



Comparative Analyses of the Digestive Tract Microbiota of New Guinean Passerine Birds

Kasun H. Bodawatta^{1,2*}, Katerina Sam³, Knud A. Jønsson² and Michael Poulsen¹

¹ Section for Ecology and Evolution, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ² Section for Biosystematics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³ Biology Centre AS CR v. v. i., Faculty of Science, Institute of Entomology and University of South Bohemia, Ceske Budejovice, Czechia

The digestive tract microbiota (DTM) plays a plethora of functions that enable hosts to exploit novel niches. However, our understanding of the DTM of birds, particularly passerines, and the turnover of microbial communities along the digestive tract are limited. To better understand how passerine DTMs are assembled, and how the composition changes along the digestive tract, we investigated the DTM of seven different compartments along the digestive tract of nine New Guinean passerine bird species using Illumina MiSeq sequencing of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA. Overall, passerine DTMs were dominated by the phyla Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. We found bird species-specific DTM assemblages and the DTM of different compartments from the same species tended to cluster together. We also found a notable relationship between gut community similarity and feeding guilds (insectivores vs. omnivores). The dominant bacterial genera tended to differ between insectivores and omnivores, with insectivores mainly having lactic acid bacteria that may contribute to the breakdown of carbohydrates. Omnivorous DTMs were more diverse than insectivores and dominated by the bacterial phyla Proteobacteria and Tenericutes. These bacteria may contribute to nitrogen metabolism, and the diverse omnivorous DTMs may allow for more flexibility with varying food availability as these species have wider feeding niches. In well-sampled omnivorous species, the dominant bacterial genera changed along the digestive tracts, which was less prominent for insectivores. In conclusion, the DTMs of New Guinean passerines seem to be species specific and, at least in part, be shaped by bird diet. The sampling of DTM along the digestive tract improved capturing of a more complete set of members, with implications for our understanding of the interactions between symbiont and gut compartment functions.

Keywords: symbiosis, microbiome, MiSeq amplicon sequencing, insectivores, omnivores, nutrition

INTRODUCTION

Animal bodies are sophisticated centers of symbiotic interactions (Ley et al., 2008; Huttenhower and The human microbiome project consortium, 2012; Macke et al., 2017) that have allowed for adaptations enabling hosts to exploit new niches (Russell et al., 2009; Godoy-Vitorino et al., 2012; Dietrich et al., 2014; Macke et al., 2017). Of the many microbial communities associated with hosts,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

David William Waite, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Reviewed by:

Meagan Dewar, Federation University, Australia Kirsten Grond, University of Connecticut, United States Shahid Karim, University of Southern Mississippi, United States

> *Correspondence: Kasun H. Bodawatta kasun.bodawatta@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Symbioses, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 15 May 2018 Accepted: 23 July 2018 Published: 10 August 2018

Citation:

Bodawatta KH, Sam K, Jønsson KA and Poulsen M (2018) Comparative Analyses of the Digestive Tract Microbiota of New Guinean Passerine Birds. Front. Microbiol. 9:1830. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01830 the digestive tract microbiota (DTM) is likely the most diverse, fulfilling essential functions in nutrition absorption, breakdown of macromolecules, vitamin synthesis, detoxification, and defense (Kohl, 2012; Poulsen et al., 2014; Ceja-Navarro et al., 2015). The DTM is not only of importance in the digestive tract, but also impacts the growth, development, and behavior of hosts (Round and Mazmanian, 2009; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013). Although extensive work on DTMs has been carried out on a number of hosts, a thorough understanding of the composition and role of the DTM is limited to relatively few taxa, including mammals (Ley et al., 2008; Huttenhower and The human microbiome project consortium, 2012; Kohl et al., 2018), insects (Macke et al., 2017), and some captive and livestock animals (Waite and Taylor, 2015; Hird, 2017).

Despite the diversity and global distribution of birds, studies of their microbial communities are limited (Lee, 2015; Waite and Taylor, 2015), especially in wild populations (Hird, 2017). The majority of avian DTM studies have been performed on commercial animals and charismatic species such as penguins (order: Sphenisciformes, family: Spheniscidae), hoatzin (order: Opisthocomiformes, family: Opisthocomidae), kakapo (order: Psittaciformes, family: Strigopidae), and vultures (Order: Accipitriformes, family: Cathartidae and Accipitridae) (Godoy-Vitorino et al., 2012; Kohl, 2012; Dewar et al., 2013; Roggenbuck et al., 2014; Vela et al., 2015; Waite and Taylor, 2015). Although Passeriformes comprises more than 50% of bird diversity (~6,000 species) (Dickinson and Christidis, 2014), their DTM composition has only been explored in fewer than 100 species (e.g., Hird et al., 2015; Kropáčková et al., 2017; García-Amado et al., 2018). Passerine birds occupy a multitude of habitats and feeding guilds, making them an ideal group for investigation of the evolution and roles of DTM associations. This is also likely to provide a better understanding of how the DTM influences passerine richness, distribution, and environmental adaptations.

The assemblage of DTM may vary according to the function of each region in the digestive tract (Kohl et al., 2018). For example, the acidic stomach environment is one of the major regions of digestion of macromolecules, and would thus be expected to house a community of acidophilus bacteria that play roles in digestion. In contrast, the lower regions of the small intestine and large intestine likely harbor specialized bacteria that contribute to nutrient absorption and processing (Stevens and Hume, 1995; Lu and Domingo, 2008; Svihus, 2014). However, most avian DTM studies have been based only on cloacal swabs or fecal samples (Waite and Taylor,



FIGURE 1 | (A) A map of the sampling localities in Papua New Guinea: the Wanang conservation area, the Mount Wilhelm transect in Madang province, and Wasaunon on the Huon Peninsula in the Morobe province. (B) The digestive tract of *Melipotes furnigatus* indicating six compartments dissected from the alcohol specimen. The regurgitated samples were used to analyse the crop (not shown) microbiota.

