

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Environ Microbiol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 July 28.

Published in final edited form as:

*Environ Microbiol.* 2016 July ; 18(7): 2103–2116. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13318.

# The human gut microbiome in health: establishment and resilience of microbiota over a lifetime

# Kacy Greenhalgh<sup>1</sup>, Kristen M. Meyer<sup>2</sup>, Kjersti M. Aagaard<sup>2</sup>, Paul Wilmes<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, Belvaux, Luxembourg.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA.

# Summary

With technological advances in culture-independent molecular methods, we are uncovering a new facet of our natural history by accounting for the vast diversity of microbial life which colonizes the human body. The human microbiome contributes functional genes and metabolites which affect human physiology and are, therefore, considered an important factor for maintaining health. Much has been described in the past decade based primarily on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing regarding the diversity, structure, stability and dynamics of human microbiota in their various body habitats, most notably within the gastrointestinal tract (GIT). Relatively high levels of variation have been described across different stages of life and geographical locations for the GIT microbiome. These observations may prove helpful for the future contextualization of patterns in other body habitats especially in relation to identifying generalizable trends over human lifetime. Given the large degree of complexity and variability, a key challenge will be how to define baseline healthy microbiomes and how to identify features which reflect deviations therefrom in the future. In this context, metagenomics and functional omics will likely play a central role as they will allow resolution of microbiome-conferred functionalities associated with health. Such information will be vital for formulating therapeutic interventions aimed at managing microbiota-mediated health particularly in the GIT over the course of a human lifetime.

# Introduction

Technological advances in culture-independent molecular methods are allowing us to uncover a new facet of our natural history by accounting for the vast diversity of microbial life, which colonizes the human body. Human beings are now more than ever regarded as microbial ecosystems, comprising bacteria, archaea, eukaryotes and viruses with whom we have coevolved and which colonize different body habitats. The human microbiome contributes essential functionalities to human physiology and is considered essential for the

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

<sup>\*</sup>For correspondence. paul.wilmes@uni.lu; Tel. +352 46 66 44 6188; Fax +352 46 66 44 6949.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

maintenance of human health (Rooks et al., 2014). As the microbiota in certain body habitats, e.g. the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), are temporally stable over the short- and medium-term (Voigt et al., 2015), the definition of microbiome attributes associated with general host characteristics, e.g. health, should be possible. However, microbial community compositions vary over human lifetimes and geographies (Yatsunenko et al., 2012). Furthermore, relatively large intraindividual differences exist between distinct body sites and considerably less but notable interindividual variation is apparent even among healthy individuals for a given body site (Grice et al., 2009; Franzosa et al., 2015; Voigt et al., 2015). Consequently, it may be difficult to define site-specific baseline microbiomes associated with human health especially at lower taxonomic ranks (such as at the genus, species and/or strain levels). This restriction is in part due to the limited resolution afforded by 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing which presently is the method of choice in most studies. The structural differences which are typically resolved at higher taxonomic ranks (such as at the phylum, class and/or order levels) may not be very meaningful as many distinct taxa are typically regrouped, thereby confounding linkages between specific microbial community structures and health status. Therefore, future studies encompassing metagenomics and functional omics will allow much deeper insights into the structural and functional complements of microbiota associated with health. Nonetheless, individual body sites exhibit certain broad features associated with health, that are resolvable using 16S rRNA gene-based surveys, which include specific distributions of microbial phyla, diversity and relative stability over time (Fig. 1A).

The human GIT microbiome has been the major focus of studies as it contains the vast majority of microbial biomass (Eckburg *et al.*, 2005) and can relatively easily be sampled by collection of fecal material. Additionally, it is intimately involved in digestion, metabolite production, cross-talk with the immune system (Flint *et al.*, 2012), and has been implicated in numerous disease processes (Kinross *et al.*, 2011). The human GIT microbiome is dominated by four main bacterial phyla including Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria (Ley *et al.*, 2008). Given their dominance, the Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes have probably received the most attention with respect to the microbial ecology of the GIT. While the Firmicutes are primarily associated with energy harvest from food (Turnbaugh *et al.*, 2006), the Bacteroidetes are linked with several health benefits due to their capacity to degrade complex sugars and proteins into metabolizable short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Ley *et al.*, 2006).

Based on recent surveys, it is apparent that the human GIT microbiome changes significantly over human lifetime and that age-specific differences may be key to understanding microbiome-mediated effects on health. Although other body habitats are distinct in their microbiota compositions from the GIT, they remain much less explored with regards to differences across age and geography. Consequently, we use here the human GIT microbiome as a template for discussing the development and changes of the human microbiome over a lifetime while accounting for biogeography, host genetics, diet and other environmental influences. Although the microbial community compositions in other body habitats, e.g. the skin, are shaped by combinations of factors distinct from those in the GIT, observations in the GIT microbiome with respect to colonization, succession and dynamics may prove helpful for the future contextualization of patterns observed in other body

habitats in the context of human health. In particular, the refinement of our understanding of normal variation within microbial communities will further our knowledge of their structure and function and allow us to identify key features which reflect deviations therefrom, thereby facilitating the design of advanced therapeutics aimed at managing microbiota-mediated health over the course of life.

# Development and succession of the GIT microbiome over the human lifetime

In order to understand how the GIT microbiome is involved in human health, we need to understand how the microbiome develops and evolves with age. The GIT microbiome is dynamic over a human lifetime (Fig. 1A); colonization may begin *in utero*, and the undifferentiated, low diversity GIT microbiome at birth proceeds through various developmental stages with associated changes in diversity, structure and functional gene repertoires (Yatsunenko *et al.*, 2012; Hollister *et al.*, 2015).

#### First exposures: in utero colonization

Until recently, it was assumed that the fetal environment is sterile and that microbial colonization begins with birth. However, with the advent of culture-independent molecular methods, recent evidence has called this assumption into question. The placenta, amniotic fluid, fetal membranes, and cord blood from healthy, term pregnancies have been shown to harbour microorganisms, suggesting that the presence of bacteria in these tissues is not necessarily indicative of a pathogenic state (Jiménez *et al.*, 2005; Steel *et al.*, 2005; Rautava *et al.*, 2012; Aagaard *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, the application of culture-dependent and culture-independent methods have demonstrated that meconium is not sterile but contains bacterial communities similar to those detected in amniotic fluid (Jiménez *et al.*, 2008a; Gosalbes *et al.*, 2013; Ardissone *et al.*, 2014). Taken together, these results challenge the assumption of a sterile *in utero* environment and suggest that initial colonization of the GIT may begin before birth.

Potential sources of these pioneering microbes include the vaginal microbiome (Aagaard *et al.*, 2012; Romero *et al.*, 2014), resident bacteria in the uterus (Hemsell *et al.*, 1989; Cowling *et al.*, 1992; Møller *et al.*, 1995), and the maternal digestive track including the oral cavity (Aagaard *et al.*, 2014). The vagina harbours an abundant, low diversity microbiome dominated by *Lactobacillus* spp. and its proximity to the fetus makes it a possible candidate for fetal colonization (Aagaard *et al.*, 2012). However, bacterial taxa identified in the fetal environment are diverse and include taxa associated with the oral and GIT microbiomes such as *Fusobacterium* spp. and *Bacteroides* spp., suggesting the vagina may not be the primary source of inoculum (Rautava *et al.*, 2012; Aagaard *et al.*, 2014). Recent studies have demonstrated that placentas from healthy, term pregnancies harbour a low abundance but diverse microbiome most akin to the maternal oral microbiome, suggesting that bacteria translocated from the oral cavity may colonize the placenta and serve as an initial source for fetal exposure (Aagaard *et al.* 2014; Zheng *et al.*, 2015). Oral bacteria may enter the blood stream via minor abrasions in the oral mucosa (Lockhart *et al.*, 2008), and preterm birth is associated with both periodontal disease and intrauterine infection, suggesting

hematogenous dissemination of bacteria from the oral cavity may be involved in both physiological and pathological uterine bacterial colonization (Goepfert *et al.*, 2004; Klein and Gibbs, 2005; Schwendicke *et al.*, 2015). However, these routes of colonization remain largely speculative, and further detailed studies are needed to characterize the impact of the prenatal maternal microbiome on offspring colonization.

