#### **Original Article - Endourology/Urolithiasis**

Investig Clin Urol 2018;59:383-391. https://doi.org/10.4111/icu.2018.59.6.383 pISSN 2466-0493 • eISSN 2466-054X



# Study on the prevalence and incidence of urolithiasis in Korea over the last 10 years: An analysis of National Health Insurance Data

#### Joon Se Jung, Chang Hee Han, Sangrak Bae

Department of Urology, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Uijeongbu, Korea

**Purpose:** We aimed to analyze the incidence and prevalence of urolithiasis in Korea over the last decade using the National Health Insurance (NHI) sample cohort data.

**Materials and Methods:** From January 2002 to December 2013, we enrolled sample cohort data from the NHI. Patients diagnosed with international classification of diseases code N20 or N13.2 were included. The incidence and prevalence rate was counted from the same period and patients previously diagnosed with urolithiasis were excluded. We compared the incidence and prevalence of urolithiasis by region, age, and sex, and identified the changes.

**Results:** Total 1,111,828 subjects were included. Of these subjects, 36,857 had urolithiasis. The male-to-female ratio was 1.57:1, and total incidence rate was 3.27 per 1,000 person-years (1,000p-yrs). The annual incidence was lowest in 2013 (3,138 patients) and highest in 2005 (3,751 patients). Incidence rate by diagnostic code was highest in ureter stone only (2.49 per 1,000p-yrs) and was lowest in kidney and ureter stone both (0.17 per 1,000p-yrs). Prevalence gradually increased from 3,172 in 2002 and 5,758 in 2013. Jeollanam-do had the highest incidence rate of 3.70 persons per 1,000p-yrs, and Jeju had the lowest rate of 2.84 persons per 1,000p-yrs. In gender analysis, Daegu had the highest incidence (4.56) in males, Jeollanam-do had the highest incidence (3.20) in females.

**Conclusions:** Annual incidence remained stable, whereas prevalence gradually increased. The incidence in male was 1.57 times higher than female, and the peak incidence age was 45–49 years, with the highest incidence occurring in Jeollanam-do and the lowest in Jeju.

Keywords: Incidence; Prevalence; Urolithiasis

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### INTRODUCTION

Urolithiasis is a relatively common urologic disease that significantly affects the quality of life of patients due to pain and high recurrence rate [1]. The etiology and cause of urolithiasis remain unclear. However, its incidence varies depending on the region, and its prevalence varies according to age and environmental conditions in the same region. In Korea, the number of patients with urinary stone is increasing due to improvement in living standards and

Received: 3 May, 2018 • Accepted: 26 September, 2018 Corresponding Author: Sangrak Bae Department of Urology, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, 271 Cheonbo-ro, Uijeongbu 11765, Korea TEL: +82-31-820-3128, FAX: +82-31-847-6133, E-mail: robinbae97@catholic.ac.kr ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8364-704X

#### Jung et al

## **ICUROLOGY**

westernization of dietary habits. Because epidemiologic studies of the incidence of urolithiasis are difficult to target large-scale specific groups or regions, most studies are performed retrospectively for patients diagnosed at a hospital for a certain period of time.

Raheem et al. [2] reported the increasing prevalence of the urinary stone and the changes in the treatment of stone over time. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy, rigid or flexible ureteroscopic stone removal, laparoscopic surgery, percutaneous nephrolithotomy, and open surgery are generally performed for the treatment of urinary stones, and minimally invasive surgical methods are gradually increasing. In the United States, the cost of stone treatment is estimated to be US \$ 3,791,000,000 in 2007.

In some countries, the health insurance system is directly operated by the state. Korea has a health insurance system, which covers nearly 97.3% of the total population. By using the medical service, personal information of the target people, such as age, sex, area, frequency of use, type of treatment, and income level, is obtained. In addition, information about the medical service, such as the diagnosis, diagnostic instrument or test used, treatment used, and prescription is collected.

