PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb

Introduction



Cite this article: Becker DJ, Washburne AD, Faust CL, Pulliam JRC, Mordecai EA, Lloyd-Smith JO, Plowright RK. 2019 Dynamic and integrative approaches to understanding pathogen spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**: 20190014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0014

Accepted: 21 June 2019

One contribution of 20 to a theme issue 'Dynamic and integrative approaches to understanding pathogen spillover'.

Subject Areas:

ecology, health and disease and epidemiology, evolution, microbiology, theoretical biology

Keywords:

cross-species transmission, epidemics, pandemics, zoonotic, surveillance, interventions

Author for correspondence:

Daniel J. Becker e-mail: danbeck@iu.edu

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

PUBLISHING

Dynamic and integrative approaches to understanding pathogen spillover

Daniel J. Becker^{1,2,3}, Alex D. Washburne¹, Christina L. Faust⁴, Juliet R. C. Pulliam⁵, Erin A. Mordecai⁶, James O. Lloyd-Smith^{7,8} and Raina K. Plowright¹

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA ²Center for the Ecology of Infectious Diseases, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA ³Department of Biology, Indiana University, Placeminsten, IN, USA

⁴Institute of Biodiversity Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK ⁵South African Centre for Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA), Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

⁶Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

⁷Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA
⁸Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

DJB, 0000-0003-4315-8628; ADW, 0000-0002-6174-0526; CLF, 0000-0002-8824-7424; JRCP, 0000-0003-3314-8223; EAM, 0000-0002-4402-5547; JOL-S, 0000-0001-7941-502X; RKP, 0000-0002-3338-6590

1. Introduction

Pathogen spillover is the process by which a pathogen is transmitted from a reservoir host species to a recipient host species [1,2]. The term is sometimes used more broadly, particularly in public discourse, blending in elements of onward transmission in the novel host species or even pathogen adaptation to the novel host [3,4]. This theme issue focuses on pathogen spillover *sensu stricto*, except where explicitly noted. Many of the examples considered pertain to zoonotic spillover (i.e. from wildlife or domestic animals to humans), given recent epidemics (e.g. Ebola virus [5]) and pandemics (e.g. H1N1 influenza virus [6]); however, we emphasize the general methods and mechanisms involved in understanding spillover between any two species, such as those that threaten wildlife conservation (e.g. *Mycoplasma ovipneumoniae* from domestic sheep to bighorn sheep [7]) and the agricultural sector (e.g. *Brucella abortus* from elk to cattle [8]).

Spillover requires the spatial and temporal alignment of several hierarchical factors that must occur for a pathogen to be transmitted from a reservoir or source host to a recipient host of a different species [9]. These factors include reservoir host distribution and abundance, pathogen prevalence and shedding from reservoir hosts; pathogen survival in the environment or arthropod vector; recipient host contact with the infectious agent, reservoir host or arthropod vector; and susceptibility of the recipient host. Following spillover, another suite of factors determines whether a pathogen is transmitted within the recipient host population (e.g. [10]). Research on pathogen spillover is often focused on a single component of this process through the lens of a particular discipline. For example, the distribution of reservoir hosts is often studied through ecology, contact between reservoirs and humans is often studied via epidemiology or anthropology, and the pathogenesis of zoonoses in humans is often studied with medical microbiology and immunology. While each factor must be studied and quantified, spillover is the emergent property of these collective processes. Studying each factor in isolation fails to account for the hierarchical and often nonlinear dynamics of the spillover system [9]. Pathogen surveillance, epidemic preparedness and management interventions would all benefit from integrative approaches that consider multiple components of pathogen spillover [1].

This theme issue stemmed from a 2018 workshop on cross-species transmission of pathogens, where participants from interlinked fields including

³Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA

2

ecology, mathematical modelling, epidemiology, virology and immunology discussed how to better understand and predict pathogen spillover. Here, we bring together a diverse set of perspectives-including empirical research, theory and synthetic reviews-to highlight cutting-edge research and to provide a roadmap for quantifying and integrating hostpathogen dynamics at each step in the spillover process. Manuscripts are organized around three approaches. The first set of manuscripts focuses on integrating data streams to understand spillover dynamics and predict risk. The second set of manuscripts focuses on in-depth analysis of each of the factors affecting cross-species transmission: infection dynamics in reservoir hosts, pathogen survival in the environment, recipient host exposure, dose-response relationships and establishment of infection in recipient hosts. The final set of manuscripts focuses on applied perspectives, with an emphasis on surveillance and interventions. Here, we summarize these contributions to highlight key insights, methodologies and future directions to improve our understanding of pathogen spillover.

