# Glycemic variability is associated with myocardial damage in nondiabetic patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction

Satoshi Oka, Juntaro Deyama, Ken Umetani, Tomoko Harama, Takuya Shimizu, Aritaka Makino, Keita Sano and Masahiko Nakamura

**Background** Glycemic variability (GV) induces coronary microcirculatory disturbance and myocardial damage in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction. However, in nondiabetic acute myocardial infarction patients, the relationship between GV and myocardial damage remains unclear.

**Patients and methods** We investigated GV with a continuous glucose monitoring system in nondiabetic ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients treated with emergent percutaneous coronary intervention. GV was expressed as the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE). Myocardial damage was estimated by myocardial blush grade and ST-segment resolution (STRes). STRes was defined as complete (>70%), partial (30–70%), or none (< 30%).

**Results** Consecutive patients (n = 73) were enrolled and classified into a lower or higher MAGE group on the basis of the median MAGE. The higher MAGE group showed lower levels of myocardial blush grade ( $2.41 \pm 0.76$  vs.  $1.72 \pm 0.85$ ,

# Introduction

Hyperglycemia is associated not only with the incidence of acute myocardial infarction (AMI), but also with coronary microcirculatory disturbance and myocardial damage after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), which leads to a poor prognosis in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) [1]. Some studies have shown that increased glycemic variability (GV) seems to have more deleterious effects than chronic hyperglycemia in the development of vascular endothelial dysfunction, as acute glucose swings increase oxidative stress [2,3]. Recently, high GV has been attracting much attention for the prevention of myocardial damage and improving prognosis.

There are several reports on GV and myocardial damage in AMI patients including both diabetic patients and nondiabetic patients [4,5]. In fact, about 30% of AMI patients were diagnosed with DM, and about half of the

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

P = 0.001) and STRes (complete: 56.8 vs. 33.3%, P = 0.044; partial: 32.4 vs. 36.1%, P = 0.741; none: 10.8 vs. 30.6%, P = 0.037).

**Conclusion** GV was associated with myocardial damage after percutaneous coronary intervention in nondiabetic ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients. *Cardiovasc Endocrinol Metab* 7:47–53 Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Cardiovascular Endocrinology & Metabolism 2018, 7:47-53

Keywords: acute myocardial infarction, glycemic variability, myocardial damage

Department of Cardiology, Yamanashi Prefectural Central Hospital, Kofu, Yamanashi Prefecture, Japan

Correspondence to Satoshi Oka, MD, Department of Cardiology, Yamanashi Prefectural Central Hospital, 1-1-1 Fujimi, Kofu 400-8506, Yamanashi Prefecture, Japan

Tel: + 81 55 253 7111; fax: + 81 55 253 8011; e-mail: so.bfly.j23@gmail.com

Received 22 August 2017 Accepted 22 November 2017

others with no history of DM showed impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) [6]. IGT is common in AMI patients and results in worse outcomes than normal glucose tolerance [7–9]. However, the relationship between GV and myocardial damage, excluding diabetic AMI patients, has not been studied and remains unclear. It is clinically important to clarify whether GV is associated with the amount of myocardial damage after successful PCI, even in nondiabetic patients.

A continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS) allows the measurement of glucose levels in real time throughout the day and night. It also allows the evaluation of GV by calculation of the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) [10]. This is the first study to investigate the relationship between GV analyzed by a CGMS and myocardial damage that focused only on nondiabetic AMI patients.

# Patients and methods Patients

This study was a cross-sectional study of patients with nondiabetic, ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), who were treated with emergent PCI for the first time at Yamanashi Prefectural Central Hospital

2574-0954 Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

The preliminary analysis of this study has been reported in ESC Congress; 29 August 2016; Rome, Italy.

(Japan). Consecutive STEMI patients who underwent emergent successful PCI were screened for eligibility, only if both their symptom-onset-to-hospitalization time and door-to-balloon time were within 120 min. We divided the patients into a lower MAGE group and a higher MAGE group on the basis of the median MAGE determined by the CGMS, and then compared myocardial damage between the two groups. Myocardial blush grade (MBG) and ST-segment resolution (STRes), after PCI, were used to estimate myocardial damage.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: in-hospital death, history of AMI or PCI, no stenting or failed PCI, DM, medication for postprandial hyperglycemia, less than 20 or over 80 years old, cardiogenic shock (Killip class IV), respiratory failure, malignant tumor, malnutrition, active inflammatory disease, pregnancy, interruption or failure of the CGMS, and refusal to give informed consent.

