

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



# **W** Emerging respiratory tract infections 5

# Emerging novel and antimicrobial-resistant respiratory tract infections: new drug development and therapeutic options

Alimuddin Zumla, Ziad A Memish, Markus Maeurer, Matthew Bates, Peter Mwaba, Jaffar A Al-Tawfiq, David W Denninq, Frederick G Hayden, David S Hui

#### Lancet Infect Dis 2014; 14:1136-49

Published Online September 2, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ \$1473-3099(14)70828-X

See Comment Lancet Infect Dis 2014; 14: 910-11

This is the fifth in a Series of five papers on emerging respiratory tract infections

Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK (Prof A Zumla FRCP. M Bates PhD); NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University College London Hospitals. London, UK (Prof A Zumla); University of Zambia-University College London Research and Training Project, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia (Prof A Zumla, The emergence and spread of antimicrobial-resistant bacterial, viral, and fungal pathogens for which diminishing treatment options are available is of major global concern. New viral respiratory tract infections with epidemic potential, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome, swine-origin influenza A H1N1, and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection, require development of new antiviral agents. The substantial rise in the global numbers of patients with respiratory tract infections caused by pan-antibiotic-resistant Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, multidrug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and multiazole-resistant fungi has focused attention on investments into development of new drugs and treatment regimens. Successful treatment outcomes for patients with respiratory tract infections across all health-care settings will necessitate rapid, precise diagnosis and more effective and pathogenspecific therapies. This Series paper describes the development and use of new antimicrobial agents and immunebased and host-directed therapies for a range of conventional and emerging viral, bacterial, and fungal causes of respiratory tract infections.

# Introduction

The emergence of difficult-to-treat known and novel bacterial, viral, and fungal respiratory tract pathogens with epidemic potential is of major global concern. Treatment options are limited by increasing antimicrobialdrug resistance. However, new viral infections causing severe respiratory tract disease with pandemic potential have focused global attention.1 A substantial rise in the

#### **Key messages**

- Respiratory tract infections are among the top two causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Antimicrobial-resistant species of bacteria, viruses, and fungi continue to emerge globally.
- A substantial rise in the numbers of cases of multidrug-resistant bacteria, azoleresistant fungi, and oseltamivir-resistant influenza A H1N1 causing respiratory tract infections has been identified, showing the potential limitations of current antibiotic, antiviral, and antifungal treatments for severe respiratory tract infections.
- Epidemic waves of avian influenza A H7N9 virus, sporadic cases of avian influenza A H10N8, and the ongoing outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection show an urgent need for the development of more effective antivirals.
- Research is focused on repurposing available antiviral drugs for generic or specific use, or combination use with other adjunct interventions such as immunomodulators and host-directed therapies.
- Only one class of effective antiviral agents are approved for prevention and treatment of influenza in most countries: neuraminidase inhibitors (oseltamivir, peramivir, zanamivir, and laninamivir).
- Antibiotic treatment options are limited for pan-antibiotic resistant Gram-negative bacteria, and new antibacterial antibiotic pipeline remains thin.
- Increased investments into development of new antibacterial drugs and other antibacterial innovations and for more prudent use of existing antibiotics are required worldwide.
- Development of new therapeutic options needs to be coupled to international regulations on the use and prescription of antimicrobial drugs.

number of patients with multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis<sup>2</sup> and pan-drug-resistant bacteria<sup>3</sup> has been noted. Increasing use of immunosuppressive agents, broad-spectrum antibiotics, and anticancer agents, coupled with resistance to azoles, has led to an increase in the number of invasive pulmonary fungal infections4 with resultant high morbidity and mortality. Successful treatment outcomes for patients with respiratory tract infections across all health-care settings require appropriate, effective, and pathogen-specific drug or alternative treatments. We describe a range of conventional and emerging viral, bacterial, and fungal causes of respiratory tract infections for which new antimicrobial drugs and immune-based and host-directed therapies are being developed and studied.

# Viral respiratory tract infections

The outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV),<sup>5</sup> re-emergence of avian influenza A H5N1,6 global circulation of oseltamivirresistant seasonal influenza A H1N1,7 and subsequent emergence of the pandemic influenza A H1N1 strain pdm09 virus (which continues to circulate),8 have shown the potential limitations of current antiviral treatments for severe respiratory viral infections. Epidemic waves of avian influenza A H7N9,9 sporadic cases of avian influenza A H10N8,10 the ongoing outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection, and the burden of common respiratory viruses11-such as seasonal influenza, respiratory syncytial virus, rhinoviruses, and adenoviruses-show that the development of more effective therapies to reduce morbidity and mortality is urgently needed. Research is focused on the repurposing of available antiviral drugs for generic or specific use and for

	Spectrum	Main mechanism of action	Antiviral resistance in clinical influenza isolates	Route of delivery	Pharmacokinetic features	Main adverse effects
Amantadine	Influenza A	Inhibition of M2 ion channel function, preventing virion uncoating	Widespread*	Oral	High oral bioavailability; long plasma elimination half-life (8-12 h); renal excretion of unchanged drug; dose adjustment required in renal dysfunction	CNS effects (including confusion, seizure, and psychosis), gastrointestinal effects, hypotension
Rimantadine	Influenza A	Inhibition of M2 ion channel function, preventing virion uncoating	Widespread*	Oral	High oral bioavailability; prolonged plasma elimination half-life (≥24 h); hepatic metabolism and renal excretion; dose adjustment required in severe hepatic and renal dysfunction	Gastrointestinal effects, CNS effects (lower risk than amantadine)
Oseltamivir	Influenza A and B	Inhibition of enzymatic action of viral neuraminidase†	Uncommon (1–2% in community isolates)‡	Oral	Rapid absorption of ethyl ester prodrug (phosphate) with conversion by gastrointestinal tract, hepatic, and blood esterases to the active carboxylate; peak concentrations at 3–4 h; renal excretion of both; carboxylate plasma elimination half-life of 8–10 h; dose adjustment required in renal dysfunction and young children	Gastrointestinal effects, insomnia, CNS effects (rare); anaphylaxis, severe skin reactions (rare)
Zanamivir	Influenza A and B	Inhibition of enzymatic action of viral neuraminidase*	Rare (<0.001% of community isolates)	Inhaled, nebulised, intravenous	Commercial inhaler delivers roughly 15% to lower respiratory tract; sputum concentrations detectable to 24 h; systemic bioavailability less than 20%; intravenous zanamivir excreted renally with plasma elimination half-life of roughly 2 h; dose adjustment required in renal insufficiency	Cough, bronchospasm, allergic reactions; lactose- containing commercial formulation should not be used in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation
Peramivir§	Influenza A and B	Inhibition of enzymatic action of viral neuraminidase*	Uncommon	Intravenous	Median peak and trough plasma concentrations of around 51500 µg/mL and 46 µg/mL after 600 mg dose; predominantly renal excretion; dose adjustment required in renal insufficiency	Gastrointestinal and possible CNS effects; decreased polymorphonuclear counts
Laninamivir¶	Influenza A and B	Inhibition of enzymatic action of viral neuraminidase*	Rare	Inhaled	Octanoate prodrug converted to laninamivir in airway, prolonged detection in epithelial lining fluid; systemic bioavailability roughly 15%; plasma elimination half-life of around 3 days	Gastrointestinal effects, dizziness
Favipiravir/T-705	Influenza A, B, and C and many other RNA viruses	Undergoes intracellular ribosylation and phosphorylation to active triphosphate form and selectively inhibits RNA- dependent RNA polymerase of influenza virus; also induces lethal mutagenesis	Not reported	Oral	Good oral bioavailability; parent metabolised to inactive moiety by host aldehyde oxidase and also inhibitor of aldehyde oxidase (favipiravir's metabolic enzyme); loading dose necessary; more than 65% excreted by kidneys as metabolite by 48 h	Dose-related hyperuricaemia; restricted use in pregnancy
DAS181	Influenza A and B and parainfluenza viruses	Sialidase that destroys receptors for viral haemagglutinin; novel fusion construct that includes the catalytic domain from Actinomyces viscosus sialidase linked with an epithelium-anchoring domain of human amphiregulin; this sialidase removes both α-2,6-linked and α-2,3-linked sialic acids from cellular receptors	Not reported	Inhaled	In ex-vivo human airway epithelium and human bronchial tissue, the inhibitory effect of DAS181 treatment lasts for 2 days or more; tracheobronchial delivery and degree of systemic absorption depend on particle size	Increased alkaline phosphatase because of reduced clearance; no associated increases in transaminases
Nitazoxanide	Influenza A and B and other RNA viruses	Inhibition of haemagglutinin maturation; immunomodulation and perhaps other antiviral actions.	Not reported	Oral	Plasma esterases metabolise it into active desacetyl derivative tizoxanide, which undergoes glucuronidation and urinary elimination with an elimination half-life of roughly 7 h; tizoxanide is highly bound (>99%) to plasma proteins; need for dose adjustments uncertain	Gastrointestinal effects, respiratory distress

\*Resistance in seasonal influenza A H3N2 and 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1; avian influenza A H7N9, A H10N8, and A H9N2; and some influenza A H5N1 viruses. †Neuraminidase inhibitors prevent destruction of sialic-acid-bearing receptors recognised by influenza A and B virus haemagglutinins. This action blocks virus from being released from infected cells and spreading through respiratory secretions to initiate new cycles of replication. Neuraminidase inhibitors might also inhibit virus binding to cells. ‡Except seasonal influenza A H1N1 during 2007–09. SApproved in China, Japan, and South Korea. ¶Approved in Japan. ||Approved in Japan for treatment of novel or re-emerging influenza virus infections (restricted to cases in which other anti-influenza drugs are ineffective or not sufficiently effective).

Table 1: Influenza antivirals approved or in advanced clinical development

M Bates, P Mwaba FRCP); Global Center for Mass Gatherings Medicine, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (Prof A Zumla. Prof Z A Memish FRCP); Al-Faisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (Prof Z A Memish); Therapeutic Immunology, Departments of Laboratory Medicine and Microbiology, Tumour and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden (Prof M Maeurer FRCP); Johns Hopkins Aramco Healthcare, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia (J A Al-Tawfiq MD); Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA (J A Al-Tawfiq); National Aspergillosis Centre, University Hospital South Manchester, University of South Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK (Prof D W Denning FRCP): **Division of Infectious Diseases** and International Health, Department of Medicine University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA, USA (Prof F G Havden MD): and **Division of Respiratory** Medicine and Stanley Ho Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, New Territories,

Correspondence to: Prof David S Hui MD, Division of Respiratory Medicine & Stanley Ho Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Prince of Wales Hospital, New Territories, Hong Kong dschui@cuhk.edu.hk

Hong Kong (Prof D S Hui MD)

combination with other adjunct interventions, such as immunomodulators and host-directed therapies.