2015), and these alone are unlikely to fully represent the microbial communities across the entire digestive tract. This assumption is supported by a few studies that have compared different digestive tract compartments and found differences in microbial community compositions (Videvall et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Drovetski et al., 2018; García-Amado et al., 2018). These studies demonstrated that the DTMs of cloacal or fecal samples may qualitatively represent the microbiota of other sections of the digestive tract but not quantitatively (Videvall et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Lu and Domingo (2008) also showed that the microbial genes in feces are skewed toward genes related to nutrient absorption and processing rather than to digestion. This indicates that the fecal microbiota may be overrepresented by bacteria in the last regions of the digestive tract, where nutrient metabolism takes place (Stevens and Hume, 1995). More comparative studies on the DTM of digestive tract compartments of wild birds may improve our understanding of what determines the composition of microbial communities in natural conditions and identify the bacterial symbionts that play important roles along the digestive tract. In this study we investigate multiple digestive tract compartments of nine New Guinean passerine bird species belonging to two feeding guilds (insectivores and omnivores); Colluricincla megarhyncha, Ifrita kowaldi, Rhipidura atra, Crateroscelis robusta, Sericornis nouhuysi (insectivores), Melipotes fumigatus, Melanocharis nigra, Melanocharis versteri, Toxorhamphus poliopterus (omnivores), and assess the appropriateness of investigating single compartments of the digestive tract to capture the full DTM diversity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

A total of 33 alcohol bird specimens from the museum collections at the Natural History Museum of Denmark were used in

this study. Twenty-nine specimens were collected in 2015 and 2016 in Madang Province in Papua New Guinea (PNG) at five elevations, ranging from 200 to 3,700 m a.s.l., along the Mount Wilhelm elevational transect. Three specimens were collected at Wasaunon (\sim 2,950 m a.s.l.) in the Saruwaged Range on the Huon Peninsula in Morobe Province and one specimen was collected in the lowland rainforest of the Wanang Conservation Area (200 m a.s.l.) in Madang Province (Figure 1A, Table S1). Birds were captured using mist-nets, euthanized and immediately injected with 96% ethanol and preserved in 99% ethanol. An additional 51 regurgitated samples that had been collected between 2010 and 2012 from the same locations were included to analyse the crop microbiota. These samples were collected using the tartar emetic method to investigate the variation in diet of birds along the Mount Wilhelm elevational gradient, as described in detail by Sam et al. (2017). These samples were stored in 96% ethanol and select species were analyzed (Table 1 and Table S1).

Digestive tracts were dissected from alcohol specimens of nine species (**Table 1**) to represent multiple passerine clades (Corvides, Passerides, and Meliphagides). One to six individuals of each species were included (**Table 2**) and the number of bird individuals per species was governed by the availability of alcohol specimens. Six segments (stomach, beginning of the small intestine, middle of the small intestine, ceca region and the ileum, large intestine, and cloacal region) (**Figure 1B**) of the digestive tract were used.

DNA Extraction, Initial PCR, and MiSeq Amplicon Sequencing

DNA was extracted using DNeasy Blood and Tissue kits (Qiagen, Germany) following the protocol, except that only 75 μ l AE buffer was used to elute the DNA. The amount of tissue varied from 15 to 30 mg depending on the compartment, and the extraction protocol was adjusted accordingly. Diagnostic PCR was conducted at the University of Copenhagen to confirm the

 TABLE 1 | The nine Papua New Guinean passerine (Order: Passeriformes) bird species and number of original samples per sample type (R, regurgitated samples; A, dissected alcohol specimens), feeding guilds (Sam et al., 2017), and habitat and elevation (Pratt and Beehler, 2015).

Family	Species	Species Code	R	Α	Feeding Guild	Habitat
Pachycephalidae	Colluricincla megarhyncha	Cm	3	2	Insectivorous	Lowland (0–1800 m)
Ifritidae	lfrita kowaldi	lk	3	1	Insectivorous (Toxic prey)	Highland (1450–2900 m)
Rhipiduridae	Rhipidura atra	Ra	6	4	Insectivorous	Highland (700–3200 m)
Acanthizidae	Crateroscelis robusta	Cr	6	4	Insectivorous (Some fruits)	Highland (1750–3600 m)
Pardalotidae	Sericornis nouhuysi	Sn	6	5	Insectivorous (Some fruits)	Highland (1200–3750 m)
Meliphagidae	Melipotes fumigatus	Mf	6	3	Omnivorous (Fruits + Insects)	Highland (1000–4200 m)
Melanocharitidae	Melanocharis nigra	Mn	6	3	Omnivorous (Fruits + Insects)	Lowland (0–1200)
Melanocharitidae	Melanocharis versteri	Mv	6	4	Omnivorous (Fruits + Insects)	Highland (1700–3680 m)
Melanocharitidae	Toxorhamphus poliopterus	Тр	6	6	Omnivorous (Nectar + Insects)	Highland (300–2450 m)

on visual	
le based	
led suitab	
'ere deem	
ng, and w	
cks, filterii	
uality che	
passed qi	
ples that	
and sam	
encing (A)	
Seq sequ	
during Mi	
amplified	
nples that	
n (S), san	
A extractio	Ô.
d for DN/	jure S1) (
mples use	urves (Fi ç
ber of sar	efaction c
The num	of the ran
TABLE 2	inspection

