

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Hydroxypropyl Methylcellulose as Hydrogel Matrix and Citric Acid-Locust Bean Gum as Negative Matrix for Controlled Release Tablet

Wuryanto Hadinugroho,* Suwaldi Martodihardjo, Achmad Fudholi, Sugeng Riyanto, and Jefri Prasetyo



(CA-LBG) as negative matrix for controlled release tablet formulation. In addition, the study was to determine the effect of CA-LBG and HPMC. CA-LBG accelerates the disintegration of tablets into granules so that the HPMC granule matrix swells immediately and controls drug release. The advantage of this method is that the tablets do not produce large HPMC gel lumps without drug (ghost matrix) but form HPMC gel granules, which can be rapidly degraded after all of the drug is released. *Methods*: The experiment followed the simplex lattice design to obtain the

optimum tablet formula with CA-LBG and HPMC concentrations as optimization factors. Tablet production by the wet granulation method and ketoprofen is the model of the active ingredient. The kinetics of ketoprofen release was studied using several models. *Results*: Based on the coefficients of each polynomial equation that HPMC and CA-LBG increased the value of angle of repose (29.91:27.87), tap index (18.99:18.77), hardness (13.60:13.32), friability (0.41:0.73), and release of ketoprofen (52.48:99.44). Interaction of HPMC and CA-LBG increased the value of angle of repose (3.25), tap index (5.64), and hardness (2.42). Interaction of HPMC and CA-LBG too decreased the friability value (-1.10) and release of ketoprofen (-26.36). The Higuchi, Korsmeyer–Peppas, and Hixson–Crowell model is the kinetics of eight experimental tablet formulas. *Conclusions*: The optimum concentrations of HPMC and CA-LBG for controlled release tablets are 32.97 and 17.03%, respectively. HPMC, CA-LBG, and a combination of both affect the physical quality of tablet and tablet mass. CA-LBG is a new excipient candidate that can control drug release from tablets by the matrix disintegration mechanism on the tablet.

INTRODUCTION

Controlled release tablets are drug delivery systems to prolong the therapeutic effect. The drug is released slowly and continuously over some time. Ion exchange resins, osmotic pumps, and reservoirs are examples of controlled release systems.^{1,2} Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) is one of the polymers often used to control drug release because the HPMC matrix can trap drug particles and release them slowly. HPMC matrices alone or with other polymers are often used to control drug release.^{3–5}

Citric acid-locust bean gum (CA-LBG) is a new ester polymer derived from locust bean gum. CA-LBG is synthesized using a hydrochloric acid (HCl) catalyst and an ultraviolet light (UV 254 nm) energy source. O atoms of the carbonyl group of CA are to be protonated to form positive C atoms because of acidic conditions created by HCl. The ester bond forms at the OH (C-6) mannose and galactose groups in LBG with a positive C atom from the carbonyl group in CA to form a tetrahedral cation. OH was protonated to ⁺OH₂, and continued loss of H₂O led to the formation of CA-LBG (ester).^{6–11} Previous experiments reported that CA-LBG has an ester carbonyl group, which LBG does not. The viscosity and solubility of CA-LBG are lower than those of LBG.⁷ The CA-LBG character has the potential to control drug release.

tablet

controlled release

This study aimed at determining the optimum concentration of HPMC and CA-LBG on the tablet for controlled release tablet formulation. In addition, the study was conducted to determine the effect of CA-LBG and HPMC. The activity of CA-LBG as a negative matrix with the HPMC matrix to control drug release was studied by drug release kinetics. The novelty of this experiment is that the formulation using CA-LBG is a new polymer ester with low solubility. CA-LBG as a negative matrix causes the tablets to disintegrate into granules. The HPMC matrix gel derived from granules controls drug release. A negative matrix (CA-LBG) is a substance that causes the tablet's positive matrix (HPMC) to disintegrate into

Received:November 19, 2022Accepted:February 7, 2023Published:February 16, 2023

tablet mass





© 2023 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society

granules. The mechanism of action on tablets is that the wetted tablet surface causes disintegration into granules due to low solubility of CA-LBG and refuse between CA-LBG particles. Granules containing HPMC swell to control drug release. CA-LBG controls drug release because CA-LBG is poorly soluble and has low viscosity, so CA-LBG inhibits the wetting and dissolution of drug particles. The advantage of this method is that the tablets do not produce large hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) gel lumps without drug (ghost matrix) but form HPMC gel granules which can be rapidly degraded after all the drug is released. Ketoprofen (100 mg) (see Supporting Information Chapter S1) is a drug model added to the granules and compressed into tablets (400 mg). HPMC was chosen as the matrix because HPMC is a polymer that can swell when hydrated with water with viscosity to control drug release.^{1,5} Lactose monohydrate is a suitable filler for tablets because it has good compatibility and high density (1.545 g/cm^3) .⁵ These characters can be suitable for wet granulation methods, so tablets are hard and of the ideal size. Ketoprofen is used in the drug model because ketoprofen has a dose of 25-200 mg and an elimination half-life of 2-4 h.^{12,13} Making tablets using the wet granulation method can improve the flow properties by increasing the particle size and the compatibility of the tablet mass. CA-LBG particles are shaped like coral-corrugated, HPMC particles like a rhizome, and irregularly shaped lactose monohydrate particles.^{5,7} The experiment followed the simplex lattice design to obtain the optimum tablet formula. This method is quite simple for experiments by mixing internal factors (ingredients) in a formula without the influence of internal factors (process or technology). In addition, this method is quite effective for synthesized materials such as CA-LBG in limited quantities. The optimization factor is the concentration of CA-LBG and HPMC. The optimization response is the angle of repose, tap index, hardness, friability, and ketoprofen release.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw Materials and Chemicals. The materials used in this experiment include locust bean gum (Viscogum, Cargill, France), citric acid monohydrate (Brand KgaA, Darmstadt, Germany), hydrochloric acid (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, GmbH), distilled water (sterilized water for injection, PT. Otsuka Indonesia), acetone (Cawan Anugerah Chemika, Indonesia), hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (Methocel K4M CR Premium USP/EP, Colorcon, Singapore), lactose monohydrate (Leprino Foods, UDM), ketoprofen (PT Kalbe Farma Tbk, Indonesia), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KGaA Darmstadt Germany Brand), and sodium hydroxide (KGaA Darmstadt Germany Brand).

Preparation of the CA-LBG Matrix. The preparation of CA-LBG adopted the preparation method in the previous study. LBG (3.55×10^{-6} mol) was swelled in 50 mL of warm distilled water (55-60 °C), and CA (21.00×10^{-3} mol) and HCl (57.40×10^{-3} mol) were added and homogenized for 10 min. The gel was irradiated with 254 nm UV for 100 min (8-Watt, CH-4132 Muttenz, Camag, Switzerland), then precipitated (acetone) and washed off (distilled water-acetone). The CA-LBG residue was dried at room temperature.^{7,14}

The success of CA-LBG production was confirmed through characterization by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, solubility, and viscosity. Production is carried out for three batches to determine reproducibility through standard deviation.

