Guidelines

Clinical Chemistry



Ann Lab Med 2017;37:180-193 https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2017.37.2.180

ISSN 2234-3806 eISSN 2234-3814

ANNALS OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Clinical Pharmacogenetic Testing and Application: Laboratory Medicine Clinical Practice Guidelines

Sollip Kim, M.D.^{1,*}, Yeo-Min Yun, M.D.^{2,*}, Hyo-Jin Chae, M.D.³, Hyun-Jung Cho, M.D.⁴, Misuk Ji, M.D.⁵, In-Suk Kim, M.D.⁶, Kyung-A Wee, M.D.⁷, Woochang Lee, M.D.⁸, Sang Hoon Song, M.D.⁹, Hye In Woo, M.D.¹⁰, Soo-Youn Lee, M.D.¹¹, and Sail Chun. M.D.⁸

Department of Laboratory Medicine¹, Ilsan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Goyang; Department of Laboratory Medicine², Konkuk University Medical Center, Konkuk University School of Medicine, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine³, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁴, Konyang University Hospital, College of Medicine, Konyang University, Daejeon; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁵, Veterans Health Service Medical Center, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁶, School of Medicine, Pusan National University, Busan; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁷, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁸, University of Ulsan College of Medicine and Asan Medical Center, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine⁹, Seoul National University Hospital and College of Medicine, Seoul; Department of Laboratory Medicine, Changwon; Department of Laboratory Medicine and Genetics¹¹, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Pharmacogenetic testing for clinical applications is steadily increasing. Correct and adequate use of pharmacogenetic tests is important to reduce unnecessary medical costs and adverse patient outcomes. This document contains recommended pharmacogenetic testing guidelines for clinical application, interpretation, and result reporting through a literature review and evidence-based expert opinions for the clinical pharmacogenetic testing covered by public medical insurance in Korea. This document aims to improve the utility of pharmacogenetic testing in routine clinical settings.

Received: June 7, 2016 Revision received: August 7, 2016 Accepted: December 11, 2016

Corresponding author: Soo-Youn Lee Department of Laboratory Medicine and Genetics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea
Tel: +82-2-3410-1834

Fax: +82-2-3410-2719 E-mail: suddenbz@skku.edu

Co-corresponding author: Sail Chun Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Ulsan College of Medicine and Asan Medical Center, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, Korea Tel: +82-2-3010-4513

Fax: +82-2-478-0884 E-mail: sailchun@amc.seoul.kr

© Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Key Words: Clinical laboratory, Korea, Pharmacogenetics, Testing, Practice guideline

SCOPE AND PURPOSE

Pharmacogenetics is a rapidly developing field, and the number of pharmacogenetics tests that can be utilized in clinical practice is also increasing. However, the clinical utility of such tests may differ depending on each patient's specific situation and the interpretation of the pharmacogenetic test results. The purpose of these guidelines is to introduce pharmacogenetic tests

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.



that can be used in clinical practice and to examine the test application criteria, result interpretation, and reporting methods based on the current literature to enhance the clinical utility of pharmacogenetic tests. We aim to publish the summarized English version here to present its essentials for more readers to understand, although the original version of this article has been published on Laboratory Medicine Online [1, 2]. The pharmacogenetic tests discussed in this guideline are limited to clinical tests covered by the Korea health insurance medical care expenses for patient treatment. Pharmacogenetic tests for the purpose of drug development or research have been excluded.

METHOD OF RECOMMENDATION DEVELOPMENT

These guidelines were developed according to the methodology of the Adaptation Process for Developing Korean Clinical Practice Guidelines Ver. 2.0 [3]. The adapted recommendation was agreed on by the committee and was based on the draft guidelines prepared by integrating selected existing guidelines and literature. The adopted recommendation was peer-reviewed by the development/writing/review committee. It was developed by the Pharmacogenetic Test Clinical Practice Guideline Development Committee at the Korean Society of Laboratory Medicine (KSLM), the Korean Society of Clinical Chemistry, the Korean Association of Quality Assurance for Clinical Laboratories, and the Korean Institute of Genetic Testing Evaluation. This recommendation was developed with the support of KSLM, but the Clinical Practice Guideline Committee worked independently during development/writing/review processes, and KSLM did not influence the development of the recommendation.

To develop the current guideline, the international guidelines related to pharmacogenetic tests for drugs and genes were searched. Among the guidelines published in the past five years, only the guidelines in English were selected, and those written by an individual who did not represent an organization were excluded. In situations where there was no guideline, systematic reviews were the primary targets of the search. Specific searching methods according to the genes are summarized on the clinical pharmacogenetics testing and application: laboratory medicine clinical practice guideline [4]. The comprehensive literature search examined databases such as MEDLINE, PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, EMBASE, and KoreaMed, where the keywords for the search were the corresponding gene and target drug. Articles with human subjects published in English or Korean were searched, along with additional documentation,

such as textbooks, recent publications, theses, and consultations from experts in the corresponding area. After removing duplicate documents, abstracts were reviewed to select documentation, and the actual text was reviewed to select the final target documentation.

These guidelines should be amended in three to five years following the development of new pharmacogenetic testing technologies, changes in the medical environment, and the accumulation of evidence related to pharmacogenetic tests.

GUIDELINES PER GENE

This document contains recommendations for each gene and the rationale. The detailed information refers to LMO 2016 [1, 2].

The recommendations for CYP2C9 & VKORC1, CYP2C19. CYP2D6, and TPMT genotype tests have been prepared based on the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline under the National Institutes of Health's Pharmacogenomics Research Network (NIH PGRN) (CYP2C9 & VKORC1 [5], CYP2C19 [6, 7], CYP2D6 [8-10], TPMT [11, 12]), the Dutch Pharmacogenetics Working Group (DPWG) guideline [13], and the Laboratory Analysis and Application of Pharmacogenetics to Clinical Practice of the National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry (NACB) [14]. Recommendations for UGT1A1 genotype tests were prepared on the basis of NACB's Laboratory Analysis and Application of Pharmacogenetics to Clinical Practice [14], CPIC [15], and the DPWG guideline [13]. Recommendations for NAT2 genotype tests were prepared on the basis of systematic review [16] because there was no representative guideline for NAT2.

The recommendations based on EGFR genotype tests were prepared on the basis of the Molecular Testing Guideline of the College of American Pathologists/International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/Association for Molecular Pathology (CAP/IASLC/AMP) [17]. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guideline [18] was also referenced. The recommendations for HER2 (ERBB2) genotype tests were prepared on the basis of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)/ CAP Clinical Practice guideline [19-21], the NCCN guideline [22], the Spanish Society of Pathology (SEAP), the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM) guideline [23], and the United Kingdom (UK) Recommendation [24]. The recommendations for KRAS genotype tests were prepared on the basis of the NCCN guideline [18], the ASCO Provisional Clinical Opinion [25], the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Clinical Practice guideline [26], the 2010 European Science Foundation-Univer-



sity of Barcelona (ESF-UB) Conference on Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics [27], the Italy Recommendation [28], and the SEAP and SEOM guidelines [29].

1. CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genes and warfarin

1) Recommendation

For warfarin treatment, it is recommended that *CYP2C9* and *VKORC1* genotype tests be performed for proper individualized drug dosing.

2) Rationale

Warfarin is the most commonly prescribed oral anticoagulation agent. It has excellent efficacy, but it also has a narrow therapeutic index, and the success of treatment varies among individuals, making it difficult to determine the dose [30-32]. There is also a high risk of complications from warfarin treatment; the patient can become over-anticoagulated or under-anticoagulated during this period, leading to a much greater risk of throm-boembolism or hemorrhage.

CYP2C9 is a drug-metabolizing enzyme belonging to the cytochrome P450 (CYP) superfamily. This enzyme is expressed in the liver and is the major metabolic enzyme of S-warfarin. Homozygous wild-type CYP2C9*1 shows normal enzyme activity. The most common variants with reduced enzyme activity in the Western population are CYP2C9*2 (rs1799853) and CYP2C9*3 (rs1057910) [33]; in the Asian population, including Koreans, there have been no reports of CYP2C9*2 (rs1799853) [33, 34]. CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 have been reported to reduce S-warfarin metabolism by about 30-40% and 80-90%, respectively, in in vitro and in vivo studies [33]. Patients with CYP2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3 alleles are at a greater risk of hemorrhage during warfarin treatment [30, 35, 36] than those with homozygous wildtype CYP2C9*1 alleles, and therefore should receive a lower dose. Patients with CYP2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3 alleles also require more time until the prothrombin time international normalized ratio (PT INR) is stabilized [35, 37].