2. Integrating data streams to understand spillover dynamics

Because spillover is the outcome of multiple ecological, epidemiological and immunological factors aligning in space and time [9,10], predictive frameworks aim to integrate data pertinent to these factors to quantify the relative importance of these processes and to estimate risk. Cross et al. [12] review approaches for estimating spatio-temporal variation in spillover risk, focusing on the wildlife-livestock interface. The authors highlight the challenges inherent in either correlating observed spillover events with relevant covariates or integrating data on host density, distribution and pathogen prevalence using mechanistic models. They highlight that mechanistic approaches may be especially useful in systems where spillovers are infrequent, rarely observed or hard to differentiate from within-species transmission; however, linking datasets on different factors in the spillover pathway requires that such datasets be related to a common spatial and temporal resolution. The authors use case studies of brucellosis in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem [13] and of avian influenza virus in China and North America [14] to emphasize potential solutions to these challenges for estimating spillover risk.

Emphasizing that statistical modelling efforts may struggle to detect nonlinear and stochastic relationships inherent in pathogen spillover, Childs et al. [15] provide a strong test of theory governing how hierarchical barriers control crossspecies transmission [9]. The authors focus their case study on yellow fever, a mosquito-borne viral disease of historical importance in South America that persists in the region largely in sylvatic cycles that occasionally spill over to infect humans [16,17]. Specifically, they use mechanistic models that incorporate spatial ecological and immunological data from Brazil across 16 years to predict yellow fever spillover in humans. The authors show that a mechanistic model of spillover risk, based on the ecology of mosquito vectors and non-human primate reservoirs, best predicts spillover events compared with models that also include human population size and immunity. This result arises because spillover occurs even in areas with low human population density and high vaccination

coverage (e.g. parts of the Amazon), so population density and vaccination coverage tend to inflate the predicted risk in locations with low ecological suitability. This integrated approach also highlights a key research gap—cyclical dynamics of susceptible primate populations—that could further improve prediction. This work illustrates that mechanistically modelling the interactions among the environment, viruses, vectors, nonhuman primates and humans can predict rare and seemingly stochastic spillover events with high accuracy.

Washburne et al. [17] study the general statistical problems that can arise when aiming to forecast spillover risk. The authors highlight that any such statistical efforts will compile a dataset of explanatory variables expected to relate to pre-spillover processes (e.g., infection prevalence in reservoirs, human vaccination coverage) that are aligned with one of two response variables: the presence and absence of spillover or the number of spillover events at some spatial and temporal resolution (e.g., spatio-temporal counts of yellow fever spillovers [15]). The authors show how modelling cross-species transmission as a percolation process, in which pathogens move from infected reservoirs to recipient hosts along a graph representing various spillover pathways [18,19], reveals first principles for how such datasets will behave and how common statistical tools can produce misleading inferences and poor predictions. For example, percolation theory reveals an inherent nonlinearity in modelling spillover counts, in which statistical inferences are driven by the dominant reservoir sources of infection and the most limiting barriers to cross-species transmission; this nonlinearity can mask the influence of alternative reservoir species or barriers, both of which could be modified through interventions but whose sensitivity as a management tool will appear reduced under linear models. Percolation models provide a conceptual framework to connect statistical and mechanistic models with applications to limit risk by illuminating unexpected statistical principles governing pathogen spillover and the nonlinear impacts of management actions.

3. Individual factors affecting pathogen spillover

The theme issue's second section uses empirical research, theory and synthetic reviews to understand the processes operating at each stage of pathogen spillover, from infection dynamics within the reservoir hosts to susceptibility and establishment of infection in the recipient host. For the former, the distribution and intensity of infection in reservoir hosts over space and time is the first determinant of spillover risk [9]. Data on these spatio-temporal dynamics help elucidate how pathogens circulate in reservoir hosts and when and where to expect pathogen excretion to be greatest [21]. However, such field data can be expensive and difficult to collect, and researchers inevitably must tradeoff between the extent and intensity of spatial versus temporal sampling. Sampling is thus often opportunistic and fails to adequately describe spillover. Plowright et al. [22] review factors that influence spatial and temporal variation in infection in reservoirs and describe sampling designs that can increase the quality and quantity of this information. Although the standard prescription from sampling theory is to sample randomly in space and time [23], probabilistic sampling designs are rare in the study of wildlife disease, given logistical challenges and non-random distributions of hosts. The authors highlight how stratified random sampling designs or adaptive sampling designs can help capture spatio-temporal pulses of infection when researchers have little a priori data on concentrations of infection or spillover events in space and time. These sampling designs can be integrated into modelling approaches and used to better quantify pathogen shedding from reservoirs. Accordingly, Glennon et al. [24] present a case study for how to use mechanistic models to differentiate among transmission processes for henipaviruses in straw-coloured fruit bats (Eidolon helvum). Using this virulent zoonosis as a case study, the authors generalize standard frameworks common in epidemiological modelling [25]. Given that henipavirus infection dynamics in bats are poorly understood, the authors study all possible transitions among infection states in bats to produce 46 potential models. Using likelihood-based methods, they fit these models to longitudinal data from captive bats to show strong support for reinfection after virus clearance and cycles of recurrent latent infection: key areas for future empirical work. This inclusive approach to confronting epidemiological models with longitudinal data in poorly understood reservoir host systems holds promise for elucidating spatio-temporal risk of pathogen spillover.

