



Use of Protein Pegylation to Prolong the Antiviral Effect of IFN Against FMDV

Fayna Diaz-San Segundo^{1*}, Gisselle N. Medina^{1,2}, Paul Azzinaro¹, Joseph Gutkoska¹, Aishwarya Mogulothu^{1,3}, Sarah E. Attreed^{1,4}, Kimberly R. Lombardi⁵, Jacob Shields⁵, Teresa A. Hudock⁵ and Teresa de los Santos^{1*}

¹ Plum Island Animal Disease Center (PIADC), ARS, USDA, Greenport, NY, United States, ² Kansas State University College of Veterinary Medicine, Manhattan, KS, United States, ³ Department of Pathobiology and Veterinary Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, United States, ⁴ ORISE-PIADC Research Participation Program, Oak Ridge, TN, United States, ⁵ Elanco Animal Health, Inc., Greenfield, IN, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Francois Villinger, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, United States

Reviewed by:

Jun Han, China Agricultural University, China Pinghuang Liu, Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

*Correspondence:

Fayna Diaz-San Segundo fayna.diazsansegundo@usda.gov Teresa de los Santos teresa.delossantos@usda.gov

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 17 February 2021 Accepted: 09 April 2021 Published: 05 May 2021

Citation:

Diaz-San Segundo F, Medina GN, Azzinaro P, Gutkoska J, Mogulothu A, Attreed SE, Lombardi KR, Shields J, Hudock TA and de los Santos T (2021) Use of Protein Pegylation to Prolong the Antiviral Effect of IFN Against FMDV. Front. Microbiol. 12:668890. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.668890 Interferons (IFNs) are considered the first line of defense against viral diseases. Due to their ability to modulate immune responses, they have become an attractive therapeutic option to control virus infections. In fact, like many other viruses, foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV), the most contagious pathogen of cloven-hoofed animals, is highly sensitive to the action of IFNs. Previous studies demonstrated that type I, II, and III IFNs, expressed using a replication defective human adenovirus 5 (Ad5) vector, can effectively block FMDV replication in vitro and can protect animals when challenged 1 day after Ad5-IFN treatment, in some cases providing sterile immunity. Rapidly spreading footand-mouth disease (FMD) is currently controlled with vaccination, although development of a protective adaptive immune response takes 5-7 days. Therefore, an optimal strategy to control FMD outbreaks is to block virus replication and spread through sustained IFN activity while the vaccine-stimulated adaptive immune response is developed. Challenges with methods of delivery and/or with the relative short IFN protein half-life in vivo, have halted the development of such approach to effectively control FMD in the animal host. One strategy to chemically improve drug pharmacodynamics is the use of pegylation. In this proof-of-concept study, we demonstrate that pegylated recombinant porcine (po)IFNα displays strong and long-lasting antiviral activity against FMDV in vitro and in vivo, completely protecting swine against FMD for at least five days after a single dose. These results highlight the potential of this biotherapeutics to use in combination with vaccines to fully control FMD in the field.

Keywords: FMDV, foot-and-mouth disease, type I interferon, IFN, PEGylation, biotherapeutics

INTRODUCTION

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is a severe, highly contagious disease of cloven-hoofed animals including ruminants and swine. The disease is characterized by high fever and the appearance of vesicular lesions around the mouth, feet, and teats that resolve in a relative short time of approximately 2 weeks (Grubman and Baxt, 2004). However, ruminants that recover from disease, become asymptomatic virus carriers in large the numbers (Maree et al., 2016; Arzt et al., 2018). The

etiologic agent of this disease is the FMD virus (FMDV), a member of the *Aphthovirus* genus within the Picornaviridae family. FMDV consists of an approximately 8.5 kb single-strand RNA of positive polarity surrounded by an icosahedral capsid comprised of 60 copies of four viral encoded structural proteins. Like other RNA viruses, FMDV has an error-prone polymerase that leads to a high mutation rate during genome replication and confers rapid adaptation on the virus. FMDV is antigenically variable and displays seven serotypes including A, O, C, Asia, and South African Territories (SAT) 1, 2, 3, and multiple subtypes (Knowles and Samuel, 2003). Such genetic plasticity and fast rate of replication are probably the drivers of high FMDV morbidity in over 70 species of ungulates.

Foot-and-mouth disease is endemic in at least the following three continents: Africa, Asia, and South America. Recurrent outbreaks in those areas not only affect animal productivity but create substantial trade barriers that impact economic and social development. Current disease control measures include broad surveillance, enforcement of sanitary policy, and vaccination. The current approved FMD vaccine consists of purified chemically inactivated whole-virus (binary ethylenimine -BEI- treated) formulated with oil-based or aluminum adjuvants that is used with a boosting protocol for ensuring long term protection (Doel, 2003). Multiple experimental vaccines have shown promising results and are under advanced stages of development, however, their availability is limited (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2017; de Los Santos et al., 2018). FMD vaccines are serotype specific and require approximately 7 days to induce a protective immune response, leaving a window of time when the virus could still infect animals in case of an outbreak. To address the need to protect vaccinated animals during this window of susceptibility, we have previously used IFN based therapeutics. Delivery of porcine IFN α/β using a replication deficient adenovirus 5 vector (Ad5-poIFNa), effectively protected swine challenged with FMDV A24 Cruzeiro as early as 1 day post-inoculation (Chinsangaram et al., 2003). In some instances, protection could last for 3-4 days post-Ad5-poIFNa-inoculation (Moraes et al., 2003) and was effective against multiple FMDV serotypes (Dias et al., 2011). Moreover, in proof-of-concept studies Moraes et al. (2003), demonstrated that a combination of Ad5-poIFNa with an Ad5 vaccine that delivers FMDV A24Cru empty capsids (Ad5-FMD-A24) could induce complete protection of swine challenged with FMDV at 1-3 days post-infection (dpi) while a strong adaptive immune response was mounted.

A cost-effective alternative to the use of IFN delivered by an Ad5 vector, is the use of recombinant protein expressed in bacteria or other eukaryotic systems such as yeast or insect cells. Over the last 30 years many such studies have been performed using IFNs to control human pathogens such as Hepatitis C, Hepatitis B, Venezuelan equine encephalitis (VEE), and others (Hoofnagle and Seeff, 2006; Friedman, 2008). However, the use of IFNs requires extensive testing in the species of interest in order to evaluate the metabolic rate and potential adverse systemic effects of individual preparations. In this regard, many approaches to change the pharmacokinetic profiles of IFNs have been examined. These include the covalent modification of IFN with polyethyleneglycol (PEG) molecules (PEGylation) or the expression of recombinant IFN fused to immunoglobulins Fc fragments, albumin or other proteins. These modified-IFNs have been tested for the treatment of multiple human diseases such as hepatitis, multiple sclerosis and cancer (Fried et al., 2002; Woo et al., 2017; Ortiz et al., 2018; Lazear et al., 2019). Use of these new IFN-modified platforms should improve its biotherapeutic function in the animal setting as well.