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. The structure and specific groups of CA-LBG were identified by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (UATR Perkin Elmer Spectrum Version 10.4.3.). The observations show that the spectrum wavelength is $4000-450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. A certain amount of powder is placed on a diamond plate and pressed with a stick on the instrument. Spectra are visible on the monitor and recorded.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. The NMR spectroscopic examination confirmed the structure and specific group of CA-LBG. An amount of CA-LBG powder (5-10 mg) was dispersed in H₂O (deuterium) and stirred for 45 min using a vortex. The filtrate was transferred to a glass tube and analyzed by NMR spectroscopy (JEOL RESONANCE ECZ 500R Japan).

Esterified CA. The esterified CA determine the number of reacting CA. The determination of esterified CA adopted the previous experiment.^{7,14} Samples were derived from CA-LBG precipitating solvent and washing solution (acetone and distilled water-acetone). Measurements using potentiometry with the NaOH (0.2 N) titrant standardized by oxalic acid. The titrant volume endpoint determines the dissolved acid's total concentration [mEq]. The dissolved CA concentration [mEq] was obtained from the difference between the total acid concentration and HCl concentration. The dissolved CA [gram] weight was obtained from the conversion of dissolved CA [mEq]. The reacted CA was obtained from the difference between the initial CA weight and dissolved CA. The degree of esterification [%] is the ratio of CA reacted with initial CA.

Solubility Study. The CA-LBG powder (500 mg) was dispersed in distilled water (50 mL) and stirred for 24 h (W_d). The swelled powder and filtrate were carefully separated. The filtrate was dried in a 70 °C water bath and reweighed (W_{ds}) (Mettler Toledo AL204, Switzerland). The dissolved CA-LBG was determined according to eq 1

$$S\left[\%\right] = \frac{W_{\rm ds}}{W_{\rm d}} \cdot 100\% \tag{1}$$

where S is the solubility, W_{ds} is the soluble weight, and W_{d} is the initial dry weight.¹⁵

Viscosity. The viscosity of CA-LBG was determined using a viscometer (Brookfield LVDV-I Prime, Middleboro, MA). The CA-LBG powder (3% w/v) was swelled in warm distilled water $(300 \text{ mL}, 50-60 \degree \text{C})$ and allowed to cool to ambient temperature. Spindle No. S61 mounted on Brookfield was dipped on swollen mass and rotated (100 rpm). Viscosity is shown on the monitor and recorded.

Manufacture of Tablets. In this experiment, the method of making tablets by wet granulation adopted the previous study with the necessary adjustments.¹⁴ Preparing granules by wet granulation contains HPMC and lactose monohydrate (50%) according to Table 2 (cubic mixer, rotary motor (Erweka)). A homogeneous mixture was moistened with CA-LBG dispersed in distilled water (\pm 5 mL) while being compressed to form a wet granule mass and sieved (mesh No. 18) to form granules. The wet granules were dried in an oven (50 °C; 15 min; RH 2–5%) (moisture analyzer OHAUS) and re-sieved (mesh No. 20). The granules were mixed with ketoprofen (100 mg) (3:1) and evaluated for the mass quality of the tablets. The tablet mass was compressed to



Figure 1. Infrared spectra of CA-LBG and LBG. The CA-LBG spectra have a carbonyl ester group (C=O) at a wavelength of 1736.02 cm⁻¹, presented by a blue line. LBG as the control, presented with a red line.

form a 400 mg tablet and hardness \geq 13 kp (single punch, Korch, Germany), and assessed for the physical quality of the tablet and dissolution.

Optimization. Optimization of the granule formula according to the simplex lattice design of two factors used eight runs of randomized formulas, model quadratic, and optimization software (Design Expert ver. 10.0.8.0; Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN). Comparison of the proportion of HPMC and CA-LBG for each formula based on optimization software (Table 2), including 0:1 (2 formulas), 0.25:0.75 (1 formula), 0.50:0.5 (2 formulas), 0.75:0.25 (1 formula), and 1:0 (2 formulas). The concentration of HPMC in a proportion of 0 (30%) and 1 (40%), while the concentration of CA-LBG in proportion 0 (10%) and proportion 1 (20%). The HPMC concentration and the CA-LBG concentration were optimization factors. The angle of repose, tap index, hardness, friability, and released ketoprofen were optimization responses. The values of the optimization response parameters were processed using optimization software to obtain polynomial equations and predict the optimum concentrations of HPMC and CA-LBG in granules.

Flowability. The mass of the tablet was weighed to be about 50 g and placed on the funnel of a flowability tester (Erweka, Germany). The funnel valve opens, and the tablet mass flows freely. The flowability tester monitor observed the measured flow time of the tablet mass. The cone from the tablet mass was measured using infrared to determine the angle of repose and watched on the flowability tester monitor.

Tap Index. The tablet mass was placed in a measuring cup (50 mL). The measuring cup was tilted and filled with tablet mass. The filled measuring cup was placed on the volumenometer tap density and tapped 500 taps. Tap index (TI) was determined from the difference between the volume before and after tapping compared to the volume before tapping (eq 2).^{16–19}

$$TI[\%] = \frac{V_0 - V_1}{V_0} \times 100\%$$
(2)

Weight. From randomly selected tablets (20), and each tablet was weighed using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland).

Hardness. Tablet hardness test used randomly selected tablets (6 tablets).²⁰ The tablets were placed on a board in a hardness tester (Schleuniger, Netherlands), then a metal block was pressed on the tablet until the tablet cracks. The tablet hardness was observed on the monitor hardness tester.

Friability. The tablet friability test used randomly selected tablets with a total weight of comparable tablets of 6500 mg.²⁰ Each tablet was cleaned from dust, then all tablets were weighed (W_0) . All tablets were placed in a drum friability tester (Erweka, Germany) and rotated (4 min; 25 rpm). All tablets were removed, cleaned from dust, and reweighed (W_1) . The friability (F) of tablets is determined according to eq 3.

$$Fr(\%) = \frac{W_0 - W_1}{W_0} 100\%$$
(3)

Drug Release. The release of ketoprofen was tested using a dissolution apparatus USP II paddle model.^{12,13} The dissolution media used phosphate buffer pH 6.8 (900 mL; 37 °C; 50 rpm) (Electrolab TDT-08L, India). Samples were taken at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 10 h. Ketoprofen released from the tablet determined the absorption value read using a UV spectrophotometer (260 nm) (Hitachi U-1900, Japan).^{13,21}

Kinetics of Ketoprofen Release. The release kinetics of ketoprofen from tablets was influenced by HPMC and CA-LBG in the granules. The kinetics of drug release is determined by the following equations²²⁻²⁵

zero order: $Q_t = Q_0 + K_0 \cdot t$ (4)

first order: $\ln Q_t = \ln Q_0 + K_0 \cdot t$ (5)

 Q_t is the amount of drug dissolved at time (t), Q_o is the amount of the initial drug, and K_o is the drug release constant.

$$\text{Higuchi: } Q_t = K_{\text{H}} \cdot \sqrt{t} \tag{6}$$

 Q_t is the amount of drug dissolved at time (t), $K_{\rm H}$ is the Higuchi constant, and t is the time.