Following pathogen shedding from reservoir hosts, spillover risk is influenced by the duration of pathogen survival and possible reproduction outside the host in the environment [9]. For pathogens such as avian influenza virus, persistence in the environment (e.g. ponds) can also facilitate viral reassortment when strains co-occur, promoting co-infections during environmental exposure [26,27]. Pepin et al. [28] review and discuss how genomics, experimental ecology and epidemiological modelling can be leveraged to understand viral reassortment in environmental reservoirs. Although no gold standard for capturing, isolating and identifying avian influenza virus diversity from the environment exists, environmental metagenomics and field-based viral diagnostics (e.g. field-based nucleic acid extraction, PCR and sequencing) hold promise for characterizing this context of viral reassortment [29,30]. The authors note how standardizing such field protocols and coupling these data streams with quantitative disease models and natural transmission studies should dramatically improve our understanding of viral co-occurrence and reassortment and thus, this additional process in the pathway to spillover.

Exposure of recipient hosts to pathogens (e.g. those persisting in the environment) can take a variety of forms; however, in a more general sense, exposure often occurs at elevated rates near boundaries between ecosystems [31]. Borremans et al. [32] review how ecosystem boundaries can promote spillover by applying ecological theory to understand landscape permeability across ecosystems. The authors highlight that the traits of hosts and pathogens are critical for determining effects of ecosystem boundaries on crossspecies transmission. Properties of ecosystem boundaries can also promote or inhibit exposure; for example, edge effects can affect species composition, diversity and population size between ecosystems, as can features of landscape configuration such as patch size and perimeter-to-area ratio [33]. By considering the analogy between parasite flow and resource flow and by applying concepts from movement ecology, Borremans et al. [32] connect contact rates and spillover risk across ecosystem boundaries to generalize between pathogens and integrate into broader ecological theory.

Following the complex interactions between reservoir hosts, vectors, pathogens, the environment and recipient hosts, a crucial juncture in any potential spillover event is the point when a recipient host is challenged with a given dose of pathogen (through a particular route and sometimes over a particular duration) and a successful infection does or does not ensue [9]. Lunn et al. [34] describe how the dose-response relationship, which quantifies the probability of successful infection in the recipient host as a function of challenge dose, can act as a filter on the aforementioned upstream dynamics to shape pathogen spillover risk. The authors integrate recent developments in the dose-response literature, as well as re-analysing data from animal challenge experiments with Nipah virus and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus [35,36], to highlight challenges and opportunities arising at the intersection of infectious disease ecology, microbial risk assessment and virology. Lunn et al. [34] call for closer interactions between these fields and for a new generation of pathogen transmission models that link dose-response data to epidemiological dynamics. Gostic et al. [37] next provide an example of the epidemiological insights such an approach can yield. They present a modelling analysis of dose-response experiments for Leptospira interrogans, a globally important bacterial zoonosis for which environmental exposure to soil or water contaminated by urine of infected reservoir hosts is the primary transmission route [38]. By conducting well-designed challenge experiments across a range of exposure routes, and then developing a mechanistic model to identify and quantify the key barriers to infection, Gostic et al. [37] show that intact skin is the crucial defence against leptospiral infection and that skin abrasions or wounds can increase recipient host infection risk by at least a million-fold. This close integration of experimental and modelling approaches isolates a potent and well-defined risk factor for infection with Leptospira, opening the door to targeted interventions to reduce spillover risk.

Once a pathogen has crossed these within-host barriers to replicate and disseminate in the recipient host, the outcome of infection may range from subclinical illness to death and from dead-end spillover to sustained onward transmission [9]. Bonneaud et al. [39] focus on the conditions favouring pathogen emergence, from the initial jump into the recipient host to adaption in the novel host environment [40]. The authors highlight that our current understanding of host shifts stems primarily from viral infections, limiting generalizations to other pathogen taxa, given substantial differences in ecology and life history [41]. They propose several non-mutually exclusive hypotheses to explain why novel bacterial pathogens may be less likely to specialize on their novel hosts and then test these with a mathematical model. The authors demonstrate that high levels of phenotypic plasticity, low rates of evolution and the ability to recombine should reduce propensity to specialize, suggesting that novel bacterial infections may be more likely to result in transient spillovers or increased host ranges than in host shifts.