In this study, we have evaluated the antiviral activity of pegylated poIFN α (PEGpoIFN α) against FMDV in swine. Our results demonstrate that this molecule displays sustained and strong bioactivity in this species, protecting animals against challenge with FMDV for at least 5 days post-treatment. These results highlight the potential use of PEGpoIFN α in combination with vaccines to induce immediate and long-term protection against such a feared pathogen of the agricultural industry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Viruses

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells (ATCC CRL-1573) were used to generate and propagate recombinant Ad5s. Baby hamster kidney cells strain 21 (BHK-21, clone 13) (ATCC CCL-10) were used to propagate challenge virus and to measure virus titers in plaque assay. Porcine kidney cells (IB-RS2) were obtained from the Foreign Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (FADDL) at Plum Island Animal Disease Center (PIADC), Greenport, NY and use to measure antiviral activity. MDBK-t2 [Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells transfected with plasmid expressing the human MxA promoter linked to a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) reporter and with resistance to blasticidin (Fray et al., 2001)] were kindly provided by B. Charleston (Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright, United Kingdom). All cells were maintained in Eagle's minimal essential medium (EMEM) containing either 10% calf serum or 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) supplemented with antibiotics, glutamine, and non-essential amino acids. MDBK-t2 media was also supplemented with 10 µg/ml blasticidin (Invitrogen. Carlsbad, CA, United States). Replication-defective recombinant Ad5s containing poIFNa were produced as previously described (Moraes et al., 2003; Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2011).

The challenge virus FMDV A24 Cruzeiro/55 (A24Cru) was obtained from vesicular fluid of infected swine, followed by titering in swine and in tissue culture, and stored in aliquots at -70° C.

PEGylated porcine IFN α (PEGpoIFN α) was provided by Elanco Animal Health, Inc.

FMDV Cell Infections

Cultured cell monolayers were infected with FMDV at indicated multiplicity of infection (moi). After 1 h adsorption at 37° C, unabsorbed virus was removed by washing the cells with a solution containing 150 mM NaCl in 20 mM morpholine ethanesulfonic acid (MES) pH = 5.5, before adding minimal essential medium (MEM) and proceeding with incubation at 37° C in 5% CO₂. Infected cells were frozen at 1, 3, 6, and 24 h and virus titers were determined after thawing, by plaque

assay on BHK-21 cells. Plaques were counted at 48 h post-inoculation (hpi).

In vitro Anti-FMDV Antiviral Bioassay

Porcine IB-RS2 cells were treated with twofold serial dilutions of Ad5-expressed poIFN α or PEGpoIFN α (starting material: 2⁻¹ to 2⁻¹² dilutions, or ~10 µg/ml, respectively) and incubated for up to 7 days. At 1 day intervals, supernatants were collected from individual plates and stored at -70° C. Frozen supernatants samples containing Ad5-expressed poIFN α or PEGpoIFN α were thawed, serial diluted and applied to fresh IB-RS2 cell monolayers in 96 well plates using ten replicates per IFN dilution. Treated cells were incubated for 24 h at 37°C, followed by removal of the IFN containing supernatant, and infection with FMDV at a MOI 0.1. After incubation for 72 h at 37°C with 5% CO2, cell viability was measured using an MTT assay (Ramanathan et al., 2015). OD values of one represent 100% viability, or absence of cytotoxicity. OD values of 0.04 represent maximum cytotoxicity.

Animal Experiments

The pharmacokinetic study was performed at Blue River Research Services (Carthage, IN, United States), and was conducted in compliance with BRRS ACUP No. S-01. Efficacy study was performed in the high-containment facilities of the Plum Island Animal Disease Center, conducted in compliance with the Animal Welfare act (AWA) (2011) Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 2002 PHS Policy for the Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and United States. Government Principles for Utilization and Care of Vertebrates Animal Used in Testing, Research and Training (IRAC, 1985), as well as specific animal protocols reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Plum Island Animal Disease Center (USDA/APHIS/AC Certificate number: 21-F-0001; Protocol 151-19R).

Pharmacokinetics Animal Study

Eighteen pigs (nine castrate and nine gilt, weight between 7.92– 9.81 kg) were randomly assigned to one of three treatment groups (3M/3F per group) and acclimated for 5 days. Animals were group housed by treatment and gender (three animals per pen), in indoor pens with *ad libitum* access to unmedicated feed and water. Group 1 received a single administration of 25 µg/kg of PEGpoIFN α intravenously (IV); group 2 received a single administration of 25 µg/kg of PEGpoIFN α intramuscularly (IM); and group 3 received a single administration of 50 µg/kg of PEGpoIFN α IM. Animals were administered test article according to the assigned treatment group in the neck using a 5 ml syringe, with a 20G × 1 inch needle.

Blood samples (approximately 1–2 ml) were collected from each animal the day before dosing (t = 0), and at 30 min, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96, 108, 120, 144, 168, 192, 216, and 240 h post-dose administration. Blood samples were collected in a sodium citrate tube *via* jugular venipuncture within 10% of the schedule time for time points <4 h post-administration, within 30 min for time points between 4 and 24 h and within 2 h for time points greater than 24 h. Blood samples were separated by centrifugation and stored at approximately -70° C. Resultant plasma samples were shipped to AIT BioSciences (Indianapolis, IN, United States) for PEGpoIFN α analysis.

Efficacy Animal Study

Sixteen Yorkshire gilts or castrated males (5 weeks old and weighing approximately 18–23 kg each) were randomly divided in four groups of four animals each and were acclimated for 1 week. Group 1 received 200 μ g/kg of PEGpoIFN α IM 1 day before challenge; group 2 received 200 μ g/kg of PEGpoIFN α IM 5 days before challenge; group 3 was treated with 10¹⁰ plaque forming units (PFU)/animal of Ad5-poIFN α subcutaneous (SQ) 5 days before the challenge; group 4 was inoculated with PBS SQ 1 day before the challenge and was considered as the negative control the negative control group. All animals were challenged intradermally (ID) in the rear heel bulb with 100 tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID₅₀) of FMDV A24Cru at indicated times post-treatment. This dose had been previously validated as consistently causing full disease at 3–5 days post challenge (Ramirez-Carvajal et al., 2016).

Serum and heparinized blood were collected starting at day 0 for baseline and every day after treatment to examine systemic antiviral activity. Samples of serum and nasal swabs were collected the day of challenge and daily for 7 days after challenge (dpc) and at 14 dpc.

Following challenge, clinical scores were evaluated daily for 7 days by determining the number of toes displaying FMD lesions and the presence of lesions in the snout and/or mouth. The maximum score considered was 17, and lesions restricted to the site of inoculation were not counted. The% of lymphocytes in the white cell population from whole blood collected in EDTA was measured for the first 7 days using a Hemavet cell counter (Drew Scientific-Erba Diagnostics, Miami Lakes, FL, United States).

