

Research

Open Access

Tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives: a new perspective on monoaminergic dysfunction in children with ADHD?

Veit Roessner*¹, Susanne Walitza², Franz Riederer³, Regina Hünnerkopf², Aribert Rothenberger¹, Manfred Gerlach² and Andreas Moser⁴

Address: ¹Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Goettingen, Goettingen, Germany, ²Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany, ³Department of Clinical Neurology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria and ⁴Department of Neurology, University of Lubeck, Lubeck, Germany

Email: Veit Roessner* - vroessn@gwdg.de; Susanne Walitza - Walitza@kjp.uni-wuerzburg.de; Franz Riederer - franz.riederer@gmx.at; Regina Hünnerkopf - R.Hunnerkopf@swansea.ac.uk; Aribert Rothenberger - arothen@gwdg.de; Manfred Gerlach - manfred.gerlach@uni-wuerzburg.de; Andreas Moser - andreas.moser@neuro.uni-luebeck.de

* Corresponding author

Published: 10 December 2007

Received: 15 June 2007

Behavioral and Brain Functions 2007, **3**:64 doi:10.1186/1744-9081-3-64

Accepted: 10 December 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.behavioralandbrainfunctions.com/content/3/1/64>

© 2007 Roessner et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: The dopamine-derived tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ) synthesized endogenously from aldehydes and catecholamines have shown to modulate neurotransmission, central metabolism and motor activity. Converging evidence has implicated abnormalities of the dopamine metabolism to the pathophysiology of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Therefore, four TIQ derivatives involved in central dopamine metabolism (salsolinol, N-methyl-salsolinol, norsalsolinol, N-methyl-norsalsolinol) have been analyzed for the first time in children and adolescents with ADHD and healthy controls.

Methods: 42 children and adolescents with ADHD and 24 controls from three sites participated in this pilot study. Free and bound amounts of salsolinol, N-methyl-salsolinol, norsalsolinol, N-methyl-norsalsolinol have been analyzed in urine.

Results: In the ADHD group, free and total amounts of the four TIQ derivatives in urine were significantly higher compared to urine levels of healthy controls. For N-methyl-salsolinol_{free}, most of the ADHD patients were identified correctly with a sensitivity of 92.5% (specificity 94.4%).

Conclusion: Urine levels of salsolinol, N-methyl-salsolinol, norsalsolinol and N-methyl-norsalsolinol are elevated in children and adolescents with ADHD and point to a new perspective on catecholaminergic dysfunction in ADHD. However, replication and extension of this pilot study would progress this innovative and promising field.

Background

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a common worldwide disorder characterized by inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity. Despite a large amount of research its etiology still remains unclear. Actu-

ally, ADHD is regarded as a multifactorial disorder caused by many interacting and/or additive risk factors [1]. There is equivocal evidence from genetic, imaging and medication studies in humans as well as in animal models of ADHD that dopamine and noradrenaline metabolism are

affected [1,2]. Current models of ADHD propose a hypo-functioning of e.g. three interacting dopamine systems [3]: (1) the mesolimbic dopamine system primarily associated with altered reinforcement of novel behavior and deficient extinction of previously reinforced behavior, (2) the mesocortical dopamine system associated with deficient attention and poor behavioral organization and (3) the nigrostriatal dopamine system impairing motor functions and causing poor nondeclarative habit learning. But the detailed mechanisms underlying these metabolic impairments are still unknown [4]. Previous studies in ADHD found only a limited relationship of plasma and urine levels of dopamine metabolites to the activity of central dopamine metabolism as well as small effects of stimulant medication on urinary dopamine metabolites [5]. Accordingly, studies on the levels of dopamine metabolites in the cerebrospinal fluid have been performed, but yielded also mixed results of limited value [6-9].

In this context the dopamine-derived tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ) including salsolinol and norsalsolinol derivatives are of high interest [10] because of their role as an acute modulator of dopamine and noradrenaline neurotransmission (see [11] for a review). TIQ affect receptor status, enzyme activity of the catecholamine biosynthesis as well as mitochondrial metabolism. Furthermore, exogenously administered TIQ are known to produce changes of motor activity in rodents [12-14].

