



# Regenerative Medicine, Stem Cell Therapies, and Platelet-Rich Plasma: Where Is the Evidence?

Naveen Virin Goddard; and Norman Waterhouse, MBChB, FRCS (Plast)

Aesthetic Surgery Journal  
2020, Vol 40(4) 460–465  
© 2020 The Aesthetic Society.  
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.  
DOI: 10.1093/asj/sjz317  
[www.aestheticsurgeryjournal.com](http://www.aestheticsurgeryjournal.com)

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Editorial Decision date: October 31, 2019; online publish-ahead-of-print January 13, 2020.

We live in the age of unreason where, as Martha Gill observes, homeopaths and anti-vaxxers are put on an equal footing with groundbreaking research.<sup>1</sup> There is little consensus or consistency of thought based on science. France has stopped funding homeopathy, yet in the United Kingdom, homeopathy has royal patronage.<sup>2</sup> On the other hand, one-third of French people believe that vaccines are dangerous.<sup>3</sup> As Carrie Dennett, a leading dietician nutritionist has noted, “the more you hear information repeated, even if its misinformation, the more likely you are to believe it.”<sup>4</sup> Familiarity breeds acceptance. Health information peddled by celebrities has more traction than if proposed by medical authorities. This results in claims of the benefits of vaginal steaming and jade eggs, which remain to be verified.<sup>5</sup>

It is undeniable that in the current aesthetic arena, many treatment modalities are carried out despite the absence of peer-reviewed evidence or clinical reviews to support their efficacy. Many therapies are widely advertised and advocated on social media, and after a period of time the therapy becomes accepted without any pre-existing evidence of direct benefit or any data regarding complications.

The power of social media is seen perhaps most obviously with the anti-vaccination lobby, who continue to claim that vaccination is the cause of many problems, including autism, despite the discreditation of the original research that suggested this was the case.<sup>6,7</sup>

Ignoring the weight of peer-reviewed evidence in this case has led to the detriment of children’s health as noted by an increase in the incidence of measles in the pediatric population.<sup>8</sup> If, as medical professionals—and for the

purpose of this article, plastic and aesthetic surgeons—we are to hold any credibility regarding the efficacy of emerging and indeed established therapies, we need to be clear that we will not endorse them because they are lucrative and neither will we “partner” with celebrities to promote them.

Regrettably, the specialty of plastic surgery and aesthetic surgery has a poor history regarding the introduction of therapies on the basis of little evidence. Historically this dates back as far as the initiation of breast augmentation.<sup>9</sup> In a specialty where it can be very difficult to determine outcome measures successfully, many treatments have gained a foothold in the armamentarium on the back of minimal, perfunctory research.

Commercial pressures often take precedence over the normal processes of awaiting results of peer review outcome studies. In many situations, such studies are not available at all. Many therapeutic nonsurgical gestures and modalities are brought straight to market, bypassing any form of regulation or evidence and have been free to offer to patients. The obvious example is nonsurgical fillers. Their designation as a medical device rather than a drug

---

Mr Goddard is an Undergraduate Medical Student, Birmingham Medical School, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, United Kingdom. Mr Waterhouse is a Consultant Plastic Surgeon, Wellington Hospital, London, United Kingdom.

**Corresponding Author:**

Mr Naveen Virin Goddard, 7 Peacock Lane, Hest Bank, Lancaster, Lancashire, LA2 6EN, UK.  
E-mail: [nvg561@student.bham.ac.uk](mailto:nvg561@student.bham.ac.uk)

allowed their passage to market unencumbered by any meaningful clinical evaluation. Permanent and semipermanent fillers have been responsible for significant morbidity and adverse outcomes as the behavior of the material became apparent over time.<sup>10-12</sup> The lack of research and also the lack of uniformity, even as to the modality of administration, often makes unpicking the causality for complications difficult.