# Influenza viruses

# Drugs

Two classes of antiviral drugs are approved for the prevention and treatment of influenza in most countries: M2 inhibitors (amantadine and rimantadine) and neuraminidase inhibitors (oseltamivir, peramivir, zanamivir, and laninamivir; table 1).12-43 In general, antiviral treatment is indicated as early as possible for any patient with confirmed or suspected influenza who has severe, complicated, or progressive illness or is admitted to hospital, and in outpatients at higher risk of influenza complications.<sup>12,13</sup> Time to treatment after onset of symptoms, illness severity, and extent of viral replication are key variables with respect to response. Starting of treatment should not be delayed for diagnostic testing. M2 inhibitors—also known as adamantanes—are ineffective against influenza B viruses and recently circulating influenza A H3N2 and 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 viruses, which are resistant because of an S31N mutation in the M2 ion channel.12 However, a proportion of avian influenza A H5N1 strains will be susceptible,14 and the combined use of an adamantane and a neuraminidase inhibitor improves antiviral activity for susceptible isolates.15

Two neuraminidase inhibitors are approved for use in most countries: oseltamivir and zanamivir. Laninamivir is approved for use in Japan only, and peramivir in China, Japan, and South Korea. Several observational studies have shown that when adults admitted to hospital with severe influenza are given oseltamivir, mortality falls and clinical outcomes improve, especially when treatment is initiated within 2 days of the onset of symptoms (but positive effects are noted when it is begun as late as 4-5 days after onset).<sup>12,13,16,17</sup> Oseltamivir reduces mortality in influenza A H5N1 infection when given before the onset of respiratory failure,18 and might be beneficial when started as late as 6-8 days after symptom onset.19 In patients admitted to hospital with severe influenza A H7N9 infection, reduction of viral load after treatment with oseltamivir correlated with improved outcome. whereas the emergence of virus resistant to neuraminidase inhibitors that harbours an Arg292Lys substitution is associated with poor outcomes and poor response to oseltamivir and peramivir.20

The standard duration of oseltamivir treatment is 5 days; longer treatment is recommended for critically ill patients with respiratory failure, who often have prolonged viral replication in the lower respiratory tract despite treatment.<sup>13</sup> Whether increased doses provide greater antiviral effects in such patients is under investigation. A randomised controlled trial<sup>21</sup> of patients in hospital (76% of whom were children) showed no virological or clinical advantages when a double dose of oseltamivir was given rather than a standard dose. No additional benefit was noted with high-dose oseltamivir in adults admitted with influenza A, although a faster virological response was noted in those with influenza B.<sup>22</sup> However, in a randomised controlled trial<sup>23</sup> of 18 critically ill patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1, a triple-dose oseltamivir regimen was associated with significantly higher proportions of viral clearance at 5 days than was standard therapy (78%  $\nu$ s 11%; p=0.015).<sup>23</sup> Studies of intravenous neuraminidase inhibitors that are underway should provide further data on the value of high-dose therapy.

Zanamivir and laninamivir have generally similar profiles of susceptibility. For example, the His275Tvr mutation confers high-level resistance to oseltamivir carboxylate and reduced susceptibility to peramivir in N1containing viruses but does not substantially diminish susceptibility to zanamivir and laninamivir.30 Inhaled zanamivir has not been studied in detail in severely ill patients or those admitted to hospital, in whom effective delivery to sites of viral replication and tolerability could be an issue. By contrast, intravenous zanamivir has been used widely on a compassionate basis since the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, particularly for late treatment of critically ill adults with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 virus infection and those with suspected or proven oseltamivir resistance.<sup>31</sup> One trial<sup>32</sup> has shown no drug-related trends in safety measures, and a subset of 93 patients positive at baseline for influenza showed a median decrease in nasopharyngeal viral RNA load of 1.42 log<sub>10</sub> copies per mL after 2 days of treatment. A phase 3 trial in patients who have been admitted to hospital is underway (NCT01014988). A phase 2 randomised controlled trial of inhaled laninamivir in uncomplicated influenza failed to show superiority in illness alleviation (primary endpoint) compared with placebo. The trial, involving 639 patients, tested 40 mg and 80 mg doses of the inhaled drug. The median time to alleviate flu symptoms was 102 · 3 h for the 40 mg dose and 103.2 h for the 80 mg dose, compared with  $104 \cdot 1$  h for the placebo (NCT01793883).

DAS181 has host-directed receptor-destroying action, which is inhibitory for parainfluenza and influenza viruses, including those resistant to aminoadamantanes and neuraminidase inhibitors.<sup>15</sup> When delivered topically, it is effective in animal models of lethal influenza caused by the H5N1 and H7N9 viruses, including the neuraminidase-inhibitor-resistant Arg292Lys-containing variant.<sup>35</sup> In a phase 2 randomised controlled trial,<sup>36</sup> inhaled DAS181 reduced pharyngeal viral replication in uncomplicated influenza but did not reduce nasal viral loads or improve clinical outcomes. Case reports<sup>37</sup> suggest that inhaled or nebulised DAS181 might be effective in immunocompromised hosts with severe parainfluenza lung disease.

Favipiravir (T-705; 6-fluoro-3-hydroxy-2-pyrazinecarboxamide) is active against influenza A, B, and C viruses, including strains resistant to approved antivirals, and a broad range of other RNA viruses when given at

	Study type	Target population	Combination (number treated)	Comparator (number treated)	Outcomes/comments
lson et al <sup>12</sup>	Double-blind RCT	Adults in hospital with influenza-associated lower respiratory tract illness	Oral rimantadine and nebulised zanamivir (20)	Oral rimantadine and nebulised saline (21)	Post-hoc analysis showed faster cough resolution but no significant differences in the proportion of patients shedding virus by treatment day 3 (57% zanamivir plus rimantadine, 67% placebo plus rimantadine), or in the durations of hospitalisation and supplemental oxygen use Underpowered because of low enrolment
Duval et al <sup>52</sup>	Double-blind RCT	Adult outpatients with uncomplicated seasonal influenza	Oral oseltamivir and inhaled zanamivir (157)	Oral oseltamivir (141) or inhaled zanamivir (149)	Slower virological and clinical responses in those given combined therapy compared with those given oseltamivir alone
Kim et al <sup>53</sup>	Retrospective, observational	Critically ill patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1	Oral amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir (24)	Oral oseltamivir (103)	Non-significant trends towards lower 14 day (17% vs 35%, p=0.08) and 90 day (46% vs 59%, p=0.23) mortality in combination recipients than in those receiving oseltamivir alone No virology data
Hung et al <sup>47</sup>	Prospective, observational	Critically ill patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1	Convalescent plasma and oral oseltamivir (20)	Oral oseltamivir (73)	Crude mortality in the plasma group significantly lower than that in the control group (20.0% vs 54.8%, p<0.01) Faster nasopharyngeal viral clearance Plasma with neutralising antibody titre of ≥1/160
Hung et al <sup>48</sup>	Double-blind RCT	Critically ill patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1	Hyperimmune intravenous immunoglobulin from convalescent plasma and oral oseltamivir (17)	Intravenous immunoglobulin manufactured before 2009 (18)	Subgroup of 12 patients treated with hyperimmune intravenous immunoglobulin within 5 days of symptom onset had a lower viral load and reduced mortality (0% vs 40%; odds ratio 0.14 [95% Cl 0.02–0.92], p=0.04) than did the 10 given given control intravenous immunoglobulin No overall difference in mortality (29% vs 23%)
Wang et al <sup>54</sup>	Open-label RCT	Critically ill patients with 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1	Sirolimus, oseltamivir, and corticosteroids (19)	Oseltamivir and corticosteroids (19)	More rapid improvement in partial pressure of oxygen, fraction of inspired oxygen, and sequential organ failure assessment scores; shorter ventilator use (median 7 days vs 15 days, p=0-03); and faster viral clearance in the sirolimus than in the control group
RCT=randomised controlled trial.					

Table 2: Representative clinical effectiveness studies of combination influenza therapeutics, by study

somewhat higher concentrations.<sup>38</sup> Combinations of favipiravir and neuraminidase inhibitors have additive and synergistic effects in preclinical models,<sup>39</sup> but clinical trials have been restricted to uncomplicated influenza so far. These clinical trials (combination amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir *vs* oseltamivir monotherapy [NCT01227969], nitazoxanide *vs* oseltamivir *vs* combination *vs* placebo [NCT01610245], favipiravir *vs* placebo randomised controlled trial in outpatients [NCT02008344, NCT2026349]), which have not been published, suggest that favipiravir has antiviral effects similar to those of oseltamivir.<sup>40</sup> A randomised controlled trial<sup>41</sup> showed that favipiravir shortened the time to alleviation of influenza symptoms by about 15 hours compared with placebo, and further studies are underway.

Nitazoxanide is an oral antiparasitic drug with immunomodulatory effects, including upregulation of interferon and various interferon-inducible genes and a specific influenza-inhibitory effect related to blockade of haemagglutinin maturation.<sup>42</sup> Nitazoxanide inhibits influenza replication in vitro<sup>43</sup> and in a phase 2 randomised controlled trial<sup>44</sup> had significant antiviral effects (1 · 0 log<sub>10</sub> reduction in nasal viral loads) and resulted in a significantly faster time to alleviation of illness (roughly 20 h difference in medians from placebo) in uncomplicated influenza.<sup>44</sup> A placebocontrolled randomised trial of nitazoxanide versus oseltamivir—and the combination thereof—in uncomplicated influenza and a hospital-based study of its use in severe respiratory illness are in progress (NCT01610245).

