Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Molecular Genetics and Metabolism Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ymgmr



Comparing expression and activity of PCSK9 in SPRET/EiJ and C57BL/6J mouse strains shows lack of correlation with plasma cholesterol*



Francine Sirois^a, Michel Chrétien^{a,b,c}, Majambu Mbikav^{a,b,c,*}

^a Functional Endoproteolysis Laboratory, Clinical Research Institute of Montreal, 110 avenue des Pins Ouest, Montreal, Quebec H2W 1R7, Canada

^b Chronic Disease Program, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, 725 Parkdale Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada

^c Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology, and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, 451 Smyth Road, Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8M5, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 September 2016 Accepted 26 November 2016 Available online 10 December 2016

Keywords: Mus spretus PCSK9 Genetic variation LDLR Lipoprotein Cholesterol Promoter

ABSTRACT

Objective: Low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) are opposing regulators of plasma LDL-cholesterol levels. The PCSK9 gene exhibits many single or compound polymorphisms within or among mammalian species. This is case between the SPRET/EiJ (SPRET) and C57BL/6J (B6) mouse strains. We examined whether these polymorphisms could be associated with differential expression and activity of their respective PCSK9 molecules.

Methods: Liver expression of LDLR and PCSK9 transcripts were assessed by RT-PCR, and that of their corresponding proteins by immunoblotting. Purified recombinant PCSK9 proteins were assayed for their ability to degrade LDLR. *Pcsk9* gene proximal promoters were tested for activation of a luciferase reporter gene.

Results: SPRET and B6 mice carried comparable levels of plasma cholesterol in spite of the fact that SPRET mice expressed less PCSK9 and more LDLR in liver. There were indels and single-base differences between their *Pcsk9* cDNA and promoter sequences. Ex vivo, SPRET PCSK9 protein was less secreted but was more active at degrading LDLR. Its gene promoter was more active at driving expression of the luciferase reporter.

Conclusions: Collectively, these results suggest that, compared to the B6 mouse, the SPRET mouse may represent an example of absence of direct correlation between PCSK9 and cholesterol levels in plasma, due to genetic variations leading to reduced secretion of PCSK9 associated with greater LDLR-degrading activity.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Cholesterol circulates in blood plasma as lipoprotein particles, primarily as high-density lipoproteins (HDL), low-density lipoproteins (LDL), and very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL). LDL is the main cholesterol transporter in humans as HDL is in mouse [1]. The plasma level of LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) is determined in large part by the level of their receptors (LDLR) at the surface of hepatocytes. Normally, LDLR binds LDL-C from blood, takes it into the cell and cycles back to the cell surface [2]. The recycling is down regulated by proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9), a self-inactivated enzyme turned escort protein, which is also predominantly produced by hepatocytes. PCSK9 binds to LDLR at the cell surface, gets endocytosed with it, prevents its recycling to the surface, rerouting it to lysosomes where it is degraded [3]. High level or activity of PCSK9 reduces the amount of LDLR at the surface of hepatocytes, resulting in diminished clearance of blood LDL-C; and vice versa. This has been widely confirmed by genetic epidemiology in humans [4–9], and by genetic or physiological manipulations in mice [10–12].

A phylogenetic study has shown that, apart from a region in the catalvtic domain, most of the vertebrate PCSK9 sequence can tolerate amino acid substitutions [13]. Moreover, the coding sequence of the human PCSK9 gene contains numerous non-synonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). With regards to the LDLR-degradation activity of the variant protein, some SNPs lead to a gain of function (GOF); others lead to a loss of function (LOF); others yet are neutral. GOF SNPs are associated with an increase of circulating cholesterol; LOF SNPs with its decrease [4,9,14]. Multiple SNPs of diverging phenotypes may sometimes be carried by the same PCSK9 allele [9]. In such cases of compound polymorphism, a measure of the level of circulating LDL-C combined with ex vivo evaluation LDLR-degrading activity of the polymorphic protein should help clarify the resultant phenotype. We decided to test this approach in mice. While exploring the Mouse Phenome Database established by The Jackson Laboratory (phenome.jax.org) for differences among inbred strains in plasma level non-HDL-C using the C57BL/6 J (B6) mouse as reference, we noticed that the Mus spretus SPRET/EiJ (SPRET) mouse contained the least. Here we investigate

2214-4269/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

[★] The sequences of the cDNA and the gene proximal promoter of SPRET/EiJ mouse PCSK9 have been deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers KX818098 and KC818097, respectively.

^{*} Corresponding author at: 725 Parkdale Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada.

E-mail addresses: Francine.sirois@ircm.qc.ca (F. Sirois), Michel.chretien@ircm.qc.ca (M. Chrétien), mmbikay@ohri.ca (M. Mbikay).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgmr.2016.11.006

whether the difference in plasma non-HDL-C level between the two strains was associated with corresponding difference in expression of PCSK9 and its LDLR-degrading activity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

B6 and SPRET mice were maintained at the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) in quiet rooms at about 20 °C and 50% humidity with 12-h light/dark cycle. They were provided with water and food at libitum. The food, LabDiet®5K0G, provided 22% of calories from protein, 16% from fat and 62% from carbohydrates. It contained 0.240% (g/g) of cholesterol. Plasma and liver from 8-weeks old male mice were collected following an approved necropsy protocol. The Jackson Laboratory is an institution assured by the Office of Laboratory Animal Welfare (OLAW) and accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALACi); for the care and use of laboratory animals, it abides by the guidelines of the National Institute of Health. Human hepatocytes Huh7 and human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells were obtained from Dr. Nabil G. Seidah (Clinical Research Institute of Montreal).

2.2. Reagents

The following reagents were from commercial sources: goat antimouse LDLR, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-mouse PCSK9 antibodies (Ab), and PCSK9 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit from R&D Systems; mouse anti-β-actin Ab, HRP-conjugated anti-goat Ab, and lipoprotein-depleted serum (LPDS) from Sigma; plasmid vectors pCIneo and pGL4.13-luc2 as well as the Luciferase Assay System from Promega; Western Lightning Chemiluminescence Reagent Plus from Perkin-Elmer; plasma lipids assay kits from BioVision; RNeasy extraction kit and Ni-NTA agarose from Qiagen; Superscript II RNase H⁻ Reverse Transcriptase, PCR primers, HRP-conjugated anti-V5 tag Ab, and Lipofectamine Reagent Plus from Invitrogen; FastStart TaqMan ProbeMaster-Rox master mix, Universal Probe Library (UPL) fluorescent probes, and the Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (PIC) from Roche.