Wasik *et al.* [42] in turn describe the within-host barriers that pathogens, and viruses in particular, must overcome to replicate and spread in new host populations to cause onward transmission. They present three well-documented examples of viruses that have crossed these barriers to cause epidemics or pandemics in the new host species: influenza A viruses [43], human immunodeficiency virus [44] and canine parvovirus [45]. The authors emphasize the role of integrated models that consider all the steps required to go from exposure to spillover to epidemic or pandemic. Guth et al. [46] expand upon these ideas through a comparative study of host and viral traits that predict virulence and the capacity for onward transmission in recipient hosts (i.e. humans). By expanding a previous global dataset of viral zoonoses [47], the authors show that increasing reservoir host phylogenetic distance from humans positively correlates with human mortality but negatively correlates with humanto-human transmissibility, suggesting that the virulence induced by reservoirs at high phylogenetic distance may limit viral capacity for onward transmission [48]. In particular, distantly related reservoirs, such as bats, harbour highly virulent zoonotic viruses with a lower capacity for onward transmission in recipient human hosts, building upon prior work describing bats as special reservoirs [49].

4. Applications for management of spillover

The theme issue's final section focuses on applied perspectives to detect early spillover events (i.e. surveillance) and the role of interventions focused upstream in the spillover pathway. In particular, early detection is critical for minimizing the spread of zoonotic pathogens following an initial spillover event [50]. A first series of manuscripts emphasize different approaches to the surveillance of zoonoses. Schmidt et al. [51] use machine learning tools (e.g. boosted regression trees [52]) to predict which mammal species are more likely to play roles in Ebola virus spillover events. The authors show that large-bodied, frugivorous mammals with slow life histories are likely host species, implicating some insectivorous bats, Old World monkeys and forest antelopes as possible Ebola virus reservoirs. Predictions such as these can help prioritize future wildlife surveillance efforts (e.g. [53]). Kuisma et al. [54] in turn describe a community-based surveillance effort focused on wildlife mortality reporting and oriented to early detection of Ebola virus disease outbreaks. Spanning over a decade and covering 50 000 km² of challenging terrain in the Congo basin, this programme has reached hundreds of villages and thousands of hunters and forest gatherers. The programme has educated community members in wildlife carcass reporting and behavioral risk reduction as well as built capacity for safe carcass sampling by trained local responders. This region was not confronted with an Ebola virus outbreak during the period described here, and all reported carcasses tested negative. Nevertheless, given the well-recognized fact that early intervention can avert massive human and economic costs of widespread epidemics, the low-cost and scalable surveillance programme described by the authors could provide key early detection capability more generally.

Two other contributions focus on zoonotic pathogen surveillance efforts in domestic animals and human populations. Mwangi *et al.* [55] present a real-time surveillance system that leverages the existing mobile phone network and shows immense potential to improve adaptive management of spillover. This surveillance system has been implemented in 1500 households across rural Kenya, where participants are asked to report symptom syndromes in their livestock. Zoonotic diseases such as Rift Valley fever present with severe clinical signs in domestic animal populations, but lack of active surveillance can miss these sentinels [56]. The authors demonstrate that illnesses were more likely to be reported on mobile phones compared with standard routine household animal surveys. They also show that more severe symptoms are likely to be reported, highlighting the utility of this surveillance method for diseases such as Rift Valley fever. Das *et al.* [57] similarly describe the implementation of a surveillance system in hospitals in Bangladesh that screens symptomatic patients for potential zoonoses. Most patients did not have a laboratory diagnosis for their illness, indicating that unidentified pathogens are likely spilling over in human populations. Broad-scale, sustainable human surveillance programmes such as outlined by the authors can play a critical role in early detection of zoonotic spillovers.

Following these approaches to surveillance, interventions can accordingly focus upstream or downstream in the pathway to spillover, given available data and resources, to limit cross-species transmission. At the wildlife-livestock interface, managing pathogen spillover is a main goal for animal husbandry, conservation and food security [58]. Yet, managers are often forced to make control decisions on the basis of limited evidence about intervention efficacy. Manlove et al. [59] develop a spatially explicit, stochastic model of pathogen transmission within and between wildlife reservoirs and livestock recipient hosts to improve evidence-based decisionmaking. By varying host movement patterns and epidemic growth rates, the authors show that biosecurity, containment and retroactive vaccination of the reservoir are the most effective for limiting the spatial spread and magnitude of spillover risk for fast-moving epidemics in mobile hosts. By contrast, prophylactic vaccination and depopulation of the reservoir host were more successful for fast-moving epidemics with low rates of host movement. This framework provides general intuition for how to manage different pathogens at the wildlife-livestock interface, and a flexible platform for more rigorously investigating disease control strategies.

