

ENPP1 K121Q (rs1044498C>A) genetic polymorphism confers a high risk of susceptibility to coronary heart disease

A PRISMA-compliant article

Jia-Yin Di, MB^a, Meng-Lu Dai, MM^b, Zong-Xin Zhang, MM^{b,*}

Abstract

Background: Previous studies suggested an association between K121Q (rs1044498 C > A) in ecto-nucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1 (ENPP1) gene and the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD), but the results have been inconsistent. In this study, we performed a meta-analysis of several trials to systematically summarize their potential association.

Methods: Relevant articles were identified by searching electronic databases for studies published prior to March 2018. We carefully reviewed published studies on ENPP1 genetic polymorphism in relation to CHD susceptibility. The data extracted from selected high-quality studies were analyzed using STATA statistical software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results: Nine eligible studies which contained a combined total of 1547 CHD cases and 2213 healthy controls were chosen in the present meta-analysis. Our results indicated that K121Q strongly correlated with increased risk of CHD. The subgroup analysis on race, sample source, disease type, sex, age, and genotype showed that in Caucasians, K121Q strongly correlated with increased risk of CHD, but no difference was found in Chinese. Both single factor and multiple factor regression showed that race, sample origin, disease type, sex, age, and genotype were not the source of heterogeneity.

Conclusions: Our meta-analysis revealed that the K121Q (rs1044498C>A) in the ENPP1 gene is a risk factor for CHD.

Abbreviations: CBM = Chinese Biomedical, CHD = coronary heart disease, CI = confidence interval, ENPP1 = ecto-nucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1, HWE = Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, MS-PCR = mutagenically separated polymerase chain reaction, PCR-RFLP = PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism, RRs = relative risks.

Keywords: coronary heart disease, ENPP1, genetic polymorphism, K121Q, meta-analysis, rs1044498C>A

1. Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD), also variously known as atherosclerotic heart disease, coronary artery disease, or ischemic heart disease, is the most common type of heart disease and the leading cause of death worldwide.^[1–4] CHD is associated with plaque formation along the inner walls of coronary arteries, leading to narrowing of the arteries and limitation of blood flow to the heart.^[5] It is estimated that about 620,000 Americans have a new coronary attack annually and approximately 295,000 have a recurrent attack each year.^[6] In Europe, CHD is responsible for

Medicine (2018) 97:27(e11236)

Received: 20 September 2017 / Accepted: 31 May 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000011236 approximately 4 million deaths annually. Although the exact mechanisms involved in CHD are not completely understood, the increased incidence of CHD is attributed to a variety of environmental and genetic factors.^[7] Other important extrinsic risk factors, such as high blood cholesterol/pressure, smoking, diabetes, physical inactivity, obesity, poor diet, age, and medical history, are also indispensable factor for CHD.^[6,8] In the past 2 decades, various genetic factors have been verified as significant contributors to the development of CHD.^[9] Recent findings support the view that ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1 (*ENPP1*) gene is associated with increased susceptibility to CHD in humans, and the mutations in *ENPP1* gene are related to insulin resistance as well as idiopathic infantile arterial calcification.^[10,11]

ENPP1 is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein with extracellular pyrophosphatase and phosphodiesterase activities.^[12] The human *ENPP1* gene is 80 kb in length and is located on chromosome 6q22-q23, consisting of 25 exons and 24 introns, and encoding a 925-amino acid protein with a molecular weight of 104.9 kDa.^[13]*ENPP1* is expressed in multiple tissues, including muscle, capillary endothelium in the brain, fat, salivary duct epithelium, liver, adipose tissue, pancreas, chondrocytes, and kidneys.^[14] Elevated *ENPP1* expression is found in adipose tissue, cultured skin fibroblasts, and skeletal muscle of insulin-resistant individuals, suggesting that over-expression of *ENPP1* may be an early marker of insulin resistance in humans.^[13,15] Insulin resistance is a critical risk factor in the development of

Editor: Haseeb Zubair.

This work was supported by Zhejiang Medical and Health Research Program (Class A) (2015: 2015KYA210).

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

^a Clinical Laboratory, Department of Outpatient, Huzhou University, ^b Department of Clinical Laboratory, Huzhou Central Hospital, Huzhou, P.R. China.

