RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Genetic structure of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) populations from East Asia based on microsatellite loci analyses

Sung Kyoung Choi¹, Ji-Eun Lee¹, Young-Jun Kim², Mi-Sook Min¹, Inna Voloshina³, Alexander Myslenkov³, Jang Geun Oh⁴, Tae-Hun Kim⁵, Nickolay Markov⁶, Ivan Seryodkin⁷, Naotaka Ishiguro⁸, Li Yu⁹, Ya-Ping Zhang¹⁰, Hang Lee^{1*} and Kyung Seok Kim^{1,11*}

Abstract

Background: Wild boar, *Sus scrofa*, is an extant wild ancestor of the domestic pig as an agro-economically important mammal. Wild boar has a worldwide distribution with its geographic origin in Southeast Asia, but genetic diversity and genetic structure of wild boar in East Asia are poorly understood. To characterize the pattern and amount of genetic variation and population structure of wild boar in East Asia, we genotyped and analyzed microsatellite loci for a total of 238 wild boar specimens from ten locations across six countries in East and Southeast Asia.

Results: Our data indicated that wild boar populations in East Asia are genetically diverse and structured, showing a significant correlation of genetic distance with geographic distance and implying a low level of gene flow at a regional scale. Bayesian-based clustering analysis was indicative of seven inferred genetic clusters in which wild boars in East Asia are geographically structured. The level of genetic diversity was relatively high in wild boars from Southeast Asia, compared with those from Northeast Asia. This gradient pattern of genetic diversity is consistent with an assumed ancestral population of wild boar in Southeast Asia. Genetic evidences from a relationship tree and structure analysis suggest that wild boar in Jeju Island, South Korea have a distinct genetic background from those in mainland Korea.

Conclusions: Our results reveal a diverse pattern of genetic diversity and the existence of genetic differentiation among wild boar populations inhabiting East Asia. This study highlights the potential contribution of genetic variation of wild boar to the high genetic diversity of local domestic pigs during domestication in East Asia.

Keywords: Microsatellites, East Asia, Genetic diversity, Genetic structure, Wild boar

Background

Wild boar, *Sus scrofa*, is one of the most widely distributed mammalian species, native throughout Europe, North Africa, and much of Asia as far south as Indonesia. Wild boar populations have also been artificially introduced in some areas of the world including the Americas and Australasia, principally for hunting, or through escapes from captivity. *Sus scrofa* is the most common wild ancestor of the domestic pig, with which it freely hybridizes [1]. The Family Suidae includes many species of pigs, hogs

* Correspondence: hanglee@snu.ac.kr; kyungkim@snu.ac.kr

and boars which served as one of the main food resources for humans during the extended history of human settlement. Their economic value increased as they were domesticated, reared, crossed, translocated, hunted, eaten, and in certain cases, venerated or persecuted for cultural or ritual purpose [2].

Since wild boar is a co-existing wild ancestor of domesticated pig, the patterns and origins of pig domestication worldwide are of increasing interest, not only in economic contexts, but also academically. Previous phylogenetic studies based on the mtDNA D-loop sequence revealed that continental wild boars and domestic pigs are clearly divided into eastern and western clades [3-5]. These studies suggested that pig domestications occurred independently in multiple centers of Eurasia, implying that European and



© 2014 Choi et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea ¹¹Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Asian domestic populations derived from their respective regional areas. Molecular genetic evidence for the origin of wild and domestic pigs from Asia and Europe supports the historical record that Asian pigs were subsequently interbred with European breeds during the 18th and 19th centuries after independent domestication [6]. A recent study based on single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping revealed that populations of wild boars from Europe and Near Eastern Asia are genetically differentiated, supporting previous mitochondrial studies [7].

It has been well known that the cosmopolitan wild boar originated and spread from Islands of Southeast Asia [3]. Knowledge of genetic diversity of wild boar in East Asia, therefore, is important for reconstructing the evolutionary history of the species as well as understanding the domestication process of local domestic pigs. Most genetic studies on wild boars in East Asia have been carried out using mtDNA sequence analysis, which did not expose geographic structure, although they revealed several subclades [4,8-10]. One recent study based on both mtDNA and nuclear genes demonstrated that no population substructure exists in either wild boars or domestic pigs in East Asia and showed a very high level of admixture between them [11]. Korean wild boars clearly clustered with Asian wild boar groups, sharing the same cluster with populations from Myanmar and Thailand [9], and the Vietnamese wild pig haplotype [8]. On the other hand, Larson et al. [12] ascertained that wild boars in South Korea belong to groups unique within East Asia, and remain differentiated from domestic pigs. Thus, genetic research has been conducted on domesticated pigs and wild boars in East Asia over several decades, but the patterns of genetic diversity and genetic structure of populations at a regional scale in East Asia remains unclear.

In this study, we aimed to characterize genetic relationships and genetic structure of wild boars from East Asia by examining genetic variation at microsatellite loci for a total of 238 wild boar individuals from six countries. Our results shed light on the genetic relationships among populations and help define population boundaries of wild boar in East Asia.

