A comparative study of the effect of clonidine, fentanyl, and the combination of both as adjuvant to intrathecal bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia in total abdominal hysterectomy

Fareed Ahmed, Mamta Khandelwal, Anil Sharma

Department of Anaesthesiology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Abstract

Background and Aims: The aim of this study was to evaluate the level of sensory block, onset and duration of motor block, postoperative analgesia, and adverse effects of combination of clonidine and fentanyl given intrathecally with hyperbaric bupivacaine (HB).

Material and Methods: Three hundred and twenty eight patients were randomized into four groups. Group bupivacaine (group B) received 15 mg of HB; group bupivacaine clonidine (group BC) received 15 mg of HB plus 25 μ g clonidine; group bupivacaine fentanyl (group BF) received 15 mg of HB plus 25 μ g fentanyl and group bupivacaine clonidine fentanyl (group BCF) received 15 mg of HB plus 25 μ g clonidine and 25 μ g fentanyl intrathecally. All groups were evaluated for level of sensory block, onset and duration of motor block, postoperative analgesia, VAS score, sedation score and adverse effects of study drugs. All the data were analyzed using unpaired *t*-test. *P* < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results: The level of sensory block, onset, and duration of motor block were comparable in all groups. Total duration of analgesia was 407.3 ± 20 min in group BCF compared to 242.1 ± 2 min and 209.2 ± 16 in groups BC and BF, respectively. Lesser doses of rescue analgesic were required in group BCF. The time interval from intrathecal injection to two-segment regression was statistically significant in study groups. Only 2.4% patients showed mild sedation in BCF group.

Conclusion: We found that combination of intrathecal clonidine and fentanyl along with bupivacaine increases the total duration of analgesia without significant side effects.

Key words: Abdominal hysterectomy, clonidine, fentanyl, intrathecal

Introduction

Management of postoperative pain increases patient satisfaction, leads to earlier mobilization, shortens hospital stay, and reduces hospital costs.^[1-3] A major goal in the management of postoperative pain is to minimize the dose of medications in view to reduce incidence of side effects while still providing adequate analgesia. This goal is bestaccomplished with a multimodal approach.^[4]

Address for correspondence: Dr. Mamta Khandelwal, Flat No. 201, B-80, Sona Enclave, Rajendra Marg, Bapu Nagar, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. E-mail: drmamtakhandelwal@gmail.com

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:			
	Website: www.joacp.org		
	DOI: 10.4103/0970-9185.202194		

Regional and neuraxial technique can provide superior analgesia compared to systemic drugs. Spinal anesthesia using only local anesthetics is associated with relatively short duration of action and thus early analgesic intervention is needed in the postoperative period. A number of adjuvants such as midazolam, clonidine, dexmedetomidine etc. have been used to prolong the duration of spinal anesthesia. Fentanyl is a potent, short-acting, highly lipophilic, synthetic opioid. It has been commonly used as an adjuvant for postoperative analgesia in neuraxial block. ^[5,6]

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Ahmed F, Khandelwal M, Sharma A. A comparative study of the effect of clonidine, fentanyl, and the combination of both as adjuvant to intrathecal bupivacaine for postoperative analgesia in total abdominal hysterectomy. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2017;33:102-6.

Clonidine is a alpha-1 and alpha-2 adrenoceptor agonist with a predominant alpha-2 action (α_2 : α_1 : 200:1).^[7] Neuraxial placement of clonidine inhibits spinal substance P release and nociceptive neuron firing produced by noxious stimulation. Substance P release inhibits the cGMP for its analgesic effect.^[8,9]

The primary aim of this study was to compare the effect of intrathecal clonidine, fentanyl, and the combination of both with bupivacaine for duration of postoperative analgesia.

Material and Methods

This prospective, randomized, double-blind study was conducted at a tertiary care center after approval from the hospital Ethics Committee. Three hundred twenty eight female patients of 30-50 years, weighing between 45-65 kg, belonging to American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II and scheduled for abdominal hysterectomy were included in the present study. Patients with a contraindication to spinal anesthesia or major neurological, cardiovascular, metabolic, respiratory, renal disease, or coagulation abnormalities were excluded from the study.