Korsmeyer-Peppas:
$$Q_t/Q_{\infty} = K_k \cdot t^n$$
 (7)

 Q_t/Q_∞ is the fraction of drug released, K_k is the Korsmeyer– Peppas constant, and *n* is the diffusion exponential.



Figure 2. NMR observation spectra of CA-LBG. CA characteristics present in CA-LBG resented peaks 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Hixson-Crowell:
$$Q_{o}^{1/3} - Q_{t}^{1/3} = K_{s} \cdot t$$
 (8)

 Q_{o} is the amount of initial drug, Q_{t} is the amount of drug remaining at the time (*t*), and K_{s} is the dissolution rate constant.

Weibull:
$$\log[\ln -(1 - m)] = b \log(t - T_i) - \log a$$
 (9)

(1 - m) is the fraction of insoluble drug, T_i is the lag time before dissolution, and *b* is the shape parameter obtained from the slope of the obtained curve. The value of b = 1 means that the curve is exponential. The importance of b > 1 is the shape of the sigmoid curve.

The release kinetics of ketoprofen from tablets of each granule formula was analyzed using DDSolver software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. Infrared spectra of CA-LBG and LBG are presented in Figure 1. Peaks at wavelengths of 3318.20 and 3285.80 cm⁻¹ indicate the hydroxyl (OH) groups of mannose and galactose. Peaks at wavelengths of 2923.66 cm⁻¹ and 2936.00 indicate C–H bonds, where CA-LBG is sharper than LBG due to the influence of symmetrical C–H bonds from CA.^{25,26} The specific peak of CA-LBG at 1736.02 cm⁻¹ indicates an ester carbonyl group. Previous studies reported that the peak wavelength of the OH group appears at 3300 cm⁻¹, C–H appears at around 2900 cm⁻¹, and C=O appears at about 1750–1735 cm⁻¹. The reaction mechanism for making CA-

LBG is a chemical esterification reaction. The reaction begins with the citric acid carbonyl group undergoing protonation and reacting with the hydroxyl group (OH) on the C-6 mannose and galactose atoms to form a tetrahedral cation. Oxygen in the OH undergoes protonation ($^+OH_2$) to form loose OH so that the loss of H_2O and an ester (CA-LBG) occurs.⁷ The results of the infrared analysis were further confirmed using NMR spectroscopy.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. The NMR examination further confirms the FTIR examination and is carried out representatively for the three manufacturing batches. The CA-LBG NMR spectra are presented in Figure 2. The ¹H NMR spectra, paired twin peaks at $\delta = 2.926$ ppm and $\delta = 2.894$ ppm, $\delta = 2.746$ ppm, and $\delta = 2.714$ ppm correspond to the presence of CH₂ (5) of CA in LBG. The sharp peak at $\delta =$ 3.996–3.309 ppm corresponds to the H atoms of mannose and galactose in LBG. Previous experiments reported that the paired twin peaks of CH₂ were seen at $\delta = 2.7-3.0$ ppm. Sharp peaks of H atoms from mannose and galactose appear at $\delta =$ 4.5–3.0 ppm.^{6,7}

The ¹³C NMR spectra of CA-LBG at the peak δ = 176.905 ppm and δ = 173.507 ppm indicated the carbonyl group (C=O) (1,2), which was a specific group of CA-LBG. The central C atom of CA is shown at δ = 73.354 ppm (3). CH₂ of CA is shown at δ = 43.349 ppm (4). The C atoms that make-up mannose and galactose from LBG are shown at δ = 100.182 ppm, δ = 96.477 ppm, δ = 76.570 ppm, δ = 75.053 ppm, δ = 71.453 ppm, δ = 69.966 ppm, δ = 69.323 ppm, δ = 61.001

ppm, and $\delta = 60.540$ ppm. Previous experiments show the C= O group at $\delta = 180-170$ ppm, central C atom at $\delta = 80-70$ ppm, and CH₂ appearing at $\delta = 44-43$ ppm.^{6,7,27} The C atoms make up mannose, and galactose appears at $\delta = 105-60$ ppm.^{7,28-30} Finally, the peaks in the spectra indicate the success of synthesis.

Esterified CA. The esterified CA in each batch are shown in Table 1. All batches had similar esterified CA, indicating

Table 1. Evaluation Esterified CA, Solubility, and Viscosity of CA-LBG

	esterifie	ed CA	solub	oility	visco	osity
batch code	[%]	SD	[%]	SD	[cP]	SD
1	29.33	0.20	29.65	0.27	9.48	0.01
2	29.30	0.21	29.81	0.18	9.46	0.02
3	29.55	0.10	29.51	0.42	9.43	0.02

reproducible manufacturing conditions. The experimental esterified CA of 29.30–29.55% equivalent with degree of esterification of 10.32-10.49% similar to the previous experimental report of around 9.13%.^{7,14} Esterified CA is the ratio of reacting CA to the initial CA. The degree of esterification is the ratio of CA reacting to the total LBG with CA reacting. The acidic condition created by HCl induces the O atom in the carbonyl group of CA to be protonated to a positive C atom. The OH group on C6 of mannose and galactose will react with a positive C atom.

Solubility. The solubility of CA-LBG in each batch is presented in Table 1. All batches showed similar solubility and indicated reproducible manufacturing. The solubility is 29.51–29.81%, according to the solubility in the previous experiment (22.64–36.63%).¹⁴ The ester bond of CA molecules influences the solubility of CA-LBG in LBG. The positive C atom of the carboxylate group (CA) binds to the O atom at C-6, inhibiting the interaction of CA-LBG with distilled water CA-LBG and reducing solubility.

Viscosity. Table 1 shows the respective viscosity of CA-LBG has similar values and indicates reproducible manufacturing. The viscosity shows a value of 9.43-9.48 cPs following the viscosity in the previous experiment (7.76-11.20 cPs).¹⁴ Viscosity is influenced by the carbonyl ester group formed

from the positive C atom of the carboxylic group (CA) with the O atom at C-6 in mannose and galactose so that the ability of CA-LBG to trap distilled water decreases.

