

DATA NOTE

The climbing flora of India: A comprehensive checklist

[version 1; peer review: 2 approved]

Vivek Pandi¹, Kanda Naveen Babu^{2,3}

¹Manipal Centre for Natural Sciences, Centre of Excellence, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Madhava Nagar, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, 576104, India

²Department of Ecology, French Institute of Pondicherry, Puducherry, Puducherry, 605001, India

³Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, School of Life Sciences, Pondicherry University, Puducherry, Puducherry, 605014, India

 First published: 25 Aug 2022, 11:980 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.123818.1
Latest published: 25 Aug 2022, 11:980 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.123818.1

Abstract

The climbing plants in India are listed in detail in this data note. This comprehensive list of climbers was compiled using more than 100 published and unpublished sources that span more than a century. It includes a total of 2,608 species representing 585 genera and 104 spermatophyte plant families. Each species listed in the dataset is categorised according to its degree of woodiness and climbing strategies. The dataset also includes information on The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) status of all climber species from India. The botanical nomenclature used in the data has been updated to reflect Angiosperm Phylogeny Group (APG) IV classification. Researchers who are conducting ecological, taxonomic, phylogenetic, and evolutionary studies on climbers will be particularly interested in this dataset.

Keywords

Lianas, Vines, Diversity, Distribution, Climbing mechanism



This article is included in the Manipal Academy of Higher Education gateway.



This article is included in the Plant Science gateway.

Open Peer Review		
Approval Status 🗹 🗸		
	1	2
version 1 25 Aug 2022	view	view
1. Subbiah Karuppusamy 跑, The Madura		

College (Autonomous), Madurai, India

2. **Patrick Addo-Fordjour** (D), Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding authors: Vivek Pandi (vivek.pandi@manipal.edu), Kanda Naveen Babu (naveenbabu.k@ifpindia.org)

Author roles: Pandi V: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; **Babu KN**: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Manipal Centre for Natural Sciences, Centre of excellence, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India, through an internal funding mechanism. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2022 Pandi V and Babu KN. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Pandi V and Babu KN. The climbing flora of India: A comprehensive checklist [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2022, 11:980 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.123818.1

First published: 25 Aug 2022, 11:980 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.123818.1

Introduction

Climbers, among other major growth habits, are likely the most under-collected plant groups.¹ Climbers are taxonomically diverse, with representation in 171 plant families, including gymnosperms, pteridophytes, and angiosperms.² There are also plant families that are entirely made up of climbers, such as Convolvulaceae (55 genera/1,850 species), Cucurbitaceae (97 genera/990 species), and Menispermaceae (72 genera/450 species). Nonetheless, Fabaceae is the most speciose climber family in the paleotropics,^{3–5} whereas Apocynaceae and Fabaceae are the most numerous climber families in the Neotropics.⁶ Climbers are broadly divided into herbaceous (vines) and woody climbers (lianas), which are further subdivided into five major types: twiners, tendril climbers, root climbers, hook climbers, and scramblers, based on their climbing strategies.⁷

Lianas have long piqued the interest of ecologists due to their unique morphological characteristics, biomechanical properties, anatomical modifications, hydraulic efficiencies and environmental plasticity.^{8–11} Climbers frequently lack autonomous vertical growth and must rely on trees for support to reach the canopy.¹² Despite this obvious limitation, lianas are extremely important because they structurally bind the forest canopy, maintain forest dynamics, and provide a variety of ecological services.^{1,13}

Climber research has gained traction over the last two decades, ^{14–16} but the fundamental question of how many climbers are out there remains unclear at the local and continental scales. One obvious reason for the exclusion of climbers is that climbers have never been treated as distinct plant groups. Climbers were left out of many floristics and ecological inventories due to difficulties in taxonomic assertion, complexity in measurements, and a lack of standard protocols. Furthermore, difficulties in assigning the growth-form and climbing mechanisms among climbers frequently keep them out of the census. Furthermore, the minimum stem size threshold used in ecological inventories essentially excludes vines with thin stems. As a result, we have lower estimates of climber diversity, which may hinder our understanding of their ecological and evolutionary significance. Our current understanding of climber diversity is based on ecological inventories or floristic studies. An integrated approach is, therefore, necessary to precisely estimate the diversity of climbing flora. We came out with a novel approach to estimating the diversity of climbers using Indian flora. India is one of the most biodiverse-rich countries that offers the finest platforms to execute such studies. We developed a methodology for the Indian perspective that can be modified and replicated elsewhere. The baseline data generated by this study will be used in various ecological, taxonomic, phylogenetic, and evolutionary studies on climbers. This would also serve as a precursor to the global climber database.