2.3. Lipid assays

Colorimetric assays for triglycerides, total cholesterol as well as HDL-C and LDL-C/VLDL-C (hereafter called LDL-C) were performed using kits from BioVision Inc.

2.4. Cell culture

Huh7 or HEK293 cells were normally cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for maintenance or LPDS for experiments, and 50 µg/ml gentamycin at 37 °C under a humidified 5% CO₂-95% air atmosphere. After experimental incubations, spent media were centrifuged at 200g for 5 min to sediment suspended cells; supernatants were collected and supplemented with 0.02 volumes of a 50× stock PIC; as needed, cell monolayers were rinsed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), overlaid with radio-immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS) supplemented with $1 \times$ PIC, and placed on an ice bath for 20 min; lysates were centrifuged at 14000g and 4 °C for 20 min, and supernatants collected. Spent media of and cell lysates were stored at -20 °C until processing or analysis.

2.5. qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy extraction kit. It was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using oligo-dT primers and the

Superscript II RNase H⁻ Reverse Transcriptase. The levels of PCSK9, LDLR and TATA-binding protein (TBP) cDNAs were quantified by PCRbased fluorogenic Taqman assays [15], using FastStart TaqMan Probe Master-Rox master mix, primer pairs and the appropriate fluorescent UPL probes (Supplementary Table 1S), in a Mx3005P thermocycler (Stratagene). Standard curves were established using varying amounts of purified and quantified cDNA amplicons of each mRNA. The level of TBP mRNA was used for normalization.

2.6. Immunoblotting

Cell lysates were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and electrophoretically transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. The membrane was incubated with a goat anti-mouse LDLR (at a dilution of 1:1500) and then with a HRP-conjugated heterospecific secondary anti-goat Ab (1:5000). Alternatively, the membrane was treated directly with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse PCSK9 Ab. In all cases, it was probed for HRP reaction using the chemiluminescence-based revelation kit. The signal was captured on X-ray film and immunoreactive bands analyzed by densitometry on a Syngene's ChemiGenius²XE Bio Imaging System within the dynamic range of the instrument. The membrane was stripped and reprobed with the anti- β -actin monoclonal primary antibody at 1:10,000 dilution and HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody at a 1:10,000 dilution. The densitometric values of β -actin bands were using for normalization of experimental samples.

2.7. Purification of recombinant PCSK9

The open reading frame (ORF) of B6 and SPRET mouse *Pcsk9* cDNA with V5 tag-encoding extension was subcloned into pClneo under the human cytomegalovirus promoter-enhancer, to produce the pClneo-B6/*Pcsk9-v5* and pClneo-SPRET/*Pcsk9-v5* expression vectors, respectively. Alternatively, the V5-encoding sequence in the above vectors was replaced by a double stranded oligonucleotide encoding an hexahistidyl peptide to generate the pClneo-B6/*Pcsk9-H6* and pClneo-SPRET/*Pcsk9-H6* expression vectors, respectively. The latter vectors were transiently transfected into human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells in a medium containing 2% FBS; spent media were collected and supplemented with 1 mM PMSF; PCSK9-H6 was purified from these media by affinity chromatography using Qiagen Ni-NTA agarose as recommended by the manufacturer. The accuracy of the subcloned cDNAs was verified by sequencing; the purity of the protein by SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining. The protein was quantified by ELISA.

2.8. Promoter activity assays

The proximal promoter region (~600-base pair upstream to the initiator ATG) was amplified from B6 and SPRET genomic DNA and subcloned into the pGL4.13-luc2 vector, upstream to the luciferase gene, to generate pGL4-B6/*Pcsk9*Pr-Luc2 and pGL4-SPRET/*Pcsk9*Pr-Luc2 reporter vectors. Triplicate wells of Huh7 cells (7.5 10⁵/well) were each transfected with 1 µg of either vector using Lipofectamine Reagent Plus; after 48 h, cell lysates were prepared and their protein contents determined by Bradford dye assay; the luciferase activity of 5 µl of lysate was measured on a Promega luminometer using a Luciferase Assay System as recommended by the same manufacturer.

2.9. Statistical analysis

The number of mice (n) per strain was 8–9. All experiments were conducted at least three times, each in triplicates. Means and standard errors were computed. Statistical analyses were performed using the GrapPad Prism software, version 6.

3. Results

3.1. Plasma LDL-C levels are comparable between SPRET and B6 mice despite a relative deficit of PCSK9 in SPRET liver and plasma

The plasma cholesterol profile of fed SPRET and B6 male mice are described in Fig. 1. Compared to the B6 mouse, the SPRET mouse showed a trend towards higher levels of total cholesterol (TC) (Fig. 1Aa, P = 0.055), carried significantly higher levels of HDL-C (Fig. 1Ab, P = 0.012) (Fig. 1A), but similar levels of LDL-C (Fig. 1B, P = 0.295), leading to significantly lower LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (Fig. 1C, P < 0.001). Triglyceride (TG) levels were similar between the two strains (Fig. 1D).

Because plasma levels of lipoprotein-cholesterol depend on hepatic balance between PCSK9 and LDLR, expression of these two molecules in SPRET and B6 liver was examined. Protein levels were measured by immunoblotting and mRNA levels by RT-PCR. Compared to B6 livers, SPRET livers contained 10-fold less PCSK9 (Fig. 2Aa, P < 0.001) and 1.25-fold more LDLR (Fig. 2Ab, P < 0.01). They contained 2.3-fold less of PCSK9 transcript (Fig. 2Ba, P < 0.001) (Fig. 2B), but similar amounts of LDLR transcripts. Consistent with the level of its transcript in the liver, plasma PCSK9 in the SPRET mouse was about half that in the B6 mouse (Fig. 2C, P < 0.0001).