Taking into account that development of the GIT microbiome may begin before birth, we must explore the factors and exposures that influence this process. It is well established that diet modulates the GIT microbiome in adults (David et al., 2014), and recent studies have revealed that maternal diet likely influences the offspring's microbiome as well. In a nonhuman primate study, a high fat maternal diet (36% fat) during gestation and lactation was associated with a persistent microbial dysbiosis in offspring even after they were weaned onto an isocaloric control diet (13% fat) (Ma et al., 2014). While this study failed to separate the effects of maternal diet during gestation and lactation, another study demonstrated that excess gestational weight gain, but not obesity, is associated with changes in the placental microbiome, suggesting maternal diet during gestation may modulate colonization in utero (Antony et al., 2015). In addition to maternal diet, antenatal infection (Aagaard et al., 2014) and maternal stress during pregnancy (Bailey et al., 2004) are associated with changes in the placental microbiome. Moreover, pregestational diabetes (Hu et al., 2013) and prenatal probiotic supplementation (Lahtinen et al., 2009) correlate with significant changes in the offspring's microbiome. Together, this evidence suggests that the prenatal period plays a significant role in shaping the offspring microbiome.

#### The microbiome at birth: perinatal influences

Compared to the adult microbiome, the GIT microbiome at birth has significantly lower diversity and higher variability among individuals (Fig. 1A) (Dominguez-Bello *et al.*, 2010; Yatsunenko *et al.*, 2012). The dominant phyla in the neonatal GIT include Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria with lower levels of Bacteroidetes, a dominant phylum in the adult GIT microbiome (Fig. 1A) (Gosalbes *et al.*, 2013; Ardissone *et al.*, 2014; Del Chierico *et al.*, 2015). Culture-based studies have reported a predominance of *Bifidobacterium* spp. (phylum Actinobacteria) in the neonatal microbiome (Penders *et al.*, 2006). These taxa are known to elicit beneficial effects in their host by breaking down dietary carbohydrates and interacting directly with host metabolism (Davis *et al.*, 2011). However, many culture-independent studies have reported relatively low abundances of Actinobacteria in the neonatal microbiome (Fig. 1A). This inconsistency may be explained by poor PCR amplification of *Bifidobacteria* spp. due to variation in the conserved regions of the 16S rRNA gene. In general, this circumstance highlights the need to consider extraction and PCR bias when evaluating results from studies employing molecular methods (Milani *et al.*, 2014).

Gestational age at delivery as well as mode of delivery influence the neonatal microbiome at birth (Dominguez-Bello *et al.*, 2010; Ardissone *et al.*, 2014). Compared to infants delivered at term, the neonatal microbiome of preterm infants exhibits overall lower diversity and lower abundance of *Lactobacillus* spp., *Bacteroides* spp. and *Bifidobacterium* spp., with some differences persisting until 90 days postpartum (Arboleya *et al.*, 2011, 2012, 2015). A

study of a large cohort of preterm infants demonstrated that gestational age at birth appears to influence the pace, but not necessarily the progression of bacterial colonization, and that gestational age has a larger influence on the progression of colonization compared to other exogenous factors including antibiotic use, diet, and mode of delivery (La Rosa *et al.*, 2014). This association may be explained by a microbiota-driven process involved in the pathogenesis of preterm birth, an altered neonatal environment of the preterm infant or possibly an interruption in fetal colonization *in utero*. While much has yet to be learnt about the importance of these factors, recent evidence suggests that the GIT microbiome of preterm infants is largely shaped by microbiota derived from feeding and intubation as well as the immediate incubator and room environment within neonatal intensive care units rather than by individuals who come into contact with the infants (parents and healthcare providers) (Brooks *et al.*, 2014). Regardless of the main factors at play, the microbial perturbations associated with preterm birth are considered to be problematic for the infant, as necrotizing enterocolitis, a severe inflammatory disease of the GIT, is strongly associated with both preterm birth and microbial dysbiosis (Wang *et al.*, 2009; Mai *et al.*, 2011).

In addition to gestational age, recent studies suggest that mode of delivery may influence the immediate neonatal microbiome at birth, although the longer-term impact remains unclear. In the immediate neonatal period, Cesarean delivery is associated with an overall lower bacterial diversity, a lower abundance of Bacteroides spp., Bifidobacterium spp. and Lactobacillus spp. and a bacterial composition akin to maternal skin, while the meconium microbiome of vaginally delivered infants is more similar to the mother's vaginal microbiome (Grönlund et al., 1999; Penders et al., 2006; Biasucci et al., 2008, 2010; Dominguez-Bello et al., 2010; Jakobsson et al., 2014). However, many of these studies were limited in sample size and failed to stratify subjects based on indication for Cesarean delivery (e.g. unlabored repeat Cesarean versus labored with fetal macrosomia). Thus, differences attributed to mode of delivery may be due to the underlying pathology or fetal physiology that necessitates initial Cesarean delivery. A recent study has found that, in an attempt to recapitulate inoculation during passage through the birth canal, exposure of Cesarean-delivered infants to maternal vaginal fluids at birth results in a relatively minimal effect on the bacterial community profile of anal swab samples, reflecting the partial restoration of a few bacterial taxa (Lactobacillus spp. and Bacteroides spp.) when compared to levels found in samples from vaginally delivered infants (Dominguez-Bello et al., 2016). At present, it is difficult to definitively determine the significance of these findings with respect to the GIT microbiome, as for example the presence of these taxa may be due to direct inoculation of vaginal bacteria to the perianal region during the inoculation procedure rather than actual changes in the GIT microbiome. Overall, further studies employing larger sample sizes, longer sampling duration and stratification by delivery indication are necessary to determine if failure to pass through the birth canal has a significant, lasting impact on infant microbial development.

#### The infant microbiome: development during the first year of life

The first year of life represents a significant period of fluctuation and maturation of the GIT microbiome (Fig. 1A). Taxonomic diversity is relatively low at birth but increases over time

as the infant is colonized with bacteria acquired from breast milk and the environment (Fig. 1A) (Schanche *et al.*, 2015; Thompson *et al.*, 2015).

Diet is a significant driver of the developing infant microbiome as it adapts to the changing availability of nutrients (Thompson et al., 2015). Early in infancy, the GIT microbiome is enriched in genes involved in digestion of oligosaccharides found in breast milk, while later in infancy, due to the introduction of solid foods, the metagenome is enriched in genes involved in the digestion of polysaccharides (contributed for example to the microbiome by Bacteroides spp. (Ravcheev et al., 2013)) and vitamin biosynthesis (Koenig et al., 2011; Bäckhed et al., 2015). Furthermore, the mode of feeding significantly influences microbial composition in the infant GIT (Thompson et al., 2015). Breast-fed infants show an increase in the relative abundance of Actinobacteria and a decrease in Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, while formula-fed infants exhibit enrichments in putative pathogens, including Escherichia coli and Clostridium difficile (Penders et al., 2006; Fallani et al., 2010; Azad et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2015). Breast milk contains multiple components that have the potential to impact the composition of the infant GIT microbiome, including immunoglobulins (Rogier et al., 2014), prebiotic oligosaccharides (which favor the growth of *Bifidobacterium* spp.) (Hardy et al., 2013), and diverse breast milk microbiota that continually seed the infant GIT (Hunt et al., 2011). Intriguingly, the breast milk microbiome includes bacteria possibly derived from the maternal GIT via enteromammary trafficking, a proposed pathway by which enteric bacteria are engulfed by leukocytes and delivered to the mammary glands via systemic circulation (Stagg et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2007; LaTuga et al., 2014). In support of this potential pathway are results showing that oral probiotics given to lactating mothers are detectable in breast milk and identical bacterial strains are shared between the maternal GIT, breast milk and the infant GIT (Jiménez et al., 2008a,b; Jost et al., 2014). Thus, enteromammary trafficking may represent a mechanism for vertical transmission of the GIT microbiome. Given that diet significantly affects the adult GIT microbiome (David et al., 2014), the trafficking from maternal to infant GIT may explain the observation that high fat maternal diet is associated with persistent microbial dysbiosis in nursing offspring (Ma et al., 2014). However, further studies are clearly needed to elucidate the impact of the maternal GIT microbiota on the developing microbiome of nursing infants.

In addition to infant diet, environmental and pharmacological exposures are also associated with differences in the developing infant microbiome. These factors include antibiotic exposure (Penders *et al.*, 2006; Mangin *et al.*, 2010), number of siblings (Penders *et al.*, 2006), exposure to pets (Nermes *et al.*, 2015), daycare attendance (Thompson *et al.*, 2015) and geography (Fallani *et al.*, 2010). Finally, the interindividual variation within the GIT microbiome is much larger in infants compared to adults (Yatsunenko *et al.*, 2012) suggesting that early successional patterns are not uniform, but nevertheless reach a relatively similar endpoint in the adult microbiome (Yatsunenko *et al.*, 2012).