Detection of Virus in Sera and Nasal Swabs

Swine sera and nasal swabs were examined for the presence of virus by plaque assays on BHK-21 cells. Virus titers were expressed as log₁₀ pfu/ml of serum or nasal swab secretions. The minimal detection level for this assay is 5 pfu/ml. In addition, FMDV RNA was detected by real-time RT-PCR (rRT-PCR) as previously described (Alejo et al., 2013). Cycle threshold (Ct) values were converted to RNA copies per milliliter using the equation derived from analysis of serial 10fold dilutions of *in vitro* synthesized FMDV RNA of known concentration and expressed as the genome copy number per ml of serum or nasal swab.

Antiviral Biological Assay in Serum

Antiviral activity was evaluated in serum as previously described (Moraes et al., 2003; de Avila Botton et al., 2006). In brief, serum samples were diluted, and applied to IB-RS2 cell monolayers; after 24 h supernatants were removed, and the cells were infected for 1 h with approximately 100 PFU of FMDV serotype A12 followed by addition of an overlay containing 20% gum tragacanth in tissue culture media. Plaques were observed 24 h later by staining live cells with crystal violet. Antiviral activity (U/ml) was reported as the reciprocal of the highest supernatant dilution that resulted in a 50% reduction in the number of plaques relative to the number of plaques detected in the mock-treated infected cells.

We also used a MxCAT ELISA to determine units of antiviral activity using MDBK-t2 cells as previously described (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2016). Briefly, cells were seeded into 24 well tissue culture plates at 2 \times 10^5 cells/well and after 24 h incubation at 37°C with 5% CO2, the culture medium was replaced with 0.25 ml of media containing 0.1 ml of either serum samples or nasal swab secretions. Known amounts (from 1.95 to 1,000 U/ml) of recombinant human IFNa2A (PBL Interferon Source, Piscataway, NJ, United States) were used to determine a standard curve. Twenty-four hours after incubation, cells were lysed for 30 min in lysis buffer® and CAT expression was determined using a commercially available CAT-ELISA kit (Roche Applied Sciences, Indianapolis, IN, United States) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. Units of antiviral activity per ml were calculated from the human IFNα2A standard curve.

Pegylated Porcine-IFN α Detection in Plasma

Presence of PEGpoIFNa in plasma was detected using an ELISA based analytical method that employs an IgM anti-PEG antibody for capture and a biotinylated mouse anti-porcine IFNα antibody for detection. Briefly, plates were coated with anti-PEG capture (Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan) antibody overnight at 2-8°C. Plate was blocked with casein in PBS for 1 h at room temperature while shaking. Plasma samples 1:50 diluted in blocking buffer were incubated overnight at 2-8°C. Biotinylated mouse antiporcine IFNa antibody (PBI, Piscataway, NJ, United States, biotinylation performed at AIT Bioscience) was then added to the plate and incubated for 1 h at room temperature while shaking at 150 rpm. Signal was detected using Streptavidin-Sulfo Tag (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD, United States) and 1:4 diluted MSD read buffer read on an MSD 6000 imager. Throughout the assay, plates were washed with 1x KPL Wash Buffer (KPL-VWR, Bridgeport, NJ, United States).

After fitting the standard curve of PEGpoIFN α a 4-parameter, 1/y2 weighted logistic model, plasma amounts of PEGpoIFN α were estimated in test samples by extrapolation using a standard curve of pegylated porcine-IFN α calibrators ranging from 3.15 to 1,000 ng/ml.

Analysis of IFN Stimulated Genes in PBMCs

IFN Stimulated Genes (ISGs) expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) was analyzed by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) as previously described (Ramirez-Carvajal et al., 2016). Briefly, total RNA was isolated from approximately 10⁷ PBMCs using an RNAeasy extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, United States) followed by cDNA synthesis using random hexamers with qScript kit mix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD, United States). cDNA was used as the template for RT-qPCR with PerfeCTa SYBR green FastMix (Quanta Biosciences, Gaithersburg, MD, United States). Samples were run in an AB 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, United States). Relative quantification was performed on a panel of ISGs as previously described (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2010). The expression of each gene of interest was normalized using GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase). Data was analyzed using the comparative threshold cycle ($\Delta \Delta C_T$) method relative to baseline levels detected prior to treatment (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Evaluation of Humoral Immune Response

Neutralizing antibody titers were determined in mice or swine sera samples by end-point titration according to the method of Kärber (OIE, 2018). Antibody titers were expressed as the log_{10} value of the reciprocal of the dilution that neutralized 100 TCID₅₀ in 50% of the wells (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2010).

Data Analyses

All statistical analysis was conducted using SAS software (Version 9.4, SAS System for Windows, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States). All hypotheses were tested at a 2-sided 5% level of significance unless otherwise stated.

All pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using noncompartmental analysis in Phoenix[®], version 6.3.0.395 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, United States).

Shed RNA viral copies (copy number/ml), nasal swab viral shedding (TCID50/ml), RNA viremia viral copies (copy number/ml), lymphocyte (%), TCID blood virus isolation (TCID50/ml), Vesicular Lesion Score were analyzed by a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) analysis, with Poisson distribution, log link, fixed effects (Treatment and Treatment \times Time) and random repeated measure effect. The covariance structure for the repeated measures effect was selected from the following candidates: compound symmetry (CS), spatial exponential SP(EXP), and spatial spherical SP(SPH). The minimum corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICC) was used to select the SP(EXP) covariance structure.

MxCAT Elisa (IFN U/ml), Antiviral biologic activity (U/ml), Serum neutralization titers [log10(TCID50/ml)], Weight (Kg), Temperature (F) were analyzed by a linear mixed model (LMM) analysis, with fixed effects (Treatment and Treatment \times Time) and random repeated measure effect. The covariance structure for the repeated measures effect was selected from the following candidates: CS, SP(EXP), and SP(SPH). The minimum corrected AICC was used to select the SP(EXP) covariance structure.

RESULTS

Pegylation of polFN α Prolongs Antiviral Activity Against FMDV *in vitro*

We have previously demonstrated that poIFN α expressed by using either, a replication defective human adenovirus 5 (Ad5-poIFN α) (Chinsangaram et al., 2003; Moraes et al., 2003, 2007) or a VEE virus replicon particle (VRP-poIFN α) (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2013), is very effective in blocking FMDV replication in vitro, and that protection can last for up to 5 days after treatment. To test the effectiveness of the pegylated porcine IFNα (PEGpoIFNα) in comparison to Ad5-poIFNα, we treated porcine IB-RS2 cells with two-fold serial dilutions of Ad5- expressed poIFNa or recombinant PEGpoIFNa (starting material: 2^{-1} to 2^{-12} dilutions, or $\sim 10 \ \mu g/ml$, respectively) and incubated the cultures for up to 7 days (Figure 1). By 1 dpt equivalent antiviral activities were detected for both, Ad5-expressed and PEG-poIFNa proteins. However, while the antiviral activity of Ad5-expressed poIFNa waned by 3-4 dpt, a more sustained response was detected in cells treated with PEGpoIFNα which decreased by 4–6 dpt (Figure 1). These results suggest that pegylation of poIFNa does not interfere with its biological activity. Furthermore, the antiviral effect induced by PEGpoIFNα lasts longer as compared to the activity triggered by Ad5- delivered poIFNα.