Aesthetic medicine is commercially driven by the “latest” treatments, often marketed with a brand name and celebrity endorsement via social media. Once they become recognized in the marketplace, requests for treatment are predominantly patient driven. Clinicians may adopt the therapy for fear of losing market share or not being seen to operate at the cutting edge of new developments. The aggressive marketing of thread lifting is a perfect example.

Currently, there is a new modality in town: stem cell therapy and regenerative medicine. Regenerative medicine and regenerative therapies are new terms and indeed new specialties that have exploded in terms of laboratory research and funding. The route to the market has been swift, with therapies on sale for several clinical applications.<sup>13</sup> The enthusiasm for the potential of cell-based therapies is frequently directed at clinical need, where at present available medical and pharmacological solutions are unsatisfactory or imperfect. Targeted patient groups are often those with chronic diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis.<sup>14</sup>

The haste to market a clinical application in a wide variety of medical conditions drives the enormous number of cellular therapies in the process of being developed. This enthusiasm should be tempered by medical professionals who understand that rigid research and data should be available before the therapies are introduced. Robert Alexander in the paper “Biocellular Regenerative Medicine: Use of Adipose-Derived Stem/Stromal Cells and It’s Native Bioactive Matrix” states that when discussing the value of adipose stromal cell vascular fraction, there is “an information gap between clinical applications and those strictly of research value. If clinicians read only the peer-reviewed clinical journals, they miss up to 85% of the pertinent information and data evolving on almost a daily basis, because the important advances appear in basic scientific and engineering publications.”<sup>15</sup>

How antithetical and audacious is the suggestion that if only physicians would ignore data in peer review clinical trials and simply take the word of research papers that appear in engineering and basic science journals, they would be more inclined to immediately apply these findings to the clinical arena? The author further suggests that it is the clinicians themselves who are causing problems for their patients by waiting for peer review clinical data. If this view gains traction, we risk abandoning the process by which we evaluate any treatment for clinical use.

The consequences of clinical enthusiasm over tried and tested evaluation have been dramatically demonstrated by the events surrounding Paolo Macchiarini and the Karolinska Institute. Macchiarini, a Swiss-born Italian thoracic surgeon, headed a research laboratory in regenerative medicine. He persuaded colleagues that he could reconstruct the trachea utilizing a synthetic scaffold seeded with stem cells, which would become incorporated into the patient’s own tissue and survive and function as respiratory epithelium. This proposition was put into clinical practice, but the outcomes were not as expected and the anticipated results were tragically optimistic. Seven of the 9 patients who underwent the procedure died.<sup>16,17</sup> Subsequently, Macchiarini has been found guilty of falsifying his curriculum vitae, and scientific misconduct by Macchiarini and his co-authors has been discovered in 6 papers reviewed by Sweden’s National Scientific Review Board. They have since been retracted.<sup>18</sup>

In aesthetic medicine there are less potentially fatal consequences of utilizing “stem cells” in the aesthetic arena, which makes it more difficult to temper the enthusiasm of clinicians. The potential benefits of regenerative medicine appear to be lucrative, and many respected institutions including the Mayo Clinic, Cleveland Clinic, and University of Miami have entered the field of stem cell research and related therapies.

In the United States, these treatments are not recognized for remuneration by insurance companies and are therefore self-paid by patients. This provides an extra source of revenue for clinicians and institutions from a vulnerable group of patients. Education for doctors on the stem cell market remains scarce. In chronic conditions such as arthritis, where the treatment options may be limited, many institutions have utilized marketing videos interviewing doctors and patients with apocryphal stories of great clinical benefit.<sup>19</sup> These videos are “infomercials” and have attracted the attention of federal regulators in the United States concerned about their accuracy.<sup>20,21</sup> Critics have suggested that hospitals may be exploiting vulnerable patients and profiting from trendy but unproven treatments. The Food and Drug Administration is currently attempting to shut down clinics that hawk unapproved stem cell therapies.<sup>22</sup>