#### The GIT microbiome in childhood

Based on initial culture-based surveys, it was thought that the human GIT microbiome reaches a mature state of colonization between the ages of 1–4 years (Ellis-Pegler *et al.*, 1975). However, more recent molecular studies have uncovered key differences in the GIT

microbiome of children and adults (Hopkins et al., 2001; Cheng et al., 2015; Hollister et al., 2015). A study in the United States revealed that compared to the adult GIT microbiome, there is an overall clear enrichment in Firmicutes (Cheng et al., 2015; Hollister et al., 2015), Proteobacteria (Saulnier et al., 2011) and Actinobacteria (Cheng et al., 2015; Hollister et al., 2015) and a decrease in Bacteroidetes (Saulnier et al., 2011) in the GIT microbiome of American children. Furthermore, Roseburia spp., Faecalibacterium spp., Ruminococcus spp., Alistipes spp., Bacteroides vulgatus and Bacteroides xylanisolvens were all found to be enriched in preadolescent American children when compared to adult counterparts (Hollister et al., 2015). Roseburia spp., Faecalibacterium spp. and Ruminococcus spp. are butyrateproducing bacteria which are associated with a healthy GIT microbiome (Khan et al., 2012; Neyrink et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015). On a functional level, the GIT microbiomes of children in the United States are enriched in functions which may support ongoing development, e.g. vitamin  $B_{12}$  (Hollister *et al.*, 2015) when compared to American adults. The functional repertoires appear to shift over time and culminate in the adult GIT microbiome. Moreover, as we age the GIT microbiome is enriched in more traits associated with inflammation and metabolic dysfunction (Hollister et al., 2015).

As with other stages of life, there also appear to be clear geographical differences with respect to the childhood microbiome. In particular, the GIT microbiome of Italian children is mainly comprised of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and a smaller proportion of Actinobacteria (Fig. 1B). In contrast, children residing in rural villages in Burkina Faso exhibit a significant enrichment of Bacteroidetes and depletion of Firmicutes and an enrichment in bacterial genes capable of degrading cellulose and xylan (De Filippo *et al.*, 2010). To date, the functional characteristics of the microbiome of children in all other geographical regions remain to be explored in detail. Overall, the GIT microbiome in childhood, while more established than the infant microbiome, is not completely mature and, therefore, represents a dynamic community which likely influences health outcomes later on in life.

#### The GIT microbiome in adolescence

Similar to the child microbiome, it was assumed that the microbiomes of adolescents are no different than those of adults. However, a single molecular study has identified differences in the adolescent GIT microbiome, including a higher abundance of *Clostridium* spp. and *Bifidobacteria* spp. compared to the adult microbiome (Hopkins *et al.*, 2001). Research on the healthy GIT microbiome in adolescence barely exists and future studies are clearly needed in this area. In particular, as fluctuating hormone levels are a hallmark of adolescence, changes in the GIT microbiome likely also occur during this important transitional period similar to other life events associated with major hormonal changes, e.g. pregnancy (Koren *et al.*, 2012).

#### The GIT microbiome in adulthood

The majority of microbiome studies to date have focused on the adult GIT microbiome, which comprises mainly Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria (Fig. 1B) (Huttenhower *et al.*, 2012). The proportion of each phylum varies according to geographical location (Schnorr *et al.*, 2014). For example, a few studies have shown that Firmicutes are enriched in adults in nonindustrialized countries (Schnorr *et al.*, 2014; Martinez *et al.*, 2015),

whereas adults in westernized societies appear to exhibit a higher Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes ratio (Huttenhower *et al.*, 2012; Zhu *et al.*, 2015). However, this trend is not reflected in two separate studies in which enrichments in Firmicutes were observed for GIT microbiomes from European countries (Mueller *et al.*, 2006; Arumugam *et al.*, 2011). A higher ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes has been mostly attributed to energy harvest and body weight gain (Turnbaugh *et al.*, 2006). Additional future work is required to clarify the relative levels of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes and their associated functionalities in healthy human populations across different geographies and their potential impact on health and obesity.

Overall the adult GIT microbiome remains relatively stable through adulthood, except following perturbations such as infections, antibiotic treatment or drastic dietary interventions (David *et al.*, 2014). Even though the GIT microbiome recovers to its initial state relatively quickly (Wu *et al.*, 2011; David *et al.*, 2014), these perturbations subtly alter the GIT microbiome composition over time and are likely strong drivers behind the extensive strain-level interindividual differences which are apparent in healthy adults (Zhu *et al.*, 2015). Taken together, this suggests that the human hologenome [the collective of human and microbial genomes (Moran and Sloan, 2015)] is very much individual-specific.

#### The GIT microbiome in old age

Studies from Europe suggest that the GIT microbiome in the elderly is distinct from adults (Fig. 1B) (Mariat et al., 2009). The GIT microbiome is thought to influence the overall health of the elderly, as changes in its composition have been associated with declines in health (Claesson et al., 2012). Overall, the GIT microbiome of the elderly exhibits a higher Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio when compared to adults (Mariat et al., 2009) with a concomitant reduction in protective commensal bacteria such as Bifidobacteria and Bacteroides (Mariat et al., 2009). A reduction in Bacteroides spp., Prevotella spp. and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (Mariat et al., 2009; Cho and Blaser, 2012), and an increase in Enterobacteriaceae, has been associated with on overall decrease in the quality of life in old age (Van Tongeren et al., 2005). Factors which play a greater role in old age and which might lead to the observed changes in GIT microbiota include an overall increased use of medication, dietary deficiency, as well as changing hormonal levels (Voreades et al., 2014). In order to study the dynamics of the healthy microbiome as we age, further in-depth studies, including a focus on the identification of specific microbiome-conferred functionalities in different geographical regions are necessary. Furthermore, as impaired dentition accompanies old age, it may be worth-while to explicitly investigate the oral microbiome as this is likely a contributor to changes in GIT microbiota (Claesson et al., 2012) and consequently health status.

# Age-independent influences on the GIT microbiome and its modulation

Apart from age-associated changes in the GIT microbiota of healthy individuals, other factors including geographical location, social context, gender, host genetics, diet and antibiotic use may also greatly impact the composition of the GIT microbiome (Fig. 2) (Dethlefsen *et al.*, 2008; Walker *et al.*, 2011). In particular, apparent geographical

differences, for example between rural and urban areas (Tyakht et al., 2013), may be attributable to variations in dietary habits or other environmental influences (Fig. 2) (De Filippo et al., 2010; Rampelli et al., 2015; Nakayama et al., 2015). Apart from these factors, the differences observed between school children attending private schools compared to children living in impoverished conditions may be the result of several social factors which are presently difficult to deconvolute (De Mello et al., 2009). These factors likely also contribute to the described association between taxonomic structures and level of education (Ding and Schloss, 2014). Furthermore, elderly individuals that live in a community setting have higher proportions of Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes in comparison to long-stay residential individuals (Fig. 2) (Claesson et al., 2012). Data from the Home Microbiome Project has found that the human microbiome fingerprint is very similar between individuals that share the same home (Lax et al., 2014) which in turn suggests an overall high degree of nestedness for the GIT microbiome which likely further reinforces social effects. These results as well as those from other studies (Yatsunenko et al., 2012) suggest that the overall environmental setting is a strong factor in shaping the human GIT microbiome and, in more general terms, the microbiomes of other body habitats.

Apart from environmental factors, host genetics have also been found to shape GIT microbiome composition (Goodrich *et al.*, 2014; Blekhman *et al.*, 2015). More specifically, many microbial taxa whose abundances appear to be affected by host genetics have been identified (Goodrich *et al.*, 2014). Interestingly, the most heritable taxon identified, the family Christensenellaceae, was found to be enriched in individuals with low body mass index. When transplanted into germ-free mice, a Christensenellaceae amendment reduced weight gain significantly and overall altered the microbiome of recipient mice (Goodrich *et al.*, 2014). Strikingly, the heritability of Christensenellaceae did not appear to be driven by diet and was, therefore, mainly due to host genetic factors (Goodrich *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, gender-specific differences in GIT microbiome composition have been observed, which may be explained by combinations of host genetics and social context (Markle *et al.*, 2013; Ding and Schloss, 2014).

