



Temporal Aspects of the Association between Exposure to the World Trade Center Disaster and Risk of Cutaneous Melanoma

Paolo Boffetta^{1,2}, David G. Goldfarb^{3,4,5}, Rachel Zeig-Owens^{3,4,6}, Dana Kristjansson^{7,8}, Jiehui Li⁹, Robert M. Brackbill⁹, Mark R. Farfel⁹, James E. Cone⁹, Janette Yung⁹, Amy R. Kahn¹⁰, Baozhen Qiao¹⁰, Maria J. Schymura¹⁰, Mayris P. Webber^{3,4}, David J. Prezant^{3,4,6}, Christopher R. Dasaro¹¹, Andrew C. Todd¹¹ and Charles B. Hall⁶

Rescue/recovery workers who responded to the World Trade Center (WTC) attacks were exposed to known/suspected carcinogens. Studies have identified a trend toward an elevated risk of cutaneous melanoma in this population; however, few found significant increases. Furthermore, temporal aspects of the association have not been investigated. A total of 44,540 non-Hispanic White workers from the WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort were studied between March 12, 2002 and December 31, 2015. Cancer data were obtained through linkages with 13 state registries. Poisson regression was used to estimate hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals using the New York State population as the reference; change points in hazard ratios were estimated using profile likelihood. We observed 247 incident cases of melanoma. No increase in incidence was detected during 2002–2004. From 2005 to 2015, the hazard ratio was 1.34 (95% confidence interval = 1.18–1.52). A dose–response relationship was observed by arrival time at the WTC site. Risk was elevated just over 3 years after the attacks. Whereas WTC-related exposures to UVR or other agents might have contributed to this result, exposures other than those at the WTC site, enhanced medical surveillance, and lack of a control group with a similar proportion of rescue/recovery workers cannot be discounted. Our results support continued study of this population for melanoma.

JID Innovations (2022);2:100063 doi:10.1016/j.xjidi.2021.100063

INTRODUCTION

The terrorist attacks of the World Trade Center (WTC) on September 11, 2001 (9/11), in addition to their immediate lethal consequences among people present at the site and

rescue/recovery workers, caused widespread, persistent exposure to toxic substances (Landrigan et al., 2004). Carcinogens known to be present in relatively high quantities at the WTC site included asbestos, benzene, chromium, dioxins, and polychlorinated biphenyls (Claudio, 2001; Liou and Georgopoulos, 2006; Liou et al., 2002).

The incidence of malignant cutaneous melanoma (referred to as melanoma in the remaining part of this paper) has increased over the last decades in fair-skinned persons in the United States and other countries (Erdmann et al., 2013; Glasziou et al., 2020; Welch et al., 2021; Weyers, 2012). This trend has been attributed to increased exposure to natural (solar) and artificial UVR (Gandini et al., 2005). However, sunlight only partially explains the observed increased incidence in the United States (Welch et al., 2021). Other occupational and environmental agents are suspected to increase the risk of melanoma as well, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Sim et al., 2020), metalworking fluids (Costello et al., 2011), arsenic (Matthews et al., 2019), ionizing radiation (Fink and Bates, 2005), and polychlorinated biphenyls (Boffetta et al., 2018).

A trend toward an increased risk of melanoma has been reported in previous analyses of three cohorts of WTC-exposed rescue/recovery workers (Li et al., 2012; Moir et al., 2016; Shapiro et al., 2020). Possibly because of the small number of observed events and thus limited power, the excesses did not reach statistical significance and could not be investigated in detail. In addition, the interpretation of these early results is complicated by several factors, including

¹Stony Brook Cancer Center, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, USA; ²Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ³Department of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center, New York, New York, USA; ⁴Fire Department of the City of New York (FDNY), Brooklyn, New York, USA; ⁵Department of Environmental, Occupational and Geospatial Health Sciences, City University of New York Graduate School of Public Health & Health Policy, New York, New York, USA; ⁶Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA; ⁷Department of Genetics and Bioinformatics, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; ⁸Center of Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; ⁹World Trade Center Health Registry, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Long Island City, New York, USA; ¹⁰Bureau of Cancer Epidemiology, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York, USA; and ¹¹Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

Correspondence: Paolo Boffetta, Stony Brook Cancer Center, Stony Brook University, Lauterbur Drive, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA. E-mail: paolo.boffetta@stonybrookmedicine.edu

Abbreviations: 9/11, 11 September 2001; CI, confidence interval; FDNY, Fire Department of the City of New York; HR, hazard ratio; NYS, New York State; WTC, World Trade Center

Received 30 March 2021; revised 30 August 2021; accepted 1 September 2021; accepted manuscript published online 13 October 2021; corrected proof published online 26 November 2021

Cite this article as: *JID Innovations* 2022;2:100063

Table 1. Selected Characteristics of Study Population

Characteristics	Rescue/Recovery Worker with Cutaneous Melanoma (n = 241)	Other Rescue/Recovery Workers ¹ (n = 44,299)	Total (n = 44,540)
Age at study entry (mean, SD)	49.0 (11.8)	43.3 (10.4)	43.4 (10.4)
Sex			
Male	219 (90.9)	38,793 (87.6)	39,012 (87.6)
Female	22 (9.1)	5,506 (12.4)	5,528 (12.4)
Vital status by the end of follow-up (December 31, 2015) (n, %)			
Deceased	14 (5.8)	1,380 (3.1)	1,394 (3.1)
Alive	227 (94.2)	42,919 (96.9)	43,146 (96.9)
Cohort membership (n, %)			
FDNY ²	104 (43.2)	13,898 (31.4)	14,002 (31.4)
GRC ³	80 (33.2)	16,820 (38.0)	16,900 (37.9)
WTCHR ⁴	57 (23.7)	13,581 (30.7)	13,638 (30.6)
First worked at WTC site (n, %)			
September 11, 2001 to September 17, 2001	187 (77.6)	34,278 (77.4)	34,465 (77.4)
September 18, 2001 to June 30, 2002	38 (15.8)	7,574 (17.1)	7,612 (17.1)
Not at main WTC site	8 (3.3)	1,853 (4.2)	1,861 (4.2)
Missing	8 (3.3)	594 (1.3)	602 (1.4)
Worked on pile (n, %)			
Yes	119 (49.4)	19,873 (44.9)	19,992 (44.9)

Abbreviations: FDNY, Fire Department of the city of New York; GRC, General Responder Cohort; WTC, World Trade Center; WTCHR, World Trade Center Health Registry.