# Immune-based treatments for influenza

Non-randomly assigned studies and case reports suggest that convalescent plasma with neutralising antibodies is a useful add-on therapy for patients with SARS and severe influenza pneumonia, including that caused by influenza A H5N1.45 A recently published systematic review of available SARS and influenza treatment studies employing convalescent plasma or serum found a significant overall mortality benefit.46 A prospective observational study47 showed lower crude mortality and faster nasopharyngeal viral clearance in plasma-treated patients who were admitted with severe 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 infection, whereas in a randomised controlled trial48 a reduction in mortality was reported in severe illness when hyperimmune globulin was given within 5 days of the onset of symptoms (table 2). Heterosubtypic haemagglutinin stem-neutralising antibodies, which are highly effective in animals,49 are entering clinical evaluation in human beings.

#### **Combinations of antivirals**

The combination of antivirals with different mechanisms of actions (eg, a neuraminidase inhibitor with a polymerase inhibitor such as favipiravir,<sup>38</sup> a broad-spectrum antihaemagglutinin-neutralising antibody,<sup>49</sup> or nitazoxanide) for the management of severe forms of influenza or infections in immunocompromised hosts is the subject of ongoing study. The use of various antiviral drug combinations to improve antiviral potency, reduce the Panel: Potentially useful antiviral agents for Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection

- Neutralising antibody
  - Convalescent plasma
  - Polyclonal human immunoglobulin from transgenic cows
  - Equine F(ab')<sub>2</sub> antibody fragments, camel antibodies
  - Anti-S monoclonal antibodies
- Interferons
  - Interferon alfa
  - Interferon beta
- Repurposed drugs
  - Ribavirin (with or without interferon)
  - HIV protease inhibitors (lopinavir, nelfinavir)
  - Cyclophilin inhibitors (ciclosporin, alisporivir)
  - Chloroquine (active in vitro)
  - Mycophenolic acid
  - Nitazoxanide
- Recombinant human mannose-binding lectin
- siRNA to key MERS-CoV genes

emergence of resistance, and perhaps spare doses has been explored in preclinical studies.15,50 However, few combination studies have been focused on effectiveness (table 2). By contrast with combinations of drugs with differing mechanisms of action, combination of optimum doses of drugs with similar mechanisms of action (eg, dual neuraminidase inhibitors) does not enhance<sup>51</sup> antiviral activity and can sometimes result in antagonism.52.53 A triple combination of antiviral drugs consisting of amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir showed synergistic in-vitro activity against influenza A viruses that were susceptible to all three drugs and also those resistant to the amantadine or oseltamivir at baseline, including 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1 virus.51 In a retrospective study53 of critically ill adults, mortality rates did not differ between those who received a triple combination of antiviral drugs and those receiving oseltamivir only, and a randomised controlled trial sponsored by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases in higher-risk outpatients is underway (NCT01227969).

#### Antivirals combined with host-directed therapies

Host-directed therapies aim to reduce the damaging consequences of the host immune response to the pathogen. Combinations of antivirals with host-directed therapies such as the immunomodulator sirolimus, an mTOR inhibitor that blocks host pathways needed for viral replication (table 2),<sup>54</sup> might also enhance antiviral activity. Other host-directed therapies inhibiting cellular targets needed for efficient viral replication (eg, the Raf–MEK–ERK mitogenic kinase cascade and the IKK–NF-κB module) might provide future options for clinical testing.<sup>15</sup>

The role of adjunctive immunomodulatory therapies in severe influenza and other respiratory viral infections

remains uncertain. Several observational studies show that systemic corticosteroids given for 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1-associated viral pneumonia increased the risk of mortality and morbidity (eg, secondary infections), especially when there was a delay in initiation, or absence of, effective antiviral therapy.<sup>45</sup> Their use might delay viral clearance and increase the risk of the emergence of resistance<sup>20</sup> and fungal infections.<sup>45</sup>

Other potential adjunctive therapies for influenza include intravenous immunoglobulin, N-acetylcysteine, statins, macrolides, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists, celecoxib, mesalazine, plasmapheresis, and haemoperfusion.<sup>45</sup> Chloroquine was effective against influenza A H5N1 infection in one animal model<sup>55</sup> but was ineffective in other animal models and one human randomised controlled trial.<sup>56,57</sup>

# **MERS-CoV** infection

# Interferons

MERS-CoV infection can cause severe respiratory disease, and has higher mortality in those with medical comorbidities. Although empirical treatment with a range of antivirals has been tried for severe respiratory tract infections caused by MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV, no regimens have been rigorously assessed in clinical trials (panel).<sup>58,59</sup> MERS-CoV elicits attenuated innate immune responses with delayed proinflammatory cytokine induction in cell culture and in vivo.60,61 It is also readily inhibited by type 1 interferons (interferon alfa and especially interferon beta), suggesting a potential therapeutic use for interferons. Early pegylated interferon alfa therapy was effective in a SARS primate model, and treatment with interferon-alfa-consensus-1 plus systemic corticosteroids was associated with improved oxygen saturation and more rapid resolution of radiographic lung opacities than were systemic corticosteroids alone in an uncontrolled study of patients with SARS patients.62 Further studies of interferons in MERS-CoV seem warranted.

#### Antiviral drugs

Ribavirin was used extensively in patients with SARS without any beneficial effects and was complicated by haemolytic anaemia and metabolic disturbances in many cases.58,59 A combination of interferon alfa 2b and ribavirin reduced lung injury and moderately decreased viral replication ( $<1.0 \log_{10}$  reduction in lung titres) when given to rhesus macaques within 8 h of inoculation with MERS-CoV.63 The treatment combination was given to several severely ill patients with MERS, but the infections proved fatal, probably because of late administration in the advanced stage of the disease.64,65 Ribavirin has in-vitro inhibitory effects against MERS-CoV.66,67 The inhibitory concentrations of ribavirin are very high for MERS-CoV and exceed those that can be achieved with usual dosing regimens, except possibly peak concentrations after high intravenous doses.

The use of protease inhibitors with lopinavir and ritonavir as initial therapy in SARS was associated with significantly less death ( $2 \cdot 3\% vs 15 \cdot 6\%$ ,  $p < 0 \cdot 05$ ) and intubation ( $0\% vs 11 \cdot 0\%$ ,  $p < 0 \cdot 05$ ) than was use of ribavirin alone in a matched historical cohort (n=44 for lopinavir and ritonavir as initial treatment vs n=634 for the matched historical cohort).<sup>68</sup> However, one study reported that nelfinavir and lopinavir have high 50% effective inhibitory concentrations (EC<sub>50</sub>) against MERS-CoV in vitro,<sup>66</sup> whereas another found inhibition with lopinavir at clinically achievable concentrations.<sup>69</sup>

# Immunomodulatory and immune-based therapies

Several drugs have shown inhibitory effects against MERS-CoV in cell cultures, including interferons, ciclosporin, and mycophenolic acid.<sup>66,67,69</sup> Mycophenolic acid was inhibitory at clinically achievable concentrations, and the combination of mycophenolic acid and interferon  $\beta$ 1b lowered the EC<sub>50</sub> of each drug by one-to-three times.<sup>66</sup> Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4), also known as CD26, is a functional receptor for MERS-CoV, and an anti-CD26 polyclonal antibody showed in-vitro inhibitory effects on MERS-CoV.<sup>70</sup> By contrast, inhibitors of the enzymatic action of DPP4 (eg, gliptins) did not inhibit viral replication.

Timely administration of neutralising antibodies could have a high likelihood of therapeutic success.<sup>46</sup> Treatment with convalescent plasma (from patients who have recovered from SARS-CoV infection) containing high levels of neutralising antibody within 2 weeks of illness onset resulted in a higher proportion of discharges at day 22 than did treatment more than 14 days after onset (58%  $\nu$ s 16%, p<0.001).<sup>71</sup> Some patients who survived MERS-CoV infection had high concentrations of neutralising antibody,<sup>72,73</sup> and convalescent plasma, if available, might provide a good treatment option for other severe cases.

Systemic corticosteroids have been used empirically frequently in SARS<sup>58,59</sup> and MERS-CoV<sup>64,65</sup> infections to dampen immunopathological host responses. However, survival benefit is unclear, 59,64,65 and a randomised controlled trial74 done in Hong Kong showed that systemic corticosteroids could delay viral clearance in SARS. A retrospective analysis<sup>75</sup> showed worse outcomes when systemic corticosteroids were given in SARS. Consequently, their use should be avoided unless a carefully controlled prospective study is done to test their effectiveness when combined with an antiviral. Several observational studies have shown that systemic corticosteroids given for 2009 pandemic influenza A H1N1-asssociated viral pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome increased the risk of mortality and morbidity (eg, secondary bacterial or fungal infections), especially if there is delay or lack of effective antiviral therapy.<sup>45</sup> Use of systemic corticosteroids has probably contributed to delayed viral clearance and emergence of antiviral resistance in patients with severe influenza A H7N9 infection requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.<sup>20</sup> Influenza increases the risk of invasive aspergillosis, especially among immunocompromised patients, and this is often a silent infection in the early stages,<sup>76</sup> so direct surveillance with aspergillus antigen and PCR testing on respiratory secretions is advisable. Patients treated for fungal infections will have to undergo antifungal therapeutic drug monitoring.<sup>77</sup>

Data are insufficient to support routine use of any of the immune therapies. Better animal data and careful systematic clinical studies, including serial virological measurements of priority treatments such as convalescent plasma and interferons (and randomised controlled trials if case numbers are sufficient), are needed. Currently, clinical management of patients with severe respiratory tract infections due to MERS-CoV largely relies on meticulous intensive care supportive treatment and prevention of complications.