The procedure was explained to the patient, and written informed consent was taken. In the holding room, the concept of a visual analog scale (VAS),^[10] which consists of a 100 mm line with zero denoting no pain at all and 100 denoting the worst possible pain, was introduced to the patient. Randomization was done by chit in box method. Patients were divided into four groups each consisting of 82 patients. (n = 82).

Group bupivacaine (group B) received 15 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine (HB) intrathecally, group bupivacaine clonidine (group BC) received 15 mg of hyperbaric 0.5% bupivacaine plus 25 μ g clonidine hydrochloride intrathecally, group bupivacaine fentanyl (group BF) received 15 mg of 0.5% HB plus 25 μ g fentanyl citrate intrathecally, and group bupivacaine clonidine fentanyl (group BCF) received 15 mg of 0.5% HB plus 25 μ g clonidine hydrochloride and 25 μ g fentanyl citrate intrathecally. In all four groups, normal saline was added to make the total volume 4 ml.

In the operating room, fasting status, consent, PAC were checked, and intravenous access was secured. After the establishment of intravenous (IV) line and attachment of standard monitors [non-invasive blood pressure (NIBP), electrocardiography (ECG), and pulse oximetry (SpO_2)], all patients were premedicated with midazolam 1 mg intravenously. Hydration consisted of 10 ml/kg Ringer lactate solution preoperatively and 10 ml/kg/hr after spinal anesthesia.

Spinal anesthesia was performed in the operating room at the L_3-L_4 interspace, with the patient in the left lateral position. A volume of 4 ml of the study drug was injected over 30s through a 25-gauge spinal needle. The patient was placed in the supine position with a 15° head down tilt immediately after spinal injection to achieve a block level of T5-T6. The drug combination was prepared by one anesthetist and administered by another anesthetist who was unaware of the nature of drug solution. All the observations were done by the second anesthetist.

Intraoperatively the level of sensory block, onset of motor block, patient's hemodynamic parameters, and sedation score were recorded. The level of sensory block was assessed using a 22-gauge needle and recorded as a loss of sensation to pin prick, checking in a caudal to cephalic direction. Motor block was recorded according to the Bromage scale.^[11] NIBP was recorded at 5 min interval throughout the surgery and HR and SPO₂ were recorded continuously. Fluid administration was continued intraoperatively, and a decrease in mean arterial pressure >15% below the preanesthetic baseline value was treated with incremental doses of injection mephenteremine 5 mg intravenous (IV). A decrease in HR below 50 beats/min was treated with incremental doses of atropine 0.3 mg IV. Intraoperative nausea was treated with ondansetron 4 mg IV.

Postoperatively, time for two segment regression and total duration of motor block was recorded. VAS was assessed at 2 h interval and at the time of giving rescue analgesia. Adverse effects (hemodynamic changes, respiratory depression, shivering, nausea, vomiting, pruritus, and headache) were observed over 24 h. The duration of effective analgesia was defined as the time from intrathecal drug administration to the patient's first request for rescue analgesic. This constituted the primary end point of the study, though the patients were kept under observation for a total period of 24 h. Patients were allowed to receive rescue analgesics on demand. Gluteal intramuscular diclofenac (75 mg) was given as rescue analgesic. The duration of motor block was defined as the time of attainment of Bromage grade IV block (onset) until reversal to Bromage grade II.