Rodawatta et al

	nples rop)	8		Stomach	-	Begir of srr intest	uning all ine		Midc of srr intest	lle tall ine		Cecur	Èc		Larg intesti	ine e		O	loaca
Species S	A	σ	s	۷	σ	s	۲	Ø	s	۷	a	s	۷	Ø	S	A	Ø	s	A
Ifrita kowaldi 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colluricincla megarhyncha	N	0	N	0	0	0	0	2	N	N	0	N	N	0	2	N	0	N	N
Rhipidura atra	-	-	4	4	4	4	0	÷	4	ო	e	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Crateroscelis robusta	-	-	4	4	4	4	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Sericomis nouhuysi	4	4	Ŋ	ო	÷	Ð	4	ო	Ð	IJ	5	Ð	Ŋ	5	IJ	IJ	5	IJ	4
Melipotes fumigatus	0	0	e	2	0	ო	0	0	с	-	÷	с	2	0	с	-	÷	ო	0
Melanocharis nigra	0	0	ო	0	÷	თ	ო	ო	ო	ო	0	თ	-	÷	ო	e	0	ო	0
Melanocharis versteri	4	4	4	2	0	4	-	÷	4	0	0	4	0	0	4	თ	0	4	4
Toxorhamphus poliopterus 6	ო	4	9	ო	ო	9	4	4	9	4	4	9	4	4	9	4	e	9	4

presence of sufficient bacterial DNA. We used primers for the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene ('V4.SA504 and 'V4.SB711) following Otani et al. (2016) and PCRs were conducted under conditions of 96°C for 4 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and an extension step of 74°C for 4 min (cf., Otani et al., 2016). Amplifications were assessed on a 1.5% agarose gel.

Successfully amplified samples from the diagnostic PCR were sequenced by the Microbial System Molecular Biology lab at the University of Michigan using Illumina MiSeq. PCR reactions were 20 μ l and the master mix contained AccuPrime PCR buffer 2, AccuPrime HiFi polymerase and 4 μ M of the same primers ('V4.SA504 and 'V4.SB711). Reactions were performed under the PCR conditions of denaturing of 96°C for 1 min, 30 cycles of annealing (95°C for 20 s, 55°C 15 s, and 72°C for 5 min), and extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Data Analysis

MiSeq sequences were analyzed using Mothur 1.35.1 (Kozich et al., 2013). Chimeric sequences were filtered using the VSEARCH package and non-bacterial sequences (e.g., mitochondrial sequences) were removed. Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) (Cut-off 97%) of bacteria were aligned and identified using the SILVA bacterial reference library (Kozich et al., 2013). Sample coverage was evaluated by generating rarefaction curves with Mothur 1.35.1 (Figure S1). Samples with less than 2,500 reads and samples that did not display a flattening rarefaction curve based on visual inspection were excluded (Table 2). We also calculated the inverse Simpson's diversity index and the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of bacterial communities in different compartments. We used vegan and Bidiversity R packages in R version 3.5.0 (R Core Team, 2013) to conduct statistical analysis. To visualize community similarities, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA), and a scree plot analysis was conducted to evaluate the variation explained by the first ten principal components. We also conducted an adonis statistical test (a permutational multivariate analysis of variance using Bray-Curtis distance matrices) with 999 permutations to examine whether there were species-level and digestive tract compartment-level differences in DTMs. FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/) was used to generate trees.

RESULTS

After a chimera check and quality filtering, a total of 7,505,272 bacterial DNA sequences were retained from 149 intestinal compartment samples. The number of sequences per sample ranged from 2,904 to 261,625 (average 50,371), classified to 15,767 OTUs at the 97% sequence-similarity cut-off level (range 29–4,017 per sample; **Table S2**). Classified sequences belonged to 27 phyla, dominated by Firmicutes (49.2% of the OTUs), followed by Proteobacteria (25.6%) and Actinobacteria (12.7%). Only 5% of the sequences could not be classified at the phylum level (**Table S3**). The most abundant genera include an unclassified Carnobacteriaceae genus (Firmicutes) representing 31.4% of all sequences, followed by an unclassified Enterobacteriaceae



genus (8%: Proteobacteria), *Lactobacillus* (4.9%: Firmicutes), an unclassified Gammaproteobacteria genus (4.7%: Proteobacteria), an unclassified Actinomycetales genus (2.6%: Actinobacteria), *Rothia* (2.5%: Actinobacteria), an unclassified Rhizobiales genus (1.9%: Proteobacteria), and an unclassified Mycoplasmataceae genus (1.6%: Tenericutes). We did not distinguish between intraand extra-cellular bacteria in our approaches, but since we only found one well-represented genus of a (facultative) intracellular bacteria (*Bartonella*) in one individual of *R. atra*, we anticipate that the bacteria identified are most likely to primarily be extracellular.

The adonis tests showed that DTMs were significantly different between bird species (p = 0.001, $R^2 = 0.24$) but there were no significant difference between digestive tract compartments (p = 0.627, $R^2 = 0.04$). Loading value analyses (**Table S4**) indicated that OTU 01 (Firmicutes, unclassified Carnobacteriaceae) contributed the most to the separation of

S. nouhuysi, C. robusta, and R. atra communities (insectivores) in PCA space, while OTU 04 (Firmicutes, Lactobacillus) contributed to the separation of most M. nigra samples from the remaining communities. Furthermore, OTU 08 (Tenericutes, unclassified Mycoplasmataceae) and OTU 10 (Proteobacteria, Helicobacter) accounted for the separation of M. versteri and T. poliopterus (omnivores) from the others (Figure 2). Overall, Bray-Curtis distances revealed that bacterial communities in insectivorous species were more similar to each other than bacterial communities were to each other in omnivorous species (Figure 3). However, clustering was not in two perfectly distinct groups; rather, there were multiple clusters comprised of either insectivorous or omnivorous DTMs, and the omnivores were sub-clustered according to nectar feeders vs. fruit feeders (Figure 3). Different gut compartments of insectivorous species were more similar than those of omnivorous species, and compartments from the same individual



were more similar to each other than to those of other individuals (**Figures 2**, **3**).

