# Review Article Whether Chinese Medicine Have Effect on Halitosis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

## Xinyu Wu, Jie Zhang, Yikun Zhou, Ze He, Qiaoyi Cai, and Min Nie 🝺

The State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Basic Science of Stomatology, Hubei Province & Key Laboratory of Oral Biomedicine (Wuhan University), Ministry of Education, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Luoyu Road 237, Wuhan 430079, Hubei, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Min Nie; niemin@whu.edu.cn

Received 3 September 2018; Revised 16 October 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018; Published 26 November 2018

Academic Editor: Chang G. Son

Copyright © 2018 Xinyu Wu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Object.* Halitosis has great adverse impact on personal and social life. There is no strong evidence for the effect of Chinese medicine (CM) and combined Chinese and western medicine (CWM) on halitosis. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the effective rate of CM and CWM on halitosis. *Materials and Methods.* Literature search in English and Chinese was conducted in PubMed, Embase, CNKI, CBM, and Wanfang database. Study selection and data collection were conducted. Risks of bias were assessed by the Cochrane tool. Synthesis of results was done by RevMan 5.3. p<0.05 was considered significant difference. Subgroup analysis by classification of halitosis and sensitivity analysis were also conducted. *Results.* Seventeen studies were included. The follow-up length ranged from five days to eight weeks. CM had significantly better effect than WM on intraoral halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =24%; RR=1.21 (95% CI, 1.04, 1.40), P=0.01) and extraoral halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =0; RR=1.39 (95% CI, 1.19, 1.63), P<0.0001). CWM had significantly better effect than WM on intraoral halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =0; RR=1.25 (95% CI, 1.16, 1.35), P<0.00001) and extraoral halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =0; RR=1.19 (95% CI, 1.08, 1.31), P=0.0004). Subgroup analysis and sensitivity analysis showed insignificant results. *Conclusion.* With the limitation of our study, both CM and CWM have significantly better effect on halitosis than WM. More effort should be made to explore long-term effect of CM and CWM on halitosis. This study was registered with the PROSPERO (ID: CRD42018107229).

# 1. Introduction

Halitosis is defined as offensive odor exhaling from oral cavity, the main component of which is volatile sulphur compounds (VSCs) including hydrogen sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and methyl mercaptan [1]. Halitosis, with a world-wide prevalence rate ranging from 15% to 93% [2–7], is considered as the most disfavoring aspect in personal and social life [8].

Halitosis is classified as genuine halitosis, pseudohalitosis, and halitophobia [9]. Eighty to ninety percent of genuine halitosis has intraoral sources, including gingivitis, periodontitis, and tongue coating in favor of microorganism [8]. Halitosis with extraoral sources comes from systematic diseases such as nasal inflammation, diabetes mellitus, respiratory, and digestive diseases or medication [9]. Current western medicine (WM) for halitosis mainly includes mechanical methods (periodontal initial treatment, oral prophylaxis, tooth brushing, flossing, and tongue cleaning) and chemical methods (chlorhexidine, essential oil, menthol, chlorine dioxide, and two-phase oil-water rinse) [10]. However, WM mainly diminish the level of VSCs or related anaerobic bacteria thus having drug resistance and side effect on the existing oral microbial ecology [11]. In cases of extraoral health, WM discussed above has little effect on the systematic sources, resulting in relatively high recurrence rate and low patient satisfaction [2]. Besides, there is no way to treat halitosis with unknown sources [9].

Research in halitosis in traditional Chinese medicine (CM) dates back to thousands of years ago. According to ancient books in China, halitosis is defined as rotting smell from mouth and has been treated with acupuncture, moxibustion, and decoction of Chinese herbs. Recently, a number of randomized controlled clinical trials in China reported that orally administrated CM and combined Chinese and western medicine (CWM) have superior effects on halitosis than WM alone. But no synthesis of those results has been made. Accumulating evidence has aroused interest in CM and CWM as alternative methods for halitosis.

The aim of the present study is to evaluate effective rate of CM and CWM versus WM on intraoral and extraoral halitosis. We review randomized controlled clinical trials, of which the intervention is CM or CWM and the control is WM.