On admission, plasma glucose (PG) was measured, and dual antiplatelet therapy [acetylsalicylic acid 100 mg/day (initial dose: 300 mg) and clopidogrel 75 mg/day (initial dose: 300 mg) or prasugrel 3.75 mg/day (initial dose: 20 mg)] and a strong statin were prescribed to all patients. The serum creatine phosphokinase-MB (CPK-MB) was routinely measured, every 6 h from immediately after emergent PCI, until peak levels were observed.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Yamanashi Prefectural Central Hospital (ID: 26–12), and all patients gave written informed consent before emergent PCI.

# ECG analysis

STEMI was defined as chest pain lasting for at least 30 min accompanied by new ST-segment elevation and a rise in CPK-MB (above twice the upper limit of normal) and cardiac-specific troponin T (>99th percentile of a normal reference population). The ECG criteria to define ST-segment elevation were as follows: new ST-segment elevation more than 0.1 mV at 20 ms after the J point in at least two limb leads, or more than 0.2 mV in two contiguous precordial leads [11]. Patients with left bundle-branch block or a paced rhythm were excluded.

The sum of ST-segment elevation was measured in leads I, aVL and V1–V6 for anterior AMI, and leads II, III, aVF, V5 and V6 for nonanterior AMI. The percent resolution of the sum of ST-segment elevation from baseline to 60 min after PCI was calculated, and STRes was classified as complete, partial, or none on the basis of Schroder's method [12,13]. Complete STRes was defined as at least 70% resolution of the initial sum of ST-segment elevation, partial STRes was defined as 30–70% resolution and none was defined as less than 30% resolution.

# Oral glucose tolerance test

All patients underwent a standard 75-g oral glucose tolerance test the next morning while wearing the CGMS. After an overnight fast, venous blood samples were taken for measurement of fasting PG, fasting immunoreactive insulin (IRI) and PG at 60 and 120 min after the glucose load (60 and 120 min PG). Glycated hemoglobin, creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, the ratio of eicosapentaenoic acid to total arachidonic acid, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were measured simultaneously. Oxidized low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (ox-LDLc) was also measured as an oxidative stress marker from blood collected at this time. On the basis of the results of the 75-g oral glucose tolerance test, the patients were classified as DM, IGT, impaired fasting glucose, or normal glucose tolerance according to the criteria of the American Diabetes Association [14]. Patients with DM were excluded. The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and  $\beta$ -cell function (HOMA- $\beta$ ) score were calculated using the following formulas: HOMA-IR = (fasting PG  $\times$  fasting IRI)/405; HOMA- $\beta$  = (fasting IRI  $\times$  360)/ (fasting PG-63).

# Continuous glucose monitoring protocol

All patients underwent continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) for 48 h during the subacute period (7-10 days after emergent PCI and admission) using a CGMS (iPro2; Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). The CGMS sensor was inserted under the skin to measure glucose levels in tissue fluid. We calibrated the CGMS with finger sticks four times per day (Onetouch Ultravue; Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA) to ensure optimal accuracy of the glucose sensor. Energycontrolled meals were served (25-28 kcal/kg of ideal body weight). The recorded 48-h CGM data were independently analyzed by two cardiologists who were blinded to the MBG and STRes data of each patient (Fig. 1). The SD, mean, minimum, and maximum PG during CGM were recorded. Time in hypoglycemia was defined as the time when PG levels were less than 70 mg/dl. The MAGE was calculated as the average value of the blood glucose fluctuation range that exceeded 1 SD of the mean glucose [15].

# Quantitative coronary angiography and myocardial blush grade

QCA of the target plaque was analyzed using the Allura Xper FD 10/10, interventional tools Rel.8 (Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). An intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) catheter (Opticross, iLab system; Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) was also used for all target lesion analysis.