3.2. SPRET and B6 PCSK9 exhibit multiple amino acid differences

The PCSK9 full-length cDNAs of SPRET and B6 mice were amplified by RT-PCR from liver transcripts and sequenced. The amino acid sequences deduced from their open reading frames (ORF) were aligned for comparison. Compared to B6 sequence, SPRET sequence included a L-L-P₁₆₋₁₈ deletion in the signal peptide, as well as the non-synonymous differences A302T, R305Q, T408A, T477I, W502Q, V532L, H556Y, R577Q, R578K, A581V, and A665D (Supplementary Fig. 1S). SIFT analysis (http://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg) predicted that all the amino acid difference between SPRET and B6 PCSK9 were tolerable. PolyPhen-2 analysis (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu), on the other hand, concluded that



Fig. 1. Plasma lipids. Total cholesterol (A,a), HDL-C (A,b), LDL-C (B) and triglyceride (D) were assayed determined in the plasma of B6 and SPRET mice (n = 9). The ratio LDL-C/HDL-C was derived (C). Difference significance (P) by Student t-test: *, <0.01; ***, <0.001.



Fig. 2. Expression of PCSK9 and LDLR in B6 and SPRET liver (n = 9).| A. Protein immunoblotting. B. qRT-PCR of mRNA. *C. ELISA* of plasma PCSK9. In A and B, SPRET levels are expressed relative to B6 levels taken as 1 (n = 9). *P* (*Student t-test*): **, <0.01; ***, <0.0001. Note that purified recombinant PCSK9s from the two mouse strains (see Fig. 5) were equally recognized in ELISA and immunoblotting (not shown).

the H556Y variation was possibly damaging i.e. could alter the LDLRdegrading activity of the protein.

3.3. SPRET PCSK9 is less secreted than its B6 homolog

To assess whether, taken globally, these differences in amino acids could result in differences in protein expression or secretion the ORFs of the cDNA were cloned into an expression vector and transiently transfected into the Huh7 hepatocyte line. PCSK9 levels in cell lysates and culture media were measured by ELISA. Relative to that of B6, SPRET PCSK9 accumulated more inside the cells (Fig. 3A, a, P = 0.018), and was less secreted (Fig. 3A, b, P < 0.001). This intracellular accumulation was confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 4B, a, P < 0.005). As a result of the transfection, the level of endogenous LDLR in Huh7 cells was reduced, confirming the activity of the induced proteins (Fig. 3B, b).

3.4. SPRET PCSK9 degrades LDLR more efficiently than its B6 homolog

The efficiency of the two murine PCSK9 at degrading LDLR was compared by exposing the same number of cells to increasing amounts of the proteins supplemented to the culture media. These results are shown in Fig. 4. Under the experimental conditions, at 0.5, 1, and 2.5 µg per well, SPRET PCSK9 reduced cellular LDLR level by 32, 51, and 67%, respectively; whereas B6 PCSK9 reduced it by 12, 15 and 42%, respectively. For both proteins, the reduction reaches its nadir at 5 µg per ml. These results indicate that SPRET PCSK9 is more efficient at degrading LDLR than its B6 homolog, presumably because of the differences identified in their primary structures.



Fig. 3. Transient transfection of B6- and SPRET-*Pcsk9*-v5 expression vectors in Huh-7 hepatocytes. A. ELISA determination of total PCSK9 levels in the cells (a) and in culture media (b). The endogenous human PCSK9, as measured in cells transfected with a control empty vector, was not recognized by this mouse-specific ELISA. B. Semi-quantitative immunoblotting for PCSK9-v5 (a) and LDLR (b), with on the side a representative immunoblot. Black, white, and grey bars represent cells transfected with B6-*Pcsk9*-v5, SPRET-*Pcsk9*-v5, and empty expression vectors (e.v.), respectively. *P* (*Student t-test*): *, <0.05; ***, <0.001.



Fig. 4. Efficiency of B6 and SPRET recombinant PCSK9 at degrading LDLR in Huh-7 hepatocytes. 3×10^5 cells were seeded in 12-well plates in 1 ml of medium containing 10% LPDS per well. After 24 h of incubation, fresh medium was substituted and supplemented with the indicated amount of recombinant PCSK9-H6; incubation was resumed for an additional 24 h. Cell extracts were analyzed by semi-quantitative immunoblotting for endogenous human LDLR and exogenous mouse PCSK9. All LDLR values are expressed relative to those of cells not exposed to recombinant PCSK9-H6. *P* (2-way ANOVA): *, <0.05; **, <0.005.

3.5. The promoter of SPRET Pcsk9 gene is more active than its B6 homolog

The steady state level of *Pcsk9* mRNA in the liver is lower in SPRET mice compared to B6 mice (see Fig. 2B). Possible alternative explanations include reduced transcription of its gene or increased degradation of its mRNA. To verify the first possibility, the 700 bp of the *Pcsk9* gene upstream to the translation start codon was PCR amplified from genomic DNA in both strains of mice and sequenced. Fig. 5 shows putative high affinity binding sites identified therein by Transcription Factor Affinity Prediction (TRAP) algorithm (http://trap.molgen.mpg.de). They include binding sites for Nescient Helix Loop Helix 1 (|NHLH1), Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 α (HNF4A), Spermatogenic Leucine Zipper 1 (SPZ1), E74-Like Factor 4 (ELF4), NHF 1 α (HNF1A) and Sterol-Regulated Element Binding Protein (SREBP), Activator Protein 2 (AP-2), Deleted In Esophageal Cancer 1 (DEC1), and Hypermethylated In Cancer I (HIC1). Except for the latter site, they are all conserved between the two strains.

The promoters were subcloned into an expression vector upstream to the cDNA of a luciferase reporter. The vectors were transfected into Huh7 hepatocytes and expression measured. The results showed that the *Pcsk9* proximal promoter from the SPRET mouse was 2.2-fold more active than that from B6 (Fig. 6, P < 0.001), suggesting that sequence differences between the two promoters reduced or enhanced the activity of one relative to the other.

4. Discussion

4.1. The SPRET LDL-C paradox

Experimental evidence in mice has indicated a direct correlation between the level of PCSK9 and that of total cholesterol as well as lipoprotein-cholesterol in plasma [10,12,16,17]. When compared to the B6 mouse, the SPRET mouse exhibits the paradoxical situation of a trend towards higher plasma TC in the presence of lower plasma PCSK9. The TC trend was primarily due to HDL-C as the LDL-C levels were comparable between the two strains. The lower level of plasma PCSK9 (2-fold) was associated with lower hepatic content of PCSK9 transcript (10-fold), suggesting a difference in mRNA production or stability. The higher hepatic content in LDLR (2.5-fold) would be consistent with the lower plasma level of PCSK9 (i.e. reduced PCSK9-mediated degradation of the receptor), was it not for the absence of any significant difference in plasma LDL-C. A possible explanation for the conundrum could be a relative inefficiency of the SPRET LDLR at clearing this lipoprotein. This has not been examined in this study. Also not examined in the two mouse strains was the influence of fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF-21) cascade which is known to upregulate the level of cellular LDLR [18].