The inverse Simpson's diversity index of the DTM between different compartments varied between bird species; however, variation, based on the standard errors, between individuals was low in well-sampled species (**Figure 4**). Generally, diversity increased as one moved along the digestive tract in most insectivorous species, which had lower diversity than omnivorous species, with the exception being *I. kowaldi.* This trend was less consistent for omnivorous species, but lower diversity was observed in the midgut of most species, except for

M. versteri. Statistical tests for individual species was not possible due to the low sample sizes.

Insectivores and omnivores displayed distinct differences in abundances of bacterial phyla. The DTM of insectivorous species were dominated by Firmicutes, except for *I. kowaldi*, which had a higher representation of Actinobacteria, whereas Proteobacteria dominated the DTM of omnivores. The nectarfeeding omnivore *T. poliopterus* had a higher number of OTUs belong to the Tenericutes. Furthermore, omnivores had a higher diversity of bacterial phyla compared to insectivores (**Figure 5**).



Due to the different abundances of bacterial phyla, we determined the 20 most abundant genera separately for each feeding guild, where only nine of the most abundant genera were shared (Table 3). Nine of the 20 most abundant genera in insectivores belonged to the Firmicutes (Figure 6). On average 75.2 \pm 8.6% (SE) [83.4 \pm 3.3% (SE) if we exclude I. kowaldi] of the OTUs belonged to the 20 most abundant genera, with the unclassified Carnobacteriaceae genus typically dominating. I. kowaldi appears to be a special case within the insectivores, with a more diverse and more evenly distributed microbiota. Consequently, only 42.6% of the sequences were covered by the 20 most abundant insectivorous genera. In omnivorous birds, eight out of the 20 most abundant genera were Proteobacteria and they represented 59 \pm 9.7% (SE) of the OTUs, with the dominant bacterial genera varying between species. M. nigra was dominated by the genus Lactobacillus, M. versteri by Helicobacter and multiple other proteobacterial genera, the T. poliopterus DTM was mainly dominated by Ureaplasma and an unclassified genus in the Mycoplasmataceae, and the twenty most abundant genera were more evenly distributed in *M. fumigatus* (Figure 7).

In insectivorous species, the stomach and the beginning of the small intestine were typically dominated by 2–3 bacterial genera mainly belonging to Firmicutes (**Figures 5**, **6**), while bacterial communities in the crop and the lower digestive tract were inhabited by more genera and phyla, such as Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia. In contrast, omnivores showed higher species-level and individual variation in the distribution of their 20 most common bacterial genera along the digestive tract (**Figure 7**). Moreover, the DTM of different compartments were typically dominated by multiple genera of Proteobacteria; although, the dominant genera tended to change along the digestive tract.

DISCUSSION

We investigated the DTM along the digestive tract of nine New Guinean passerine bird species and found that Firmicutes and Proteobacteria dominate the DTMs, which is consistent with previous studies of the passerine gut microbiota (Hird et al., 2014, 2015; Lewis et al., 2016; Kropáčková et al., 2017; García-Amado et al., 2018; Teyssier et al., 2018). DTM assemblages were speciesspecific and mainly shaped by diet, which agrees to some extent with recent studies on Neotropical (Hird et al., 2015; García-Amado et al., 2018) and European birds (Kropáčková et al., 2017). Even though we found species-specific DTMs, our microbial communities did not aggregate according to the phylogenetic placement of host birds; rather they clustered according to bird feeding guild. Previous studies on passerines have suggested that host phylogeny plays a main role in DTM assembly and ecological and life histories, such as feeding guilds, play secondary roles in shaping the DTM (Hird et al., 2015; Kropáčková et al., 2017; García-Amado et al., 2018). Our findings, however, are consistent with studies on mammals, for which host diet appears to be more important than host phylogeny (Ley et al., 2008; Muegge et al., 2011). Similar to previous DTM studies in passerines our study also demonstrates high individual variation in the DTM suggesting that the inclusion of more individuals is needed to assess the levels of intra-specific DTM variation.

The observed differences between the two feeding guilds (insectivores and omnivores) are most likely the result



of differences in digestive needs (cf. Wang et al., 2016). Insectivorous diets are dominated by protein-rich arthropods, whereas omnivorous diets contain fruits, seeds, nectar, and insects (Sam et al., 2017). Lactic acid bacteria such as *Lactobacillus, Enterococcus*, and Carnobacteriaceae genera dominate the digestive tract compartments of insectivores. One of their main functions in the human digestive tract is

carbohydrate metabolism (Hammes and Hertel, 2006; Pikuta, 2014), and a similar function is expected in birds. Many *Lactobacillus* and Carnobacteriaceae genera also produce antimicrobial substances that may play roles in the defense against antagonists, and they may play a role in detoxification through bile acid hydrolysis and removal of by-products of protein hydrolysis (Hammes and Hertel, 2006). *Enterococcus*