# 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Registration. This systematic review was written according to the PRISMA list [29]. The protocol has registered in the PROSPERO (ID: CRD42018107229).

2.2. Eligible Criteria. Only randomized controlled clinical trials were included in this systematic review. The intervention should be Chinese herbs for mouth rinse or taken orally, combined with western therapy or not. The control should be western therapy. Subjects have either intraoral halitosis or extraoral halitosis. To be diagnosed as intraoral halitosis, subjects should not have systematic disease that could induce oral malodor. Outcome measurements should include effective rate.

Studies were excluded if halitosis was a syndrome of disease and not specially estimated. RCTs in which control group is blank or placebo were also excluded.

2.3. Search Strategy. Two individual researchers (XY Wu and J. Zhang) conducted literature search independently and in duplicate. A third researcher (M Nie) was consulted if disagreements occurred. We searched articles in English or Chinese, from database including CNKI, CBM, Wanfang, PubMed, and Embase from 2000.1 to 2018.6.

In CNKI, CBM, and Wanfang, search strategies were ("kouchou" OR "kouqiangyiwei") AND ("zhongyi" OR "zhongxiyijiehe" OR "zhongyao"). In PubMed and Embase, search strategies were ("halitosis " OR "bad breath" OR "oral malodor" OR "breath ordor") AND ("Chinese medicine" OR "combined traditional and Western medicine" OR "chinese herb\*")

2.4. Study Selection and Data Collection. Study selection and data collection were conducted by two researchers (XY Wu and J. Zhang), independently and in duplicate. Any disagreement was solved by discussion with a third researcher (M. Nie).

Study selection procedure was conducted according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Firstly, all the results in the databases above were gathered together and duplications were discarded. Secondly, Titles and abstracts were scanned. Full-texts were accessed if they might meet our criteria or they were needed for further confirmation. Thirdly, we assessed full-texts and determined the included studies. In this step, reasons for excluding studies were recorded. We also conducted a manual search in the references and citation database of included studies. After study selection, data for all included studies were extracted. Key information included first author, country, publication year, the number of subjects, criteria for halitosis diagnosis and treatment effect assessment, treatment methods, follow-up length, and outcome measurements. Authors were contacted for missing data if necessary.

2.5. Risks of Bias Assessment. The Cochrane tool [30] was used to evaluate risks of bias. According to the tool, selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, and reporting bias were evaluated according to the sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, and other potential risks. In this systematic review, risks of bias induced by different halitosis diagnosis criteria included studies were reflected in "Other bias". Judgements were classified as "high risk of bias", "unclear risk of bias", and "low risk of bias".

2.6. Synthesis of Results. Review Manager 5.3 was used to synthesize data. We used dichotomous outcome measures to evaluate effective with 95% CI. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The fixed effect model was used when less than four studies were included in a meta-analysis while the random-effects model was used when four studies or more were included. Statistical heterogeneity among the studies was evaluated with the Cochrane Q test and I<sup>2</sup> statistic.

2.7. Subgroup Analysis and Sensitivity Analysis. Subgroup analysis by classification of halitosis was conducted. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by excluding studies in which no criteria for halitosis diagnosis were mentioned. When studies in one group had ten studies or more, we evaluated the possibility of publication bias by a funnel plot of the mean differences for asymmetry.

### 3. Results

*3.1. Study Selection.* We got 21, 47, 361, 369, and 522 results from PubMed, Embase, CNKI, Wanfang, and CBM, respectively. After discarding duplications, 896 results remained. Titles and abstracts were scanned and 822 articles were excluded. For the remaining 74 articles, we assessed full-texts and excluded 56 articles. Reasons for exclusion are listed in Figure 1. Besides, one study [31] was excluded from meta-analysis for longer follow-up length (one year) than others. Seventeen studies were included in the final quantitative synthesis. Details are listed in Figure 1.

3.2. Study Characteristics. All the 17 articles were randomized controlled clinical trials in Chinese. Intervention includes CM and CWM. For the effect of CM on halitosis, six studies were included [12–17] with a follow-up length ranging from five days to eight weeks. For the effect of CWM on halitosis, 11 studies were included [18–28], with a follow-up length ranging from one week to one month. Intraoral halitosis mainly originated from gingivitis, periodontitis, and poor oral care. Extraoral halitosis mainly originated from systematic diseases such as gastritis, constipation, and children amygdalitis. Criteria for halitosis diagnosis and treatment



FIGURE 1: Flow diagram for study selection.

effect assessment were different among studies. Details are listed in Table 1.