Results

Genetic characteristics and genetic diversity of wild boars in East Asia

In total, 273 alleles were observed across the 16 microsatellite loci. The number of alleles per locus ranged from ten for locus Sw72 to 33 for locus S0005, with a mean of 17.1. A total of 75 of 273 alleles were unique to single sample locations in this study. The proportion of most private alleles at a location was low, with a frequency of less than 5%, but eight of the 75 private alleles were present at a frequency over 15%: Japan (one allele of 15.6%), Yunnan, China (one allele of 20.0%), Vietnam (two alleles of 19.2% each), and Indonesia (four alleles of 14.6%, 29.2%, 45.8%, and 66.7%, respectively). The highest number of alleles (154 alleles) was found in wild boars from Indonesia, of which 33 were private alleles. Inbreeding coefficients, $F_{\rm IS}$, ranged from 0.017 to 0.279 with a mean of 0.091. Most of the populations except for two sample locations, Japan and Vietnam, showed non-significant $F_{\rm IS}$ values, implying no signature of significant inbreeding (Table 1).

Levels of genetic diversity for regional samples of 238 wild boars from East Asia are shown in Table 1. The mean number of alleles across loci ranged from 3.4 (Jeolla-do, Korea) to 9.6 (Indonesia). Four diversity measures revealed a consistently high level of genetic diversity in wild boars from southeastern China (Yunnan province), Vietnam, and Indonesia (≥ 0.796 in H_E and ≥ 7.3 in allelic richness), followed by the Russian Far East (Primorsky Krai) and mainland Korea (except Jeolla-do). The lowest level of genetic diversity was found in the samples from Jeolla-do, Korea ($H_E = 0.506$; Ad = 3.4; Ar = 3.4), and Jeju Island ($H_E = 0.549$; Ad = 4.0; Ar = 3.1) (Table 1).

Genetic relationships and gene flow among populations

Between population genetic differences, as indicated by pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ estimates and the estimated number of migrants per generation (*Nm*), are presented in Table 2 for each pair of wild boar populations. Pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ values ranged from 0.020 (Gyeonggi-do vs. Gangwon-do, Korea) to 0.314 (Jeolla-do vs. Jeju Island, Korea). Gene flow estimates (*Nm*) derived from $F_{\rm ST}$ ranged from 0.546 to 12.250. All wild boar population pairs were significantly differentiated from one another except population pairs from the north-central region of Korea. The wild boar population on Jeju Island showed the highest degree of genetic differentiation from other populations (mean $F_{\rm ST}$ = 0.253). The lowest mean $F_{\rm ST}$ value was found in southeastern Korea (Gyeongsang-do) vs. other populations (mean $F_{\rm ST}$ = 0.123).

The NJ tree based on Nei's D_A genetic distance showed wild boars from Vietnam and Indonesia grouped together, forming a basal cluster to all other populations (Figure 1A). Among Korean wild boars, mainland populations grouped with, and were closely related to, wild boars from the Russian Far East (Primorsky Krai), whereas wild boars from Japan and Jeju Island were basal to Northeast Asian clades. Wild boar populations from Southeast Asia formed distinct clades from those of Northeast Asian populations.

In a Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCA), the first two components, PC 1 and PC 2 (x- and y- axes, respectively), accounted for 35.52% and 22.63% of the total variance (Figure 1B). PC 1 revealed the genetic difference between wild boars by geographical isolation. "Northern" regions (mainland South Korea and Russian Far East) and "southern" regions (southeastern China, Vietnam and Indonesia)

Location (Abbr.) N		Allelic diversity (Ad)	Allelic richness (Ar)	H _E	Ho	F _{IS}
South Korea						
Gyeonggi-do (KGGW)	17	4.8	4.3	0.614	0.563	0.086 ^{NS}
Gangwon-do (KGWW)	53	5.8	4.6	0.661	0.647	0.022 ^{NS}
Gyeongsang-do (KGSW)	26	6.1	5.1	0.705	0.673	0.046 ^{NS}
Jeolla-do (KJLW)	12	3.4	3.4	0.506	0.422	0.172 ^{NS}
Jeju Island (KJIW)	37	4.0	3.1	0.549	0.539	0.019 ^{NS}
Russia Primorsky (RUPW)	30	7.6	5.9	0.736	0.710	0.036 ^{NS}
Japan (JPNW)	16	6.2	5.2	0.650	0.473	0.279*
China Yunnan (CYNW)	10	8.0	8.0	0.845	0.831	0.017 ^{NS}
Vietnam (VIEW)	13	9.1	8.3	0.859	0.836	0.028 ^{NS}
Indonesia (INDW)	24	9.6	7.3	0.796	0.658	0.177*

Table 1 Genetic diversity estimates for wild boars from East Asia

N: Number of samples; Ad: Mean number of alleles; H₀: Observed heterozygosity; H_E: Expected heterozygosity; F_{IS}: Inbreeding coefficients; *Significant, ^{NS}Not significant, after adjusted nominal level (5%): 0.00031; Ar: The number of genes obtained from Yunnan, China, the smallest sample size in this study, was employed.

formed separate groups, with Japanese wild boars intermediate between them. The discrete position of wild boars from Jeju Island along PC 2 reflects its high genetic differentiation from all other wild boar populations.