# Host-directed therapy for viral infections T-cell therapy

Research done in patients with haemopoietic stem-cell transplants shows that adoptive transfer of antigenspecific T cells can restore protective immunity and prevent or reverse disease due to opportunist viral infections such as cytomegalovirus.78 In transplant recipients, transfer of donor-derived T cells can result in resolution of infection through expansion of virusspecific T cells, with associated clinical improvement.79 Transfer of donor T cells is associated with the risk of severe acute graft-versus-host disease, and thus most T-cell therapies have been done in patients who have low lymphocyte counts. Lymphopenia enables only a very low number of T cells to be transferred, which then proliferate in lymphopenic hosts, most likely as a result of the interleukins 7 and 15 if the patient does not receive immunosuppressive treatment during T-cell therapy.<sup>80</sup>

T-cell therapy targeting cytomegalovirus strains resistant to drug treatment is clinically relevant in lung transplant recipients.<sup>81</sup> T-cell expansion requires time to induce clinical regression of viral infection. Several other approaches might be applicable in situations that necessitate fast clinical action-eg, use of synthetic MHC antigens loaded with the relevant peptide from the pathogen of interest (so-called tetramer or multimer MHC-peptide complexes), which engage pathogenspecific lymphocytes expressing the pathogen-specific T-cell receptors. Pathogen-specific T cells can be isolated through use of soluble MHC-peptide complexes, and can immediately be transferred into patients for salvage treatments for viral infections.<sup>82</sup> T-cell expansion can also be achieved with several stimuli targeting several infectious pathogens.83 Expansion of T cells targeting several antigens of cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, and adenovirus provides broad antiviral specificity after stem-cell transplantation.<sup>84</sup> An alternative approach to

become independent of ex-vivo expansion of T cells is the identification of T-cell receptors that would recognise viral infected cells that could be transferred into recipient effector cells.<sup>85</sup> T cells can also be engineered to produce an antiviral RNA that would block viral infection.<sup>86</sup>

# Antisense molecules

Synthetic antisense molecules, such as phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers, are structurally similar to RNA but the phosphorodiester linkage is replaced with a neutral phosphorodiamidate linkage and the ribose ring with a six-membered morpholino ring.<sup>87</sup> They change gene expression by inhibiting translation, disrupting RNA secondary structure, and interfering with pre-mRNA splicing.<sup>88</sup> The usefulness of phosphorodiamidate morpholino oligomers coupled to argininerich cell-penetrating peptides has been repeatedly demonstrated against bacterial pathogens<sup>89</sup> and could be a viable option for any microbial gene of interest.

# Specific antibody therapy

Specific biological therapy for infectious pathogens targets not only drug-resistant pathogens but also their immune evasion mechanisms.<sup>90</sup> An antibody directed against CD19 (a B-cell marker) fused to a T-cell signalling molecule can be expressed in T cells and could kill target cells once they encounter their nominal target antigen. Such CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor cells are used to remove Epstein-Barr-virus-positive lymphoma cells in the case of post-transplantation proliferative diseases.<sup>91</sup> Similar approaches can be used for the effective removal of pathogen-infected cells when very specific antibodies exist and if target molecules are expressed on infected cells only.<sup>92</sup>

# Antibiotic-resistant bacterial respiratory tract infections

The frequency and spectrum of resistance to antibiotics in specific bacterial pathogens that cause respiratory tract infections continues to increase worryingly. Multidrugresistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*—with resistance to three or more antibiotics—was initially noted in 1977 in South Africa<sup>93</sup> and subsequently in many other countries, with alarming rates of 30–50% of *S pneumoniae* that are multidrug resistant in the USA and Spain.<sup>94–96</sup> The European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System showed that 22·2% of *S pneumoniae* were intermediate penicillin susceptible, 10·9% were penicillin resistant, and 21·1% were resistant to erythromycin.<sup>97</sup>

Concerns about multidrug-resistant and pan-antibioticresistant Gram-negative bacteria<sup>98,99</sup> are focused on *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter* spp (production of extended spectrum  $\beta$  lactamase, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase, NDM1, and AmpC), *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In one survey of US health centres, 78% of Gram-negative bacteria were resistant to all antibiotics except colistin (to which 62% of Acinetobacter spp, 59% of *Pseudomonas* spp, and 52% of *Enterobacter* spp were resistant).<sup>98</sup> Therapeutic options to treat these infections are limited.<sup>100,101</sup>

Carbapenems are recommended for organisms that produce extended-spectrum  $\beta$  lactamases.<sup>101</sup> In a metaanalysis,102-106 doripenem was more effective for P aeruginosa infections than were comparators in a modified intention-to-treat analyses. Polymyxin B and colistin are concentration-dependent bactericidal agents that bind to bacterial cell membranes and have reliable activity against Acinetobacter spp. Novel B-lactamase inhibitors<sup>107</sup> and antibiotic combination therapies<sup>108</sup> might provide stopgap measures for fulfilling clinical need. Antibiotic development pipelines remain thin,109,110 and global attention is focused on increasing awareness for investments into the development of new antibacterial agents<sup>111</sup> and other antibacterial innovations, coupled to raising global awareness for more prudent use of available drugs.112

# Multidrug-resistant pulmonary tuberculosis Incidence

In 2012, an estimated 1.3 million people died worldwide from tuberculosis, 170000 of whom had multidrugresistant disease.<sup>113</sup> Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis, which is caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacilli resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampicin, is now widespread globally, with an estimated half a million cases in 2012.<sup>2</sup> Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosisresistance to rifampicin, isoniazid, any fluoroquinolone, and at least one of the three injectable second-line drugs, amikacin, kanamycin, and capreomycin-has been reported in 92 countries.113 WHO recommends use of second-line drugs for 18-24 months or longer for drug-resistant or multidrug-resistant extensively disease.114,115 Treatment success rates are low in both individualised and standard regimens and new drugs and regimens are needed.

#### New drugs pipeline

In the past 5 years, a promising pipeline of new drugs for the treatment of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis has emerged.<sup>115</sup> Progress has been made by repurposing drugs that are already available, including re-engineering existing antibacterial compounds and redesigning scaffolds, leading to discovery of new compounds.<sup>116,117</sup> Two new drugs, delamanid (OPC-67683) and bedaquiline (TMC207 or R207910), have been approved by regulatory authorities. These new drugs are combined with older drugs to treat multidrug-resistant disease.<sup>118,119</sup>

### Host-directed adjunct therapies

Several approaches to rational development of adjunct immune-based therapies for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis have been developed.<sup>120,121</sup> Non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs can reduce M tuberculosis load and

www.thelancet.com/infection Vol 14 November 2014

alleviate lung disease<sup>122</sup> in mice.<sup>123</sup> Efflux pump inhibitors such as verapamil and reserpine reduce macrophageinduced drug tolerance, and thus could be used as adjunct host-directed therapies.<sup>124,125</sup> Phosphodiesterase inhibitors such as cilostazol and sildenafil improve mycobacterial clearance and decrease time to sterilisation by reducing tissue inflammation.<sup>126</sup>

A range of adjunct immunotherapy approaches implicating cytokines or their inhibitors and other biological immunomodulatory compounds are being assessed as means to limit damage from inflammatory responses against M tuberculosis. Various cytokine regimens, including interferon c or interleukin 2, have been assessed, with variable effect.127,128 The antiinflammatory effects of macrolide antibiotics need to be further studied.<sup>129</sup> Whole genome sequencing might allow for rapid determination of resistance patterns of M tuberculosis strains, enabling tailored treatment regimens. Other immunomodulatory strategies include restoration of effective antipathogen-directed immunoresponses-and consequent decreasing of damaging host responses in lung tissues-in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis with infusions of the patient's own bonemarrow-derived stromal cells. A phase 1 trial showed that the procedure is safe,130 and phase 2 trials are planned to assess the effects of mesenchymal stromal cell adjunct therapy on clinical and microbiological outcomes.

# Fungal respiratory tract infections

### Frequency

Invasive fungal respiratory tract infections are increasingly reported worldwide (table 3).<sup>131,132</sup> The two most common pulmonary fungal pathogens are *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Pneumocystis jirovecii*. They increasingly represent primary causes of morbidity and mortality in critically ill patients across Europe, Africa, and Asia as a result of more people living with HIV, increased use of immunomodulatory drugs in patients with cancer, transplantations, and use of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Some patients with relapsed or microbiologically unconfirmed multidrug-resistant tuberculosis have alternative diagnoses, including chronic pulmonary aspergillosis, and more comprehensive searches for alternative fungal diagnoses in smear and culture negative cases should be done in patients with multidrug-resistant disease.<sup>133</sup>

### Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis

Aspergillus is the most important fungal cause of invasive pulmonary disease, and *A fumigatus* is the cause in more than 75% of cases. Voriconazole is the most effective treatment for invasive aspergillosis but resistance has been noted on all continents except South America.<sup>134,135</sup> Widespread use of the azoles as fungicides in agriculture has led to the environmental development of pan-azole resistance.<sup>136</sup> Resistance can also emerge during treatment, typically to itraconazole, and is

	Aspergillosis			Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia	
	Invasive	Chronic	Allergic bronchopulmomnary aspergillosis		
Incidence (per 100 000)	8.6	10.4	Unknown	5.6	
Prevalence (per 100 000)		32.8	286		
Global burden131	~200 000	~3000000	4800000	~400 000	
Untreated mortality	~100%	~30%*	<1%	100%	
Treated mortality	30-90%	~10%*	<1%	10-20% or 50%†	

Severe asthma with fungal sensitisation is not included. Annual incidence and prevalence quoted for aspergillosis refer to European data; those for *P jirovecii* pneumonia are global data. \*12 month mortality. †Mortality is lower in patients with AIDS than in other immunocompromised patients.