Although there may be a comfort for aesthetic doctors that the potential downsides and morbidities are few, it should be recognized that there have been 3 cases of blindness and multiple other cases of serious infections and neoplasia resulting from stem cell treatments.<sup>23</sup> Two patients in New Mexico were recently diagnosed with HIV infections following platelet-rich plasma (PRP) treatments for facial rejuvenation.<sup>24</sup>

A review paper by Leigh Turner, Associate Professor at the University of Minnesota, has documented the dynamics

and size of the stem cell market and observes that there is no evidence that they work.<sup>25</sup> Many stem cell clinics state that they do not need FDA approval, because the cells are harvested and returned within the “same surgical procedure,” thus negating the need for a biological license. Although the FDA states that it disagrees with this position, at the time of writing, only a handful of clinics have received warnings.

There is now a growing sentiment among physicians that the FDA must be more proactive in its oversight of clinics offering stem cell products and therapies.<sup>26</sup> This could be achieved by enlisting the help of national surgical facility-accrediting agencies, state legislatures, and medical boards to facilitate the registration and licensing of facilities with a recognized national organization.

There is, of course, a real prospect that the biotech industry may in the future develop valid and alternative means of dealing with chronic conditions, which, at the present time, are not well served by medicine. FDA approval has been granted for a few therapies, including T-cell-based immunotherapies for certain malignancies, for skin regeneration after burn injuries, and autologous scaffold for knee cartilage. Approved uses are listed on the FDA website.<sup>27</sup>

In California, the Institute of Regenerative Medicine (created in 2004) has been funded with \$3.4 billion public dollars.<sup>28</sup> The industry has its own sector of companies focused on research, development, and commercialization of regenerative cellular therapies that they believe will transform the practice of medicine. At present, the commercial aspects of regenerative medicine are fueling hype and misinformation, and there are many attempts to sell invalid and unapproved stem cell and regenerative therapies.

In the field of cosmetic surgery, attention has been largely directed to the benefits of injecting adipose tissue in a variety of clinical situations and also the utilization of concentrated platelets, so-called PRP therapy.<sup>29</sup> In the specialty of plastic surgery, the most topical therapeutic application of an unproven treatment is the utilization of PRP.

PRP has rapidly assumed a position of respectability and is increasingly utilized by mainstream clinical practitioners for a wide variety of conditions. However, the evidence for its efficacy remains marginal and, in the vast majority of cases, inadequate.<sup>30-32</sup> Simply by being present in the market for a certain amount of time and by being widely disseminated on social media, PRP appears to have credibility as a therapy that its research history does not justify.

Much of the research around PRP has concentrated on its effect in conjunction with fat transfer, particularly when comparing the outcome of fat transfer against fat transfer with PRP therapy to determine whether the PRP enhances fat survival.<sup>33</sup> This arena is itself problematic, because there is no well-recognized and accepted protocol

for injecting fat.<sup>34</sup> It can be centrifuged or noncentrifuged, strained with antibiotics, multiply strained to micro-fat or nano-fat through cannulae of increasingly narrow diameter, injected as a single pass or multiple pass, and with many other variants. There is equally no acceptable figure for fat transfer success rates.<sup>35</sup> It is therefore difficult to ascribe improvement in fat grafting success rates to the presence or absence of PRP when multiple modalities of treatment with no universally accepted parameters of outcome exist.

