

Failure to Heal of Thyroidectomy Wound Due to Gossypiboma and Stitch Sinus: Report of Two Cases

Adewale A. Musa, Adekunbi Banjo¹, Oladeji Agboola¹, Olubunmi Osinupebi²

Departments of Surgery, ¹Morbid Anatomy and Pathology, and ²Medical Microbiology, Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital (OOUTH), Sagamu, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

During surgical procedures precautionary measures are always taken to avoid or minimize complications.^[1] Despite these, complications can still occur. These may arise as a result of the type of suture used, due to its physical and natural properties,^[2,3] as well as breach in the sterile procedure. Furthermore, iatrogenic operative complications do occur when surgical materials like gauze, sponges, or surgical instruments are forgotten intraoperation — leading to various complications, such as failure of the wound to heal, sinus formation, fistulations, and abscesses.^[4]

Literature is very sparse on this because most are not reported for medicolegal implications.^[5] The aim of this article is to report two cases of failure of thyroidectomy wounds to heal, one as a result of ‘gauzoma’ (gossypiboma), and the other, a combination of gossypiboma and suture abscess, leading to a stitch sinus.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 53-year-old civil servant was presented with a discharging wound that had failed to heal two-and-a-half months post

ABSTRACT

This case series presents two females, 53 and 33 years old, with thyroidectomy wounds that failed to heal, 16 and 18 weeks, respectively, following the operation. The wounds were explored with removal of gauze and catgut suture. The patients made remarkable improvement and the wounds healed satisfactorily within seven days. Surgical materials forgotten intraoperatively, wrong use of and / or infected surgical materials should be considered when surgical wounds fail to heal.

Key words: Gossypiboma, healing, thyroidectomy, wound

thyroidectomy for a simple colloid goiter. The wound started discharging thick creamy pus postoperatively on the fifth day and this warranted wound exploration five weeks after the initial operation, in the same hospital. Despite surgical exploration and other therapeutic measures, the wound still failed to heal, and as the discharge persisted for another six weeks she was referred to our facility.

The essential findings on physical examination, at presentation in our hospital, revealed a middle-age woman, who was acutely ill-looking, febrile (Temperature 38.5°C), anicteric, and not dehydrated. There was a thyroidectomy scar with a fistula formation in the 2 cm mid-portion, discharging pus. The surrounding skin was inflamed and indurated with some degree of swelling in the lower flap of the wound. The other systems were essentially normal. An impression was formed of stitch sinus with residual abscess, keeping in view retained surgical object post thyroidectomy.

A repeat microscopy, culture, and sensitivity of the discharge in our hospital yielded atypical coliforms, sensitive to levofloxacin and Augmentin. There was no clinical or laboratory evidence of immunosuppressive illness in the patient. She was placed on two antimicrobial agents and she improved significantly within one week of admission. She subsequently had wound re-exploration, with the finding at operation revealing a strip of gauze (gauzoma) well tucked (encapsulated) into the lower flap, with some strands extruding into the subcutaneous layer [Figure 1]. The histology of biopsy of the cavity was consistent with chronic granuloma formation.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.jstcr.org

DOI:

10.4103/2006-8808.100349

Address for correspondence: Dr. Adewale A. Musa, Endocrine and Biliary Surgical Unit, Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital (OOUTH), PMB 2001, Sagamu, Nigeria. E-mail: alwajud1423@yahoo.com

Case 2

A 33-year-old civil servant developed a wound infection on the fourth day post thyroidectomy performed in a private hospital for a simple multinodular goiter. Culture of the discharge from the wound yielded heavy growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*, which was sensitive to chloramphenicol and erythromycin. The wound, however, failed to heal, and there was recurrent discharge 10 weeks after surgery, despite antimicrobial treatment. A wound exploration and drainage of abscess was performed a week later. A repeat microscopy, culture, and sensitivity yielded *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which was sensitive to floxacillin and gentamycin. There was some degree of success as the wound started healing with exuberant granulation tissue, although the discharge persisted for another eight weeks until a stitch sinus eventually developed.

