JACC: CARDIOONCOLOGY © 2022 THE AUTHOR. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY-NC-ND LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

EDITORIAL COMMENT

## Inclusion of Exercise in Cancer Treatment Planning



When Is the Right Time?\*

Neil M. Iyengar, MD<sup>a,b</sup>

he prescription of exercise for patients with cancer is now clearly recommended by multiple national agencies and professional organizations, including the American Society of Clinical Oncology.<sup>1,2</sup> The basis of these recommendations is predominantly derived from studies that have demonstrated exercise-related improvements in patient-reported outcomes, treatment-associated adverse effects, cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF), and overall physical functioning.3-6 Emerging preclinical findings and clinical observations additionally indicate an anticancer effect of exercise via mechanisms that directly inhibit tumor progression and/or synergize with standard cancer therapies.<sup>7,8</sup> Despite these benefits, multiple competing priorities have limited the implementation of exercise oncology practice guidelines in the United States.<sup>9,10</sup> The rapid advancement and availability of novel cancer therapeutics, with clinical benefit ranging from low to high impact, have ushered in a decision-making paradigm that requires highly nuanced conversations and planning between patients and their oncologists to develop a treatment approach that aligns with patient priorities while balancing clinical efficacy with toxicity-including physical, financial, and psychosocial (eg, access and time commitment). Within this context, the

consideration of any treatment recommendation, including exercise, is scrutinized against competing priorities in order to design a high-yield treatment plan. Although exercise is a low-toxicity intervention, several questions surrounding its optimal use to maximize clinical yield have made it challenging to prescribe exercise as part of a cancer treatment plan, relegating exercise to the realm of "general recommendations" that are mentioned but often forgotten.

Recently updated guidelines from the American College of Sports Medicine now include exercise dosing information, which helps to provide specificity for the prescription of exercise in oncology.<sup>2</sup> However, key questions remain including: when do we prioritize exercise across the cancer treatment continuum, and should exercise dosing be modified as treatment milestones are accomplished and patient needs shift? Several randomized control trials have demonstrated that exercise after completion of cancer therapy improves various patient-reported outcomes and CRF.3,4 Fewer studies have tested exercise during active cancer treatment (eg, chemotherapy) and have been challenged by suboptimal adherence/attendance rates.<sup>11-14</sup> Data supporting the superiority of exercise during active cancer treatment vs the post-treatment/survivorship setting are needed to compel patients and their oncologists to prioritize exercise earlier in the treatment course.

In this issue of *JACC: CardioOncology*, van der Schoot et al<sup>15</sup> report results from the ACT (Optimal Timing of Physical Activity in Cancer Treatment) trial, a randomized open-label trial testing a 24-week exercise intervention initiated during or after curative-intent chemotherapy in a study population predominantly comprising patients with breast, testicular, or colon cancer. Participants received

<sup>\*</sup>Editorials published in *JACC: CardioOncology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: CardioOncology* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the <sup>a</sup>Breast Medicine Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York, USA; and the <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, USA.

The author attests they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the author's institution and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

standard chemotherapy regimens based on primary diagnosis, which ranged from 12 to 24 weeks. The study intervention was split between supervised exercise for the initial 12 weeks, followed by homebased exercise for the subsequent 12 weeks. At 1 year after the exercise intervention, there was no difference in CRF (quantified by peak oxygen uptake) between patients that started exercise during chemotherapy vs those that started after chemotherapy. Reduction in CRF was attenuated immediately postchemotherapy in the group that initiated exercise during chemotherapy. The exercise intervention improved several secondary outcomes in both groups, including quality of life, muscle strength, and fatigue.

This study provides several key insights that help address the question of when to prioritize exercise during cancer therapy. First, supervised exercise during chemotherapy was safe and tolerable, consistent with prior studies.<sup>3,6</sup> Second, supervised exercise during chemotherapy had early efficacy for mitigating chemotherapy-related decline in CRF. However, patients that initiated exercise after chemotherapy ultimately regained CRF and physical function to the same degree as those that started exercise during chemotherapy-a finding that is reassuring for patients unable to exercise during chemotherapy. Patients in both groups experienced improvements in fatigue, a clinically impactful finding given the lack of effective treatments for this highly prevalent and distressing adverse effect. Finally, exercise was efficacious in the setting of multimodality therapy, demonstrated by improvements in CRF in patients that received chest radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

Additional trials that build upon the findings of van der Schoot et al<sup>15</sup> are needed to further optimize exercise timing and duration in oncology practice. Among breast cancer patients randomized to start exercise during chemotherapy in the ACT trial, over 80% had already received 6 to 12 weeks of chemotherapy before starting exercise.<sup>15</sup> Furthermore, home-based exercise did not improve CRF after a period of supervised exercise. It is possible that initiation of exercise at the start of chemotherapy and/or longer-term supervised exercise may provide even greater protection of CRF. This hypothesis will be addressed by a recently completed phase 2 trial that randomized patients with breast cancer to receive usual care (control arm) or 1 of 3 exercise timelines: starting at the initiation of chemotherapy, after chemotherapy, or both during and after chemotherapy (Supervised Aerobic Training During or After Chemotherapy for Operable Breast Cancer; NCT01943695).