PRP therapy is now utilized for several aesthetic treatments marketed for soft tissue rejuvenation. The therapeutic gesture is delivered by injecting a concentrate of platelets extracted from plasma into a target area.<sup>36</sup> Once activated, the large number of growth factors and cytokines released by the platelet alpha-granules are said to have beneficial effects in soft tissue healing. These principles have been applied in a number of different clinical environments.<sup>37</sup>

It is conceded that some studies do seem to show a beneficial effect from the injection of PRP in the treatment of androgenic alopecia, although it is difficult to understand why this might be true.<sup>38,39</sup> It is interesting to note that PRP therapies have found application in conditions in vulnerable patient groups, such as female sexual arousal disorder. The research related to its utilization in these patients is, however, inadequate to the point of nonexistent. The “paper” documenting its benefits would meet no peer review criteria and yet has been utilized as a justification to inject PRP with hyaluronic acid into the genitalia of women supposedly suffering from this disorder.<sup>40,41</sup> It has also been applied and injected into the root of the penis to enhance sexual function in men.<sup>42</sup>

There is an apocryphal belief that PRP has been employed to heal the injured tendons of expensive racehorses. Although studies in the veterinary literature demonstrating some favorable outcomes do exist, these studies are overwhelmingly of poor quality and PRP is not a mainstream treatment for tendon injuries in veterinary medicine.

The first published utilization in humans was reported nearly 30 years ago in the field of cardiothoracic surgery.<sup>43</sup> However, PRP is being employed increasingly in the specialty of orthopedics for chronic inflammatory and degenerative conditions. In this specialty, many clinicians have carried out peer review studies in a responsible and scientific manner, and it is interesting that these papers almost universally demonstrate that PRP has no value compared with a placebo.<sup>32,44</sup> There are few if any chronic conditions in orthopedics for which PRP has been accepted as a useful therapy.<sup>45</sup>

PRP has become popular as a facial injection of concentrate into the face to rejuvenate the soft tissue and the skin. This technique, given a commercial brand name of a

Vampire Facial, has attracted celebrity endorsements by the Kardashian family. However, Kim Kardashian subsequently disclaimed The Vampire Facial, claiming that “it’s the one treatment that I’ll never do again”.<sup>46,47</sup>

Studies purporting to show clinical benefits with PRP in facial rejuvenation often lack controls as well as objective assessment before and after the intervention and describe inconsistent methods for preparation and application.<sup>48,49</sup> Complication rates are rarely discussed or published, although there are many reports of severe inflammatory and allergic reactions in patients who underwent PRP injections, and PRP treatment has been implicated in the development of HIV infection in 2 patients attending a Vampire Facial clinic in New Mexico.<sup>50-52</sup> Overall, the utilization of PRP is indiscriminate and unregulated. The function and mechanism of the action of platelets in this regard is poorly understood, and as an adjunct to fat transfer the manner of preparation is variable.<sup>53-56</sup>

Each commercial separation system designed to produce PRP yields plasma of varying cellular composition.<sup>57</sup> Furthermore, although there is some evidence suggesting that concomitant use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs does not affect the serum level of growth factors in PRP, more evidence is needed to outline the possible effects of other commonly prescribed medications that influence platelet aggregation and the coagulation cascade.<sup>58-60</sup>

The vast majority of so-called scientific publications on the benefit of PRP demonstrate poor statistics, observer bias, and flawed protocols.<sup>61</sup> The evidence that elucidates its mechanism and preparation remains vague and lacks uniformity. PRP is currently one of the more popular products that has been brought to market on the basis of falsified or propagandized information with almost zero clinical evidence.

There may be a future for PRP, but it is far from proven. As responsible professionals, we should step out of the queue to buy PRP machines until the clinical benefits are properly clinically evaluated and published. Otherwise, we risk abdicating our position as guardians of patient safety and have no more authority than Gwyneth Paltrow or Prince Charles.