Pairwise F_{ST} data, the genetic relationship tree and the PCA scattergram indicate that Jeju Island wild boars are quite distinct from wild boars in mainland Korea. Interestingly, despite the genetically distinct population structure of wild boars from Jeju Island, one of the 37 individuals we sampled belonged genetically to a population from the Korean mainland (Figures 2 and 3). In addition, some wild boars on Jeju Island shared genetic profiles similar to wild boars from Yunnan province (Figure 3) and the pairwise F_{ST} value was relatively low.

Genetic structure of East Asian wild boars

Samples from ten geographic locations were tested to determine the potential number of populations (K) they

represent. Model-based clustering analysis revealed that wild boars in Eastern Asia had the highest ΔK when K was set to 3, assuming three inferred populations: 1. mainland Korea (KGGW, KGWW, KGSW, KJLW); 2. Jeju Island (KJIW); and 3. Southeast Asia (CYNW, VIEW, INDW) (Figure 3 & Additional file 1: Figure S1). In this scenario, wild boars from Primorsky Krai, Russia and Japan showed genetic compositions intermediate between mainland Korea and Southeast Asian populations. Wild boars from Primorsky Krai, Russia and Japan clustered together when K = 4. When K was set to 5, the Japanese wild boar population grouped with Yunnan province, China and Vietnam. The Indonesian population was isolated, albeit 9 of 24 individuals shared genetic composition with those populations. In the case of K = 6, most wild boars from Japan formed a unique genetic composition. Finally, when K was set to 7, the wild boars of mainland Korea were divided into two main substructures, a north-central region

Table 2 Genetic distances and gene flow estimates among wild boars from East Asia

	KGGW	KGWW	KGSW	KJLW	KJIW	RUPW	JPNW	CYNW	VIEW	INDW
KGGW		12.250	3.718	1.384	0.669	1.887	1.094	1.221	1.066	0.750
KGWW	0.020 ^{NS}		6.507	2.275	0.770	2.225	1.179	1.428	1.131	0.814
KGSW	0.063*	0.037*		2.410	0.907	2.131	1.342	2.177	1.511	1.073
KJLW	0.153*	0.099*	0.094*		0.546	1.265	0.679	0.902	0.809	0.633
KJIW	0.272*	0.245*	0.216*	0.314*		0.770	0.640	1.170	0.734	0.673
RUPW	0.117*	0.101*	0.105*	0.165*	0.245*		1.170	2.154	1.575	1.179
JPNW	0.186*	0.175*	0.157*	0.269*	0.281*	0.176*		1.373	1.274	1.013
CYNW	0.170*	0.149*	0.103*	0.217*	0.176*	0.104*	0.154*		4.136	2.275
VIEW	0.190*	0.181*	0.142*	0.236*	0.254*	0.137*	0.164*	0.057*		2.044
INDW	0.250*	0.235*	0.189*	0.283*	0.271*	0.175*	0.198*	0.099*	0.109*	

Pairwise F_{ST} (below diagonal) and gene flow (Nm) estimates (above diagonal) among geographic populations of wild boars in East Asia (see Table 1 for location abbreviations).

*Significant after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.05); ^{NS}Not significant; Indirect indicator of gene flow (*Nm*) was calculated among geographic populations using the equation, $Nm = 1/4[(1 - F_{ST})/F_{ST}]$.

(KGGW and KGWW) and southern region (KGSW and KJLW), although they displayed a genetically admixed pattern (Figure 3).

When a hierarchical island model [13] was applied to verify possible substructure in each cluster, results corresponded to genetic clustering obtained when *K* was set to 7. Therefore, a total of seven genetically substructured groups of populations were found in wild boars in East Asia (Figure 3). Most wild boars from Jeju Island (KJIW), Primorsky Krai (RUPW), Japan (JPNW) and Indonesia (INDW), showed discrete genetic composition in the structure analysis, with genetic traits of the first two populations shared among a few individuals of Yunnan province, China (CYNW) (Figure 3). With one exception, wild boar individuals from Jeju Island had a conspicuously different genetic composition with respect to populations

from mainland Korea. Although wild boars from mainland Korea were genetically admixed, the genetic composition showed a gradual geographic gradient from north to south (Figure 2). The structure analysis revealed that the prevalent (96%) cluster in the wild boar population on Jeju Island was more abundant (13%) in wild boars from Yunnan province than in wild boars from mainland Korea (<2%) (Figure 2).

AMOVA analysis was carried out to ascertain hierarchical patterns of genetic variation for three regions distinguished on the basis of geographical distance, pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ and population structure (Table 3). 9.5% of genetic variance was accounted for among the three regions ($F_{\rm RT} = 0.095$) and 11.4% among populations within region ($F_{\rm SR} = 0.114$), to explain the proportion of genetic variance among populations to the total ($F_{\rm ST} =$ 0.198) (Table 3).