The *VKORC1* gene encodes the vitamin K epoxide reductase, which is the target enzyme of warfarin [38, 39]. Vitamin K epoxide reductase is involved in reducing vitamin K epoxide to vitamin K, which is the rate-limiting step of the vitamin K circuit [40]. The typical non-coding variant c.-1639G>A (*VKORC1* G3673A, rs9923231) changes a transcription factor binding site in the *VKORC1* gene and thus reduces gene transcription [32, 41], which is closely related to the low warfarin dose requirement [32, 34, 41-44].

In Asian populations, including Koreans, the *CYP2C9*3* variant should be the first *CYP2C9* variant tested. For the *VKORC1* gene, *VKORC1* -1639G>A should be tested directly or the c.174-136C>T (*VKORC1* 1173C>T, rs9934438) variant should be tested because it exhibits complete linkage disequilibrium with the *VKORC1* -1639G>A variant. Allele frequencies of *CYP2C9* and *VKORC1* in the Korean population are 0% for *CYP2C9*2*, 4–5% for *CYP2C9*3*, and 87–94% for *VKORC1* 1173C>T.

The average maintenance dose based on CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotype as recommended by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved warfarin (Coumadin) labeling is summarized in Table 1. Within each dose range, the patients' age, body surface area, interacting drugs, and other major factors should be considered when determining drug dosage. Additionally, when administering warfarin, application of a genotype-based dosing algorithm that determines the warfarin maintenance dose based on CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes is recommended [45]. The International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium (IWPC) algorithm [44] and Gage [43] algorithm are commonly used. These algorithms include genotype information and clinical information so that the warfarin maintenance dose required in a stable state can be more accurately predicted [43, 44]. Such genotype-based dosing algorithms have a greater predictive power for the proper maintenance dose than the fixed dose method or the traditional clinical algorithm.

Most genotype-based dosing algorithms target PT INR 2-3, so it is difficult to apply these algorithms when the target INR

Table 1. Recommended warfarin doses (mg/day) to achieve a therapeutic INR based on *CYP2C9* and *VKORC1* genotype using the warfarin product insert approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration [5, 92]

<i>VKORC1-1639G>A</i>	CYP2C9*1/*1	CYP2C9*1/*2	CYP2C9*1/*3	CYP2C9*2/*2	CYP2C9*2/*3	CYP2C9*3/*3
GG	5–7	5–7	3–4	3–4	3–4	0.5–2
GA	5–7	3–4	3–4	3–4	0.5–2	0.5–2
AA	3–4	3–4	0.5–2	0.5–2	0.5–2	0.5–2

Adapted from Johnson JA, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;90:625-9 [5] and Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7 [92], with permission of the PharmGKB and Stanford University.

Abbreviation: INR, international normalized ratio.



lies outside this range [46]. Even if drug is administered according to the genotype-based dosing algorithm, PT INR must be monitored [5]. For children, there are almost no data regarding the related algorithms or the influence of *CYP2C9* and *VKORC1* genotype on the warfarin dose [47]. There is no warfarin dosing recommendation for children. The benefit of genotype testing is low for patients who have stably taken warfarin over a long period, for patients having difficulty achieving a stable dose due to poor compliance, or for patients who are on a diet including vitamin K. Genotype testing to determine the correct dose is most effective before treatment begins or at the initial stages of treatment [48].

2. CYP2C19 gene and clopidogrel

1) Recommendation

The use of *CYP2C19* genotype tests is recommended in cases of anti-platelet agent treatment in patients with acute coronary artery syndrome who have received or are planning to receive percutaneous coronary intervention.

2) Rationale

Clopidogrel is converted to its active form by various CYP enzymes to suppress platelet aggregation, leading to an antiplatelet effect. In particular, activation of the CYP2C19 enzyme determines the rate of conversion from clopidogrel into its active form. Thus, the incidence of stent thrombosis and major complications of acute coronary artery syndrome differs among patients [7]. When clopidogrel is used for treatment, lower CYP2C19 activation leads to fewer circulating active metabolites, thus reducing its suppressive effect on platelet aggregation. Therefore, the use of *CYP2C19* genotype testing is recommended to predict a patient's response to clopidogrel or to consider alternative drugs.

Homozygous wild-type *CYP2C19*1* has normal enzyme activity. Individuals with heterozygous and homozygous *CYP2C19*2* genotypes have 1.55 times and 1.76 times greater risk of suffering from major cardiovascular diseases, respectively, than patients with homozygous wild-type *CYP2C19*1* [49]. Additionally, stent thrombosis likelihood is increased by 2.67 times in individuals with the heterozygous variant and by 3.97 times in those with the homozygous variant [49]. In conditions, such as atrial fibrillation, cerebral infarction, or stable angina, an association between reduced activities from *CYP2C19* gene variants with the risk of cardiovascular side effects has not been established. It has been reported in the West that the frequency of the *CYP2C19*3* variant is low, but this variant does reduce the response to clopidogrel [50]. In studies on Chinese and Korean populations, the

*CYP2C19*3* variant was reported to reduce the reactivity to levels similar to those of the *CYP2C19*2* variant [51-56].

Variants in CYP2C19 are found across the whole gene, but since typical variants causing enzyme dysfunction are known, it is reasonable to test these alleles first. The CYP2C19*2 and CYP2-C19*3 alleles are the most frequent, but most alleles of the CYP-2C19 gene reduce the metabolic rate of clopidogrel. On the other hand, the CYP2C19*17 allele increases the metabolic rate of clopidogrel. The frequency of CYP2C19*3 in the Korean population is relatively high, at 7-10%, but this variant is rarely detected in the West. In contrast, the frequency of CYP2C19*17 in Koreans, which is relatively common in the West, is very low, at about 1%. The phenotypes resulting from the CYP2C19 genotypes are classified into four categories (Table 2): extensive metabolizer (EM), poor metabolizer (PM), intermediate metabolizer (IM), and ultra-rapid metabolizer (UM). The phenotype is categorized as EM for homozygous wild-type CYP2C19*1, IM for CYP2C19*1 and CYP2C19*2/*3 heterozygous variants, PM for two dysfunctional alleles (CYP2C19*2, CYP2C19*3, etc.), and UM for the homozygous CYP2C19*17 variant or heterozygous CYP2C19*1 and CYP2C19*17 variants.

For patients classified as PM or IM by *CYP2C19* genotype tests, the administration of recently developed antiplatelet agents such as prasugrel or ticagrelor can be considered instead of clopidogrel (Table 2). In IM cases, it has been reported that during clopidogrel treatment, the remaining platelet activity is increased and the risk of serious cardiovascular side effects is increased; however, because interindividual variation is significant, it is best to consider other clinical factors. There is not yet enough evidence on the effects of increased clopidogrel dose in patients expected to show reduced clopidogrel metabolism based on *CYP2C19* genotype analysis [7]. When the use of proton pump inhibitors is combined with clopidogrel, CYP2C19 enzyme activity is suppressed, and the effect of clopidogrel can be influenced by reducing the generation of the active form of clopidogrel [7, 56].

3. *CYP2D6* gene and tricyclic antidepressants, codeine, tamoxifen, and atomoxetine

1) Recommendation

When administering tricyclic antidepressants, codeine, tamoxifen, or atomoxetine, the use of *CYP2D6* genotype test is recommended.

2) Rationale

The functionality of CYP2D6 in drug metabolism can be determined on the basis of patient's CYP2D6 genotype. The side ef-



Table 2. Clopidogrel therapy based on CYP2C19 genotype and phenotype for ACS/PCI patients initiating antiplatelet therapy [7, 92]

Likely phenotype	Genotypes	Examples of diplotypes	Implications for clopidogrel	Therapeutic recommendations
Ultra-rapid metabolizer: normal or increased activity (~5–30% of patients)	An individual carrying two increased-activity alleles $(*17)$, or one functional allele $(*1)$ plus one increasedactivity allele $(*17)$	*1/*17, *17/*17	Increased platelet inhibition, decreased residual platelet aggregation	Clopidogrel label-recommended dosage and administration
Extensive metabolizer: homozygous wild-type or normal activity (\sim 35–50% of patients)	An individual carrying two functional (* 1) alleles	* 1/* 1	Normal platelet inhibition, normal residual platelet aggregation	Clopidogrel label-recommended dosage and administration
Intermediate metabolizer: heterozygote or intermediate activity (~18–45% of patients)	An individual carrying one functional allele (*1) plus one loss-of-function allele (*2–*8)	*1/*2,*1/*3	Reduced platelet inhibition, increased residual platelet aggregation, increased risk for adverse cardiovascular events	Prasugrel or other alternative therapy (if no contraindication)
Poor metabolizer: homozygous variant, mutant, low, or deficient activity (~2–15% of patients)	An individual carrying two loss-of-function alleles (*2–*8)	*2 *2,*2 *3,*3 *3	Significantly reduced platelet inhibition, increased residual platelet aggregation, increased risk for adverse cardiovascular events	

Adapted from Scott SA, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;94:317-23 [7] and Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7 [92], with permission of the PharmGKB and Stanford University.