^{*} Correspondence: Zong-Xin Zhang, Department of Clinical Laboratory, Huzhou Central Hospital, No. 198, Hongqi Road, Huzhou 313000, Zhejiang Province, P. R. China (e-mail: mrzhangzongxin@yeah.net).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

type 2 diabetes mellitus, and is also related with obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and coronary atherosclerosis.^[16] The underlying mechanisms appear to be related to ENPP1-mediated inhibition of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity, and overexpression of ENPP1 decreases the activity and cellular signaling of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase, inducing insulin resistance and contributing to the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus.^[17] Further, elevated ENPP1 expression promotes vascular inflammatory responses, inflammatory cytokine secretion, and left ventricular mass through stimulation of insulin like growth factor-1 receptors, which are abundantly expressed in the myocardium and then subsequently increase cardiovascular risk.^[18] Genetic researches also support that ENPP1 is a vital biomarker of insulin resistance, and ENPP1 genetic polymorphism may be correlated with type 2 diabetes mellitus, nephrovascular complications, and cardiovascular disease.^[19,20] Recently, several studies have suggested the possibility that ENPP1 genetic polymorphisms are correlated with significantly elevated risk of CHD, $^{[21,22]}$ however, the results are inconsistent with the results of other studies. $^{[23,24]}$ In this study, we employ a meta-analysis based approach to summarize the relationship between CAD and ENPP1 K121Q (rs1044498C>A), as a prelude to the development of novel strategies for prevention and treatment of CHD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Literature search

The databases such as Web of Science, CINAHL, PubMed, Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and Chinese Biomedical (CBM) were searched to identify case-control studies, which were published prior to March 2018. The combination of keywords and MeSH terms used for search strategy were: ("genetic polymorphism" or "SNP" or "variation" or "single nucleotide polymorphism" or "polymorphism" or "mutation" or "variant") and ("ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1" or "ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1" or "plasma cell membrane glycoprotein PC-1" or "nucleotide pyrophosphatase-alkaline phosphodiesterase I" or "glycoprotein PC-1" or "ENPP1" or "plasma-cell membrane glycoprotein 1" or "PC-1" or "PC-1 glycoprotein") and ("Myocardial Infarction" or "Coronary Artery Disease " or "CAD" or "MI" or "myocardial infarct" or "myocardiac infarction" or "myocardium infarction" or "cardiac infarction" or "myocardia infarction" or "infarction myocardium" or "myocardial infarcted" or "heart infarction" or "heart infarction" or "Myocardial Infarction" or "acute myocardial infarction" or "Coronary Heart Disease" or "CHD" or "AMI"). Additionally, a manual cross-reference search of the references of the relevant articles was performed to identify studies beside the computerized search.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All selected studies in this meta-analysis met the following inclusion criteria: studies reporting CHD and *ENPP1* K121Q (rs1044498 C > A); studies were case-control design; all patients were confirmed by the diagnostic criteria of CHD; studies supplying sufficient information on *ENPP1* K121Q. The following studies were excluded: letters, reviews, case reports, conference abstracts, editorials, or expert opinions; studies in languages other than Chinese or English; studies on polymor-

phisms of *ENPP1* not relevant to this study. In addition, we chose the most recent paper when multiple articles with same data were reported by the same author.

2.3. Data extraction and methodological assessment

The following data were extracted: first author, publication year, country, ethnicity, number of cases and controls, sex, age, genotype method, gene, and Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) score. NOS criteria was used by 2 coauthors to evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies.^[25] Two researchers independently extract the document data and make the NOS quality evaluation. If the data extraction process or NOS quality evaluation is controversial, a number of researchers discussed and solve the controversial problems.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The unadjusted relative risks (RRs) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) was adopted to estimate the strength of the relation between the *ENPP1* K121Q and CHD based on the genotype frequencies in the 2 groups. Subgroup analysis by country, source of controls, disease, and genotype method was performed. Fixed-effect or random-effect model were applied to calculate pooled RRs. Statistical significance of pooled RRs was determined by *Z* test. The possibility of heterogeneity was evaluated using the Cochran's *Q*-statistic and *I*² tests.^[26]*P* value <.05 or *I*² > 50% meant obviously heterogeneity, and then a random-effect model was employed, otherwise a fixed-effect model was employed. Sensitivity analyses were performed to investigate potential source of the heterogeneity. Publication bias was also studied by visual inspection of funnel plots as well as Egger test.^[27]