Many countries have analyzed various diseases in the field of urology using national insurance data [3-5]. Several multicenter studies on urological diseases have been conducted in Korea, but national survey or population-based studies are lacking. However, after publishing a big data from the Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service (HIRA) in 2012 [6], the National Health Insurance (NHI) Service releases Standard Cohort Data (SCD) for 11 years [7-9] and population-based epidemiology studies have also been reported in Korea.

Therefore, in this study we aimed to analyze the demographic aspects, such as age-specific subdivisions, incidence by region, incidence by sex, and prevalence or urolithiasis in Korea, using standard cohort data.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### 1. Ethical

Written informed consent was exempted under IRB approval. The reason of exemption is as follows. Research involving the collection or study of existing data (collected prior to the research for purposes other than the research) if the data is publicly available or recorded by the investigator in such a manner that the subjects cannot be identified. Institutional ethics review was sought and approved by Catholic University of Korea, Institutional Review Board (approval number: UC14RISE0160).

#### 2. NHI-SCD

The NHI-SCD have slight annual changes in sample size due to different factors, such as decrease by death



**Fig. 1.** Structure of the National Health Insurance-Standard cohort database (DB).

or loss of qualification, and increase by births or gain of nationality. Therefore, the cohort data comprise a dynamic database (DB). The DB maintains about one million samples per year. Approximately one million people are randomly selected among the entire population and added to the increase factors, such as newborns or qualification, to prevent decrease in size of the cohort due to death and disqualification, among others. The cohort data were from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2013 (Fig. 1).

The demographic characteristics include sex, age (5-yearold age group classification), residential area, household information, disability, death, reasons for death, and medical care. A total of 16 area codes were assigned, and for the income brackets, the regional and workplace subscribers were classified into 10 groups. In cases of medical use, information of medical institution and medical expenses, examination results, mental health, oral health, accident/ poisoning, chronic disease, and other diseases were obtained and included in our cohort data. Lifestyle information, such as smoking, drinking, obesity, exercise, and physical activity, was also included.

In terms of diseases, the cohort data were based on the international classification of disease 10th edition, clinical modification (ICD-10-CM).

The total number of the population was 47,851,928, and those without income were excluded. Thus, true population of the cohort was 46,605,433. Gender-age-income level stratified proportional allocation stratified random sampling was used to calculate 1,476 stratified population. The following formula was followed:

$$n_{ijk} = \left(\frac{N_{ijk}}{nN}\right)n$$

 $n_{ijk}$ =Number of stratified samples  $N_{ijk}$ =Number of stratified population n=Total number of samples N=Total number of population

As a result, the total number of samples was 1,025,340 (2.2% of the population), consisting of 994,627 workers in the workplace/area and 30,713 in medical care.

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS Institute (9.3 version; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All data was reviewed by Department of Medical Statistics, Catholic University of Korea.

#### 3. Incidence

To calculate the incidence rate, the subjects were qualified as standard cohort DB from January 1, 2003 to

Investig Clin Urol 2018;59:383-391.

December 31, 2012. The index date of cohort was defined as the point at which the sample cohort was first qualified for the study period (2003–2013) (defined as the qualification DB base year, January 1 of the relevant year). Patients with urinary stones were defined as those with N20 or N132 on ICD-10-CM as the primary diagnosis after the index date, and the first occurrence date during the follow-up period was defined as the outcome date. Those who had been diagnosed with urolithiasis (N20 or N13.2 on ICD-10-CM) before the date of cohort entry were excluded. The ending date was the earliest date of event occurrence, death, or loss of eligibility criteria. N20 on ICD-10-CM included all the lower diagnosis categories N200, 201, 202, and 209.