¹Rescue/recovery workers that did not have a cutaneous melanoma diagnosis in the study period.

²Includes participants followed by the WTCHR and/or the GRC.

³Includes participants followed by the WTCHR.

⁴Not followed by FDNY or GRC.

differences in the definition of the exposed and the reference populations and in analytical approaches for estimating cancer risk as well as the overlap across the cohorts (Boffetta et al., 2016). In addition, there were differences in the time periods studied between WTC exposure and cancer occurrence.

To better characterize the risk of cutaneous melanoma in non-Hispanic White workers with WTC exposure, including the temporal relationship of diagnosis with exposure, we analyzed the incidence of this neoplasm in the WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort (Brackbill et al., 2021), which comprises workers enrolled in the three cohorts mentioned earlier. By examining the temporal aspects of the experience of cancer in this combined population, we aim to address the scientific question regarding the latency between environmental carcinogen exposure and the appearance of elevated incidence of melanoma among non-Hispanic White individuals.

RESULTS

Among 44,540 participants in the final analytic cohort, we observed 247 melanoma cases for 241 participants between March 12, 2002 and December 31, 2015, with 491,492 person-years of follow-up. During this period in the New York State (NYS) reference population, there were 46,233 cases of melanoma diagnosed, with 134,922,302 person-years. Demographic characteristics for the analytic cohort are presented in Table 1. A total of 9.1% of cases occurred among female responders, whereas females comprised 12.4% of responders without melanoma ($P < 0.01$); the mean age at diagnosis among melanoma cases was 55.9 (SD = 11.5) and ranged from 28 to 88. Among melanoma cases, the

median time from 9/11 to diagnosis was 9.3 years (interquartile range = 6.5–12.1). Among all cases, 28 (11.6%) had another malignancy within the study period; this proportion was 6.3% among persons who were diagnosed with cancer other than melanoma in the study period ($n = 2,785$). A total of 14 of the cases of melanoma (5.8%) died during the follow-up period, compared with 3.1% of persons without melanoma ($P < 0.05$).

Tumor site and stage among the combined cohort and NYS reference data are presented in Table 2. The combined cohort had a higher proportion of localized tumors (75.7% vs. 71.4%), a lower proportion of regional tumors (5.7% vs. 9.3%; $P < 0.05$), and a similar proportion of distant tumors (4.5% vs. 4.2%) to that of NYS. Age-standardized rates were higher among WTC rescue/recovery workers for localized and regional tumors. The majority of tumors among WTC rescue/recovery workers were located on the trunk ($n = 112$, 45.3%), followed by location on the upper limb/shoulder ($n = 45$, 18.2%) and lower limb/hip ($n = 32$, 13.0%). The majority of melanomas had an unspecified histological subtype (8720, $n = 173$; 70.0%), followed by superficial spreading melanoma (8743, $n = 46$; 18.6%), nodular melanoma (8721, $n = 11$; 4.5%), and lentigo maligna melanomas ([8742], $n = 6$; 2.4%). The remaining histological subtypes included regressing malignant melanoma (8723), acral lentiginous melanoma (8744), malignant melanoma in the giant pigmented nevus (8761), epithelioid cell melanoma (8771), and desmoplastic melanoma (8745), all of which had counts < 5 .

Figure 1 displays the adjusted incidence rates for the study. Rates were based on piecewise exponential survival models with no change points and were centered at male participants

Table 2. Selected Clinical Characteristics of Cutaneous Melanoma Cases

Characteristics	Description	WTC-RR n (row %)	Rate	NYS n (row %)	Rate
Primary site					
C445	Skin of trunk	112 (45.3) ¹	19.0	15,990 (33.8)	11.2
C446	Skin of upper limb and shoulder	45 (18.2)	11.4	11,844 (24.1) ¹	8.2
C447	Skin of lower limb and hip	32 (13.0)	7.6	9,089 (19.3) ¹	6.5
C444	Skin of scalp and neck	22 (8.9) ¹	5.3	3,194 (6.6)	2.2
C443	Skin of other and unspecified parts of face	18 (7.3)	3.8	4,626 (9.0) ¹	3.1
C449	Skin, NOS	11 (4.5)	1.2	2,104 (4.1)	1.4
C442	External ear	≤5 (1.6)	1.0	1,336 (2.8)	0.9
C448	Overlapping lesion of the skin	≤5 (0.8) ¹	0.4	62 (0.0)	0.0
C441	Skin of eyelid	≤5 (0.4)	0.1	186 (0.3)	0.1
Stage					
Localized		187 (75.7) ¹	39.6	34,657 (71.4)	24.2
Regional		14 (5.7)	3.3	4,490 (9.3) ¹	3.1
Distant		11 (4.5)	1.4	2,021 (4.2)	1.4
Unknown		35 (14.2)	5.5	7,346 (15.1)	5.1

Abbreviations: NOS, not otherwise specified; NYS, New York State; WTC-RR, WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort; US, United States. Rates are presented per 100,000 and are age and sex standardized to the US 2000 non-Hispanic White population.

¹Chi square $P < 0.05$.

aged 50–59 years in the secondary models. The incidence of melanoma among the combined cohort was greater than that of NYS throughout the entire study period and increased with follow-up.

Table 3 shows the results of the analyses compared with those of the external NYS reference population. For this model, which did not evaluate change points, we observed a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.31 for melanoma (95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.15–1.48) for the whole study period (2002–2015). In our change point analysis, we estimated a change point in 2004, and the elevation in the HR was restricted to the period from 2005 to 2015 (HR = 1.34; 95% CI = 1.18–1.52). In our analysis, which only evaluated localized tumors as the outcome, we observed no change points and an increased hazard compared with those in NYS (HR = 1.36; 95% CI = 1.18–1.57). When evaluating regional/distant tumors only, model estimates were largely unstable because of the small number of tumors (results not presented).