MBG was defined according to the Zwolle criteria [16]. Blush grade was divided into grades 0–3, with grade 0



Glycemic variability measured using a continuous glucose monitoring system. The continuous glucose monitoring system can visualize glycemic variability. The mean amplitude of glycemic excursions was calculated by measuring the arithmetic mean of the difference between consecutive peaks and nadirs when that difference was more than 1 SD of the mean glucose (arrows).

indicative of no blush and grade 3 indicative of normal blush. Grade 1 blush was defined as minimal blush, and grade 2 as moderate blush, comparable with that obtained during angiography of a contralateral or ipsilateral noninfarct-related coronary artery. Epicardial coronary flow was graded according to the TIMI classification [17,18]. Successful PCI was defined as TIMI 2 or 3. The final TIMI flow grade and MBG were systematically analyzed by two different cardiologists on the basis of the final angiographic images obtained at the completion of emergent PCI. The synergy between PCI with Taxus and cardiac surgery (SYNTAX) score was also calculated by them [19].

#### Statistical analysis

A Student's *t*-test was used to compare differences between two groups for variables with a normal distribution, and a Mann–Whitney *U*-test was used for variables with a skewed distribution (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein). Categorical variables were compared by a  $\chi^2$ -test. Continuous variables were described as the mean±SD. All statistical tests were two tailed, and *P* value less than 0.05 was considered significant. IBM SPSS, version 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

#### Results

# **Baseline characteristics**

A total of 128 STEMI patients were treated with emergent PCI between 1 July 2013, and 1 July 2015, and were enrolled in this study. After screening for eligibility, 73 nondiabetic patients who had CGM were analyzed. Mean symptom-onset-to-hospitalization time was  $68.5 \pm 30.4$  min, and mean door-to-balloon time was  $67.6 \pm 21.6$  min. According to the median MAGE (62.5 mg/dl), patients were divided into two groups: a lower MAGE group (n = 37, MAGE  $\leq 62.5$  mg/dl) and a higher MAGE group (n = 36, MAGE > 62.5 mg/dl) (Fig. 2). The baseline patient characteristics of the two groups are summarized in Table 1. The higher MAGE group showed greater levels of glycated hemoglobin, fasting PG, 60 and 120 min PG, HOMA-IR, maximum CPK-MB and ox-LDLc. The mean

and maximum PG during CGM were also elevated in the higher MAGE group. There were no significant differences in minimum PG during CGM and time in hypoglycemia. The percentages of both IGT and impaired fasting glucose were greater in the higher MAGE group. Almost all patients had second generation drug-eluting stents implanted; bare-metal stents were implanted in only two patients. Everolimus-eluting stents and biolimuseluting stents were used equally between the two groups. The use of zotarolimus-eluting stents was greater in the higher MAGE group. There were no significant differences in age, male sex, BMI, hypertension, dyslipidemia, current smoker, culprit lesion, the number of diseased vessels, SYNTAX score, or medication use between the two groups (Table 1).

#### Myocardial blush grade and ST-segment resolution

The higher MAGE group showed significantly lower levels of MBG  $(2.41 \pm 0.76 \text{ vs. } 1.72 \pm 0.85, P = 0.001)$ (Fig. 3a). There were more patients who showed MBG 2 or 3 (the better myocardial blush) in the lower MAGE group (83.8 vs. 52.8%, P = 0.004). In contrast, there were more patients who showed MBG 0 or 1 (the worse myocardial blush) in the higher MAGE group (16.2 vs. 47.2%, P = 0.004) (Fig. 3b). The results of the STRes analysis are shown in Fig. 4. Although there was no significant difference in the incidence of partial resolution between the two groups (32.4 vs. 36.1%, P = 0.741), there were significant differences in the percentage of patients classified as complete and none. A lower incidence of complete STRes was found in the higher MAGE group (56.8 vs. 33.3%, P = 0.044), and this group also showed a greater incidence of no resolution (10.8 vs. 30.6%. P = 0.037) (Fig. 4). MAGE was associated with microcirculatory disturbance and myocardial damage after PCI in nondiabetic STEMI patients.