Flowability. The results of testing the flow time and angle of repose of the tablet mass for each formula are presented in Table 2. Each formula produces a flow time of about 4.60–5.00 s and an angle of repose of $27.89-30.04^{\circ}$, indicating the tablet mass flows well for ≤ 10 s for 100 g and $\leq 40^{\circ}$.¹⁷ The tablet mass can occupy the die space inside the tablet machine. The tablet mass can be continued to be compressed to form a tablet (400 mg). The response of the angle of repose according to the simplex lattice design is obtained by eq 10.

$$Y = 29.91A + 27.87B + 3.25AB \tag{10}$$

The coefficient value of each component in the equation shows that HPMC (+29.91) is the most dominant factor in increasing the angle of repose, followed by CA-LBG (+27.87), and a combination of both (+3.25). CA-LBG is an ester polymer that is difficult to hydrate with distilled water, so CA-LBG inhibits the formation of bonds between the granule constituent particles and produces fine granules. A large number of refined grains inhibits the tablet mass flow. HPMC is a polymer that can absorb moisture from the surrounding environment.⁵ The HPMC in the granules increases moisture and impedes flow, forming high mounds. Combining CA-LBG with HPMC, which can absorb moisture, increases the tablet mass flow time. Flow time is one parameter that determines the diversity of weights in the tablet manufacturing process.

Based on the ANOVA analysis (see Supporting Information Table S1), the response angle of repose has a Pred R-Squared (0.9596), similar to Adj R-Squared (0.9742) with less than 0.2. Meanwhile, the Adeq Precision (23.8130) greater than 4, indicates that this model is acceptable.

Tap Index. The tablet mass tap index for each formula is presented in Table 2. Each formula has a tap index of about 18.50–20.00%, indicating that the tablet mass has good homogeneity at $\leq 20\%$,¹⁷ so the space between the granules is filled with particles or fines. In addition, this condition shows that the tablet mass has good compressibility and creates low-porosity tablets. The tap index for each formula is processed according to the simplex lattice design to obtain eq 11.

 $Y = 18.99A + 18.77B + 5.64AB \tag{11}$

Table 2. Details of HPMC and CA-LBG Concentration, Quality of the Tablet Mass, Quality of the Tablet, and Ketoprofen Released^a

formula	HPMC	CA-LBG	flow time	angle of repose	tap index	weight	hardness	friability	ketoprofen released [10 h]
code	[%]	[%]	[s]	[°]	[%]	[mg]	[kp]	[%]	[%]
G1	40.00	10.00	4.80 ± 0.06	29.92 ± 0.10	19.00	401.34 ± 1.69	13.61 ± 0.70	0.39	53.75 ± 0.89
G2	32.50	17.50	4.60 ± 0.10	28.98 ± 0.08	20.00	400.87 ± 1.25	13.84 ± 1.05	0.45	83.34 ± 0.70
G3	35.00	15.00	5.00 ± 0.06	29.47 ± 0.18	20.00	402.08 ± 1.50	14.08 ± 0.84	0.28	69.33 ± 0.93
G4	40.00	10.00	4.60 ± 0.10	29.86 ± 0.53	18.50	400.07 ± 1.16	13.60 ± 0.61	0.43	51.71 ± 0.71
G5	30.00	20.00	4.80 ± 0.06	27.86 ± 0.18	19.00	399.69 ± 1.45	13.33 ± 0.46	0.74	99.21 ± 1.04
G6	37.50	12.50	4.80 ± 0.15	30.04 ± 0.06	20.00	400.12 ± 1.65	14.01 ± 0.83	0.34	58.16 ± 0.89
G7	35.00	15.00	5.00 ± 0.15	29.93 ± 0.94	20.00	400.36 ± 0.89	14.06 ± 0.87	0.28	69.82 ± 0.33
G8	30.00	20.00	5.00 ± 0.10	27.89 ± 0.54	19.00	399.67 ± 1.21	13.32 ± 0.84	0.73	99.32 ± 0.46
Ga	32.97	17.03	4.80 ± 0.06	29.17 ± 0.12	20.00	401.27 ± 1.15	13.97 ± 0.64	0.40	80.08 ± 0.60
Gb	32.97	17.03	4.60 ± 0.15	29.08 ± 0.23	20.00	399.00 ± 1.20	14.01 ± 0.58	0.41	80.44 ± 1.17
Gc	32.97	17.03	5.00 ± 0.10	29.22 ± 0.99	19.50	400.67 ± 0.79	13.86 ± 0.85	0.39	80.45 ± 0.55
Go	32.97	17.03		29.16	20.01		13.91	0.41	80.00

^aThe proportion of HPMC and CA-LBG are G1 (1:0); G2 (0.25:0.75) G3 (0.5:0.5); G4 (1:0); G5 (0:1); G6 (0.75:0.25); G7 (0.5:0.5); G8 (0:1); Ga (0.30:0.70); Gb (0.30:0.70); Gc (0.30:0.70); and Go (0.30:0.70).



Figure 3. Ketoprofen dissolution profile of various tablet formulas contains HPMC [%] and CA-LBG [%]: G1 (40:10); G2 (32.5:17.5); G3 (35:15); G4 (40:10); G5 (30:20); G6 (37.5:12.5); G7 (35:15); G8 (30:20); and Ga (32.97:17.03).

The value of the HPMC coefficient (+18.99) is the dominant factor in increasing the tap index, followed by CA-LBG (+18.77) and a combination of both (+5.64). HPMC can reduce the sensitivity of the granules because the HPMC particles absorb moisture so that the granules change shape when granules receive mechanical stress. The difficulty of hydrating CA-LBG particles in the granulation process causes the bond between the granules be not good, so the granules release fines when they receive mechanical stress. The combination of the two factors can increase the tap index because the HPMC reduces the sensitivity due to moisture absorption. In addition, it is supported by less strong bonds between particles in the granules due to the difficulty of hydrating during the granulation process.

Based on the ANOVA analysis (see Supporting Information Table S1), the response tap index has a Pred R-Squared (0.7862), similar to Adj R-Squared (0.8928) of less than 0.2. Meanwhile, the Adeq Precision (10.7420) greater than 4, indicates that this model is acceptable.

Weight. The tablet weight of all formulas is shown in Table 2. Tablet mass was compressed into tablets with a weight of about 400 mg. The tablet mass of all formulas is free to flow and fill the die chamber, so tablet weight is according to design. The compression success is suitable for the value of flow time, angle of repose, and tap index.