Statistical analysis

The expected mean VAS among A, B, and C group were 23 (± 71) , 17 (± 6) , and 2 (± 1) , respectively, and the expected mean duration of time for the request of first analgesic in (A), (B) and (C) were 137 (± 35) , 183 (± 80) , and 215 (± 79) min, respectively (as per seed article).^[10] The sample size required to determine these differences in three groups at 95% confidence interval and 80% power would be 82 in each group. This sample size would cover both observations.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS version 15.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical data, that is, ASA grade, type of surgery and the incidence of adverse events (hypotension, bradycardia, respiratory depression, shivering, nausea, pruritis, and headache) are presented as numbers (percent) and were compared among groups using the Chi-square test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Three hundred forty patients were assessed for eligibility. Twelve patients did not fulfill the study criteria and were excluded. 328 patients were enrolled. All the groups were comparable with respect to age, gender, weight, ASA status, type of surgery, and duration of surgery [Table 1].

The characteristics of sensory and motor blockade are given in Table 2. Visual analog scale scores at 2 h and at the time of giving rescue analgesia are shown in Table 3. The average VAS pain score at the time of giving rescue analgesic medication was similar among groups.

In groups B and BC, all the patients were awake and alert (grade I). In group BF; 97.6% patients were awake and alert (grade I), 2.4% patients showed mild sedation (grade II). In group BCF, 97.6% patients were awake and alert (grade I), 2.4% patients showed mild sedation (grade II).

In all four groups, patients were hemodynamically stable in intraoperative and postoperative period. There were no significant differences among groups regarding the incidence of perioperative adverse effects [Table 4].

Discussion

Neuraxial adjuvants have been used to improve or prolong analgesia, decrease the adverse effects associated with high doses of a single local anesthetic agent, to increase the speed of onset of neural blockade (reduce latency), improve the quality, and prolong the duration of neural blockade. Many drugs (fentanyl, morphine, vasoconstrictors such as epinephrine and phenylephrine, $\alpha 2$ -agonists) have been used as an additive to local anesthetics.^[12]

Benhamou *et al.*^[12] in a study observed that adding a small dose of intrathecal clonidine (75 μ g) and fentanyl (12.5 μ g) to bupivacaine increased the quality of intraoperative analgesia and decreased pain during cesarean section. In our study, we have used 25 μ g of clonidine instead of 75 μ g intrathecally and observed significantly prolonged duration of postoperative analgesia with good hemodynamic stability.

Santos *et al.*^[13] showed that both 20 μ g of fentanyl and 10 μ g of clonidine promoted satisfactory anesthesia and good postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing anal surgery. Even a very low intrathecal dose of 15 μ g of clonidine was able to

Table 1: Demographic profile of groups					
Observations	Group B <i>n</i> = 82	Group BC $n = 82$	Group BF $n = 82$	Group BCF $n = 82$	
ASA grade (I/II)	46/36	41/41	48/34	37/45	
Age (year)	44.3±7.3	44.0 ± 6.5	41.44±7.6	43.7±6.2	
Weight (kg)	54.2 ± 6.0	55.6 ± 4.7	56.4 ± 4.2	55.2±6.7	
Surgical time (min)	56.8 ± 7.7	59.2±11.0	57.8 ± 9.1	59.0±11.3	
Type of surgery					
TAH + BSO	42	38	39	41	
TAH	40	44	43	41	

Values are mean \pm SD. NS = Not significant, SD = Standard deviation, ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists, BSO = Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, TAH = Total abdominal hysterectomy

Table 2: Characteristics of sensory and motor block				
Parameters	Group B	Group BC	Group BF	Group BCF
Sensory level (pinprick)				
5 min	$T_{9} (T_{7} - T_{9})$	$T_{9} (T_{7} - T_{10})$	$T_{9} (T_{7} - T_{9})$	$T_{9} (T_{7} - T_{9})$
10 min	$T_{6} (T_{5} - T_{7})$	$T_{6} (T_{5} - T_{7})$	$T_{6} (T_{5} - T_{7})$	$T_{6} (T_{5} - T_{7})$
Time for 2 segment regression(min) %	79.2 ± 12.7	131.2 ± 20.9	126.0 ± 22.6	133.6±30.6
Total duration of analgesia (min.)#	118.0 ± 14.0	242.1 ± 23.3	209.2 ± 16.1	407.3 ± 20.2
Onset of motor block [@] (min)	9±1.4	8.5 ± 1.1	8.7 ± 1.1	8.5 ± 1.4
Total duration of motor block ^{\$} (min)	117.3±9.7	123.8 ± 16.6	122.8 ± 14.9	122.1 ± 15.0