3. Results

3.1. The characteristics of included studies

A total of 62 relevant studies were identified in the initial search. Based on the inclusion and criteria, 53 articles were removed and 9 case-control studies were finally enrolled into this meta-analysis.^[18,20-22,24,28-31] The details of the study selection process are presented in Fig. 1. The 9 selected studies contained a combined total of 1547 CHD cases and 2213 healthy controls. All patients met the diagnostic criteria of CHD confirmed by pathological examination of the surgical specimen. Overall, 3 studies involved Asian populations and 6 studies in Caucasians. The source of sample in all the selected studies was blood. Three methods were used for genotyping including mutagenically separated polymerase chain reaction (MS-PCR), TaqMan, and PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) tests were performed in all included studies. All studies were evaluated as NOS scores ≥ 5 . The characteristics as well as methodological quality of the enrolled studies are demonstrated in Table 1.

3.2. Association between the ENPP1 K121Q (rs1044498 C > A) and CHD risk

A summary of the study findings of the relation between the *ENPP1* K121Q and CHD risk is provided in Table 2. The metaanalysis results revealed that *ENPP1* K121Q showed a significant



Figure 1. Flow chart of literature search and study selection. Six case-control studies were included in this meta-analysis.

Table 1 Main characteristics and methodological quality of case-control studies.

		Country	Ethnicity	Number							
First author	Year			Case	Control	Male percentage	Mean age	Source of control	Type of disease	Genotype method	NOS score
Shaker OG	2014	Egypt	Caucasian	60	60	70.0%	57.0	HB	MI	PCR-RFLP	6
Luo L	2011	China	Asians	67	80	60.5%	59.8	HB	CAD	PCR-RFLP	7
Lazarevic G	2008	Serbia	Caucasian	32	71	60.2%	59.4	PB	CAD	MS-PCR	5
He LN	2006	China	Asians	38	30	55.9%	55.6	HB	ACS	PCR-RFLP	5
Bacci, S-a	2005	Italy	Caucasian	228	333	52.6%	61.6	HB	CAD	TaqMan	6
Bacci, S-b	2005	Boston	Caucasian	206	202	76.5%	65.0	HB	CAD	TaqMan	6
Tasic, I	2007	Serbia	Caucasian	80	50	74.6%	55.0	PB	CAD	MS-PCR	8
Bacci, S-c	2011	Italy	Caucasian	35	295	68.8%	64.0	PB	CAD	TaqMan	6
Bacci, S-d	2011	Italy	Caucasian	26	115	83.7%	62.0	HB	CAD	TaqMan	7
Bacci, S-e	2011	Italy	Caucasian	84	182	56.0%	61.0	PB	CAD	TaqMan	6
Moehlecke, M	2010	brazil	Caucasian	209	364	50.6%	59.9	HB	CAD	MS-PCR	8
Sumi, S-f	2017	Indian	Asians	284	160	65.9%	57.9	HB	CAD	PCR-RFLP	6
Sumi, S-g	2017	Indian	Asians	198	271	65.9%	50.4	HB	CAD	PCR-RFLP	7

ACS = acute coronary syndrome, CAD = coronary artery disease, F = female, HBc = hospital-based, M = male, MI = myocardial infarction, NA = not available, PB = population-based; a and b are data about Italy and Boston, respectively, provided by Simonetta Bacci in 2005; c, d, and e represent information about different groups in Simonetta Bacci study in 2011 (c: the Gargano Heart Study; d: the Tor Vergata Athero-sclerosis Study; e: the Cardiovas-cular Risk Extended Evaluation in Dialysis); f and g are data of S. Sumi study in 2017 (f: with type 2 diabetic; g: with no type 2 diabetic).

Table 2

Meta-analysis of the relationships between ENPP1 genetic polymorphism and the coronary heart disease.