Sustained Systemic Detection of polFN α in Swine After a Single Inoculation of PEGpolFN α

Pegylation is aimed at increasing protein's half-life in blood. In order to test if PEGpoIFNa presence in plasma was sustained and for how long, we performed a preliminary pharmacokinetics study in swine. Three groups of six animals were inoculated with $25 \,\mu g/kg \, IV$, $25 \,\mu g/kg \, IM$ or $50 \,\mu g/kg \, IM$ are were sampled up to 240 h-post inoculation (hpi) (Table 1). Levels of PEGpoIFNa were measured in plasma at indicated time-points in four of the six animals from each treatment group. Animals inoculated IV showed rapid presence of PEGpoIFNa in plasma, with highest levels starting 30 min after inoculation with a harmonic mean half-life $(t_{1/2})$ of 59.7 h (Table 2). As expected, animals inoculated IM took longer time to achieve maximum concentration [T_{max} (Table 3)] and never achieved equivalent maximum IFN levels as IV inoculated animals, even when inoculated with double the dose (Table 1). However, half-life was similar regardless the route of inoculation. Furthermore by 72 h, the IFN levels in plasma were equivalent regardless the route of inoculation, showing dose dependance and continued declining until 240 h (**Table 1**).

PEGpoIFNα Induces Sustained Systemic Antiviral Activity and Stimulation of ISGs in Swine

Based on the results of PEGpoIFNa pharmacokinetics-animal study, and previous data from animals inoculated with Ad5poIFN α , we decided to inoculate animals IM with 200 μ g/kg of PEGpoIFNa. The selection of dose was based on our previous experience with Ad5-poIFN α and the knowledge on the levels of systemic antiviral activity required for protection in swine (Dias et al., 2011). For comparison, we also inoculated a group of animals with 10¹⁰ pfu/animal of Ad5-poIFNα. Animals were challenged at 1- or 5- dpt. Systemic antiviral activity was evaluated by measuring inhibition of FMDV growth (biological activity) or by performing an MxCAT ELISA, in the sera obtained from animals prior to treatment (0 dpt, baseline), and at 1 or 5 dpt prior to challenge with infectious FMDV (0 dpc) (Figure 2). One day after treatment, all animals inoculated with PEGpoIFNa showed statistically significant levels of systemic antiviral activity in all assays used for detection. Interestingly, the levels of antiviral activity of PEGpoIFNa treated animals were sustained by 5 dpt, while no antiviral activity could be detected in animals treated with Ad5-poIFN α at the same time (Figure 2).

We also analyzed by real-time RT-qPCR a panel of ISGs that have been previously used in our lab to demonstrate the systemic effect of Ad5-poIFN α on PBMCs (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2010). ISG expression was measured in PBMCs collected at 1 and 5 dpt with PEGpoIFN α or Ad5-poIFN α . The panel included IFN α and IFN β , and a small list of well characterized ISGs described in INTERFEROME [a database dedicated to chronicling all genes significantly regulated by IFN (Rusinova et al., 2013)] including IFN-Induced GTP-Binding Protein Mx1, double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR), 2',5'-Oligoisoadenylate Synthetase-Dependent (OAS1), IFN regulatory factor (IRF) -3 and -7, C-C Motif Chemokine





Ligand 2 (CCL2), C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 20 (CCL20), C-X-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 10 (CXCL10), C-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 2 (CCR2), IFN-Stimulated Protein, 15 KDa (ISG15), Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 18 (UPS18), and also pattern recognition receptors retinoic acid-inducible gene I (rig-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (mda-5).

As observed in **Figure 2C**, nine out of 15 analyzed genes were upregulated 1 day after PEGpoIFN α inoculation. Interestingly, all genes except for IRF-3 were upregulated by 5 days after PEGpoIFN α treatment. Some of the analyzed genes, including IFN β , PKR, USP18 and CCL20 were highly upregulated (>300fold induction). On the contrary, only six out of 15 genes were upregulated in animals treated with Ad5-poIFN α by 5 dpt, and levels of upregulation were low except for IFN β and PKR which showed similar levels as those detected in PEGpoIFN α treated animals at equivalent times. These results indicate that PEGpoIFN α successfully induced several ISGs and that induction was sustained in time after treatment. Similarly, these data indicate that PEGpoIFN α induces a robust antiviral state detectable at the time of FMDV challenge.

Treatment With PEGpoIFNα Prolongs Protection Against Challenge With FMDV in Swine

We have previously demonstrated that antiviral activity induced by treatment with Ad5-poIFN α is potentially protective when detected in serum at levels \geq 800 U/ml (Dias et al., 2011). Based on those results we predicted that such levels of antiviral activity could protect swine against FMD if elicited by PEGpoIFN α in treated animals. To test our hypothesis, we challenged all animals, including our negative control group with a dose of FMDV

Treatment group	Animal ID	Timenominal (h)											
		0	0.5	1	4	12	24	72	96	120	168	216	240
TG01 25 μg/kg IV	1	BLQ*	0.281	0.258	0.223	0.174	0.134	0.077	0.048	0.029	0.021	0.013	0.009
	2	BLQ	0.275	0.27	0.232	0.151	0.122	0.067	0.047	0.029	0.019	0.012	0.009
	3	BLQ	0.213	0.195	0.289	0.14	0.099	0.054	0.043	0.024	0.013	0.008	0.005
	4	BLQ	0.242	0.259	0.205	0.153	0.113	0.054	0.042	0.032	0.016	0.009	BLQ
	N	-	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
	Mean	-	0.253	0.246	0.212	0.155	0.117	0.063	0.045	0.029	0.017	0.01	0.008
	SD	-	0.032	0.034	0.019	0.014	0.015	0.011	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002
TG02 25 μg/kg IM	5	BLQ	0.031	0.051	0.087	0.064	0.051	0.039	0.038	0.029	0.015	0.007	BLQ
	6	BLQ	BLQ	0.006	0.029	0.053	0.076	0.063	0.056	0.046	0.024	0.011	0.009
	7	BLQ	0.007	0.015	0.034	0.061	0.064	0.083	0.058	0.036	0.018	0.009	0.006
	8	BLQ	0.014	0.035	0.018	0.087	0.062	0.051	0.043	0.032	0.021	0.011	0.008
	N	-	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
	Mean	-	0.017	0.027	0.058	0.066	0.063	0.059	0.049	0.036	0.02	0.01	0.008
	SD	-	0.012	0.02	0.031	0.015	0.01	0.019	0.01	0.007	0.004	0.002	0.002
TG03 50 μg/kg IM	9	BLQ	0.046	0.072	0.202	0.196	0.195	0.134	0.122	0.029	0.051	0.029	0.011
	10	BLQ	0.008	0.04	0.128	0.135	0.193	0.135	0.108	0.068	0.058	0.021	0.019
	11	BLQ	0.039	0.094	0.079	0.132	0.124	0.111	0.092	0.079	0.046	0.018	0.015
	12	BLQ	0.007	0.023	0.109	0.139	0.095	0.135	0.103	0.057	0.041	0.028	0.025
	Ν	-	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Mean	-	0.025	0.057	0.13	0.151	0.152	0.129	0.106	0.058	0.049	0.024	0.017
	SD	-	0.02	0.032	0.052	0.03	0.05	0.012	0.012	0.022	0.007	0.005	0.006

*BLQ, below limit of quantification.