# Discussion

#### Myocardial damage

The present study showed that GV during the subacute phase after PCI was associated with coronary microcirculatory disturbance and the amount of myocardial



Study population. Consecutive patients (n = 128) who had ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with emergent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) were enrolled. Seventy-three eligible patients underwent continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) and were classified into a lower mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) group or a higher MAGE group on the basis of the median MAGE (62.5 mg/dl). AMI, acute myocardial infarction; DM, diabetes mellitus; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test.

damage in nondiabetic STEMI patients. In this study, myocardial damage after PCI was estimated with MBG and STRes, which are noninvasive, inexpensive and reliable indicators that have been clinically validated. Reflecting myocardial damage, maximum CPK-MB value was also significantly higher in the higher MAGE group. Tsuchida *et al.* [4] also evaluated myocardial damage in 63 STEMI patients (including 33 nondiabetic patients) with STRes and reported that MAGE was significantly higher in the group with STRes less than 30%. However, we did not address the potential mechanisms of the relationship between GV and myocardial damage in nondiabetic AMI patients.

Several mechanisms for the effect of GV on myocardial damage were considered. First, acute hyperglycemia abolishes the effect of ischemic preconditioning, which is an independent predictor of myocardial damage [20]. This is thought to be caused by attenuation of mitochondrial ATP-regulated potassium channel activation [21].

A second hypothesis for the effect of GV on myocardial damage is that there was distal embolization caused by PCI, which caused microcirculatory disturbance in the higher MAGE group. Exposure to daily higher GV can impair vascular plaque stability. Gohbara *et al.* [22] examined the

relationship between GV measured by CGM during the stable phase after AMI and plaque vulnerability measured by optical coherence tomography in nonculprit vessels. In their subgroup analysis of nondiabetic patients (n=35), thin-cap fibroatheroma was identified in 26% of the patients, and MAGE was a significant predictor of thin-cap fibroatheroma. Thus, because of the relationship between GV and plaque vulnerability, patients with higher GV may develop microcirculatory disturbance because of more distal embolization after reperfusion therapy.

A third hypothesis is that increased GV may enhance myocardial damage caused by reperfusion injury. Acute hyperglycemia has been shown to be associated with the no-reflow phenomena (reperfusion injury) after PCI in patients with STEMI [23]. Of course, reperfusion therapy by itself rapidly causes endothelial dysfunction and microcirculatory disturbance [24]. In diabetic patients, GV induces the generation of oxygen-free radicals and activation of protein kinase C [25], and these are two of the causes of reperfusion injury. Teraguchi *et al.* [5] reported a negative relationship between GV during the acute phase of AMI and the myocardial salvage area after PCI in both nondiabetic patients (n = 24) and diabetic patients (n = 14). Furthermore, GV was significantly associated with an oxidative stress marker [5]. Monnier



#### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

	Total (n = 73)	Lower MAGE $(n=37)$	Higher MAGE ( $n = 36$ )	P value
Coronary risk factors				
Age (years)	$64.2 \pm 10.4$	$64.7 \pm 11.1$	63.7±9.7	0.698
Male sex	55 (75.3)	29 (78.4)	26 (72.2)	0.548
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.1±3.1	22.8±3.1	23.3±3.1	0.498
Hypertension	48 (65.8)	23 (62.2)	25 (69.4)	0.519
Dvslipidemia	52 (71.2)	25 (67.6)	27 (75.0)	0.490
Current smoker	31 (42.5)	14 (37.8)	17 (47.2)	0.548
Glucose profiles				
HbA1c (%)	5.8±0.4	5.7±0.3	5.9±0.4	0.015
PG at hospitalization	$155.3 \pm 45.2$	141.5±35.0	169.3±51.0	0.087
75-a OGTT (ma/dl)				
Fasting PG	97.9±10.1	$93.9 \pm 7.5$	101.9±10.9	0.001
60 min PG	188.3+51.0	169.3+35.6	208.4+39.1	< 0.001
120 min PG	165.8±49.7	141.5±42.3	$191.4 \pm 44.2$	< 0.001
IGT	52 (71.2)	21 (56.8)	31 (86.1)	0.005
IFG	7 (9.6)	1 (2.7)	6 (16.7)	0.043
Fasting IRI (uU/ml)	60+35	5.3+2.7	6.7+4.0	0.084
HOMA-IR	15+10	12+07	17+12	0.044
НОМА-В	63 2 + 33 0	$626 \pm 307$	63 8 + 35 6	0.880
CGMS	00.2 ± 00.0	02.0 ± 00.1	00.0 ± 00.0	0.000
SD	25.0+9.9	191+60	32 1 + 8 8	< 0.001
MAGE (mg/dl)	$676 \pm 25.4$	493+119	86.8 + 21.3	-
Mean PG (mg/dl)	$1194 \pm 163$	111 8+11 7	$1974 \pm 167$	< 0.001
Minimum PG (mg/dl)	72 2 + 16 1	73 5 + 14 7	70.9+176	0.506
Maximum PG (mg/dl)	$100.7 \pm 10.1$	1715+300	229.6+35.8	< 0.000
Time in hypoglycemia (h)	0.0+1.6	07+13	10+18	0.178
Laboratory data	0.9±1.0	0.7 ± 1.5	1.2 ± 1.0	0.170
Creatining (mg/dl)	10+07	10+06	11+08	0 796
$aGEP (ml/min/1.73 m^2)$	63 9 + 14 6	64 5 + 13 9	63 3 ± 15 0	0.730
Trighteoridee (mg/dl)	121 0+64 6	109.5 ± 62.9	124 1 + 66 1	0.710
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	131.2±04.0	$120.0 \pm 00.0$	134.1±00.1 116+96	0.714
I DL cholesterol (mg/dl)	$42.0 \pm 10.4$	43.0 ± 11.2	$41.0 \pm 9.0$	0.420
	$90.7 \pm 20.2$	97.5 ± 27.5	90.1 ± 29.5	0.800
	$0.31 \pm 0.43$	0.55 ± 0.56	1097+200	0.302
	$102.1 \pm 20.0$	90.2±21.0	100.7 ± 30.0	0.031
har CPR (mg/dl)	1 0 ± 1 2	248.1±212.5	373.0±203.3	0.029
Medieation	1.2±1.3	1.0±1.0	1.5±1.6	0.214
Statin use	72 (100)	27 (100)	26 (100)	
	73 (100)	37 (100)	38 (100)	-
	47 (64.4)	20 (54.1)	27 (77.8)	0.063
p-Blocker use	43 (58.9)	20 (54.1)	23 (63.9)	0.400
The number of diseased users la	10107	16108	1 5 1 0 0	0 570
	1.6±0.7	1.0±0.8	1.5±0.6	0.572
STINIAA score	12.0±0.7	12.1±0.2	13.2±7.3	0.494
Anterior AIVII	35 (47.9)	14 (37.8)	21 (58.3)	0.082
Nonanterior AMI	38 (52.1)	23 (62.2)	15 (41.7)	0.082
Door-to-balloon time	67.6±21.6	70.7±22.2	64.3±21.0	0.902
BMS	2 (2.7)	1 (2.7)	1 (2.8)	0.985
Second generation DES	71 (97.3)	36 (97.3)	35 (97.2)	0.985
EES	50 (68.5)	27 (73.0)	23 (63.9)	0.139
BES	6 (8.2)	4 (10.8)	2 (5.6)	0.382
ZES	15 (20.5)	3 (8.1)	12 (33.3)	0.010
Thrombus aspiration	39 (53.4)	19 (51.4)	20 (55.6)	0.723

Data are represented as mean  $\pm$  SD or *n* (%).

P<0.05 was considered significant.

ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BES, biolimus-eluting stent; BMS, bare-metal stent; CGMS, continuous glucose monitoring system; CPK-MB, creatine phosphokinase-MB; DES, drug-eluting stent; EES, everolimus-eluting stent; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; EPA/AA, the ratio of eicosapentaenoic acid to total arachidonic acid; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA-IR and HOMA- $\beta$ , homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance and  $\beta$ -cell function; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; IRI, immunoreactive insulin; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MAGE, mean amplitude of glycemic excursions; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; ox-LDLc, oxidized low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; PG, plasma glucose; 60 and 120 min PG, plasma glucose at 60 and 120 min after the glucose load; ZES, zotarolimuseluting stent.

*et al.* [3] and Abeer *et al.* [26] also reported that GV correlated with an oxidative stress marker in patients with DM. In the present study, ox-LDLc, an oxidative stress marker was significantly greater in the higher MAGE group. Thus, oxidative stress activated by acute hyperglycemia may induce endothelial dysfunction and impair myocardial salvage even in nondiabetic patients.