## Disclosures

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

## REFERENCES

- Gill M. Medical science can find no cure for the belief in quack remedies. *The Times*. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/medical-science-can-find-no-cure-for-the-belief-in-quack-remedies-5s5hxpflh>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Sage A. France stops funding homeopathy. *The Times*. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/france-stops-funding-homeopathy-wxtrp6dnv>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Bremner C. One third of French say vaccines are dangerous. *The Times*. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/one-third-of-french-say-vaccines-are-dangerous-22cvm9nwg>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Dennett C. It’s easy to be duped by celebrities peddling false health claims. Here’s how to get the facts. *The Seattle Times*. [https://www.seattletimes.com/life/wellness/its-easy-to-be-duped-by-celebrities-peddling-false-health-claims-heres-how-to-get-the-facts/?amp=1&\\_\\_twitter\\_impression=true](https://www.seattletimes.com/life/wellness/its-easy-to-be-duped-by-celebrities-peddling-false-health-claims-heres-how-to-get-the-facts/?amp=1&__twitter_impression=true). Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Phillips K. No, Gwyneth Paltrow, women should not put jade eggs in their vaginas, gynecologist says. *The Washington Post*. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2017/01/22/no-gwyneth-paltrow-women-should-not-put-jade-eggs-in-their-vaginas-gynecologist-says/?noredirect=on&utm\\_term=.55163842e1f4](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2017/01/22/no-gwyneth-paltrow-women-should-not-put-jade-eggs-in-their-vaginas-gynecologist-says/?noredirect=on&utm_term=.55163842e1f4). Published 2017. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Demicheli V, Rivetti A, Debalini M, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;(2):CD004407. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3
- Dyer C. Lancet retracts Wakefield’s MMR paper. *BMJ*. 2010;340:696.
- Confirmed cases of measles, mumps and rubella in England and Wales: 1996 to 2018. GOV.UK. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/measles-confirmed-cases/confirmed-cases-of-measles-mumps-and-rubella-in-england-and-wales-2012-to-2013>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
- Pang TC. Death following injection of paraffin into the breast. *Br Med J*. 1957;1(5025):992.
- Ferneini EM, Ferneini AM. An overview of vascular adverse events associated with facial soft tissue fillers: recognition, prevention, and treatment. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2016;74(8):1630-1636.
- Chiang YZ, Pierone G, Al-Niaini F. Dermal fillers: pathophysiology, prevention and treatment of complications. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2017;31(3):405-413.
- Urdiales-Gálvez F, Delgado NE, Figueiredo V, et al. Treatment of soft tissue filler complications: expert consensus recommendations. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2018;42(2):498-510.
- Nerem RM. Regenerative medicine: the emergence of an industry. *J R Soc Interface*. 2010;7(suppl\_6):771-775.
- El-Jawhari JJ, El-Sherbiny YM, Jones EA, McGonagle D. Mesenchymal stem cells, autoimmunity and rheumatoid arthritis. *QJM*. 2014;107(7):505-514.
- Alexander RW. Biocellular regenerative medicine: use of adipose-derived stem/stromal cells and its native bioactive matrix. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am*. 2016;27(4):871-891.
- Jungebluth P, Alici E, Baiguera S, et al. Tracheobronchial transplantation with a stem-cell-seeded bioartificial

