

Storing of Extracts in Polypropylene Microcentrifuge Tubes Yields Contaminant Peak During Ultra-flow Liquid Chromatographic Analysis

Parthraj R. Kshirsagar¹, Harsha Hegde^{1,2}, Sandeep R. Pai¹

¹Plant Biotechnology and Tissue Culture Division, Regional Medical Research Centre, Indian Council of Medical Research, ²Traditional and Herbal Medicine Division, Regional Medical Research Centre, Indian Council of Medical Research, Belagavi, Karnataka, India

Submitted: 12-01-2016

Revised: 10-02-2016

Published: 07-07-2016


ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: This study was designed to understand the effect of storage in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes and glass vials during ultra-flow liquid chromatographic (UFLC) analysis. **Materials and Methods:** One ml of methanol was placed in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes (PP material, Autoclavable) and glass vials (Borosilicate) separately for 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 20, 40, and 80 days intervals stored at -4°C . **Results:** Contaminant peak was detected in methanol stored in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes using UFLC analysis. The contaminant peak detected was prominent, sharp detectable at 9.176 ± 0.138 min on a Waters 250–4.6 mm, 4 μ , Nova-Pak C18 column with mobile phase consisting of methanol:water (70:30). **Conclusion:** It was evident from the study that long-term storage of biological samples prepared using methanol in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes produce contaminant peak. Further, this may mislead in future reporting an unnatural compound by researchers.

Key words: Contaminant, polypropylene, ultra-flow liquid chromatographic

SUMMARY

- Long-term storage of biological samples prepared using methanol in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes produce contaminant peak
- Contamination peak with higher area under the curve (609993) was obtained in ultra-flow liquid chromatographic run for methanol stored in PP microcentrifuge tubes
- Contamination peak was detected at retention time 9.113 min with a lambda max of 220.38 nm and 300 mAU intensity on the given chromatographic conditions
- Glass vials serve better option over PP microcentrifuge tubes for storing biological samples.



Abbreviations used: UFLC: Ultra Flow Liquid Chromatography; LC: Liquid Chromatography; MS: Mass spectrometry; AUC: Area Under Curve.

Correspondence:

Dr. Sandeep R. Pai,
Plant Biotechnology and Tissue Culture Division,
Regional Medical Research Centre,
Indian Council of Medical Research,
Belagavi - 590 010, Karnataka, India.
E-mail: drpaisr@gmail.com
DOI: 10.4103/0973-1296.185719

Access this article online

Website: www.phcog.com

Quick Response Code:



INTRODUCTION

Liquid chromatography (LC) has been the most widely preferred and used separation technique among chromatographic methods and it has evolved tremendously since inception.^[1] Utility of LC in different fields of applied and biological sciences has greatly been appreciated. Its wide acceptability is due to ability to analyze a wide range of molecules (biological and synthetic), with high sensitivity and precision. Plant-based constituents are commonly being detected and quantified using LC-based methods.^[2-7] An important step during this procedure is extraction, where the compound of interest is targeted using a particular extraction method.^[8,9] Prepared extracts are then stored until further analysis. At present, research has been concentrated on optimization of extraction methods, particularly on solvents used for extraction, time for extraction, and other parameters are being studied.^[8,9] Our recent studies on reversed phase ultra-flow LC (UFLC) analysis of newly recorded

species *Achyranthes coynei* from Karnataka State, India,^[5] implicated us toward such unnatural peaks emerging during the analysis.

An extensive literature survey showed only a handful of articles dealing on contamination issues. Wherein, bis (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidyl) sebacate, commonly known as tinuvin 770, has been reported as a

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

Cite this article as: Kshirsagar PR, Hegde H, Pai SR. Storing of extracts in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes yields contaminant peak during ultra-flow liquid chromatographic analysis. *Phcog Mag* 2016;12:S303-6.