Abbreviation: ACS/PCI, acute coronary syndrome/percutaneous coronary intervention.

fects and safety of tricyclic antidepressants, codeine, tamoxifen, and atomoxetine vary according to differences in the metabolism capacity of CYP2D6 based on the *CYP2D6* genotype of the individual patient [8-10, 14, 57]. The drug removal rate or conversion rate to active metabolites also differs depending on CYP-2D6 activity, thus, the risks of treatment failure or side effects may increase in different *CYP2D6* variants.

Tricyclic antidepressants are compounds that inhibit the reabsorption of serotonin and norepinephrine; they are metabolized into hydroxyl metabolites with low activity by CYP2D6 [10]. Tricyclic antidepressant metabolism is delayed in CYP2D6 PM so that the blood tricyclic antidepressant concentration increases, leading to the increased possibility of side effects, such as anticholinergic actions, central nervous system disorders, heart function disorders, and other conditions. In UM, decreased blood tricyclic antidepressant concentration leads to the possibility of treatment failure [10].

Codeine is a narcotic analgesic. About 80% of the administered codeine is converted into inactive metabolites, and about 5–15% is metabolized by CYP2D6 into the active metabolite morphine. Therefore, CYP2D6 metabolism is important for the analgesic effect of codeine [8, 9]. Codeine conversion rate to morphine is significantly decreased in CYP2D6 PM, so that the analgesic effect may be insufficient, whereas the rate of conversion to morphine is increased in CYP2D6 UM, possibly increasing drug side effects such as nausea, vertigo, drowsiness, seda-

tion, shortness of breath, constipation, pruritus, difficulty in breathing, circulatory disorders, apnea, shock, or heart attack [8, 9].

Tamoxifen is a selective estrogen receptor modulator used for treating and preventing hormone-dependent breast cancer. Tamoxifen is a prodrug, and CYP2D6 is involved in its metabolism into endoxifen, which is the rate-limiting step. Endoxifen is an active metabolite with 30–100 times higher antiestrogen activity than tamoxifen. It has been suggested that variations in CYP2D6 are involved in the individual differences in drug metabolism and response among patients, but there are inconsistent results across studies [14, 57, 58]. The blood endoxifen concentration decreases owing to the reduced conversion of tamoxifen to endoxifen in CYP2D6 PM, accordingly, the risk of breast cancer recurrence is increased. In UM patients, the concentration of the active metabolite increases, so the possibility of side effects is increased [13, 14]. There are large interindividual variations in endoxifen concentration, and this is thought to be partially due to CYP2D6 gene variation [14, 57, 58].

Atomoxetine is used to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children, teenagers, and young adults. Atomoxetine is metabolized by CYP2D6 into hydroxyatomoxetine with low activity. The effect of atomoxetine may be reduced in CYP2D6 UM patients. In PM, the half-life is significantly increased, so atomoxetine concentration is about five times higher than that of EM [14]. Therefore, the possibility of adverse drug reactions such as headache, insomnia, xerostomia, abdominal pain, nausea,



Table 3. Assignment of likely phenotypes based on diplotypes of *CYP2D6* and dosing recommendations for amitriptyline based on CYP2D6 phenotype [10, 92]

Likely phenotype	Activity score	Genotypes	Examples of diplotypes	Implications	Therapeutic recommendation
Ultra-rapid metabolizer (~1–2%)	>2.0	An individual carrying duplications of functional alleles	(* 1/* 1)xN, (* 1/* 2)xN, (* 2/* 2)xNc	Increased metabolism of tricyclics to less active compounds when compared with extensive metabolizers. Lower plasma concentrations will increase probability of pharmacotherapy failure.	Avoid tricyclic use due to potential lack of efficacy. Consider alternative drug not metabolized by CYP2D6. If a tricyclic is warranted, consider increasing the starting dose. Utilize therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments.
Extensive metabolizer (~77–92%)	1.0–2.0	An individual carrying 2 functional alleles or 1 functional and 1 nonfunctional allele or 1 functional and 1 reduced-function allele	*1/*1, *1/*2, *2/*2, 1/*9, *1/*41, *1/*5, *1/*4	Normal metabolism of tricyclics.	Initiate therapy with recommended starting dose.
Intermediate metabolizer (~2-11%)	0.5	An individual carrying 1 reduced- function and 1 nonfunctional allele or 2 reduced-function alleles	*4/*41, *5/*9, *4/*10, *41/*41	Reduced metabolism of tricyclics to less active compounds when compared with extensive metabolizers. Higher plasma concentrations increase the probability of side effects.	Consider a 25% reduction in recommended starting dose. Utilize therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments.
Poor metabolizer (~5–10%)	0	An individual carrying no functional alleles	*4/*4, *3/*4, *5/*5, *5/*6	Greatly reduced metabolism of tricyclics to less active compounds when compared with extensive metabolizers. Higher plasma concentrations increase the probability of side effects.	Avoid tricyclic use due to potential for side effects. Consider alternative drug not metabolized by CYP2D6. If a tricyclic is warranted, consider a 50% reduction in recommended starting dose. Utilize therapeutic drug monitoring to guide dose adjustments.

Adapted from Hicks JK, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;93:402-8 [10] and Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7 [92], with permission of the PharmGKB and Stanford University.

reduced appetite, or coughing increases. When taken with CYP-2D6 inhibitors, such as paroxetine or fluoxetine, the atomoxetine metabolism is suppressed, so that adverse effects similar to those associated with CYP2D6 PM may occur [14].

To determine the *CYP2D6* genotype, a test for large gene deletions/duplications, such as long PCR or multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), should be conducted alongside a test for single-nucleotide variants. When testing in Koreans, a method that can detect *CYP2D6*10*, gene deletions (*CYP-2D6*5*) and amplification (*xN*) should be included.

Based on the activity score calculated from the combinations of *CYP2D6* alleles, the CYP2D6 phenotype can be determined [59, 60]. The recommendations for tricyclic antidepressant treatment based on CYP2D6 phenotype are shown in Table 3.

The codeine treatment recommendations based on CYP2D6 phenotype are as follows: in UM, owing to the high possibility of

adverse drug effects, the use of codeine should be avoided, and the use of an alternative analgesic agent should be considered. In IM, treatment is initiated at the usual dose, and the use of an alternative analgesic agent should be considered if pain is not mitigated. In the case of PM, the drug effect could be reduced, so the use of an alternative analgesic agent should be considered [8].

For tamoxifen, established administration recommendations are not available, but the DPWG guideline recommends an aromatase inhibitor for post-menopausal breast cancer patients [13], on the basis of clinical studies [61, 62].

In case of atomoxetine in EM, the recommended atomoxetine dose is 1.2 mg/kg/day for a body weight of 70 kg or below and 80 mg/kg/day for a body weight of 70 kg or above. In PM, the recommendation is 0.5 mg/kg/day for a body weight of 70 kg or below and 40 mg/day for a body weight of 70 kg or above [14].



4. NAT2 gene and isoniazid

1) Recommendation

When administering the anti-tuberculosis drug isoniazid, the use of *NAT2* genotype tests is recommended.

2) Rationale

Isoniazid is one of the first-line treatment regimens for tuberculosis. It is metabolized primarily in the liver by arylamine N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2). Hepatitis is a common adverse effect of isoniazid administration. The risk of drug-induced hepatotoxicity varies depending on acetylation status determined by *NAT2* gene alleles [63-65].

The wild-type NAT2 allele is NAT2*4 [66]. The Korean population shows a lower frequency of the NAT2*5 allele, which is common in other races, but it has a relatively high frequency of the NAT2*6 (c.590G>A) and NAT2*7 (c.857G>A) alleles, which show reduced NAT2 enzyme activity. The phenotypes are divided into three types according to the number of alleles, and the enzyme activity of each genotype is shown in Table 4. The cases with two rapid alleles (such as NAT2*4), one rapid allele and one slow allele (such as NAT2*6 or NAT2*7), and two slow alleles are classified into the rapid acetylators, intermediate acetylators, and slow acetylators, respectively. Also, cases with at least one or more wild-type allele (NAT2*4) are classified into the rapid acetylator group, and all other cases or the cases with no NAT2*4 allele are classified into the slow acetylator group in other publications [16, 67]. Phenotype frequencies of NAT2 gene variations in the Korean population are 39-45% for rapid acetylators, 44–50% for intermediate acetylators, and 8–11% for slow acetylator [68, 69].