Although diet appears not to be the main driver influencing microbiome composition, certain major taxa, e.g. the Bacteroidetes, have been found to respond very rapidly to dietary changes (David et al., 2014). In particular, Western dietary habits have been found to significantly affect the GIT microbiome which in turn has been linked to metabolic diseases (Fukuda and Ohno, 2014; Hur and Lee, 2015). The Western diet consists largely of processed foods and is high in simple carbohydrates, animal protein, fat and low in dietary fibre (De Filippo et al., 2010). In contrast, high fibre diets are typically composed of fresh vegetables, fruits and whole grains (Fung et al., 2001). Fibre-rich diets can have short termimpacts on the GIT microbiome (David *et al.*, 2014), but more importantly long-term fibre intake has been linked to microbiome-mediated beneficial, systemic effects (Kuo, 2013). Interesting in this context are *Prevotella* spp. and *Xylanibacter* spp., two genera capable of degrading nondigestible fibres, which are enriched in children from Burkina Faso and completely absent in European children (De Filippo et al., 2010). Fibre-degrading bacteria ferment dietary fibre to SCFAs (Flint et al., 2012), which provide an important source of energy for host cells (Bäckhed et al., 2004; Turnbaugh et al., 2006) as well as being involved in the maintenance of the epithelial barrier (Kelly et al., 2015) and modulation of the

immune system (Furusawa *et al.*, 2013). The two latter processes are particularly pertinent in the context of allergic diseases, which show an increasing incidence world-wide, particularly in Western countries (Azad *et al.*, 2013). More specifically, increased circulating levels of fibre-derived SCFAs have been found to protect against inflammation in the lung of a mouse model, whereas a low-fibre diet decreased levels of SCFAs and increased allergic airway disease (Trompette *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, even though the results are not conclusive, it seems that there is potential that the co-administration of nondigestible fibre during antibiotic treatment has promising effects on the GIT microbiome such as prevention or alleviation of antibiotic-associated diarrhea (Moore *et al.*, 2015).

Epidemiological data suggests that the increasing prevalence of antibiotic usage and general cleanliness in Western countries ('the hygiene hypothesis') negatively affects the GIT microbiome and that these contribute to the increasing incidence in allergic diseases in these countries (Azad et al., 2013). The administration of antibiotics directly perturbs the GIT microbiome leading to decreased bacterial diversity and richness (Dethlefsen et al., 2008; Claesson et al., 2011). Even though the GIT microbiome is restored after approximately one month (Claesson et al., 2011), some taxa are permanently lost (Dethlefsen et al., 2008). However, these and other studies (Penders et al., 2006; Mangin et al., 2010) have only investigated microbial diversity before and after interventions and have not examined transient and subsequent microbial metabolic profiles nor the molecular repercussions over longer durations. Even though antibiotic exposure might only have relatively short-term effects on the microbiota, it might activate long-term changes in gene expression that could affect the immune system or metabolism which in turn might culminate in chronic diseases (Nobel et al., 2015). Furthermore, facultative pathogens may occupy larger niches postadministration which may lead to sustained proinflammatory states (Gagliani et al., 2014). Clearly, detailed longitudinal studies aimed at understanding the long-term impact of antibiotic treatment on microbiome-mediated health are needed to fully understand the resilience of the GIT microbiome in relation to antibiotic exposure and to identify possible supportive treatment strategies.

A well-established instance where persistent antibiotic use leads to a major disruption of the GIT microbiota balance with potentially life-threatening consequences is that of recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection, which is particularly prevalent in elderly individuals. In terms of treatment, fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) has recently proven to be very efficacious as it results in the reestablishment of a more diverse, 'healthy' microbiome (Kelly et al., 2014; Dutta et al., 2014; Cohen et al., 2015). Apart from FMT, other more classical means for modulating the GIT microbiome include the administration of defined pre-, pro- or synbiotic formulations (Hardy et al., 2013). Prebiotics comprise nondigestible food components that promote the growth of certain beneficial bacteria, e.g. SCFAproducing bacteria, in the GIT. Examples include dietary supplementation with nondigestible fibre which promotes the growth of Actinobacteria (Gerritsen et al., 2011; Johnson and Versalovic, 2012; Simeoni et al., 2015). Actinobacteria have been shown to be associated with health benefits and to protect against pathogens by modulating the host's immune responses (Ventura et al., 2007). Probiotics are living bacteria that can provide health benefits to the host when administered in adequate amounts (Rijkers et al., 2011) as they may potentially restore a disturbed GIT microbiome (Sullivan and Nord, 2002) and

activate anti-inflammatory pathways (Eloe-Fadrosh *et al.*, 2015). Certain probiotic species produce SCFAs and may secrete other molecules, which, *inter alia*, may stimulate the growth of other beneficial bacteria (Eloe-Fadrosh *et al.*, 2015) and thereby prevent the expansion of pathogenic bacteria (Collado *et al.*, 2007). Synbiotics, which involve a combination of probiotics and prebiotics administered together, have been shown to favourably modulate interactions between the GIT microbiome and host, and thereby confer overall health benefits (Druart *et al.*, 2014). More specifically, modulation of the microbiome by synbiotic regimes has been demonstrated to be efficacious for the protection against sensitization to food allergens (Stefka *et al.*, 2014), the reduction of inflammation in the GIT (Furrie *et al.*, 2005) and a corresponding reduction in colorectal cancer risk (Ohashi *et al.*, 2002; Rafter *et al.*, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2011). Beyond the use of undefined modulatory regimes, i.e. FMT, targeted age-group specific synbiotic regimes may be further developed (Ley *et al.*, 2006; De Filippo *et al.*, 2010) to prevent or/and treat imbalanced GIT microbiota over the course of human life.

### Attributes of baseline 'healthy' microbiomes

Even though the GIT microbiome in healthy individuals is considered to be relatively stable (Manichanh *et al.*, 2008; Ding and Schloss, 2014), the identification of features characteristic of a 'healthy' microbiome is a challenging task. Indeed, desirable microbial community structures associated with human health may be different for different individuals according to their age, environment, genetics, diet, etc., and may be more dependent on microbial gene carriage patterns than taxonomic composition (Huttenhower *et al.*, 2012; Zhu *et al.*, 2015). In contrast, given the relative stability of functions encoded in GIT metagenomes (Huttenhower *et al.*, 2012), it is likely that a core of microbiome-conferred functionalities exists. The characteristics of such a 'functional core' should be explored and its specific attributes in relation to human health should be investigated.

Many studies have identified microbial community structures associated with specific diseases and correspondingly, it is tempting to associate 'health' with the features identified in the healthy control cohorts of these studies. However, assigning causality to features associated with health and disease is a difficult problem and often requires use of model systems, which at present do not accurately reflect human physiology (Fritz *et al.*, 2013). Additionally, careful consideration of exclusion criteria should be taken before these features are considered to be reflective of a 'healthy' microbiome, as many studies may identify 'healthy' features which may be associated with other factors not under investigation. For example, many studies have identified a relatively low Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio to be associated with health, as elevated ratios have been linked to metabolic diseases (Ley *et al.*, 2006; Mariat *et al.*, 2009). However, due to considerable variation in the observed Bacteroidetes to Firmicutes ratios in healthy subjects, which may in turn be due to several factors (Ley *et al.*, 2006; Mariat *et al.*, 2009), this ratio is likely not be a generalizable indicator of human health (Hollister *et al.*, 2015).

Large-scale studies of healthy individuals screened with extensive exclusion criteria such as the Human Microbiome Project and MetaHIT, have allowed us to begin to define what is characteristic of healthy individuals, and this should form the framework for defining

features of 'healthy' microbiomes in the future. In addition to identifying microbial community structures associated with human health, studies should also focus on identifying specific functionalities and the corresponding bacterial taxa that confer these functions to the individual. For example, some *Bacteroides* spp. are known to produce specific beneficial products such as polysaccharide A (Johnson *et al.*, 2015) and SCFAs (Walker *et al.*, 2005; Ley *et al.*, 2006). Although 16S rRNA gene-based analyses have a role to play for the *ab initio* characterization of community structures, future studies should involve the systematic generation of metagenomic and functional omic [metatranscriptomic, metaproteomic, (meta-)metabolomics] data for resolving the functional complements of microbiomes. Ultimately, the functionalities encoded and conferred by the microbiota are key to ensuring health. Finally, the establishment of a repertoire of beneficial bacterial strains is necessary as their administration as probiotics potentially in conjunction with prebiotic formulations represents one of the most promising avenues of microbiome-related therapeutics.