The results of the internal analyses are reported in Table 4. In the analysis by time period of work on the WTC effort, we identified a change point in 2009, and the HR during 2010–2015 for work between 9/11 and September 17, 2001 was significantly elevated. This result contrasts with our external model mentioned earlier, which identified a change point in 2004. In the analysis examining the effect of working on the WTC pile, we identified a change point in 2004, but the results were limited by the small number of events in the period between 2002 and 2004. In the model with no change points, we observed an increased hazard among those who worked on the pile compared with that among those who did not (HR = 1.32; 95% CI = 1.00–1.74).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we observed an increased incidence of cutaneous melanoma among non-Hispanic White participants of the WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort compared with that among the NYS general population. Earlier assessments

among participants enrolled in the Fire Department of the City of New York (FDNY), General Responder Cohort, and World Trade Center Health Registry cohorts have independently shown a trend toward an elevated risk for melanoma; however, only one showed statistical significance. Li et al. (2012) studied 21,218 rescue/recovery workers enrolled in the World Trade Center Health Registry during 2003–2004, who were followed for cancer incidence until 2008. These authors observed 18 cases of malignant melanoma through 2006 (using the population of NYS as a reference, standardized incidence ratio of 1.48; 95% CI = 0.88–2.35). In a 2016 study that extended follow-up through 2011, a significantly elevated excess incidence of cases was observed (standardized incidence ratio 1.49; 95% CI = 1.05–2.06) (Li et al., 2016). Moir et al. (2016) compared the incidence of cancer during 2001–2009 in 11,457 WTC-exposed firefighters with that in 8,220 non-WTC exposed firefighters from three urban areas of the United States. They observed 40 cases of melanoma in WTC-exposed firefighters and 21 cases in non-WTC-exposed firefighters (relative risk = 1.69; 95% CI = 0.93–3.13). Finally, Shapiro et al. (2020) investigated cancer incidence during 2002–2013 among 28,729 rescue and recovery workers who were enrolled in the General Responder Cohort using the population of the state of residence as reference. These researchers observed 50 cases of melanoma (standardized incidence ratio = 1.15; 95% CI = 0.86–1.52). Although the studies did not consistently reach statistical significance, the direction of these associations was all >1, suggesting that they were limited by few events and relatively short periods of follow-up.

This analysis corroborates these early findings and identifies a period when the risk appears to be greatest. We observed no association between WTC exposure and melanoma compared with NYS before 2005 and a 34% increased risk from 2005 until the end of the study period in 2015. However, this difference is based on a small number of cases occurring during 2002–2004 and may be due to an overrepresentation of FDNY cohort members in the early period




Figure 1. Adjusted incidence rate of cutaneous melanoma in the WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort and in the New York State population, 2002–2015. Analysis was restricted to non-Hispanic Whites. The rates are adjusted for sex and age and are centered on males aged 50–59 years. NYS, New York State; WTC, World Trade Center.

of follow-up. In our internal analysis, which uses those who were least exposed as the referent category, we identified a change point in 2009 with an elevated risk among those present at the WTC rescue/recovery site during the first week after the attacks. The external analysis, which includes participants who were present at the WTC rescue/recovery site any time from 9/11 through June 30, 2002 in the exposed category, resulted in an earlier change point of 2004. In addition, a 32% increased hazard was observed among those who worked on the WTC pile compared with that observed among those who did not; however, no change points were detected.

Data on the temporal aspects of the association between environmental carcinogens and melanoma risk refer primarily to UV exposure. Studies on age at migration from areas with low ambient sunlight to areas with high ambient sunlight and vice versa provided strong evidence that UV exposure in childhood is a strong risk factor for melanoma occurrence and death in adults (Holman and Armstrong, 1984; Khlata et al., 1992; Mack and Floderus, 1991). Younger age at migration to sunnier areas has a stronger effect on the risk of melanoma than the duration of residence in these areas. In addition, the risk of melanoma seems to be lower in the absence of significant sun exposure during childhood (Autier and Doré, 1998). These results reinforce the notion that heavy exposure to the sun during childhood would be a major factor determining the occurrence of melanoma in adult life. In this respect, the increased risk observed beginning from 3 years after the attacks in the present analysis may reflect numerous factors other than the latency solely attributable to WTC-related exposures. It is plausible that the carcinogenicity of exposures other than UVR experienced on the WTC effort may have contributed to the observed associations. Other phenomena such as environmental and occupational exposures that occurred outside the WTC experience must also be considered. Another plausible explanation aside from a short induction period is a

Table 3. Hazard Ratio of Cutaneous Melanoma by WTC Responder Status. Results of Comparison with NYS Rates

N Change Points	Period of Follow-Up	N Cases	Person-Years	HR	95% CI
Overall					
0	2002–2015	247	491,492	1.31	1.15–1.48
1	2002–2004	6	44,731	0.65	0.30–1.49
	2005–2015	241	446,761	1.34	1.18–1.52
Localized					
0	2002–2015	187	491,492	1.36	1.18–1.57

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; NYS, New York State; WTC, World Trade Center.

The 0 change point model estimates the hazard over the course of the study period, 2002–2015; the 1 change point model is independent and estimates the hazard in two distinct intervals, from 2002 to 2004 and from 2005 to 2015. All models are adjusted for age, sex, and calendar year.

detection bias owing to oversurveillance in this cohort, which we were not able to investigate in this study. The synergy between WTC exposures, sun exposure, augmented surveillance, and cumulative occupational exposures may have all contributed to the observed early onset of disease.

The WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort includes firefighters, law enforcement, and construction workers. An increased risk of melanoma has consistently been reported in previous studies of firefighters (Casjens et al., 2020) and police officers (Finkelstein, 1998; Forastiere et al., 1994; Harris et al., 2018), whereas the results of studies of construction workers are mainly negative (Alicandro et al., 2020; Gallagher et al., 1987; Håkansson et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 1995). Workplace exposure to UVR has been suggested to explain these findings because other exposed occupational groups, such as offshore workers (Stenehjem et al., 2017), airline pilots and cabin crews (Sanlorenzo et al., 2015), and agricultural workers (Kachuri et al., 2017), also experience an increased incidence of melanoma. However, the excess in melanoma risk is mainly observed among subjects with intermittent, prolonged UV exposure (Autier and Doré, 2020). These findings do not support the hypothesis of a causal role of WTC-related UV exposure that, on average, was of relatively short duration (i.e., <1–9 months). We cannot exclude a role of UV exposure experienced by cohort members outside of WTC-related operations. The role of other agents that were present at the WTC site, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls (Lioy and Georgopoulos, 2006), is also plausible, although an estimate of their contribution is complicated by the lack of exposure data.