- nanocomposite: a proof-of-concept study. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9808):1997-2004.
17. Corbascio M, Fux T, Grinnemo K, Simonson O. The Macchiarini-case: read the whistleblowers own words. Sjukhusläkaren. <https://www.sjukhuslakaren.se/debatt/the-macchiarini-case-read-the-whistleblowers-own-words/>. Published 2016. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  18. The Lancet. The final verdict on Paolo Macchiarini: guilty of misconduct. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10141):2.
  19. Knoepfler PS. The stem cell hard sell: report from a clinic's patient recruitment seminar. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2017;6(1):14-16.
  20. Marks PW, Witten CM, Califf RM. Clarifying stem-cell therapy's benefits and risks. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(11):1007-1009.
  21. Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D. on the FDA's new policy steps and enforcement efforts to ensure proper oversight of stem cell therapies and regenerative medicine. US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fda-commissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-fdas-new-policy-steps-and-enforcement-efforts-ensure>. Published 2017. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  22. FDA Seeks Permanent Injunctions Against Two Stem Cell Clinics. US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm607257.htm>. Published 2018. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  23. Bauer G, Elsallab M, Abou-El-Enein M. Concise review: a comprehensive analysis of reported adverse events in patients receiving unproven stem cell-based interventions. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2018;7(9):676-685.
  24. Rahim Z. "Vampire facial" spa-goers urged to get HIV tests after two clients diagnosed with virus. The Independent. <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/vampire-facial-hiv-test-blood-spa-new-mexico-vip-a8892346.html>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  25. Turner L. The US direct-to-consumer marketplace for autologous stem cell interventions. *Perspect Biol Med*. 2018;61(1):7-24.
  26. Singer R, Nahai F. Regulation of stem-cell treatments: a problem that is only getting worse. *Aesthet Surg J*. 2019;39(4):460-462.
  27. Approved Cellular and Gene Therapy Products. US Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration. <https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/cellular-gene-therapy-products/approved-cellular-and-gene-therapy-products>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  28. History. California Institute of Regenerative Medicine. <https://www.cirm.ca.gov/about-cirm/history>. Published 2019. Accessed August 9, 2019.
  29. Pietrzak WS, Eppley BL. Platelet rich plasma: biology and new technology. *J Craniofac Surg*. 2005;16(6):1043-1054.
  30. Griffin XL, Wallace D, Parsons N, Costa ML. Platelet rich therapies for long bone healing in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;(7):CD009496. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009496.pub2.
  31. Martinez-Zapata MJ, Martí-Carvajal AJ, Solà I, et al. Autologous platelet-rich plasma for treating chronic wounds. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;(5):CD006899. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006899.pub3]
  32. Moraes VY, Lenza M, Tamaoki MJ, Faloppa F, Belloti JC. Platelet-rich therapies for musculoskeletal soft tissue injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014;(4):CD010071. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010071.pub3
  33. Serra-Mestre JM, Serra-Renom JM, Martinez L, Almadori A, D'Andrea F. Platelet-rich plasma mixed-fat grafting: a reasonable pro-survival strategy for fat grafts? *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2014;38(5):1041-1049.
  34. Shim YH, Zhang RH. Literature review to optimize the autologous fat transplantation procedure and recent technologies to improve graft viability and overall outcome: a systematic and retrospective analytic approach. *Aesthetic Plast Surg*. 2017;41(4):815-831.
  35. Yu NZ, Huang JZ, Zhang H, et al. A systemic review of autologous fat grafting survival rate and related severe complications. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2015;128(9):1245-1251.
  36. Whitman DH, Berry RL, Green DM. Platelet gel: an autologous alternative to fibrin glue with applications in oral and maxillofacial surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1997;55(11):1294-1299.
  37. Eppley BL, Pietrzak WS, Blanton M. Platelet-rich plasma: a review of biology and applications in plastic surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2006;118(6):147e-159e.
  38. Chen JX, Justicz N, Lee LN. Platelet-rich plasma for the treatment of androgenic alopecia: a systematic review. *Facial Plast Surg*. 2018;34(6):631-640.
  39. Girijala RL, Riahi RR, Cohen PR. Platelet-rich plasma for androgenic alopecia treatment: a comprehensive review. *Dermatol Online J*. 2018;24(7):1-13.
  40. Hersant B, SidAhmed-Mezi M, Belkacemi Y, et al. Efficacy of injecting platelet concentrate combined with hyaluronic acid for the treatment of vulvovaginal atrophy in postmenopausal women with history of breast cancer: a phase 2 pilot study. *Menopause*. 2018;25(10):1124-1130.
  41. Aguilar P, Hersant B, SidAhmed-Mezi M, Bosc R, Vidal L, Meningaud JP. Novel technique of vulvo-vaginal rejuvenation by lipofilling and injection of combined platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid: a case-report. *Springerplus*. 2016;5(1):1184.
  42. Scott S, Roberts M, Chung E. Platelet-rich plasma and treatment of erectile dysfunction: critical review of literature and global trends in platelet-rich plasma clinics. *Sex Med Rev*. 2019;7(2):306-312.
  43. Giordano GF, Rivers SL, Chung GK, et al. Autologous platelet-rich plasma in cardiac surgery: effect on intraoperative and postoperative transfusion requirements. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1988;46(4):416-419.
  44. Bossi PM, Moreira JJ, Machado TS, Baccarin RY. Platelet-rich plasma in orthopedic therapy: a comparative systematic review of clinical and experimental data in equine and human musculoskeletal lesions. *BMC Vet Res*. 2015;11:98.