TABLE 2 Individual and mean pharmacokinetics parameters for PEGpoIFN α in swine plasma following intravenous administration.

Treatment group	Animal ID	l _z (1/h)	t _{1/2} (h)	C ₀ (μg/ml)	AUC _{last} (h*µg/ml)	AUC ₈ (h*µg/ml)	AUC%Extrap (%)	V _z (ml/kg)	CI (ml/h/kg)
TG01 25 μg/kg IV	1	0.0115	60.5	0.306	14	14.84	5.52	147	1.68
	2	0.0097	71.6	0.28	12.9	13.89	6.95	186	1.8
	3	0.0128	54.1	0.233	10.5	10.91	3.63	179	2.29
	4	0.0126	55.1	0.242	11.5	12.28	4.98	162	2.04
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
	Mean	0.0116	59.7	0.265	12.3	13.0	5.52	168	1.95
	SD	0.00143	8.04	0.0341	1.54	1.74	1.39	17.5	0.269

A24Cru sufficient to clearly detect disease by 2 dpc (Ramirez-Carvajal et al., 2016). As expected, animals in the PBS control group started showing clinical signs of disease at 2 dpc, with all animals reaching high clinical scores (10–15) (**Figure 3**). Also, as previously described (Bautista et al., 2003; Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2006), a transient lymphopenia was developed in all animals of the PBS control group that clearly showed clinical disease. Similarly, animals treated with Ad5-poIFN α and challenged at

5 dpt showed vesicular disease and lymphopenia comparable to the PBS control group, with no statistically significant difference between the two groups (data not shown). Remarkably, none of the animals treated with PEGpoIFN α either 1 or 5 days prior to challenge, developed vesicular disease or lymphopenia at any time post-virus challenge. In fact, statistical analysis revealed that, independent of individual variation in clinical signs or in the peak of lymphopenia, a statistically significant difference

Freatment group	Animal ID	l _z (1/h)	t _{1/2} (h)	T _{max} (h)	C _{max} (µg/ml)	AUC _{last} (h*µg/ml)	AUC ₈ (h*µg/ml)	AUC%Extrap (%)	AUMC ₈ (h*h*µg/ml)	MRT (h)	F
TG02: 25 μg/kg IM	5	0.015	46.2	4	0.0868	6.9	7.34	6.03	643	87.6	
	6	0.0126	55.1	24	0.0759	9.8	10.5	7.1	1,100	104	
	7	0.0154	45.2	72	0.0834	9.58	9.97	3.89	912	91.5	
	8	0.0141	49	12	0.087	8.71	9.26	5.88	883	95.3	72%
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Mean	0.0143	48.5	28	0.0833	8.75	9.28	5.73	885	94.7	
	SD	0.00123	4.45	30	0.00519	1.32	1.4	1.34	188	7.2	
TG03: 50 μg/kg IM	9	0.0236	29.4	4	0.202	21	21.4	2.1	1,690	79	
	10	0.0121	57.4	24	0.193	21.3	22.9	7.11	2,270	99.1	
	11	0.0131	52.8	12	0.132	17.5	18.7	6.12	1,860	99.7	
	12	0.0073	95.3	12	0.139	17.3	20.7	16.3	2,800	135	82%
	Ν	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
	Mean	0.014	49.5	13	0.167	19.3	20.9	7.9	2,160	103	
	SD	0.00688	27.3	8	0.0361	2.15	1.77	5.99	493	23.4	



FIGURE 2 Systemic antiviral response induced by treatment. Bioactivity against FMDV assayed by inhibition of virus growth (A) or by MxCAT ELISA (B) was determined in sera of treated animals with PEGpoIFN α or with Ad5-poIFN α after 1- or 5-days post-treatment (dpt) right before the animals were challenged (0 dpc). A group of PBS-treated animals was included as a negative control. For all groups, samples taken before any treatment (0 dpt) were used as baseline. Graph represents group average (bar) and individual values of each animal in the group at indicated time points. *** $P \le 0.001$. (C) Gene expression in PBMCs of treated animals with PEGpoIFN α or with Ad5-poIFN α after 1 or 5 dpt [right before the animals were challenged (0 dpc)] was measured by qRT-PCR. A group of PBS-treated animals was included as negative control. Results are expressed as relative fold induction values with respect to 0 dpt ($\Delta \Delta$ CT). GAPDH expression was used as normalizer.



 $(P \leq 0.0001)$ was detected between animals inoculated with PEGpoIFN α and those inoculated either Ad5-poIFN α or PBS control for both parameters.

Parallel to the development of disease, all animals in the PBS control group and animals treated with Ad5-poIFN α , that were challenged at 5 dpt, showed detectable viral RNA in serum and nasal swabs. Additionally, infectious virus was isolated from both, serum and nasal swabs, except for one animal from the PBS control group (**Figure 4**). On the other hand, infectious virus could not be isolated from any of the animals treated with PEGpoIFN α , and viral RNA was only detected in one out of eight, and two out of eight, sera and nasal swabs samples, respectively (**Figure 4**). As described for clinical signs and lymphopenia, statistically significant differences for viremia and virus shedding were detected between the two groups treated with PEGpoIFN α

when compared to either the PBS control or Ad5-poIFN α treated groups ($P \le 0.0001$).

These results indicate that treatment with PEGpoIFN α is effective against FMDV replication and shedding. Furthermore, protection is prolonged in time as compared to treatment with Ad5-poIFN α .

Development of Adaptive Immune Response After Challenge With FMDV

It is well established that FMDV infection induces the development of a strong neutralizing antibody response that ultimately clears viremia (Alexandersen et al., 2003), although some species, like for example cattle, can become persistently infected with virus detected in upper respiratory track tissue



for months to years post infection. Also, we have previously reported that swine treated with Ad5-poIFN α could be sterile protected (no clinical FMD, no viremia, undetectable levels of neutralizing antibodies and no detection of antibodies against NS proteins) (Dias et al., 2011). Consistent with those results, in this study we observed that only animals in the PBS control group or treated with Ad5-poIFN α , all challenged at 5 dpt, developed clinical signs, and a strong anti FMDV neutralizing antibody response starting at 7 dpc with no statistically significant differences between these two groups (**Figure 5**).