#### 1) Total incidence rate

Total incidence rate

=

#### 2) Incidence rate by gender

Incidence rate by gender

= The number of patients with urolithiasis occurence (male or female) Total follow-up period of subjects in each gender (male or female)

#### 3) Incidence rate by age

To calculate the incidence by 5 year age group:

Incidence rate in age group

#### 4) Incidence rate by region

Korea was divided into 16 areas in the NHI-SCD. At the time of entry into the cohort, it was divided into city-state classification codes of qualification standard. At each area, the incidence rate was calculated:

Incidence rate in area

#### 4. Prevalence

=

The prevalence study included all NHI-SCD subjects. We

defined N20 or N13.2 as a primary or secondary diagnosis on an analysis year basis.

#### Prevalence

= The number of patients with urolithiasis during that year The number of NHI-SCD subjects in that year

### RESULTS

#### 1. Incidence rate

A total of 1,114,997 persons were eligible for the cohort of the Health Insurance Corporation from January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2012. Of these patients, 1,111,828 subjects were finally included, excluding those who had been previously diagnosed with urinary stone before entering the cohort.

#### 1) Total incidence

The total number of patients with urinary tract stones was 36,857. The total duration of the study was 11,285,820.9 person-years, and the total incidence was 3.27 per 1,000 person-years.

#### 2) Incidence rate by diagnostic code, gender, 5-year age group, and year

Urolithiasis occurrence was reported in 22,530 males and 14,327 females. The total observation periods were 5,617,629.17 person-years in male and 5,668,191.73 in female. The incidence rates were 4.01 per 1,000 person-years in male and 2.53 per 1,000 person-years in female. The male-to-female ratio was 1.57:1.

Total 6 diagnostic codes were used for statistical analysis (N13.2, N20.0, N20.1, N20.2, N20.9, and N20). The definition for the codes are mentioned in Table 1. N20 code decreased dramatically since 2007, since it was no longer recommended

# **ICUROLOGY**

for usage due to its unspecific definition. In both sex, calculus of ureter only (N20.1), comprised the largest proportion of total diagnosis (58.2% in male, 54.3% in female) with incidence rate of 2.49 per 1,000 person-years. On the other hand, N20.2 code defined as kidney stones conjoined with ureteral stones, had the lowest incidence of 0.17 per person-years.

By age group of 5 years, the 45–49 age group comprised the largest number of patients (128% of the total patients), and overall, the shape of the normal distribution curve is shown (Fig. 2). However, the highest incidence was found in the 50–54 age group in the male, and the highest incidence was in the 55–59 age group in the female (Table 2, Fig. 3). In yearly incidence, 3,751 patients in 2005 accounted for 10.2% of the patients who developed stones during the study period. The smallest number of cases occurred in 2013 (3,138 patients, 8.5%) (Fig. 4).

The incidence rate by region was divided into 16 regions nationwide. The highest number of patients with urolithiasis



Fig. 2. Incidence of urolithiasis in patients by 5-year age group.

T	D - f - 1+1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		the state of the second second second				·	
Ianie I	Definition	and total n	limber of (	liadnosis	incidence rate i	ner I UUU	nerson-	vearinv	חבוח /	nostic codes
TUDIC I.	Deminition	und totuin	uninger of c	alugitosis,	menacinee rute (		person	ycui) Nj	alug	nostic coucs

	Definition	Total n	umber	Incidence rate	
ICD Code	Demition	Male	Female	Male	Female
N13.2	Hydronephrosis with renal and ureteral calculous obstruction	1,503	877	0.26	0.15
N20.0	Calculus of kidney	5,746	3,702	1.00	0.64
N20.1	Calculus of ureter	18,084 (58.2)	10,220 (54.3)	3.20	1.79
N20.2	Calculus of kidney with calculus of ureter	1,253	744	0.22	0.13
N20.9	Urinary calculus, unspecified	2,446	1,895	0.43	0.33
N20 <sup>a</sup>	Calculus of kidney and ureter	2,040	1,400	0.36	0.24
	Total	31,072	18,838	5.46	3.29

Values are presented as number only or number (%).

ICD, international classification of diseases.

<sup>a</sup>:N20 code is no longer used nowadays due to its unspecific definition.