3.3. Risks of Bias Assessment. For randomization, three studies described the method and were considered appropriate. Thirteen studies were described as randomized without describing the methods. And three studies did not mention method of randomization. Only one study described methods of allocation concealment and double blinding appropriately. All studies fully reported outcomes described in methods. No withdrawal or dropout was reported. Other risks of bias were assessed regarding different halitosis diagnosis criteria. Four studies did not mention any method for halitosis diagnosis, considered as high risks of bias. Two studies mentioned an organoleptic test without describing evaluation scale, considered as unclear risks of bias. All included studies showed high risks of bias in at least one domain. (Figures 2 and 3)

3.4. Results of Individual Studies. For effective rate of CM on halitosis (Figure 4), CM had significantly better effect than WM on intraoral halitosis ( $I^2 = 24\%$ ; RR=1.21 (95% CI, 1.04,



FIGURE 2: Risk of bias item in each included study.



FIGURE 3: Risk of bias item among included studies.

	Subjects (INT/CON)	Halitosis	Intervention	Control	Follow-up length	Diagnosis*	Treatment effect * *
Xiao et al.(2009)[12]	30/30	intra-oral	CM taken orally	PIT	10d	A	щ
				2.5% sodium			
Ren et al.(2015)[13]	30/30	intra-oral	CM mouth rinse	bicarbonate solution	10d	C	ц
				mouth rinse			
Jia et al.(2011)[14]	10/9	intra-oral	CM mouth rinse	PIT	lm	D	ц
Zhao et al.(2012)[15]	27/26	extra-oral	CM taken orally	WM taken orally	8w	В	щ
Chang et al(2017)[16]	35/36	extra-oral	CM taken orally	WM taken orally	lm	D	щ
Du et al (2017)[17]	213/104	extra-oral	CM taken orally	WM taken orally	5d	В	щ
Liang et al.(2013)[18]	50/50	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	LId	10d	А	ц
Wu et al.(2009)[19]	48/48	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	2w	A	ц
Wang et al.(2015)[20]	37/37	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	10d	А	ц
Ma et al.(2017)[21]	42/42	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	10d	А	ц
Li et al.(2009)[22]	40/35	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	10d	А	ц
Sun et al.(2014)[23]	25/23	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	10d	А	ц
Cao et al.(2015)[24]	30/30	intra-oral	CM taken orally, PIT	PIT	lw	C	ц
Zhan et al.(2009)[25]	30/30	extra-oral	CM taken orally, WM taken orally	WM taken orally	Im	Α	Э
			CM taken orally,	Surgery WM taken			
Yang et al.(2015)[26]	43/43	extra-oral	surgery plus WM taken orally	orally	3w	D	ц
Shi et al.(2013)[27]	24/23	extra-oral	CM taken orally, WM taken orally	WM taken orally	lw	D	ц
Lai et al.(2013)[28]	36/35	extra-oral	CM taken orally, WM taken orally	WM taken orally	lm	Υ	Щ
INT/CON=intervention gr * Criteria for halitosis diag 20cm away from the patier strong). Halitosis was diagn patient). C, olfaction diagn	oup/control group; PIT=perio nosis. A, organoleptic measure it assess and classify the severi nosed if a patient scored 2 poin osis but no specific method wa	dontal initial ther ement and Rosen ity of bad odor a. nts or higher. B, 0 is described. D, n	rapy. berg scale. In an organoleptic tes s 0 to 5 points (Rosenberg scale, CM symptom rating scale (0: no ot mentioned. Halitosis was diag	st, the patient takes deep breath l of: no odor, 1: barely noticeable, odor, 1: self-sensed odor, 2: othe mosed if a patient scored 1 point.	y nose, hold it for a while, i 2: slight but clearly noticea rs could smell bad odor, an or higher:	and exhale by mout ble, 3: moderate, 4: id 3: sever odor tha	th. An examiner standing strong, and 5: extremely t keeps others away from

TABLE 1: Study characteristics for included studies.

patient). C, olfaction diagnosis but no specific method was described. D, not mentioned. Halitosis was diagnosed if a patient scored 1 point or higher. \*\* Criteria for treatment effect. E, bad odor alleviated and scores reduced by no less than one point after treatment. F. bad odor alleviated or disappeared after treatment.

Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

	CM gr	oup	WM gr	oup		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M–H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.1.1 CM for intra-o	ral halito	sis					
Jia.L 2011	10	10	6	9	5.5%	1.47 [0.92, 2.36]	] +
Ren.XJ 2015	28	30	26	30	20.9%	1.08 [0.91, 1.28]	] +
Xiao.CH 2009 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>	27	30 <b>70</b>	21	30 <b>69</b>	16.9% <b>43.2%</b>	1.29 [0.99, 1.67] <b>1.21 [1.04, 1.40]</b>	] I ◆
Total events	65		53				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	2.63, df : Z = 2.52	= 2 (P 2 (P = 0	= 0.27); 0.01)	l <sup>2</sup> = 24	%		
1.1.2 CM for extra-o	ral halito	osis					
Chang.YS 2017	33	35	21	36	16.6%	1.62 [1.21, 2.16]	]
Du.HZ 2017	122	142	21	35	27.1%	1.43 [1.08, 1.89]	] —
Zhao.Y 2012 <b>Subtotal (95% CI)</b>	24	27 <b>204</b>	16	26 <b>97</b>	13.1% <b>56.8%</b>	1.44 [1.04, 2.01] <b>1.49 [1.25, 1.77]</b>	
Total events Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect	179 0.42, df : Z = 4.48	= 2 (P 8 (P < 0	58 = 0.81); ).00001)	$I^2 = 0\%$			
Total (95% CI)		274		166	100.0%	1.37 [1.21, 1.54]	Ⅰ ♦
Total events	244		111				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	9.40, df	= 5 (P	= 0.09);	$I^2 = 47$	%		
Test for overall effect	: Z = 5.1	5 (P < 0	).00001)				Eavours [WM group] Eavours [CM group]
Test for subgroup dif	ferences:	Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.23, df	= 1 (P)	= 0.07),	$l^2 = 69.1\%$	

FIGURE 4: Forest plot for CM on halitosis.

	CWM gi	roup	WM gr	oup		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
1.2.1 CWM for intra-	oral halit	osis					
Wang.SC 2015	34	37	29	37	9.3%	1.17 [0.97, 1.42]	
Wu.GR 2009	46	48	39	48	16.0%	1.18 [1.02, 1.37]	
Liang.YL 2013	46	50	37	50	10.4%	1.24 [1.03, 1.49]	
Li.XF 2009	36	40	25	35	6.4%	1.26 [1.00, 1.59]	
Ma.DL 2017	37	42	29	42	6.6%	1.28 [1.01, 1.61]	
Cao.QMG 2015	28	30	20	30	4.8%	1.40 [1.07, 1.83]	
Sun.SM 2014	43	45	30	45	7.5%	1.43 [1.15, 1.78]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		292		287	60.9%	1.25 [1.16, 1.35]	•
Total events	270		209				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 3.3$	87, df =	6 (P = 0	).76); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.84	(P < 0.	00001)				
1.2.2 CWM for extra-	oral hali	tosis					
Shi.WB 2013	22	24	19	23	7.0%	1.11 [0.89, 1.39]	
Zhan.L 2009	29	30	25	30	11.7%	1.16 [0.98, 1.38]	
Lai.JZ 2013	34	36	28	35	10.4%	1.18 [0.98, 1.42]	
Yang.JX 2015	41	43	32	43	10.0%	1.28 [1.06, 1.55]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		133		131	39.1%	1.19 [1.08, 1.30]	•
Total events	126		104				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 1.0$	)8, df =	3 (P = 0	).78); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%	
Test for overall effect:	: Z = 3.53	(P = 0)	.0004)				
Total (95% CI)		425		418	100.0%	1.23 [1.16, 1.30]	•
Total events	396		313				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.00; Ch	$i^2 = 5.3$	86, df =	10 (P =	0.87); I <sup>2</sup>	= 0%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.77	′ (P < 0.	00001)				U.US U.2 I S 20
Test for subaroup diff	ferences:	$Chi^2 = 0$	0.81. df	= 1 (P =	= 0.37), l <sup>2</sup>	$^{2} = 0\%$	ravours (www.group) ravours (Cww.group)

FIGURE 5: Forest plot for CWM on halitosis.