Increased medical surveillance is an alternative explanation of our findings. An increase in the incidence of melanoma, in particular the more superficial forms of the disease after enhanced surveillance, has been described in several populations (Armstrong and Kricke, 1994; Bagley et al., 1981; Glasziou et al., 2020; Welch et al., 2021; Weyers, 2012) and is supported by the increased rate of early-stage melanomas among rescue/recovery workers. The WTC Health Program does not cover skin examinations, and although plausible, it is unlikely that melanoma is discovered

Table 4. Hazard Ratio of Cutaneous Melanoma by Period of Work at WTC Site. Results of Internal Comparison

Adjusted for age, sex, and calendar year

N Change Points	Period of Follow-Up	First Worked at WTC Site	N Cases	Person-Years	HR	95% CI
0	2002–2015	Early	191	386,865	1.28	0.89–1.85
		Late	40	82,820		Ref
1	2002–2009	Early	66	186,643	0.68	0.42–1.09
		Late	26	38,536		Ref
	2010–2015	Early	125	200,222	2.41	1.36–4.27
		Late	14	44,285		Ref

Adjusted for age, sex, calendar year, and cohort membership

0	2002–2015	Early	191	386,865	1.03	0.69–1.52
		Late	40	82,820		ref
1	2002–2009	Early	66	186,643	0.54	0.32–0.88
		Late	26	38,536		ref
	2010–2015	Early	125	200,222	1.93	1.07–3.48
		Late	14	44,285		ref

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; WTC, World Trade Center.

The 0 change point model estimates the hazard over the course of the study period, 2002–2015; and the 1 change point model is independent and estimates the hazard in two distinct intervals, from 2002 to 2009 and from 2010 to 2015.

Early = first self-reported working at the main WTC disaster site between 11 September 2001 to 17 September 2001; Late = first self-reported working at the WTC disaster site between 18 September 2001 to 30 June 2002. Melanoma cases among persons who did not self-report working at the main WTC site between 11 September 2001 to 30 June 2002 were excluded from this analysis (n = 16 persons; 16 cancer cases).

as part of routine medical monitoring examinations. We found a 36% increased risk over the entire study period in our analysis, which was restricted to localized tumors only, suggesting that surveillance bias is not entirely responsible for the observed association. An earlier study investigating cancer among WTC-exposed firefighters workers more broadly found that responders who had surveillance chest computed tomography scans through the WTC Health Program ≤ 6 months before a cancer diagnosis (lung, liver, thyroid, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and kidney) may have been at increased probability for early detection (Shapiro et al., 2020; Zeig-Owens et al., 2011). Similarly, prostate cancer and hematologic neoplasms diagnosed within 6 months of routine blood tests performed within the program may also be subject to surveillance bias.

The main strength of our study is that there was greater statistical power than previous analyses of WTC rescue and recovery workers, leading to a detailed assessment of temporal aspects of the association and the ability to conduct internal dose–response analyses. In addition, by including 13 different states in the linkages of the cohort to central cancer registries, we were able to cover 93% of the addresses of the 44,540 member study population. Earlier works reported the suggestion of an elevated risk among WTC-exposed participants, and in this study, a significantly elevated risk, which to our knowledge was previously unreported, of over 30% for 247 cases of melanoma was observed. In our internal analysis, after adjusting for individual WTC cohort (which may be an important proxy for access to insurance and cancer coverage), the association was attenuated but still significant.

Limitations include the lack of quantitative data of exposure to carcinogens in WTC rescue/recovery operations as well as the lack of information on the potential confounding

effects of occupational and recreational exposures to UV and other agents outside the WTC experience. Moir et al. (2016) did not find a significantly elevated risk of cutaneous melanoma among WTC-exposed firefighters relative to that in a pooled cohort of non-WTC exposed firefighters from the San Francisco, Chicago, and Philadelphia departments, and an overview paper by Laroche and L'Espérance (2021) noted an overall increased risk of malignant melanoma for firefighters compared with that for the general population. Although we note that firefighters represent $<30\%$ of the overall WTC-exposed rescue/recovery cohort. Nonetheless, a non-WTC-exposed occupational comparison cohort with similar professions represented rather than the general NYS population may have offered an advantage for this study. We also did not have data on clinical characteristics such as Breslow depth and Clark level or frequency of skin examinations, but detailed skin examinations are not part of the WTC annual medical examination. Another weakness was that we did not have adequate power to study melanoma in non-White races. Other authors have found that among non-White racial groups, particularly African Americans, the incidence of cutaneous melanoma is lower overall, yet with a higher proportion of advanced-stage tumors (Cormier et al., 2006; Cress and Holly, 1997; Wang et al., 2016). In addition, we observed a preponderance of truncal melanomas among both WTC rescue/recovery patients and NYS patients in general. However, melanoma incidence was elevated for nearly all body parts compared with NYS age-adjusted rates. It was observed that after controlling for stage, relative mortality is similar (Mahendraraj et al., 2017; Ward-Peterson et al., 2016), and the high incidence of advanced-stage tumors may reflect poorer access to preventative care. Thus, further investigation of the role of latency in cutaneous melanoma is needed in more ethnically diverse cohorts.

In conclusion, our analysis confirmed an increased incidence of melanoma among non-Hispanic White WTC rescue/recovery workers. Compared with that among the NYS population, the increase in incidence started after 2004 and persisted despite the extension of the follow-up. This result and the result from internal analyses showing a higher risk for those who responded shortly after the attacks are consistent with a contributory role of WTC exposure, but alternative explanations cannot be excluded. Regardless of the precise causes, the results support the continued study of this population for melanoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study population included all eligible rescue/recovery workers from three WTC-exposed responder cohorts: the FDNY (Webber et al., 2011), the General Responder Cohort (Herbert et al., 2006), and the World Trade Center Health Registry (Farfel et al., 2008). Rescue/recovery workers included firefighters, emergency medical service providers, law enforcement, construction and communication workers, volunteers, and cleanup workers. All adult rescue/recovery workers who were members of any of the three cohorts and provided written informed consent for the research or who fell under a waiver of consent approved by the Institutional Review Board of the cohort institution were eligible for inclusion in the study. Additional details regarding the consolidation of the WTC Combined Rescue/Recovery Cohort (referred to as combined cohort in the remaining part of this paper), including deduplication of subjects and data harmonization, are described elsewhere (Brackbill et al., 2021).