NAT2 activity is determined by the number of active alleles. Decreased acetylation is associated with a reduced isoniazid clearance rate, thus increasing exposure to the drug and its related metabolites. The risk of drug-induced hepatotoxicity is higher in the slow acetylator group, in which the activity of both *NAT2* alleles is reduced, than it is in the rapid acetylator group, which has normal allele activity [16, 70, 71]. Meta-analysis has shown that, although the odds ratio for the drug-induced hepatotoxicity of the NAT2 slow acetylator group has been reported to be 3.32

(95% confidence interval 2.43–4.53) in East Asians, no correlation has been found in Caucasians [16].

There is currently no agreement on appropriate isoniazid dosing based on NAT2 genotype or phenotype because of few clinical studies; however, a recommendation for dosing based on the genotype may be used if data are accumulated in the future. In a recent randomized control study in Japan and Korea, NAT2 genotype and phenotype-based isoniazid dosing for an anti-tuberculosis therapy was suggested [70, 72]. In the slow acetylation group, there was no loss in treatment efficacy when a reduced dose was administered, but drug-induced hepatotoxicity decreased. In the rapid acetylation group, the initial treatment failure rate decreased without increased hepatotoxicity when increasing the dose. So far, however, there has been no established recommendation, and future studies are necessary. During antituberculosis treatment, measuring blood drug concentration may be helpful when the effects of treatment are inadequate as a result of drug absorption, administration compliance, and combined medication influence [73, 74].

5. UGT1A1 gene and irinotecan

1) Recommendation

For high-dose irinotecan therapy (>250 mg/m²), the use of UG-T1A1 genotype testing is recommended before treatment to help determine the proper initial dose and prevent drug-related adverse reactions [14]. According to the recent review by French joint working group, pretreatment UGT1A1 genotyping is recommended for all patients scheduled to receive an irinotecan dose \geq 180 mg/m² [75].

2) Rationale

Irinotecan is used for treating metastatic colon cancer and other solid cancers. It is glucuronated by the uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl-transferase (UGT) 1A1 enzyme in liver cells and is excreted into bile in its inactive state. When UGT1A1 enzyme activity is decreased, the active metabolite 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN-38) may cause irinotecan-related adverse effects due to decreased metabolism and excretion.

Owing to the variation in drug metabolism when administering

Table 4. Assignment of likely phenotypes based on diplotypes of *NAT2* [66, 68, 69]

Likely phenotype	Genotype	Examples of diplotypes
Normal/high activity (rapid acetylator, 39-45%)	An individual carrying two rapid <i>NAT2</i> alleles (*4, *11, *12, *13)	*4/*4, *4/*12, *4/*13
Intermediate activity (intermediate acetylator, 44-50%)	An individual carrying one rapid <i>NAT2</i> allele plus one slow <i>NAT2</i> allele	*4/*5, *4/*6, *4/*7
Low activity (slow acetylator, 8-11%)	An individual carrying two slow <i>NAT2</i> alleles (* 5 , * 6 , * 7)	*6/*6, *7/*7, *6/*7, *5/*6, *5/*7



irinotecan based on the UGT1A1 genotype, serious side effects such as severe neutropenia or diarrhea may occur. It has been reported that the relative risk of neutropenia is 2.0-7.2 times higher in people homozygous for the UGT1A1*28 allele than in those with the homozygous wild-type genotype, and this risk increases with a higher dose of irinotecan [76]. The UGT1A1*6 allele, which is relatively common in Asians, is also related to irinotecan-induced toxicity [77, 78]. Therefore, in Asian patients, it is desirable to check for both UGT1A1*28 and UGT1A1*6 when conducting UGT1A1 genotype tests. The allele frequencies of UGT1A1 in the Korean population are 18.6-22.2% for UGT1A1*6 and 9.5–12.7% for UGT1A1*28 [79-81]. Genotype tests should be used in addition to treatment policy determination and cannot replace the clinician's decision and clinical experience. Additionally, other important factors such as liver function, kidney function, age, and concurrently used medicine, should be considered.

The enzyme activity according to each *UGT1A1* genotype and phenotype is shown in Table 5. When administering a high dose of irinotecan (250 mg/m² or greater) to patients who are homozygous for the *UGT1A1*28* variant allele, administration of a dose that is 1 degree lower (e.g. 125 mg/m²) [14] or a dose that is decreased by 30% is recommended [13]. For patients with the *UGT1A1*28* heterozygous variant, reducing the dose may cause under-treatment, so it is not recommended [13]. *UGT1A1*6* also reduces the activity of the UGT1A1 enzyme, but there has not been an established recommendation on drug dose control in patients with *UGT1A1*6* [82].

6. *TPMT* gene and thiopurine family drugs (azathioprine, mercaptopurine, and thioguanine)

1) Recommendation

TPMT genotyping before administering thiopurines (azathioprine, mercaptopurine, and thioguanine) is recommended to determine the proper initial dosing.

2) Rationale

Azathioprine is used mainly to treat immune diseases, including inflammatory bowel disease, mercaptopurine is used to treat immune diseases and lymphoid malignancies, and thioguanine is used to treat myelogenous leukemia. Azathioprine is metabolized into mercaptopurine, most of which is converted into the inactive form methyl-mercaptopurine (methylMP) by thiopurine Smethyltransferase (TPMT). A small amount of mercaptopurine goes through multiple stages of metabolism, being converted into methyl-thioinosine monophosphate (methylTIMP), which results in immunosuppression and hepatotoxicity, and the major active form of thioguanine nucleotide (TGN) [11, 83, 84].

The lower the TPMT activity, the more the blood TGN level increases when thiopurines are used. In homozygous variants that carry two nonfunctional TPMT alleles, administering a conventional dose of azathioprine and mercaptopurine maintains a high TGN concentration and thus results in severe life-threatening myelosuppression (myelosuppression risk 100%). In cases of heterozygotes with a single nonfunctional TPMT allele, there is a moderate to severe myelosuppression risk (30-60%). In homozygous wild-type patients with two functional alleles, the risk of myelosuppression is relatively low [12, 85]. When using thiopurines in patients with low TPMT activity, late-developing adverse effects such as secondary cancers may occur even if severe myelosuppression does not occur. There have been no reports associating the adverse effects of reduced TMPT activity with drugs other than thiopurines, and pancreatitis and hepatotoxicity have not been found to be related to low TMPT activity [12].

Although 30 or more *TPMT* alleles are known, only a few alleles that cause reduced enzyme activity are prevalent. Thus, common alleles can be preferentially selected to perform *TPMT* genotyping [86]. The *TPMT*3* has the highest prevalence (0.8–2.5%) in the Korean population, but the presence of *6, *16, *32, and *38 has been reported [87]. The TPMT phenotypes based on each genotype are described in Table 6.

Table 5. Assignment of likely phenotypes based on diplotypes of *UGT1A1* [15, 92]

Likely phenotype	Genotype	Examples of diplotypes
Extensive metabolizer: homozygous wild-type or normal activity	An individual carrying two function (* \it{I}) and/or increased function alleles (* $\it{36}$)	*1/*1
Intermediate metabolizer: heterozygote or intermediate activity	An individual carrying one function (* 1) or increased function allele (* 36) plus one decreased function allele (* 6, * 28)	*1/*6, *1/*28
Poor metabolizer: compound heterozygote, homozygous variant or low activity	An individual carrying two decreased function alleles (* 6 , * 28)	*6/*6, *28/*28,*6/*28

Adapted from Gammal RS, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2016;99:363-9 [15] and Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7 [92], with permission of the PharmGKB and Stanford University.



Table 6. Assignment of likely thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT) phenotypes based on genotype [12, 92]

Likely phenotype	Genotypes	Examples of diplotypes
Homozygous wild-type or normal, high activity (constitutes ~86–97% of patients)	An individual carrying two or more functional (* 1) alleles	* 1/* 1
Heterozygote or intermediate activity (~3–14% of patients)	An individual carrying one functional allele (* 1) plus one nonfunctional allele (* 2, * 3A, * 3B, * 3C, or * 4)	* 1/* 2, * 1/* 3A, * 1/* 3B, * 1/* 3C, * 1/* 4
Homozygous variant, mutant, low, or deficient activity (\sim 1 in 178 to 1 in 3,736 patients)	An individual carrying two nonfunctional alleles (*2, *3A, *3B, *3C, or *4)	*3A/*3A, *2/*3A, *3C/*3A, *3C/*4, *3C/*2, *3A/*4

Adapted from Relling MV, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;89:387-91 [12] and Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7 [92], with permission of the PharmGKB and Stanford University.