1.40), P=0.01) and extraoral halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =0; RR=1.39 (95% CI, 1.19, 1.63), P<0.0001). Subgroup analysis showed insignificant result (P=0.07, I<sup>2</sup> =69.1%).

For effective rate of CWM on halitosis (Figure 5), CWM had significantly better effect than WM on intraoral halitosis ( $I^2 = 0$ ; RR=1.25 (95% CI, 1.16, 1.35), P<0.00001) and extraoral

halitosis (I<sup>2</sup> =0; RR=1.19 (95% CI, 1.08, 1.31), P=0.0004). Subgroup analysis showed insignificant result (P=0.37, I<sup>2</sup> =0).

3.5. Additional Analysis. For sensitivity analysis, four studies were excluded in which no criteria for halitosis diagnosis were mentioned. The effect of CM on intraoral halitosis ( $I^2 = 29\%$ ;



FIGURE 6: Funnel plot of included studies on CWM.

RR=1.17 (95% CI, 1.00, 1.36), P=0.04) and extraoral halitosis ( $I^2$  =0; RR=1.44 (95% CI, 1.16, 1.78), P=0.001) was significantly better than that of WM. The effect of CWM on intraoral halitosis ( $I^2$  =0; RR=1.25 (95% CI, 1.16, 1.35), P<0.00001) and extraoral halitosis ( $I^2$  =0; RR=1.17 (95% CI, 1.03, 1.33), P=0.01) was significantly better than that of WM. Sensitivity analysis presented robust results. A funnel plot was made for included studies on CWM on halitosis versus WM (Figure 6).

### 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to synthesize the results of the effect of CM and CWM on halitosis. With the limitation of follow-up length, both CM and CWM have significantly better effect on halitosis than WM.

Better effect of both CM and CWM on halitosis was in accordance with previous studies. An in vivo study [32] reported that chlorhexidine mouth rinse, containing pericarp extract of *Garcinia mangostana* L., significantly reduced VSCs level in gingivitis patients. Li MY et al. [33] reported that toothpaste mixed with Chinese herb extract showed better in vitro inhibition effect on VSCs genesis anaerobic bacteria than most other toothpaste on market. A four-week-period RCT [34] reported that Chinese herb Hyangsa-Pyeongwi san could alleviate halitosis and increase quality of life in functional dyspepsia patients and the effect only lasted for four weeks.

In CM theory, halitosis originates from retention of damp, heat or fire in mouth, stomach, liver, or spleen, all of which are interconnective as an entirety. So, CM treatment concentrates on a balance of the whole body rather than a certain organ. For example, Chinese herbs with "cold" properties, such as *Coptis chinensis, Scutellaria baicalensis, Lonicera japonica*, the root of red-rooted salvia, and rhizoma zingiberis, could clear away the damp, heat, and fire in body and cure halitosis [35]. Modern pharmacology explained the mechanisms of these herbs. *Coptis chinensis* has properties including antibacterial, antitoxin, antiulcer, and reducing gastric acid [36]. It decreased level of inflammatory cytokines such as VEGF and TNF in arthritis mouse serum and regulated the cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis related genes to alleviate inflammation [37, 38]. The extraction of *Coptis chinensis* could also inhibit urease to anti-*H. pylori*, the main inducer of gastritis-related halitosis [39–41]. Scutellaria baicalensis has effects on iNOS, COX2, NF $\kappa$ B, and inflammatory cytokines like IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, IL-12, and TNF- $\alpha$  [42–44]. *Lonicera japonica* had strong effects on VSCs and bacteriostasis functions on halitosis related anaerobic bacteria [45, 46].