Ultimately, one of the primary goals of research focused on pathogen spillover is to design interventions that can reduce or eliminate disease burden in recipient hosts. Sokolow et al. [60] explore how ecological interventions, which target the ecological context in which cross-species transmission occurs, can complement more traditional biomedical and veterinary interventions (e.g. vaccination, culling). The authors provide case studies to illustrate the potential for ecological interventions that target the reservoir host (sometimes indirectly, such as through the restoration of natural enemy populations [61]), pathogen survival in the environment, contact between reservoir and recipient hosts, or other aspects of risk in the recipient species. The authors also present a simple mechanistic model, parameterized for two example systems, that shows how nonlinear effects can produce counterintuitive results when comparing potential intervention strategies and highlights the importance of a detailed understanding of underlying ecological dynamics when designing and assessing interventions. Lastly, the authors draw attention to the importance of social, economic and political considerations to intervention success, as these can derail even the most efficient or cost-effective intervention. In particular, aligning the benefits of an intervention with the costs incurred is crucial to motivate ecological interventions and may require working across sectors for successful implementation.

5. Future directions and conclusion

Pathogen spillover is the result of a complex series of events that result in the successful establishment of infection in a recipient host [9]. As highlighted in the final paper of this theme issue, developing actionable forecasts of risk is further complicated by the various phylogenetic, spatial and temporal scales over which we study and predict spillover [62]. The authors here contextualize a diverse range of approaches to pathogen spillover within these scales to illustrate critical areas of pragmatic overlap. By focusing on an ecological perspective, the authors outline a research pipeline that connects pathogen discovery and macroecological analyses with spatio-temporal surveillance in reservoir and recipient hosts. Through several case studies (e.g. Lyme disease [63], Hendra virus [64], Plasmodium knowlesi [65]), the authors further demonstrate how ecologically focused research has facilitated predicting spillover of particular pathogens in space and time and facilitated design of intervention strategies. This synthesis shows how greater integration of macroecology, pathogen discovery and surveillance could ultimately generate more actionable predictions and interventions to limit spillover risks.

Recent epidemics, pandemics and disease emergence events all underscore the need to improve approaches to predict and prevent pathogen spillover. This theme issue highlights a range of methods and their commonalities through diverse host–pathogen systems for which researchers are assessing factors driving spillover risk across varying phylogenetic, spatial and temporal scales. Contributing manuscripts further emphasize how developing a mechanistic understanding of the hierarchical factors affecting spillover can facilitate quantifying the drivers of crossspecies transmission, deriving generalizable theory and making robust predictions, even for seemingly rare and idiosyncratic spillover events. Importantly, such insights can improve our ability to deploy surveillance efforts, design interventions at early stages of the pathway to spillover and manage disease cases in recipient hosts, thereby limiting or preventing further outbreaks. Continued study of pathogen spillover as a repeated and hierarchical phenomenon will only improve our ability to predict, prevent and manage cross-species transmission risks.

Data accessibility. This manuscript has no additional data. Authors' contributions. All authors contributed to the development of ideas and to the writing of this manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (DEB-1716698), the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA D16AP00113) and the DARPA PREEMPT programme administered though DARPA Cooperative Agreement D18AC00031. D.J.B. was also supported by an appointment to the Intelligence Community Postdoctoral Research Fellowship Program at Indiana University, administered by Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the US Department of Energy and the Office of the Director of National Intelligence. R.K.P. was also supported by the US National Institutes of General Medical Sciences IDeA Program (P20GM103474 and P30GM110732) and the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Hatch project 1015891). C.L.F. was supported by the Wellcome Trust (204820/Z/16/Z). E.A.M. was also supported by NSF DEB-1518681, the Stanford University Woods Institute for the Environment Environmental Ventures Program, a Hellman Faculty Fellowship and a Terman Fellowship. J.R.C.P. was supported by the DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis. J.O.L.-S. was supported by the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (RC-2635), NSF OCE-1335657 and DEB-1557022, and the UCLA 'Sustainable LA' Grand Challenge. Acknowledgements. We thank Daniel Crowley and staff of the B Bar Ranch (Emigrant, Montana) for assistance organizing the working group that led to this theme issue. We also thank Helen Eaton for all her assistance in preparing this theme issue for publication.