Hardness. The tablet hardness of each formula is presented in Table 2. Tablets of each formula have a hardness of around 13.32–14.08 kp, indicating that the tablet has strong resistance and good physical stability. The hardness of tablets comes from strong interlocking between the granules/particles making up the tablet when receiving compression so that the porosity of the tablet is low. The hardness of each formula is processed according to the simplex lattice design to obtain eq 12.

$$Y = 13.60A + 13.32B + 2.42AB \tag{12}$$

The coefficient value of HPMC (+13.60) is the most dominant factor in increasing hardness, followed by CA-LBG (+13.32) and a combination of both (+2.42). HPMC can absorb moisture and is used as an adhesive between the deformation of granules/particles to produce a solid interlocking bond. The tablets have good stability to humidity even though the granules contain HPMC because the moisture absorption activity is inhibited by decreasing the absorption surface area in the tablet form than the granules. Although CA-LBG is difficult to hydrate, the deformation of the particles can form solid interlocking bonds. In addition, the presence of CA-LBG on the tablet surface inhibits moisture absorption. The combination of both can increase the hardness because the characteristics of HPMC and CA-LBG complement each other. The tablet has a solid interlocking bond between the deformation of the granules/particles, and the tablet can retain moisture. In addition, the tap index shows that the tablet mass has low porosity and good compressibility so when compressed tablet mass produces a compact tablet.



Figure 4. Comparison of actual (dotted line) and predicted (solid line) optimization response profiles. The red dot indicates the response value for each formula based on the respective proportions of HPMC and CA-LBG. The overlay shows the meeting point of all responses according to the predicted optimal proportions of HPMC and CA-LBG.

Based on the ANOVA analysis (see Supporting Information Table S1), the response hardness has a Pred R-Squared (0.9976), similar to Adj R-Squared (0.9985) with less than 0.2. Meanwhile, Adeq Precision (100.1700) greater than 4, indicates that this model is acceptable.

Friability. The tablet friability of all formulas is presented in Table 2. Each formula has a friability of $0.28-0.74\% (\leq 1\%)$,¹⁷ indicating that the tablet surface is strong enough to withstand mechanical movements because of solid interlocking bonds between the deformation of the particles on the tablet surface. Friability of all formulas is according to the simplex lattice design to obtain eq 13.

$$Y = 0.41A + 0.73B - 1.10AB \tag{13}$$

The coefficient value of CA-LBG (+0.73) is the most dominant factor in increasing friability, followed by HPMC (+0.41) and a combination of both decreasing friability (-1.10). HPMC can absorb moisture and is used as an adhesive between the deformation of granules/particles to produce a solid interlocking bond. The tablets have good stability to humidity even though the granules contain HPMC because the moisture absorption activity is inhibited by decreasing the absorption surface area in the tablet form than in the granule form. Although CA-LBG is difficult to hydrate, the deformation of the particles can form solid interlocking bonds. In addition, the presence of CA-LBG on the tablet surface inhibits moisture absorption. The combination of the two can reduce the friability of the tablet because the HPMC and CA-LBG particles fill the space between the lactose monohydrate particles. The strong interlocking bonds of the granule mass components form compact and lowporosity granules. When compressed, they formed a tablet resistant to mechanical movement. The friability quality of this tablet is in line with the hardness quality of the tablet.

Based on the ANOVA analysis (see Supporting Information Table S1), the response friability has a Pred R-Squared (0.9593) similar to Adj R-Squared (0.9747) with less than 0.2. Meanwhile, the Adeq Precision (24.6860) greater than 4, indicates that this model is acceptable.

Ketoprofen Release. The concentration and profile of ketoprofen release for each tablet formula after 10 h are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3 and Supporting Information Tables S2 and S3. All tablets of ketoprofen release around 51.71–99.32%, showing that HPMC and CA-LBG can control ketoprofen release from tablets. HPMC is a polymer that swells when hydrated by the dissolution medium. Ketoprofen release is inhibited because HPMC swells trap ketoprofen particles. The CA-LBG is a polymer that is difficult to hydrate and has low solubility. The CA-LBG character causes the tablet to disintegrate and become granule. Release of ketoprofencontrolled granule swelling form a gel. Based on the experimental design, ketoprofen released for 10 h is ≥80% (see Supporting Information Chapter 1). The processed concentration value of each tablet formula is according to the simplex lattice design to obtain eq 14.

$$Y = 52.48A + 99.44B - 26.36AB \tag{14}$$

The CA-LBG coefficient value (+99.44) was the most dominant factor in increasing ketoprofen release, followed by HPMC (+52.48). The combination of both (-26.36) was the most dominant factor in reducing the release of ketoprofen. The deformation of CA-LBG particles on the tablet refuses each other when submerged in the dissolution medium, causing tablet disintegration. The granule porosity surface is used as a space for the penetration of the dissolution medium into the granule, dissolved ketoprofen particles, and diffuses out of the granule. The high concentration of CA-LBG accelerates the disintegration of the tablet and forms the HPMC gel. Combining HPMC with CA-LBG can reduce the