[®]Bromage Grade IV, [§]Return to Bromage Grade II, P > 0.05 (nonsignificant), P < 0.05 or 0.01 (significant), P < 0.001 (highly significant), [%]Statistically significant difference between group B was compared with group BC (P = 0.000), group BF (P = 0.000) and group BCF (P = 0.000), ^{*}Statistically significant difference between group B was compared with group BC (P = 0.000), group BF (P = 0.000) and group BCF (P = 0.000); when group BC was compared with group BF (P = 0.000) and group BCF (P = 0.000); when group BC was compared with group BF (P = 0.000) and group BCF (P = 0.000).

Table 3: Pain score				
Variable	Group B	Group BC	Group BF	Group BCF
VAS score (2 h) #	29.5±6.7	0.7±2.5	0.7±2.6	0.0
VAS score at first rescue analgesic	28.9 ± 6.8	21.2 ± 5.2	21.2 ± 5.1	23.8 ± 7.0

0-100 mm VAS, VAS = Visual analog scale

Side effects	Group B (%)	Group BC (%)	Group BF (%)	Group BCF (%)
Hypotension [#]	2 (2.4)	3 (3.6)	3 (3.6)	2 (2.4)
Bradycardia ^{\$}	3 (3.6)	1 (1.2)	0 (0)	2 (2.4)
Respiratory depression [%]	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Shivering	2 (2.4)	4 (4.9)	2 (2.4)	3 (3.6)
Nausea, vomiting	2 (2.4)	3 (3.6)	0(0)	2 (2.4)
Pruritus	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (2.4)	2 (2.4)
Headache	0 (0)	2 (2.4)	1 (1.2)	0 (0)

*BP reduction > 20% from baseline, *HR < 60 beats per/min, *Respiratory rate <9 breaths/min or oxygen saturation <90%. HR = Heart rate, BP = Blood pressure

increase motor block duration. In our study, we did not find any effect on the duration of motor block even with 25 μ g of clonidine. Garg *et al.*^[14] have shown an increase in postoperative analgesia when fentanyl 25 μ g is added to intrathecal bupivacaine in patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy.

Biswas *et al.*^[15] showed that the addition of 12.5 μ g of fentanyl markedly improved intraoperative anesthesia, and significantly reduced the demand for postoperative analgesia with good satisfaction and well-being for patient. Khanna and Singh^[16] reported an increase in duration of sensory block, improvement in intraoperative analgesia, and good pain relief in the postoperative period along with high incidence of pruritus and desaturation with fentanyl added to intrathecal bupivacaine in geriatric patients.

Dobrydnjov *et al.*^[17] concluded that the addition of clonidine 15 μ g and 30 mg to bupivacaine prolonged time to first analgesic request and decreased postoperative pain with minimal risk of hypotension. Khandelwal *et al.*^[18] found that time to first analgesic request is prolonged by addition of clonidine in a dose of 50 μ g. In their study, total duration of analgesia was 302 ± 54 which was more than that observed in our study because they used a higher dose of clonidine.

Though we use 25 microgm of clonidine and fentanyl each, further research is desirable to validate the various dosage combinations of clonidine and fentanyl.