Disclaimer. The views, opinions and/or findings expressed are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official views or policies of the Department of Defense or the US Government.

References

- Lloyd-Smith JO, George D, Pepin KM, Pitzer VE, Pulliam JRC, Dobson AP, Hudson PJ, Grenfell BT. 2009 Epidemic dynamics at the human-animal interface. *Science* **326**, 1362–1367. (doi:10.1126/ science.1177345)
- Power AG, Mitchell CE. 2004 Pathogen spillover in disease epidemics. Am. Nat. 164, S79-S89. (doi:10. 1086/424610)
- Alexander KA, Carlson CJ, Lewis BL, Getz WM, Marathe MV, Eubank SG, Sanderson CE, Blackburn JK. 2018 The ecology of pathogen spillover and disease emergence at the human-wildlifeenvironment interface. In *The connections between ecology and infectious disease* (ed. CJ Hurst), pp. 267–298. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Quammen D. 2012 Spillover: animal infections and the next human pandemic. New York, NY: WW Norton & Company.
- 5. Spengler JR, Ervin ED, Towner JS, Rollin PE, Nichol ST. 2016 Perspectives on West Africa Ebola

virus disease outbreak, 2013–2016. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **22**, 956–963. (doi:10.3201/eid2206. 160021)

- Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. 2009 Emergence and pandemic potential of swine-origin H1N1 influenza virus. *Nature* **459**, 931–939. (doi:10. 1038/nature08157)
- Cassirer EF *et al.* 2018 Pneumonia in bighorn sheep: risk and resilience. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 82, 32-45. (doi:10.1002/jwmg.21309)
- Rayl ND, Proffitt KM, Almberg ES, Jones JD, Merkle JA, Gude JA, Cross PC. 2019 Modeling elk-tolivestock transmission risk to predict hotspots of brucellosis spillover. J. Wildl. Manage. 83, 817–829. (doi:10.1002/jwmg.21645)
- Plowright RK, Parrish CR, McCallum H, Hudson PJ, Ko AI, Graham AL, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2017 Pathways to zoonotic spillover. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 502-510. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.45)
- 10. Nikolay B *et al.* 2019 Transmission of Nipah virus— 14 years of investigations in Bangladesh.

N. Engl. J. Med. **380**, 1804–1814. (doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1805376)

- Plowright RK *et al.* 2015 Ecological dynamics of emerging bat virus spillover. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282, 20142124. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.2124)
- Cross PC, Prosser DJ, Ramey AM, Hanks EM, Pepin KM. 2019 Confronting models with data: the challenges of estimating disease spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180435. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0435)
- Cross PC, Cole EK, Dobson AP, Edwards WH, Hamlin KL, Luikart G, Middleton AD, Scurlock BM, White PJ. 2010 Probable causes of increasing brucellosis in free-ranging elk of the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem. *Ecol. Appl.* 20, 278–288. (doi:10.1890/ 08-2062.1)
- Liu J *et al.* 2005 Highly pathogenic H5N1 influenza virus infection in migratory birds. *Science* **309**, 1206. (doi:10.1126/science.1115273)
- 15. Childs ML, Nova N, Colvin J, Mordecai EA. 2019 Mosquito and primate ecology predict human risk

6

of yellow fever virus spillover in Brazil. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180335. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0335)