The isolation by distance test revealed that genetic distance was not significantly correlated to the geographic distance for total populations ($R^2 = 0.078$; P = 0.140). However, when the Jeju Island population was excluded, a significant regression was detected ($R^2 = 0.391$; P = 0.002) (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

Discussion

Levels of genetic diversity and the structuring of geographic populations provide important clues to local adaptation and species evolution. Such information can further be employed to understand the effect of genetic variation of regional wild boars on pig domestication in East Asia and to facilitate conservation and management of this species at a regional scale. In this study, wild boar populations from East Asia showed various levels of genetic diversity, as well as a distinct genetic structure, related to geographic distribution.

Genetic diversity and population structure of wild boar in East Asia

The pattern and magnitude of allelic diversity vary with the geographic distribution of wild boars in East Asia. Wild boars from southeastern regions, represented by Yunnan province of China, Vietnam and Indonesia, exhibited generally high levels of genetic diversity with large numbers of alleles. In contrast, relatively low levels of genetic diversity were found in wild boars from Northeast Asia, except Primorsky Krai, Russia which has an intermediate level of allelic diversity.

The high level of genetic diversity and large numbers of alleles in wild boars from Southeast Asia are expected given the historical geographic range of *S. scrofa*. Previous studies [3,12,14] revealed that *S. scrofa* originated from Islands of Southeast Asia, i.e. an "ISEA" origin of wild boar. Although various factors such as climatic fluctuations and human-mediated translocations can affect the genetic composition of a spreading species, its gene pool will be retained with a higher probability in the area of origin than in areas of colonization. Additionally, extensive inter-specific gene flow in the genus *Sus* took place during glacial periods when a land bridge formed between the islands of Southeast Asia [14], and this could explain the observed high level of genetic diversity in ISEA.

Structure analysis using the hierarchical island model revealed that Indonesian wild boars are differentiated from other populations of Southeastern Asia, despite some individuals with genetic profiles similar to those of wild boars from Yunnan province and Vietnam (Figures 2 and 3). In addition, the high proportion of private alleles and high allelic diversity in the Indonesian wild boar population support its subspecific classification as the "Indonesian race", *S. s. vittatus*, proposed by Groves and Grubb [15].

In contrast, wild boars from most of mainland Korea and Jeju Island had genetic diversity almost two fold lower than wild boars from Southeast Asia. The wild boar population

Table 3 Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) of wild

Source	df	SS	MS	Est. Var.	%
Among regions	2	290.580	145.290	0.643	9%
Among populations	7	241.790	34.541	0.702	10%
Among individuals	228	1327.662	5.823	0.389	6%
Within individuals	238	1200.500	5.044	5.044	74%
Total	475	3060.532		6.778	100%
F-statistics	Value	P-value			
F _{RT}	0.095	0.001			
F _{SR}	0.114	0.001			
F _{ST}	0.198	0.001			
F _{IS}	0.072	0.001			
F _{IT}	0.256	0.001			

Three regions; North-East region (RUPW, KGGW, KGWW, KGSW, KJLW, JPNW), Jeju Island (KJIW) and South-East region (CYNW, VIEW, INDW). See Table 1 for location abbreviations.

df: Degrees of freedom; SS: Sums of squares; MS: Mean squares; Est. Var.: Estimated variance within and among populations.

from Jeju Island ($H_E = 0.549$; Ar = 3.1) exhibited the lowest genetic diversity among all populations sampled from East Asia. Negligible gene flow from the Korean mainland (mean Nm = 0.764, Table 2), and the sudden population increase on Jeju Island during recent decades, could account for the low level of genetic diversity on the island, and suggest there has not been enough time to reach mutation/ migration-drift equilibrium since human-mediated translocation or natural migration.

Patterns of genetic diversity and differentiation at local and regional scales observed in this study, together with results from the model-based structure analysis, suggest that wild boars in Northeast Asia share closer ancestry with wild boars in southern China than do those in Vietnam and Indonesia, indicating gradual gene flow from ISEA through Southern China (Figure 2). A diverging gene pool and high level of genetic diversity in wild boars from East Asia are likely reflected in a high diversity of local pig breeds in Asia, arising during multiple and independent domestication events in this region [16].



Choi et al. BMC Genetics 2014, 15:85



In contrast to a previous study based on mtDNA and nuclear genes [11], which found no genetic structure among wild boar populations in East Asia, we found high genetic variation and differentiation between wild boar populations at both local and regional levels. Mitochondrial DNA sequence comparisons indicated that genetic clusters of wild boars from East Asia, including China, Korea, Japan and the Russian Far East, were not clearly separated by region [10]. In addition, no conspicuous genetic structure in East Asia, including China, Korea and Japan, was detected based on three different marker systems, mtDNA, microsatellite and Y-chromosome genes [17]. In these cases, the number of samples and markers used for wild boar study in East Asia probably were not enough to detect population structure. Alternatively, the use of populations such as domestic pigs with strong geographic structuring could mask the hidden structure of wild boars in East Asia that might otherwise exist in such region. Our contrasting results relative to previous studies [10,11,17] could also be due to the use of different marker systems. Although both mtDNA and microsatellite loci analyses showed indication of population structuring in European wild boars [18-20], microsatellite loci have shown better resolution in detecting genetic structure among geographic populations than mtDNA [18]. Population differentiation and admixture in the recent past can be better detected by fast-evolving markers like microsatellites.