TIQ are found at low concentrations in postmortem brain [15], cerebrospinal fluid [16] and urine [17] of adults without any neuropsychiatric disorder. In human brain the highest concentration of the TIQ derivative salsolinol and its metabolites have been detected in the basal ganglia [18] – an area implicated in the etiology of ADHD [1]. Thus in ADHD deviations of TIQ levels might indicate disturbances of dopamine and noradrenaline metabolism. In the human brain, two TIQ derivatives salsolinol and norsalsolinol are suggested to be synthesized from dopamine by both a non-enzymatic formation via a Pictet-Spengler reaction and an enzymatic synthesis via a salsolinol synthase [19]; their N-methyl derivatives were formed subsequently enzymatically by N-methyltransferase [20] (Fig. 1).

Because TIQ occur physiologically not only from their *in vivo* formation but also from ingestion of various foods [21,22], they seem to be worth to be investigated also in the light of the ongoing debate concerning nutritional influences on ADHD symptomatology [23,24].

Because there are still different hypotheses on hyper- and hypodopamine deviances in central metabolism in ADHD [25] and TIQ have never been examined in ADHD, there is no directed hypothesis in our pilot study, i.e. it is unclear if the four TIQ derivatives under investigation are normal or enhanced versus reduced in the urine of children and adolescents with ADHD compared to healthy




Figure 1
Physiological metabolism of TIQ derivatives.

controls. Thus, the study also serves to generate a hypothesis for further testing in larger samples.

Methods

Subjects

42 children and adolescents with ADHD (mean age 12.1, SD 3.2 years) and 24 healthy controls (mean age 23.8, SD 17.0 years) from three sites (Departments of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry of the Universities of Goettingen and Wuerzburg, Department of Clinical Neurology of the University of Vienna) were enrolled. All patients were referred and fulfilled DSMIV-TR [26] criteria for ADHD. 18 patients were on stimulant medication at the day of urine sampling. 16 patients suffered from one or more co-existing psychiatric problems such as conduct disorder (n = 13), learning disorders (n = 4), tic disorders (n = 2) and others (n = 5).

Controls were recruited from hospital staff, their children and a school class near Goettingen. All controls were screened by an expert child and adolescent psychiatrist for absence of psychiatric disorders and reported no medication before and during study participation.

Urine was collected over 12 hours starting at 7 p.m.. Study participants were not allowed to consume food or beverages rich in TIQ derivatives (cheese, chocolate, fresh and dried banana, soya sauce, beer, Port and white wine) for 48 hours before urine samples were obtained [22].

The pilot study was approved by the ethics committees of each participating sites. Informed consent was obtained from the children and their parents. This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Urine analyses

The 12 h urine samples were collected in the presence of 50 mg semicarbazide and 50 mg Na₂EDTA. All aliquot samples collected were stored at -40°C and subsequently measured by a two-step chromatography. Urine samples were analyzed at least three times for all conditions, firstly processed by affinity chromatography, and then TIQ derivatives were quantified by high performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection (HPLC/ECD) as previously described [17,27]. Under our experimental conditions, free and total concentrations of TIQ compounds could be measured. As described earlier [28], dihydroxylated TIQ derivatives are in part bound to sulfo- or gluco-residues which can be deconjugated by incubation with arylsulfatases and β-glucuronidases. Since conjugated derivatives can not be detected directly, in our study individual bound amounts were calculated by $TIQ_{bound} = TIQ_{total} - TIQ_{free}$.

Statistics

Statistical evaluations were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version 12.0). Values were expressed in nM ± SEM as indicated [29]. We performed analyses of variance (ANOVA) to compare the concentrations of the TIQ derivatives among the groups followed by analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) with age, medication (yes/no), and co-existing psychiatric problems (yes/no) as covariates to control for these possible confounders.