When dosing is appropriately controlled on the basis of *TPMT* genotype and phenotype, the anticancer and immunosuppressive effects of thiopurines can be maintained while reducing the adverse effects. Toxicity can also be reduced without increased risk of recurrence in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Although the number of patients with *TPMT* variants is small, there are many cases where thiopurines are administered alongside other drugs, inducing myelosuppression. These cases may result in severe adverse effects such as fatality or myelosuppression even after a short conventional dose of thiopurines in patients with homozygous variants. Therefore, *TPMT* genotyping before initiating thiopurine treatment is recommended to determine the initial dose.

In cases where a conventional dose is administered in *TPMT* heterozygous variants, only 30–60% of patients show myelosuppression, and administering a reduced dose to all patients leads to the undertreatment of a portion of patients who would not have shown adverse effects. Therefore, to minimize undertreatment until the steady state is reached, the patient's state, concomitant medications, adverse effects, and disease progression should be monitored to adjust the dose. Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) can be performed by measuring the thiopurine metabolites of TGN and the methylMP in peripheral blood erythrocytes. This can help to evaluate treatment compliance and the influence of concomitant medications, as well as to assist in judging whether thiopurine administration decreases leukocytes [12, 88].

7. EGFR gene and non-small-cell lung cancer

1) Recommendation

To select non-small-cell lung cancer patients who are candidates for tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment—a treatment agent for targeting the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*)—the use of *EGFR* gene testing is recommended.

2) Rationale

The European Medicines Agency stipulates that *EGFR* gene testing must be performed when using TKIs, especially gefitinib. According to Korean Association for Lung Cancer (KASLC) clinical guidelines, in non-small-cell lung cancer cases where there is an *EGFR* gene mutation, gefitinib or erlotinib is prescribed as the primary anticancer treatment. In cases where there are no mutations, platinum-based chemotherapy is recommended. The usage precautions for gefitinib (Iressa) outlined by the Korea Food and Drug Administration state that it is important to select a properly verified and established method to avoid false negative or false positive results when evaluating a patient's *EGFR* mutation state.

A non-small-cell lung cancer patient with an active mutation in exons 18-21 of the *EGFR* gene will respond to TKIs, and their average life expectancy is extended. An in-frame deletion in exon 19 (amino acids 729–761) and L858R located in exon 21 are the most common mutations that result in drug sensitivity, and these are responsible for approximately 90% of cases [17, 18]. A significant number of patients react to initial TKI treatment, however, they acquire drug tolerance during treatment. T790M, located in exon 20, is associated with acquired resistance. The T790M mutation is rarely detected in patients before treatment. In rare cases, it can be discovered as a germline mutation in cases of familial lung cancer [17, 18].

The *EGFR* mutation is present in Asians at a frequency of approximately 30–40% and in Caucasians at a frequency of approximately 10–15%. *EGFR* mutations also are frequent in nonsmokers and females. However, clinical information (e.g., smoking, gender, or race) is not sufficiently sensitive to select patients for TKI treatment or EGFR testing, and it is recommended that such testing be performed on all target patients [17, 18].

EGFR genetic testing can be performed by using paraffin-embedded, fresh, frozen, or alcohol-fixed tissue or body fluid specimens. A pharmacogenetic method that can detect mutations in specimens with at least 50% tumor cells must be used [17]. The use of a testing method that can detect mutations in specimens



Table 7. Drug sensitivity based on *EGFR* genetic variation

Major genetic variation	Nomenclature	Phenotype
G719S (exon 18)	NM_005228.3:c.2155G < A, NP_005219.2:p.Gly719Ser	TKI sensitivity
G719C (exon 18)	NM_005228.3:c.2155G < T, NP_005219.2:p.Gly719Cys	TKI sensitivity
G719A (exon 18)	NM_005228.3:c.2155G < C, NP_005219.2:p.Gly719Ala	TKI sensitivity
In-frame deletions (exon 19)	NM_005228.3:c.2235_2249del15, NP_005219.2:p.Glu746_Ala750del NM_005228.3:c.2236_2250del15, NP_005219.2:p.Glu746_Ala750del NM_005228.3:c.2239_2248delinsC, NP_005219.2:p.Leu747_Ala750delinsPro NM_005228.3:c.2240_2257del18, NP_005219.2:p.Leu747_Pro753delinsSer	TKI sensitivity TKI sensitivity TKI sensitivity TKI sensitivity
T790M (exon 20)	NM_005228.3:c.2369C > T, NP_005219.2:p.Thr790Met	TKI resistance
L858R (exon 21)	NM_005228.3:c.2573T > G, NP_005219.2:p.Leu858Arg	TKI sensitivity
L861Q (exon 21)	NM_005228.3: c.2582T > A, NP_005219.2:p.Leu861GIn	TKI sensitivity

Abbreviations: EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

with as little as 10% tumor cells is strongly recommended. To detect acquired T790M mutations, the testing method should be able to detect the mutation in as few as 5% of cells [17]. Such a method should be able to detect all gene mutations that have been reported to be showing a frequency of at least 1% of EGFR-mutated lung cancers. In the case of testing with the purpose of selecting TKI targets, immunohistochemistry (IHC) is not recommended.

One should ascertain if the detected mutation is an active mutation or a resistance mutation based on the TKI phenotype for each *EGFR* mutation organized in Table 7.

8. HER2 gene and breast cancer

1) Recommendation

To determine whether to use HER2 targeted therapy in breast cancer patients, *in situ* hybridization (ISH) is recommended to determine *HER2* gene amplification or IHC is recommended to check HER2 protein overexpression.

2) Rationale

HER2 overexpression is observed in 15–20% of breast cancer cases, and cases positive for HER2 overexpression respond to HER2-targeted treatment [20]. Thus, the presence of HER2 overexpression can determine the therapeutic response to HER2-targeted treatment. Therefore, in patients with invasive breast cancer, it is recommended that *HER2* gene amplification or HER2 overexpression testing be performed before anti-HER2 therapy, and that only those patients who exhibit HER2 overexpression be administered anti-HER2 therapy.

HER2 is a gene that encodes proteins belonging to the EGFR family of tyrosine kinase receptors, and this gene is also called HER2, NEU, NGL, TKRI, Her-2, c-erb B2, and Her-2/new. HER2

gene amplification is the major mechanism that leads to HER2 overexpression; there is a rise in the concentration of 185 kDa glycoproteins with tyrosine kinase activity in tumors with *HER2* gene amplification. HER2 overexpression is related to the clinical outcome of breast cancer patients, and it is a powerful predictor for determining the response to the anti-HER2 therapy. In case of HER2 overexpression, anti-HER2 therapies, such as trast-uzumab (Herceptin), lapatinib, pertuzumab, or trastuzumab emtansine (KADCYLA), can be used. Therefore, it is recommended that HER2 testing be performed for all patients with invasive (early stage or recurrent) breast cancer and that anti-HER2 therapy be applied only to those cases with positive test results.

HER2 testing uses cancer tissue of the primary or recurring cancer or metastatic area, obtained through an operation or needle biopsy. The time between tissue acquisition and fixation should be minimized (within one hour), and the specimen should be fixed in neutral buffered formalin for 6-72 hr. Tissue sections should not be used for HER2 testing if cut more than six weeks earlier [20].

HER2 testing uses both IHC and ISH. IHC is used to examine HER2 expression, and fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) or silver *in situ* hybridization (SISH) is used to examine gene expression. If pre-analytical or analytical requirements are not satisfied or quality assurance fails, the test should be considered inappropriate for HER2 assessment.

HER2 testing results are either positive, equivocal, negative, or indeterminate. HER2-positive is defined as cases where protein overexpression was determined by IHC by observing expression in at least 10% of contiguous and homogenous tumor cells within the tumor area or in cases where gene amplification was observed by ISH (*HER2* copy number or *HER2/CEP17* ratio based on a cell count of 20 or more within the area) has been confirmed.



Patients with positive results from *HER2* testing can undergo HER2-targeted treatment. When the result of the primary test is ambiguous, the same specimen should be checked through an alternative testing method (IHC or ISH) or testing should be performed on an alternative specimen. If there is a histopathological discordance, additional HER2 testing should be considered, and the decision-making process and results should be reported.