		K	Q+QQ vs KK (Dominant mod	Q vs K (Allele model)			
Items	Number of studies	RR	95%CI	Р	RR	95%CI	Р
Overall	13	1.13	1.05-1.21	.001	1.21	0.98-1.50	.08
Ethnicity							
Caucasian	9	1.17	1.05-1.29	.001	1.25	1.06-1.47	.01
Asian	4	1.07	0.97-1.19	.20	1.07	0.63-1.81	.81
Source of control							
HB	9	1.09	1.01-1.18	.02	1.16	0.89-1.51	.27
PB	4	1.35	1.10-1.67	.001	1.33	1.06-1.68	.01
Type of disease							
MI	1	1.56	1.11-2.18	.01	1.57	1.23-2.00	.00
CAD	11	1.10	1.02-1.19	.01	1.17	0.92-1.48	.19
ACS	1	1.63	1.04-2.54	.03	1.35	1.01-1.82	.04
Male percentage							
<65%	6	1.13	1.00-1.28	.01	1.13	0.91-1.41	.27
≥65%	7	1.12	1.03-1.23	.05	1.27	0.90-1.81	.18
Age							
<60	8	1.10	1.01-1.20	.03	1.20	0.88-1.64	.25
≥60	5	1.18	1.03-1.34	.01	1.17	0.99-1.37	.06
Genotype method							
PCR-RFLP	5	1.11	1.00-1.22	.04	1.15	0.73-1.81	.54
MS-PCR	3	1.08	0.91-1.28	.38	1.27	0.85-1.89	.24
TaqMan	5	1.18	1.03-1.34	.01	1.17	0.99-1.37	.06

95%CI=95% confidence interval, ACS = acute coronary syndrome, CAD = coronary artery disease, HB = hospital-based, MI = myocardial infarction, PB = population-based, RFLP = restricted fragment length polymorphisms, RR = relative risk.

	Study				%
	ID	Q allele	vs. K allele	RR (95% CI)	Weight
I	Shaker OG (2014)		•••••	1.57 (1.23, 2.01)	8.06
	Luo L (2011)	•		0.77 (0.60, 1.00)	7.98
	Lazarevic G (2008)		•••••	2.03 (1.32, 3.11)	6.61
	He LN (2006)			1.35 (1.01, 1.82)	7.70
	Bacci, S-a (2005)	-		1.15 (0.96, 1.38)	8.46
	Bacci, S-b (2005)			1.02 (0.85, 1.23)	8.46
	Tasic, I (2007)			1.24 (0.98, 1.57)	8.14
	Bacci, S -c(2011)			1.17 (0.66, 2.05)	5.50
	Bacci, S-d (2011)			2.08 (1.22, 3.52)	5.79
	Bacci, S -e(2011)			1.16 (0.84, 1.61)	7.43
	Moehlecke, M (2010)			0.91 (0.75, 1.11)	8.38
	Sumi, S-f (2017)			0.71 (0.63, 0.79)	8.81
	Sumi, S -g(2017)			1.77 (1.54, 2.03)	8.68
	Overall (I-squared = 91.5%, p = 0.000)			1.21 (0.98, 1.50)	100.00
	NOTE: Weighte are from rendem offecte	analysia			
	NOTE. Weights are nom random enects	anaiysis		50	
,	.284 Ą	1	1 3.1	52	
	Study				%
	Study ID	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI)	% Weight
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18)	% Weight 3.33
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48)	% Weight 3.33 3.92
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% CI) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010) Sumi, S -f(2017)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15) 0.65 (0.58, 0.74)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66 22.60
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-a (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010) Sumi, S -f(2017) Sumi, S -g(2017)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15) 0.65 (0.58, 0.74) 1.86 (1.51, 2.29)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66 22.60 10.80
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-a (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010) Sumi, S -f(2017) Sumi, S -g(2017) Overall (I-squared = 90.5%, p = 0.000)	KQ+QQ ver	SUS KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15) 0.65 (0.58, 0.74) 1.86 (1.51, 2.29) 1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66 22.60 10.80 100.00
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010) Sumi, S -f(2017) Sumi, S -g(2017) Overall (I-squared = 90.5%, p = 0.000)	KQ+QQ ver	sus KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15) 0.65 (0.58, 0.74) 1.86 (1.51, 2.29) 1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66 22.60 10.80 100.00
	Study ID Shaker OG (2014) Luo L (2011) Lazarevic G (2008) He LN (2006) Bacci, S-a (2005) Bacci, S-a (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-b (2005) Tasic, I (2007) Bacci, S-c2011) Bacci, S-d (2011) Bacci, S-e (2011) Moehlecke, M (2010) Sumi, S -f(2017) Sumi, S -g(2017) Overall (I-squared = 90.5%, p = 0.000)	KQ+QQ ver	SUS KK	RR (95% Cl) 1.56 (1.11, 2.18) 0.99 (0.66, 1.48) 2.38 (1.40, 4.05) 1.63 (1.04, 2.53) 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.03 (0.84, 1.26) 1.29 (0.99, 1.68) 1.21 (0.64, 2.32) 2.62 (1.33, 5.13) 1.21 (0.83, 1.74) 0.92 (0.73, 1.15) 0.65 (0.58, 0.74) 1.86 (1.51, 2.29) 1.13 (1.05, 1.21)	% Weight 3.33 3.92 1.31 2.24 13.93 13.64 4.19 2.22 1.11 5.06 15.66 22.60 10.80 100.00