Insectivores			Omnivores			
Phylum	Family	Genus	Phylum	Family	Genus	
Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales (U)	Actinomycetales (U)	Actinobacteria	Micrococcaceae	Rothia	
Actinobacteria	Conexibacteraceae	Conexibacter	Actinobacteria	Microbacteriaceae	Microbacteriaceae (U)	
Actinobacteria	Pseudonocardiaceae	Pseudonocardia	Bacteroidetes	Unclassified	Unclassified	
Actinobacteria	Mycobacteriaceae	Mycobacterium	Firmicutes	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	
Firmicutes	Carnobacteriaceae	Carnobacteriaceae (U)	Firmicutes	Carnobacteriaceae	Carnobacteriaceae (U)	
Firmicutes	Enterococcaceae	Enterococcus	Firmicutes	Staphylococcaceae	Staphylococcus	
Firmicutes	Lactobacillaceae	Lactobacillus	Firmicutes	Leuconostocaceae	Leuconostoc	
Firmicutes	Clostridiaceae_1	Clostridium	Firmicutes	Lactobacillales (U)	Lactobacillales (U)	
Firmicutes	Streptococcaceae	Lactococcus	Firmicutes	Streptococcaceae	Lactococcus	
Firmicutes	Leuconostocaceae	Leuconostoc	Proteobacteria	Helicobacteraceae	Helicobacter	
Firmicutes	Staphylococcaceae	Staphylococcus	Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	Enterobacteriaceae (U)	
Firmicutes	Clostridiales (U)	Clostridiales (U)	Proteobacteria	Rhizobiales (U)	Rhizobiales (U)	
Firmicutes	Peptostreptococcaceae	Peptostreptococcaceae (U)	Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria (U)	Gammaproteobacteria (U)	
Proteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria	Gammaproteobacteria (U)	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria (U)	Alphaproteobacteria (U)	
Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriaceae	Enterobacteriaceae (U)	Proteobacteria	Oxalobacteraceae	Oxalobacteraceae (U)	
Proteobacteria	Rhodobacteraceae	Paracoccus	Proteobacteria	Rhizobiaceae	Rhizobium	
Proteobacteria	Bartonellaceae	Bartonella	Proteobacteria	Moraxellaceae	Acinetobacter	
Proteobacteria	Rhizobiaceae	Rhizobium	Tenericutes	Mycoplasmataceae	Ureaplasma	
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	Tenericutes	Mycoplasmataceae	Mycoplasmataceae (U)	
Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobia (U)	Verrucomicrobia (U)	Verrucomicrobia	Verrucomicrobia (U)	Verrucomicrobia (U)	

TABLE 3 | The 20 most abundant bacterial genera in insectivorous and omnivorous DTMs.

Unclassified families and genera are represented with "U." Gray highlighted genera presented in both insectivores and omnivores.

bacteria can hydrolyse amino acids (LeBlanc, 2006), which has been shown to be important in carnivorous mammals to generate energy (Muegge et al., 2011). Thus, it is conceivable that insectivorous passerines with a protein-rich diet benefit in similar ways from lactic acid bacteria to improve energy uptake from protein-rich diets and by reducing harmful by-products of protein hydrolysis.

Omnivorous species, most of which had Proteobacteria-rich DTMs, were more variable in their DTMs between species than insectivorous birds. The majority of the OTUs driving these differences, e.g., Gammaproteobacteria (Enterobacteriaceae) and Alphaproteobacteria (Rhizobiaceae), include bacterial species that are capable of nitrogen fixation and amino acid synthesis (Panina et al., 2001; Zehr et al., 2003). The presence of these bacteria may thus contribute to the nitrogen budget of omnivorous birds, which feed on plant material that is generally low in nitrogen. Accordingly, Muegge et al. (2011) showed higher levels of amino acid synthesizing enzymes coded for by members of the microbiota in herbivorous mammals. A similar specialized DTM member function may apply for the nectar-feeding omnivore T. poliopterus. Nectar contains little nitrogen, so Mycoplasmataceae in the small and large intestines of T. poliopterus may help conserve nitrogen, as bacteria in this family are known to be able to hydrolyse urea (Glass et al., 2000). This is consistent with work by Preest et al. (2003), who suggested that uric acid may be broken down to recycle nitrogen in the lower intestine of nectar feeders, such as hummingbirds.

Some DTMs of the omnivorous *M. nigra* were more similar to those of insectivores, which is consistent with findings by Sam et al. (2017) that insects are a major component of the diet in some individuals of this species. Variable diets between individuals thus likely induce variation in DTMs. This is supported by the finding that the DTMs of some individuals of *M. nigra* are similar to insectivores, while others resemble omnivorous species. Comparable variability in DTM composition was recently documented in frugivorous Neotropical passerines (García-Amado et al., 2018), and a potentially more flexible and diverse DTM may enable omnivorous species to adjust their diet according to the availability of food resources. It appears a promising avenue of further research to determine how particular diets may alter the DTM composition for a given individual.

The DTM of *I. kowaldi*, which contains batrachotoxins in its tissue (possibly stemming from a diet of beetles, which also contain this toxin) (Dumbacher et al., 2000, 2008), was markedly different from all other insectivores in this study, with a higher proportion of Actinobacteria, including *Conexibacter* and *Pseudonocardia*. Bacteria from the genus *Pseudonocardia* inhabit toxic environments and typically have capacities to break down toxins (Veinberg et al., 2006), and members of the genus associate with fungus-farming ants for antimicrobial production (Cafaro et al., 2011). The genome of *Conexibacter* has a high proportion (11%) of genes related to amino acid metabolism (Pukall et al., 2010), suggesting a potential importance of *Conexibacter* for nitrogen recycling. Alternatively, the distinct composition of the



DTM of *I. kowaldi* might be a result of its isolated phylogenetic position in the passerine phylogeny, within which it is the sole member of the family (Ifritidae) (Dickinson and Christidis, 2014). We were only able to include a single individual and were therefore unable to assess individual variation within this species. Future work comparing more individuals is clearly needed to corroborate our findings and to determine the reasons for the potentially relatively unique DTM composition of *I. kowaldi*.