- Faria NR *et al.* 2018 Genomic and epidemiological monitoring of yellow fever virus transmission potential. *Science* **361**, 894–899. (doi:10.1126/ science.aat7115)
- Washburne AD, Crowley DE, Becker DJ, Manlove KR, Childs ML, Plowright RK. 2019 Percolation models of pathogen spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180331. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0331)
- 18. Stauffer D, Aharony A. 2014 Introduction to percolation theory. London, UK: Taylor & Francis.
- Keeling MJ, Eames KT. 2005 Networks and epidemic models. J. R. Soc. Interface 2, 295–307. (doi:10. 1098/rsif.2005.0051)
- Hanley KA, Monath TP, Weaver SC, Rossi SL, Richman RL, Vasilakis N. 2013 Fever versus fever: the role of host and vector susceptibility and interspecific competition in shaping the current and future distributions of the sylvatic cycles of dengue virus and yellow fever virus. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 19, 292–311. (doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2013.03.008)
- Plowright RK, Peel AJ, Streicker DG, Gilbert AT, McCallum H, Wood J, Baker ML, Restif O. 2016 Transmission or within-host dynamics driving pulses of zoonotic viruses in reservoir-host populations. *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **10**, e0004796. (doi:10.1371/ journal.pntd.0004796)
- 22. Plowright RK, Becker DJ, McCallum H, Manlove KR. 2019 Sampling to elucidate the dynamics of infections in reservoir hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180336. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2018.0336)
- Smith ANH, Anderson MJ, Pawley MDM. 2017 Could ecologists be more random? Straightforward alternatives to haphazard spatial sampling. *Ecography* 40, 1251–1255. (doi:10.1111/ecog. 02821)
- Glennon EE *et al.* 2019 What is stirring in the reservoir? Modelling mechanisms of henipavirus circulation in fruit bat hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20190021. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0021)
- 25. Keeling MJ, Rohani P. 2011 *Modeling infectious diseases in humans and animals*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Breban R, Drake JM, Stallknecht DE, Rohani P. 2009 The role of environmental transmission in recurrent avian influenza epidemics. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 5, e1000346. (doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000346)
- Roche B, Drake JM, Brown J, Stallknecht DE, Bedford T, Rohani P. 2014 Adaptive evolution and environmental durability jointly structure phylodynamic patterns in avian influenza viruses. *PLoS Biol.* **12**, e1001931. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1001931)
- Pepin KM, Hopken MW, Shriner SA, Spackman E, Abdo Z, Parrish C, Riley S, Lloyd-Smith JO, Piaggio AJ. 2019 Improving risk assessment of the emergence of novel influenza A viruses by incorporating environmental surveillance. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180346. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0346)

- Keller MW *et al.* 2018 Direct RNA sequencing of the coding complete influenza A virus genome. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 14408. (doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32615-8)
- Rosario K, Nilsson C, Lim YW, Ruan Y, Breitbart M. 2009 Metagenomic analysis of viruses in reclaimed water. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**, 2806–2820. (doi:10. 1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01964.x)
- Despommier D, Ellis BR, Wilcox BA. 2006 The role of ecotones in emerging infectious diseases. *EcoHealth* 3, 281–289. (doi:10.1007/s10393-006-0063-3)
- Borremans B, Faust C, Manlove KR, Sokolow SH, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2019 Cross-species pathogen spillover across ecosystem boundaries: mechanisms and theory. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180344. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0344)
- Faust CL, McCallum HI, Bloomfield LS, Gottdenker NL, Gillespie TR, Torney CJ, Dobson AP, Plowright RK. 2018 Pathogen spillover during land conversion. *Ecol. Lett.* 21, 471–483. (doi:10.1111/ele.12904)
- Lunn TJ, Restif O, Peel AJ, Munster VJ, de Wit E, Sokolow S, van Doremalen N, Hudson P, McCallum H. 2019 Dose-response and transmission: the nexus between reservoir hosts, environment and recipient hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20190016. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0016)
- Munster VJ et al. 2017 Protective efficacy of a novel simian adenovirus vaccine against lethal MERS-CoV challenge in a transgenic human DPP4 mouse model. npj Vaccines 2, 28. (doi:10.1038/s41541-017-0029-1)
- De Wit E, Bushmaker T, Scott D, Feldmann H, Munster VJ. 2011 Nipah virus transmission in a hamster model. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 5, e1432. (doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001432)
- Gostic KM, Wunder Jr EA, Bisht V, Hamond C, Julian TR, Ko Al, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2019 Mechanistic dose – response modelling of animal challenge data shows that intact skin is a crucial barrier to leptospiral infection. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20190367. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0367)
- Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-Silveira MS, Stein C, Abela-Ridder B, Ko AI. 2015 Global morbidity and mortality of leptospirosis: a systematic review. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **9**, e0003898. (doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003898)
- Bonneaud C, Weinert LA, Kuijper B. 2019 Understanding the emergence of bacterial pathogens in novel hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180328. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0328)
- Park M, Loverdo C, Schreiber SJ, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2013 Multiple scales of selection influence the evolutionary emergence of novel pathogens. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 368, 20120333. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2012.0333)
- Parrish CR, Holmes EC, Morens DM, Park E-C, Burke DS, Calisher CH, Laughlin CA, Saif LJ, Daszak P. 2008 Cross-species virus transmission and the emergence of new epidemic diseases. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 72, 457–470. (doi:10.1128/MMBR.00004-08)
- Wasik BR, de Wit E, Munster V, Lloyd-Smith JO, Martinez-Sobrido L, Parrish CR. 2019 Onward transmission of viruses: how do viruses emerge to cause epidemics after spillover? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20190017. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0017)