Figure 2. Forest plots for the relationships between ENPP1 K121Q and coronary heart disease risk under the allele and dominant model. A: allele model, B: dominant model.

correlation with the CHD risk (dominant model: RR = 1.13, 95% CI = 1.05–1.21, P = .001) (Fig. 2B).

В

We also conducted the following stratified analyses for *ENPP1* K121Q (Table 2). In the allele and dominant model, we found a

significant correlation of the *ENPP1* K121Q with CHD risk in Caucasian (allele model: RR = 1.25, 95% CI=1.06–1.47, P=.01; dominant model: RR = 1.17, 95% CI=1.05–1.29, P=.001; respectively), while no such association was detected among



Figure 3. Subgroup analyses by country, disease, genotyping method, and sources of control of the relationships between *ENPP1* K121Q and coronary heart disease risk under the allele model. A: ethnicity, B: source of control, C: type of disease, D: genotype method, E: male percentage, F: mean age. 95%CI=95% confidence interval; ACS=acute coronary syndrome; CAD=coronary artery disease; HB=hospital-based; MI=myocardial infarction; PB=population-based; RFLP=restricted fragment length polymorphisms; RR=relative risk.

Asian (P > .05) (Figs. 3 and 4Figs. 3A and 4A). We found an association between the *ENPP1* K121Q and CHD risk among the population-based subgroup and hospital-based subgroups (all P < .05) (Figs. 3B and 4B). Additionally, we detected that *ENPP1* K121Q was related to the risk of MI, CAD, and acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in the dominant model (all P < .05) (Figs. 3C and 4C). With regard to the genotype analysis methods, *ENPP1* K121Q showed a significant association with CHD risk using PCR-RFLP and TaqMan (PCR-RFLP: RR=1.11, 95% CI=1.00–1.22, P=.04; TaqMan: RR=1.11, 95% CI=1.00–

1.22, P=.04), but not with MS-PCR (all P > .05) (Fig. 4F). With regard to the male percentage, *ENPP1* K121Q showed a significant correlation with CHD risk (<65%: RR=1.13, 95% CI=1.00-1.28, P=.01; ≥65%: RR=1.12, 95% CI=1.03-1.23, P=.05) (Fig. 4E). With regard to the age, *ENPP1* K121Q showed a significant association with CHD risk (<60: RR=1.10, 95% CI=1.01-1.20, P=.03; ≥60: RR=1.18, 95% CI=1.03-1.34, P=.01) (Fig. 4F). We did single factor regression and multiple regression on the number of years of publication, race, sample origin, disease, genotyping, male ratio and average age, and



Figure 4. Subgroup analyses by country, disease, genotyping method, and sources of control of the relationships between *ENPP1* K121Q and coronary heart disease risk under the dominant model. A: ethnicity, B: source of control, C: type of disease, D: genotype method, E: male percentage, F: mean age. 95%CI=95% confidence interval; ACS=acute coronary syndrome; CAD=coronary artery disease; HB=hospital-based; MI=myocardial infarction; PB=population-based; RFLP=restricted fragment length polymorphisms; RR=relative risk.

found that these were not heterogeneous factors in the study (Table 3).

were applied to estimate publication bias of the selected studies. The funnel plots of *ENPP1* K121Q m revealed no presence of obvious asymmetry. Moreover, Egger test failed to test publication bias (all P > .05) (Fig. 6).

3.3. Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Sensitivity analyses evaluated the effect of each article on the pooled ORs by excluding individual studies. The analysis results indicated no individual article significantly influenced the pooled ORs of *ENPP1* K121Q (Fig. 5). Funnel plot as well as Egger test

4. Discussion

The relationship between *ENPP1* gene and CHD risk has been investigated previously in multiple studies, while at the moment

	NC 48
 	_

Univariate and multivariate meta-regression analyses of potential source of heterogeneity.