We did not find common avian pathogenic bacterial genera, such as *Salmonella*, in our study. Some species belonging to common genera identified, such as *Lactobacillus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Staphylococcus*, can be pathogenic in birds (Benskin et al., 2009). However, these genera also contain a multitude of beneficial bacterial symbionts (Hammes and Hertel, 2006; LeBlanc, 2006).

Thus far, the majority of studies of avian DTM have focused on single compartments of the digestive tract to assess the composition of the entire digestive tract (Lee, 2015; Waite and Taylor, 2015) with evidence both for (Sekelja et al., 2012) and against (Lu and Domingo, 2008; Stanley et al., 2015) such an approach. We found notable species-specific differences in major bacterial groups along the digestive tract, with changes



apparently depending on whether the birds were insectivores or omnivores. The crop region contained a higher DTM diversity and a different profile of bacteria compared to the mid gut regions. Some of this diversity might be accounted for by bacteria that entered with the food. We did not pursue this matter further but speculate that the reduced diversity and the changed composition of DTM in the stomach regions may be caused by the acidic stomach, which may act as a barrier to exogenous bacteria. Compared to the mid gut regions, the DTM in the large intestine and cloacal regions were more diverse and reflected the majority of bacterial OTUs from other regions of the digestive tract. The changes in DTM diversity along the passerine digestive tract and higher diversity in the hind regions, is consistent with a recent study on Deer mice (Kohl et al., 2018). Focusing on hind regions of the digestive tract thus likely provide sufficient qualitative insights into what microbes are present. However, they may not be sufficient to identify quantitative differences in major bacterial groups along the digestive tract, which align with previous comparative studies (Sekelja et al., 2012; Stanley et al., 2015; Videvall et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Moreover, the cloaca may also be affected by factors that mask the true endogenous DTM, such as the transfer of microbes during mating (White et al., 2010).

Our study was able to use museum alcohol specimens to investigate the DTM of multiple passerine species. This provides the first insights into New Guinean passerine DTMs and how DTMs change along the digestive tract of wild birds. We show that the DTM of insectivores is more consistent among species than omnivores and that the DTMs change along the digestive tract. The omnivorous DTMs were more variable but also show a change in major bacterial groups in different regions of the digestive tract. These differences in the DTM between feeding guilds appear to be driven by diet, where insectivores have a somewhat consistent diet based on insects, while omnivores have a more flexible diet including animal and plant material. Improved sampling of freshly dissected guts for an increased number of passerine species will enable a better understanding of the evolution of DTMs in this diverse bird order. It will also be relevant to explore different gut regions to improve our understanding of roles of these DTMs in different compartments based on the function of the digestive tract region.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

MiSeq data is available from the NCBI SRA archive (SRP143623).

ETHICS STATEMENT

The fieldwork protocol, including ethical standards, was approved by the ethics committee at the Natural History Museum of Denmark. The fieldwork protocol follows Guidelines to the Use of Wild Birds in Research formulated by The Ornithological Council.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KB dissected the digestive tracts and conducted the molecular work and sequence analyses. KJ collected bird specimens. KS collected the regurgitated samples. KB, KJ, and MP wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Danida Foundation to KB (A31661), The Villum Kann Rasmussen Foundation to MP

REFERENCES

- Benskin, C. M. H., Wilson, K., Jones, K., and Hartley, I. R. (2009). Bacterial pathogens in wild birds: a review of the frequency and effects of infection. *Biol. Rev.* 84, 349–373. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2008.0 0076.x
- Cafaro, M. J., Poulsen, M., Little, A. E. F., Price, S. L., Gerardo, N. M., Wong, B., et al. (2011). Specificity in the symbiotic association between fungus-growing ants and protective *Pseudonocardia* bacteria. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 278, 1814–1822. doi: 10.1098/rspb
- Ceja-Navarro, J. A., Vega, F. A., Karaoz, U., Hao, Z., Jenkins, S., Lim, H. C., et al. (2015). Gut microbiota mediate caffeine detoxification in the primary insect pest of coffee. *Nat. Commun.* 6:7618. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8618
- Dewar, M. L., Arnold, J. P. Y., Dann, P., Trathan, P., Groscolas, R., and Smith, S. (2013). Interspecific variations in the gastrointestinal microbiota in penguins. *Microbiologyopen* 2, 195–204. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.66
- Dickinson, E. C., and Christidis, L. (eds). (2014). *The Howard and Moore Complete Checklist of the Birds of the World, Vol. 2: Passerines, 4th Edn.* Eastbourne: Aves Press.
- Dietrich, C., Köhler, T., and Brune, A. (2014). The cockroach origin of the termite gut microbiota: patterns in bacterial community structure reflect major evolutionary events. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 2261–2269. doi: 10.1128/AEM.04206-13
- Drovetski, S. V., O'Mahony, M., Ransome, E. J., Matterson, K. O., Lim, H. C., Chesser, R. T., et al. (2018). Spatial organization of the gastrointestinal microbiota in urban Canada geese. *Sci. Rep.* 8:3713. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-21892-y
- Dumbacher, J. P., Deiner, K., Thompson, L., and Fleischer, R. C., (2008). Phylogeney of the avian genus Pitohui and the evolution of toxicity in bird. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 49, 774–781. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev
- Dumbacher, J. P., Spande, T. F., and Daly, J. W. (2000). Batrachotoxin alkaloids from passerine birds: a second toxic bird genus (*Ifrita kowaldi*) from New Guinea. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97, 12970–12975. doi: 10.1073/pnas.200346897
- García-Amado, M. A., Shin, H., Sanz, V., Lentino, M., Martínez, L. M., Contreras, M., et al. (2018). Comparison of gizzard and intestinal microbiota of wild neotropical birds. *PLoS ONE* 13:e0194857. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0194857
- Glass, J. I., Lefkowitz, E. J., Glass, J. S., Heiner, C. R., Chen, E. Y., and Cassell, G. H. (2000). The complete sequence of the mucosal pathogen *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. *Nature* 407, 757–762. doi: 10.1038/35037619