Results

In the ADHD group (n = 42), free and total concentrations of all measured TIQ derivatives were increased in urine samples compared to those of healthy controls (n = 24) (ANOVA, Table 1). In contrast, of the conjugated TIQ forms only the concentration of norsalsolinol_{bound} was significantly different between the groups.

Since the ADHD group and the healthy controls differed in age (F = 18.99, df = 1,64, p < .001), and since the control group itself was heterogeneous in age (children: n = 13, mean age 10.5 SD 3.9 years; adults: n = 11, mean age 36.7 SD 11.3 years; F = 62.01, df = 1,22, p < .001), we subsequently performed an ANCOVA with the covariate 'age'. Additionally, because of differences in the ADHD group in medication status (24 without versus 18 with) and co-existing psychiatric problems (26 without versus 16 with) two further covariates were included. There were significant effects of the covariates 'medication status' (F = 11.53, df = 1, p < .001) and 'additional psychiatric diagnoses' (F = 7.80, df = 1, p < .01) only for N-methyl-salsolinol_{free}. However, the same results as obtained using ANOVA were found for all measured TIQ_{free} derivatives (ANCOVA, Table 1). In addition to the TIQ_{free} derivatives, also the concentrations of norsalsolinol_{total}, norsalsolinol_{bound} and N-methyl-norsalsolinol_{total} remained increased in ADHD.

To determine the predictive quality (ADHD yes/no) of elevated TIQ levels (elevated yes/no) sensitivity and specificity were calculated. For the definition of an elevated TIQ level an arbitrary limit was set by the mean of the free concentration of the control group for each TIQ derivative plus one SEM. Specificity is the proportion of true negatives (no diagnosis of ADHD and no elevated TIQ level) of all negative cases (no diagnosis of ADHD = all controls) in the population; sensitivity is the proportion of true positives (diagnosis of ADHD and elevated TIQ level) of all positive cases (all ADHD patients) in the population (sensitivity/specificity (%): N-methyl-salsolinol_{free}: 92.5/94.4; norsalsolinol_{free}: 87.8/80.0; N-methyl-norsalsolinol_{free}: 69.0/93.5; salsolinol_{free}: 55.5/95.2).

Table 1: Urine levels of tetrahydroisoquinoline (TIQ) derivatives of children and adolescents with ADHD and healthy controls in nmol.

TIQ Derivative	N	ADHD concentration mean (SEM)	N	controls concentration mean (SEM)	ANOVA F	ANCOVA ^{a)} F
Salsolinol						
Free	36	8.44 (2.04)	24	0.06 (0.1)	11.17***	5.87**
Total	38	19.34 (5.0)	24	2.79 (1.9)	6.57*	2.82 ^{ns}
Bound _{cal}	23	20.1 (7.2)	3	22.3 (11.0)	0.01 ^{ns}	0.01 ^{ns}
N-methyl-Salsolinol						
Free	39	71.13 (8.6)	19	14.54 (4.0)	19.76***	10.31**
Total	39	129.01 (17.8)	21	53.51 (6.9)	9.22**	3.12 ^{ns}
Bound _{cal}	37	59.0 (16.2)	19	32.0 (6.7)	1.61 ^{ns}	0.23 ^{ns}
Norsalsolinol						
Free	41	553.30 (68.0)	24	121.50 (21.7)	22.64***	25.73***
Total	42	1107.89 (123.7)	22	253.09 (53.37)	23.63***	29.84***
Bound _{cal}	39	599.4 (68.3)	17	195.1 (53.9)	13.58***	20.78***
N-methyl-Norsalsolinol						
Free	42	9.06 (1.6)	24	0.94 (0.7)	77.07***	12.28***
Total	42	33.76 (7.1)	24	10.64 (4.3)	5.38*	6.56*
Bound _{cal}	38	27.3 (6.5)	13	17.9 (6.0)	0.63 ^{ns}	1.88 ^{ns}

a) covariates: age, medication (yes/no), co-existing psychiatric problems (yes/no); no effect of covariates except 'medication status' ($F = 11.53$; $df = 1$; $p < .001$) and 'co-existing psychiatric problems' ($F = 7.80$; $df = 1$; $p < .01$) for N-methyl-salsolinol_{free}