Table 3: Frequency and mortality of common fungal pulmonary infections

possibly linked to a combination of low blood concentrations of the drug and high fungal loads.  $^{\rm 137-139}$ 

Modelling suggests that more than 6.5 million people have severe asthma with fungal sensitisations, as much as 50% of adults with asthma who attend secondary care have fungal sensitisation, and an estimated 4.8 million adults have allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis.140,141 People with asthma who are sensitised to A fumigatus have a much higher rate of bronchiectasis than do those who are unsensitised. Reclassification of aspergillosis in adults with cystic fibrosis by aspergillus serology (IgE and IgG) and both PCR and antigen on sputum showed three distinct classes of aspergillosis. 18% had allergic bronchopulmonary disease, 15% had aspergillus sensitisation, and 30% had aspergillus bronchitis; the remaining patients had no disease. Long-term oral antifungal therapy is beneficial for 60-80% of patients with asthma, but is of unproven benefit in cystic fibrosis.142 Resistance in A fumigatus has been reported throughout Europe in roughly 4% of samples from patients with cystic fibrosis.143,144

#### Disseminated Emmonsia spp infections

A new fungus causing disseminated infections in patients with AIDS was identified in 2009.<sup>145</sup> Molecular identification on the basis of ITS1 and ITS2 sequencing showed that all isolates of this new species were tightly clustered and were most similar to *Emmonsia pasteuriana* and *Emmonsia parva*, and slightly more distantly related to *Histoplasma capsulatum*. Clinical features of infection included fever, loss of weight, anaemia, skin lesions akin to those in disseminated histoplasmosis, and a chest radiograph similar to that noted in pulmonary tuberculosis. The fungus was cultured from skin and blood, but not sputum or CSF. Significant clinical responses were noted when patients were given intravenous amphotericin B followed by itraconazole.<sup>145</sup>

#### Advances in antifungal therapy

A large combination study  $^{_{\rm H6}}$  of voriconazole and anidula fungin for invasive aspergillosis in 177 patients

	Manufacturer	Spectrum	Route	Mode of action	Trial stage	Comments
Albaconazole	Actavis	Broad spectrum	Intravenous, oral	14 $\alpha$ -demethylase inhibitor	2	Vulvovaginal candidiasis and onychomycosis
Scy078 (MK-3118)	Scynexis	Broad spectrum	Intravenous, oral	Glucan synthase inhibitor	2a	Phase 2 development on candidiasis
VT1161/1129	Viamet	Candida spp, dermatophytes, Cryptococcus spp	Oral, topical	14 $\alpha$ -demethylase inhibitor	2	Phase 2 trials ongoing for vulvovaginal candidiasis and tinea pedis
MGCD290	Mirati Therapeutics	Candida spp	Oral	Histone deacetylase inhibitor	2	Targets vulvovaginal candidiasis; potentiator of azoles
Nikkomycin Z	University of Arizona	Coccidioides spp	Oral	Chitin synthesis inhibitor	2	Phase 2 studies expected to start 2014–15
T-2307	Toyama	Broad spectrum	Intravenous, oral	Mitochondrial polyamine transport inhibitor	1	Focused on oesophageal and invasive candidiasis
F901318	F2G	Moulds	Intravenous, oral	Novel, not disclosed	1	Aspergillosis, other mould infections
Table 4: New antifungal drug pipeline						

#### Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched for publications in English on PubMed (from Jan 1, 1970, to June 30, 2014), Google Scholar (from Jan 1, 1970, to Aug 4, 2014), the Cochrane Library (from Jan 1, 2001, to June 30, 2014), and Embase (from Jan 1, 2001, to Aug 4, 2014) with the terms "respiratory tract", "pneumonia", "infections", "bacteria", "virus", "fungus", and "mycobacteria". We also combined these terms with the words "antibiotic", "antibiotic resistance", "treatment", "drugs", "drug development", "drug pipeline", "antibiotic development", "host-directed", "therapy", "adjunct therapy", "steroids", and "immunotherapy". We complemented the search with publications from WHO, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, http://clinicaltrials. gov, and Google Scholar. We also reviewed studies cited by articles identified by this search.

did not reach its primary endpoint of reduced mortality, although patients with positive galactomannan seemed to benefit most. Guidelines for management of invasive aspergillosis still favour voriconazole over all other treatments and combination therapy is not usually recommended. A tablet formulation of posaconazole, which is more bioavailable than the oral suspension, is available and can be given once a day,147 and the US Food and Drug Administration has approved an intravenous suspension of the drug. The only new drug to be approved is isavuconazole, a broad-spectrum azole, which will be available in intravenous and oral forms (application for approval was submitted in July, 2014). Itraconazole seems safe in the first trimester of pregnancy, whereas fluconazole increases the risk of Fallot's tetralogy by a factor of three to one in 1000.148

Drivers for the development of new antifungal drugs include inadequate response rates, the absence of oral preparations of echinocandins, drug interactions, important drug toxic effects (especially amphotericin B and voriconazole), and triazole and echinocandin resistance. Several drugs are being repurposed for use as antifungals, and new drugs are under development (table 4).<sup>149–155</sup> Sertraline, which is used for depression, has synergistic activity with fluconazole in a murine model of cryptococcal infection.<sup>156</sup> Calcineurin and targets of rapamycin inhibitors have antifungal activity, which is synergsitic with that of azoles.<sup>157</sup> Hsp90 inhibitors initially developed for cancer treatment can improve fluconazole activity in vitro and in animals.<sup>158</sup> Enoxacin, a fluoroquinolone antibiotic, shows activity in a murine candidiasis model.<sup>159</sup>

# Host-directed therapy

Although azoles are important for the treatment of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis, the degree of immunosuppression and other immunological factors have a role in treatment outcomes. Antifungal immune responses could be improved by adaptive transfer of pathogen-specific T cells directed against invasive and pulmonary fungal infections, particularly infections with candida, aspergillus, and mucormycetes, especially after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. T-cell responses are MHC class I restricted (for CD8positive T cells) or MHC class II restricted (for CD4positive T cells), and thus an effective T-cell response needs to match the genetic background of the patient. T-cell transfer was developed on the basis of the promising finding that transfer of pathogen-specific clones induces clinically significant T-cell responses.<sup>160,161</sup> Several approaches have been used to obtain these pathogen-specific T cells. Anti-pathogenspecific T cells can be expanded ex vivo under appropriate conditions (usually with the help of recombinant cytokines, synthetic peptides, or cellular components representing the pathogen). Responder T cells are identified by interferon- $\gamma$  production, removed via an interferon-capture assay, and transferred into the patient. This approach requires time for expansion of T cells (either the patient's own or those of an MHC-matched donor). This protocol enabled the expansion of Aspergillus spp, Candida spp,

and *Mucor* spp-reactive T cells defined by interferon- $\gamma$  production. Upon re-encounter with the nominal target antigen, the T cells proliferated and increased the antifungal reactivity of phagocytes.<sup>162</sup>

#### Conclusion

New and antimicrobial-resistant species of bacteria, viruses, and fungi continue to emerge because of the remarkable genetic and adaptable plasticity of the microbiota.<sup>163</sup> Respiratory tract infections are among the top two causes of death globally.<sup>164,165</sup> Microorganisms do not respect international boundaries, and ease of travel and airborne spread make them a threat to global health security. The increasing frequency of antibiotic resistance and limited therapeutic options emphasise the urgent need for more international cooperation to tackle new emerging microbial threats and multidrug-resistant microbes. Development of new therapeutic options needs to be coupled to international regulations on the use and prescription of antimicrobial drugs.

#### Contributors

DSH and AZ coordinated the writing of this Series paper and wrote the draft outline, and subsequent and final drafts. All authors contributed relevant text and tables on their expert sections or sections and contributed to finalising the paper.

#### **Declaration of interests**

FGH has served as non-paid consultant for multiple companies engaged in marketing and/or clinical development of antivirals for respiratory viral infections including several whose therapeutics are discussed in this review (Adamas, Biocryst, GSK, Genentech, Janssen, Roche, Romark, Toyama/Medivector, Visterra). DWD holds founder shares in F2G, a University of Manchester spin-out company. He acts as a consultant to Trinity Group, T2 Biosystems, GlaxoSmithKline, Sigma Tau, Oxon Epidemiology, and has consulted for Merck and Astellas and he has been paid to give talks on behalf of Astellas, Gilead, and Pfizer. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

AZ acknowledges support from the European Union FW7 Rid-RTI programme grant; European Developing Countries Clinical trials Partnership (EDCTP) TB NEAT, PANACEA, and REMox grants; UBS Optimus Foundation, Switzerland; and National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, University College London Hospital, London, UK. DWD receives grant support from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health Research, the European Union, and the Fungal Infection Trust. MM acknowledges support from the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, Vinnova, Vetenskapsrådet (Swedish Research Council), and EDCTP. Adam Zumla, UCL School of Pharmacy, kindly provided technical and administrative support.

#### References

- Al-Tawfiq JA, Zumla A, Gautret P, et al. Surveillance for emerging respiratory viruses. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; published online Sept 2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70840-0.
- Zumla A, Abubakar I, Raviglione M, et al. Drug-resistant tuberculosis-current dilemmas, unanswered questions, challenges, and priority needs. J Infect Dis 2012; 205 (suppl 2): S228–40.
- 3 Magiorakos AP, Suetens C, Monnet DL, et al. The rise of carbapenem resistance in Europe: just the tip of the iceberg? *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2013; 2: 6.
- 4 Van der Linden JW, Camps SM, Kampinga GA, et al. Aspergillosis due to voriconazole highly resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* and recovery of genetically related resistant isolates from domiciles. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 57: 513–20.