- Long JS, Mistry B, Haslam SM, Barclay WS. 2019 Host and viral determinants of influenza A virus species specificity. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 67–81. (doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0115-z)
- Sauter D, Kirchhoff F. 2019 Key viral adaptations preceding the AIDS pandemic. *Cell Host Microbe* 25, 27-38. (doi:10.1016/j.chom.2018.12.002)
- Hoelzer K, Parrish CR. 2010 The emergence of parvoviruses of carnivores. *Vet. Res.* 41, 39. (doi:10. 1051/vetres/2010011)
- Guth S, Visher E, Boots M, Brook CE. 2019 Host phylogenetic distance drives trends in virus virulence and transmissibility across the animal – human interface. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20190296. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0296)
- Olival KJ, Hosseini PR, Zambrana-Torrelio C, Ross N, Bogich TL, Daszak P. 2017 Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. *Nature* 546, 646–650. (doi:10.1038/nature22975)
- Alizon S, Hurford A, Mideo N, Van Baalen M. 2009 Virulence evolution and the trade-off hypothesis: history, current state of affairs and the future. *J. Evol. Biol.* 22, 245–259. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01658.x)
- Brook CE, Dobson AP. 2015 Bats as 'special' reservoirs for emerging zoonotic pathogens. *Trends Microbiol.* 23, 172-180. (doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014. 12.004)
- Munster VJ et al. 2018 Outbreaks in a rapidly changing Central Africa—lessons from Ebola. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **379**, 1198–1201. (doi:10.1056/ NEJMp1807691)
- Schmidt JP, Maher S, Drake JM, Huang T, Farrell MJ, Han BA. 2019 Ecological indicators of mammal exposure to Ebolavirus. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180337. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0337)
- Elith J, Leathwick JR, Hastie T. 2008 A working guide to boosted regression trees. J. Anim. Ecol. 77, 802-813. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01390.x)
- Plowright RK, Becker DJ, Crowley DE, Washburne A, Huang T, Nameer PO, Han BA. 2019 Prioritizing surveillance of Nipah virus in India. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 13, e0007393. (doi:10.1371/journal.pntd. 0007393)
- Kuisma E *et al.* 2019 Long-term wildlife mortality surveillance in northern Congo: a model for the detection of Ebola virus disease epizootics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180339. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0339)
- Thumbi SM, Njenga MK, Otiang E, Otieno L, Munyua P, Eichler S, Widdowson M-A, McElwain TF, Palmer GH. 2019 Mobile phone-based surveillance for animal disease in rural communities: implications for detection of zoonoses spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20190020. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2019.0020)
- Breiman RF, Minjauw B, Sharif SK, Ithondeka P, Njenga MK. 2010 Rift Valley fever: scientific pathways toward public health prevention and response. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 83, 01. (doi:10. 4269/ajtmh.2010.83s2a01)
- 57. Das P et al. 2019 Hospital-based zoonotic disease surveillance in Bangladesh: design, field data and

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 374: 20190014

7

difficulties. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20190019. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2019.0019)

- Joseph MB, Mihaljevic JR, Arellano AL, Kueneman JG, Preston DL, Cross PC, Johnson PTJ. 2013 Taming wildlife disease: bridging the gap between science and management. J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 702–712. (doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12084)
- Manlove KR, Sampson LM, Borremans B, Cassirer EF, Miller RS, Pepin KM, Besser TE, Cross PC. 2019 Epidemic growth rates and host movement patterns shape management performance for pathogen spillover at the wildlife–livestock interface. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180343. (doi:10.1098/rstb. 2018.0343)
- Sokolow SH *et al.* 2019 Ecological interventions to prevent and manage zoonotic pathogen spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180342. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2018.0342)
- 61. Sokolow SH *et al.* 2015 Reduced transmission of human schistosomiasis after restoration of a native river prawn that preys on the snail intermediate host. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 9650–9655. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1502651112)
- Becker DJ, Washburne AD, Faust CL, Mordecai EA, Plowright RK. 2019 The problem of scale in the prediction and management of pathogen spillover. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20190224. (doi:10.1098/ rstb.2019.0224)
- Ostfeld RS, Canham CD, Oggenfuss K, Winchcombe RJ, Keesing F. 2006 Climate, deer, rodents, and acorns as determinants of variation in Lyme-disease risk. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e145. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 0040145)
- Peel A, Eby P, Kessler M, Lunn T, Breed A, Plowright R. 2017 Hendra virus spillover risk in horses: heightened vigilance and precautions being urged this winter. *Aust. Vet. J.* 95, N20–N21.
- Brock PM, Fornace KM, Grigg MJ, Anstey NM, William T, Cox J, Drakeley CJ, Ferguson HM, Kao RR. 2019 Predictive analysis across spatial scales links zoonotic malaria to deforestation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 286, 20182351. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2018.2351)