Characterization efforts aimed at defining 'healthy' microbiota compositions must be expanded beyond the lower GIT microbiome, and links between different body sites must be systematically investigated. For example, the oral cavity is a main gateway for microorganisms to enter and interact with the human body and the community types of the oral and lower GIT microbiomes are predictive of each other (Ding and Schloss, 2014). Additionally, the oral microbiome has been associated with oral infectious diseases as well as systemic diseases (Ley *et al.*, 2006; Seymour *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, the oral microbiome might be a key factor for understanding human health over a human life-time. Furthermore, it is also likely that alterations to the microbiota in other body habitat, e.g. the skin (Weyrich *et al.*, 2015), may trigger systemic effects not least via immune system responses. Clearly, microbial communities at different body sites are involved in different aspects of human health, and the systematic characterization of many body sites is therefore imperative for our overall understanding of microbiota-mediated health in the future.

## Concluding remarks and perspectives

Given the complexity and diversity of microbial community structures in healthy individuals (Huttenhower et al., 2012), it may be at present difficult to define attributes characteristic of baseline 'healthy' microbiomes. In spite of the relatively conserved, characteristic features of body site-specific microbiota (Kurokawa et al., 2007; Huttenhower et al., 2012; Hollister et al., 2015), it remains unclear which factors influence microbial community assembly and their relative importance. Key factors which likely influence microbiota compositions include age (Palmer et al., 2007; Mariat et al., 2009; Koenig et al., 2011), geographical location (Yatsunenko et al., 2012), host genetics (Gagliani et al., 2014; Blekhman et al., 2015), social context (De Mello et al., 2009; Koenig et al., 2011; Nakayama et al., 2015), and diet (David et al., 2014) (Fig. 2). Therefore, in order to understand how the microbiome plays a role in maintaining human health, studies aimed at identifying measures that sustain beneficial microbiome compositions over the course of a human lifetime along with highresolution longitudinal data in different geographic locales is essential. Such data would need to include information on highlighted factors influencing microbiome composition as well as provide a sampling from different body sites of individuals of different ages, ethnicities, socio-economic backgrounds and geographies. Furthermore, such efforts should

go beyond mere 16S rRNA gene-based taxonomic surveys and include systematically generated metagenomic and functional omic data. Given the microbiome's contribution of key functionalities to host physiology, the inclusion of functional information will likely greatly enhance the linking of microbial contributions to overall health status. Additionally, it is essential that all data is stored in a web-accessible comprehensive database to allow comparisons of body-site specific microbiome characteristics across studies. Therefore, in our opinion, a standardized, concerted international effort is needed to resolve and define the healthy human microbiome.

### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by an ATTRACT program grant (ATTRACT/A09/03) and a European Union Joint Programming in Neurodegenerative Diseases grant (INTER/JPND/12/01) awarded to P.W. as well as a PhD grant Aide à la Formation Recherche (AFR) to K.M.G. (PHD/9964547), all funded by the Luxembourg National Research Fund (FNR). The authors have no further conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this review.

#### References

- Aagaard K, Riehle K, Ma J, Segata N, Mistretta T-A, Coarfa C, et al. (2012) A metagenomic approach to characterization of the vaginal microbiome signature in pregnancy. PLoS One 7: e36466.
- Aagaard K, Ma J, Antony KM, Ganu R, Petrosino J, and Versalovic J (2014) The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. Science Transl Med 6: 237ra65.
- Antony KM, Ma J, Mitchell KB, Racusin DA, Versalovic J, and Aagaard K (2015) The preterm placental microbiome varies in association with excess maternal gestational weight gain. Am J Obstet Gynecol 212: e1–e16.
- Arboleya S, Binetti A, Salazar N, Ferná Ndez N, Solís G, Herná Ndez-Barranco, et al. (2011) Establishment and development of intestinal microbiota in preterm neonates. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 79: 763–772. [PubMed: 22126419]
- Arboleya S, Ang L, Margolles A, Yiyuan L, Dongya Z, Liang X, et al. (2012) Deep 16S rRNA metagenomics and quantitative PCR analyses of the premature infant fecal microbiota. Anaerobe 18: 378–380. [PubMed: 22579986]
- Arboleya S, Sánchez B, Milani C, Duranti S, Solís G, Fernández N, et al. (2015) Intestinal microbiota development in preterm neonates and effect of perinatal antibiotics. J Pediatr 166: 538–544. [PubMed: 25444008]
- Ardissone AN, de la Cruz DM, Davis-Richardson AG, Rechcigl KT, Li N, Drew JC, et al. (2014) Meconium microbiome analysis identifies bacteria correlated with premature birth. PLoS One 9: e90784.
- Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, et al. (2011) Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 473: 174–180. [PubMed: 21508958]
- Azad MB, Konya T, Maughan H, Guttman DS, Field CJ, Chari RS, et al. (2013) Gut microbiota of healthy Canadian infants: profiles by mode of delivery and infant diet at 4 months. CMAJ 185: 385– 394. [PubMed: 23401405]
- Bäckhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, et al. (2004) The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U. S. A. 101: 15718–15723. [PubMed: 15505215]
- Bäckhed F, Roswall J, Peng Y, Feng Q, Jia H, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, et al. (2015) Dynamics and stabilization of the human gut microbiome during the first year of life. Cell Host Microbe 17: 690– 703. [PubMed: 25974306]

- Bailey MT, Lubach GR, and Coe CL (2004) Prenatal stress alters bacterial colonization of the gut in infant monkeys. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 38: 414–421. [PubMed: 15085020]
- Biasucci G, Benenati B, Morelli L, Bessi E, and Boehm G (2008) Cesarean delivery may affect the early biodiversity of intestinal bacteria. J Nutr 138: 1796S–1800S. [PubMed: 18716189]
- Biasucci G, Rubini M, Riboni S, Morelli L, Bessi E, and Retetangos C (2010) Mode of delivery affects the bacterial community in the newborn gut. Early Hum Dev 86: 13–15.
- Blekhman R, Goodrich JK, Huang K, Sun Q, Bukowski R, Bell JT, et al. (2015) Host genetic variation impacts microbiome composition across human body sites. Genom Biol 16: 191.
- Brooks B, Firek BA, Miller CS, Sharon I, Thomas BC, Baker R, et al. (2014) Microbes in the neonatal intensive care unit resemble those found in the gut of premature infants. Microbiome 2: 1. [PubMed: 24468033]
- Cheng J, Ringel-Kulka T, Heikamp-de Jong I, Ringel Y, Carroll I, de Vos WM, et al. (2015) Discordant temporal development of bacterial phyla and the emergence of core in the fecal microbiota of young children. ISME J 4: 1–13.
- Cho I and Blaser MJ (2012) The Human Microbiome: at the interface of health and disease. Nat Rev Genet 13: 260–270. [PubMed: 22411464]
- Claesson MJ, Cusack S, O'Sullivan O, Greene-Diniz R, de Weerd H, Flannery E, et al. (2011) Composition, variability, and temporal stability of the intestinal microbiota of the elderly. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(Suppl.): 4586–4591. [PubMed: 20571116]
- Claesson MJ, Jeffery IB, Conde S, Power SE, O 'Connor EM, Cusack S, et al. (2012) Gut microbiota composition correlates with diet and health in the elderly. Nature 488: 178–184. [PubMed: 22797518]
- Cohen NA, Ben Ami R, Guzner-Gur H, Santo ME, Halpern Z, and Maharshak N (2015) Fecal microbiota transplantation for Clostridium difficile-Associated Diarrhea. Isr Med Assoc J 17: 510– 514. [PubMed: 26394495]
- Collado MC, Meriluoto J, and Salminen S (2007) Role of commercial probiotic strains against human pathogen adhesion to intestinal mucus. Lett Appl Microbiol 45: 454–460. [PubMed: 17897389]
- Cowling P, McCoy DR, Marshall RJ, Padfield CJ, and Reeves DS (1992) Bacterial colonization of the non-pregnant uterus: a study of pre-menopausal abdominal hysterectomy specimens. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 11: 204–205. [PubMed: 1396742]
- David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. (2014) Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature 505: 559–563. [PubMed: 24336217]
- Davis LMG, Martínez I, Walter J, Goin C, and Hutkins RW (2011). Barcoded Pyrosequencing Reveals That Consumption of Galactooligosaccharides Results in a Highly Specific Bifidogenic Response in Humans. PLoS One 6: e25200.
- De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M, Ramazzotti M, Poullet JB, Massart S, et al. (2010) Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 14691–14696. [PubMed: 20679230]
- Del Chierico F, Vernocchi P, Petrucca A, Paci P, Fuentes S, Praticò G, et al. (2015) Phylogenetic and metabolic tracking of gut microbiota during perinatal development. PLoS One 10: e0137347.
- Dethlefsen L, Huse S, Sogin ML, and Relman DA (2008) The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16S rRNA Sequencing. PLoS One 6: e280.
- Druart C, Alligier M, Salazar N, Neyrinck AM, and Delzenne NM (2014) Modulation of the gut microbiota by nutrients with prebiotic and probiotic properties. Adv Nutr 5: 624S–633S. [PubMed: 25225347]
- Ding T, and Schloss PD (2014) Dynamics and associations of microbial community types across the human body. Nature 509: 357–360. [PubMed: 24739969]
- Dutta SK, Girotra M, Garg S, Dutta A, von Rosenvinge EC,Maddox C, et al. (2014) Efficacy of combined jejunal and colonic fecal microbiota transplantation for recurrent Clostridium difficile Infection. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 12: 1572–1576. [PubMed: 24440222]
- Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, and Knight R (2010) Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 11971–11975. [PubMed: 20566857]