9. KRAS gene and metastatic colorectal cancer

1) Recommendation

In metastatic colorectal cancer patients, the use of the *KRAS* gene test is recommended to predict the therapeutic effects of anti-EGFR-targeted drugs (cetuximab and panitumumab).

2) Rationale

In about 40% of colorectal cancer cases, mutations are found in codons 12 and 13 in exon 2 of the *KRAS* gene. If these mutations are present, there will likely be no response to EGFR-targeted treatment. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that the *KRAS* gene test is performed on the cancer tissue (primary cancer tissue or metastatic cancer tissue) of all stage 4 metastatic colorectal cancer patients when beginning treatment.

Among the three types of the *RAS* gene family (*HRAS*, *NRAS*, and *KRAS*), *KRAS* mutations are most commonly found in colorectal cancer. GTPase, including RAS, normally hydrolyze GTP into GDP to maintain inactivity; however, when *KRAS* is mutated, GTPase activity is blocked, thus continually activating RAS. Most mutations occur at codons 12 and 13 and, in rare cases, at codon 61.

KRAS testing is used to establish the proper treatment continuum by rapidly checking the KRAS state in early stages rather than selecting the preferred regimen. Because anti-EGFR drugs are not used to treat patients with colorectal cancer in stages 1–3, the KRAS gene test is also not recommended for these patient groups. For KRAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer patients, adding anti-EGFR antibody to the anticancer treatment results in a higher overall response rate and a longer overall survival period compared with the anticancer drug-only treatment [29]. Although there is some controversy that codon 13 mutations (p.G13D) cannot predict treatment non-response [89, 90], these are hypothetical and require further prospective studies. Therefore, the use of anti-EGFR drugs is not currently accepted as a conventional treatment for patients with p.G13D mutations. Additionally, since there are some cases where anti-EGFR drugs show no effect on patients with wild-type KRAS, the issue of whether there is an appropriate additional biological indicator for cetuximab or panitumumab sensitivity other than *KRAS* testing is being studied. The frequency of *KRAS* codon 12 and 13 mutations in colorectal cancer patients is 39.3%, and the most common mutations are p.G12D (36%) and p.G12V (21.8%), both in codon 12 [91]. Ethnic differences in *KRAS* mutation frequency have not been established.

KRAS mutation testing is performed by using specimens obtained from surgery (primary or metastatic colorectal cancer tissue), endoscopic biopsy, or thin-needle aspiration samples; this can be done by using frozen or paraffin-embedded tissue. There is no established standard for determining the amount of tumor cells within the specimen that is necessary for the KRAS gene test; however, as testing technology advances, the required tumor cell amount is decreasing. It is desirable to use at least six to 10 tissue pieces that are 5 μ m in size, and when possible, it is also desirable to use a specimen with high tumor cell amount.

KRAS mutation occurs in early stages of colorectal cancer formation, so there is no large difference in the mutation state of the primary cancer tissue and the metastatic cancer tissue. Therefore, the KRAS gene testing can be performed by using either the stored primary cancer tissue or the metastatic cancer tissue. Tissue biopsy should not be conducted only to perform the KRAS gene test unless there is neither primary cancer tissue nor metastatic cancer tissue.

There are many methods that can be used for *KRAS* gene test, and each method has its pros and cons. For this reason, no specific testing method is recommended for *KRAS* mutation testing. Direct sequence testing can detect all mutations existing in the tested area. This method has high specificity but low sensitivity (sensitivity of about 10–25%), so a large quantity of DNA containing the mutation (at least 50% of tumor cells) is needed. Pyrosequencing can detect cancer, if 5–10% of the entire DNA has the mutation. Real-time quantitative PCR can detect only specific target mutations, and the sensitivity is 1–10% depending on the technology (TaqMan or Scorpions ARMS, etc.). When PCR is combined with mutant enrichment technology, the sensitivity can be improved to 0.1% or less [28].

If a mutation is detected, the tumor is classified as unresponsive to cetuximab and panitumumab; if no mutation is detected, it is classified as responsive to cetuximab and panitumumab [27].

CONCLUSION

We suggest clinical practice guidelines for the clinical application, interpretation, and reporting of clinically useful pharmacogenetics tests.



Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to PharmGKB and Stanford University for allowing us to redistribute tables from PharmGKB website.

REFERENCES

- Kim S, Yun YM, Kim IS, Song SH, Woo HI, Lee KA, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetic Testing and Application: Laboratory Medicine Clinical Practice Guidelines Part 1. Lab Med Online 2016;6:119-33.
- Kim S, Yun YM, Kim IS, Song SH, Woo HI, Lee KA, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetic Testing and Application: Laboratory Medicine Clinical Practice Guidelines Part 2. Lab Med Online 2016;6:193-213.
- 3. Kim SY, Kim NS, et al. eds. Manual for guideline adaptation ver 2.0. Seoul: National evidence-based healthcare collaborating agency, 2011.
- Kim S, Yun YM, et al. eds. Clinical pharmacogenetics testing and application: laboratory medicine clinical practice guidelines. Seoul: The Korean Society of Laboratory Medicine, 2016.
- Johnson JA, Gong L, Whirl-Carrillo M, Gage BF, Scott SA, Stein CM, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guidelines for CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes and warfarin dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;90:625-9.
- Scott SA, Sangkuhl K, Gardner EE, Stein CM, Hulot JS, Johnson JA, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450-2C19 (CYP2C19) genotype and clopidogrel therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;90:328-32.
- Scott SA, Sangkuhl K, Stein CM, Hulot JS, Mega JL, Roden DM, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for CYP2C19 genotype and clopidogrel therapy: 2013 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;94:317-23.
- Crews KR, Gaedigk A, Dunnenberger HM, Klein TE, Shen DD, Callaghan JT, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guidelines for codeine therapy in the context of cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) genotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;91:321-6.
- Crews KR, Gaedigk A, Dunnenberger HM, Leeder JS, Klein TE, Caudle KE, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for cytochrome P450 2D6 genotype and codeine therapy: 2014 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2014;95:376-82.
- Hicks JK, Swen JJ, Thorn CF, Sangkuhl K, Kharasch ED, Ellingrod VL, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;93:402-8.
- Relling MV, Gardner EE, Sandborn WJ, Schmiegelow K, Pui CH, Yee SW, et al. Clinical pharmacogenetics implementation consortium guidelines for thiopurine methyltransferase genotype and thiopurine dosing: 2013 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2013;93:324-5.
- Relling MV, Gardner EE, Sandborn WJ, Schmiegelow K, Pui CH, Yee SW, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for thiopurine methyltransferase genotype and thiopurine dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;89:387-91.

- Swen JJ, Nijenhuis M, de Boer A, Grandia L, Maitland-van der Zee AH, Mulder H, et al. Pharmacogenetics: from bench to byte--an update of guidelines. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2011;89:662-73.
- Valdes R, Payne DA, et al. eds. Laboratory medicine practice guidelines.
 Laboratory analysis and application of pharmacogenetics to clinical practice.
 Washington. DC: National Academy of Clinical Biochemistry. 2010.
- Gammal RS, Court MH, Haidar CE, Iwuchukwu OF, Gaur AH, Alvarellos M, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for UGT1A1 and Atazanavir Prescribing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2016;99:363-9.
- 16. Cai Y, Yi J, Zhou C, Shen X. Pharmacogenetic study of drug-metabolising enzyme polymorphisms on the risk of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012;7:e47769.
- 17. Lindeman NI, Cagle PT, Beasley MB, Chitale DA, Dacic S, Giaccone G, et al. Molecular testing guideline for selection of lung cancer patients for EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors: guideline from the College of American Pathologists, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and Association for Molecular Pathology. J Mol Diagn 2013;15: 415-53.
- Benson AB, Venook AP, Beksaii-Saab T, Chan E, Chen YJ, Choti MA, et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Colon Cancer. Version 2.2014 http://pic1.cmt.com.cn/newspic/files/%E7%BB%93%E8%82% A0%E7%99%8CNCCN2014%E6%8C%87%E5%8D%97.pdf (Updated on Jan 2014).
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Schwartz JN, Hagerty KL, Allred DC, Cote RJ, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:118-45.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Hicks DG, Dowsett M, McShane LM, Allison KH, et al. Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:3997-4013.
- Wolff AC, Hammond ME, Hicks DG, Dowsett M, McShane LM, Allison KH, et al. Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2014;138:241-56.
- Theriault RL, Carlson RW, Allred C, Anderson BO, Burstein HJ, Edge SB, et al. Breast cancer, version 3.2013: featured updates to the NCCN guidelines. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2013;11:753-60.
- Albanell J, Andreu X, Calasanz MJ, Concha A, Corominas JM, Garcia-Caballero T, et al. Guidelines for HER2 testing in breast cancer: a national consensus of the Spanish Society of Pathology (SEAP) and the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM). Clin Transl Oncol 2009;11:363-75.
- 24. Bartlett JM, Starczynski J, Atkey N, Kay E, O'Grady A, Gandy M, et al. HER2 testing in the UK: recommendations for breast and gastric in-situ hybridisation methods. J Clin Pathol 2011;64:649-53.
- Morton RF and Hammond EH. ASCO Provisional Clinical Opinion: KRAS, Cetuximab, and Panitumumab-Clinical Implications in Colorectal Cancer. J Oncol Pract 2009;5:71-2.
- Van Cutsem E, Nordlinger B, Cervantes A; ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines Working Group. Advanced colorectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for treatment. Ann Oncol 2010;21 S5:v93-7.
- Becquemont L, Alfirevic A, Amstutz U, Brauch H, Jacqz-Aigrain E, Laurent-Puig P, et al. Practical recommendations for pharmacogenomics-based prescription: 2010 ESF-UB Conference on Pharmacogenetics and Pharmacogenomics. Pharmacogenomics 2011;12:113-24.
- 28. Normanno N, Pinto C, Castiglione F, Bardelli A, Gambacorta M, Botti G,