A total of 24,562 members of the combined cohort were excluded from the analysis for the following reasons: (i) aged <18 years on 9/11 ($n = 165$); (ii) missing date of birth ($n = 21$); (iii) enrolled in the cohort after October 1, 2012 ($n = 4,402$); and (iv) belonging to race and ethnicity other than non-Hispanic White ($n = 19,974$). Only 7 (0.04%) of the 19,974 participants with race/ethnicity other than non-Hispanic White had melanoma. This analysis is therefore based on 44,540 non-Hispanic White rescue/recovery workers enrolled in the cohort before October 1, 2012 (i.e., when cancer coverage began under the James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020) and were aged at least 18 years on 9/11.

Outcome assessment

Person-time accruals began on the later of March 12, 2002 or 6 months after the date of enrollment into a WTC rescue/recovery cohort. The date of March 12, 2002 was chosen so that prevalent cancers already developed before 9/11 were not misclassified as incident cases; 6 months was chosen to reduce selection in the cohorts after the onset of symptoms. The follow-up period ended at death or on December 31, 2015. Incident cases of melanoma defined (using the surveillance, epidemiology, and end result site recode table [25010]) as International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, third edition topography code C44 and malignant behavior code 3 were obtained by matching the combined cohort to data from the cancer registries of the following states: Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Texas, Virginia, and Washington. The 13 states accounted for 93.1% of the known addresses of the 99.5% of persons with known addresses who were

included in the study. We were missing the state of residence for 113 of the 44,540 persons included in the analysis. Tumor characteristics, such as diagnosis date, histology, and stage, were provided by state cancer registries. Cases of cancers obtained from multiple registries for the same participant were identified, and duplicates were excluded. For the calculations of age at diagnosis and person-time at risk, the 15th of each month was used. For the few participants who were missing a birth month, June was used. Death dates available for each cohort were reconciled by the NYS cancer registry to ensure precise mortality ascertainment and person-time contributions.

Exposure measures and demographic characteristics

The specific measures used for this study included dust exposure on 9/11 and work periods on the WTC effort (Weakley et al., 2011). The exposure measure for our primary external analysis was presence at the WTC site or WTC rescue/recovery work at other sites such as the Fresh Kills Landfill at any time from 9/11 to June 30, 2002, the time when the WTC Manhattan site closed. We used two additional exposure variables: (i) work on the WTC pile (yes or no); (ii) time of the first arrival at the WTC disaster sites (9/11 to September 17, 2001 or September 18, 2001 to June 30, 2002). Basic demographic characteristics such as sex, birth month, birth year, and race/ethnicity were used for data analysis.

NYS comparison population

Incident melanoma tumors in NYS were selected as the reference for our external analysis and were obtained and organized using SEER*stat software (National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD) (National Cancer Institute, 2020). Data were summarized in strata of persons and cases by age (in 5-year increments), sex, and calendar year (2002–2015).

Statistical analyses

We first calculated age-standardized incidence rates by primary site and stage for the combined cohort and the NYS comparison population. Rates were standardized to the United States 2000 male and female populations, and NYS rates, such as the WTC combined cohort, were restricted to non-Hispanic White participants. The outcome for all multivariable analyses was incident melanoma. Both comparison rates and observed cancer counts included multiple primary cancers for each person. That is, persons with melanoma were counted as a case even if they had cancer before the start of follow-up for this study (i.e., the later of March 12, 2002 or 6 months after enrollment). Persons could be counted more than once if they were diagnosed with >1 melanoma. The combined cohort data were grouped in strata of person-time and cases in the same way as the NYS comparison population.

We used piecewise exponential survival models to estimate the HRs and associated 95% CIs. This approach is similar to Cox regression but allows baseline hazard to change at numerous time intervals rather than with every event; 1-year time intervals were used (Jensen and Lütkebohmert, 2008). This model also allowed for incidence to be estimated in the reference group so that the HRs have rate ratio (relative rate) interpretations. The HRs could vary through the follow-up period using change points to model the time at which the HRs varied. The model is mathematically identical to a Poisson model where the rates in the reference population vary each year, and the rate ratios/HRs are allowed to vary one or more times over the follow-up period. The data are used to estimate the time

where the change(s) in HRs are most significant, and in fact, we fit the model as a Poisson model.

The specific model is as follows: Let Y_{ik} be the number of incident cases of melanoma, modeled to follow a Poisson distribution given the covariates; T_{ik} is the total person-time at risk, for each particular stratum i and time interval k ; t_{ik} is the time since exposure; and exposures are indicated by the values of $x_{i\tau}$, a binary variable taking the value of 1 for the WTC-exposed cohort and 0 for the comparison NYS population. The w_{ik} s are dummy variables representing the 1-year time intervals; the a_k s are parameters representing the baseline hazard as a function of time that has a cases-per-person-time interpretation. β_j is the log HR, which is also a log rate ratio as mentioned earlier, which compares incidence in the WTC-exposed cohort with that in the NYS reference population for time interval j ($j \geq 1$), which is the period between change points τ_{j-1} and τ_j . Z_{il} represents sex, calendar year, and age. Equation 1 is found below and is described in greater detail elsewhere (Jensen and Lütkebohmert, 2008). This primary external analysis using NYS as a comparison controlled for age and calendar year.

$$\text{Equation 1: } \log(Y_{ik}) = \log(T_{ik}) + \sum_{k=1}^{k=12} \alpha_k w_{ik} + \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} \beta_j x_{i\tau} 1(\tau_{j-1} < t_{ik} \leq \tau_j) + \sum_l \gamma_l z_{il}.$$