Methods

The number of terminologies employed in literature increased in tandem with the interest in climbing plants research. The following terminologies were taken into consideration and used to define climbers in preparing the check-list viz. lianas, climbers, woody climbers, herbaceous climbers, twiners, tendril climbers, root climbers, stragglers, vines, semi-scandent, sub-scandent, climbing sarmentosa shrubs, rambling shrubs, scandent shrub, climbing shrub, rambling climbers, scrambling shrubs, scrambling climbers, robust climbers, hook climbers, and branched climbers. On the other hand, we classified every climber into one of six broad climbing styles: armed-scramblers (SCR-A), unarmed-scramblers (SCR-UA), stem twiners (ST), tendril climbers (TC), root climbers (RC), and hook climbers (HC).

Data sources, validation, and curation

This data note corresponds to the findings of our study published as Vivek et al.¹⁷ The current compilation of climbing plants from India is the result of a thorough review of old and recent publications from a variety of sources that were published between 1875 and 2021. We checked 33 published Indian spermatophyte floras for the presence or absence of climbers across the country, including the Andaman and Nicobar Islands.¹⁷ Additionally, we consulted a total of 70 research articles focusing on qualitative and quantitative studies of climbers from India and published in peerreviewed journals.¹⁷ Climber information was obtained from a national database that characterised climber diversity in 3,343 micro-plots of 50 m² each (BIS (Biodiversity Information System)). Recent records of new species on climbers were also checked against records of new species discoveries published by the Botanical Survey of India between 2008-2020 (Plant discovers, Botanical Survey of India). Approximately there were 25,000 observations from the initial climber screening (pooled dataset). The most labour-intensive part of building the database was verifying the correctness of the plant species that had been reported by earlier researchers under different names. In such circumstances, we updated the nomenclature to reflect the most recent APG IV classification in order to give proper credit to the valid scientific names.¹⁸ We compared the plant names to the World Flora Online (WFO) taxonomic backbone data (WFO) using the WorldFlora R package¹⁹ in accordance with the APG IV classification.¹⁸ Ultimately the number of distinct entries produced from the WFO data was considered for preparing the final checklist. The conservation status of every species in the final list was verified as per the revised The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) criteria and categories.²⁰ We used Microsoft Excel (RRID:SCR_016137), R Project for Statistical Computing (RRID:SCR_001905) Version 4.1.2²¹ and ArcGIS for Desktop Basic (RRID:SCR_011081) ver. 10.2. for data analysis. The dataset is available as *Underlying data*.²²

Data availability

Underlying data

Dryad: Climbing flora of India. https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.d7wm37q45.²²

This project contains the following underlying data:

Climbing flora of India_v1.xlxs (Contains data in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet of compiled list of climbing plants from India with up-to-date information on nomenclature, family, woodiness, climbing mechanism and IUCN status).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Acknowledgements

We thank the French Institute of Pondicherry library team for providing resources (floras). We thank Dr. Anbarashan, French Institute of Pondicherry; Shynyan, Anju, Jishnu, Jibin, Siddhi Panchal, Tarangini, Gayathri, Abhinav, Afzal, Alida, Ansa, Finsha, Indushree, Madesh Ram, Mahida, Sameema, Shonima and Swikruti of the Department of Ecology, Pondicherry University; Rakshit, JSS college, Karnatak University and Vishal, Manipal centre for Natural Sciences, MAHE for providing their help in different stages of the work.

References

- Gentry AH: The distribution and evolution of climbing plants. Putz FE, Mooney HA, editors. *The biology of vines*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991; pp. 351.
- Gianoli E: Evolutionary implications of the climbing habit in plants. Schnitzer S, Bongers F, Burnham RJ, et al., editors. Ecology of lianas. UK: Wiley; 2015; pp. 239–250.
- Putz FE, Chai P: Ecological studies of lianas in Lambir national park, Sarawak, Malaysia. J. Ecol. 1987; 75: 523–531.
 Publisher Full Text
- Cai ZQ, Schnitzer SA, Wen B, *et al.*: Liana communities in three tropical forest types in Xishuangbanna, South-West China. *J. Trop. For. Sci.* 2009; 252–264.
- Babu KN, Parthasarathy N: Trends in Liana Research -Biodiversity, Ecology, Resource Value and Conservation. Seethalakshmi KK, Haridasan K, Maya CR, et al., editors. Conspectus of Realms of Biodiversity. Palakkad: VIBA; 2022; pp. 247–280.
- Acevedo-Rodríguez P, et al.: Lianas and climbing plants of the Neotropics. 2015 (onwards). Reference Source
- Putz FE: The natural history of lianas on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. Ecology 1984; 65(6): 1713–1724.
 Publisher Full Text
- Lee DW: Richards: Heteroblastic development in vines. The biology of vines. 1991: 205–243.
 Publisher Full Text
- Rowe N, Speck T: Hydraulics and mechanics of plants: novelty, innovation and evolution. Hemsley A, Poole I, editors. *The* evolution of plant physiology. Elsevier Academic Press; 2004; pp. 297–325.
- Bowling AJ, Vaughn KC: Gelatinous fibres are widespread in coiling tendrils and twining vines. *Am. J. Bot.* 2009; 96(4): 719–727. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Isnard S, Silk WK: Moving with climbing plants from Charles Darwin's time into the 21st century. Am. J. Bot. 2009; 96(7): 1205-1221.
 Publisher Full Text
- Wyka TP, Oleksyn J, Karolewski P, et al.: Phenotypic correlates of the lianescent growth form: a review. Ann. Bot. 2013; 112(9):