- et al. KRAS mutations testing in colorectal carcinoma patients in Italy: from guidelines to external quality assessment. PLoS One 2011;6:e29146.
- García-Alfonso P, Salazar R, García-Foncillas J, Musulén E, García-Carbonero R, Payá A, et al. Guidelines for biomarker testing in colorectal carcinoma (CRC): a national consensus of the Spanish Society of Pathology (SEAP) and the Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM). Clin Transl Oncol 2012;14:726-39.
- Higashi MK, Veenstra DL, Kondo LM, Wittkowsky AK, Srinouanprachanh SL, Farin FM, et al. Association between CYP2C9 genetic variants and anticoagulation-related outcomes during warfarin therapy. JAMA 2002; 287:1690-8.
- 31. Jacobs LG. Warfarin pharmacology, clinical management, and evaluation of hemorrhagic risk for the elderly. Clin Geriatr Med 2006;22:17-32.
- Rieder MJ, Reiner AP, Gage BF, Nickerson DA, Eby CS, McLeod HL, et al. Effect of VKORC1 haplotypes on transcriptional regulation and warfarin dose. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2285-93.
- Lee CR, Goldstein JA, Pieper JA. Cytochrome P450 2C9 polymorphisms: a comprehensive review of the in-vitro and human data. Pharmacogenetics 2002;12:251-63.
- 34. Limdi NA, Wadelius M, Cavallari L, Eriksson N, Crawford DC, Lee MT, et al. Warfarin pharmacogenetics: a single VKORC1 polymorphism is predictive of dose across 3 racial groups. Blood 2010;115:3827-34.
- 35. Aithal GP, Day CP, Kesteven PJ, Daly AK. Association of polymorphisms in the cytochrome P450 CYP2C9 with warfarin dose requirement and risk of bleeding complications. Lancet 1999;353:717-9.
- 36. Limdi NA, McGwin G, Goldstein JA, Beasley TM, Arnett DK, Adler BK, et al. Influence of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 1173C/T genotype on the risk of hemorrhagic complications in African-American and European-American patients on warfarin. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;83:312-21.
- 37. Lindh JD, Holm L, Andersson ML, Rane A. Influence of CYP2C9 genotype on warfarin dose requirements--a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2009;65:365-75.
- 38. Rost S, Fregin A, Ivaskevicius V, Conzelmann E, Hörtnagel K, Pelz HJ, et al. Mutations in VKORC1 cause warfarin resistance and multiple coagulation factor deficiency type 2. Nature 2004;427:537-41.
- Ross KA, Bigham AW, Edwards M, Gozdzik A, Suarez-Kurtz G, Parra EJ. Worldwide allele frequency distribution of four polymorphisms associated with warfarin dose requirements. J Hum Genet 2010;55:582-9.
- Wajih N, Hutson SM, Owen J, Wallin R. Increased production of functional recombinant human clotting factor IX by baby hamster kidney cells engineered to overexpress VKORC1, the vitamin K 2,3-epoxide-reducing enzyme of the vitamin K cycle. J Biol Chem 2005;280:31603-7.
- 41. Yuan HY, Chen JJ, Lee MT, Wung JC, Chen YF, Charng MJ, et al. A novel functional VKORC1 promoter polymorphism is associated with interindividual and inter-ethnic differences in warfarin sensitivity. Hum Mol Genet 2005;14:1745-51.
- Wadelius M, Chen LY, Downes K, Ghori J, Hunt S, Eriksson N, et al. Common VKORC1 and GGCX polymorphisms associated with warfarin dose. Pharmacogenomics J 2005;5:262-70.
- 43. Gage BF, Eby C, Johnson JA, Deych E, Rieder MJ, Ridker PM, et al. Use of pharmacogenetic and clinical factors to predict the therapeutic dose of warfarin. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;84:326-31.
- 44. International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium, Klein TE, Altman RB, Eriksson N, Gage BF, Kimmel SE, et al. Estimation of the warfarin dose with clinical and pharmacogenetic data. N Engl J Med 2009;360: 753-64.
- 45. Finkelman BS, Gage BF, Johnson JA, Brensinger CM, Kimmel SE. Genetic warfarin dosing: tables versus algorithms. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011; 57:612-8.
- 46. Nunnelee JD. Review of an Article: The international Warfarin Pharma-

- cogenetics Consortium (2009). Estimation of the warfarin dose with clinical and pharmacogenetic data. NEJM 360 (8): 753-64. J Vasc Nurs 2009; 27:109.
- 47. Nowak-Göttl U, Dietrich K, Schaffranek D, Eldin NS, Yasui Y, Geisen C, et al. In pediatric patients, age has more impact on dosing of vitamin K antagonists than VKORC1 or CYP2C9 genotypes. Blood 2010;116:6101-5.
- 48. Lenzini P, Wadelius M, Kimmel S, Anderson JL, Jorgensen AL, Pirmohamed M, et al. Integration of genetic, clinical, and INR data to refine warfarin dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2010;87:572-8.
- 49. Mega JL, Simon T, Collet JP, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Bliden K, et al. Reduced-function CYP2C19 genotype and risk of adverse clinical outcomes among patients treated with clopidogrel predominantly for PCI: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2010;304:1821-30.
- Harmsze A, van Werkum JW, Bouman HJ, Ruven HJ, Breet NJ, Ten Berg JM, et al. Besides CYP2C19*2, the variant allele CYP2C9*3 is associated with higher on-clopidogrel platelet reactivity in patients on dual antiplatelet therapy undergoing elective coronary stent implantation. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2010;20:18-25.
- 51. Hwang SJ, Jeong YH, Kim IS, Koh JS, Kang MK, Park Y, et al. The cytochrome 2C19*2 and *3 alleles attenuate response to clopidogrel similarly in East Asian patients undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention. Thromb Res 2011;127:23-8.
- 52. Xie X, Ma YT, Yang YN, Li XM, Ma X, Fu ZY, et al. CYP2C19 phenotype, stent thrombosis, myocardial infarction, and mortality in patients with coronary stent placement in a Chinese population. PLoS One 2013;8: e59344.
- 53. Jeong YH, Tantry US, Kim IS, Koh JS, Kwon TJ, Park Y, et al. Effect of CYP2C19*2 and *3 loss-of-function alleles on platelet reactivity and adverse clinical events in East Asian acute myocardial infarction survivors treated with clopidogrel and aspirin. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2011;4:585-94
- 54. Park KJ, Chung HS, Kim SR, Kim HJ, Han JY, Lee SY. Clinical, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacogenetic determinants of clopidogrel resistance in Korean patients with acute coronary syndrome. Korean J Lab Med 2011;31:91-4.
- 55. Lee JM, Park S, Shin DJ, Choi D, Shim CY, Ko YG, et al. Relation of genetic polymorphisms in the cytochrome P450 gene with clopidogrel resistance after drug-eluting stent implantation in Koreans. Am J Cardiol 2009;104:46-51.
- 56. Depta JP and Bhatt DL. Antiplatelet therapy and proton pump inhibition: cause for concern? Curr Opin Cardiol 2012;27:642-50.
- 57. Shehab N, Sperling LS, Kegler SR, Budnitz DS. National estimates of emergency department visits for hemorrhage-related adverse events from clopidogrel plus aspirin and from warfarin. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170:1926-33.
- Park HS, Choi JY, Lee MJ, Park S, Yeo CW, Lee SS, et al. Association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP2D6 and outcomes in breast cancer patients with tamoxifen treatment. J Korean Med Sci 2011;26: 1007-13.
- 59. Gaedigk A, Gotschall RR, Forbes NS, Simon SD, Kearns GL, Leeder JS. Optimization of cytochrome P4502D6 (CYP2D6) phenotype assignment using a genotyping algorithm based on allele frequency data. Pharmacogenetics 1999;9:669-82.
- Gaedigk A, Simon SD, Pearce RE, Bradford LD, Kennedy MJ, Leeder JS. The CYP2D6 activity score: translating genotype information into a qualitative measure of phenotype. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2008;83:234-42.
- 61. Dezentjé VO, Opdam FL, Gelderblom H, Hartigh den J, Van der Straaten T, Vree R, et al. CYP2D6 genotype- and endoxifen-guided tamoxifen dose escalation increases endoxifen serum concentrations without in-