* $p < 0.5$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ns = not significant

To exclude possibly confounding effects of age, medication and comorbidity not only statistically by including covariates but also by strict in- and exclusion criteria of both groups, we analyzed in a second step the data of 21 children and adolescents with ADHD compared to 19 healthy controls under the age of 18 years. There were no differences in age (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean age 11.8 SD 3.5 years; controls: $n = 12$, mean age 9.5 SD 3.2 years; $F = 3.50$, $df = 1,32$, $p > .05$) and in the ADHD group there were no patients with medication and comorbidity. The group differences in TIQ levels remained as calculated by the ANOVA in the whole sample for salsolinol_{free} (ADHD: $n = 16$, mean = 5.64 SEM 2.02; controls: $n = 12$, mean = 0.11 SEM = 0.11; $F = 5.56$, $df = 1,26$; $p < 0.05$), salsolinol_{total} (ADHD: $n = 19$, mean = 10.81 SEM 2.17 nmol; controls: $n = 12$, mean = 0.22 SEM = 0.22 nmol; $F = 14.78$, $df = 1,29$; $p < .001$), N-methyl-salsolinol_{free} (ADHD: $n = 18$, mean = 66.28 SEM 8.27 nmol; controls: $n = 10$, mean = 10.50 SEM = 1.71 nmol; $F = 24.54$, $df = 1,26$; $p < 0.001$), N-methyl-salsolinol_{total} (ADHD: $n = 20$, mean = 116.52 SEM 13.03 nmol; controls: $n = 11$, mean = 46.09 SEM = 9.11 nmol; $F = 13.85$, $df = 1,29$; $p < 0.001$), norsalsolinol_{free} (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean = 688.73 SEM 122.37 nmol; controls: $n = 12$, mean = 66.26 SEM = 14.64 nmol; $F = 14.52$, $df = 1,31$; $p < 0.001$), norsalsolinol_{total} (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean = 1416.67 SEM 211.09 nmol; controls: $n = 11$, mean = 150.89 SEM = 28.09 nmol; $F = 18.45$, $df = 1,30$; $p < 0.001$), norsalsolinol_{bound} (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean

= 727.93 SEM 102.37 nmol; controls: $n = 10$, mean = 94.70 SEM = 21.54 nmol; $F = 17.72$, $df = 1,29$; $p < 0.001$) and N-Methyl-norsalsolinol_{free} (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean = 11.93 SEM 2.90 nmol; controls: $n = 12$, mean = 0.44 SEM = 0.26 nmol; $F = 8.79$, $df = 1,31$; $p < 0.01$). Analogously, the absence of group differences remained for N-methyl-salsolinol_{bound} (ADHD: $n = 18$, mean = 52.88 SEM 9.02 nmol; controls: $n = 11$, mean = 36.55 SEM = 9.31 nmol; $F = 1.43$, $df = 1,27$; $p = 0.243$), N-Methyl-norsalsolinol_{bound} (ADHD: $n = 18$, mean = 30.52 SEM 13.46 nmol; controls: $n = 8$, mean = 4.75 SEM = 1.68 nmol; $F = 1.37$, $df = 1,24$; $p = .25$). For salsolinol_{bound} (ADHD: $n = 10$, mean = 8.54 SEM 2.41 nmol; controls: $n = 1$, mean = 2.67) no group comparison could be performed because in the control group only for one child its concentration could be calculated successfully. For N-Methyl-norsalsolinol_{total} the significant difference between both groups including all patients changed to a trend (ADHD: $n = 21$, mean = 38.10 SEM 13.88 nmol; controls: $n = 12$, mean = 4.81 SEM = 1.51 nmol; $F = 3.23$, $df = 1,31$; $p = .08$).