- Dominguez-Bello MG, De Jesus-Laboy KM, Shen N, Cox LM, Amir A, Gonzalez A, Bokulich NA, Song SJ, et al. (2016) Partial restoration of the microbiota of cesarean-born infants via vaginal microbial transfer. Nat Med 22: 250–253. [PubMed: 26828196]
- Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent, et al. (2005) Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 308: 1635–1638. [PubMed: 15831718]
- Ellis-Pegler RB, Crabtree C, and Lambert HP (1975) The faecal flora of children in the United Kingdom. J Hyg Camb 75: 135–142. [PubMed: 1056968]
- Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Brady A, Crabtree J, Drabek EF, Ma B, Mahurkar A, et al. (2015) Functional dynamics of the gut microbiome in elderly people during probiotic consumption. MBio 6: e00231– 15. [PubMed: 25873374]
- Fallani M, Young D, Scott J, Norin E, Amarri S, Adam R, et al. (2010) Intestinal microbiota of 6week-old infants across Europe: geographic influence beyond delivery mode, breast-feeding, and antibiotics. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 51: 77–84. [PubMed: 20479681]
- Flint HJ, Scott KP, Louis P, Duncan SH, Flint HJ, Scott KP, et al. (2012) The role of the gut microbiota in nutrition and health. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 9: 577–589. [PubMed: 22945443]
- Franzosa EA, Huang K, Meadow JF, Gevers D, Lemon KP, Bohannen BJ and Huttenhower C et al. (2015) Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112: E2930–E2938. [PubMed: 25964341]
- Fritz JV, Desai MS, Shah P, Schneider JG, and Wilmes P (2013) From meta-omics to causality: experimental models for human microbiome research. Microbiome 1: 14. [PubMed: 24450613]
- Fukuda S, and Ohno H (2014) Gut microbiome and metabolic diseases. Semin Immunopathol 36: 103–114. [PubMed: 24196453]
- Fung TT, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Rifai N, Tofler GH, Willett WC, and Hu FB (2001) Association between dietary patterns and plasma biomarkers of obesity and cardiovascular disease risk. Am J Clin Nutr 73: 61–67. [PubMed: 11124751]
- Furrie E, Macfarlane S, Kennedy A, Cummings JH, Walsh SV, O'neil DA, and Macfarlane GT (2005) Synbiotic therapy (Bifidobacterium longum/Synergy 1) initiates resolution of inflammation in patients with active ulcerative colitis: a randomised controlled pilot trial. Gut 54: 242–249. [PubMed: 15647189]
- Furusawa Y, Obata Y, Fukuda S, Endo TA, Nakato G, Takahashi D, et al. (2013) Commensal microbederived butyrate induces the differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells. Nature 504: 446–450. [PubMed: 24226770]
- Gagliani N, Hu B, Huber S, Elinav E, and Flavell RA (2014) The fire within: microbes inflame tumors. Cell 157: 776–783. [PubMed: 24813605]
- Gerritsen J, Smidt H, Rijkers GT, and De Vos WM (2011) Intestinal microbiota in human health and disease: the impact of probiotics. Genes Nutr 6: 209–240. [PubMed: 21617937]
- Goepfert AR, Jeffcoat MK, Andrews WW, Faye-Petersen O, Cliver SP, Goldenberg, et al. (2004) Periodontal disease and upper genital tract inflammation in early spontaneous preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol 104: 777–783. [PubMed: 15458901]
- Goodrich JK, Waters JL, Poole AC, Sutter JL, Koren O, Blekhman R, et al. (2014) Human genetics shape the gut microbiome. Cell 159: 789–799. [PubMed: 25417156]
- Gosalbes MJ, Llop S, Vallès Y, Moya A, Ballester F, and Francino MP (2013) Meconium microbiota types dominated by lactic acid or enteric bacteria are differentially associated with maternal eczema and respiratory problems in infants. Clin Exp Allergy 43: 198–211. [PubMed: 23331561]
- Grice EA, Kong HH, Conlan S, Deming CB, Davis J, Young AC, et al. (2009) Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin. Science 324: 1190–1192. [PubMed: 19478181]
- Grönlund MM, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, and Kero P (1999) Fecal microflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 28: 19–25. [PubMed: 9890463]
- Hardy H, Harris J, Lyon E, Beal J, and Foey AD (2013) Probiotics, prebiotics and immunomodulation of gut mucosal defences: Homeostasis and immunopathology. Nutrients 5: 1869–1912. [PubMed: 23760057]
- Hemsell DL, Obregon VL, Heard MC, and Nobles BJ (1989) Endometrial bacteria in asymptomatic, nonpregnant women. J Reprod Med 34: 872–874. [PubMed: 2585386]

- Hollister EB, Riehle K, Luna RA, Weidler EM, Rubio-Gonzales M, Mistretta, et al. (2015) Structure and function of the healthy pre-adolescent pediatric gut microbiome. Microbiome 3: 36. [PubMed: 26306392]
- Hopkins MJ, Sharp R, and Macfarlane GT (2001) Age and disease related changes in intestinal bacterial populations assessed by cell culture, 16S rRNA abundance, and community cellular fatty acid profiles. Gut 48: 198–205. [PubMed: 11156640]
- Hu J, Nomura Y, Bashir A, Fernandez-Hernandez H, Itzkowitz S, Pei, et al. (2013) Diversified microbiota of meconium is affected by maternal diabetes status. PLoS One 8: e78257.
- Hunt KM, Foster JA, Forney LJ, Schütte UME, Beck DL, Abdo Z, et al. (2011) Characterization of the diversity and temporal stability of bacterial communities in human milk. PLoS One 6: 1–8.
- Hur KY, and Lee M-S (2015) Gut microbiota and metabolic disorders. Diabetes Metab J 39: 198–203. [PubMed: 26124989]
- Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Knight R, Abubucker S, Badger JH, Chinwalla, et al. (2012) Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486: 207–214. [PubMed: 22699609]
- Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, Harris K, Quince C, Jernberg C, et al. (2014) Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by Caesarean section. Gut 63: 559–566. [PubMed: 23926244]
- Jiménez E, Fernández L, Marín ML, Martín R, Odriozola JM, Nueno-Palop C, et al. (2005) Isolation of commensal bacteria from umbilical cord blood of healthy neonates born by cesarean section. Curr Microbiol 51: 270–274. [PubMed: 16187156]
- Jiménez E, Marín ML, Martín R, Odriozola JM, Olivares M, Xaus J, et al. (2008a) Is meconium from healthy newborns actually sterile? Res Microbiol 159: 187–193. [PubMed: 18281199]
- Jiménez E, Fernández L, Maldonado A, Martín R, Olivares M, Xaus J, and Rodríguez JM (2008b) Oral administration of Lactobacillus strains isolated from breast milk as an alternative for the treatment of infectious mastitis during lactation. Appl Environ Microbiol 74: 4650–4655. [PubMed: 18539795]
- Johnson CL, and Versalovic J (2012) The human microbiome and its potential importance to pediatrics. Pediatrics 129: 950–960. [PubMed: 22473366]
- Johnson JL, Jones MB and Cobb BA (2015) Polysaccharide A from the capsule of Bacteroides fragilis induces clonal CD41 T cell expansion. J Biol Chem 190: 5007–5014.
- Jost T, Lacroix C, Braegger CP, Rochat F, and Chassard C (2014) Vertical mother-neonate transfer of maternal gut bacteria via breastfeeding. Environ Microbiol 16: 2891–2904. [PubMed: 24033881]
- Kelly CR, Ihunnah C, Fischer M, Khoruts A, Surawicz C, Afzali A, et al. (2014) Fecal microbiota transplant for treatment of clostridium difficile infection in immunocompromised patients. Am J Gastroenterol 109: 1065–1071. [PubMed: 24890442]
- Kelly CJ, Zheng L, Campbell EL, Saeedi B, Scholz CC, Bayless AJ, et al. (2015) Crosstalk between microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids and intestinal epithelial HIF augments tissue barrier function. Cell Host Microbe 17: 662–671. [PubMed: 25865369]
- Khan MT, Duncan SH, Stams AJM, van Dijl JM, Flint HJ, and Harmsen HJM (2012) The gut anaerobe Faecalibacterium prausnitzii uses an extracellular electron shuttle to grow at oxic–anoxic interphases. ISME J 6: 1578–1585. [PubMed: 22357539]
- Kinross JM, Darzi AW, and Nicholson JK (2011) Gut microbiome-host interactions in health and disease. Genome Med 3: 14. [PubMed: 21392406]
- Klein LL, and Gibbs RS (2005) Infection and preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 32: 397–410. [PubMed: 16125040]
- Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, Fricker AD, Stombaugh J, Knight R, et al. (2011) Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108: 4578– 4585. [PubMed: 20668239]
- Koren O, Goodrich JK, Cullender TC, Spor A, Laitinen K, Bäckhed HK, et al. (2012) Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. Cell 150: 470–480. [PubMed: 22863002]
- Kuo S (2013) The interplay between fiber and the intestinal microbiome in the inflammatory response. Adv Nutr 4: 16–28. [PubMed: 23319119]