Another study comparing the plasma lipid profiles of B6 and SPRET mice, 7 to 9 weeks of age, found that females of both strains showed similar levels of non-HDL-C, but male SPRET mice had significantly less than their B6 counterparts (P = 0.011) [19,20]. It should be noted that the mice in that study were fasted for 4 h prior to blood collection whereas ours were not. Whether fasting differentially affects the quantification parameters of LDL-C is unknown.

4.2. The combined effects of multiple variations

Differences between the two strains in the primary structure of PCSK9 include an indel located in the signal peptide and several missense variations dispersed along the remainder of the proprotein. A leucine addition in the signal peptide of PCSK9 has been linked to reduced mean plasma LDL-C in Caucasians [9,21]. The effect of the addition on PCSK9 biosynthesis and activity has not been determined. Whether Leu-Leu-Pro₁₆₋₁₈ deletion in the signal peptide of SPRET PCSK9 is responsible for its reduced secretion is unknown at this time. To answer this question, one could construct expression vectors in which signal

	•	•	•	•	•	•	
в6	CAGGTAGGCTAGGGC	IGCAGGTGAG	CCCAAG	AGAGGGCTGG	AGGCCAGGGA	GGGAAG	-638
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		<u></u>			:: :::	
SPRET	CAGGTAGGCTAGGG	IGCAGGTGAG	CCCAAG GAGC	AGAGGGCTGG	AGGCCAGGGA	GGAAAG	-622
	NI	HLH1 (9.3)					
	•	•	•	•	•	•	
в6	GGATACAGGCTGGA	IGGAGTGCAA	AGTTTAGGCA	GGTAGCGGGA	AGTCGGGAAG	TCGGCA	-578
SPRET	GGATACAGGCTGGA	 PGGAGTGCAA	ACTTTACCCA	GGTAGCGGGA	AGTC	GGCA	-570
oritar	00/11/10/1000100/1	HNF4A	(9 0)	00111000001	11010	00071	570
			().0)				
D6	CHICACCACHCAA		manancance	». CCCCCCARCC			E10
БО	CICCACGCAGIGAA	JAGICAIGGG	ICACAGGAGG	AGGGCCCAGG	AGAAGIIAGI	IAAIAI	-010
00000							F 1 0
SPREI	CGGCACGCAGIGAAG	JAGICAIGGG	TCACAGGAGG	AGGGCCCAGG	AGAAGIIACI	IAAIAI	-510
	•	•	•	•			4 5 0
B6	TGTTGGAGGGAGAA	5'I'ACAGGGG'I	'GGC'I'GGGAGA	CCCCGGAAAI	GCTAAAGAGG	TGGGGC	-458
SPRET	TGTTGGAGGGAGAA	GTACAGGGGT	GGCTGGGAGA	CCCCGGAAAI	GCTAAAGAGG	TGGG-C	-451
	•	•	•	•	•	•	
в6	TCAGCACGCCTCTGA	AGTTGGCACC	CCGCCTGAGG	AGGATTGGAG	TGGGGATTAA	GAGGGG	-398
				: : : : : : : : : :	::::::::::	:::::	
SPRET	TCAGCACGCCTCTG	AGTTGGCACC	CCGCCTGAGG	AGGATTGGAG	TGGGGATTAA	GAGGGG	-391
	•	٠	•	•	•	•	
в6	GGAATGTA ACAGGTO	CCCGTTTGCA	GCCCAATTAG	GATTTGGGGT	TTTGTCCTCA	CTCTGA	-338
	<u></u>						
SPRET	GGAATGTA ACAGGTO	CCCGTTTGCA	GCCCAATTAG	GATTTGGGGI	TTTGTCCTCT	CTCTGA	-331
	SPZ1 (7,9)						
B6	CCGTCATTCACCC	TGTCTGGGGA	• • •	Сааасстсат			-278
в6	GCGTCATTTGACGC	IGTCTGGGGA	\GGGCGAGGC	GAAACCTGAI	<u>CC</u> TTTAGTAC	CGGGGC	- 278
B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC	IGTCTGGGGA	GGGCGAGGC	GAAACCTGAT	CCTTTAGTAC	CGGGGC	-278
B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u>	GAAACCTGAT	CC TTTAGTAC	CGGGGGC :::::: CGGGGGC	-278 -271
B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> 	GAAACCTGAT	CC TTTAGTAC	CGGGGC :::::: CGGGGC	-278 -271
B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u>	GAAACCTGAT	<u>сс</u> тттадтас <u>сс</u> тттадтас 5)		-278 -271
B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA FCA GAGAGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> 	GAAACCTGAT	CC TTTAGTAC CC TTTAGTAC 5)	CGGGGC :::::: CGGGGC TCCCGG	-278 -271 -218
B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA	rgtctgggga rgtctgggga rca gagagga	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u>	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG	<u>CC</u> TTTAGTAC :::::::::: <u>CC</u> TTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC ::::::::::::		-278 -271 -218
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA GAGAGAGGA IIIIIIII	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC TGGCGTGATC	CGGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGGC <u>TCCCGG</u> :::::: <u>TCCCCGG</u>	-278 -271 -218 -211
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6)	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA CA GAGAGAGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG	CC TTTAGTAC	CGGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGGC TCCCCGG :::::::: TCCCCGG 8.0)	-278 -271 -218 -211
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC: GCGTCATTTGACGC: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: HNF1A (6.6)	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGAGAGGA ICCTGGAGAGGA ICCTGGAGAGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG	CCTTTAGTAC CTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC CTGGCGTGATC SREBP (CGGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGGC TCCCCGG :::::::: TCCCCGG 8.0)	-278 -271 -218 -211
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCCAGGCGTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICAGAGAGGA IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG TCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG TTCCA <u>CCTTC</u>	CCTTTAGTAC CTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC CTGGCGTGATC CTGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC	CGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGC TCCCGG ::::::: TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCCAGGCGTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA GAGAGAGGA IIIIIII IGTACCCACAC	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG 	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC :::::::::: TGGCCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CGGGGC :::::: CGGGGC <u>TCCCGG</u> :::::: <u>TCCCGG</u> 8.0) GCAGGC ::::::	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAAT CCGTTAATGTTTAAT HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA GAGAGAGGA I ICA GTACCCACAC GTACCCACAC	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG :::::::::::: GGGCTCGGGG :::::::::::: TTCCACCTTC ::::::::::::::: TTCCACCTTC	CC TTTAGTAC CC TTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC TGGCCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGCC SREBP (CGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGC TCCCGG :::::: TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC :::::: GCAGGC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8)	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA GAGAGAGGA I ICA GTACCCACAC I I ICA I I I I I I I I I I I I	AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DEC	CCTTTAGTAC CTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CCTTAGTAGTAC ACGTGGACGC 1 (7.9)	CGGGGC ::::::: CGGGGC <u>TCC</u> CGG ::::: <u>TCC</u> CGG 8.0) GCAGGC ::::: GCAGGC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8)	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGAGAGGA IGTACCCACAC IGTACCCACAC	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG TCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TTGGCGTGATC CTGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CCTTCAGTAC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CCTTCAGTAC CCTTCAGTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC CCTGCCGTGATC CCTCTCAC CCTCTCAC CCTCCCCCCCCCCCC	CGGGGC :::::: CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC :::::: GCAGGC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> ATCTTCCGATG TCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCCTTTCTGA	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG SGGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC ::::::::::: TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCCTGGGAC CCCTGAGCCAGT	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG :::::: TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC :::::: GCAGGC CCTTGG	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGCC AGGGCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG TCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG CTTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC ::::::::::: TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCCTGAGCCAGT ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG :::::: TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC :::::: GCAGGC ccTTGG :::::	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGCC GCGTCATTTGACGCC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCCC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCTTTCCGA CCCCTTTCCGA CCCCTTTCCGA	GAAACCTGAT GGAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG SCCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA SCCTAGAGGA	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC ::::::::: TGGCCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCCTGAGCCAGT ::::::::::: CTGAGCCAGT	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG CCCGG CCCCGG CCCTTGG CCCTTGG CCCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAAT CCGTTAATGTTTAAT HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCA	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG TCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGGGG TTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DCC GGCTAGAGGA	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC ACGTGGACGC 1 (7.9) CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT	CGGGGC :::::: CGGGGC TCC CGG CGG CGG CGG CCTTGA CGGGC CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC: GCGTCATTTGACGC: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCA	IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA IGTCTGGGGA ICA GTACCCACAC GTACCCACAC CGTTCTCTCT CGTTCTCTCT	AGGGCGAGGC <u>G</u> AGGGCGAGGC <u>C</u> ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCTTTCTGA CCCCTTTCTGA	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GEGAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG CONTROL GGCTCGGC CONTROL CONTROL GGCTAGAGGA CONTAGAGGA CONTAGAGGA	CCTTTAGTAC TTGGCGTGATC TTGGCGTGATC TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC 1 (7.9) CTGAGCCAGT 	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGG CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCC CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGCC AGGGCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG 	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG SSGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC STTCCACCTTC GGCTAGAGGA SGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC ::::::::::: TGGCGTGATC CGCGTGGAC CCGAGCAGT ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG S:::::: TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC :::::: GCAGGC CCTTGG ::::: CCTTGA GCGTCC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAC TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGCC AGGGCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCCAGAAGGC CCCCTTTCTGA CCCCTTTCTGA CCCCCGGAG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG CTTCCACCTTC TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC CCAAGTGCCC	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC ::::::::::: TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCGAGCCAGT ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG 3.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCC CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGC AGGGCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCTCTTTCTGA CCTCTTTCTGA	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG GGGCTCGGCG TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA SGCTAGAGGA SGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC CCAAGTGCCC	CCTTTAGTAC CTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAG CCAGTCCCAG	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCA CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCCGAGGCC AGGGCCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCTCTTTCTGA CCTCTTTCTGA CAGCCCCGGAG CAGCCCCGGAG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CCTTTAGTAC TTGGCGTGATC 5) TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC 1 (7.9) CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAG 	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC: GCGTCATTTGACGC: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: CCGTTAATGTTTAA: HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAA CTCCCCAGAGACATC CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCTCTTTCTGA CAGCCCCGGAG CAGCCCCGGAG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG CTCCACCTTC CTCCACCTTC GGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC CCAAGTGCCC HIC1	CCTTTAGTAC TTGGCGTGATC TGGCGTGATC TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAG CCAGTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG CCACTCCCAG	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6	GCGTCATTTGACGCC GCGTCATTTGACGCC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC CCGTTAATGTTTAAC HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAC AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCAC CTCCCCAGAGAGACATC CTCCCCAGAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGCC AGGGCGAGGCCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CAGCCCCGGAG CAGCCCCGGAG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GEGAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	CCTTTAGTAC TTGGCGTGATC TTGGCGTGATC TTGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGACGC CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCAGT CTGAGCCCAG CCGAGTCCCAG CCGAGTCCCAG CCCACCCACTCC	CGGGGC CGGGGC CGGGGC CCTCGG CCTTGG CCTTGG CCTTGA CCTTGA CCTTGA CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38 -38
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAA CCGTTAATGTTTAA HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAG AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCA CTCCCCAGAGACATC CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCGAGGCC AGGGCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCCAGAAGGC CCTCTTTCTGA CCCCCGGAG CCTCTTTCTGA CAGCCCCGGAG CAGCCCCGGAG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT ELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG CTCCACCTTC GGGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC CCAAGTGCCC CCAAGTGCCC HIC1 CCCCGATGGG	CCTTTAGTAC CCTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCCGTGATC :::::::::: TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCGAGTGGAC CCGAGTCCCAGT :::::::::: -AGTCCCAG (7.2) CCACCCACTGC	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGA CCTTGA	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38 +20
B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET B6 SPRET	GCGTCATTTGACGC GCGTCATTTGACGC CCGTTAATGTTTAAT CCGTTAATGTTTAAT HNF1A (6.6) CCCCCAGGCGTCCAA AP2 (9.8) TGCCGGTGGGCTCCA CTCCCCAGAGACATC CTCCCCAGAGACATC	IGTCTGGGGA	AGGGCCGAGGCC AGGGCCGAGGCC ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG ATCTTCCGATG CCCCAGAAGGC CCCCCAGAAGGC CCCCCTTTCTGA CCCCCTTTCTGA CAGCCCCGGAG CAGCCCCGGAG ACCTCTCCTCG	GAAACCTGAT GAAACCTGAT GELF4 (8. GGGCTCGGGG SSGCTCGGGG TTCCACCTTC DEC GGCTAGAGGA SGCTAGAGGA CCAAGTGCCC SCCAAGTGCCC HIC1 CCCCG CCCCG	CCTTTAGTAC CTTTAGTAC 5) TGGCGTGATC SREBP (ACGTGGAC CCGAGTCCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAGT CCGAGTCCCAGT CCGACCCACTGC CCCCCACTGC	CGGGGC CGGGGC TCCCGG TCCCGG 8.0) GCAGGC CCTTGG CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGA GCGTCC CCTTGCG CCTTGCG CCTTGCG CCTTGCG	-278 -271 -218 -211 -158 -151 -98 -91 -38 -38 +20