The change points are estimated using profile likelihood (Glaser et al., 2014; Goodman et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2003, 2001, 2000). In practice, this consisted of fitting a series of models for different candidate change points in which each year's number of cases, offset by person time, was combined with other years before the candidate change point, and the number of cases after the candidate change point, offset by person time, were similarly combined. The relative hazard after/before each candidate change point was estimated, the likelihood for each candidate change point was compared, and the change point with the best fit based on the likelihood was selected. Thus, 12 possible models with a change point at each year between 2003 and 2014 were evaluated. This has significant advantages over simply presenting each year's absolute and relative hazard because there is greater power to identify a time at which the relative hazard changes. Four additional analyses were conducted. The first was an external analysis that evaluated localized tumors, separately, to address the potential for surveillance bias. The second was an internal analysis that evaluated two distinct periods of time during which participants first worked on the WTC effort (9/11 to September 17, 2001; September 18, 2001 to June 30, 2002; referred to as early and late, respectively, in the remaining part of this paper); these mutually exclusive categories were included as binary variables. The third was an internal analysis that evaluated participants who worked on the WTC pile versus those who did not. Finally, the fourth model controlled for WTC cohort membership (i.e., FDNY, General Responder Cohort, and World Trade Center Health Registry) as well as for the other covariates used in the second analysis. We controlled for cohort membership to account for an uneven distribution of period in which participants first worked at the WTC site (e.g., FDNY had the highest proportion of participants who first worked at the WTC site in the early period). For each analysis, change points were separately evaluated.

The same model equation was applied to internal models as to the external model, except that the WTC variable was replaced with time first worked on the WTC effort. We also calculated the adjusted baseline incidence rates stratified by exposure category. For this analysis, we applied a Locally Weighted Scatterplot Smoothing function for point estimates (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1986).

Analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology reporting guidelines (von Elm et al., 2007) and was approved by Institutional Review Boards at Albert Einstein College of Medicine (Bronx, NY), New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (Long Island City, NY), the New York State Department of Health, and all the 13 cancer registries. The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board ruled the research exempt.

Data availability statement

Data that support the findings of the study may be obtained from the corresponding author (PB) upon reasonable request after approval by the Steering Committee for "Incidence, Latency, and Survival of Cancer Following World Trade Center Exposure" (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Cooperative Agreement U01 OH011932) in accordance with the study's official Data Sharing Plan.

ORCIDiDs

Paolo Boffetta: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3811-2791>
 David G. Goldfarb: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2682-7543>
 Rachel Zeig-Owens: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8679-2306>
 Dana Kristjansson: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0687-2910>
 Jiehui Li: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7812-8878>
 Robert M. Brackbill: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0518-0330>
 Mark R. Farfel: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9952-9937>
 James E. Cone: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2145-1348>
 Janette Yung: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0144-1292>
 Amy R. Kahn: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1025-6312>
 Baozhen Qiao: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8407-7835>
 Maria J. Schymura: <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0587-8667>
 Mayris P. Webber: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8322-4573>
 David J. Prezant: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9562-0330>
 Christopher R. Dasaro: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9924-195X>
 Andrew C. Todd: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7864-009X>
 Charles B. Hall: <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9982-8120>

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: PB, DGG, RZO, JEC, MJS, CBH; Funding Acquisition: PB, CBH; Methodology: PB, DGG, RZO, CBH; Writing - Original Draft Preparation: PB, DGG, RZO, CBH; Writing - Reviewing and Editing: PB, DGG, RZO, DK, JL, RMB, MRF, JEC, JY, ARK, BQ, MJS, MPW, DJP, CRD, ACT, CBH

Disclaimer

The ideas and opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the State of California, the Department of Public Health, the National Cancer Institute, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or their contractors and subcontractors. The Connecticut Department of Public Health does not endorse or assume any responsibility for any analyses, interpretations, or conclusions based on the data. The authors assume full responsibility for all such analyses, interpretations, and conclusions. The views expressed in this paper are solely those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the Florida Department of Health or CDC National Program of Cancer Registries. Use of the data from the Ohio Cancer Incidence Surveillance System and Ohio Department of Health does not imply that the Ohio Department of Health or CDC agrees or disagrees with the analyses, interpretations, or conclusions in this report (or publication/presentation). The Pennsylvania Department of Health specifically disclaims responsibility for any analyses, interpretations, or conclusions. Molly Skerker did not receive financial compensation for this work. The funders of the study had no role in the design of the study, data linkage activities, analysis, interpretation, writing of the manuscript, and the decision to publish the results. The authors have no disclosures. Its contents