- creasing side effects. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2015;153:583-90.
- 62. Irvin WJ, Jr., Walko CM, Weck KE, Ibrahim JG, Chiu WK, Dees EC, et al. Genotype-guided tamoxifen dosing increases active metabolite exposure in women with reduced CYP2D6 metabolism: a multicenter study. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:3232-9.
- 63. Kim SH, Kim SH, Bahn JW, Kim YK, Chang YS, Shin ES, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and anti-TB drug-induced hepatitis. Pharmacogenomics 2009;10:1767-79.
- 64. Bozok Cetintaş V, Erer OF, Kosova B, Ozdemir I, Topçuoğlu N, Aktoğu S, et al. Determining the relation between N-acetyltransferase-2 acetylator phenotype and antituberculosis drug induced hepatitis by molecular biologic tests. Tuberk Toraks 2008;56:81-6.
- 65. Lee SW, Chung LS, Huang HH, Chuang TY, Liou YH, Wu LS. NAT2 and CYP2E1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to first-line anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010;14:622-6.
- 66. Human NAT2 Alleles (Haplotypes) http://nat.mbg.duth.gr/Human%20 NAT2%20alleles_2013.htm (Updated on Apr 2016).
- Bertrand J, Verstuyft C, Chou M, Borand L, Chea P, Nay KH, et al. Dependence of efavirenz- and rifampicin-isoniazid-based antituberculosis treatment drug-drug interaction on CYP2B6 and NAT2 genetic polymorphisms: ANRS 12154 study in Cambodia. J Infect Dis 2014;209:399-408.
- Lee SY, Lee KA, Ki CS, Kwon OJ, Kim HJ, Chung MP, et al. Complete sequencing of a genetic polymorphism in NAT2 in the Korean population. Clin Chem 2002;48:775-7.
- Lee KM, Park SK, Kim SU, Doll MA, Yoo KY, Ahn SH, et al. N-acetyltransferase (NAT1, NAT2) and glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1, GSTT1) polymorphisms in breast cancer. Cancer Lett 2003;196:179-86.
- 70. Azuma J, Ohno M, Kubota R, Yokota S, Nagai T, Tsuyuguchi K, et al. NAT2 genotype guided regimen reduces isoniazid-induced liver injury and early treatment failure in the 6-month four-drug standard treatment of tuberculosis: a randomized controlled trial for pharmacogenetics-based therapy. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2013;69:1091-101.
- Cho HJ, Koh WJ, Ryu YJ, Ki CS, Nam MH, Kim JW, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of NAT2 and CYP2E1 associated with antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity in Korean patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2007;87:551-6.
- Jung JA, Kim TE, Lee H, Jeong BH, Park HY, Jeon K, et al. A proposal for an individualized pharmacogenetic-guided isoniazid dosage regimen for patients with tuberculosis. Drug Des Devel Ther 2015;9:5433-8.
- 73. Peloquin CA. Therapeutic drug monitoring in the treatment of tuberculosis. Drugs 2002;62:2169-83.
- 74. Um SW, Lee SW, Kwon SY, Yoon HI, Park KU, Song J, et al. Low serum concentrations of anti-tuberculosis drugs and determinants of their serum levels. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2007;11:972-8.
- Etienne-Grimaldi MC, Boyer JC, Thomas F, Quaranta S, Picard N, Loriot MA, et al. UGT1A1 genotype and irinotecan therapy: general review and implementation in routine practice. Fundam Clin Pharmacol 2015;29: 219-37.
- Sim SC, Kacevska M, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Pharmacogenomics of drug-metabolizing enzymes: a recent update on clinical implications and endogenous effects. Pharmacogenomics J 2013;13:1-11.
- 77. Han FF, Guo CL, Yu D, Zhu J, Gong LL, Li GR, et al. Associations between UGT1A1*6 or UGT1A1*6/*28 polymorphisms and irinotecan-induced neutropenia in Asian cancer patients. Cancer Chemother Phar-

- macol 2014;73:779-88.
- Cheng L, Li M, Hu J, Ren W, Xie L, Sun ZP, et al. UGT1A1*6 polymorphisms are correlated with irinotecan-induced toxicity: a system review and meta-analysis in Asians. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2014;73: 551-60.
- 79. Ki CS, Lee KA, Lee SY, Kim HJ, Cho SS, Park JH, et al. Haplotype structure of the UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 (UGT1A1) gene and its relationship to serum total bilirubin concentration in a male Korean population. Clin Chem 2003;49:2078-81.
- 80. Park WB, Choe PG, Song K-H, Jeon JH, Park SW, Kim HB, et al. Genetic factors influencing severe atazanavir-associated hyperbilirubinemia in a population with low UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1*28 allele frequency. Clin Infect Dis 2010;51:101-6.
- 81. Choi YH, Kim TW, Kim KP, Lee SS, Hong YS, Ryu MH, et al. A Phase II study of clinical outcomes of 3-week cycles of irinotecan and S-1 in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer: influence of the UGT1A1 and CYP2A6 polymorphisms on clinical activity. Oncology 2012;82:290-7.
- 82. Kim KP, Kim HS, Sym SJ, Bae KS, Hong YS, Chang HM, et al. A UG-T1A1*28 and *6 genotype-directed phase I dose-escalation trial of irinotecan with fixed-dose capecitabine in Korean patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2013;71:1609-17.
- 83. Benkov K, Lu Y, Patel A, Rahhal R, Russell G, Teitelbaum J. Role of thiopurine metabolite testing and thiopurine methyltransferase determination in pediatric IBD. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2013;56:333-40.
- 84. Lennard L. The clinical pharmacology of 6-mercaptopurine. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1992;43:329-39.
- 85. Evans WE, Hon YY, Bomgaars L, Coutre S, Holdsworth M, Janco R, et al. Preponderance of thiopurine S-methyltransferase deficiency and heterozygosity among patients intolerant to mercaptopurine or azathioprine. J Clin Oncol 2001;19:2293-301.
- 86. Appell ML, Berg J, Duley J, Evans WE, Kennedy MA, Lennard L, et al. Nomenclature for alleles of the thiopurine methyltransferase gene. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2013;23:242-8.
- 87. Kim HY, Lee SH, Lee MN, Kim JW, Kim YH, Kim MJ, et al. Complete sequence-based screening of TPMT variants in the Korean population. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2015;25:143-6.
- Turner D, Levine A, Escher JC, Griffiths AM, Russell RK, Dignass A, et al. Management of pediatric ulcerative colitis: joint ECCO and ESPGHAN evidence-based consensus guidelines. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012; 55:340-61
- 89. De Roock W, Jonker DJ, Di Nicolantonio F, Sartore-Bianchi A, Tu D, Siena S, et al. Association of KRAS p.G13D mutation with outcome in patients with chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. JAMA 2010;304:1812-20.
- Tejpar S, Celik I, Schlichting M, Sartorius U, Bokemeyer C, Van Cutsem E. Association of KRAS G13D tumor mutations with outcome in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with first-line chemotherapy with or without cetuximab. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:3570-7.
- Neumann J, Zeindl-Eberhart E, Kirchner T, Jung A. Frequency and type of KRAS mutations in routine diagnostic analysis of metastatic colorectal cancer. Pathol Res Pract 2009;205:858-62.
- 92. Whirl-Carrillo M, McDonagh EM, Hebert JM, Gong L, Sangkuhl K, Thorn CF, et al. Pharmacogenomics knowledge for personalized medicine. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2012;92:414-7.