Conclusion

The combination of intrathecal clonidine and fentanyl with hyperbaric bupivacaine significantly prolonged the duration of postoperative analgesia with good hemodynamic stability and nonsignificant adverse effect.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. de Beer Jde V, Winemaker MJ, Donnelly GA, Miceli PC, Reiz JL, Harsanyi Z, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of controlled-release oxycodone and standard therapies for postoperative pain after knee or hip replacement. Can J Surg 2005;48:277-83.
- Recart A, Duchene D, White PF, Thomas T, Johnson DB, Cadeddu JA. Efficacy and safety of fast-track recovery strategy for patients undergoing laparoscopic nephrectomy. J Endourol 2005;19:1165-9.
- Watcha MF, Issioui T, Klein KW, White PF. Costs and effectiveness of rofecoxib, celecoxib, and acetaminophen for preventing pain after ambulatory otolaryngologic surgery. Anesth Analg 2003;96:987-94.
- Gandhi K, Baratta JL, Heitz JW, Schwenk ES, Vaghari B, Viscusi ER. Acute pain management in the postanesthesia care unit. Anesthesiol Clin 2012;30:e1-15.
- Gupta R, Verma R, Bogra J, Kohli M, Raman R, Kushwaha JK. A comparative study of intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as adjuvants to bupivacaine. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2011;27:339-43.
- Cowan CM, Kendall JB, Barclay PM, Wilkes RG. Comparison of intrathecal fentanyl and diamorphine in addition to bupivacaine for caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2002;89:452-8.
- Liu N, Bonnet F, Delaunay L, Kermarec N. Clonidine produces analgesia by actions on alpha₂-adrenoceptors. Br J Anaesth 1993;70:515-8.
- Eisenach JC, De Kock M, Klimscha W. Alpha(2)-adrenergic agonists for regional anesthesia. A clinical review of clonidine (1984-1995). Anesthesiology 1996;85:655-74.
- 9. Dobrydnjov I, Axelsson K, Samarütel J, Holmström B. Postoperative pain relief following intrathecal bupivacaine combined with intrathecal or oral clonidine. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2002;46:806-14.
- 10. Jensen MP, Karoly P, Braver S. The measurement of clinical pain

intensity: A comparison of six methods. Pain 1986;27:117-26.

- 11. Bromage PR. A comparison of the hydrochloride and carbon dioxide salts of lidocaine and prilocaine in epidural analgesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1965;16:55-9.
- Benhamou D, Thorin D, Brichant JF, Dailland P, Milon D, Schneider M. Intrathecal clonidine and fentanyl with hyperbaric bupivacaine improves analgesia during cesarean section. Anesth Analg 1998;87:609-13.
- 13. Santos EJ, Riberio SC, Trindade DC, Garcia AE, Portella AA. Fentanyl versus clonidine as adjuvants to isobaric bupivacaine spinal anesthesia for anus surgeries. Anesthesiology 2007;107:A883.
- 14. Garg A, Ahmed F, Khandelwal M, Verma AP. Transdermal nitroglycerine enhances postoperative analgesia of intrathaecal fentanyl following abdominal hysterectomies. Aust Soc Anesth 2010;38:285-90.

- Biswas BN, Rudra A, Bose BK, Nath S, Chakrabarthy S, Bhattacharya S. Intrathecal fentanyl with bupivacaine for intraoperative & postoperative analgesia. Indian J Anaesth 2002;46:469-72.
- Khanna MS, Singh IK. Comparative evaluation of bupivacaine plain versus bupivacaine with fentanyl in spinal anaesthesia in geriatric patients. Indian J Anaesth 2002;46:199-203.
- Dobrydnjov I, Axelsson K, Thörn SE, Matthiesen P, Klockhoff H, Holmström B, *et al.* Clonidine combined with small-dose bupivacaine during spinal anesthesia for inguinal herniorrhaphy: A randomized double-blinded study. Anesth Analg 2003;96: 1496-503.
- Khandelwal M, Ahmed F, Garg A, Verma A, Aquil, Chatterjee C. Transdermal nitroglycerine enhances the post-operative analgesic effect of intrathecal clonidine in abdominal hysterectomies. Indian J Anaesth 2012;56:79-81.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from http://www.journalonweb.com. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

1) First Page File:

Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.

2) Article File:

The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1 MB. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.

3) Images:

Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than 4096 kb (4 MB) in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.

4) Legends:

Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.