Geographical distance was significantly correlated with genetic distance when the unique Jeju population was excluded (Additional file 1: Figure S2). A hierarchical genetic differentiation related to geographical distances is also well-supported by the AMOVA incorporating three regions (Table 3). Furthermore, Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCA) showed the wild boar populations in East Asia occupied unique positions along PC 1, mainly related to geographic distribution. Taken together, our data indicate that genetic differentiation of wild boars in East Asia is maintained by geographic separation.

Genetic status of local wild boar populations in South Korea

Archaeological evidence suggests that wild boars appeared on the Korean peninsula in the mid-Pleistocene, ca. 780,000 to 130,000 years before present [21]. However, predators, such as wolf and tiger, which have played important roles in effectively controlling the population size of wild boar, have been absent from South Korea over recent decades. As a result, wild boar is the largest mammal with an extensive distribution in South Korea, although Asiatic black bears (*Ursus thibetanus*) were reintroduced to the mainland a decade ago [22]. Archaeological evidence and ancient records indicate that wild boars became established on Jeju Island, the largest island in southern Korea, presumably between the 1st and 8th centuries A.D. [23,24]. Modern populations decreased and went undetected for several decades, but over the last decade, wild boars have greatly increased on the island. Although the reason for the recent increase of wild boars on Jeju Island is unclear, it has been assumed that some captive individuals escaped to the wild. As a consequence of wild boar population growth on the mainland and Jeju Island in South Korea, proper management of the species is of increasing concern, and population genetics would be a useful tool to reveal whether gene flow occurs between local wild boar populations.

Structure analysis (K = 7) showed that wild boars from mainland Korea are represented by two genetic clusters (Figures 2 and 3). Although genetic traits within populations in mainland Korea were not clearly discrete, genetic profiles were gradually displaced from the north-central region (KGGW and KGWW) to the southeast region (KGSW), followed by the southwest region (KJLW) (Figure 2). Pairwise F_{ST} and gene flow estimates (Nm) support a gradual cline in genetic structure in mainland Korea (Table 2). These three regions of the Korean peninsula are geographically separated by the Baekdu-daegan mountain range, which runs most of the length of the eastern peninsula, from Baekdu Mountain in the north to Jiri Mountain in the mid-south. This mountain range may function as a geographical barrier to wild boar dispersal, although they are capable of crossing mountain ridges. Moreover, S. scrofa does not tend to disperse long distances from their birth site, with geographic ranges less than 6.5 Km² [25,26].

Our result showed that Jeju wild boar had a closer relationship with Yunnan rather than the mainland Korea, which suggests that wild boars in Jeju Island share closer common ancestry with wild boars in Yunnan, China than mainland Korea. This is in agreement with the conclusion of a previous study that Jeju Island wild boars probably introduced from somewhere in China [27], and were not directly originated from mainland Korea. A phylogenetic study using mitochondrial sequences suggested that wild boar from Jeju Island should be allocated to the Chinese wild boar cluster [27]. However, precise identification of the geographic origin of the Jeju Island wild boar will require a survey of more samples from broadly spaced regions using a variety of analytical methods, such as paternal history using Y-chromosome genes and maternal history using mitochondrial DNA.

For effective management of wild boars in Korea, genetic traits must be considered to establish appropriate strategies. Our results show that wild boar populations on mainland Korea are genetically structured. For example, wild boars from Jeolla-do, in the southwest region of South Korea, shared only 3.6% genetic composition with the population from Gyeonggi-do in the northwest. This result indicates that wild boar distribution and partial isolation in the Korean peninsula are possibly maintained by geographic barriers such as mountain ridges, lowlands and islands. Although wild boars are now abundant in South Korea, various levels of genetic and ecological studies will be required to obtain adequate information for long-term management.

Conclusions

Microsatellite loci analyses revealed wild boar populations of East Asia are genetically diverse and structured, and that genetic distance is correlated with geographic distance. The level of genetic diversity decreases gradually from Southeastern Asia to Northeastern Asia, reflecting northward spread of ancestral wild boar populations in East Asia. We also observed conspicuous genetic structure and divergence among wild boar populations at local and regional scales in East Asia. High levels and diverse patterns of genetic variation among regional populations of wild boars from East Asia have likely contributed to the high genetic diversity of local domestic pig populations retained through multiple independent domestications [3]. In addition, extant genetic richness of wild boars in East Asia can become an important resource for the future breeding of domestic pigs. Although microsatellites provide genetic information other markers do not, novel approaches such as SNP and genome sequencing also will be helpful in better understanding the population structure of wild boars in East Asia. Moreover, further studies with more samples at larger and finer geographic scales will shed light on unresolved questions, such as the paternal and maternal history, and the phylogeography of wild boars from Eurasia. Such studies are currently underway.