are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the CDC National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the 13 state cancer registries for carrying out record linkages: Bureau of Cancer Epidemiology, New York State Department of Health (DOH); Arizona Cancer Registry Department of Health Services; California Cancer Registry, Department of Public Health; Connecticut Tumor Registry, Connecticut Department of Public Health; Florida Cancer Registry, Florida DOH; Massachusetts Cancer Registry, Massachusetts Department of Public Health; New Jersey State Cancer Registry, New Jersey DOH and Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey; North Carolina Central Cancer Registry, State Center for Health Statistics; Ohio Cancer Incidence Surveillance System, Ohio DOH; Bureau of Health Statistics and Research, Pennsylvania DOH; Texas Cancer Registry, Texas Department of State Health Services; Virginia Cancer Registry, Virginia DOH; and Washington State Cancer Registry, Washington DOH. The collection of cancer incidence data used in this study was supported by the California Department of Public Health according to California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)'s National Program of Cancer Registries, under cooperative agreement 5NU58DP006344; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program under contract HHSN261201800032I awarded to the University of California, San Francisco; contract HHSN261201800015I awarded to the University of Southern California; and contract HHSN261201800009I awarded to the Public Health Institute. The Connecticut Department of Public Health Human Investigations Committee approved this research project, which used data obtained from the Connecticut Department of Public Health. The Florida cancer incidence data used in this report were collected by the Florida Cancer Data System, the statewide cancer registry funded by the Florida DOH and the CDC National Program of Cancer Registries. Cancer incidence data used in these analyses were obtained from the Ohio Cancer Incidence Surveillance System, Ohio DOH, a cancer registry partially supported by the National Program of Cancer Registries at the CDC through Cooperative Agreement Number NU58DP006284. These data were supplied by the Bureau of Health Statistics and Registries, Pennsylvania DOH (Harrisburg, PA). Additional contributions were as follows: Molly Skerker provided substantial administrative support in the initial phase of this study. This research was supported through the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health cooperative agreements (U01OH011315, U01 OH011932, U01 OH011681, U01 OH011931, U01 OH011480, and U50/OH009739) and contracts (200-2011-39378, 200-2017-93325, and 200-2017-93326). In addition, this research was supported by cooperative agreement 6NU58DP006309 awarded to the New York State DOH by the CDC and by contract 75N91018D00005 (Task Order 75N91018F00001) from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services (Bethesda, MD). This research was also supported by cooperative agreement U50/ATU272750 from the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, CDC, which included support from the National Center for Environmental Health, CDC and by the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. This research was also supported by grant P30 CA013330 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health. All authors received grant and/or contract support from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. In addition, CBH is supported by the National Institute of Aging and the National Cancer Institute.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Alicandro G, Bertuccio P, Sebastiani G, La Vecchia C, Frova L. Mortality among Italian male workers in the construction industry: a census-based cohort study. *Eur J Public Health* 2020;30:247–52.
- Armstrong BK, Krickler A. Cutaneous melanoma. *Cancer Surv* 1994;19–20: 219–40.
- Autier P, Doré JF. Influence of sun exposures during childhood and during adulthood on melanoma risk. EPIMEL and EORTC Melanoma Cooperative Group. European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1998;77:533–7.
- Autier P, Doré JF. Ultraviolet radiation and cutaneous melanoma: a historical perspective. *Melanoma Res* 2020;30:113–25.
- Bagley FH, Cady B, Lee A, Legg MA. Changes in clinical presentation and management of malignant melanoma. *Cancer* 1981;47:2126–34.
- Boffetta P, Catalani S, Tomasi C, Pira E, Apostoli P. Occupational exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and risk of cutaneous melanoma: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2018;27:62–9.
- Boffetta P, Zeig-Owens R, Wallenstein S, Li J, Brackbill R, Cone J, et al. Cancer in World Trade Center responders: findings from multiple cohorts and options for future study. *Am J Ind Med* 2016;59:96–105.
- Brackbill RM, Kahn AR, Li J, Zeig-Owens R, Goldfarb DG, Skerker M, et al. Combining three cohorts of World Trade Center rescue/recovery workers for assessing cancer incidence and mortality. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:1386.
- Casjens S, Brüning T, Taeger D. Cancer risks of firefighters: a systematic review and meta-analysis of secular trends and region-specific differences. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2020;93:839–52.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Covered conditions. World Trade Center Health Program. <https://www.cdc.gov/wtc/conditions.html>; 2020. (accessed January 1, 2021).
- Claudio L. Environmental aftermath. *Environ Health Perspect* 2001;109: A528–36.
- Cormier JN, Xing Y, Ding M, Lee JE, Mansfield PF, Gershenwald JE, et al. Ethnic differences among patients with cutaneous melanoma. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:1907–14.
- Costello S, Friesen MC, Christiani DC, Eisen EA. Metalworking fluids and malignant melanoma in autoworkers. *Epidemiology* 2011;22:90–7.
- Cress RD, Holly EA. Incidence of cutaneous melanoma among non-Hispanic Whites, Hispanics, Asians, and Blacks: an analysis of California cancer registry data, 1988–93. *Cancer Causes Control* 1997;8:246–52.
- Erdmann F, Lortet-Tieulent J, Schüz J, Zeeb H, Greinert R, Breitbart EW, et al. International trends in the incidence of malignant melanoma 1953-2008—are recent generations at higher or lower risk? *Int J Cancer* 2013;132: 385–400.
- Farfel M, DiGrande L, Brackbill R, Prann A, Cone J, Friedman S, et al. An overview of 9/11 experiences and respiratory and mental health conditions among World Trade Center Health Registry enrollees. *J Urban Health* 2008;85:880–909.
- Fink CA, Bates MN. Melanoma and ionizing radiation: is there a causal relationship? *Radiat Res* 2005;164:701–10.
- Finkelstein MM. Cancer incidence among Ontario police officers. *Am J Ind Med* 1998;34:157–62.
- Forastiere F, Perucci CA, Di Pietro A, Miceli M, Rapiti E, Bargagli A, et al. Mortality among urban policemen in Rome. *Am J Ind Med* 1994;26: 785–98.
- Gallagher RP, Elwood JM, Threlfall WJ, Spinelli JJ, Fincham S, Hill GB. Socioeconomic status, sunlight exposure, and risk of malignant melanoma: the Western Canada Melanoma Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1987;79: 647–52.
- Gandini S, Sera F, Cattaruzza MS, Pasquini P, Picconi O, Boyle P, et al. Meta-analysis of risk factors for cutaneous melanoma: II. Sun exposure. *Eur J Cancer* 2005;41:45–60.
- Glaser MS, Webber MP, Zeig-Owens R, Weakley J, Liu X, Ye F, et al. Estimating the time interval between exposure to the World Trade Center disaster and incident diagnoses of obstructive airway disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;180:272–9.
- Glasziou PP, Jones MA, Pathirana T, Barratt AL, Bell KJ. Estimating the magnitude of cancer overdiagnosis in Australia [published correction appears in *Med J Aust* 2020;212:253]. *Med J Aust* 2020;212:163–8.
- Goodman MS, Li Y, Tiwari RC. Detecting multiple change points in piecewise constant hazard functions. *J Appl Stat* 2011;38:2523–32.
- Håkansson N, Floderus B, Gustavsson P, Feychting M, Hallin N. Occupational sunlight exposure and cancer incidence among Swedish construction workers. *Epidemiology* 2001;12:552–7.
- Hall CB, Lipton RB, Sliwinski M, Stewart WF. A change point model for estimating the onset of cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Stat Med* 2000;19:1555–66.
- Hall CB, Ying J, Kuo L, Lipton RB. Bayesian and profile likelihood change point methods for modeling cognitive function over time. *Comp Stat Data Anal* 2003;42:91–109.
- Hall CB, Ying J, Kuo L, Sliwinski M, Buschke H, Katz M, et al. Estimation of bivariate measurements having different change points, with application to cognitive ageing. *Stat Med* 2001;20:3695–714.