- Kurokawa K, Itoh T, Kuwahara T, Oshima K, Toh H, Toyoda A, et al. (2007) Comparative metagenomics revealed commonly enriched gene sets in human gut microbiomes. DNA Res 14: 169–181. [PubMed: 17916580]
- Lahtinen SJ, Boyle RJ, Kivivuori S, Oppedisano F, Smith KR, Robins-Browne R, et al. (2009) Prenatal probiotic administration can influence Bifidobacterium microbiota development in infants at high risk of allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 123: 499–501. [PubMed: 19135234]
- La Rosa PS, Warner BB, Zhou Y, Weinstock GM, Sodergren E, Hall-Moore CM, et al. (2014) Patterned progression of bacterial populations in the premature infant gut. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 12522–12527. [PubMed: 25114261]
- LaTuga MS, Stuebe A, and Seed PC (2014) A review of the source and function of microbiota in breast milk. Semin Reprod Med 32: 68–73. [PubMed: 24390923]
- Lax S, Smith DP, Hampton-Marcell J, Owens SM, Handley KM, Scott NM, et al. (2014) Longitudinal analysis of microbial interaction between humans and the indoor environment. Science 345: 1048– 1052. [PubMed: 25170151]
- Lee SA, Lim JY, Kim B-S, Cho SJ, Kim NY, Kim O, et al. (2015) Comparison of the gut microbiota profile in breast-fed and formula-fed Korean infants using pyrosequencing. Nutr Res Pract 9: 242. [PubMed: 26060535]
- Ley RL, Peterson DA, and Gordon JI (2006) Ecological and evolutionary forces shaping microbial diversity in the human intestine. Cell 124: 837–848. [PubMed: 16497592]
- Ley RL, Hamady M, Lozupone C, Turnbaugh PJ, Ramey RR, Bircher JS, et al. (2008) Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. Science 320: 1647–1651. [PubMed: 18497261]
- Liu Z, Qin H, Yang Z, Xia Y, Liu W, Yang J, et al. (2011) Randomised clinical trial: the effects of perioperative probiotic treatment on barrier function and post-operative infectious complications in colorectal cancer surgery – a double-blind study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 33: 50–63. [PubMed: 21083585]
- Lockhart PB, Brennan MT, Sasser HC, Fox PC, Paster BJ, and Bahrani-Mougeot FK (2008) Bacteremia associated with toothbrushing and dental extraction. Circulation 117: 3118–3125. [PubMed: 18541739]
- Ma J, Prince AL, Bader D, Hu M, Ganu R, Baquero K, et al. (2014) High-fat maternal diet during pregnancy persistently alters the offspring microbiome in a primate model. Nat Commun 5: 3889. [PubMed: 24846660]
- Mai V, Young CM, Ukhanova M, Wang X, Sun Y, Casella G, et al. (2011) Fecal microbiota in premature infants prior to necrotizing enterocolitis. PLoS One 6: e20647.
- Mangin I, Suau A, Gotteland M, Brunser O, and Pochart P (2010) Amoxicillin treatment modifies the composition of Bifidobacterium species in infant intestinal microbiota. Anaerobe 16: 433–438. [PubMed: 20601031]
- Manichanh C, Varela E, Martinez C, Antolin M, Llopis M, Doré J, et al. (2008) The gut microbiota predispose to the pathophysiology of acute postradiotherapy diarrhea. Am J Gastroenterol 103: 1754–1761. [PubMed: 18564125]
- Mariat D, Firmesse O, Levenez F, Guimar es V, Sokol H, Doré, et al. (2009) The Firmicutes/ Bacteroidetes ratio of the human microbiota changes with age. BMC Microbiol 9: 123. [PubMed: 19508720]
- Markle JGM, Frank DN, Mortin-Toth S, Robertson CE, Feazel LM, Rolle-Kampczyk U, et al. (2013) Sex differences in the gut microbiome drive hormone-dependent regulation of autoimmunity. Science 339: 1084–1088. [PubMed: 23328391]
- Martinez I, Stegen JC, Maldonado-Gomez MX, Eren AM, Siba PM, Greenhill AR, and Walter J (2015) The gut microbiota of rural Papua New Guineans: composition, diversity patterns, and ecological processes. Cell Rep 11: 527–538. [PubMed: 25892234]
- Mello RM, Morais MB, Tahan S, Melli LC, Rodrigues MS, Mello CS, and Scaletsky IC (2009) Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in the feces of schoolchildren of two different socioeconomic groups: children from a favela and children from a private school. J Pediatr (Rio J) 85: 307–314. [PubMed: 19468531]

- Milani C, Lugli GA, Turroni F, Mancabelli L, Duranti S, Viappiani A, et al. (2014) Evaluation of bifidobacterial community composition in the human gut by means of a targeted amplicon sequencing (ITS) protocol. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 90: 493–503. [PubMed: 25117972]
- Møller BR, Kristiansen FV, Thorsen P, Frost L, and Mogensen SC (1995) Sterility of the uterine cavity. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 74: 216–219. [PubMed: 7900526]
- Moore JH, Pinheiro CCD, Zaenker EI, Bolick DT, Kolling GL, van Opstal E, et al. (2015) Defined nutrient diets alter susceptibility to clostridium difficile associated disease in a murine model. PLoS One 10: e0131829.
- Moran N, and Sloan D (2015) The hologenome concept: helpful or hollow? PLoS Biol 13: e1002311.
- Mueller S, Saunier K, Hanisch C, Norin E, Alm L, Midtvedt T, et al. (2006) Differences in fecal microbiota in different european study populations in relation to age, gender, and country: a crosssectional study. Env Microbiol 72: 1027–1033.
- Nakayama J, Watanabe K, Jiang J, Matsuda K, Chao S-H, Haryono P, et al. (2015) Diversity in gut bacterial community of school-age children in Asia. Sci Rep 5: 8397. [PubMed: 25703686]
- Nermes M, Endo A, Aarnio J, Salminen S, and Isolauri E (2015) Furry pets modulate gut micrbiota composition in infants at risk for allergic disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 136: 1688–1690. [PubMed: 26343452]
- Neyrink AM, Possemiers S, Verstraete W, De Backer F, Cani PD, and Delzenne NM (2012) Dietary modulation of clostridial cluster XIVa gut bacteria (Roseburia spp.) by chitin–glucan fiber improves host metabolic alterations induced by high-fat diet in mice. J Nutr Biochem 23: 51–59. [PubMed: 21411304]
- Nobel YR, Cox LM, Kirigin FF, Bokulich NA, Yamanishi S, Teitler I, et al. (2015) Metabolic and metagenomic outcomes from early-life pulsed antibiotic treatment. Nat Commun 6: 7486. [PubMed: 26123276]
- Ohashi Y, Nakai S, Tsukamoto T, Masumori N, Akaza H, Miyanaga N, et al. (2002) Habitual intake of lactic acid bacteria and risk reduction of bladder cancer. Urol Int 68: 273–280. [PubMed: 12053032]
- Palmer C, Bik EM, DiGiulio DB, Relman DA, and Brown PO (2007) Development of the human infant intestinal microbiota. PloS Biol 5: e177.
- Penders J, Thijs C, Vink C, Stelma FF, Snijders B, Kummeling I, et al. (2006) Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early infancy. Pediatrics 118: 511–521. [PubMed: 16882802]
- Perez PF, Doré J, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Benyacoub J, Serrant P, et al. (2007) Bacterial imprinting of the neonatal immune system: lessons from maternal cells? Pediatrics 119: e724–e732. [PubMed: 17332189]
- Rafter J, Bennett M, Caderni G, Clune Y, Hughes R, Karlsson PC, et al. (2007) Dietary synbiotics reduce cancer risk factors in polypectomized and colon cancer patients. Am J Clin Nutr 85: 488– 496. [PubMed: 17284748]
- Rampelli S, Schnorr SL, Consolandi C, Turroni S, Severgnini M, Peano, et al. (2015) Metagenome sequencing of the Hadza Hunter-Gatherer Gut Microbiota. Curr Biol 25: 1682–1693. [PubMed: 25981789]
- Rautava S, Collado MC, Salminen S, and Isolauri E (2012) Probiotics modulate host-microbe interaction in the placenta and fetal gut: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Neonatology 102: 178–184. [PubMed: 22776980]
- Ravcheev DA, Godzik A, Osterman AL, and Rodionov DA (2013) Polysaccharides utilization in human gut bacterium Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron: comparative genomics reconstruction of metabolic and regulatory networks. BMC Genomics 1: 873.
- Rijkers GT, De Vos WM, Brummer R, Morelli L, Corthier G, and Marteau P (2011) Health benefits and health claims of probiotics: bridging science and marketing. Br J Nutr 106: 1–6.
- Rogier EW, Frantz AL, Bruno MEC, Wedlund L, Cohen DA, Stromberg AJ, and Kaetzel CS (2014) Secretory antibodies in breast milk promote long-term intestinal homeostasis by regulating the gut microbiota and host gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 3074–3079. [PubMed: 24569806]