#### Study limitations

First, this study was a small, cross-sectional study conducted at a single center. Therefore, there were the possibilities of several biases and misidentification of causality. This study clarified the relationship between GV and myocardial damage in nondiabetic STEMI patients. We believe that high GV causes deleterious



Results of myocardial blush grade (MBG). (a) The mean $\pm$ SD of MBGs are shown. (b) The percentage of patients with MBG 2 or 3 (the better myocardial blush) and MBG 0 or 1 (the worse myocardial blush) are shown. The higher mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) group showed significantly lower levels of MBG.

effects on myocardial damage after PCI. Nevertheless, the possibility that a large amount of myocardial damage and neurohormonal stress of AMI increases GV even in the subacute phase might be considered. Second, we excluded some patients, as mentioned earlier, and it remains uncertain whether the present results can be applied to these patients. Third, the types of implanted stents were not consistent. Detailed follow-up analysis of the culprit lesion that required stenting with IVUS or optical coherence tomography was not performed in all cases. Thus, it is unknown whether the difference in stent type affected the results. In addition, IVUS assessment of plaque vulnerability was excluded from analysis because the conditions were not uniform across patients. Fourth, although MAGE was calculated from CGMS data during the subacute phase of AMI after PCI, a residual inflammatory response may have affected GV. In addition, a patient's diet in the hospital could differ from their ordinary diet, which could have also influenced GV. We used a 48-h period to determine MAGE



Results of ST-segment resolution (STRes). Complete STRes was defined as at least 70% resolution of the initial sum of ST-segment elevation, partial STRes was defined as 30–70% resolution and none was defined as less than 30% resolution. There were significant differences in the complete and none categories of STRes. The higher mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE) group showed lower levels of STRes.

after the condition of all patients had stabilized. Given that patients had energy-controlled meals during hospitalization, the present results might have underestimated the association between MAGE and myocardial damage. Under those conditions, however, a significant association was noted between MAGE and myocardial damage in the present study.

### Conclusion

GV was related to microcirculatory disturbance and myocardial damage after PCI in nondiabetic STEMI patients.

Abolishment of ischemic preconditioning, plaque vulnerability, and oxidative stress because of high GV may cause deleterious effects on myocardial damage. Even in nondiabetic AMI patients, high GV may be an important target for prevention of myocardial damage.

Alternatively, a large amount of myocardial damage may be expressed as high GV. MAGE is useful for the estimation of myocardial damage after PCI in AMI patients.

## Acknowledgements

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- I Ishihara M, Kagawa E, Inoue I, Kawagoe T, Shimatani Y, Kurisu S, et al. Impact of admission hyperglycemia and diabetes mellitus on short- and longterm mortality after acute myocardial infarction in the coronary intervention era. Am J Cardiol 2007: 99:1674–1679.
- 2 Ceriello A, Esposito K, Piconi L, Ihnat MA, Thorpe JE, Testa R, *et al.* Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 2008; **57**:1349–1354.