- Harris MA, Kirkham TL, MacLeod JS, Tjepkema M, Peters PA, Demers PA. Surveillance of cancer risks for firefighters, police, and armed forces among men in a Canadian census cohort. *Am J Ind Med* 2018;61:815–23.
- Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Generalized additive models. *Statist Sci* 1986;1: 297–310.
- Herbert R, Moline J, Skloot G, Metzger K, Baron S, Luft B, et al. The World Trade Center disaster and the health of workers: five-year assessment of a unique medical screening program. *Environ Health Perspect* 2006;114: 1853–8.
- Holman CD, Armstrong BK. Cutaneous malignant melanoma and indicators of total accumulated exposure to the sun: an analysis separating histogenetic types. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1984;73:75–82.
- Jensen U, Lütkebohmert C. A Cox-type regression model with change-points in the covariates. *Lifetime Data Anal* 2008;14:267–85.
- Kachuri L, Harris MA, MacLeod JS, Tjepkema M, Peters PA, Demers PA. Cancer risks in a population-based study of 70,570 agricultural workers: results from the Canadian census health and Environment cohort (Can-CHEC). *BMC Cancer* 2017;17:343.
- Khlat M, Vail A, Parkin M, Green A. Mortality from melanoma in migrants to Australia: variation by age at arrival and duration of stay. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135:1103–13.
- Landrigan PJ, Liroy PJ, Thurston G, Berkowitz G, Chen LC, Chillrud SN, et al. Health and environmental consequences of the world trade center disaster. *Environ Health Perspect* 2004;112:731–9.
- Laroche E, L'Espérance S. Cancer incidence and mortality among firefighters: an overview of epidemiologic systematic reviews. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:2519.
- Li J, Brackbill RM, Liao TS, Qiao B, Cone JE, Farfel MR, et al. Ten-year cancer incidence in rescue/recovery workers and civilians exposed to the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center. *Am J Ind Med* 2016;59:709–21.
- Li J, Cone JE, Kahn AR, Brackbill RM, Farfel MR, Greene CM, et al. Association between World Trade Center exposure and excess cancer risk. *JAMA* 2012;308:2479–88.
- Liroy PJ, Georgopoulos P. The anatomy of the exposures that occurred around the World Trade Center site: 9/11 and beyond. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006;1076:54–79.
- Liroy PJ, Weisel CP, Millette JR, Eisenreich S, Vallero D, Offenber J, et al. Characterization of the dust/smoke aerosol that settled east of the World Trade Center (WTC) in lower Manhattan after the collapse of the WTC 11 September 2001. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002;110:703–14.
- Mack TM, Floderus B. Malignant melanoma risk by nativity, place of residence at diagnosis, and age at migration. *Cancer Causes Control* 1991;2: 401–11.
- Mahendraraj K, Sidhu K, Lau CSM, McRoy GJ, Chamberlain RS, Smith FO. Malignant melanoma in African-Americans: A population-based clinical outcomes study involving 1106 African-American patients from the surveillance, epidemiology, and end result (SEER) database (1988–2011). *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2017;96:e6258.
- Matthews NH, Fitch K, Li WQ, Morris JS, Christiani DC, Qureshi AA, et al. Exposure to trace elements and risk of skin cancer: a systematic review of epidemiologic studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2019;28: 3–21.
- Moir W, Zeig-Owens R, Daniels RD, Hall CB, Webber MP, Jaber N, et al. Post-9/11 cancer incidence in World Trade Center-exposed New York City firefighters as compared to a pooled cohort of firefighters from San Francisco, Chicago and Philadelphia (9/11/2001–2009). *Am J Ind Med* 2016;59:722–30.
- National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program (www.seer.cancer.gov) SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER research data, 9 registries, Nov 2019 Sub (1975–2017) - linked to county attributes - time dependent (1990–2017) income/rurality, 1969–2017 counties, released April 2020, based on the November 2019 submission; 2020.
- Robinson C, Stern F, Halperin W, Venable H, Petersen M, Frazier T, et al. Assessment of mortality in the construction industry in the United States, 1984–1986. *Am J Ind Med* 1995;28:49–70.
- Sanlorenzo M, Wehner MR, Linos E, Kornak J, Kainz W, Posch C, et al. The risk of melanoma in airline pilots and cabin crew: a meta-analysis. *JAMA Dermatol* 2015;151:51–8.
- Shapiro MZ, Wallenstein SR, Dasaro CR, Lucchini RG, Sacks HS, Teitelbaum SL, et al. Cancer in general responders participating in World Trade Center health programs, 2003–2013. *JNCI Cancer Spectr* 2020;4: pkz090.
- Sim MR, Tan SH, Kelly S, Nixon RL. Malignant neoplasms of the skin. In: Anttila S, Boffetta P, editors. *Occupational cancers*. 2nd ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer; 2020. p. 401–16.
- Stenehjem JS, Robsahm TE, Bråtveit M, Samuelsen SO, Kirkeleit J, Grimrud TK. Ultraviolet radiation and skin cancer risk in offshore workers. *Occup Med (Lond)* 2017;67:569–73.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med* 2008;148:168]. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:573–7.
- Wang Y, Zhao Y, Ma S. Racial differences in six major subtypes of melanoma: descriptive epidemiology. *BMC Cancer* 2016;16:691.
- Ward-Peterson M, Acuña JM, Alkhalifah MK, Nasiri AM, Al-Akeel ES, Alkhalidi TM, et al. Association between race/ethnicity and survival of melanoma patients in the United States over 3 decades: a secondary analysis of SEER data. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2016;95:e3315.
- Weakley J, Maslow C, Group WW. Defining common categories of exposure among four cohorts of rescue/recovery workers who responded to the World Trade Center disaster. Paper presented at: Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) annual conference. 12–16 June 2011; Pittsburgh, PA.
- Webber MP, Glaser MS, Weakley J, Soo J, Ye F, Zeig-Owens R, et al. Physician-diagnosed respiratory conditions and mental health symptoms 7–9 years following the World Trade Center disaster. *Am J Ind Med* 2011;54: 661–71.
- Welch HG, Mazer BL, Adamson AS. The rapid rise in cutaneous melanoma diagnoses. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:72–9.
- Weyers W. The 'epidemic' of melanoma between under- and overdiagnosis. *J Cutan Pathol* 2012;39:9–16.
- Zeig-Owens R, Webber MP, Hall CB, Schwartz T, Jaber N, Weakley J, et al. Early assessment of cancer outcomes in New York City firefighters after the 9/11 attacks: an observational cohort study. *Lancet* 2011;378:898–905.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>