Fig. 5. Sequence alignment of B6 and SPRET proximal *Pcsk9* gene promoter. Nucleotide differences and indels are shaded. Putative binding sites for transcription factors are underlined and the factors written below with the TRAP weight score in parentheses. The ATG initiating the open-reading frame is doubly underlined.

peptides are swapped between B6 and SPRET PCSK9, transfect them into cells and examine their effects on secretion by pulse-chase studies.

Of all the missense variations, only H556Y was estimated to be potentially deleterious by PolyPhen-2 analysis. The H556Y difference is located in the M2 domain of the carboxyl terminal cysteine and histidinerich domain (CHRD) of PCSK9. The M2 domain appears to be required for LDLR degradation through the extracellular pathway [22]. Moreover, the H556Y variation is located at the same position as the H553R variation found in humans in whom it is associated with high plasma LDL-C [4]. Rather than analyzing the effect of single variations, we chose to examine the overall consequences of all the amino acid differences combined. Collectively, these differences rendered SPRET PCSK9 less efficient at secretion when intracellularly expressed in Huh7 cells (Fig. 4), but more efficient at LDLR degradation when extracellularly added to the culture media of these cells (Fig. 5). Thus the fact that plasma LDL-C is practically similar between the two strains could alternatively be explained also by the greater activity of SPRET PCSK9 partially compensating for its reduced presence in circulation. Interestingly, a bioinformatics analysis could be carried out to identify structural changes associated with cumulative amino acid variations when a high resolution structure of mouse PCSK9 becomes available in the Protein Data Bank (PDB).



Fig. 6. Promoter luciferase assay. A luciferase reporter vector carrying B6 (black bar), SPRET (white bar) *Pcsk9* gene promoter, or no promoter (grey bar) was transiently transfected into Huh-7 hepatocytes and cell extracts assayed for luciferase activity. *P* (*Student t-test*): ***, <0.001.

4.3. More active gene promoter, but less mRNA

The liver is the predominant source of circulating PCSK9 [12]. The lower plasma level of this protein in SPRET mice relative to B6 mice is consistent with decreased level of its mRNA in the liver. The cause of this decreased expression of Pcsk9 transcripts is still to be determined. It cannot be attributed to the SPRET proximal promoter of the gene which, in vitro, was found to more active than its B6 homolog. The cis elements for HNF1A and SREBP2 known to regulate this promoter [23-25] are conserved between the two strains. Among other putative high affinity binding sites, HIC1 which is present in B6 promoter is of some interest because it is abrogated in SPRET promoter and the difference scored as most significant ($P = 5.1 \times 10^{-5}$) by TRAP comparative analysis (http://www.bitnos.com/transcription-factors-analysis). HIC1 is a widely expressed zinc finger transcriptional repressor that interacts with other factors in repression complexes to regulate cell growth and survival [26]. Although Pcsk9 has not been identified yet among its target genes, the loss of its binding site in the SPRET promoter could tentatively explain its greater activity under our in vitro assay conditions. In vivo on the other hand, it is possible that other variations of cis acting elements located at more distal positions combined with differing abundance of trans acting factors come into play to influence Pcsk9 transcription in the two strains.

A similar argument can be invoked when considering the possibility that SPRET Pcsk9 mRNA, even if produced in greater amounts, might be more prone to degradation. There is a single canonical AU-rich element (ARE) in the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of mouse Pcsk9 mRNA (TAUUUAT); it is conserved between the two strains. Its possible contribution to the degradation of this transcript in SPRET mouse hepatocytes implies greater accessibility to ARE-binding proteins mediating this degradation [27]. An alternative possibility involves microRNA (miRNA) regulation of Pcsk9 mRNA abundance through differences in target sequences in their 3'-UTR or in expression level of specific miRNAs. We screened the 3'-UTRs using various online algorithms (e.g. TargetScan, RegRNA, miRDB, or microRNA) and identified several putative targets, miR-1966 being the only one that is present in SPRET but not in B6 Pcsk9 3'-UTR. On the other hand, Timmermans et al. [28] have reported a comparative analysis of basal mRNA and miRNA expression in the liver of the two strains; they identified many differences and validated several mRNA-miRNA interactions. Our examination of their data showed miR-10b to be obviously more expressed in SPRET than in B6 mouse. It remains to be experimentally examined whether miR-1966 target and miR-10b miRNA contribute to the degradation of hepatic *Pcsk9* mRNA in SPRET mouse.

4.4. The SPRET mouse vs the PCSK9 knockout mouse?

Although it is now well-established that the PCSK9-LDLR opposing tandem regulates plasma cholesterol, novel implications of this tandem in other physiological pathways are emerging [29], most dramatic among them being the clearance of toxins following bacterial infection [30–32]. There are intriguing phenotypic similarities between the SPRET mouse and the engineered PCSK9 knockout (PCSK9KO) mouse: both exhibit glucose intolerance [16,33,34], resistance to endotoxin-induced septic shock [32,33,35], and resistance to high fat-induced atherosclerosis [19,36]. It may be premature to conclude that the partial PCSK9 deficiency observed in the SPRET mouse contributes to these common phenotypes. One way to further this argument is to explore whether the PCSK9KO mouse exhibits some other phenotypic traits of the SPRET mouse such as lower levels of total testosterone and resistance to certain bacterial and viral infections as well to certain forms of cancer [37]. A more cogent proof of the implication of PCSK9 deficiency to these phenotypes in the SPRET mouse would be their reversal through replacement therapy involving chronic intravenous administration of a recombinant form of the protein or its transgene-mediated expression in the liver. More applicable to human biology would be the exploration of these phenotypic traits in a chimeric mouse model of transplanted with human liver [38,39] that carries an inactive PCSK9 gene.