#### Table 2. Comparison of incidence rates by age group

		Male	Female				
Age group	Observation period (person-years)	No. of occurrence	Incidence rate (/1,000 person-year)	Observation period (person-years)	No. of occurrence	Incidence rate (/1,000 person-year)	
0	304,738	6	0.02	284,304	1	0.00	
1–4	275,141	21	0.08	250,678	8	0.03	
5–9	420,066	47	0.11	378,284	36	0.10	
10-14	420,987	144	0.34	374,213	97	0.26	
15–19	381,872	493	1.29	350,630	327	0.93	
20–24	455,724	830	1.82	454,817	606	1.33	
25–29	437,824	1,717	3.92	433,679	749	1.73	
30–34	518,300	2,461	4.75	511,558	1,077	2.11	
35–39	490,942	2,761	5.62	483,271	1,285	2.66	
40-44	501,996	2,885	5.75	491,071	1,521	3.10	
45–49	411,564	2,911	7.07	404,737	1,809	4.47	
50–54	286,072	2,575	9.00	290,160	1,804	6.22	
55–59	226,695	1,870	8.25	241,595	1,564	6.47	
60–64	201,851	1,483	7.35	240,441	1,244	5.17	
65–69	143,085	1,107	7.74	193,778	954	4.92	
70–74	77,326	687	8.88	137,453	637	4.63	
75–79	38,621	338	8.75	81,475	360	4.42	
80-84	18,243	138	7.56	45,025	166	3.69	
≥85	6,584	56	8.51	21,022	82	3.90	



Fig. 3. Gender incidence by ages.

was found in Seoul (7,704 patients) and Gyeonggi-do (7,514 patients). Of the 16 cities and provinces, Jeollanam-do had the highest incidence of 3.70 per 1,000 person-years, and Jeju had the lowest incidence of 2.84 per 1,000 person-years (Fig. 5). In subgroup analysis by sex, Daegu had the highest incidence (4.56) in males, and Jeollanam-do had the highest incidence (3.20) in females. Jeju had the lowest incidence in both sex (3.39 in male, 2.30 in female).

#### 2. Prevalence

The prevalence of urinary stone has increased yearly



Fig. 4. Number of patients by year.

from 0.31% in 2002 to 0.57% in 2013, an increase of 1.84 times over 10 years (Fig. 6A). The prevalence in male increased from 0.37% in 2002 to 0.75% in 2013, while in female it was from 0.25% in 2002 to 0.39% in 2013. The male-to-female ratios were 1.44:1 in 2002, and it increased up to 1.93:1 in 2013. The prevalence rate in male is rapidly increasing than in female (Fig. 6B). The prevalence rate in was the highest in Jeollanam-do (0.52%) and the lowest in Jeju (0.40%). In male, Daegu had the highest prevalence (0.70%) an Jeju had the lowest (0.50%). In female, Jeollanam-do (0.43%) was the highest while Jeju (0.29%) had the lowest prevalence.

## DISCUSSION

The word urolithiasis is made up of a combination of the word 'oûron', which refers to the ancient Greek word urine, and 'lithos', which means stone. Therefore, urolithiasis occurs everywhere in the urinary tract and is closely related to urine. Renal stone was first found in ancient Egyptian mummy [10]. Thus, it has always been part of life and long history of mankind. Urolithiasis is a disease with various



Fig. 5. Incidence rate of 16 major administrative districts.

clinical symptoms including severe pain, hematuria, and urinary tract infection. It occurs worldwide, resulting in high costs and other problems. There are various claims about the causes of the onset, and many studies are still being carried out. The accepted risk factors include gender, age, regional characteristics, racial characteristics, eating habits, degree of water intake, obesity and comorbidity [11-14].

In North America, the prevalence of kidney stones in adults was about 3.2% from 1976 to 1980 [15], but it more than doubled to 8.8% from 2007 to 2008. In Europe, the prevalence was increased by 4.8%–6.2% [16]. In Japan, Yasui et al. [17] reported that the incidence of urolithiasis was 134.0 per 100,000 in 2005, which was slightly lower than that in western countries.