1667–1681. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Parthasarathy NP, Vivek P, Anil K: Liana diversity and their ecosystem services in tropical dry evergreen forest on the Coromandel Coast of India. Parthasarathy N, editor. *Biodiversity of Lianas*. Cham: Springer; 2015; pp. 161–178.
- Schnitzer SA, Mangan SA, Dalling JW, et al.: Liana abundance, diversity, and distribution on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. PloS one 2012; 7(12): e52114.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Tang Y, Kitching RL, Cao M: Lianas as structural parasites: a re-evaluation. *Chi. Sci. Bull.* 2012; 57(4): 307–312. Publisher Full Text
- da Cunha Vargas B, Grombone-Guaratini MT, Morellato LPC: Lianas research in the Neotropics: overview, interaction with trees, and future perspectives. *Trees* 2021; 35(2): 333–345. Publisher Full Text
- Vivek P, Babu KN, Anbarashan M, et al.: Taxonomic estimates of climbing plants in India: how many species are out there? Ecoscience 2022: 1–19. Publisher Full Text
- Chase MW, Christenhusz MJM, Fay MF, et al.: An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Bot. J. Linn. 2016; 181(1): 1–20.
- Kindt R: WorldFlora: An R package for exact and fuzzy matching of plant names against the World Flora Online taxonomic backbone data. Appl. Plant. Sci. 2020; 8(9): e11388. Publisher Full Text
- IUCN: The IUCN Red List of threatened species. Version 2021-2. International Union for Conservation of Nature. 2021. Accessed on 10-20 February 2022. Reference Source
- R Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021. Reference Source
- 22. Vivek P, Babu KN: Climbing flora of India, Dryad [Dataset]. 2022. Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 18 October 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.135962.r148672

© **2022 Addo-Fordjour P.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Patrick Addo-Fordjour 匝

Department of Theoretical and Applied Biology, Faculty of Biosciences, College of Science, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana

This is a fantastic work, which is well written and easy to read and follow. However, there are a few issues that need to be fixed by the authors.

1. The introduction needs to be supported with more recent articles. The authors stated that Fabaceae is the most species rich family of climbing plants in the paleotropics. This statement is true, but there are more recent and appropriate articles that can be added. For example, the following articles which combine liana data in Africa and Asia, provide more comprehensive and general trends^{1,2}.

2. The results of the study must be presented in the paper. The authors should summarise the results of the check list and present them under the title, "Results" This has been done in the abstract, but in the main manuscript, this is conspicuously missing.

3. Last sentence of the first paragraph of Introduction: There are more than 5 climbing modes of climbers. Please see¹.

4. Provide a subtitle for the information under "Methods" section. I suggest: "Climber definition".

References

1. Addo-Fordjour P, Rahmad Z, Burnham R: Intercontinental comparison of liana community assemblages in tropical forests of Ghana and Malaysia. *Journal of Plant Ecology*. 2016. Publisher Full Text

2. Addo-Fordjour P, Rahmad Z: Liana Assemblages in Tropical Forests of Africa and Southeast Asia: Diversity, Abundance, and Management. **5**: 81-98 Publisher Full Text

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Forest Ecology, Taxonomy

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 27 September 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.135962.r150485

© **2022 Karuppusamy S.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Subbiah Karuppusamy 匝

Department of Botany, The Madura College (Autonomous), Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India

1. Major comments:

- What are the data filtering methods used for finalising the data set of climbers?
- Does this study indicate any conservational importance? If so then what basis?

2. Minor comments: In the introduction section, authors should mentioned the need of this study and why this study is undertaken with justification. Summary must mention the part that is percentage of climbing groups in each Indian state.

3. General comments: The article is well-written with a quality data set. But the authors failed to classify the various categories of climbers in Indian states with their diversity status. Structure of the article is well planned. The volume of data set is showed the strength of the article.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Floristics and Biodiversity Conservation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research