5. Conclusions

Relative to the B6 mouse, the SPRET mouse could represent a model of PCSK9 protein deficiency associated with enhanced LDLR-degrading activity. The dichotomous properties probably result from the sum of variations in its gene. Monoallelic combined PCSK9 variations have been observed in humans too [9]. Our results in the mouse illustrate the need to evaluate them collectively and not individually, as the overall effect of multiple variations on protein conformation and activity could differ from that of single variations.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgmr.2016.11.006.

Conflicts of interest statements

None of the authors has a conflict of interest to report.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Denise Joanisse and Alice Chrétien-Brooks for literature search and secretarial assistance. This work was made possible by grants from The Richard and Edith Strauss Foundation, the Pizzagalli Family Foundation, and The J-Louis Lévêsque Foundation.

References

- [1] W. Yin, E. Carballo-Jane, D.G. McLaren, V.H. Mendoza, K. Gagen, N.S. Geoghagen, L.A. McNamara, J.N. Gorski, G.J. Eiermann, A. Petrov, et al., Plasma lipid profiling across species for the identification of optimal animal models of human dyslipidemia, J. Lipid Res. 53 (2012) 51–65.
- [2] M.S. Brown, J.L. Goldstein, A receptor-mediated pathway for cholesterol homeostasis, Science 232 (1986) 34–47.
- [3] M. Mbikay, J. Mayne, M. Chretien, Proprotein convertases subtilisin/kexin type 9, an enzyme turned escort protein: hepatic and extra hepatic functions, J. Diabetes 5 (2013) 391–405.
- [4] I.K. Kotowski, A. Pertsemlidis, A. Luke, R.S. Cooper, G.L. Vega, J.C. Cohen, H.H. Hobbs, A spectrum of PCSK9 alleles contributes to plasma levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 78 (2006) 410–422.
- [5] J.C. Cohen, E. Boerwinkle, T.H. Mosley Jr., H.H. Hobbs, Sequence variations in PCSK9, low LDL, and protection against coronary heart disease, N. Engl. J. Med. 354 (2006) 1264–1272.

- [6] M. Abifadel, M. Varret, J.P. Rabes, D. Allard, K. Ouguerram, M. Devillers, C. Cruaud, S. Benjannet, L. Wickham, D. Erlich, et al., Mutations in PCSK9 cause autosomal dominant hypercholesterolemia, Nat, Genet. 34 (2003) 154–156.
- [7] D. Allard, S. Amsellem, M. Abifadel, M. Trillard, M. Devillers, G. Luc, M. Krempf, Y. Reznik, J.P. Girardet, A. Fredenrich, et al., Novel mutations of the PCSK9 gene cause variable phenotype of autosomal dominant hypercholesterolemia, Hum. Mutat. 26 (2005) 497.
- [8] J. Mayne, T. Dewpura, A. Raymond, L. Bernier, M. Cousins, T.C. Ooi, J. Davignon, N.G. Seidah, M. Mbikay, M. Chretien, Novel loss-of-function PCSK9 variant is associated with low plasma LDL cholesterol in a French-Canadian family and with impaired processing and secretion in cell culture, Clin. Chem. 57 (2011) 1415–1423.
- [9] J. Mayne, T.C. Ooi, A. Raymond, M. Cousins, L. Bernier, T. Dewpura, F. Sirois, M. Mbikay, J. Davignon, M. Chretien, Differential effects of PCSK9 loss of function variants on serum lipid and PCSK9 levels in Caucasian and African Canadian populations, Lipids Health Dis. 12 (2013) 70.
- [10] K.N. Maxwell, J.L. Breslow, Adenoviral-mediated expression of Pcsk9 in mice results in a low-density lipoprotein receptor knockout phenotype, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101 (2004) 7100–7105.
- [11] T.A. Lagace, D.E. Curtis, R. Garuti, M.C. McNutt, S.W. Park, H.B. Prather, N.N. Anderson, Y.K. Ho, R.E. Hammer, J.D. Horton, Secreted PCSK9 decreases the number of LDL receptors in hepatocytes and in livers of parabiotic mice, J. Clin. Invest. 116 (2006) 2995–3005.
- [12] A. Zaid, A. Roubtsova, R. Essalmani, J. Marcinkiewicz, A. Chamberland, J. Hamelin, M. Tremblay, H. Jacques, W. Jin, J. Davignon, et al., Proprotein convertase subtilisin/ kexin type 9 (PCSK9): hepatocyte-specific low-density lipoprotein receptor degradation and critical role in mouse liver regeneration, Hepatology 48 (2008) 646–654.
- [13] J. Cameron, O.L. Holla, K.E. Berge, M.A. Kulseth, T. Ranheim, T.P. Leren, J.K. Laerdahl, Investigations on the evolutionary conservation of PCSK9 reveal a functionally important protrusion, FEBS J. 275 (2008) 4121–4133.
- [14] M. Abifadel, J.P. Rabes, M. Devillers, A. Munnich, D. Erlich, C. Junien, M. Varret, C. Boileau, Mutations and polymorphisms in the proprotein convertase subtilisin kexin 9 (PCSK9) gene in cholesterol metabolism and disease, Hum. Mutat. 30 (2009) 520–529.
- [15] P.M. Holland, R.D. Abramson, R. Watson, D.H. Gelfand, Detection of specific polymerase chain reaction product by utilizing the 5'—–3' exonuclease activity of Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 88 (1991) 7276–7280.
- [16] M. Mbikay, F. Sirois, J. Mayne, G.S. Wang, A. Chen, T. Dewpura, A. Prat, N.G. Seidah, M. Chretien, F.W. Scott, PCSK9-deficient mice exhibit impaired glucose tolerance and pancreatic islet abnormalities, FEBS Lett. 584 (2010) 701–706.
- [17] S. Choi, A. Aljakna, U. Srivastava, B.R. Peterson, B. Deng, A. Prat, R. Korstanje, Decreased APOE-containing HDL subfractions and cholesterol efflux capacity of serum in mice lacking Pcsk9, Lipids Health Dis. 12 (2013) 112.
- [18] H.T. Do, T.V. Tselykh, J. Makela, T.H. Ho, V.M. Olkkonen, B.C. Bornhauser, L. Korhonen, N. Zelcer, D. Lindholm, Fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF21) regulates low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) levels in cells via the E3-ubiquitin ligase Mylip/Idol and the Canopy2 (Cnpy2)/Mylip-interacting saposin-like protein (Msap), J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012) 12602–12611.
- [19] B. Paigen, K.L. Svenson, L.L. Peters, Diet effects on plasma lipids and susceptibility to atherosclerosis in 43 inbred strains of mice on high-fat atherogenic diet (under pathogen-free conditions), The Jackson Laboratory, Mouse Phenome Database, Bar Harbor, Maine USA., 2015
- [20] K.L. Svenson, R. Von Smith, P.A. Magnani, H.R. Suetin, B. Paigen, J.K. Naggert, R. Li, G.A. Churchill, L.L. Peters, Multiple trait measurements in 43 inbred mouse strains capture the phenotypic diversity characteristic of human populations, J. Appl. Physiol. 102 (2007) 2369–2378.
- [21] P. Yue, M. Averna, X. Lin, G. Schonfeld, The c.43_44insCTG variation in PCSK9 is associated with low plasma LDL-cholesterol in a Caucasian population, Hum. Mutat. 27 (2006) 460–466.