- 5 Chan JF, To KK, Tse H, Jin DY, Yuen KY. Interspecies transmission and emergence of novel viruses: lessons from bats and birds. *Trend Microb* 2013; 21: 544–55.
- 6 Yuen KY, Chan PK, Peiris M, et al. Clinical features and rapid viral diagnosis of human disease associated with avian influenza A H5N1 virus. *Lancet* 1998; 351: 467–71.
- 7 Zhu H, Webby R, Lam TT, Smith DK, Peiris JS, Guan Y. History of swine influenza viruses in Asia. *Curr Topic Microb Immun* 2013; 370: 57–68.
- 8 Barr IG; Writing Committee of the World Health Organization Consultation on Northern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccine Composition for 2013–2014. WHO recommendations for the viruses used in the 2013–2014 northern hemisphere influenza vaccine: epidemiology, antigenic and genetic characteristics of influenza A(H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2) and B influenza viruses collected from October 2012 to January 2013. Vaccine 2014; 32: 4713–25.
- 9 WHO. Avian influenza A(H7N9) virus. http://www.who.int/ influenza/human\_animal\_interface/influenza\_h7n9/en/ (accessed Feb 14, 2014).
- 10 Chen H, Yuan H, Gao R, et al. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of a fatal case of avian influenza A H10N8 virus infection: a descriptive study. *Lancet* 2014; 383: 714–21.
- 11 Legand AL, Briand S, Shindo N, et al. Addressing the public health burden of respiratory viruses: the battle against respiratory viruses (BRaVe) Initiative. *Future Virol* 2013; 8: 953–68.
- 12 Ison MG, Gnann JW Jr, Nagy-Agren S, et al. for the NIAID Collaborative Antiviral Study Group. Safety and efficacy of nebulized zanamivir in hospitalized patients with serious influenza. *Antivir Ther* 2003; 8: 183–190.
- 13 US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Antiviral agents for the treatment and chemoprophylaxis of influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Morbid Mortal Weekly Rep 2011; 60: 1–24.
- 14 Govorkova EA, Baranovich T, Seiler P, Armstrong J, Burnham A, Guan Y. Antiviral resistance among highly pathogenic influenza A (H5N1) viruses isolated worldwide in 2002–2012 shows need for continued monitoring. *Antiviral Res* 2013; **98**: 297–304.
- 15 Hayden FG. Newer influenza antivirals, biotherapeutics and combinations. *Influenza Other Resp Virus* 2012; 7 (suppl 1): 63–75.
- 16 Lee N, Chan PK, Lui GC, et al. Complications and outcomes of pandemic 2009 influenza A (H1N1) virus infection in hospitalized adults: how do they differ from those in seasonal influenza? *J Infect Dis* 2011; 203: 1739–47.
- 17 Muthuri SG, Venkatesan S, Myles PR, et al. Effectiveness of neuraminidase inhibitors in reducing mortality in patients admitted to hospital with influenza A H1N1pdm09 virus infection: a metaanalysis of individual participant data. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; 2: 395–404.
- 18 Chan PK, Lee N, Saman M, et al. Determinants of antiviral effectiveness in influenza virus A subtype H5N1. J Infect Dis 2012; 206: 1359–66.
- 19 Adisasmito W, Chan PK, Lee N, et al. Effectiveness of antiviral treatment in human influenza A(H5N1) infections: analysis of a global patient registry. J Infect Dis 2010; 202: 1154–60.
- 20 Hu Y, Lu S, Song Z, et al. Association between adverse clinical outcome in human disease caused by novel influenza A H7N9 virus and sustained viral shedding and emergence of antiviral resistance. *Lancet* 2013; 381: 2273–79.
- 21 South East Asia Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network. Effect of double dose oseltamivir on clinical and virological outcomes in children and adults admitted to hospital with severe influenza: double blind randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2013; 346: f3039.
- 22 Lee N, Hui DS, Zuo Z, et al. A prospective intervention study on higher-dose oseltamivir treatment in adults hospitalized with influenza A and B infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013; 57: 1511–19.
- 23 Kumar A, the ROSII Study Investigators. Viral clearance with standard or triple dose oseltamivir therapy in critically ill patients with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza. 53rd Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; Denver, CO, USA; Sept 10–13, 2013. Abstr V-1470.
- 24 Kohno S, Kida H, Mizuguchi M, et al. Intravenous peramivir for treatment of influenza A and B virus infection in high-risk patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; 55: 2803–12.

- 25 Ison MG, Hui DS, Clezy K, et al. A clinical trial of intravenous peramivir compared with oral oseltamivir for the treatment of seasonal influenza in hospitalized adults. *Antivir Ther* 2013; 18: 651–61.
- 26 Ison MG, Fraiz J, Heller B, et al. Intravenous peramivir for treatment of influenza in hospitalized patients. *Antivir Ther* 2013; published online Aug 28. http://dx.doi.org/10.3851/IMP2680.
- 27 Business Wire. BioCryst announces outcome from the peramivir phase 3 interim analysis, 7 Nov 2012. http://www.businesswire. com/news/home/20121107006831/en/BioCryst-Announces-Outcome-Peramivir-Phase-3-Interim#.U010-GfNu70 (accessed April 7, 2014)
- 28 Renaud C, Pergam SA, Polyak C, et al. Early emergence of an H275Y mutation in a hematopoietic cell transplant recipient treated with intravenous peramivir. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2010; 12: 513–17.
- 29 Memoli MJ, Hrabal RJ, Hassantoufighi A, Eichelberger MC, Taubenberger JK. Rapid selection of oseltamivir- and peramivirresistant pandemic H1N1 virus during therapy in 2 immunocompromised hosts. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **50**: 1252–55.
- 30 Yamashita M, Tomozawa T, Kakuta M, Tokumitsu A, Nasu H, Kubo S. CS-8958, a prodrug of the new neuraminidase inhibitor R-125489, shows long-acting anti-influenza virus activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009; 53: 186–92.
- 31 Chan-Tack KM, Gao A, Himaya AC, et al. Clinical experience with intravenous zanamivir under an emergency investigational new drug program in the United States. J Infect Dis 2013; 207: 196–98.
- 32 Marty FM, Man CY, van der Horst C, et al. Safety and pharmacokinetics of intravenous zanamivir treatment in hospitalized adults with influenza: an open-label, multicenter, single-arm, phase II study. J Infect Dis 2014; 209: 542–50.
- 33 Watanabe A, Chang SC, Kim MJ, Chu DW, Ohashi Y; MARVEL study group. Long-acting neuraminidase inhibitor laninamivir octanoate versus oseltamivir for treatment of influenza: a doubleblind, randomized, noninferiority clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; 51: 1167–75.
- 34 Watanabe A. A randomized double-blind controlled study of laninamivir compared with oseltamivir for the treatment of influenza in patients with chronic respiratory diseases. J Infect Chemother 2013; 19: 89–97.
- 35 Marjuki H, Mishin VP, Chesnokov AP, et al. An investigational antiviral drug, DAS181, effectively inhibits replication of zoonotic influenza A virus subtype H7N9 and protects mice from lethality. J Infect Dis 2014; 210: 435–40.
- 36 Moss RB, Hansen C, Sanders RL, Hawley S, Li T, Steigbigel RT. A phase II study of DAS181, a novel host directed antiviral for the treatment of influenza infection. J Infect Dis 2012; 206: 1844–51.
- 37 Chalkias S, Mackenzie MR, Gay C, et al. DAS181 treatment of hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients with parainfluenza virus lung disease requiring mechanical ventilation. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2014; 16: 141–44.
- 38 Furuta Y, Gowen BB, Takahashi K, Shiraki K, Smee DF, Barnard DL. Favipiravir (T-705), a novel viral RNA polymerase inhibitor. *Antiviral Res* 2013; **100**: 446–54.
- 39 Tarbet EB, Maekawa M, Furuta Y, Babu YS, Morrey JD, Smee DF. Combinations of favipiravir and peramivir for the treatment of pandemic influenza A/California/04/2009 (H1N1) virus infections in mice. *Antiviral Res* 2012; 94: 103–10.
- 40 Kobayashi O, Kashiwagi S, Iwamoto A, et al. Clinical effectiveness and safety of favipiravir, a novel anti-influenza drug with a selective inhibition activity against viral RNA polymerase. 51st Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; Chicago, IL, USA; September 17, 2011.
- 41 Frech S, Epstein C. A Phase 2, randomized, double blind, placebocontrolled, multicenter study evaluating the safety and pharmacokinetics of different dosing regimens of favipiravir (T-705) in adult subjects with uncomplicated influenza, VIII Options for Control of Influenza; Cape Town, South Africa; September 5–10, 2013. Abstr O-905.
- 42 Rossignol JF, La Frazia S, Chiappa L, Ciucci A, Santoro MG. Thiazolides. A new class of anti-influenza molecules targeting viral hemagglutinin at the post-translational level. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 29798–808.

- 43 PR Newswire. Romark announces clinical trial results for new influenza drug presented at IDSA meeting 2011. http://www. prnewswire.com/news-releases/romark-announces-clinical-trialresults-for-new-influenza-drug-presented-at-idsameeting-2011-132309838.html (accessed April 7, 2014).
- 44 Haffizulla J, Hartman A, Hoppers M, et al. Effect of nitazoxanide in adults and adolescents with acute uncomplicated influenza: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2b/3 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; 14: 609–18.
- 45 Hui DS, Lee N, Chan PK. Adjunctive therapies and immunomodulatory agents in the management of severe influenza. *Antiviral Res* 2013; 98: 410–16.
- 46 Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie K, et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral aetiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis* 2014; published online July 16. DOI:10.1093/infdis/jiu396.
- 47 Hung IF, To KK, Lee CK, et al. Convalescent plasma treatment reduced mortality in patients with severe pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011; **52**: 447–56.
- 48 Hung IF, To KK, Lee CK, et al. Hyperimmune IV immunoglobulin treatment: a multicenter double-blind randomized controlled trial for patients with severe 2009 influenza A(H1N1) infection. *Chest* 2013; 144: 464–73.
- 49 Nakamura G, Chai N, Park S, et al. An in vivo human-plasmablast enrichment technique allows rapid identification of therapeutic influenza A antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 2013; 14: 93–103.
- 50 Dunning J, Baillie K, Cao B, Hayden FG. Antiviral combinations for severe influenza infections: a review. *Lancet Infect Dis* (in press).
- 51 Nguyen JT, Hoopes JD, Le MH, et al. Triple combination of amantadine, ribavirin, and oseltamivir is highly active and synergistic against drug resistant influenza virus strains in vitro. *PLoS One* 2010; 5: e9332.
- 52 Duval X, van der Werf S, Blanchon T, et al. Efficacy of oseltamivirzanamivir combination compared to each monotherapy for seasonal influenza: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *PLoS Med* 2010; 7: e1000362.
- 53 Kim WY, Young Suh G Huh JW, et al. Triple-combination antiviral drug for pandemic H1N1 influenza virus infection in critically ill patients on mechanical ventilation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011; 55: 5703–09.
- 54 Wang CH, Chung FT, Lin SM, et al. Adjuvant treatment with a mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor, sirolimus, and steroids improves outcomes in patients with severe H1N1 pneumonia and acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med 2014; 42: 313–21.
- 55 Yan Y, Zou Z, Sun Y, et al. Anti-malaria drug chloroquine is highly effective in treating avian influenza A H5N1 virus infection in an animal model. *Cell Res* 2013; 23: 300–02.
- 56 Vigerust DJ, McCullers JA. Effectiveness of chloroquine against influenza. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 2008; 1: 189–192.
- 57 Paton NI, Lee L, Xu Y, et al. Chloroquine for influenza prevention: a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011; 11: 677–83.
- 58 Public Health England, International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infections Consortium Treatment of MERS-CoV: information for clinicians. Clinical decision-making support for treatment of MERS-CoV patients. http://www.hpa.org.uk/webc/ HPAwebFile/HPAweb\_C/1317139281416 (accessed July 16, 2014).
- 59 Hui DSC, Chan PKS. Severe acute respiratory syndrome and coronavirus. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2010; 24: 619–38.
- 60 Chan RW, Chan MC, Agnihothram S, et al. Tropism of and innate immune responses to the novel human betacoronavirus lineage C virus in human ex vivo respiratory organ cultures. *J Virol* 2013; 87: 6604–14.
- 61 Faure E, Poissy J, Goffard A, et al. Distinct immune response in two MERS-CoV-infected patients: can we go from bench to bedside? *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e88716.
- 62 Loutfy MR, Blatt LM, Siminovitch KA, et al. Interferon alfacon-1 plus corticosteroids in severe acute respiratory syndrome: a preliminary study. *JAMA* 2003; **290**: 3222–28.
- 63 Falzarano D, de Wit E, Rasmussen AL, et al. Treatment with interferon-α2b and ribavirin improves outcome in MERS-CoVinfected rhesus macaques. *Nat Med* 2013; **19**: 1313–17.