- Romero R, Hassan SS, Gajer P, Tarca AL, Fadrosh DW, Bieda J, et al. (2014) The vaginal microbiota of pregnant women who subsequently have spontaneous preterm labor and delivery and those with a normal delivery at term. Microbiome 2: 1–15. [PubMed: 24468033]
- Rooks MG, Veiga P, Wardwell-Scott LH, Tickle T, Segata N, Michaud M, et al. (2014) Gut microbiome composition and function in experimental colitis during active disease and treatment-induced remission. ISME J 8: 1403–1417. [PubMed: 24500617]
- Saulnier DM, Riehle K, Mistretta T-A, Diaz M-A, Mandal D, Raza S, et al. (2011) Gastrointestinal microbiome signatures of pediatric patients with irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroentero 141: 1782–1791.
- Schanche M, Avershina E, Dotterud C, Øien T, Storrø O, Johnsen R, and Rudi K (2015) Highresolution analyses of overlap in the microbiota between mothers and their children. Curr Microbiol 71: 283–290. [PubMed: 26044992]
- Schnorr SL, Candela M, Rampelli S, Centanni M, Consolandi C, Basaglia G, et al. (2014) Gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers. Nat Commun 5: 1–12.
- Schwendicke F, Karimbux N, Allareddy V, and Gluud C (2015) Periodontal treatment for preventing adverse pregnancy outcomes: a meta-and trial sequential analysis. PLoS One 10: e0129060.
- Seymour GJ, Ford PJ, Cullinan MP, Leishman S, and Yamazaki K (2007) Relationship between infections and systemic disease. Clin Microbiol Infect 13: 3–10. [PubMed: 17716290]
- Simeoni U, Berger B, Junick J, Blaut M, Pecquet S, Rezzonico E et al. (2015) Gut microbiota analysis reveals a marked shift to bifidobacteria by a starter infant formula containing a synbiotic of bovine milk-derived oligosaccharides and Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis CNCM I-3446. Environ Microbiol (in press). doi:10.1111/1462-2920.13144.
- Stagg AJ, Hart AL, Knight SC, and Kamm MA (2003) The dendritic cell: its role in intestinal inflammation and relationship with gut bacteria. Gut 52: 1522–1529. [PubMed: 12970149]
- Steel JH, Malatos S, Kennea N, Edwards AD, Miles L, Duggan P, et al. (2005) Bacteria and inflammatory cells in fetal membranes do not always cause preterm labor. Pediatr Res 57: 404– 411. [PubMed: 15659699]
- Stefka AT, Feehley T, Tripathi P, Qiu J, McCoy K, Mazmanian SK, et al. (2014) Commensal bacteria protect against food allergen sensitization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111: 13145–13150. [PubMed: 25157157]
- Sullivan A, and Nord CE (2002) Probiotics in human infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 50: 625–627. [PubMed: 12407117]
- Thompson AL, Monteagudo-Mera A, Cadenas MB, Lampl ML, Azcarate-Peril MA, Mcgavin MJ, and Eckstein T (2015) Milk-and solid-feeding practices and daycare attendance are associated with differences in bacterial diversity, predominant communities, and metabolic and immune function of the infant gut microbiome. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 5: 3. [PubMed: 25705611]
- Trompette A, Gollwitzer ES, Yadava K, Sichelstiel AK, Sprenger N, Ngom-Bru C, et al. (2014) Gut microbiota metabolism of dietary fiber influences allergic airway disease and hematopoiesis. Nat Med 20: 159–166. [PubMed: 24390308]
- Turnbaugh P, Ley R, Mahoeald MA, Magrini ER, and Gordon JI (2006) An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature 444: 1027–1031. [PubMed: 17183312]
- Tyakht AV, Kostryukova ES, Popenko AS, Belenikin MS, Pavlenko AV, Larin AK, et al. (2013) Human gut microbiota community structures in urban and rural populations in Russia. Nat Commun 4: 1–9.
- Van Tongeren SP, Slaets JPJ, Welling GW, and Harmsen HJM (2005) Fecal microbiota composition and frailty fecal microbiota composition and frailty. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 6438–6442. [PubMed: 16204576]
- Ventura M, Canchaya C, Tauch A, Chandra G, Fitzgerald GF, Chater KF, and van Sinderen D (2007) Genomics of Actinobacteria: tracing the evolutionary history of an ancient phylum. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71: 495–548. [PubMed: 17804669]
- Voigt AY, Costea PI, Kultima JR, Li SS, Zeller G, Sunagawa S, and Bork P (2015) Temporal and technical variability of human gut metagenomes. Genome Biol 16: 73. [PubMed: 25888008]

- Voreades N, Kozil A, and Weir TL (2014) Diet and the development of the human intestinal microbiome. Front Microbiol 5: 494. [PubMed: 25295033]
- Walker AW, Duncan SH, Leitch ECM, Child MW, and Flint HJ (2005) pH and peptide supply can radically alter bacterial populations and short-chain fatty acid ratios within microbial communities from the human colon. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 3692–3700. [PubMed: 16000778]
- Walker AW, Ince J, Duncan SH, Webster LM, Holtrop G, Ze X, et al. (2011) Dominant and dietresponsive groups of bacteria within the human colonic microbiota. ISME J 5118: 220–230.
- Wang Y, Hoenig JD, Malin KJ, Qamar S, Petrof EO, Sun J, et al. (2009) 16S rRNA gene-based analysis of fecal microbiota from preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. ISME J 3: 944–954. [PubMed: 19369970]
- Weyrich LS, Dixit S, Farrer AG, Cooper AJ, and Cooper AJ (2015) The skin microbiome: associations between altered microbial communities and disease. Australas J Dermatol 56: 268–274. [PubMed: 25715969]
- Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen Y, Keilbaugh SA, et al. (2011) Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. Science 334: 105–108. [PubMed: 21885731]
- Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. (2012) Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486: 222–227. [PubMed: 22699611]
- Zheng J, Xiao X, Zhang Q, Mao L, Yu M, and Xu J (2015) The placental microbiome varies in association with low birth weight in full-term neonates. Nutrients 7: 6924–6937. [PubMed: 26287241]
- Zhang Y, Li S, Gan R, Zhou T, Xu D, and Li H (2015) Impacts of Gut Bacteria on Human Health and Diseases. In J Mol Sci 16: 7493–7519.
- Zhu A, Sunagawa S, Mende DR, and Bork P (2015) Inter-individual differences in the gene content of human gut bacterial species. Genome Biol 16: 82. [PubMed: 25896518]



#### Fig. 1.

Characteristics of the 'healthy' human microbiome. A. The 'healthy' microbiome of individual body sites throughout human lifetime. B. The 'healthy' gastrointestinal tract microbiome across geographies. Dots are weighted according to the overall abundance of distinct phyla. Additional information regarding the studies, based on which Fig. 1 was devised, are listed in Table S1.





Factors which influence the gastrointestinal tract microbiome according to different life stages.