Discussion

Comparisons of urine concentrations of TIQ derivatives between children and adolescents with ADHD and healthy controls revealed higher concentrations of salsolinol_{free}, N-methyl-salsolinol_{free}, norsalsolinol_{free}, and N-methyl-norsalsolinol_{free} in ADHD patients even when considering three covariates (age, medication status, co-

existing psychiatric problems) or when subjects with these confounders were excluded. N-methyl-salsolinol_{free} showed the highest sensitivity (92.5%) and specificity (94.4%) of the four TIQ derivatives.

Although Moser et al [30] demonstrated a correlation between salsolinol levels in urine and CSF and a time course study of N-methyl-norsalsolinol in CSF indicated a parallel decline of TIQ derivatives on both sides of the blood-brain barrier [17,31] the evidence for a reliable correlation between TIQ levels in urine and the central nervous system remains limited. However, analyses of urine levels seem to be methodologically and ethically justified as the first step to investigate TIQ in children with ADHD, because in studies analyzing other dopamine metabolites in the CSF, the lack of a control group [9] due to ethical considerations [32] limited the significance of the findings and they were not superior to the results of plasma or urine analyses. Nevertheless, increased central dopamine concentrations might cause increased concentrations of salsolinol and norsalsolinol in urine resulting also in increased urine concentrations of N-methyl-salsolinol and N-methyl-norsalsolinol. This would support the "hyperdopamine hypothesis" of ADHD which is in contrast to the majority of findings indicating hypodopamine neurotransmission in ADHD [3,5] although some authors combined both hypotheses to a comprehensive and more complex model [25].

Interestingly, in the present study there is no hint for an effect of the therapy with the psychostimulant methylphenidate on TIQ levels, although the increase of the endogenously produced synaptic dopamine concentration through inhibition of the dopamine transporter (DAT), which takes up the dopamine into the presynaptic neurons [33], might have led to higher concentrations of salsolinol_{free} and norsalsolinol_{free}.

In any case, concluding an exclusive relationship between increased central dopamine metabolism and elevated urine concentrations of TIQ derivatives might be an oversimplified view because the found elevation of TIQ levels in ADHD could result not only from primary central but also from peripheral synthesis [17].

Because ingestion of TIQ influences their levels in urine [21,22], participants of our study were not allowed to consume food or beverages rich in TIQ derivatives for 48 hours before urine samples were obtained [22] and so variations of exogenous TIQ or precursor intake might play a minor role for the group differences found. Moreover, the elevated levels of the non-conjugated TIQ_{free} derivatives in urine give evidence for endogenous synthesis rather than oral ingestion, because ingested TIQ_{free} derivatives will be rapidly inactivated by gluco- or sulfo-conjugation pre-

venting elevated levels of non-conjugated TIQ_{free} derivatives in urine [28]. In addition, oral TIQ ingestion seems unlikely to lead to a simultaneous increase of all four TIQ derivatives in the ADHD group since on the contrary exogenous origin would lead to individual amount profiles of the different TIQ derivatives. Nevertheless, nutritional influences on ADHD symptomatology [23,24] can not be completely ruled out because there may be an ADHD specific profile of changes in TIQ metabolism for each TIQ derivative as an addition of the different TIQ sources.

Independently of considerations of causality, the sensitivity and specificity of TIQ urine levels, especially that for N-methyl-salsolinol, which is much better than that of other neurobiological procedures [1] needs confirmation and differentiation by studies including the analyses of other dopamine metabolites as well as including patients with other disorders of dopamine dysfunction (e.g. tic disorders, schizophrenia). Additionally, a further study with larger sample size should differentiate between ADHD subtypes. Since hyperactivity was found after injection of TIQ in rodents [12-14], in ADHD there might be the strongest correlation between the core symptom hyperactivity and TIQ derivatives as well as the highest urine levels in the predominantly hyperactive-impulsive subtype.

Conclusion

In conclusion, urine levels of salsolinol, N-methyl-salsolinol, norsalsolinol and N-methyl-norsalsolinol are elevated in children and adolescents with ADHD and point to a new perspective on catecholaminergic dysfunction in ADHD. Replication of the findings in a larger sample of children and adolescents with ADHD focusing on subtypes and including a control group of children with other movement related catecholaminergic disorders would progress this innovative and promising field of research.