In this study, CM shows better effect on extraoral halitosis while CWM shows better effect on intraoral halitosis, although the difference was not significant. According to the CM theory of "entirety", Chinese herbs should be admitted orally to be absorbed by body to exert influence. On the contrary, periodontal treatment in WM could reduce oral VSCs and related anaerobic bacteria immediately. So, the effect by CM was limited by a short follow-up length in this study. Better effect of CWM on intraoral halitosis suggested that CM could strengthen the effect of WM and, in turn, CM acted better on the basis of WM. CM and WM benefit from each other and combining them together lead to even superior results.

Different criteria for halitosis diagnosis and treatment effect assessment were used among included studies. However, sensitivity analysis showed no significant result. The gold standard for diagnosis of halitosis is organoleptic measurement [47], which is subjective and inconsistent. Furthermore, it is hard to grade the severity of halitosis with clear boundaries. This partly explains the low inheterogeneity among included studies despite different evaluation scales being used. Future studies should use quantifiable outcome measures, such as component in breath or saliva, to make their results reproducible and objective.

The protocol of this study has registered in the PROS-PERO to ensure a qualified methodology. The whole procedure was conducted according to the PRISMA list. We have done comprehensive literature search and covered nearly all available studies. Subgroup and sensitivity analysis was made to ensure the reliability of results. However, all studies included were in Chinese and scored high risks of bias in at least one domain. In one study [13] CM mouth rinse was used in treating intraoral halitosis while in others CM was taken orally. Only studies with short follow-up length (no longer than 8 weeks) were included. Till now evidence was still insufficient for long-term effect of CM and CWM on halitosis.

# 5. Conclusion

With the limitation of our study, both CM and CWM have significantly better effect on halitosis versus WM. Combining Chinese medicine and western medicine has quicker and stronger effect on halitosis in short term. More effort should be made on long-term effect of CM and CWM on halitosis.

# **Data Availability**

No additional data is available.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

No conflicts of interest are declared.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Min Nie and Xinyu Wu contributed to conception and design and manuscript revision. Xinyu Wu, Jie Zhang, and Min Nie contributed to database search, study selection, and data collection. Xinyu Wu and Yikun Zhou contributed to risks of bias assessment. Ze He was involved in statistical analysis. Qiaoyi Cai was involved in writing of the paper.

# References

- C. Scully and J. Greenman, "Halitosis (breath odor)," *Periodon-tology 2000*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 66–75, 2008.
- [2] A. V. D. Broek, L. Feenstra, and C. D. Baat, "A review of the current literature on management of halitosis," *Oral Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 30–39, 2008.
- [3] S. Corrao, "Halitosis: New insight into a millennial old problem," *Internal and Emergency Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 291-292, 2011.
- [4] N. A. A. Van, E. C. Veerman, L. Abraham-Inpijn, T. J. van Steenbergen, and A. J. van Winkelhoff, "van Winkelhoff, [Halitosis (fetor ex ore). A review], Nederlands tijdschrift voor tandheelkunde," 101(1) (1994).
- [5] G. Campisi, A. Musciotto, O. D. Fede, V. D. Marco, and A. Craxì, "Halitosis: Could it be more than mere bad breath?" *Internal* and Emergency Medicine, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 315–319, 2011.
- [6] A. de Jongh, C. de Baat, and M. Horstman, "[Psychosocial aspects of halitosis]," *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Tandheelkunde*, vol. 119, no. 9, pp. 436–440, 2012.
- [7] F. Romano, E. Pigella, N. Guzzi, and M. Aimetti, "Patients' self-assessment of oral malodour and its relationship with organoleptic scores and oral conditions.," *International Journal* of Dental Hygiene, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 41–46, 2010.
- [8] A. De Jongh, A. J. Van Wijk, M. Horstman, and C. De Baat, "Attitudes towards individuals with halitosis: An online cross

sectional survey of the Dutch general population," *British Dental Journal*, vol. 216, no. 4, p. E8, 2014.