Methods

Sample collection

Samples from a total of 238 wild boars, mostly muscle tissue, some blood and hair, were collected from ten locations across six countries; Russia (Primorsky Krai, RUPW), Japan (JPNW), China (Yunnan province, CYNW), Vietnam (VIEW), Indonesia (INDW) and South Korea. This experimental work was conducted with permission by the Conservation Genome Resource Bank for Korean Wildlife (CGRB) that provided wild boar samples for this study. All samples were legally collected and deposited into CGRB. The procedures involving animal samples followed the guidelines by Seoul National University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (SNUIACUC). Wild boars in South Korea were divided into five regional groups according to the province of collection and other geographic considerations: Gyeonggi-do (KGGW), Gangwon-do (KGWW), Gyeongsang-do (KGSW), Jeolla-do (KJLW) and Jeju Island (KJIW) (Table 1, Figure 2). All the samples were stored at -70°C until DNA extraction.

Microsatellite markers and PCR

In this study, we selected and tested 18 of 30 polymorphic microsatellite markers developed for swine biodiversity studies [28]. We carried out a series of tests using a subset of Korean wild boars to verify if these markers adequately fit marker selection criteria suggested by Kim *et al.* [29]. 16 of 18 markers revealed good scorability, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, absence of null alleles, evidence of selective neutrality and linkage equilibrium between loci. Therefore, these 16 markers were used for wild boar population genetics in this study. Information on genetic variation for individual markers from wild boars sampled at each location is shown in (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit or Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) according the manufacturer's instructions. The 16 microsatellite loci were amplified using the Multiplex PCR Kit (QIAGEN). Touchdown PCR was carried out under the following conditions: initial denaturation for 15 min at 95°C, followed by seven touchdown cycles starting at 94°C for 30s, 67°C for 90s, and 72°C for 60s, with annealing temperature decreasing by 2°C per cycle to 53°C. The touchdown cycles were followed by an additional 25 cycles at 94°C for 30s, 53°C for 90s, 72°C for 60s, and a final extension at 60°C for 30 min. Individuals were genotyped using a DNA Sequencer (ABI Prism 3730 XL DNA Analyzer, Applied Biosystems).

Data analysis

Measures of genetic diversity, including mean number of alleles (Ad) per locus, observed heterozygosity ($H_{\rm O}$), and expected heterozygosity (H_E) under Hardy-Weinberg assumptions, were estimated using the Microsatellite Tool Kit [30]. Allelic richness (Ar) [31] is a fundamental measure of genetic diversity. It was calculated based on the minimum sample size of each population to correct for differences in sample size among populations using the rarefaction approach implemented in FSTAT v. 2.9.3 [32]. Inbreeding coefficient, $F_{\rm IS}$, and the level of genetic differentiation between each pair of populations, pairwise F_{ST} estimates, and their significance values were calculated using a permutation approach with FSTAT v. 2.9.3 [32]. Significance level was determined after applying the sequential Bonferroni correction to take account of experiment-wise errors due to multiple tests [33]. Indirect estimates of gene flow (Nm, effective number of migrants per generation) were calculated from F_{ST} using the equation of Wright [34]. The software program GenAlEx v.6.0 [35] was used to conduct Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCA) to visualize geometric relationships between wild boar populations. GenAlEx v.6.0 was further used to carry out an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for wild boars among three potential regions suggested by the model-based clustering analysis

(see Results): North-East (RUPW, KGGW, KGWW, KGSW, KJLW and JPNW), Jeju Island (KJIW) and South-East (CYNW, VIEW and INDW). Significance level was calculated by the permutation procedure (999 permutations). We checked for isolation by distance (IBD) [34] by testing for correlation between genetic distance, $F_{\rm ST}/(1-F_{\rm ST})$, and geographic distance among locations using Mantel's test in GenAlEx v.6.0, and significance was determined based on 999 permutations. The DISPAN computer program [36] was used to construct the genetic relationship tree based on Nei's D_A genetic distance by the neighbor-joining (NJ) method [37,38].

To assess population structure, STRUCTURE 2.3.3 software [39] was used. The number of MCMC (Markov chain Monte Carlo) replications was set to 200,000 after a burn-in period of 100,000 using the default parameters of an admixture model and correlated allele frequencies among populations. The number of inferred clusters (K) was estimated according to the method of Evanno et al. [13], where an ad hoc statistic ΔK is based on the rate of change in the log probability of data between successive K values. Ten runs were carried out for each K, from 1 to 12, to quantify the amount of variation of the likelihood value. Initially, we obtained the highest ΔK value when K was set to 3 (see Results). Three main clusters, therefore, were further analyzed according to the hierarchical island model to probe for possible hidden substructure for each predefined cluster [13].

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Plot of mean posterior probability (LnP(D)) values per clusters (K), based on 10 iterations per K, generated by the STRUCTURE program [39], and delta K analysis of LnP(D), according to Evanno *et al.* [13]. Figure S2: Regression of genetic distance on geographic distance between pairs of East Asian wild boar populations. A. Analysis using all populations included (P = 0.140); B. Analysis after excluding wild boars from Jeju Island (P = 0.002). Mantel's test for correlations was carried out with 999 permutations. Table S1: Genetic characteristics of 16 microsatellite DNA loci for ten sampling locations in East Asia. See Table 1 for sample locations.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SKC carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the experiments, data analyses, and drafted the manuscript. KSK and HL conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. JEL participated in the experiment. YJK, MSM, IV, AM, JGO, THK, IS, NI, LY, YPZ and NM provided genetic materials and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge to Dr. Thomas W. Sappington, USDA-ARS, for his valuable comments on this manuscript. This work was supported by a Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) grant funded by the Korean government (MEST) (No. 2009–0080227).