In our study, we made a subgroup analysis using diagnostic codes. In UK, renal stone (N200) composed the largest proportion of total urolithiasis [18]. However, in our study, ureter stone (N20.1) composed more than half of total diagnosis, and renal stone was the second most common diagnosis. This difference could not be exactly explained but we could focus on some epidemiological differences in both countries. Also the sum of total diagnostic code (49,910) surpasses the number of patients diagnosed (36,857). This could be explained by patient's recurrence which was not analyzed in this study, and several cases of a patient having multiple diagnosis associated with urolithiasis. In further studies, we aim to review about the exact recurrence using more precise model of this cohort.

Bae et al. [6] reported that the prevalence rate of HIRA-National Patients Sample was the highest at 60–69 years. Similar results were obtained by Tae et al. [9]. We found a similar but slightly different result. The peak incidence group was 50–54 years, which was slightly younger than the





Total

Male

Fig. 6. Prevalence analysis. (A) Total prevalence by year in each sex. (B) Comparison between prevalence rates in total, male and female.

В

0.8

0.7

2013

previous studies, and the incident rate was still high in elder groups but tend to decrease slightly than the 50–54 years group.

As a well-known risk factor, male gender is prone to experience life-time episode of urolithiasis. The same result was also observed in our cohort study. However, the exact pathophysiology of gender difference in urolithiasis remains uncertain [19]. Some studies stressed on the protective effect of estrogen, while others concluded testosterone as a risk factor. In some studies, estrogen replacement therapy seems to be a risk factor for urolithiasis [20]. In our study, by agesubgroup analysis of each gender, females tended to show a dramatic rise from the age of 45–49 reaching the peak incidence in the age group of 55-59. This age matches the period of menopause in Korean females [21]. And unlike the male group the incidence tends to decrease after reaching the peak incidence in age group of 55-59. Therefore, urolithiasis and hormonal changes in peri-menopausal women seem to have etiological correlation, but the exact role of sex hormones or exogenous hormonal replacement remains to be evaluated.

In our study, we performed a sub-analysis divided by province in Korea. The most interesting result of this subanalysis was that although the provinces, Jeollanam-do and Gyeongsangnam-do, are located in the same latitude and have very similar meteorologic factors, they showed significant differences in the incidence of urolithiasis (3.70 vs. 3.26). For further explanation, major etiologic factors such as ethnicity, gender, and age, were compared and showed no differences in both provinces [22]. Daily dietary sodium intake was similar in Gyeongsang-do and Jeolla-do (4,798.1 mg vs. 4,605.7 mg) [23]. The cause of the differences in the findings remains unclear, however, further evaluation including precise investigation of dietary habits will be conducted in the future. Jeju Island, which is located in the southernmost part of Korea, showed the lowest incidence among all the provinces analyzed. In the USA, the southern area had higher prevalence than the northeastern and western areas [15]. In other studies, increase in average temperature leads to a significant increase in renal colic episodes [24]. Park et al. [25] reported that temperature over 18°C is a risk factor for urinary stone attack incidence. However, Jeju has significantly higher average temperature than Seoul but has relatively higher humidity and higher precipitation than the main Korean peninsula [26]. In some studies, renal colic and humidity showed less correlation or weaker correlation with urolithiasis [27]. On the other hand, Dallas et al. [28] implied the effect of precipitation as a protective factor in urolithiasis. Having higher humidity and higher precipitation, insensible loss of fluid through sweating could be decreased, acting as a protective factor in stone formation. Studies combining climate and urolithiasis have proven that temperature itself is a major risk factor for urinary stone formation. However, in the case of Jeju, precipitation and humidity could be strong protective factors for the incidence of urolithiasis. With further cohort and climate matching studies, the relationship between rain and urinary stone could be clarified.