iomula code G1 R G2 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A C C C C C C	arameter &qr_adj							/	11	TINCOTT				
G1 G2 G1 G2 G1	tsqr_adj	average	SD	average	SD	average	SD	average	SD	average	SD	average	SD	kinetics model
ц у у В У У У С С С		0.8762	0.02	0.9441	0.01	0.9585	0.00	0.9776	0.01	0.9279	0.01	0.9333	0.00	Korsmeyer–Peppas
G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	ASE_root	5.2625	0.35	3.5357	0.26	3.0468	0.20	2.2216	0.34	4.0144	0.29	3.8687	0.11	
G2 G2	ЛС	70.5951	1.56	61.0431	1.72	57.4597	1.61	50.6125	3.52	64.0907	1.71	64.8308	0.66	
4 ¥ 19 0	\sqr_adj	0.8049	0.01	0.9687	0.00	0.9861	0.00	0.9948	0.00	0.9426	0.01	0.9536	0.00	Korsmeyer–Peppas
C3 E	ASE_root	10.6069	0.28	4.2456	0.22	2.8232	0.37	1.7379	0.16	5.7451	0.32	5.1742	0.23	
D 23	ЛС	87.4453	0.63	65.4533	1.28	55.5400	3.24	44.8284	2.23	72.7095	1.35	71.7991	1.08	
6	lsqr_adj	0.9395	0.00	0.9296	0.01	0.8689	0.01	0.9113	0.01	0.9401	0.01	0.8651	0.01	Hixson-Crowell
V	ASE_root	4.5672	0.13	4.9223	0.35	6.7233	0.38	5.5235	0.47	4.5427	0.27	6.8225	0.28	
Α	NC	67.2211	0.72	68.9849	1.70	76.4828	1.36	72.5897	2.03	67.0722	1.38	78.4392	0.96	
G4 R	tsqr_adj	0.8597	0.02	0.9358	0.01	0.9657	0.01	0.9777	0.01	0.9171	0.01	0.9414	0.01	Korsmeyer–Peppas
V	ASE_root	S.4444	0.33	3.6827	0.21	2.6858	0.34	2.1496	0.36	4.1855	0.25	3.5175	0.31	
Α	ЛС	71.4154	1.46	62.0355	1.40	54.3575	3.04	49.7755	3.97	65.1033	1.48	62.4881	2.17	
GS R	\sqr_adj	0.8594	0.01	0.6528	0.10	0.8570	0.01	0.9057	0.00	0.9231	0.00	0.9025	0.00	Hixson-Crowell
V	ASE_root	13.6548	0.48	21.3289	3.31	13.7776	0.45	11.1888	0.34	10.1039	0.28	11.3789	0.30	
Α	NC	93.5029	0.85	104.0112	3.90	93.7193	0.79	89.5817	0.73	86.2787	0.67	90.7234	0.63	
G6 R	\sqr_adj	0.6618	0.02	0.8583	0.01	0.9945	0.00	0.9935	0.00	0.8093	0.01	0.9762	0.00	Higuchi
V	ASE_root	9.9176	0.17	6.4175	0.16	1.2626	0.07	1.3745	0.10	7.4459	0.16	2.6266	0.17	
A	ЛС	85.8359	0.41	75.3863	0.60	36.3490	1.26	39.2239	1.71	78.9547	0.53	55.5081	1.61	
G7 R	tsqr_adj	0.9360	0.01	0.9304	0.00	0.8758	0.01	0.9093	0.01	0.9398	0.00	0.8675	0.00	Hixson-Crowell
V	ASE_root	4.7248	0.28	4.9359	0.11	6.5870	0.32	5.6328	0.20	4.5899	0.09	6.8079	0.13	
Α	ЛС	68.0154	1.40	69.0873	0.55	75.9974	1.20	73.1077	0.84	67.3448	0.45	78.3976	0.46	
G8 R	lsqr_adj	0.8582	0.01	0.7246	0.05	0.8753	0.01	0.9180	0.00	0.9286	0.01	0.9112	0.00	Hixson-Crowell
V	ASE_root	13.3691	0.17	18.5895	1.66	12.5351	0.46	10.1662	0.37	9.4845	0.43	10.5795	0.28	
A	ЛС	93.0042	0.30	100.8549	2.10	91.4435	0.88	87.2783	0.87	84.7498	1.10	88.9752	0.63	
Ga R	lsqr_adj	0.9241	0.01	0.8628	0.01	0.7995	0.02	0.8441	0.02	0.8883	0.01	0.7823	0.03	zero order
V	ASE_root	5.7889	0.30	7.7879	0.24	9.4113	0.50	8.2963	0.58	7.0194	0.51	9.8002	0.73	
A	ЛС	72.8966	1.22	80.0290	0.73	84.5579	1.28	82.3715	1.68	77.5002	1.76	87.0994	1.81	
Gb R	lsqr_adj	0.9273	0.00	0.8670	0.01	0.8107	0.01	0.8481	0.02	0.8956	0.01	0.7874	0.03	zero order
V	ASE_root	5.6548	0.09	7.6500	0.20	9.1233	0.40	8.1484	0.47	6.7739	0.32	9.6602	0.66	
Α	ЛС	72.3524	0.40	79.6025	0.62	83.8190	1.06	81.9522	1.39	76.6707	1.14	86.7613	1.66	
Gc R	lsqr_adj	0.9273	0.01	0.8648	0.01	0.8044	0.01	0.8408	0.02	0.8920	0.01	0.7809	0.03	zero order
V	ASE_root	5.6764	0.38	7.7581	0.22	9.3364	0.37	8.4134	0.49	6.9321	0.30	9.8658	0.69	
A	ЛС	72.4101	1.62	79.9382	0.68	84.3764	0.94	82.7199	1.40	77.2270	1.07	87.2649	1.70	



Figure 5. Drug release kinetics model (HPMC [%]:CA-LBG [%]): G1 (40:10) (Korsmeyer–Peppas); G2 (32.5:17.50) (Korsmeyer–Peppas); G3 (35:15) (Hixson–Crowell); G4 (40:10) (Korsmeyer–Peppas); G5 (30:20) (Hixson–Crowell); G6 (37.50:12.50) (Higuchi); G7 (35:15) (Hixson–Crowell); G8 (30:20) (Hixson–Crowell); Ga (32.97:17.03) (Zero order); Gb (32.97:17.03) (Zero order); and Gc (32.97:17.03) (Zero order).

release of ketoprofen because the moisture of the deformation of the HPMC particles can bind hardly to the interlocking deformation of CA-LBG and other particles so that the tablet disintegrates in a long time. In addition, the direct interaction of CA-LBG with particles inhibits the swelling of HPMC and hydration of ketoprofen by the dissolution medium. HPMC and CA-LBG particles can mix physically. Random distribution of HPMC and CA-LBG particles in granules. When these particles expand, the network of the two types of polymers can physically interact with each other (penetrate each other). Under this condition, ketoprofen particles can be between these tissues so that these tissues control the release of ketoprofen. Ketoprofen release via diffusion or erosion mechanisms. The release of ketoprofen was studied through the kinetics of drug release.

Based on the ANOVA analysis (see Supporting Information Table S1), the response to the release of ketoprofen has a Pred R-Squared (0.9956), similar to Adj R-Squared (0.9978) with a

difference of less than 0.2. Meanwhile, the Adeq Precision (85.0460) greater than 4, indicates that this model is acceptable.

Optimum Tablet Formula. Determination of the optimum formula begins with the initial 8 experimental formula designs (G1-G8). The optimization factors and response parameter values were analyzed using design expert software using a simplex lattice design. The experimental comparison profiles and the predictions of each optimization response (Figure 4) show that the actual profiles are similar to the predictions. This profile follows the results of ANOVA analysis for each optimization response (flowability, tap index, hardness, friability, and release of ketoprofen). The optimization response overlay predicts the optimum proportion point to achieve the optimum response prediction. Design expert provides several alternative options for the optimum formula. The selected formula was determined from the response parameter specifications (angle of repose of 27.86-30.04; tap index of 18.5-20.5; hardness of 13.32-14.08 kp; friability of 0.28-0.74%; drug release > 80%). Verification of the prediction of the optimum formula proportion (Go) to obtain the optimum formula was carried out in three batches (Ga, Gb, Gc) (Table 2 and Figure 3).

One sample *T*-test results compare the experimental response formula verification with the predictive response. The value *T* of each parameter is *T* angle of repose (0.008), *T* tap index (1.096), *T* hardness (0.728), *T* friability (1.559), and *T* release of ketoprofen (2.657). The response parameter values between the prediction (Go) and the verification experiment (Ga–Gc) were not significantly different. These results indicate that the polynomial equations of each response parameter are valid for predicting the effect of HPMC, CA-LBG, and their combination. In addition, the selected optimum formula shows reproducibility in producing tablets and controlling ketoprofen's release. The variation of release shown by G1–G8 proves that tablets with irrelevant variations in physical quality produce varied drug releases.