Figure 1: High-performance liquid chromatography profile of methanol stored in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes at: (a) 1 day (b) 2 days (c) 4 days (d) 8 days (e) 10 days (f) 20 days (g) 40 days (h) 80 days and (i) histogram with area under curve and retention time of contaminant peak at different time intervals: (j) reversed phase-high performance liquid chromatography profile of methanol in glass vial stored for 10 days

major contaminant in a LC-mass spectrometry (MS)/MS proteomics experiment.^[10] In the early 80's, this compound had been identified as ultraviolet stabilizer.^[11] It is commonly used in the production of plastics, such as polypropylene and polystyrene.^[12] Previous studies indicate that tinuvin 770 can leach from polypropylene tubes and interfere with common laboratory procedures, as well as have toxic effects on laboratory animals.^[10,12-15] Thus, a study was designed to understand the effect of storage in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes and glass vials during high-performance liquid chromatography analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample extraction

One ml of methanol was placed in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes (PP material, Autoclavable) and glass vials (borosilicate) separately for 1, 2, 4, 8, 10, 20, 40, and 80 days intervals stored at -4°C . The UFLC analyses were performed immediately after completion of 80 days of the sample.

Ultra-flow liquid chromatographic analysis

The UFLC analysis was performed on Shimadzu chromatographic system (Model No. LC-20AD) consisting of a quaternary pump, manual injector, degasser (DGU-20A5), and a dual absorbance diode array detector (SPD-M20A). Chromatographic peak was achieved on a Waters 250–4.6 mm, 4 μ , Nova-Pak C18 column. A mobile phase consisting of methanol:water (70:30) was used for separation. A 20 μ l injection volume, 1 ml/min flow rate, and 15 min analysis time were set for the analysis. The built-in LC-solution software system was used for data processing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An un-identified peak was observed in all injections of methanol stored in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes during the UFLC run. This un-identified peak was termed as contaminant. The contaminant peak was prominent, sharp detectable at 9.176 ± 0.138 min. Alternatively, there were other peaks observed during the run, however none of them were characteristically compatible and comparable to the one recognized at this retention time (RT). Further, it is obvious that the obtained sharp peak would easily have a compatibility issue between peaks of interest during any phyto-chemical/biochemical analysis.^[16]

Figure 1a-h depicted the UFLC profile of eight separate injections made each for the representative day selected, whereas Figure 1i showed the correlation between area under curve (AUC) and different time intervals (days). As time period of storage increased, there was a marked increase in the area of the contaminant peak, with no difference observed in RT of the peak. Figure 1j showed the UFLC profile of methanol stored in glass vial for 10 days, wherein there was a small peak observed at 9.200 min. This peak of methanol stored in glass vial for 10 days (AUC: 51331) had ~91.60% lesser area than that of the 1st day methanol stored in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes (AUC: 609993).

Figure 2 showed representative data for 80th day sample. The three-dimensional contour view of the chromatogram showed position of the peak with respect to RT (9.113 min) and wavelength (220.38 nm) alongside its intensity (300 mAU) [Figure 2a]. Spectral data with λ max (220.38 nm) for the peak were also recorded [Figure 2b and c]. It was apparent from the purity index profile that there were no impurities detected (purity index 1.000) with a single point threshold of 0.999.




Figure 2: Representation of 80th day sample: (a) Three-dimensional contour view of contaminant peak; (b) Spectral data (λ max 220.38 nm); (c) Peak purity index

CONCLUSION

Consequently, it is evident that long-time storage of biological samples, especially prepared in methanol will produce a contaminant peak during UFLC analysis. Thus, it is advisable to run a solvent used for extraction, stored for equal number of days as that of extracts as day-blank to identify the contaminant on a set chromatographic condition. Even, preferably, it is recommended to avoid storing of such samples in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes, which otherwise may mislead in terms of reporting an unnatural compound by researchers.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to Officer-in-Charge, RMRC, Belgaum, and Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, for providing necessary facility. The authors are also thankful to Mr. Venkatesh Millanhatti, for his help during the study. SRP is also thankful to SERB, DST, New Delhi, for providing financial assistance during the work.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Neue UD. HPLC columns, theory, technology, and practice. New York: Wiley-VCH Publishers; 1997.
2. Deshmukh MH, Pai SR, Nimbalkar MS, Patil RP. Biochemical characterization of banana varieties from Southern India. *Int J Fruit Sci* 2009;9:305-22.
3. Pawar NV, Nimbalkar MS, Pai SR, Kolar FK, Dixit GB. RP-HPLC analysis of 6-gingerol and assessment of antioxidant activities in EMS treated ginger. *J Phytol* 2010;2:13-23.
4. Pai SR, Upadhy V, Hegde HV, Joshi RK, Kholkute SD. New report of triterpenoids betulinic acid (BA) along with oleanolic acid (OA) from *Achyranthes aspera* by RP-HPLC analysis and confirmation using HPTLC and FTIR techniques. *J Planar Chromatogr Mod TLC* 2014;27:38-41.
5. Upadhy V, Ankad GM, Pai SR, Hegde HV, Kholkute SD. Accumulation and trends in distribution of three triterpenoids in various parts of *Achyranthes coynei* determined using RP-UFLC analysis. *Pharmacogn Mag* 2014;10:398-401.
6. Kshirsagar PR, Pai SR, Nimbalkar MS, Gaikwad NB. RP-HPLC analysis of seco-iridoid glycoside swertiamarin from different *Swertia* species. *Nat Prod Res* 2016;30:865-8.
7. Ankad G, Upadhy V, Pai SR, Nimbalkar MS, Hegde HV, Joshi RK, *et al.* Evaluating *Nothapodytes nimmoniana* population from three localities of Western Ghats using camptothecin as phytochemical marker and selection of elites using a new-content range chart method. *Pharmacogn Mag* 2015;11:90-5.
8. Upadhy V, Pai SR, Sharma AK, Hegde HV, Kholkute SD, Joshi RK. Compound specific extraction of camptothecin from *Nothapodytes nimmoniana* and piperine from *Piper nigrum* using accelerated solvent extractor (ASE). *J Anal Methods Chem* 2014. Available from: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamc/2014/932036/>. [Last accessed on 2016 May 11].
9. Upadhy V, Pai SR, Hegde HV. Effect of method and time of extraction on total phenolic content in comparison with antioxidant activities in different parts of *Achyranthes aspera*. *J King Saud Univ Sci* 2015;27:204-8.
10. Schauer KL, Broccardo CJ, Webb KM, Covey PA, Prenni JE. Mass spectrometry contamination from Tinuvin 770, a common additive in laboratory plastics. *J Biomol Tech* 2013;24:57-61.
11. Wiles DM, Jensen JP, Carlsson DJ. Polymer stabilization by hindered amines. *Pure Appl Chem* 1983;55:1651-9.
12. Papke RL, Craig AG, Heinemann SF. Inhibition of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors by bis (2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-piperidiny) sebacate (Tinuvin 770), an additive to medical plastics. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1994;268:718-26.
13. Glossmann H, Hering S, Savchenko A, Berger W, Friedrich K, Garcia ML, *et al.* A light stabilizer (Tinuvin 770) that elutes from polypropylene plastic tubes is a potent L-type Ca²⁺-channel blocker. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993;90:9523-7.
14. Sótónyi P, Keller E, Járny J, Nemes B, Benkő T, Kovács A, *et al.* A light stabilizer Tinuvin 770-induced toxic injury of adult rat cardiac myocytes. *Forensic Sci Int* 2001;119:322-7.
15. Sótónyi P, Merkely B, Hubay M, Járny J, Zima E, Soós P, *et al.* Comparative study on cardiotoxic effect of Tinuvin 770: A light stabilizer of medical plastics in rat model. *Toxicol Sci* 2004;77:368-74.
16. Yen HC, Hsu YT. Impurities from polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes as a potential source of interference in simultaneous analysis of multiple lipid-soluble antioxidants by HPLC with electrochemical detection. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2004;42:390-5.



Sandeep R. Pai

ABOUT AUTHOR

Dr. Sandeep R. Pai is working as Scientist (DST-YS) at RMRC, Belagavi, and has research interests in plant-based compounds, extraction, and analytical methods. He is presently working on elicitation of secondary metabolites using biotechnological tools and prospecting of medicinally important compounds from plants. He has over 11 years of experience in research on medicinal plants.