		SE	t	Р	95%CI	
Heterogeneity factors	Coefficient				LL	UL
Publication year						
Univariate	-0.01	0.03	-0.34	.74	-0.07	0.05
Multivariate	-0.02	0.04	-0.40	.71	-0.12	0.08
Ethnicity						
Univariate	0.14	0.23	0.60	.56	-0.37	0.65
Multivariate	0.20	0.39	0.50	.64	-0.82	1.21
Source of controls						
Univariate	-0.17	0.24	-0.69	.50	-0.69	0.36
Multivariate	-0.09	0.34	-0.27	.80	-0.95	0.77
Disease						
Univariate	-0.26	0.30	-0.89	.39	-0.92	0.39
Multivariate	-0.24	0.40	-0.61	.57	-1.27	0.79
Genotyping method						
Univariate	-0.02	0.23	-0.09	.93	-0.52	0.48
Multivariate	-0.29	0.41	-0.71	.51	-1.35	0.77
Male percentage						
Univariate	0.90	1.16	0.77	.46	-1.66	3.45
Multivariate	0.81	1.42	0.57	.59	-2.84	4.47
Mean age						
Univariate	-0.03	0.03	-0.96	.36	-0.08	0.03
Multivariate	-0.07	0.05	-1.26	.26	-0.21	0.07

95%CI=95% confidence interval, LL=lower limit, SE=standard error, UL=upper limit.

there is a heated debate, but no consensus of that issue. We performed this meta-analysis to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the relationship between ENPP1 K121Q and the risk of CHD by combining the data from previous studies and deriving reliable conclusions based on our statistical analysis. In the present meta-analysis, our results demonstrated that ENPP1 K121Q was responsible for a significantly increased risk of CHD, suggesting that the genetic polymorphism of ENPP1 could be helpful in predicting the pathogenesis of CHD. It was established that ENPP1 gene inhibited insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity, and promoted insulin resistance.^[32] Insulin resistance was a vital factor in the etiology of cardiovascular diseases.^[33] Insulin resistance was widely accepted as largely contributed by genetic factors, and from this study, we also regarded ENPP1 genetic variant as one of the genetic factors, which might contribute to impaired sensitivity to insulin and then resulted in predisposition of individuals to CHD.^[22] The current metaanalysis mainly described the ENPP1 K121Q, which resulted in amino acid substitution to glutamine from lysine at codon 121. The ENPP1 Q variant had a stronger binding affinity to insulin receptor as well as decreased its auto-phosphorylation, compared with the K variant. It could be speculated that the ENPP1 K121 Q variant might increase cardiovascular risk for causing systemic insulin resistance as well as proatherogenic phenotypes.^[34] On the other hand, a direct mechanism related to CHD could also be involved due to the influence of the polymorphism on insulindependent endothelial function.^[18] From the above discussion, stronger binging of the K121Q variant to the insulin receptor at the cell membrane inhibited insulin signaling.^[35] In human endothelial cells harboring the K121Q variant, the consequences were serious because suppression of insulin receptor signaling potentially impacted synthesis and release of nitric oxide, and a powerful vasodilator whose decreased levels contributed to the development of atherosclerosis.^[36] Therefore, we hypothesized that the K121Q variants in the ENPP1 gene significantly might

increase the susceptibility to CHD through its systemic effects and endothelial-specific effects, leading to insulin resistance and contributing to rapid progression of CHD. Consistent with our results, Shaker and Ismail^[22] showed in 60 unrelated patients suffering from their first MI and 60 unrelated controls, that the K121Q variant conferred a higher risk of early development of insulin resistance and patients showed significantly faster progression of acute myocardial infarction compared with the 121K allele. The present study clearly revealed that the occurrence of the ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism was significantly higher in CHD patients and the ENPP1 K121Q variant could be a clinically useful biomarker for population-based screening to identify high-risk individuals for their susceptibility to major cardiovascular events. Subgroup analysis by country showed a significant relation of K121Q polymorphism with CHD risk in Caucasian, but not in Asian. The results can be explained that individuals in different countries may have different genetic backgrounds and life-styles.