(VKR10101) and KJ (VKR15560), GACR to KS (14-36098G), and The Carlsberg Foundation to MP (CF17-0597) and KJ (CF17-0248). We would like to thank Vojtech Novotny and the staff at the Binatang Research Center in Madang, PNG for their help with collection of the samples. We also acknowledge the PNG Immigration & Citizen Service Authority and the Department of Environment and Conservation, Boroko, Papua New Guinea for granting research and export permits. We thank Andrew Hart Reeve for permission to use the photos included in **Figure 5**. Finally, we thank Jan Bolding Kristensen, Saria Otani, Sylvia Mathiasen, Haofu Hu, Panagiotis Sapountzis, Ana Barrientos, Lisa Brandenborg, and David R. Nash for help and guidance throughout this project.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.01830/full#supplementary-material

- Godoy-Vitorino, F., Goldfarb, K. C., Karaoz, U., Leal, S., Garcia-Amado, M. A., Hugenholtz, P., et al. (2012). Comparative analyses of foregut and hindgut bacterial communities in hoatzins and cows. *ISME J.* 6, 531–541. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.131
- Hammes, W. P., and Hertel, C. (2006). "The genera of Lactobacillus and Carnobacterium," in The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria, eds M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (New York, NY: Springer-Verlag), 320–403.
- Hird, S. M. (2017). Evolutionary biology needs wild microbiomes. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:725. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00725
- Hird, S. M., Carstens, B. C., Cardiff, S. W., Dittmann, D. L., and Brumfield, R. B. (2014). Sampling locality is more detectable than taxonomy or ecology in the gut microbiota of the brood-parasitic Brown-headed Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*). *PeerJ* 2:e321. doi: 10.7717/peerj.321
- Hird, S. M., Sánchez, C., Carstens, B. C., and Brumfield, R. T. (2015). Comparative gut microbiota of 59 neotropical bird species. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1403. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01403
- Huttenhower, C., and The human microbiome project consortium (2012). Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 486, 207–214. doi: 10.1038/nature11234
- Kohl, K. D. (2012). Diversity and the function of the avian gut microbiota. J. Comp. Physiol. B 182, 591–602. doi: 10.1007/s00360-012-0645-z
- Kohl, K. D., Dearing, M. D., and Bordenstein, S. R. (2018). Microbial communities exhibit host species distinguishability and phylosymbiosis along the length of the gastrointestinal tract. *Mol. Ecol.* 27, 1874–1883. doi: 10.1111/mec.14460
- Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., and Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5112–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01043-13
- Kropáčková, L., Tesicky, M., Albrecht, T., Kubovčiak, J., Cisková, D., Tomásek, O., et al. (2017). Codiversification of gastrointestinal microbiota and phylogeny in passerines is not explained by ecological divergence. *Mol. Ecol.* 26, 5292–5304. doi: 10.1111/mec.14144
- LeBlanc, D. J. (2006). "Enterococcus," in "The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the Biology of Bacteria," eds M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (New York, NY: Springer Science), 175–204.
- Lee, W. Y. (2015). Avian gut microbiota and behavioral studies. *Korean J. Ornithol.* 22, 1–11. Available online at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/ Won_Young_Lee2/publication/281350575_Avian_gut_microbiota_and_ behavioral_studies/links/55e3ac1908aede0b5733cae4/Avian-gut-microbiotaand-behavioral-studies.pdf