Kinetics of Ketoprofen Release. The kinetics of ketoprofen release from the tablet is a non-linear approach using DDSolver (Table 3 and Figure 5 and Supporting Information Figures S1-S11). The kinetic parameters of ketoprofen release include high Rsqr_adj, low mean square error-root (MSE_root), and low Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The Rsqr_adj is the correlation value between dissolution time and released ketoprofen. MSE_root indicates the error value in correlation analysis. AIC is the value suitability to the equation to determine the release kinetics.³¹⁻³⁵ The results of DDSolver processing are presented in Table 3 and Figure 5.

The release kinetics of ketoprofen from tablets G1, G2, and G4 followed the Korsmeyer–Peppas kinetics. The exponential value (n) for tablets G1 (0.62), G2 (0.60), and G4 (0.58) indicates a non-Fickian diffusion mechanism (anomalous diffusion).³⁶ The ketoprofen released by diffusion is proportional to erosion. The surface of the granules forms a thin gel and cannot withstand the dissolution medium, so ketoprofen dissolves quickly. The ketoprofen release is not only through diffusion but also due to erosion of the surface of the gel formed. CA-LBG is not tightly integrated into the tablet and granule. This condition causes the dissolution rate to be faster with the increase in the dissolution medium that enters the tablet and granule.

The release kinetics of ketoprofen from tablets G3, G5, G7, and G8 followed Hixson-Crowell kinetics. The ketoprofen release was caused by hydrating the tablet surface, so the tablet disintegrated and become granule. This condition causes ketoprofen to be dissolved constantly. Low-concentration HPMC can cause tablet disintegration quickly when particles swell to form a gel and push against other particles. In addition, CA-LBG on the granule accelerates the decomposition of the HPMC gel because the repulsion forces between CA-LBG particles make it difficult to dissolve.

Tablet G6 followed the release kinetics of Higuchi's model. The high-viscosity gel of HPMC controlled the diffusion of ketoprofen from the granules. CA-LBG on the granule surface inhibited granule hydration and ketoprofen diffusion.

The release kinetics of ketoprofen from Ga, Gb, and Gc tablets followed zero order. HPMC on the tablet surface swells to form a gel when in contact with the dissolution medium. The trapped ketoprofen particles dissolve and are saturated, then diffuse from the gel. Simultaneously the rate of CA-LBG disintegrating tablets into granules is proportional to gel formation. The dissolution of ketoprofen comes from the ketoprofen particles in contact with the gel surface. The balanced concentrations of HPMC and CA-LBG formed a gel with a constant thickness. These conditions can control the diffusion and maintain the availability of saturated ketoprofen dissolved in the gel.

CONCLUSIONS

HPMC and CA-LBG increased the value of angle of repose, tap index, hardness, friability, and release of ketoprofen. The combination of HPMC with CA-LBG increased the angle of repose, tap index, and hardness. In addition, the combination decreased friability and release of ketoprofen. The optimum concentrations of HPMC and CA-LBG for controlled release tablets are 32.97 and 17.03%, resulting in an angle of repose of 29.16°, tap index of 20.01%, hardness of 13.91 kp, friability of 0.41%, and the drug release (10 h) of 80%. The drug release kinetics from optimum tablets followed zero order. The constant thickness of the gel can control the diffusion and maintain the saturated ketoprofen in the gel. CA-LBG as a negative matrix disintegrates tablets into granules. HPMC as a gel matrix controlled ketoprofen release by diffusion and erosion. The tablets did not produce a ghost matrix because the gel matrix came from granules which degraded quickly after all the ketoprofen was released.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c07432.

Tablet dosage calculation; statistical analysis of ketoprofen tablets; release of ketoprofen from tablets (G1–G8); release of ketoprofen from optimum tablets (Ga–Gc); and kinetics profile of ketoprofen release from tablets (G1–G8) (Ga–Gb) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Wuryanto Hadinugroho – Faculty of Pharmacy, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Surabaya 60112, Indonesia; orcid.org/0000-0001-9245-2802; Email: wuryanto.hadinugroho@ymail.com

ACS Omega

Authors

- Suwaldi Martodihardjo Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
- Achmad Fudholi Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
- Sugeng Riyanto Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
- Jefri Prasetyo Faculty of Pharmacy, Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University, Surabaya 60112, Indonesia

Complete contact information is available at:

https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c07432

Author Contributions

W.H.: designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript. S.M., A.F., S.R., and J.P.: analyzed and interpreted the data.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank PT. Makmur Food (Indonesia) has assisted with locust bean gum (viscogum); LPPT Gadjah Mada University (Indonesia) for NMR testing; the Faculty of Pharmacy, Gadjah Mada University (Indonesia) for pharmaceutical technology facilities; the Faculty of Pharmacy Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University (Indonesia) for testing FTIR, viscometer, and pharmaceutical technology equipment; and Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University (Indonesia) for funding the publication (7417/WM01/N/2022).

REFERENCES

(1) Li, C. L.; Martini, L. G.; Ford, J. L.; Roberts, M. The use of hypromellose in oral drug delivery. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **2010**, *57*, 533–546.

(2) Oliveira, P. R.; Mendes, C.; Klein, L.; da Silva Sangoi, M.; Bernardi, L. S.; Silva, M. A. S. Formulation development and stability studies of norfloxacin extended-release matrix tablets. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2013**, 2013, 1–9.

(3) Contreras, L.; Melgoza, L. M.; Aguilar-de-Leyva, A.; Caraballo, I. Collaboration between HPMC and NaCMC in order to reach the polymer critical point in theophylline hydrophilic matrices. *Sci. World J.* **2012**, *2012*, 1–8.

(4) Enayatifard, R.; Saeedi, M.; Akbari, J.; Tabatabaee, Y. H. Effect of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose and ethyl cellulose content on release profile and kinetics of diltiazem HCl from matrices. *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* **2009**, *8*, 425–432.

(5) Sheskey, P. J.; Cook, W. G.; Cable, C. G.Handbook of *Pharmaceutical Excipients*, 8th ed.; Pharmaceutical Press and American Pharmacists Association: London-Washington DC, 2017; pp 282–284.

(6) Hadinugroho, W.; Martodihardjo, S.; Fudholi, A.; Riyanto, S. Study of a catalyst of citric acid crosslinking on locust bean gum. *J. Chem. Technol. Metall.* **2017**, *52*, 1086–1091.

(7) Hadinugroho, W.; Martodihardjo, S.; Fudholi, A.; Riyanto, S. Esterification of citric acid with locust bean gum. *Heliyon* **2019**, *5*, No. e02337.

(8) Vigueras-Santiago, E.; Hernández-López, S.; Rodríguez-Romero, A. Photochemical cross-linking study of polymers containing diacetylene groups in their main chain and azobenzene compounds as pendant groups. *Superficies Vacio* **2006**, *19*, 1–7.

(9) Tamaki, Y.; Teruya, T.; Tako, M. The chemical structure of galactomannan isolated from seeds of Delonix regia. *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.* 2010, 74, 1110–1112.