Dietary habit or sodium intake is one of the most important factors of urinary stone formation [29]. Korea, having almost homogenous ethnicity and relatively small differences in climate among provinces, might be one of the best candidates to analyze dietary impact on stone formation with less bias. However, the current nutritional studies in Korea are based on a relatively small number of cohort (estimated about 20,000) compared with a stone cohort, and are mostly based on patient questionnaires, thus, quantitative measures could be less precise than expected. Further study models with more precise dietary analysis combined with a national cohort study might reveal further more association with stone formation and food intake.

As mentioned above, our analysis had some limitations. First, recurrence rate could not be measured because final treatment result was not included in the cohort. Thus, a person with the same urinary stone with different timing of diagnosis could not be distinguished with a person with newly formed urinary stone. Second, the cause of regional differences could be only hypothesized but not identified. Only rough data of the total sodium intake was available, and more precise measurement data, including water, salt, and dietary protein intake, which could be major risk factors, were absent. However, the dietary habits of the sample and the actual incidence rate are possible to correlate by analyzing the regional samples through additional analysis and investigation. Thus, establishment of a preventive diet or determination of a salt, meat, and water intake for Koreans is possible.

### CONCLUSIONS

The mean incidence number of urolithiasis was 3,350 each year, which remained almost the same every year during the analysis period. The prevalence rate increased from 3,172 in 2002 to 5,758 in 2013. The incidence in male was 1.57 times higher than in female, and it is most prevalent among aged 45–49 years, with the highest incidence occurring in Jeollanam-do and the lowest in Jeju.

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors have nothing to disclose.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the clinical research institute of the Catholic University of Korea, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital.

## REFERENCES

- Bryant M, Angell J, Tu H, Goodman M, Pattaras J, Ogan K. Health related quality of life for stone formers. J Urol 2012;188: 436-40.
- Raheem OA, Khandwala YS, Sur RL, Ghani KR, Denstedt JD. Burden of Urolithiasis: trends in prevalence, treatments, and costs. Eur Urol Focus 2017;3:18-26.
- 3. Kamel MH, Tao J, Su J, Khalil MI, Bissada NK, Schurhamer B, et al. Survival outcomes of organ sparing surgery, partial penectomy, and total penectomy in pathological T1/T2 penile cancer: report from the National Cancer Data Base. Urol Oncol 2018;36:82.e7-15.
- Antonelli JA, Maalouf NM, Pearle MS, Lotan Y. Use of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey to calculate the impact of obesity and diabetes on cost and prevalence of urolithiasis in 2030. Eur Urol 2014;66:724-9.
- 5. Kim SH, Joung JY, Suh YS, Kim YA, Hong JH, Kuark TS, et al. Prevalence and survival prognosis of prostate cancer in patients with end-stage renal disease: a retrospective study based on the Korea national database (2003-2010). Oncotarget 2017;8:64250-62.
- Bae SR, Seong JM, Kim LY, Paick SH, Kim HG, Lho YS, et al. The epidemiology of reno-ureteral stone disease in Koreans: a nationwide population-based study. Urolithiasis 2014;42:109-14.
- Kang HW, Seo SP, Ha YS, Kim WT, Kim YJ, Yun SJ, et al. Chronological trends in clinical and urinary metabolic features over 20 years in Korean urolithiasis patients. J Korean Med Sci 2017;32:1496-501.
- Park J, Suh B, Lee MS, Woo SH, Shin DW. National practice pattern and time trends in treatment of upper urinary tract calculi in Korea: a nationwide population-based study. J Korean Med Sci 2016;31:1989-95.
- Tae BS, Balpukov U, Cho SY, Jeong CW. Eleven-year cumulative incidence and estimated lifetime prevalence of urolithiasis in Korea: a National Health Insurance Service-national sample cohort based study. J Korean Med Sci 2018;33:e13.
- 10. Modlin M. A history of urinary stone. S Afr Med J 1980;58:652-

5.