- [9] B. U. Aylikci and H. Çolak, "Halitosis: From diagnosis to management," *Journal of Natural Science Biology & amp; Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 14–23, 2013.
- [10] J. M. Moran, "Home-use oral hygiene products: Mouthrinses," *Periodontology 2000*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 42–53, 2008.
- [11] P. D. Marsh, "The significance of maintaining the stability of the natural microflora of the mouth," *British Dental Journal*, vol. 171, no. 6, pp. 174–177, 1991.
- [12] X. Cuihua, "Observation on the efficacy of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine in treating halitosis caused by periodontitis," *Chinese Medical Innovation*, vol. 15, p. 35, 2009.
- [13] R. Xuejuan, X. Guihua, and Z. Yuqin, "Application of self-made Chinese medicine in oral care of patients with tracheotomy after cerebral hemorrhage operation," *Journal of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 6, pp. 1254–1256, 2015.
- [14] J. Li, L. Fu, and H. Yiyu, "Clinical observation on treating periodontitis with traditional Chinese medicine periodontal mixture," *Journal of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 4, 2011.
- [15] Z. Yan, Clinical research on treatment of immature Verrucous Gastritis (damp heat stasis type) by removing dampness and eliminating warts Decoction, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2012.
- [16] C. Yushuang, "Clinical observation on treating chronic functional constipation in children with pock kernel pill," *Pediatrics* of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, vol. 3, pp. 216–218, 2017.
- [17] D. Hongzhe, H. Siyuan, Z. Chengliang, and L. Xinmin, "Multicenter Clinical Study of Pudilan Xiaoyan Oral Liquid in Different Doses in Treating Acute Pharyngeal Tonsillitis with Pneumogastric Hyperthermia Syndrome in Children," *Chinese Herbs*, vol. 4, pp. 753–759, 2017.
- [18] L. Yulin, "Observation on the efficacy of combined treatment of traditional Chinese medicine and Western medicine for halitosis caused by periodontitis," *Chinese Disability Medicine*, vol. 5, pp. 247-248, 2013.
- [19] W. Guorong, "Clinical observation on treating halitosis with integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine," *Journal* of Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, vol. 8, pp. 166-167, 2009.
- [20] W. Shenchuan, "Treatment of 37 cases of halitosis caused by periodontitis with integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine," *Henan Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 9, pp. 2251-2252, 2015.
- [21] M. Dongling, "Clinical effect of integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine on halitosis caused by periodontitis," *Chinese Health Care Nutrition*, vol. 31, pp. 285-286, 2017.
- [22] L. Xuefeng, "Treatment of halitosis with periodontal disease by Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine," *Nei Mongol Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, vol. 24, pp. 17-18, 2009.
- [23] S. Shimei, "Clinical observation of Banxia Xiexin Decoction in treating Helicobacter pylori related halitosis," *Inner Mongolia Medical Journal*, vol. 33, no. 31, pp. 33-34, 2014.
- [24] C. QM, "Clinical observation of Mongolian medicine combined with western medicine in treating halitosis," *Inner Mongolia Medical Journal*, vol. 4, p. 380, 2015.