- 64 Al-Tawfig J, Momattin H, Dib J, Memish ZA. Ribavirin and interferon therapy in patients infected with the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: an observational study. *Int J Infect Dis* 2014; **20:** 42–46.
- 65 Arabi YM, Arifi AA, Balkhy HH, et al. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Ann Intern Med* 2014; 160: 389–97.
- 66 Chan JF, Chan KH, Kao RY, et al. Broad-spectrum antivirals for the emerging Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Infect 2013; 67: 606–16.
- $^{67}$  Falzarano D, de Wit E, Martellaro C, et al. Inhibition of novel β coronavirus replication by a combination of interferon-α2b and ribavirin. *Sci Rep* 2013; **3**: 1686.
- 68 Chan KS, Lai ST, Chu CM, et al. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ritonavir: a multicenter retrospective matched cohort study. *Hong Kong Med J* 2003: 9: 399–406.
- 69 De Wilde AH, Raj VS, Oudshoorn D, et al. MERS-coronavirus replication induces severe in vitro cytopathology and is strongly inhibited by cyclosporin A or interferon-α treatment. *J Gen Virol* 2013; 94: 1749–60.
- 70 Raj VS, Mou H. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. *Nature* 2013; 495: 251–54.
- 71 Cheng Y, Wong R, Soo YO, et al. Use of convalescent plasma therapy in SARS patients in Hong Kong. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 44–46.
- 72 Buchholz U, Muller MA, Nitsche A, et al. Contact investigation of a case of human novel coronavirus infection treated in a German hospital, October–November 2012. *Euro Surveill* 2013; 18: 20406.
- 73 Drosten C, Seilmaier M, Corman VM, et al. Clinical features and virological analysis of a case of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2013; **13**: 745–51.
- 74 Lee N, Allen Chan KC, Hui DS, et al. Effects of early corticosteroid treatment on plasma SARS-associated Coronavirus RNA concentrations in adult patients. J Clin Virol 2004; 31: 304–09.
- 75 Au Yeung TW, Lee JS, Lai WK, et al. The use of corticosteroid as treatment in SARS was associated with adverse outcomes: a retrospective cohort study. J Infect 2005; 51: 98–102.
- 76 Lat A, Bhadelia N, Miko B, et al. Invasive aspergillosis after pandemic (H1N1) 2009. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16: 971–73.
- 77 Warn PA, Sharp A, Parmar A, Majithiya J, Denning DW, Hope WW. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a novel triazole, isavuconazole: mathematical modeling, importance of tissue concentrations, and impact of immune status on antifungal effect. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; **53**: 3453–61.
- 78 Seggewiss R, Einsele H. Immune reconstitution after allogeneic transplantation and expanding options for immunomodulation: an update. *Blood* 2010; 115: 3861–3868.
- 79 Leen AM, Myers GD, Sili U, et al. Monoculture-derived T lymphocytes specific for multiple viruses expand and produce clinically relevant effects in immunocompromised individuals. *Nat Med* 2006; **12**: 1160–66.
- 80 Einsele H, Roosnek E, Rufer N, et al. Infusion of cytomegalovirus (CMV)-specific T cells for the treatment of CMV infection not responding to antiviral chemotherapy. *Blood 2002*; **99**: 3916–22.
- 81 Perruccio K, Tosti A, Burchielli E, et al. Transferring functional immune responses to pathogens after haploidentical hematopoietic transplantation. *Blood* 2005; 106: 4397–406.
- 82 Feuchtinger T, Richard C, Joachim S, et al. Clinical grade generation of hexon-specific T cells for adoptive T-cell transfer as a treatment of adenovirus infection after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *J Immunother* 2008; **31**: 199–206.
- 83 Uhlin M, Gertow J, Uzunel M, et al. Rapid salvage treatment with virus-specific T cells for therapy-resistant disease. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012; 55: 1064–73.
- 84 Hanley PJ, Shaffer DR, Cruz CR, et al. Expansion of T cells targeting multiple antigens of cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus and adenovirus to provide broad antiviral specificity after stem cell transplantation. *Cytotherapy* 2011; 13: 976–86.
- 85 Summerton J, Weller D. Morpholino antisense oligomers: design, preparation, and properties. *Antisense Nucleic Acid Drug Devel* 1997; 7: 187–95.

- 86 Aartsma-Rus A. Antisense-mediated modulation of splicing: therapeutic implications for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *RNA Biol* 2010; 7: 453–61.
- Ploegh HL. Viral strategies of immune evasion. Science 1988; 280: 248–53.
- 88 Pinto AK, Hill AB. Viral interference with antigen presentation to CD8+ T cells: lessons from cytomegalovirus. *Viral Immunol* 2005; 18: 434–44.
- 89 D'Orsogna LJ, van den Heuvel H, van der Meer-Prins EM, Roelen DL, Doxiadis II, Claas FH. Stimulation of human EBV- and CMV-specific cytolytic effector function using allogeneic HLA molecules. J Immunol 2012; 189: 4825–31.
- 90 Macdonald WA, Chen Z, Gras S, et al. T cell allorecognition via molecular mimicry. *Immunity* 2009; 31: 897–908.
- 91 Kochenderfer JN, Rosenberg SA. Treating B-cell cancer with T cells expressing anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptors. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2013; **10**: 267–76.
- 92 Henter J-I, Palmkvist-Kaijser K, Holzgraefe B, Bryceson YT, Palmér K. Cytotoxic therapy for severe swine flu A/H1N1. Lancet 2010; 376: 2116.
- 93 Jacobs MR, Koornhof HJ, Robins-Browne RM, et al. Emergence of multiply resistant pneumococci. N Engl J Med 1978; 299: 735–40.
- 94 Marimon JM, Alonso M, Rolo D, Ardanuy C, Linares J, Perez-Trallero E. Molecular characterization of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* invasive serotype 19A isolates from adults in two Spanish regions (1994–2009). *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012; 31: 1009–13.
- 95 Jenkins SG, Brown SD, Farrell DJ. Trends in antibacterial resistance among Streptococcus pneumoniae isolated in the USA: update from PROTEKT US Years 1–4. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2008; 7: 1.
- 96 Lynch JP III, Zhanel GG. Streptococcus pneumoniae: does antimicrobial resistance matter? Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2009; 30: 210–38.
- 97 Flamm RK, Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline tested against drug-resistant subsets of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from US medical centers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; 58: 2468–71.
- 98 Drees M, Pineles L, Harris AD, Morgan DJ. Variation in definitions and isolation procedures for multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria: a survey of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America research network. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014; 35: 362–66.
- 99 WHO. Antimicrobial resistance—global report and surveillance. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/112642/1/9789241564748\_ eng.pdf?ua=1 (accessed May 29, 2014).
- 100 Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Flamm RK, Jones RN. Variation in potency and spectrum of tigecycline activity against bacterial strains from U.S. medical centers since its approval for clinical use (2006 to 2012). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014; 58: 2274–80.
- 101 Kattan JN, Villegas MV, Quinn JP. New developments in carbapenems. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008; 14: 1102–11.
- 102 Jenkins SG, Fisher AC, Peterson JA, Nicholson SC, Kaniga K. Meta-analysis of doripenem vs comparators in patients with pseudomonas infections enrolled in four phase III efficacy and safety clinical trials. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009; 25: 3029–36.
- 103 Karageorgopoulos DE, Falagas ME. Current control and treatment of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infections. Lancet Infect Dis 2008; 8: 751–62.
- 104 Landman D, Georgescu C, Martin DA, Quale J. Polymyxins revisited. Clin Microbiol Rev 2008; 21: 449–65.
- 105 Gales AC, Jones RN, Sader HS. Global assessment of the antimicrobial activity of polymyxin B against 54 731 clinical isolates of Gram-negative bacilli: report from the SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance programme (2001–2004). *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; 12: 315–21.
- 106 Walkty A, DeCorby M, Nichol K, Karlowsky JA, Hoban DJ, Zhanel GG. In vitro activity of colistin (polymyxin E) against 3,480 isolates of gram-negative bacilli obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals in the CANWARD study. 2007–2008. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009; 53: 4924–26.
- 107 Watkins RR, Papp-Wallace KM, Drawz SM, Bonomo RA. Novel β-lactamase inhibitors: a therapeutic hope against the scourge of multidrug resistance. Front Microbiol 2013; 4: 392.

