.
- Wang L, Chu X, Wang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics and Optimal Therapy of Acute Myeloid Leukemia with Myelodysplasia-Related Changes: A Retrospective Analysis of a Cohort of Chinese Patients. *Turk J Haematol* 2021; 38: 188–194. 2021/05/04. DOI: 10.4274/tjh. galenos.2021.2021.0009.
- Badar T, Szabo A, Sallman D, et al. Interrogation of molecular profiles can help in differentiating between MDS and AML with MDS-related changes. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2020; 61: 1418–1427. 2020/02/ 06. DOI: 10.1080/10428194.2020.1719089.
- Devillier R, Gelsi-Boyer V, Brecqueville M, et al. Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodysplasia-related changes are characterized by a specific molecular pattern with high frequency of ASXL1 mutations. *Am J Hematol* 2012; 87: 659–662. 2012/04/27. DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23211.
- Alexander TB and Orgel E. Mixed Phenotype Acute Leukemia: Current Approaches to Diagnosis and Treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2021; 23: 22. 2021/02/06. DOI: 10.1007/s11912-020-01010-w.
- Maruffi M, Sposto R, Oberley MJ, et al. Therapy for children and adults with mixed phenotype acute leukemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Leukemia* 2018; 32: 1515–1528. 2018/03/20. DOI: 10.1038/ s41375-018-0058-4.
- Matutes E, Pickl WF, Van't Veer M, et al. Mixed-phenotype acute leukemia: clinical and laboratory features and outcome in 100 patients defined according to the WHO 2008 classification. *Blood* 2011; 117: 3163–3171. 2011/01/14. DOI: 10.1182/ blood-2010-10-314682.