(10) Tjandraatmadja, G. F.; Burn, L. S.; Jollands, M. J. In *The Effects* of Ultraviolet Radiation on Polycarbonate Glazing, Proceeding 8th

International Conference on Durability of Building Construction Materials Vancouver: Canada, 1999; pp 884–898.

(11) Yeh, C. C.; Chen, C. N.; Li, Y. T.; Chang, C. W.; Cheng, M. Y.; Chang, H. I. The effect of polymer molecular weight and UV radiation on physical properties and bioactivities of PCL films. *Cell. Polym.* **2011**, *30*, 261–276.

(12) Lam, M.; Nokhodchi, A. Producing High-Dose Liqui-Tablet (Ketoprofen 100 mg) for Enhanced Drug Release Using Novel Liqui-Mass Technology. *J. Pharm. Innovation* **2022**, *17*, 778–790.

(13) Kaleemullah, M.; Jiyauddin, K.; Thiban, E.; Rasha, S.; Al-Dhalli, S.; Budiasih, S.; Gamal, O. E.; Fadli, A.; Eddy, Y. Development and evaluation of Ketoprofen sustained release matrix tablet using Hibiscus rosa-sinensis leaves mucilage. *Saudi Pharm. J.* **2017**, *25*, 770–779.

(14) Hadinugroho, W.; Martodihardjo, S.; Fudholi, A.; Riyanto, S. Preparation of Citric Acid-Locust Bean Gum (CA-LBG) for the Disintegrating Agent of Tablet Dosage Forms. *J. Pharm. Innovation* **2022**, *17*, 1160–1175.

(15) Gulrez, S. K. H.; Al-Assaf, S.; Phillips, G. O. Hydrogels: Methods of Preparation, Characterisation and Applications. In Progress in Molecular and Environmental Bioengineering - From Analysis and Modeling to Technology Applications; IntechOpen, 2011.

(16) Davies, P. Oral Solid Dosage Forms. In *Pharmaceutical Preformulation and Formulation.*, 2ndnd ed.; Gibson, M., Ed.; Informa Healthcare USA, Inc New York, 2009; Vol. *199*, pp 368–369.

(17) Aulton, E.; Taylor, K. M. G. Aulton's Pharmaceutics The Design and Manufacture of Medicines; Churchill Livingstone Elsevier: New York, 2017; pp 187–199.

(18) Merkku, P.; Lindqvist, A. S.; Leiviska, K.; Yliruusi, J. Influence of granulation and compression process variables on flow rate of granules and on tablet properties, with special reference to weight variation. *Int. J. Pharm.* **1994**, *102*, 117–125.

(19) Szumilo, M.; Belniak, P.; Swiader, K.; Holody, E.; Poleszak, E. Assessment of physical properties of granules with paracetamol and caffeine. *Saudi Pharm. J.* **2017**, *25*, 900–905.

(20) The United States Pharmacopeial Convention *Pharmacopeia* 41-National Formulary 36; Twinbrook Parkway: Rockville, 2018; pp 7634–7635.

(21) Wahab, A.; Khan, G. M.; Akhlaq, M.; Khan, N. R.; Hussain, A.; Khan, M. F.; Khan, A. Formulation and evaluation of controlled release matrices of ketoprofen and influence of different co-excipients on the release mechanism. *Pharmazie - Int. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2011**, *66*, 677–683.

(22) Craciun, A. M.; Barhalescu, M. L.; Agop, M.; Ochiuz, L. Theoretical Modeling of Long-Time Drug Release from Nitrosalicyl-Imine-Chitosan Hydrogels through Multifractal Logistic Type Laws. *Comput. Math. Methods Med.* **2019**, 2019, 1–10.

(23) Haidar, Z. S. Mathematical modeling for pharmacokinetic predictions from controlled drug release nano systems: A comparative parametric study. *Biomed. Pharmacol. J.* **2018**, *11*, 1801–1806.

(24) Simionato, L. D.; Petrone, L.; Baldut, M.; Bonafede, S. L.; Segall, A. I. Comparison between the dissolution profiles of nine meloxicam tablet brands commercially available in Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Saudi Pharm. J.* **2018**, *26*, 578–84.

(25) Jahromi, L. P.; Ghazali, M.; Ashrafi, H.; Azadi, A. A comparison of models for the analysis of the kinetics of drug release from PLGA-based nanoparticles. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, No. e03451.

(26) Nandiyanto, A. B. D.; Oktiani, R.; Ragadhita, R. How to read and interpret ftir spectroscope of organic material. *Indones. J. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *4*, 97–118.

(27) Coates, J. Interpretation of Infrared Spectra A Practical Approach. In *Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry*; Meyers, R. A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester, 2000; pp 10815–10837.

(28) Azero, E. G.; Andrade, C. T. Characterisation of Prosopis juliflora seed gum and the effect of its addition to κ -carrageenan systems. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2006, 17, 844–850.

(29) Parvathy, K. S.; Susheelamma, N. S.; Tharanathan, R. N.; Gaonkar, A. K. A simple non-aqueous method for carboxymethylation of galactomannans. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2005**, *62*, 137–141.

(30) Gillet, S.; Aguedo, M.; Blecker, C.; Jacquet, N.; Richel, A. In Use of 13C-NMR in Structural Elucidation of Polysaccharides: Case of Locust Bean Gum, Young Belgium Magnetic Resonance Scientist; Liège, 2014.

(31) Gu, Y.; Wei, H. L.; Balikhin, M. M. Nonlinear predictive model selection and model averaging using information criteria. *Syst. Sci. Control Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 319–28.

(32) Mircioiu, C.; Voicu, V.; Anuta, V.; Tudose, A.; Celia, C.; Paolino, D.; Fresta, M.; Sandulovici, R.; Mircioiu, I. Mathematical modeling of release kinetics from supramolecular drug delivery systems. *Pharmaceutics* **2019**, *11*, No. 140.

(33) Siswanto, A.; Fudholi, A.; Nugroho, A. K.; Martono, S. in Vitro Release Modeling of Aspirin Floating Tablets Using DDSolver. *Indones. J. Pharm.* **2015**, *26*, 94–102.

(34) Zuo, J.; Gao, Y.; Bou-Chacra, N.; Löbenberg, R. Evaluation of the DDSolver software applications. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2014**, 2014, 1–9.

(35) Zhang, Y.; Huo, M.; Zhou, J.; Zou, A.; Li, W.; Yao, C.; Xie, S. DDSolver: An add-in program for modeling and comparison of drug dissolution profiles. *AAPS J.* **2010**, *12*, 263–271.

(36) Dash, S.; Murthy, P. N.; Nath, L.; Chowdhury, P. Kinetic modeling on drug release from controlled drug delivery systems. *Acta Pol. Pharm. - Drug Res.* **2010**, *67*, 217–223.