- 108 Cetin ES, Tekeli A, Ozseven AG, Us E, Aridogan BC. Determination of in vitro activities of polymyxin B and rifampin in combination with ampicillin/sulbactam or cefoperazone/ sulbactam against multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii by the E-test and checkerboard methods. Jpn J Infect Dis 2013; 66: 463–68.
- 109 Coates AR, Halls G. Antibiotics in phase II and III clinical trials. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2012; **211**: 167–83.
- 110 Antibiotics and Innovation Project. Antibiotics currently in clinical development. http://www.pewhealth.org/other-resource/antibioticscurrently-in-clinical-development-85899541594 (accessed May 22, 2014).
- 111 WHO. WHO global strategy for containment of antimicrobial resistance. http://www.who.int/drugresistance/WHO\_Global\_ Strategy\_English.pdf?ua=1 (accessed May 26, 2014).
- 112 Hughes D, Karlén A. Discovery and preclinical development of new antibiotics. *Ups J Med Sci* 2014; **119**: 162–69.
- 113 WHO. Global TB Report 2013. http://www.who.int/tb/publications/ global\_report/en/ (accessed Jan 29, 2014).
- 114 Falzon D, Jaramillo E, Schünemann HJ, et al. WHO guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2011 update. *Eur Respir J* 2011; 38: 516–28.
- 115 Zumla AI, Gillespie SH, Hoelscher M, et al. New antituberculosis drugs, regimens, and adjunct therapies: needs, advances, and future prospects. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2014; 14: 327–40.
- 116 Zumla A, Nahid P, Cole ST. Advances in the development of new tuberculosis drugs and treatment regimens. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2013; **12**: 388–404.
- 117 Lechartier B, Rybniker J, Zumla A, Cole S. Tuberculosis drug discovery in the post-post-genomic era. *EMBO Mol Med* 2014; 6: 158–66.
- 118 Provisional CDC guidelines for the use and safety monitoring of bedaquiline fumarate (Sirturo) for the treatment of multidrugresistant tuberculosis. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep 2013; 62: 1–12.
- 119 European Medicines Agency. Delamanid. http://www.ema. europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/EPAR\_-\_Summary\_ for\_the\_public/human/002552/WC500166235.pdf (accessed May 28, 2014).
- 120 Zumla A, Maeurer M. Rational development of adjunct immunebased therapies for drug-resistant tuberculosis: hypotheses and experimental designs. J Infect Dis 2012; 205 (suppl 2): S335–39.
- 121 Tobin DM, Roca FJ, Oh SF, et al. Host genotype-specific therapies can optimize the inflammatory response to mycobacterial infections. *Cell* 2012; 148: 434–46.
- 122 Vilaplana C, Marzo E, Tapia G, Diaz J, Garcia V, Cardona PJ. Ibuprofen therapy resulted in significantly decreased tissue bacillary loads and increased survival in a new murine experimental model of active tuberculosis. J Infect Dis 2013; 208: 199–202.
- 123 Guzman JD, Evangelopoulos D, Gupta A, et al. Antitubercular specific activity of ibuprofen and the other 2-arylpropanoic acids using the HT-SPOTi whole-cell phenotypic assay. *BMJ Open* 2013; 3: e002672.
- 124 Gupta S, Cohen KA, Winglee K, Maiga M, Diarra B, Bishai WR. Efflux inhibition with verapamil potentiates bedaquiline in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; 58: 574–76.
- 125 Gupta S, Tyagi S, Almeida DV, Maiga MC, Ammerman NC, Bishai WR. Acceleration of tuberculosis treatment by adjunctive therapy with verapamil as an efflux inhibitor. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 188: 600–07.
- 126 Maiga M, Ammerman NC, Maiga MC, et al. Adjuvant host-directed therapy with types 3 and 5 but not type 4 phosphodiesterase inhibitors shortens the duration of tuberculosis treatment. *J Infect Dis* 2013; 208: 512–19.
- 127 Johnson BJ, Estrada I, Shen Z, et al. Differential gene expression in response to adjunctive recombinant human interleukin-2 immunotherapy in multidrug-resistant tuberculosis patients. *Infact Immun* 1998; 66: 2426–33.
- 128 Parida SK, Axelsson-Robertson R, Rao MV, et al. Totally drugresistant tuberculosis and adjunct therapies. J Intern Med 2014; published online May 8. DOI:10.1111/joim.12264.
- 129 Ianaro A, Ialenti A, Maffia P, et al. Anti-inflammatory activity of macrolide antibiotics. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2000; 292: 156–63.

- 130 Skrahin A, Ahmed RK, Ferrara G, et al. Autologous mesenchymal stromal cell infusion as adjunct treatment in patients with multidrug and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: an openlabel phase 1 safety trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; 2: 108–22.
- 131 Brown GD, Denning DW, Gow NAR, Levitz S, Netea M, White T. Human fungal infections: the hidden killers. *Sci Transl Med* 2012; 4: 165rv13.
- 132 Nowaseb V, Gaebc E, Fraczek M, Richardson MD, Denning DW. The frequency of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* in sputum samples of HIV and TB patients received at the Central Reference Laboratory in Windhoek, Namibia. J Infect Dev Ctries 2014; 8: 349–57.
- 133 Denning D, Pleuvry A, Cole D. Global burden of chronic pulmonary aspergillosis as a sequel to pulmonary tuberculosis. Bull World Health Organ 2011; 89: 864–72.
- 134 Denning DW, Bowyer P. Voriconazole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus—should we be concerned? Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57: 521–23.
- 135 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Risk assessment on the impact of environmental usage of triazoles on the development and spread of resistance to medical triazoles in Aspergillus species. http://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/ publications/risk-assessment-impact-environmental-usage-oftriazoles-on-aspergillus-spp-resistance-to-medical-triazoles.pdf (accessed May 24, 2014).
- 136 van der Linden JW, Snelders E, Kampinga GA, et al. Clinical implications of azole resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus, the Netherlands, 2007–2009. Emerg Infect Dis 2011; 17: 1846–54.
- 137 Howard SJ, Cerar D, Anderson MJ, et al. Frequency and evolution of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* associated with treatment failure. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; **15**: 1068–76.
- 138 Bueid A, Howard SJ, Moore CB, et al. Azole antifungal resistance in Aspergillus fumigatus: 2008 and 2009. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 2116–18.
- 139 Denning DW, Park S, Lass-Florl C, et al. High frequency triazole resistance found in non-culturable Aspergillus fumigatus from lungs of patients with chronic fungal disease. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52: 1123–29.
- 140 Denning DW, Pleuvry A, Cole DC. Global burden of ABPA in adults with asthma and its complication chronic pulmonary aspergillosis. *Med Mycol* 2013; **51**: 361–70.
- 141 Denning DW. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis: review of literature and proposal of new diagnostic and classification criteria. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2013; 43: 850–73.
- 42 Mastella G, Rainisio M, Harms HK, et al. Allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis in cystic fibrosis. A European epidemiological study. *Eur Resp J* 2000; 16: 464–71.
- 143 Baxter CG, Dunn G, Jones AM, et al. Novel immunologic classification of aspergillosis in adult cystic fibrosis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2013; **132**: 560–66.
- 144 Burgel PR, Baixench MT, Amsellem M, et al. High prevalence of azole-resistant Aspergillus fumigatus in adults with cystic fibrosis exposed to itraconazole. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012; 56: 869–74.
- 145 Kenyon C, Bonorchis K, Corcoran C, et al. A dimorphic fungus causing disseminated infection in South Africa. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 1416–24.
- 146 Marr KA, Schlamm H, Rottinghaus ST, et al. A randomised, double-blind study of combination antifungal therapy with voriconazole and anidulafungin versus voriconazole monotherapy for primary treatment of invasive aspergillosis. 22nd European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases; London, UK March 31–April 2, 2012. Abstr LB2812.
- 147 Krishna G, Ma L, Martinho M, Preston RA, O'Mara E. A new solid oral tablet formulation of posaconazole: a randomized clinical trial to investigate rising single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetics and safety in healthy volunteers. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67: 2725–30.
- 148 Mølgaard-Nielsen D, Pasternak B, Hviid A. Oral fluconazole during pregnancy and risk of birth defects. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 21; 369: 2061–65.
- 149 Denning DW, Hope WW. Therapy for fungal diseases: opportunities and priorities. Trends Microbiol 2010; 18: 195–204.
- 150 Van Rossem K, Lowe JA. A Phase 1, randomized, open-label crossover study to evaluate the safety and pharmacokinetics of 400 mg albaconazole administered to healthy participants as a tablet formulation versus a capsule formulation. *Clin Pharmacol* 2013; 5: 23–31.

- 151 Jiménez-Ortigosa C, Paderu P, Motyl MR, Perlin DS. Enfumafungin derivative MK-3118 shows increased in vitro potency against clinical echinocandin-resistant *Candida* species and *Aspergillus* species isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; 58: 1248–51.
- 152 Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Georgopapadakou N, Martell LA, Besterman JM, Diekema DJ. Activity of MGCD290, a Hos2 histone deacetylase inhibitor, in combination with azole antifungals against opportunistic fungal pathogens. J Clin Microbiol 2009; 47: 3797–804.
- 153 Shubitz LF, Trinh HT, Perrill RH, et al. Modeling nikkomycin Z dosing and pharmacology in murine pulmonary coccidioidomycosis preparatory to phase 2 clinical trials. J Infect Dis 2014; 209: 1949–54.
- 154 Mitsuyama J, Nomura N, Hashimoto K, et al. In vitro and in vivo antifungal activities of T-2307, a novel arylamidine. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; 52: 1318–24.
- 155 F 2G. Advancing antifungal R&D. www.f2g.com/f2g-ltd-completes-30million-financing-round-to-fund-pre-clinical-and-clinical-developmentof-novel-anti-fungal-compounds/ (accessed May 29, 2014).
- 156 Zhai B, Wu C, Wang L, Sachs MS, Lin X. The antidepressant sertraline provides a promising therapeutic option for neurotropic cryptococcal infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; 56: 3758–66.
- 157 Bastidas RJ, Reedy JL, Morales-Johansson H, Heitman J, Cardenas ME. Signaling cascades as drug targets in model and pathogenic fungi. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 2008; **9**: 856–64.
- 158 Veri A, Cowen LE. Progress and prospects for targeting Hsp90 to treat fungal infections. *Parasitology* 2014; 20: 1–11.

- 159 Raja Mohamed BS, Subramanian M, Shunmugiah KP. Inhibition of *Candida albicans* virulence factors by novel levofloxacin derivatives. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2014; published online April 11. DOI:10.1007/s00253-014-5719-2.
- 160 Riddell SR, Watanabe KS, Goodrich JM, Li CR, Agha ME, Greenberg PD. Restoration of viral immunity in immunodeficient humans by the adoptive transfer of T cell clones. *Science* 1992; 257: 238–41.
- 161 Peggs KS, Verfuerth S, Pizzey A, et al. Adoptive cellular therapy for early cytomegalovirus infection after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation with virus-specific T-cell lines. *Lancet* 2003; 362: 1375–77.
- 162 Tramsen L, Schmidt S, Boenig H et al. Clinical-scale generation of multi-specific anti-fungal T cells targeting Candida, Aspergillus and mucormycetes. *Cytotherapy* 2013; 15: 344–51.
- 163 Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. Towards an ecology of the lung: new conceptual models of pulmonary microbiology and pneumonia pathogenesis. *Lancet Respir Med* 2014; 2: 238–46.
- 164 Bates M, Mudenda V, Mwaba P, Zumla A. Deaths due to respiratory tract infections in Africa: a review of autopsy studies. *Curr Opin Pulmon Med* 2013; 19: 229–37.
- 165 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 2095–128.