DISCUSSION

It is well established that FMDV is sensitive to IFNs (Medina et al., 2020b). Furthermore, we and others have successfully used

IFNs expressed using a replication defective human adenovirus to rapidly block FMDV infection in swine (Chinsangaram et al., 2003; Moraes et al., 2003, 2007; Dias et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014; Perez-Martin et al., 2014), achieving good protection 1 day after treatment. However, for the prevention and treatment of FMD, a combined therapeutic approach involving molecules that induce immediate protection and a good vaccine that requires 5-7 days to elicit significant levels of neutralizing antibodies, will be necessary (Quattrocchi et al., 2014). Therefore, it is imperative to use biotherapeutic candidates with sustained biological antiviral activity ideally lasting for at least 5 days, to protect the host until the vaccine induces sufficient adaptive immunity. Initial studies by Moraes et al. (2003) showed that partial protection using Ad5poIFNa was achieved when pigs were challenged at 5- or 7-days post-treatment. These results highlighted the need of other IFN platforms with extended half-life that could protect until vaccine



induced immunity reached protective levels. In recent years, protein PEGylation has evolved as a viable successful alternative to change protein pharmacokinetics, due to an increase in the protein half-life in the recipient (Dozier and Distefano, 2015). In particular, PEGylation of type I IFNs to control human pathogens such as Hepatitis C, Hepatitis B, VEE, and others has been described (Lukaszewski and Brooks, 2000; Hoofnagle and Seeff, 2006; Friedman, 2008). However, its use in the veterinary field has never been reported. In this manuscript, we demonstrate for the first time that PEGylated poIFNa is an effective treatment to block FMDV replication in a natural host. Furthermore, PEGylation considerably extended efficacy of treatment from 1 to at least 5 days, conferring 100% protection. This result makes PEGylated poIFN α a very appealing biotherapeutic candidate. Use of this molecule with FMD vaccines seems like the perfect therapeutic combination to achieve early full and long-lasting protection. In this regard, IFNa would not only be effective at blocking initial FMDV replication, as demonstrated in this manuscript, but would also be an effective adjuvant for the FMD vaccine, as it has been previously described (de Avila Botton et al., 2006; Su et al., 2013; Duan et al., 2020). Further studies using the PEGpoIFNa in combination with FMD vaccines to confirm this adjuvant effect are warranted.

Despite the successful use of our Ad5-poIFN α technology against FMD, we have never achieved this level of protection when animals were challenged by 5 dpt (Moraes et al., 2003). Consistently however, with our previous studies with Ad5-poIFN α , protection was directly correlated with detectable levels of a systemic antiviral response at the moment of challenge with FMDV. Interestingly, there was not a statistically significant difference between the levels of antiviral activity induced by PEGpoIFN α detected at 1 or at 5 days post-treatment, confirmed by two methods of detection (MxCAT ELISA and conventional biological assay). These results indicated that PEGpoIFN α consistently elicited a strong and sustained biological activity in pigs.

IFN stimulates the transcriptional up-regulation of hundreds of effector genes (Takaoka and Yanai, 2006). We have previously described that swine treated with type I IFN delivered by the Ad5-vector platform induced the expression of ISGs systemically and locally in different tissues of relevance for FMDV infection (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2010). To corroborate that treatment with PEGpoIFNa showed similar systemic gene upregulation we analyzed the expression of some well-characterized ISGs in PBMCs isolated from the treated pigs. Analysis of the relative levels of ISGs at the moment of challenge (1 and 5 dpt), showed that PEGpoIFNα induced upregulation of nine out of 15 analyzed genes by 1 dpt. Interestingly, by 5 dpt the number of upregulated genes and the fold induction increased considerably. Presumably this was the result of the sustained and cumulative effect induced by PEGpoIFNa, a stabilized long-acting molecule, as shown in the included PK data, and in previous studies with PEGylated human IFNs (Fried et al., 2002; Woo et al., 2017). Our results indicated that PEGylation of IFN did not affect its normal ability to bind its specific receptor and induce a systemic antiviral state. Three of the upregulated genes, PKR, OAS1, and CXCL10, have been directly involved in IFNa-induced antiviral effects against FMDV, since IFN treatment of PKR^{-/-} and OAS^{-/-} embryonic fibroblast or CXCL10^{-/-} mice could not block FMDV replication (Chinsangaram et al., 2001; de Los Santos et al., 2006; Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2013). CXCL10 and other

chemokines like CCL2, CCL20, and CCR2 are involved in migration of immune cells (Charbonnier et al., 1999; Fujita et al., 2005). In fact, after Ad5-poIFNa treatment there is an increase in dendritic cells (DCs) in the skin and natural killer (NK) cells in the draining lymph-nodes, with partial maturation of DCs (Diaz-San Segundo et al., 2010, 2013). The antiviral state often induced by viral infection is mediated by cytoplasmic rig-I like receptors (RLRs). For picornaviruses like FMDV, with a positivesense RNA genome, replication intermediates are predominantly recognized by mda-5 (Husser et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Pulido et al., 2020). PEGpoIFNa not only induced mda-5 but also rig-I. Two other genes that are part of the cellular ISGylation machinery, the ubiquitin-like protein modifier ISG15 and the ubiquitin specific peptidase USP18, were also upregulated in response to PEGpoIFNa. It has recently been demonstrated that FMDV actively induces protein deISGylation (Medina et al., 2020a; Visser et al., 2020). Furthermore, overexpression of ISG15 and the ISGylation machinery results in moderate inhibition of FMDV replication in porcine cells (Medina et al., 2020a). These results suggested that ISG15 plays a critical role in controlling infection. On the other hand, two out of four animals in the control group showed upregulation of ISG15, suggesting that by itself this gene might not be sufficient to protect against FMDV challenge. Intricate interactions among several ISGs may be necessary to fully control FMD in the animal host. Future studies to evaluate gene expression but also the proteome of IFN induced responses in the specific animal hosts are necessary to elucidate the mechanisms by which IFN controls FMDV replication in vivo.

Overall, our results demonstrate that treatment with PEGpoIFN α induces a sustained strong and systemic antiviral state able to protect animals against challenge with FMDV for at least 5 days post-administration. Use of a recombinant purified pegylated protein might represent a more cost-effective alternative compared to protein-expression platforms delivered by live vectors such as Ad5. Ultimately, a combination of PEGpoIFN α with a FMD vaccine should provide early and long-lasting protection against a viral disease that spreads very rapidly during an outbreak and currently causes devasting consequences in vast areas of the World. Future experiments to confirm the efficacy of this combination treatment, to evaluate similar molecules that could be more effective in other FMDV host such

REFERENCES

- Alejo, D. M., Moraes, M. P., Liao, X., Dias, C. C., Tulman, E. R., Diaz-San Segundo, F., et al. (2013). An adenovirus vectored mucosal adjuvant augments protection of mice immunized intranasally with an adenovirus-vectored foot-and-mouth disease virus subunit vaccine. *Vaccine* 31, 2302–2309. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine. 2013.02.060
- Alexandersen, S., Zhang, Z., Donaldson, A. I., and Garland, A. J. (2003). The pathogenesis and diagnosis of foot-and-mouth disease. J. Comp. Pathol. 129, 1–36. doi: 10.1016/s0021-9975(03)00041-0
- Animal Welfare act (AWA) (2011), 7 USC §§ 2131–2159; 18 USC § 49.
- Arzt, J., Belsham, G. J., Lohse, L., Botner, A., and Stenfeldt, C. (2018). Transmission of foot-and-mouth disease from persistently infected carrier cattle to naive cattle via transfer of Oropharyngeal fluid. *mSphere* 3: e00365-18.