Author details

College of Veterinary Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ²National Institute of Ecology, Seocheon-gun, Chungcheongnam-do, Republic of Korea. ³Lazovsky State Nature Reserve, Lazo, Primorsky Krai, Russia ⁴Research Institute for Hallasan, Jeju Special Self-Governing Province, Jeju, Republic of Korea. ⁵Division of Animal Genomics and Bioinformatics, National Institute of Animal Science, Rural Development Administration, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea. ⁶Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology Urals Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Yekaterinburg, Russia. ⁷Pacific Geographical Institute Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia. ⁸Laboratory of Food and Environmental Hygiene, Veterinary Medicine, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan. ⁹Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Bio-resource and Key Laboratory for Microbial Resources of the Ministry of Education, Yunnan University, Kunming, China. ¹⁰State Key Laboratory of Genetic Resources and Evolution, Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, China. ¹¹Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA.

Received: 4 March 2014 Accepted: 10 July 2014 Published: 17 July 2014

References

- Oliver WLR, Brisbin IL Jr, Takahashi S: The Eurasian Wild Pig (Sus scrofa). In Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan: Pigs, Peccaries and Hippos. Chapter 5.2. Edited by Oliver WLR. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN; 1993:112–121.
- 2. Randi E: Conservation genetics of the genus Sus. IBEX J M E 1995, 3:6–12.
- Larson G, Dobney K, Albarella U, Fang M, Matisoo-Smith E, Robins J, Lowden S, Finlayson H, Brand T, Willerslev E, Rowley-Conwy P, Andersson L, Cooper A: Worldwide phylogeography of wild boar reveals multiple centers of pig domestication. *Science* 2005, **307**:1618–1621.
- Wu GS, Yao YG, Qu KX, Ding ZL, Li H, Palanichamy MG, Duan ZY, Li N, Chen YS, Zhang YP: Population phylogenomic analysis of mitochondrial DNA in wild boars and domestic pigs revealed multiple domestication events in East Asia. Genome Biol 2007, 8:R245.
- Luetkemeier ES, Sodhi M, Schook LB, Malhi RS: Multiple Asian pig origins revealed through genomic analyses. Mol Phylogenet Evol 2010, 54:680–686.
- Giuffra E, Kijas JM, Amarger V, Carlborg O, Jeon JT, Andersson L: The origin of the domestic pig: independent domestication and subsequent introgression. *Genetics* 2000, 154:1785–1791.
- Manunza A, Zidi A, Yeghoyan S, Balteanu VA, Carsai TC, Scherbakov O, Ramírez O, Eghbalsaied S, Castelló A, Mercadé A, Amills M: A high throughput genotyping approach reveals distinctive autosomal genetic signatures for European and Near Eastern wild boar. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8:e55891.
- Hongo H, Ishiguro N, Watanobe T, Shigehara N, Anezaki T, Long VT, Binh DV, Tien NT, Nam NH: Variation in mitochondrial DNA of Vietnamese pigs: relationships with Asian domestic pigs and Ryukyu wild boars. *Zoolog Sci* 2002, 19:1329–1335.
- Cho IC, Han SH, Fang M, Lee SS, Ko MS, Lee H, Lim HT, Yoo CK, Lee JH, Jeon JT: The robust phylogeny of Korean wild boar (*Sus scrofa coreanus*) using partial D-loop sequence of mtDNA. *Mol Cells* 2009, 28:423–430.
- Ramayo Y, Shemeret'eva IN, Pérez-Enciso M: Mitochondrial DNA diversity in wild boar from the Primorsky Krai Region (East Russia). *Anim Genet* 2010, 42:96–99.
- Ji Y-Q, Wu D-D, Wu G-S, Wang G-D, Zhang Y-P: Multi-locus analysis reveals a different pattern of genetic diversity for mitochondrial and nuclear DNA between wild and domestic pigs in East Asia. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e26416.
- Larson G, Liu R, Zhao X, Yuan J, Fuller D, Barton L, Dobney K, Fan Q, Gu Z, Liu X-H, Luo Y, Lv P, Andersson L, Li N: Patterns of East Asian pig domestication, migration, and turnover revealed by modern and ancient DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107:7686–7691.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J: Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol Ecol* 2005, 14:2611–2620.
- Frantz LA, Schraiber JG, Madsen O, Megens HJ, Bosse M, Paudel Y, Semiadi G, Meijaard E, Li N, Crooijmans RP, Archibald AL, Slatkin M, Schook LB, Larson G, Groenen MA: Genome sequencing reveals fine scale diversification and reticulation history during speciation in *Sus. Genome Biol* 2013, 14:R107.
- Groves CP, Grubb P: The Eurasian Suids Sus and Babyrousa. In Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan: Pigs, Peccaries and Hippos. Chapter 5.1. Edited by Oliver WLR. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN; 1993:107–111.