She was referred to our institution on account of persistent wound discharge. At presentation she was found to be clinically stable, with a discharging sinus over the surgical scar. There was no clinical or laboratory evidence of immunosuppressive illness in the patient.

The wound was re-explored in our hospital four weeks after presentation. A deep-seated abscess, with some free chromic catgut sutures were encountered extruding from encapsulated surgical gauze left on the right side [Figure 2]. The wound was copiously irrigated with saline and the wound closed primarily. She did well postoperatively and was discharged after 10 days of admission, without any residual problem. She has been followed up in the clinic for six months and has remained stable since.

DISCUSSION

Thyroidectomy is considered a clean operation, but when the wound fails to heal in the expected period of time,



Figure 1: Extraction of the gauze in case 1

especially with purulent discharge or abscess formation, pyogenic infection is often primarily implicated. Usually the discharge from the wound is cultured and appropriate antimicrobials are used. However, when the wound still fails to heal, retained surgical material or infected suture material (due to poor surgical technique or nature of suturing material used) are often ignored because of the medicolegal implication.^[1] For the same reason, these are not often published.^[5,6]

Although, gauze and sponges are usually used to achieve hemostasis, as well as in dissection, during surgery, they are still forgotten intraoperation, despite measures taken to avoid this.^[7] This also applies to other surgical materials and equipments like gelfoam, surgical, artery forceps, and so on.^[8]

There are quite a few causes for operative loss of gauze, sponges, and surgical instruments.^[9] Notable among these are serious emergency procedures that might not allow initial sponge count, severe hemorrhagic procedures, time consuming operations, and no sponge counting while closing. Others include, the nature of surgical materials like cotton pads that might break into pieces, lack of tags on the sponges, towels and gauze, inaccessible operation sites, poor surgical techniques, change of theater personnel, as well as lack of good rapport and understanding among the operating team (assistant nurses, anesthetists, surgeons).^[10]

The course of events, when they occur, are extrusion, elicitation of an exudative reaction leading to abscess, cellulitis, septic syndrome, or remaining inert with encapsulation and formation of a lot of adhesions.^[11] In our patients, severe cellulitis with abscess formation and copious pus discharge were elicited, as previously documented.^[12] An attempt at extrusion into the outside also occurred, as some of the chromic catgut used was seen



Figure 2: Shows the extracted gauze in case 2

projecting into the wound surface and some strands of the cotton gauze (gauzoma) were detected in the subcutaneous layer of the wound of the first patient.

The radiological features of gossypiboma, textilioma, as well as others, are well known, including the use of ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).^[13] The first patient started discharging pus on the fifth day, while the second started discharging pus on the fourth day post the operation (both were accompanied with classical clinical features of acute pyogenic infection). We believed that the ‘explorations’ that were done from the referring hospitals were just laying open the skin down to the platysma level, as the wounds continued to discharge despite the ‘explorations’. The wounds were thoroughly explored under general anesthesia in our hospital. These eventually yielded the forgotten gauze in the first patient, [Figure 1]. In the second patient the chromic catgut used was trying to extrude, while a gauze was found on the right side, fully encapsulated [Figure 2].

Suture materials are known to cause adverse effect on tissues and increase susceptibility to infection.^[14] The types of suture material used and the surgical technique also play some role in wound infection. This is further encouraged by the presence of devascularized tissue and hematoma dead space from tissue damage.^[15] The severity of infection elicited, depends on the physical and chemical configuration of the sutures.^[16] Bacteria are known to adsorb into the strands of multifilament sutures such as silk and catgut (especially plain catgut), hence, these should be taken into consideration when planning surgical procedures.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, gossypiboma should be given a high index of suspicion in the presence of a persistently discharging wound post operation, post-thyroidectomy wound inclusive. The routine gauze and instrument

count prior to and after surgical procedure cannot be over-emphasized.

REFERENCES

1. Okten A, Adam M, Gezeran Y. Textilioma: A case of foreign body mimicking a spinal mass. *Eur Spine J* 2006;15(Supp 5):626-9.
2. Katz S, Izhar M, Mirelman D. Bacterial adherence to surgical sutures: A possible factor in suture induced infection. *Ann Surg* 1981;194:35-41.
3. Alexander JW, Jerold Z, Kaplan BS, Altemeier WA. Role of suture materials in the development of wound infection. *Ann Surg* 1967;165:192-9.
4. Abdul-Karim FW, Benevenia J, Pahtria MN, Makley JT. Case report 736: Retained surgical sponge (gossypiboma) with a foreign body reaction and remote and organizing hematoma. *Skeletal Radiol* 1992;21:466-9.
5. Turgut M, Akyuz O, Ozsunar Y, Kacar F. Sponge-induced granuloma (“gauzoma”) as a complication of posterior lumbar surgery. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2005;45:209-11.
6. Gifford RR, Plaut MR, Mc Leary RD. Retained surgical sponge following laminectomy. *JAMA* 1973;223:1040.
7. Rappaport W, Haynes K. The retained surgical sponge following intra-abdominal surgery: A continuing problem. *Arch Surg* 1990;125:405-7.
8. Slim K, Ben Slimane T, Dziri C, Mzabi R. Les corps étrangers textiles oubliés dans l'abdomen. *Ann Radiol (Paris)* 1990;33:280-3.
9. Lauwers PR, Van Hee RH. Intraperitoneal gossypibomas: The need to count sponges. *World J Surg* 2000;24:521-7.
10. Serra J, Matias-Guiu X, Calabuig R, Garcia P, Sancho FJ, La Calle JP. Surgical gauze pseudotumor. *Am J Surg* 1988;155:235-7.
11. Furukawa H, Hara T, Taniguchi T. Two cases of retained foreign bodies after cholecystectomy: Diagnosis by sonography, CT, angiography and MRI. *Jpn J Surg* 1991;21:556-70.
12. Klein J, Farman J, Burrell M, Demeter E, Frosina C. The forgotten surgical foreign body. *Gastrointest Radiol* 1988;13:173-6.
13. Leppaniemi AK. Intravesical foreign body after inguinal herniorrhaphy: Case report. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1991;25:87-8.
14. Elek SD, Conen PE. The virulence of staphylococcus pyogenes for man. A study of the problems of wound infection. *Br J Exp Pathol* 1957;38:573-86.
15. Edlich RF, Tsung MS, Rogers W, Rogers P, Wangenstein OH. Studies in the management of the contaminated wound infection. Technique of closure of such wounds together with a note on reproducible model. *J Surg Res* 1968;8:585-92.
16. Edlich RF, Panek PH, Rodeheaver GT, Turnbull VG, Kurtz LD, Edgerton MT. Physical and chemical configuration of sutures in the development of surgical infection. *Ann Surg* 1973;177:679-88.

How to cite this article: Musa AA, Banjo A, Agboola O, Osinupebi O. Failure to heal of thyroidectomy wound due to gossypiboma and stitch sinus: Report of two cases. *J Surg Tech Case Report* 2012;4:24-6.

Source of Support: Nil, **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

Announcement

iPhone App



Download
**iPhone, iPad
application**

FREE

A free application to browse and search the journal's content is now available for iPhone/iPad. The application provides "Table of Contents" of the latest issues, which are stored on the device for future offline browsing. Internet connection is required to access the back issues and search facility. The application is Compatible with iPhone, iPod touch, and iPad and Requires iOS 3.1 or later. The application can be downloaded from <http://itunes.apple.com/us/app/medknow-journals/id458064375?ls=1&mt=8>. For suggestions and comments do write back to us.