Adherence and tolerability are critical factors that can limit the feasibility, duration, and efficacy of exercise interventions. In the ACT trial, the dropout rate was 29%, and approximately one-third of patients did not log adherence during home-based exercise.<sup>15</sup> Additionally, CRF recovery in participants that started supervised exercise after completing chemotherapy caught up to participants that started exercise during chemotherapy but transitioned to home-based exercise after chemotherapy. These findings suggest the superiority of supervised exercise over home-based exercise, while highlighting the challenges of maintaining adherence. How do we reconcile the prescription of supervised exercise, which typically requires inperson attendance at an exercise facility, with limited adherence during active cancer treatment? To address this challenge, our group is testing novel digital approaches that enable at-home telemedicine delivery of supervised exercise with remote monitoring of physiological responses (eg, Exercise Treatment With Standard Therapy for Metastatic Breast Cancer, NCT03988595; Study of the Effects of Pre-surgical Aerobic Exercise on Patients With Solid Tumors, NCT03813615). Finally, adherence metrics beyond conventional lost to follow-up and attendance rates could provide additional information to guide exercise prescription. For example, relative dose intensity-a metric commonly applied to chemotherapy delivery-may be used to better characterize exercise dose delivery and dose response by accounting for exercise dose modifications, interruptions, or early termination of exercise sessions.<sup>16</sup> Dose delivery information would be helpful when counseling patients by providing benchmarks and expectations for exercise response.

Understanding how to prioritize exercise as part of cancer treatment is critical for clinical implementation, and the work of van der Schoot et al<sup>15</sup> provides a significant contribution by addressing when to prescribe exercise. Findings from the ACT trial help to inform the clinical application of exercise and underscore the need for further investigation of exercise dosing, timing, and individual response to equip clinicians with the data and knowledge that are required to prioritize exercise in cancer treatment planning.

## FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Dr Iyengar is funded by grants (to his institution) from the National Institutes of Health/National Cancer Institute (R01 CA235711; R01 CA241409), American Cancer Society (Research Scholar Grant), Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and Kat's Ribbon of Hope outside of this work; as well as by the NIH/NCI Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748. Dr Iyengar has received research support (to his institution) from Novartis and SynDevRx; and has received consulting fees from Pfizer, Seattle Genetics, and Novartis outside of the submitted work.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Neil M. Iyengar, Breast Medicine Service, Evelyn H. Lauder Breast and Imaging Center, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 300 East 66th Street, New York, New York 10065, USA. E-mail: iyengarn@mskcc.org. Twitter: @Neil\_Iyengar.

## REFERENCES

**1.** Ligibel JA, Bohlke K, May AM, et al. Exercise, diet, and weight management during cancer treatment: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2022;40: 2491-2507.

**2.** Campbell KL, Winters-Stone KM, Wiskemann J, et al. Exercise guidelines for cancer survivors: consensus statement from international multidisciplinary roundtable. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51:2375-2390.

**3.** Furmaniak AC, Menig M, Markes MH. Exercise for women receiving adjuvant therapy for breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;9: CD005001.

**4.** Yunfeng G, Weiyang H, Xueyang H, et al. Exercise overcome adverse effects among prostate cancer patients receiving androgen deprivation therapy: an update meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96:e7368.

**5.** Scott JM, Zabor EC, Schwitzer E, et al. Efficacy of exercise therapy on cardiorespiratory fitness in patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018;36: 2297-2305.

 Mishra SI, Scherer RW, Geigle PM, et al. Exercise interventions on health-related quality of life for people with cancer during active treatment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;(8):CD008465. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007566. pub2

**7.** Iyengar NM, Jones LW. Development of exercise as interception therapy for cancer: a review. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019;5:1620–1627.

**8.** Koelwyn GJ, Quail DF, Zhang X, et al. Exercise-dependent regulation of the tumour microenvironment. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2017;17: 620–632.

**9.** Ligibel JA, Jones LW, Brewster AM, et al. Oncologists' attitudes and practice of addressing diet, physical activity, and weight management with patients with cancer: findings of an ASCO survey of the oncology workforce. *J Oncol Pract*. 2019;15:e520-e528.

**10.** Nyrop KA, Deal AM, Williams GR, et al. Physical activity communication between oncology providers and patients with early-stage breast, colon, or prostate cancer. *Cancer.* 2016;122:470-476.

**11.** van Waart H, Stuiver MM, van Harten WH, et al. Effect of low-intensity physical activity and moderate- to high-intensity physical exercise during adjuvant chemotherapy on physical fitness, fatigue, and chemotherapy completion rates: results of the PACES randomized clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015;33:1918-1927.

**12.** Courneya KS, McKenzie DC, Mackey JR, et al. Effects of exercise dose and type during breast cancer chemotherapy: multicenter randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2013;105:1821–1832.

**13.** Mijwel S, Backman M, Bolam KA, et al. Highly favorable physiological responses to concurrent resistance and high-intensity interval training during chemotherapy: the OptiTrain breast cancer trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2018;169:93-103.

**14.** Moller T, Andersen C, Lillelund C, et al. Physical deterioration and adaptive recovery in physically inactive breast cancer patients during adjuvant chemotherapy: a randomised controlled trial. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:9710.

**15.** van der Schoot GGF, Ormel HL, Westerink NL, et al. Optimal timing of a physical exercise intervention to improve cardiorespiratory fitness: during or after chemotherapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol CardioOnc.* 2022;4:491-503.

**16.** Nilsen TS, Scott JM, Michalski M, et al. Novel methods for reporting of exercise dose and adherence: an exploratory analysis. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2018;50:1134-1141.

**KEY WORDS** breast cancer, cancer survivorship, colorectal cancer, exercise oncology, lymphoma, testicular cancer