- Lewis, W. B., Moore, F. R., and Wang, S. (2016). Characterization of the gut microbiota of migratory passerines during stopover along the northern coast of the Gulf of Mexico. J. Avian Biol. 47, 659–668. doi: 10.1111/jav.00954
- Ley, R. E., Hamady, M., Lozupone, C., Turnbaugh, P. J., Ramey, R. R., Bircher, J. S., et al. (2008). Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science* 320, 1647–1651. doi: 10.1126/science.1155725
- Lu, J., and Domingo, J. S. (2008). Turkey fecal microbial community structure and functional gene diversity revealed by 16S rRNA and metagenomic sequencing. *J. Microbiol.* 46, 469–477. doi: 10.1007/s12275-008-0117-z
- Macke, E., Tasiemski, A., Massol, F., Callens, M., and Decaestecker, E. (2017). Life history and the eco-evolutionary dynamics of the gut microbiota. *Oikos* 126, 508–531. doi: 10.1111/oik.03900
- Muegge, B. D., Kuczynski, J., Knights, D., Clemente, J. C., González, A., Fontana, L., et al. (2011). Diet drives convergence in microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science* 332, 970–974. doi: 10.1126/science.1198719.
- Otani, S., Hansen, L. H., Sørensen, S. J., and Poulsen, M. (2016). Bacterial communities in termite fungus combs are comprised of consistent gut deposits and contributions from the environment. *Microb. Ecol.* 71, 207–220. doi: 10.1007/s00248-015-0692-6
- Panina, E. M., Vistreschak, A. G., Mironov, A. A., and Gelfand, M. S. (2001). Regulation of aromatic amino acid biosynthesis in Gamma-Proteobacteria. J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 3, 529–543.
- Pikuta, E. V. (2014). "Section 2: Family Carnobacteraceae" in *The Lactic Acid Bacteria: Biodiversity and Taxonomy, 1st edn*, eds W. H. Holzapfel and B. J. B. Wood (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd), 107–108.
- Poulsen, M., Hu, H., Li, C., Chen, Z., Xu, L., Otani, S. et al. (2014). Complementary symbiont contributions to plant decomposition in a fungus-farming termite. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 14500–14505. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319718111
- Pratt, K. T., and Beehler, B. M. (2015). *Birds of New Guinea, 2nd Edn*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Preest, M. R., Folk, G. D., and Beuchat, C. A. (2003). Decomposition of nitrogenous compounds by intestinal bacteria in hummingbirds. *Auk* 120, 1091–1101. doi: 10.2307/4090280
- Pukall, R., Lipidus, A., Rio, T. G. D., Copeland, A., Tice, H., Cheng, J., et al. (2010). Complete genome sequence of *Conexibacter woesei* type strain (ID131577^T). *Stand. Genomic Sci.* 2, 212–219. doi: 10.4056/sigs.751339
- R Core Team (2013). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online at: http:// www.R-project.org/
- Roggenbuck, M., Schnell, I. B., Blom, N., Bælum, J., Bertelsen, F. M., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., et al. (2014). The microbiome of new world vultures. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5498. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6498
- Round, J. L., and Mazmanian, S. K. (2009). The Gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 9, 313–323. doi: 10.1038/nri2515
- Russell, J. A., Moreau, C. S., Goldman-Huertas, B., Fujiwara, M., Lohman, D. J., and Pierce, N. E. (2009). Bacterial gut symbionts are tightly linked with the evolution of herbivory in ants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 21236–21241. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907926106
- Sam, K., Koane, B., Jeppy, S., Sykorova, J., and Novotny, V. (2017). Diet of land birds along an elevational gradient in Papua New Guinea. Sci. Rep. 7:44018. doi: 10.1038/srep44018
- Sekelja, M., Rud, I., Knutsen, S. H., Denstadli, V., Westereng, B., Næs, T., et al. (2012). Abrupt temporal fluctuations in the chicken fecal microbiota

are explained by its gastrointestinal origin. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 2941–2948. doi: 10.1128/aem.05391-11

- Sommer, F., and Bäckhed, F. (2013). The gut microbiota masters of host development and physiology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 227–238. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2974
- Stanley, D., Geier, M. S., Chen, H., Hughes, R. J., and Moore, R. J. (2015). Comparison of fecal and cecal microbiotas reveals qualitative similarities but quantitative differences. *BMC Microbiol.* 15:51. doi: 10.1186/s12866-015-0388-6
- Stevens, C. E., and, I. D., Hume (1995). Comparative Physiology of the Vertebrate Digestive System, 2 Edn. Cambridge: Cambridge university press.
- Svihus, B. (2014). Function of the digestive system. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 23, 306–314. doi: 10.3382/japr.2014-00937
- Teyssier, A., Rouffaer, L. O., Hudin, N. S., Strubbe, D., Matthysen, E., Lens, L., et al. (2018). Inside the guts of the city: urban-induced alterations of the gut microbiota in a wild passerine. *Sci. Total Environ.* 612, 1276–1286. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.035
- Veinberg, S., McClay, K., Masuda, H., Root, D., Condee, C., Zylstra, D. J., et al. (2006). Biodegradation of ether pollutants by *Pseudonocardia* sp. strain ENV478. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5218–5224. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00160-06
- Vela, A. I., Casas-Diaz, E., Fernandez-Garayzabal, J. F., Serrano, E., Agusti, S., Porrero, M. C., et al. (2015). Estimation of cultivable bacterial diversity in the cloacae and pharynx in eurasian griffon vultures (*Gyps fulvus*). *Microb. Ecol.* 69, 597–607. doi: 10.1007/s00248-014-0513-3
- Videvall, E., Strandh, M., Engelbrecht, A., Cloete, S., and Cornwallis, C. K. (2017). Measuring the gut microbiome in birds: comparison of faecal and cloacal sampling. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 18, 424–434. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12744
- Waite, D. W., and Taylor, M. W. (2015). Exploring the avian gut microbiota: current trends and future directions. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:673. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00673
- Wang, W., Cao, J., Li, J. R., Yang, F., Li, Z., and Li, L. X. (2016). Comparative analysis of the gastrointestinal microbial communities of bar-headed goose (*Anser indicus*) in different breeding patterns by high-throughput sequencing. *Microbiol. Res.* 182, 59–67. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2015.10.003
- White, J., Mirleau, P., Danchin, E., Mulard, H., Hatch, S. A., Heeb, P., et al. (2010). Sexually transmitted bacteria affect female cloacal assemblages in a wild bird. *Ecol. Lett.* 13, 1515–1524. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01542.x
- Zehr, J. P., Jenkins, B. D., Short, S. M., and Steward, G. F. (2003). Nitrogenase gene diversity and microbial community structure: a cross-system comparison. *Environ. Microbiol.* 5, 539–554. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00451.x
- Zhang, Y., Simon, S. E., Johnson, J. A., and Allen, M. S. (2017). Spatial microbial composition along the gastrointestinal tract of captive attwater's prairie chicken. *Microb. Ecol.* 73, 966–977. doi: 10.1007/s00248-016-0870-1

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Bodawatta, Sam, Jønsson and Poulsen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.