as cattle, and to understand in more detail the mechanisms of protection in each susceptible species are warranted.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Plum Island Animal Disease Center (USDA/APHIS/AC Certificate number: 21-F-0001; Protocol 151-19R).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FDSS conceived, performed experiments, analyzed data, wrote, and submitted the manuscript. GM performed experiments and analyzed the data. AM, PA, SA, and JG performed experiments. KL coordinated the pharmacokinetics study. JS performed the statistical design and analysis. TH managed the project at Animal Health, Inc. TS conceived, directed, and wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed design and results and contributed to the final writing and revisions of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by USDA ARS-CRIS Project N°1940-32000-061-00D and by MTRA N°58-8064-7-014 between Elanco Animal Health, Inc., and USDA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors especially thank the animal care staff (ARB) and the IACUC committee for professional support, guidance and/or assistance in the conduction of the animal experiments at PIADC.

- Bautista, E. M., Ferman, G. S., and Golde, W. T. (2003). Induction of Lymphopenia and inhibition of T cell function during acute infection of swine with foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV). *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 92, 61–73. doi: 10.1016/s0165-2427(03)00004-7
- Charbonnier, A. S., Kohrgruber, N., Kriehuber, E., Stingl, G., Rot, A., and Maurer, D. (1999). Macrophage inflammatory protein 3alpha is involved in the constitutive trafficking of epidermal langerhans cells. J. Exp. Med. 190, 1755–1768. doi: 10.1084/jem.190.12. 1755
- Chinsangaram, J., Koster, M., and Grubman, M. J. (2001). Inhibition of L-deleted foot-and-mouth disease virus replication by alpha/beta interferon involves double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. J. Virol. 75, 5498–5503. doi: 10.1128/jvi.75.12.5498-5503.2001
- Chinsangaram, J., Moraes, M. P., Koster, M., and Grubman, M. J. (2003). Novel viral disease control strategy: adenovirus expressing alpha interferon rapidly

protects swine from foot-and-mouth disease. J. Virol. 77, 1621-1625. doi: 10.1128/jvi.77.2.1621-1625.2003

- de Avila Botton, S., Brum, M. C., Bautista, E., Koster, M., Weiblen, R., Golde, W. T., et al. (2006). Immunopotentiation of a foot-and-mouth disease virus subunit vaccine by interferon alpha. *Vaccine* 24, 3446–3456. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine. 2006.02.011
- de Los Santos, T., de Avila Botton, S., Weiblen, R., and Grubman, M. J. (2006). The leader proteinase of foot-and-mouth disease virus inhibits the induction of beta interferon mRNA and blocks the host innate immune response. *J. Virol.* 80, 1906–1914. doi: 10.1128/jvi.80.4.1906-1914.2006
- de Los Santos, T., Diaz-San Segundo, F., and Rodriguez, L. L. (2018). The need for improved vaccines against foot-and-mouth disease. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 29, 16–25. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2018.02.005
- Dias, C. C., Moraes, M. P., Segundo, F. D., de los Santos, T., and Grubman, M. J. (2011). Porcine type I interferon rapidly protects swine against challenge with multiple serotypes of foot-and-mouth disease virus. *J. Interf. Cytokine Res.* 31, 227–236. doi: 10.1089/jir.2010.0055
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Dias, C. C., Moraes, M. P., Weiss, M., Perez-Martin, E., Owens, G., et al. (2013). Venezuelan equine encephalitis replicon particles can induce rapid protection against foot-and-mouth disease virus. J. Virol. 87, 5447–5460. doi: 10.1128/jvi.03462-12
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Medina, G. N., Stenfeldt, C., Arzt, J., and de Los Santos, T. (2017). Foot-and-mouth disease vaccines. *Vet. Microbiol.* 206, 102–112.
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Montiel, N. A., Sturza, D. F., Perez-Martin, E., Hickman, D., Ramirez-Medina, E., et al. (2016). Combination of Adt-O1Manisa and Ad5boIFNlambda3 induces early protective immunity against foot-and-mouth disease in cattle. *Virology* 499, 340–349. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2016.09.027
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Moraes, M. P., de Los Santos, T., Dias, C. C., and Grubman, M. J. (2010). Interferon-induced protection against foot-and-mouth disease virus infection correlates with enhanced tissue-specific innate immune cell infiltration and interferon-stimulated gene expression. J. Virol. 84, 2063–2077. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01874-09
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Salguero, F. J., de Avila, A., de Marco, M. M., Sanchez-Martin, M. A., and Sevilla, N. (2006). Selective lymphocyte depletion during the early stage of the immune response to foot-and-mouth disease virus infection in swine. J. Virol. 80, 2369–2379. doi: 10.1128/jvi.80.5.2369-2379.2006
- Diaz-San Segundo, F., Weiss, M., Perez-Martin, E., Koster, M. J., Zhu, J., Grubman, M. J., et al. (2011). Antiviral activity of bovine type III interferon against footand-mouth disease virus. *Virology* 413, 283–292. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2011.02. 023
- Doel, T. R. (2003). FMD vaccines. Virus Res. 91, 81-99. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1702(02)00261-7
- Dozier, J. K., and Distefano, M. D. (2015). Site-specific PEGylation of therapeutic proteins. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 16, 25831–25864. doi: 10.3390/ijms161025831
- Duan, X., Sun, P., Lan, Y., Shen, C., Zhang, X., Hou, S., et al. (2020). (1)IFNalpha modulates memory Tfh Cells and memory B cells in mice, following recombinant FMDV adenoviral challenge. *Front. Immunol.* 11:701. doi: 10. 3389/fimmu.2020.00701
- Fray, M. D., Mann, G. E., and Charleston, B. (2001). Validation of an Mx/CAT reporter gene assay for the quantification of bovine type-I interferon. *J. Immunol. Methods* 249, 235–244. doi: 10.1016/s0022-1759(00)00359-8
- Fried, M. W., Peter, J., Hoots, K., Gaglio, P. J., Talbut, D., Davis, P. C., et al. (2002). Hepatitis C in adults and adolescents with hemophilia: a randomized, controlled trial of interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin. *Hepatology* 36, 967–972. doi: 10.1053/jhep.2002.35529
- Friedman, R. M. (2008). Clinical uses of interferons. Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 65, 158–162. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2007.03055.x
- Fujita, H., Asahina, A., Tada, Y., Fujiwara, H., and Tamaki, K. (2005). Type I interferons inhibit maturation and activation of mouse Langerhans cells. J. Invest. Dermatol. 125, 126–133. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-202x.2005.23803.x
- Grubman, M. J., and Baxt, B. (2004). Foot-and-mouth disease. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 17, 465–493.
- Hoofnagle, J. H., and Seeff, L. B. (2006). Peginterferon and ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C. N. Engl. J. Med. 355, 2444–2451.
- Husser, L., Alves, M. P., Ruggli, N., and Summerfield, A. (2011). Identification of the role of RIG-I, MDA-5 and TLR3 in sensing RNA viruses in porcine epithelial cells using lentivirus-driven RNA interference. *Virus Res.* 159, 9–16. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2011.04.005