- Ansari MS, Gupta NP. Impact of socioeconomic status in etiology and management of urinary stone disease. Urol Int 2003; 70:255-61.
- Bartoletti R, Cai T, Mondaini N, Melone F, Travaglini F, Carini M, et al. Epidemiology and risk factors in urolithiasis. Urol Int 2007;79 Suppl 1:3-7.
- 13. Ferrari P, Piazza R, Ghidini N, Bisi M, Galizia G, Ferrari G. Lithiasis and risk factors. Urol Int 2007;79 Suppl 1:8-15.
- 14. Trinchieri A. Epidemiological trends in urolithiasis: impact on our health care systems. Urol Res 2006;34:151-6.
- Stamatelou KK, Francis ME, Jones CA, Nyberg LM, Curhan GC. Time trends in reported prevalence of kidney stones in the United States: 1976-1994. Kidney Int 2003;63:1817-23.
- Indridason OS, Birgisson S, Edvardsson VO, Sigvaldason H, Sigfusson N, Palsson R. Epidemiology of kidney stones in Iceland: a population-based study. Scand J Urol Nephrol 2006;40:215-20.
- Yasui T, Okada A, Hamamoto S, Hirose M, Ando R, Kubota Y, et al. The association between the incidence of urolithiasis and nutrition based on Japanese National Health and Nutrition Surveys. Urolithiasis 2013;41:217-24.
- Turney BW, Reynard JM, Noble JG, Keoghane SR. Trends in urological stone disease. BJU Int 2012;109:1082-7.
- Knoedler JJ, Krambeck AE, Astorne W, Bergstralh E, Lieske J. Sex steroid hormone levels may not explain gender differences in development of nephrolithiasis. J Endourol 2015;29:1341-5.
- 20. Maalouf NM, Sato AH, Welch BJ, Howard BV, Cochrane BB, Sakhaee K, et al. Postmenopausal hormone use and the risk of nephrolithiasis: results from the women's health initiative hormone therapy trials. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:1678-85.
- 21. Park HA, Park JK, Park SA, Lee JS. Age, menopause, and cardiovascular risk factors among Korean middle-aged women: the 2005 Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2010;19:869-76.
- KOSIS. Population by age and sex Korean statistical information service 2016 [Internet]. Daejeon: KOSIS; 2017 Aug 31 [cited 2018 Apr 30]. Available from: http://kosis.kr/statHtml/ statHtml.do?orgId=101&tblId=DT\_1IN1603&conn\_path=I2.
- 23. Park TH, Chung SJ. A comparison of sources of sodium and potassium intake by gender, age and regions in Koreans: Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2010-2012. Korean J Community Nutr 2016;21:558-73.
- 24. Gaziev G, Asimakopoulos AD, Wadhwa K, Topazio L, Germani S, Miano R. The influence of environmental conditions on the incidence of renal colic in Rome. Urologia 2016;83:77-82.
- 25. Park HK, Bae SR, Kim SE, Choi WS, Paick SH, Ho K, et al. The

effect of climate variability on urinary stone attacks: increased incidence associated with temperature over 18°C: a population-based study. Urolithiasis 2015;43:89-94.

- 26. KMA. Mean temperature, daily precipitation, mean relative humidity in Seoul & Jeju National Climate Data Service System 2016 [Internet]. Seoul: KMA; 2016 [cited 2018 Apr 30]. Available from: http://sts.kma.go.kr/jsp/home/contents/statistics/ newStatisticsSearch.do?menu=SFC&MNU=MNU.
- 27. Eisner BH, Sheth S, Herrick B, Pais VM Jr, Sawyer M, Miller N, et al. The effects of ambient temperature, humidity and season

of year on urine composition in patients with nephrolithiasis. BJU Int 2012;110:E1014-7.

- Dallas KB, Conti S, Liao JC, Sofer M, Pao AC, Leppert JT, et al. Redefining the stone belt: precipitation is associated with increased risk of urinary stone disease. J Endourol 2017;31:1203-10.
- 29. Ryu HY, Lee YK, Park J, Son H, Cho SY. Dietary risk factors for urolithiasis in Korea: a case-control pilot study. Investig Clin Urol 2018;59:106-11.