Limitations of the present study should be considered. Publication bias may result from the fact that unpublished data, as well as papers published in languages other than English and Chinese, were not included. Further, our metaanalysis division criteria of ethnic groups into "Caucasian," or "Asian" may bias the results, without the detailed knowledge of the patients. Another limitation in our meta-analysis is the small sample size. Despite these limitations, we quantified and analyzed previous inconsistent results from previous studies in our meta-analysis. Besides, the number of years of publication, race, sample origin, disease, genotyping, male ratio, and average age were not heterogeneous factors in this study, which indicates a more credible conclusion of the relationship between *ENPP1* genetic polymorphism and CHD in our results.

In conclusion, our results revealed that *ENPP1* K121Q confers a high risk of susceptibility to CHD and may be useful in early



В

Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis of the summary odds ratio coefficients on the relationships between ENPP1 K121Q and coronary heart disease risk under the allele and dominant models. ENPP1 = ecto-nucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1.

identification of at-risk CHD population, especially in Caucasian. These results indicated that *ENPP1* genetic variation might be crucial in the occurrence of CHD and the genetic variant might be helpful in understanding the basic biology leading to insulin resistance. However, future studies including larger sample size and different ethnicities are also needed to confirm our findings and begin to develop therapeutic intervention strategies focused on *ENPP1* K121Q.



A KQ + QQ vs. KK(Dominant model) t = 1.86 P = 0.115



Figure 6. Funnel plot of publication biases on the relationships between ENPP1 K121Q and coronary heart disease risk under the allele and dominant models. ENPP1 = ecto-nucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to give our appreciation to the reviewers for their helpful comments.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jia-Yin Di. Data curation: Jia-Yin Di. Formal analysis: Jia-Yin Di, Meng-Lu Dai. Funding acquisition: Meng-Lu Dai. Investigation: Meng-Lu Dai, Zong-Xin Zhang. Methodology: Zong-Xin Zhang. Project administration: Zong-Xin Zhang.

References

- Mehta NN. Large-scale association analysis identifies 13 new susceptibility loci for coronary artery disease. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2011;4:327–9.
- [2] Sattelmair J, Pertman J, Ding EL, et al. Dose response between physical activity and risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. Circulation 2011;124:789–95.
- [3] Writing Group M, Lloyd-Jones D, Adams RJ, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics–2010 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2010;121:e46–215.