- [25] Z. Lin, Clinical observation on treating Hp related halitosis with integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 2009.
- [26] Y. Junxiong, L. Zhaojiong, L. Fujian, and G. Hang, "Clinical observation on treating gastric stones with integrated traditional Chinese and Western Medicine," *Modern Journal of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine*, vol. 4, pp. 413– 415, 2015.
- [27] S. Wenbin, S. Hong, C. Jing et al., "Clinical study on treatment of chronic gastritis with erosion, spleen stomach damp heat syndrome by modified Lian Pu Yin," in 25th national congress of Integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine digestive system diseases, Nanchang, pp. 141-142, 2013.
- [28] R. H. Hunt and G. N. Tytgat, *Helicobacter pylori*, Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [29] A. Liberati, D. G. Altman, J. Tetzlaff et al., "The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration," *Epidemiology Biostatistics Public Health*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. el–e34, 2009.
- [30] G. S. Higgins JPT, "Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 5.1.0 (updated March 2011)," The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.
- [31] P. Lin, Clinical study on treating chronic hepatitis B with spleen stomach damp heat syndrome and liver depression and spleen deficiency syndrome by Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Chengdu University of TCM, 2014.
- [32] S. Rassameemasmaung, A. Sirikulsathean, C. Amornchat, K. Hirunrat, P. Rojanapanthu, and W. Gritsanapan, "Effects of herbal mouthwash containing the pericarp extract of Garcinia mangostana L on halitosis, plaque and papillary bleeding index.," *Journal of the International Academy of Periodontology*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 19–25, 2007.
- [33] M.-Y. Li, J. Wang, and Z.-T. Xu, "Effect of a variety of Chinese herbs and an herb-containing dentifrice on volatile sulfur compounds associated with halitosis: An in vitro analysis," *Current Therapeutic Research - Clinical and Experimental*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 129–140, 2010.
- [34] J.-B. Kim, J.-W. Shin, J.-Y. Kang et al., "A traditional herbal formula, Hyangsa-Pyeongwi san (HPS), improves quality of life (QoL) of the patient with functional dyspepsia (FD): Randomized double-blinded controlled trial," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 279–286, 2014.
- [35] F. Guan, W. Lam, R. Hu, Y. K. Kim, H. Han, and Y. Cheng, "Majority of Chinese Medicine Herb Category "Qing Re Yao" Have Multiple Mechanisms of Anti-inflammatory Activity," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2018.
- [36] E. J. Doh, M. Y. Lee, B. S. Ko, and S.-E. Oh, "Differentiating *Coptis chinensis* from *Coptis japonica* and other *Coptis* species used in Coptidis Rhizoma based on partial *trnL-F* intergenic spacer sequences," *Genes & Genomics*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 345– 354, 2014.
- [37] L. Zhu, B. Huang, X. Ban et al., "Coptis chinensis inflorescence extract protection against ultraviolet-B-induced phototoxicity, and HPLC-MS analysis of its chemical composition," *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 2584–2588, 2012.
- [38] J. Yang, Z. Liu, B. Liu, and Q. Zhu, "Determination of coptis chinensis' quality by FT-NIR spectroscopy," *Health*, vol. 04, no. 04, pp. 196–202, 2012.
- [39] M. Zhao, L. Gao, and X. M. Peng, "Effect of Coptis Chinensis extract on proliferation of keratinocytes," *Chinese Journal of Information on Traditional Chinese Medicine*, 2010.

- [40] J. S. Lu, Y. Q. Liu, M. Li, B. S. Li, and Y. Xu, "[Protective effects and its mechanisms of total alkaloids from rhizoma Coptis chinensis on Helicobacter pylori LPS induced gastric lesion in rats]," *China Journal of Chinese Materia Medica*, vol. 32, no. 32, pp. 1333–1336, 2007.
- [41] J. Jung, J. S. Choi, and C.-S. Jeong, "Inhibitory activities of palmatine from *Coptis chinensis* against *Helicobactor pylori* and gastric damage," *Toxicological Research*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 45–48, 2014.
- [42] B. P. Burnett, Q. Jia, Y. Zhao, R. M. Levy, and S. Chen, "A medicinal extract of *Scutellaria baicalensis* and *Acacia catechu* acts as a dual inhibitor of cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase to reduce inflammation," *Journal of Medicinal Food*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 442– 451, 2007.
- [43] Q. Wang, H. Kuang, Y. Su et al., "Naturally derived antiinflammatory compounds from Chinese medicinal plants," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, vol. 146, no. 1, pp. 9–39, 2013.
- [44] L. Zhou and B.-Y. Zhou, "[Influence of baicalin on TNF-alpha and soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 in rats infected with Pneumocystis carinii]," *Zhongguo ji sheng chong xue yu ji sheng chong bing za zhi = Chinese journal of parasitology & parasitic diseases*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 144–147, 2009.
- [45] K. H. Rhee, "Antimicrobial Effects of Lonicera japonica against Gram Positive and Gram Negative Anaerobic Bacteria," in *c of Korea*, pp. 23–25, Kongju National University, Yesan, 2011.
- [46] J. Han, Q.-Y. Lv, S.-Y. Jin et al., "Comparison of anti-bacterial activity of three types of di-O-caffeoylquinic acids in Lonicera japonica flowers based on microcalorimetry," *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 108–113, 2014.
- [47] S. Erovic Ademovski, P. Lingström, E. Winkel, A. Tangerman, G. R. Persson, and S. Renvert, "Comparison of different treatment modalities for oral halitosis," *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 224–233, 2012.