Abbreviations

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Tetrahydroisoquinolines (TIQ), analyses of variance (ANOVA), analyses of covariance (ANCOVA).

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

VR contributed to the conception and design of the study and was primarily responsible for the interpretation of the data and writing of the manuscript. SW contributed to the study design and data acquisition. FR contributed to the study design and data acquisition. RH contributed to the study design and data acquisition. AR contributed to the design of the study and revision of the final manuscript. MG contributed to the conception and design of the study

and the interpretation of the data and writing of the manuscript. AM contributed to the conception and design of the study, to the analyses and the interpretation of the data and writing of the manuscript. All authors have read and accepted the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the help and support with statistics of Andreas Becker and Björn Albrecht at the University of Goettingen. Finally we express our gratitude to all the staff and patients at all three centers that supported this work.

References

- Biederman J, Faraone SV: **Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder.** *Lancet* 2005, **366(9481)**:237-248.
- Grund T, Lehmann K, Bock N, Rothenberger A, Teuchert-Noodt G: **Influence of methylphenidate on brain development - an update of recent animal experiments.** *Behav Brain Funct* 2006, **2**:2.
- Sagvolden T, Johansen EB, Aase H, Russell VA: **A dynamic developmental theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) predominantly hyperactive/impulsive and combined subtypes.** *Behav Brain Sci* 2005, **28(3)**:397-419; discussion 419-68.
- Madras BK, Miller GM, Fischman AJ: **The dopamine transporter and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.** *Biol Psychiatry* 2005, **57(11)**:1397-1409.
- Pliszka SR: **The neuropsychopharmacology of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder.** *Biol Psychiatry* 2005, **57(11)**:1385-1390.
- Shaywitz BA, Cohen DJ, Bowers MB Jr.: **CSF monoamine metabolites in children with minimal brain dysfunction: evidence for alteration of brain dopamine. A preliminary report.** *J Pediatr* 1977, **90(1)**:67-71.
- Shetty T, Chase TN: **Central monoamines and hyperkinase of childhood.** *Neurology* 1976, **26(10)**:1000-1002.
- Reimherr FW, Wender PH, Ebert MH, Wood DR: **Cerebrospinal fluid homovanillic acid and 5-hydroxy-indoleacetic acid in adults with attention deficit disorder, residual type.** *Psychiatry Res* 1984, **11(1)**:71-78.
- Castellanos FX, Elia J, Kruesi MJ, Marsh WL, Gulotta CS, Potter WZ, Ritchie GF, Hamburger SD, Rapoport JL: **Cerebrospinal fluid homovanillic acid predicts behavioral response to stimulants in 45 boys with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996, **14(2)**:125-137.
- Storch A, Hofer A, Kruger R, Schulz JB, Winkler J, Gerlach M: **New developments in diagnosis and treatment of Parkinson's disease--from basic science to clinical applications.** *J Neurol* 2004, **251 Suppl 6**:VI/33-8.
- Mravec B: **Salsolinol, a derivate of dopamine, is a possible modulator of catecholaminergic transmission: a review of recent developments.** *Physiol Res* 2005.
- Meisenberg G, Simmons WH, Collins MA: **Effects of catecholamine-related mammalian alkaloids on spontaneous and vasopressin-induced behavior in mice.** *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 1984, **20(3)**:355-360.
- Antkiewicz-Michaluk L, Michaluk J, Romanska I, Papla I, Vetulani J: **Antidopaminergic effects of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline and salsolinol.** *J Neural Transm* 2000, **107(8-9)**:1009-1019.
- Costall B, Naylor RJ, Pinder RM: **Hyperactivity induced by tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives injected into the nucleus accumbens.** *Eur J Pharmacol* 1976, **39(1)**:153-160.