- 3 Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, Michel F, Villon L, Cristol JP, Colette C. Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. *JAMA* 2006; 295:1681–1687.
- 4 Tsuchida K, Nakamura N, Soda S, Sakai R, Nishida K, Hiroki J, et al. Relationship between glucose fluctuations and ST-segment resolution in patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction. Int Heart J 2017; 58:328–334.
- 5 Teraguchi I, Imanishi T, Ozaki Y, Tanimoto T, Ueyama M, Orii M, *et al.* Acutephase glucose fluctuation is negatively correlated with myocardial salvage after acute myocardial infarction. *Circ J* 2014; **78**:170–179.
- 6 Ishihara M, Inoue I, Kawagoe T, Shimatani Y, Kurisu S, Hata T, et al. Is admission hyperglycaemia in non-diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction a surrogate for previously undiagnosed abnormal glucose tolerance? Eur Heart J 2006; 27:2413–2419.
- 7 Tominaga M, Eguchi H, Manaka H, Igarashi K, Kato T, Sekikawa A. Impaired glucose tolerance is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease, but not impaired fasting glucose. The Funagata Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22:920–924.
- 8 [No authors listed]. Glucose tolerance and mortality: comparison of WHO and American Diabetes Association diagnostic criteria. The DECODE Study Group. European Diabetes Epidemiology Group. Diabetes Epidemiology: collaborative analysis of diagnostic criteria in Europe. *Lancet* 1999; 354:617–621.
- 9 Hanefeld M, Fischer S, Julius U, Schulze J, Schwanebeck U, Schmechel H, et al. Risk factors for myocardial infarction and death in newly detected NIDDM: the Diabetes Intervention Study, 11-year follow-up. *Diabetologia* 1996; **39**:1577–1583.
- 10 D'Archangelo MJ. New guideline supports the development and evaluation of continuous interstitial glucose monitoring devices. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2008; 2:332–334.
- 11 Fu Y, Goodman S, Chang WC, van de Werf F, Granger CB, Armstrong PW. Time to treatment influences the impact of ST-segment resolution on oneyear prognosis: insights from the assessment of the safety and efficacy of a new thrombolytic (ASSENT-2) trial. *Circulation* 2001; **104**:2653–2659.
- 12 Schroder R, Dissmann R, Bruggemann T, Wegscheider K, Linderer T, Tebbe U, Neuhaus KL. Extent of early ST segment elevation resolution: a simple but strong predictor of outcome in patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994; 24:384–391.
- 13 Schroder R, Wegscheider K, Schroder K, Dissmann R, Meyer-Sabellek W. Extent of early ST segment elevation resolution: a strong predictor of outcome in patients with acute myocardial infarction and a sensitive measure to compare thrombolytic regimens. A substudy of the International Joint Efficacy Comparison of Thrombolytics (INJECT) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995; **26**:1657–1664.

- 14 American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2013; **36** (Suppl 1):S67–S74.
- 15 Service FJ, Molnar GD, Rosevear JW, Ackerman E, Gatewood LC, Taylor WF. Mean amplitude of glycemic excursions, a measure of diabetic instability. *Diabetes* 1970; 19:644–655.
- 16 Van't Hof AW, Liem A, Suryapranata H, Hoorntje JC, de Boer MJ, Zijlstra F. Angiographic assessment of myocardial reperfusion in patients treated with primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: myocardial blush grade. Zwolle Myocardial Infarction Study Group. *Circulation* 1998; 97:2302–2306.
- 17 The TIMI Study Group. Comparison of invasive and conservative strategies after treatment with intravenous tissue plasminogen activator in acute myocardial infarction. Results of the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) phase II trial. N Engl J Med 1989; 320:618–627.
- 18 The TIMI Study Group. The thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) trial. Phase I findings. N Engl J Med 1985; 312:932–936.
- 19 Kappetein AP, Dawkins KD, Mohr FW, Morice MC, Mack MJ, Russell ME, et al. Current percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass grafting practices for three-vessel and left main coronary artery disease. Insights from the SYNTAX run-in phase. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2006; 29:486–491.
- 20 Kersten JR, Schmeling TJ, Orth KG, Pagel PS, Warltier DC. Acute hyperglycemia abolishes ischemic preconditioning in vivo. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 1998; 275:H721–H725.
- 21 Kersten JR, Montgomery MW, Ghassemi T, Gross ER, Toller WG, Pagel PS, Warltier DC. Diabetes and hyperglycemia impair activation of mitochondrial K (ATP) channels. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2001; 280:H1744–H1750.
- 22 Gohbara M, Hibi K, Mitsuhashi T, Maejima N, Iwahashi N, Kataoka S, et al. Glycemic variability on continuous glucose monitoring system correlates with non-culprit vessel coronary plaque vulnerability in patients with first-episode acute coronary syndrome – optical coherence tomography study. *Circ J* 2016; 80:202–210.
- 23 Iwakura K, Ito H, Ikushima M, Kawano S, Okamura A, Asano K, et al. Association between hyperglycemia and the no-reflow phenomenon in patients with acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003; 41:1–7.
- 24 Ito H. No-reflow phenomenon and prognosis in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med 2006; 3:499–506.
- 25 Di Carli MF, Janisse J, Grunberger G, Ager J. Role of chronic hyperglycemia in the pathogenesis of coronary microvascular dysfunction in diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003; **41**:1387–1393.
- 26 Abeer AAL, Alsalamony AM, Fatani SH, Kamel HFM. Effect of variable antidiabetic treatments strategy on oxidative stress markers in obese patients with T2DM. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 2017; **9**:27.