- [22] Y.G. Saavedra, R. Day, N.G. Seidah, The M2 module of the Cys-His-rich domain (CHRD) of PCSK9 protein is needed for the extracellular low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) degradation pathway, J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012) 43492–43501.
- [23] B. Dong, M. Wu, H. Li, F.B. Kraemer, K. Adeli, N.G. Seidah, S.W. Park, J. Liu, Strong induction of PCSK9 gene expression through HNF1alpha and SREBP2: mechanism for the resistance to LDL-cholesterol lowering effect of statins in dyslipidemic hamsters, J. Lipid Res. 51 (2010) 1486–1495.
- [24] H. Li, B. Dong, S.W. Park, H.S. Lee, W. Chen, J. Liu, Hepatocyte nuclear factor 1alpha plays a critical role in PCSK9 gene transcription and regulation by the natural hypocholesterolemic compound berberine, J. Biol. Chem. 284 (2009) 28885–28895.
- [25] V.R. Shende, M. Wu, A.B. Singh, B. Dong, C.F. Kan, J. Liu, Reduction of circulating PCSK9 and LDL-C levels by liver-specific knockdown of HNF1alpha in normolipidemic mice, J. Lipid Res. 56 (2015) 801–809.
- [26] B.R. Rood, D. Leprince, Deciphering HIC1 control pathways to reveal new avenues in cancer therapeutics, Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 17 (2013) 811–827.
- [27] C. Barreau, L. Paillard, H.B. Osborne, AU-rich elements and associated factors: are there unifying principles? Nucleic Acids Res. 33 (2005) 7138–7150.
- [28] S. Timmermans, F. Van Hauwermeiren, L. Puimege, L. Dejager, E. Van Wonterghem, V. Vanhooren, P. Mestdagh, C. Libert, R.E. Vandenbroucke, Determining differentially expressed miRNAs and validating miRNA-target relationships using the SPRET/Ei mouse strain, Mamm. Genome 26 (2015) 94–107.
- [29] Y. Banerjee, R.D. Santos, K. Al-Rasadi, M. Rizzo, Targeting PCSK9 for therapeutic gains: have we addressed all the concerns? Atherosclerosis 248 (2016) 62–75.
- [30] J.H. Boyd, C.D. Fjell, J.A. Russell, D. Sirounis, M.S. Cirstea, K.R. Walley, Increased plasma PCSK9 levels are associated with reduced endotoxin clearance and the development of acute organ failures during sepsis, J. Innate Immun. 8 (2016) 211–220.
- [31] K.R. Walley, G.A. Francis, S.M. Opal, E.A. Stein, J.A. Russell, J.H. Boyd, The central role of PCSK9 in septic pathogen lipid transport and clearance, Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 192 (2015) 1275–1286.
- [32] K.R. Walley, K.R. Thain, J.A. Russell, M.P. Reilly, N.J. Meyer, J.F. Ferguson, J.D. Christie, T.A. Nakada, C.D. Fjell, S.A. Thair, et al., PCSK9 is a critical regulator of the innate immune response and septic shock outcome, Sci. Transl. Med. 6 (2014), 258ra143.
- [33] L. Dejager, I. Pinheiro, L. Puimege, Y.D. Fan, L. Gremeaux, H. Vankelecom, C. Libert, Increased glucocorticoid receptor expression and activity mediate the LPS resistance of SPRET/EI mice, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 31073–31086.
- [34] M. Mbikay, F. Sirois, C. Gyamera-Acheampong, G.S. Wang, P. Rippstein, A. Chen, J. Mayne, F.W. Scott, M. Chretien, Variable effects of gender and Western diet on lipid and glucose homeostasis in aged PCSK9-deficient C57BL/6 mice, J Diabetes 7 (2015) 74–84.
- [35] I. Pinheiro, L. Dejager, I. Petta, S. Vandevyver, L. Puimege, T. Mahieu, M. Ballegeer, F. Van Hauwermeiren, C. Riccardi, M. Vuylsteke, et al., LPS resistance of SPRET/Ei mice is mediated by Gilz, encoded by the Tsc22d3 gene on the X chromosome, EMBO Mol. Med. 5 (2013) 456–470.
- [36] M. Denis, J. Marcinkiewicz, A. Zaid, D. Gauthier, S. Poirier, C. Lazure, N.G. Seidah, A. Prat, Gene inactivation of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 reduces atherosclerosis in mice, Circulation 125 (2012) 894–901.
- [37] L. Dejager, C. Libert, X. Montagutelli, Thirty years of *Mus spretus*: a promising future, Trends Genet. 25 (2009) 234–241.
- [38] N. Scheer, I.D. Wilson, A comparison between genetically humanized and chimeric liver humanized mouse models for studies in drug metabolism and toxicity, Drug Discov. Today 21 (2016) 250–263.
- [39] C. Tateno, Y. Kawase, Y. Tobita, S. Hamamura, H. Ohshita, H. Yokomichi, H. Sanada, M. Kakuni, A. Shiota, Y. Kojima, et al., Generation of novel chimeric mice with humanized livers by using hemizygous cDNA-uPA/SCID mice, PLoS One 10 (2015), e0142145.