- Niwa T, Takeda N, Yoshizumi H, Tatematsu A, Yoshida M, Dostert P, Naoi M, Nagatsu T: **Presence of 2-methyl-6,7-dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline and 1,2-dimethyl-6,7-dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline, novel endogenous amines, in parkinsonian and normal human brains.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1991, **177(2)**:603-609.
- Moser A, Scholz J, Nobbe F, Vieregge P, Bohme V, Bamberg H: **Presence of N-methyl-norsalsolinol in the CSF: correlations with dopamine metabolites of patients with Parkinson's disease.** *J Neural Sci* 1995, **131(2)**:183-189.
- Scholz J, Klingemann I, Moser A: **Increased systemic levels of norsalsolinol derivatives are induced by levodopa treatment and do not represent biological markers of Parkinson's disease.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004, **75(4)**:634-636.
- Musschoff F, Schmidt P, Dettmeyer R, Priemer F, Wittig H, Madea B: **A systematic regional study of dopamine and dopamine-derived salsolinol and norsalsolinol levels in human brain areas.** *Forensic Sci Int* 1999, **105(1)**:1-11.
- Naoi M, Maruyama W, Dostert P, Kohda K, Kaiya T: **A novel enzyme enantio-selectively synthesizes (R)salsolinol, a precursor of a dopaminergic neurotoxin, N-methyl(R)salsolinol.** *Neurosci Lett* 1996, **212(3)**:183-186.
- Naoi M, Maruyama W, Matsubara K, Hashizume Y: **A neutral N-methyltransferase activity in the striatum determines the level of an endogenous MPP+ -like neurotoxin, 1,2-dimethyl-6,7-dihydroxyisoquinolinium ion, in the substantia nigra of human brains.** *Neurosci Lett* 1997, **235(1-2)**:81-84.
- Riggin RM, McCarthy MJ, Kissinger PT: **Identification of Salsolinol as a Major Dopamine Metabolite in Banana.** *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 1976, **24(1)**:189-191.
- Strolin Benedetti M, Dostert P, Carminati P: **Influence of food intake on the enantiomeric composition of urinary salsolinol in man.** *J Neural Transm Gen Sect* 1989, **78(1)**:43-51.
- Schnoll R, Burshteyn D, Cea-Aravena J: **Nutrition in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a neglected but important aspect.** *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback* 2003, **28(1)**:63-75.
- Marcason W: **Can dietary intervention play a part in the treatment of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder?** *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005, **105(7)**:1161-1162.
- Solanto MV: **Dopamine dysfunction in AD/HD: integrating clinical and basic neuroscience research.** *Behav Brain Res* 2002, **130(1-2)**:65-71.
- American-Psychiatric-Association: **Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders DSM-IV-TR (Text Revision).** 4th edition. Washington, American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Moser A, Scholz J, Bamberg H, Bohme V: **The effect of N-methyl-norsalsolinol on monoamine oxidase of the rat caudate nucleus in vitro.** *Neurochem Int* 1996, **28(1)**:109-112.
- Moser A: **Pharmacology of endogenous neurotoxins: a handbook.** Boston, Birkhäuser; 1998.
- Feuerstein TJ, Rossner R, Schumacher M: **How to express an effect mean as percentage of a control mean?** *J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods* 1997, **37(4)**:187-190.
- Moser A, Siebecker F, Vieregge P, Jaskowski P, Kompf D: **Salsolinol, catecholamine metabolites, and visual hallucinations in L-dopa treated patients with Parkinson's disease.** *J Neural Transm* 1996, **103(4)**:421-432.
- Thumen A, Behnecke A, Qadri F, Bauml E, Behnecke CA, Moser A: **N-methyl-norsalsolinol, a putative dopaminergic neurotoxin, passes through the blood-brain barrier in vivo.** *Neuroreport* 2002, **13(1)**:25-28.
- Hirtz DG, Fitzsimmons LG: **Regulatory and ethical issues in the conduct of clinical research involving children.** *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2002, **14(6)**:669-675.
- Arnsten AF: **Stimulants: Therapeutic actions in ADHD.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006, **31(11)**:2376-2383.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