45. Franchini M, Cruciani M, Mengoli C, et al. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma as conservative treatment in orthopaedics: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood Transfus.* 2018;16(6):502-513.
46. Vampire Facial Official Site. Vampire Facial. <https://www.vampirefacial.com>. Published 2019. Accessed 9 August, 2019.
47. Mackenzie M. Kim Kardashian West Regrets Getting Her Infamous Vampire Facial. Allure. <https://www.allure.com/story/kim-kardashian-west-regrets-vampire-facial>. Accessed August 9, 2019.
48. Motosko CC, Khouri KS, Poudrier G, Sinno S, Hazen A. Evaluating platelet-rich therapy for facial aesthetics and alopecia: a critical review of the literature. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2018;141(5):1115-1123.
49. Leo MS, Kumar AS, Kirit R, Konathan R, Sivamani RK. Systematic review of the use of platelet-rich plasma in aesthetic dermatology. *J Cosmet Dermatol.* 2015;14(4):315-323.
50. Kaux JF, Croisier JL, Léonard P, Le Goff C, Crielaard JM. Exuberant inflammatory reaction as a side effect of platelet-rich plasma injection in treating one case of tendinopathy. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2014;24(2):150-152.
51. Latalski M, Walczyk A, Fatyga M, et al. Allergic reaction to platelet-rich plasma (PRP): Case report. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2019;98(10):e14702.
52. Free Testing for Persons Who Received Any Injections. New Mexico Department of Health. <https://nmhealth.org/news/alert/2019/4/?view=762>. Published 2019. Accessed 9 August, 2019.
53. Liao HT, Marra KG, Rubin JP. Application of platelet-rich plasma and platelet-rich fibrin in fat grafting: basic science and literature review. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev.* 2014;20(4):267-276.
54. Puri N. Platelet rich plasma in dermatology and aesthetic medicine. *Our Dermatol Online.* 2015;6(2):207-211.
55. Elghblawi E. Platelet-rich plasma, the ultimate secret for youthful skin elixir and hair growth triggering. *J Cosmet Dermatol.* 2018;17(3):423-430.
56. Arshdeep, Kumaran MS. Platelet-rich plasma in dermatology: boon or a bane? *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2014;80(1):5-14.
57. Degen RM, Bernard JA, Oliver KS, Dines JS. Commercial separation systems designed for preparation of platelet-rich plasma yield differences in cellular composition. *HSS J.* 2017;13(1):75-80.
58. Utku B, Dönmez G, Erişgen G, et al. Meloxicam and diclofenac do not change VEGF and PDGF-ABserum levels of platelet-rich plasma. *Turk J Med Sci.* 2017;47(2):570-576.
59. Anitua E, Troya M, Zalduendo M, Orive G. Effects of anti-aggrenant, anti-inflammatory and anti-coagulant drug consumption on the preparation and therapeutic potential of plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF). *Growth Factors.* 2015;33(1):57-64.
60. Anitua E, Troya M, Zalduendo MM, Orive G. The effect of different drugs on the preparation and biological outcomes of plasma rich in growth factors. *Ann Anat.* 2014;196(6):423-429.
61. Langridge B, Kenworthy W, Patel N, Waterhouse N. Use of platelet preparations in facial rejuvenation and wound healing remains unproven. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 2016;40(2):329-330.