- [4] Chen CY, Chuang SY, Fang CC, et al. Gender disparities in optimal lipid control among patients with coronary artery disease. J Atheroscler Thromb 2014;21(suppl):S20–8.
- [5] He Y, Zhang Z, Dai Q, et al. Accuracy of MRI to identify the coronary artery plaque: a comparative study with intravascular ultrasound. J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;35:72–8.
- [6] Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics–2014 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2014;129:399–410.
- [7] Consortium CAD, Deloukas P, Kanoni S, et al. Large-scale association analysis identifies new risk loci for coronary artery disease. Nat Genet 2013;45:25–33.
- [8] Barraj L, Tran N, Mink P. A comparison of egg consumption with other modifiable coronary heart disease lifestyle risk factors: a relative risk apportionment study. Risk Anal 2009;29:401–15.
- [9] Lettre G, Palmer CD, Young T, et al. Genome-wide association study of coronary heart disease and its risk factors in 8,090 African Americans: the NHLBI CARe Project. PLoS Genet 2011;7:e1001300.
- [10] Nguyen PL, Chen MH, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Coronary revascularization and mortality in men with congestive heart failure or prior myocardial infarction who receive androgen deprivation. Cancer 2011;117:406–13.
- [11] Ripatti S, Tikkanen E, Orho-Melander M, et al. A multilocus genetic risk score for coronary heart disease: case-control and prospective cohort analyses. Lancet 2010;376:1393–400.
- [12] Baba T, Endo T, Sata F, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome is associated with genetic polymorphism in the insulin signaling gene IRS-1 but not ENPP1 in a Japanese population. Life Sci 2007;81:850–4.
- [13] Abate N, Chandalia M, Di Paola R, et al. Mechanisms of disease: ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase phosphodiesterase 1 as a 'gatekeeper' of insulin receptors. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 2006;2:694–701.
- [14] Gonzalez-Sanchez JL, Zabena C, Martinez-Larrad MT, et al. Association of ENPP1 (PC-1) K121Q polymorphism with obesity-related parameters in subjects with metabolic syndrome. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2008;68: 724–9.
- [15] Pan W, Ciociola E, Saraf M, et al. Metabolic consequences of ENPP1 overexpression in adipose tissue. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2011;301:E901–11.
- [16] Wang R, Zhou D, Xi B, et al. ENPP1/PC-1 gene K121Q polymorphism is associated with obesity in European adult populations: evidence from a meta-analysis involving 24,324 subjects. Biomed Environ Sci 2011;24: 200–6.
- [17] Dimatteo C, Marucci A, Palazzo A, et al. Role of somatomedin-B-like domains on ENPP1 inhibition of insulin signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta 2013;1833:552–8.
- [18] Bacci S, Rizza S, Prudente S, et al. The ENPP1 Q121 variant predicts major cardiovascular events in high-risk individuals: evidence for interaction with obesity in diabetic patients. Diabetes 2011;60:1000–7.
- [19] Wang CH, Ke WS, Lin E. Evaluation of the ENPP1 and PLIN single nucleotide polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes in a Taiwanese population: evidence for replication and gene-gene interaction. J Investig Med 2012;60:1169–73.
- [20] Lazarevic G, Milojkovic M, Tasic I, et al. PC-1 (ENPP1) K121Q polymorphism in overweight and obese type 2 diabetic coronary heart disease patients. Acta Cardiol 2008;63:323–30.
- [21] Luo L, Huang LN, Xu CS, et al. Correlation of plasma cell glycoprotein-1 polymorphism in the patients of type 2 diabetes mellitus with coronary atherosclerotic heart disease. Chin J Hypertension 2011;19:61–4.
- [22] Shaker OG, Ismail MF. Association of genetic variants of MTHFR, ENPP1, and ADIPOQ with myocardial infarction in Egyptian patients. Cell Biochem Biophys 2014;69:265–74.
- [23] Chen MP, Chung FM, Chang DM, et al. ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism is not related to type 2 diabetes mellitus, features of metabolic syndrome, and diabetic cardiovascular complications in a Chinese population. Rev Diabet Stud 2006;3:21–30.
- [24] He LN, Wang MH, Yan Q. The association between Pc-1k121q polymorphism and the age of patients with CHD. Acta Acad Med Jiangxi 2006;46:169–70. 172.
- [25] Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010;25:603–5.
- [26] Zintzaras E, Ioannidis JP. HEGESMA: genome search meta-analysis and heterogeneity testing. Bioinformatics 2005;21:3672–3.
- [27] Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, et al. Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. JAMA 2006;295:676–80.
- [28] Bacci S, Ludovico O, Prudente S, et al. The K121Q polymorphism of the ENPP1/PC-1 gene is associated with insulin resistance/atherogenic

- [29] Moehlecke M, Kramer CK, Leitao CB, et al. ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism and ischemic heart disease in diabetic patients. Arq Bras Cardiol 2010;94:157–61. 168–173, 159–163.
- [30] Sumi S, Ramachandran S, RamanKutty V, et al. ENPP1 121Q functional variant enhances susceptibility to coronary artery disease in South Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mol Cell Biochem 2017;435:67–72.
- [31] Tasic I, Milojkovic M, Sunder-Plassmann R, et al. The association of PC-1 (ENPP1) K121Q polymorphism with metabolic syndrome in patients with coronary heart disease. Clin Chim Acta 2007;377:237–42.
- [32] Zhou HH, Chin CN, Wu M, et al. Suppression of PC-1/ENPP-1 expression improves insulin sensitivity in vitro and in vivo. Eur J Pharmacol 2009;616:346-52.
- [33] Meshkani R, Adeli K. Hepatic insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease. Clin Biochem 2009;42: 1331–46.
- [34] Blackett PR, Sanghera DK. Genetic determinants of cardiometabolic risk: a proposed model for phenotype association and interaction. J Clin Lipidol 2013;7:65–81.
- [35] Moore AF, Jablonski KA, Mason CC, et al. The association of ENPP1 K121Q with diabetes incidence is abolished by lifestyle modification in the diabetes prevention program. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:449–55.
- [36] Bacci S, Di Paola R, Menzaghi C, et al. ENPP1 Q121 variant, increased pulse pressure and reduced insulin signaling, and nitric oxide synthase activity in endothelial cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2009;29: 1678–83.