- IRAC (1985). Government Principles for Utilization and Care of Vertebrate Animals Used in Testing, Research, and Training.
- Kim, S. M., Kim, S. K., Park, J. H., Lee, K. N., Ko, Y. J., Lee, H. S., et al. (2014). A recombinant adenovirus bicistronically expressing porcine interferonalpha and interferon-gamma enhances antiviral effects against foot-andmouth disease virus. *Antiviral Res.* 104, 52–58. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2014. 01.014
- Knowles, N. J., and Samuel, A. R. (2003). Molecular epidemiology of footand-mouth disease virus. Virus Res. 91, 65–80. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1702(02) 00260-5
- Lazear, H. M., Schoggins, J. W., and Diamond, M. S. (2019). Shared and distinct functions of Type I and Type III Interferons. *Immunity* 50, 907–923. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.025
- Livak, K. J., and Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* 25, 402–408. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001. 1262
- Lukaszewski, R. A., and Brooks, T. J. (2000). Pegylated alpha interferon is an effective treatment for virulent venezuelan equine encephalitis virus and has profound effects on the host immune response to infection. J. Virol. 74, 5006– 5015. doi: 10.1128/.74.11.5006-5015.2000
- Maree, F., de Klerk-Lorist, L. M., Gubbins, S., Zhang, F., Seago, J., Perez-Martin, E., et al. (2016). Differential persistence of foot-and-mouth disease virus in african buffalo is related to virus virulence. *J. Virol.* 90, 5132–5140. doi: 10.1128/jvi. 00166-16
- Medina, G. N., Azzinaro, P., Ramirez-Medina, E., Gutkoska, J., Fang, Y., Diaz-San Segundo, F., et al. (2020a). Impairment of the DeISGylation activity of footand-mouth disease virus lpro causes attenuation in vitro and in vivo. J. Virol. 94:e0341-20.
- Medina, G. N., de Los Santos, T., and Diaz-San Segundo, F. (2020b). Use of IFN-based biotherapeutics to harness the host against footand-mouth disease. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 7:465. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020. 00465
- Moraes, M. P., Chinsangaram, J., Brum, M. C., and Grubman, M. J. (2003). Immediate protection of swine from foot-and-mouth disease: a combination of adenoviruses expressing interferon alpha and a foot-and-mouth disease virus subunit vaccine. *Vaccine* 22, 268–279. doi: 10.1016/s0264-410x(03) 00560-7
- Moraes, M. P., de Los Santos, T., Koster, M., Turecek, T., Wang, H., Andreyev, V. G., et al. (2007). Enhanced antiviral activity against foot-and-mouth disease virus by a combination of type I and II porcine interferons. *J. Virol.* 81, 7124–7135. doi: 10.1128/jvi.02775-06
- OIE (2018). Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals. 3.1.8 Foot-and-Mouth Disease. Paris: OIE.
- Ortiz, M. A., Espino-Paisan, L., Nunez, C., Alvarez-Lafuente, R., and Urcelay, E. (2018). New life to an old treatment: pegylated interferon beta 1a in the management of multiple sclerosis. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 25, 3272–3283. doi: 10. 2174/0929867325666180226105612
- Perez-Martin, E., Diaz-San Segundo, F., Weiss, M., Sturza, D. F., Dias, C. C., Ramirez-Medina, E., et al. (2014). Type III interferon protects swine against foot-and-mouth disease. *J. Interf. Cytokine Res.* 34, 810–821. doi: 10.1089/jir. 2013.0112
- Pulido, M. R., Martinez-Salas, E., Sobrino, F., and Saiz, M. (2020). MDA5 cleavage by the Leader protease of foot-and-mouth disease virus reveals its pleiotropic effect against the host antiviral response. *Cell Death Dis.* 11:718.
- Quattrocchi, V., Pappalardo, J. S., Langellotti, C., Smitsaart, E., Fondevila, N., and Zamorano, P. (2014). Early protection against foot-and-mouth disease virus in cattle using an inactivated vaccine formulated with montanide ESSAI IMS D 12802 VG PR adjuvant. *Vaccine* 32, 2167–2172. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014. 02.061
- Ramanathan, P., Zhu, J. J., Bishop, E. A., Puckette, M. C., Hartwig, E., Grubman, M. J., et al. (2015). A colorimetric bioassay for high-throughput and cost-effectively assessing anti-foot-and-mouth disease virus activity. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 164, 74–78. doi: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2015. 01.005
- Ramirez-Carvajal, L., Diaz-San Segundo, F., Ramirez-Medina, E., Rodriguez, L. L., and de Los Santos, T. (2016). Constitutively active IRF7/IRF3 fusion protein

completely protects swine against foot-and mouth-disease. J. Virol. 90, 8809-8821. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00800-16

- Rusinova, I., Forster, S., Yu, S., Kannan, A., Masse, M., Cumming, H., et al. (2013). Interferome v2.0: an updated database of annotated interferon-regulated genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D1040–D1046.
- Su, C., Duan, X., Zheng, J., Liang, L., Wang, F., and Guo, L. (2013). IFN-alpha as an adjuvant for adenovirus-vectored FMDV subunit vaccine through improving the generation of T follicular helper cells. *PLoS One* 8:e66134. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0066134
- Takaoka, A., and Yanai, H. (2006). Interferon signalling network in innate defence. *Cell Microbiol.* 8, 907–922. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006. 00716.x
- Visser, L. J., Aloise, C., Swatek, K. N., Medina, G. N., Olek, K. M., Rabouw, H. H., et al. (2020). Dissecting distinct proteolytic activities of FMDV Lpro implicates cleavage and degradation of RLR signaling proteins, not its deISGylase/DUB activity, in type I interferon suppression. *PLoS Pathog.* 16:e1008702. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008702
- Wang, D., Fang, L., Li, P., Sun, L., Fan, J., Zhang, Q., et al. (2011). The leader proteinase of foot-and-mouth disease virus negatively regulates the type I interferon pathway by acting as a viral deubiquitinase. *J. Virol.* 85, 3758–3766. doi: 10.1128/jvi.02589-10

Woo, A. S. J., Kwok, R., and Ahmed, T. (2017). Alpha-interferon treatment in hepatitis B. Ann. Transl. Med. 5:159.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that this study was partially funded by Elanco Animal Health, Inc. The study was conceived and led by FDSS and TDLS who together with Elanco Animal Health discussed the design, analysis and interpretation of results, and wrote and submitted the manuscript for publication.

KL, JS, and TH are employees at Elanco Animal Health, Inc.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Diaz-San Segundo, Medina, Azzinaro, Gutkoska, Mogulothu, Attreed, Lombardi, Shields, Hudock and de los Santos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.