- Larson G, Cucchi T, Dobney K: Genetic Aspects of Pig Domestication. In *The Genetics of the Pig. Chapter 2.* 2nd edition. Edited by Rothschild MF, Ruvinsky A. Oxfordshire: CAB International; 2011:14–37.
- Ramírez O, Ojeda A, Tomás A, Gallardo D, Huang LS, Folch JM, Clop A, Sánchez A, Badaoui B, Hanotte O, Galman-Omitogun O, Makuza SM, Soto H, Cadillo J, Kelly L, Cho IC, Yeghoyan S, Pérez-Enciso M, Amills M: Integrating Y-Chromosome, mitochondrial, and Autosomal data to analyze the origin of pig breeds. *Mol Biol Evol* 2009, 26:2061–2072.
- Scandura M, Iacolina L, Crestanello B, Pecchioli E, Di Benedetto MF, Russo V, Davoli R, Apollonio M, Bertorelle G: Ancient vs. recent processes as factors shaping the genetic variation of the European wild boar: are the effects of the last glaciation still detectable? *Mol Ecol* 2008, 17:1745–1762.
- Vilaça ST, Biosa D, Zachos F, Iacolina L, Kirschning J, Alves PC, Paule L, Gortazar C, Mamuris Z, Jedrzejewska B, Borowik T, Sidorovich VE, Kusak J, Costa S, Schley L, Hartl GB, Apollonio M, Bertorelle G, Scandura M: Mitochondrial phylogeography of the European wild boar: the effect of climate on genetic diversity and spatial lineage sorting across Europe. *J Biogeogr* 2014, **41**:987–998.
- Alexandri P, Triantafyllidis A, Papakostas S, Chatzinikos E, Platis P, Papageorgiou N, Larson G, Abatzopoulos TJ, Triantaphyllidis C: The Balkans and the colonization of Europe: the post-glacial range expansion of the wild boar, Sus scrofa. J Biogeogr 2012, 39:713–723.
- Norton CJ: The current state of Korean paleoanthropology. J Hum Evol 2000, 38:803–825.
- Kim YK, Hong YJ, Min MS, Kim KS, Kim YJ, Voloshina I, Myslenkov A, Smith GJD, Cuong ND, Tho HH, Han SH, Yang DH, Kim CB, Lee H: Genetic status of Asiatic black bear (*Ursus thibetanus*) reintroduced into South Korea based on mitochondrial DNA and microsatellite loci analysis. J Hered 2011, 102:165–174.
- Shin T, Jin J, Lee C: Archaeological study of animal bones excavated from Cheju Kimnyungri cave site. *Korean J Vet Res* 1996, 36:757–761 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Kim H-L, Kang C-H, Shin T-K: Comparative anatomical study on animal bones excavated from the Jongdal-ri shell mound archaeological site IV on Jeju Island. *Korean J Vet Res* 2002, 42:309–320 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Caley P: Movements, activity patterns and habitat use of feral pigs (Sus scrofa) in a tropical habitat. Wildl Res 1997, 24:77–87.
- Choi TY, Lee YS, Park CH: Home-range of wild boar, Sus scrofa living in the Jirisan National Park, Korea. J Ecol Field Biol 2006, 29:253–257 (in Korean with English abstract).
- Han SH, Oh JG, Cho IC, Ko MS, Kim TW, Chang MH, Kim BS, Park SG, Oh HS: A molecular genetic analysis of the introduced wild boar species (*Sus scrofa coreanus*) on Mount Halla, Jeju Island, Korea. *Kor J Env Eco* 2011, 5:658–665 (in Korean with English abstract).
- FAO: Swines. In Secondary Guidelines for Development of National Farm Animal Genetic Resources Management Plans. Measurement of Domestic Animal Diversity (MoDAD): Recommended Microsatellite Markers. Rome: FAO; 1998:19–24.
- Kim KS, Stolz U, Miller NJ, Waits ER, Guillemaud T, Sumerford DV, Sappington TW: A core set of microsatellite markers for western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) population genetics studies. Environ Entomol 2008, 37:293–300.
- Park SDE: Trypanotolerance in West African cattle and the population genetic effects of selection. In *PhD thesis*. Ireland: University of Dublin; 2001.
- 31. Hurlbert SH: The nonconcept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters. *Ecology* 1971, **52**:577–586.
- 32. Goudet J: FSTAT(version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. J Hered 1995, 86:485–486.
- 33. Rice WR: Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 1989, 43:223-225.
- 34. Wright S: Isolation by distance. Genetics 1943, 28:114-138.
- Peakall R, Smouse PE: GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* 2006, 6:288–295.
- Ota T: DISPAN: genetic distance and phylogenetic analysis. University Park, Pennsylvania, USA: Pennsylvania State University; 1993.

- 37. Nei M, Tajima F, Tateno Y: Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data. J Mol Evol 1983, **19**:153–170.
- Saitou N, Nei M: The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic tree. *Mol Biol Evol* 1987, 4:406–425.
- 39. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P: Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 2000, 155:945–959.

doi:10.1186/1471-2156-15-85

Cite this article as: Choi *et al.*: Genetic structure of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) populations from East Asia based on microsatellite loci analyses. *BMC Genetics* 2014 15:85.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit