www.bjcancer.com

Epstein-Barr virus as a marker of biological aggressiveness in breast cancer

C Mazouni^{*,1,2,6}, F Fina^{1,6}, S Romain¹, L Ouafik³, P Bonnier⁴, J-M Brandone⁵ and P-M Martin¹

¹Laboratoire de transfert d'oncologie biologique, Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Marseille, Faculté de Médecine Nord, Marseille, France; ²Département de chirurgie générale, Institut Gustave Roussy, 114 rue Edouard Vaillant, Villejuif 94805, France; ³UMR 911 CRO2: Centre de Recherche en Oncologie biologique et Oncopharmacologie, Marseille F-13344, France; ⁴Département d'oncologie chirurgicale mammaire et gynécologique, clinique Beauregard, Marseille, France; ⁵Départment de chirurgie gynécologique, clinique Bouchard, Marseille, France

PURPOSE: Although a potential role of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) in the pathogenesis of breast cancer (BC) has been underlined, results remain conflicting. Particularly, the impact of EBV infection on biological markers of BC has received little investigation. METHODS: In this study, we established the frequency of EBV-infected BC using real-time quantitative PCR (RT–PCR) in 196 BC specimens. Biological and pathological characteristics according to EBV status were evaluated.

RESULTS: EBV DNA was present in 65 of the 196 (33.2%) cases studied. EBV-positive BCs tended to be tumours with a more aggressive phenotype, more frequently oestrogen receptor negative (P = 0.05) and with high histological grade (P = 0.01). Overexpression of thymidine kinase activity was higher in EBV-infected BC (P = 0.007). The presence of EBV was weakly associated with HER2 gene amplification (P = 0.08).

CONCLUSION: Our study provides evidence for EBV-associated BC undergoing distinct carcinogenic processes, with more aggressive features.

British Journal of Cancer (2011) **104**, 332–337. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6606048 www.bjcancer.com Published online 21 December 2010 © 2011 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: breast cancer; Epstein-Barr virus; HER2; real-time quantitative PCR; thymidine kinase

A viral aetiology is one recently evocated theory behind the physiopathology of breast cancer (BC) (Glaser *et al*, 2004; de Villiers *et al*, 2005; zur Hausen, 2009). Even though, the mechanistic aspects of cancer induction by infectious agents sound multiples, that is, immunosuppressive, linked to animal-human transmission, direct or indirect oncogenic, there are epidemiological evidences of pathogens involvement in human cancer (zur Hausen, 2009).

Among the putative viruses observed in BC tissue, the presence of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a γ -herpes virus, has been reported in a number of studies (Bonnet *et al*, 1999; Fina *et al*, 2001; Glaser *et al*, 2004). The implication of EBV in carcinogenesis associated with other cancers, such as Burkitt's lymphoma, undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma, as well as Hodgkin's disease, has been well documented (zur Hausen, 1991).

However, the presence and implication of EBV in BC remains controversial. The use of conventional technical approaches (*in situ* hybridisation, immunochemistry and standard PCR) for its detection may explain the conflicting results. Some groups have failed to detect EBV (Chang *et al*, 1992; Gaffey *et al*, 1993; Lespagnard *et al*, 1995; Chu *et al*, 1998; Glaser *et al*, 1998; Dadmanesh *et al*, 2001; Deshpande *et al*, 2002; Herrmann and Niedobitek, 2003; Perrigoue *et al*, 2005), whereas results from others show discrepancy and depended on the methodology used.

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.

For instance, although Murray et al (2003)could detect EBV nuclear antigen-1 by immunochemistry using 2B4-1 monoclonal antibody, they failed to detect the EBV genome by quantitative PCR. The reasons behind these apparently conflicting results remain to be clarified; however, technical limitations of the assays, dissimilarities in the archival materials and heterogeneity among cluster cells contaminated by the EBV genome may be same. Moreover, EBV positivity has been linked to the presence of latently infected lymphocytes in the tumours (Horiuchi et al, 1994; Brink et al, 2000) thus, questioning the role of EBV in BC (Chu et al, 2001). However, in accordance with other groups (Labrecque et al, 1995; Lugmani and Shousha, 1995; Bonnet et al, 1999; Chu et al, 2001; Huang et al, 2003; Preciado et al, 2005; Arbach et al, 2006; Perkins et al, 2006; Tsai et al, 2007), we have shown the presence of EBV genetic information in a subset of BC tissue with a specific localisation in the epithelial malignant cells (Fina et al, 2001).

Currently, real-time PCR (RT-PCR is increasingly being used for both research and clinical applications. For BC in particular, the detection of *HER2* gene amplification has been validated by comparison with conventional methods, such as FISH (Lamy *et al*, 2006). Analysis using RT-PCR might also help to clearly identify the presence of EBV in BC. However, the use of whole tissue can result in the risk of contamination and this risk has been corrected with the introduction of laser-assisted microdissection (Fina *et al*, 2001). In studies on formalin-fixed sections, micro- and macrodissected breast tumours have been tested for the presence of multiple regions of the EBV genome with few actually uncovering the viral sequence (McCall *et al*, 2001; Thorne *et al*, 2005). Interestingly, by *in situ* hybridisation using a (35)S-labelled

^{*}Correspondence: Dr C Mazouni; E-mail: chafika.mazouni@igr.fr

Revised 12 October 2010; accepted 16 November 2010; published online 21 December 2010

riboprobe for Epstein-Barr encoded RNA 1 and a laser capture microdissection on frozen samples, combined with quantitative PCR (Q-PCR), we showed that EBV localisation was restricted to certain tumour epithelial cell clusters (Fina *et al*, 2001). In accordance with our findings, Arbach *et al* (2006) observed that viral load is variable between tumours and is heterogeneously distributed among morphologically identical tumour cells, some clusters containing high genome numbers compared with others negative for EBV genome within the same specimen.

In the present study, we hypothesised that EBV-infected BC cells might behave differently in comparison to those negative for EBV. In order to test this, we sought to (i) measure the frequency of EBV positivity using RT-PCR and (ii) to compare the biological phenotype of EBV-negative and EBV-positive tumours.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This study involved 196 primary invasive breast carcinomas, with clinical and pathological characteristics as outlined in Table 1.

 Table I
 Frequency of EBV positivity according to patient and tumour characteristics

Characteristics	n	EBV+ (%) (n = 65)	EBV- (%) (n = 131)	P-value
All	196	33.2	66.8	
Age (years) <50 ≥50	54 142	17 (31.5) 34 (33.8)	37 (68.5) 63 (66.2)	NS
Tumour size (pT) <2 cm >2 cm	99 97	31 (31.3) 34 (35.0)	68 (68.7) 63 (65.0)	NS
Nodal status (pN) N— N+	120 76	30.0 38.2	70.0 61.8	NS
Histological grade I II III	37 103 56	6 (16.2) 33 (32.0) 26 (46.4)	31 (83.8) 70 (68.0) 30 (53.6)	0.01
ER Negative Positive	44 152	20 (45.4) 45 (29.6)	107 (54.6) 45 (70.4)	0.05
PR Negative Positive	62 34	23 (37.1) 42 (31.3)	39 (62.9) 92 (68.7)	NS
UPA Low High	47 49	14 (34.7) 51 (28.6)	35 (65.3) 96 (71.4)	NS
PAI-1 Low High	47 49	48 (32.6) 17 (34.7)	99 (67.4) 32 (65.3)	NS
TK Low	147	24 (27.9)	25 (72.1)	0.007
High HER2 amplification	49	41 (49.0) 14	106 (51.0) 16	0.13

Abbreviations: % -, % + = percentage of tumours negative and positive for EBV, respectively; EBV = Epstein-Barr virus; ER = oestrogen receptor; NS = not significant; PAI-I = plasminogen activator inhibitor I; PR = progesterone receptor; TK = thymidine kinase; UPA = urokinase plasminogen activator.

Patients were consecutively recruited in Marseille France, between May 1996 and December 1998. Tumours were graded according to the Scarff Bloom and Richardson classification (Bloom and Richardson, 1957). Axillary lymph node status was assessed by histological examination. The local Medical Ethics Committee (IRB) approved this laboratory study on stored specimens.

Tissue specimens All tumour samples were histologically examined by a pathologist at the time of initial surgery and stored in liquid nitrogen. Frozen tissue (100 mg) was pulverised with a micro-dismembrator and the frozen powder subsequently used for DNA extraction (Sambrook *et al*, 1982). Cytosols were prepared using a Tris buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1.5 mM EDTA, 10 mM Na2MoO4, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 10% glycerol, pH 7.4).

Q-PCR analysis All Q-PCR reactions were performed on an ABI Prism 7700 sequence detection apparatus (Perkin-Elmer Corp., Foster City, CA, USA). The 5'-exonuclease (TaqMan) assay was used. Measurements were performed in duplicates. Levels of *HER2* expression were normalised to those of the somatostatin receptor type II gene *SSTR2* localised on chromosome 17 (q24) and to the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene *GAPDH* localised on chromosome 12 (p13). Levels of the *Bam*HIC sub-region of the EBV genome were also normalised to *GAPDH*. After normalisation to *GAPDH*, the between-run CVs for *Bam*HIC and *HER2* internal controls (four series) were less than 10%.

Q-PCR analysis of HER2 gene

The Q-PCR reaction conditions used have already been published (Lamy *et al*, 2006). The calibration curve was prepared from normal human genomic DNA (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Meylan, France). Data were expressed as the HER2/GAPDH and HER2/SSTR2 relative copy number ratio. The human SKBR3 and A431 cell lines, known to, respectively, display HER2 amplification or not, were used as controls.

Q-PCR analysis of the EBV genome

The Q-PCR analysis of the EBV genome was performed as previously described (Fina et al, 2001). Briefly, primers for BamHIC were: sense, 5'-AAA-CAG-GAC-AGC-CGT-TGC-C-3' (6935-6953); antisense, 5'-AAG-CCT-CTC-TTC-TCC-TTC-CCC-3' (7036-7016) and the probe was 5'-FAM-TTT-CGG-ACA-CAC-CGC-CAA-CGC-T-TAMRA-3' (6961-6983). The cycling conditions for both BamHICs were as follows: 95°C for 15 min; 45 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, and 55°C for 20 s. Amplification was performed in a 50- μ l reaction volume with a buffer consisting of 10 mmoll⁻ Tris-HCl (pH 8.3; 25°C), 50 mmoll⁻¹ KCl, 10 mmoll⁻¹ ethylene-diamine tetraacetate and 5 mmoll⁻¹ MgCl2 in the presence of 200 μ moll⁻¹ deoxy(d)-ATP, dCTP and dGTP, 400 μ moll⁻¹ dUTP, 200 nmoll⁻¹ of each primer, 200 nmoll⁻¹ probe, 1U Amp Erase UNG (Perkin-Elmer Corp.), and 1.25 U AmpliTaq Gold polymerase (Perkin-Elmer Corp.). To quantify the EBV genome load in the tissues, genomic DNA prepared from the Raji cell line, containing 50 integrated EBV copies per cell was used. Serial dilutions of DNA were prepared from 1 ng to 0.1 pg equivalent to 15000-1.5 copies of EBV genome, respectively. Absolute quantification of the BamHIC standard curve involved comparison against normal human genomic DNA (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). The calibration curve for GAPDH was directly prepared from normal human genomic DNA. BamHIC data were expressed as the number of BamHIC copies per 100 ng GAPDH. Normal human genomic DNA and controls lacking DNA always remained negative in the BamHIC Q-PCR analyses.

Biochemical assays Oestrogen receptors and progesterone receptors (PRs) (EIA, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL, USA), as well as

Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancer C Mazouni et al

urokinase plasminogen activator (UPA) and plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) (UPA Imubind no 894 and PAI-1 Immubind no 821, both from American Diagnostica, Greenwich, CT, USA) were measured with enzyme immunoassays. Thymidine kinase (TK) activity was determined by a radioenzymatic phosphorylation assay (TK-REA, Sangtec Medical, Bromma, Sweden) optimised to detect the fetal TK1 isoenzyme, as previously described (Romain et al, 1994). Quality control was assured by frequent testing with internal controls. The EORTC standards were also used for oestrogen receptor and PR (Geurts-Moespot et al, 2000).

Statistical analysis Associations between categorical variables were tested by the χ^2 -test. Relationships between categorical and continuous variables were examined using the Mann-Whitney test, or in the case of more than two ordered categories, by the Kruskal-Wallis test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant. The HER2 gene was considered amplified when the HER2/GAPDH relative copy number was \geq 2.0. A threshold value of 4.0 was used to define a strong HER2 amplification. Samples with receptor content $\geq 20 \text{ fmol mg}^{-1}$ protein were classified as oestrogen receptor or PR positive. Cut-offs corresponding to the seventyfifth percentiles in the distributions were used to dichotomise UPA, PAI-1 (Bouchet et al, 1999) and TK (Romain et al, 1995, 2000, 2001) as previously recommended.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

The demographics of the studied patients are reported in Table 1. The tumours had a diameter of more than 2 cm in 97 patients (49.5%). In all, 76 patients (38.8%) had positive axillary lymph nodes. The histological differentiation was determined as grade 3 in 56 tumours (28.6%).

HER2 gene amplification was detected in 15.3% (n = 30) of the analysed BCs, with the HER2/GAPDH ratio for amplified cases ranging from 2.0-22.1 (median 4.5). Among the HER2-amplified tumours, 43.3% (n = 13) showed moderate HER2 amplification (HER2/GAPDH ratio 2.0-4.0) and 56.7% (n = 17) a strong amplification (*HER2/GAPDH* ratio \geq 4.0).

Q-PCR analysis of the EBV genome

To ensure that the presence of EBV was related to epithelial cells, as previously described (Fina et al, 2001). Tissue sections were microdissected with a PixCell II LCM system (Arcturus Engineering, Mountain View, CA, USA). For each tumour analysed, several epithelial areas (approximatively 5×10^3 cells) were independently captured; stromal areas without infiltrating malignant epithelial cells were pooled to provide a sufficient number of GAPDH copies. Cell populations were estimated to be homogeneous as determined by microscopic visualisation. DNA from laser-captured cells was extracted and subsequently used for Q-PCR.

The presence of the BamHIC sub-region of the EBV genome was used to define EBV positivity. EBV was detected in 65 (33.2%) of the 196 investigated BCs. Among the positive tumours, the load of EBV genome varied from 0.08 to 810.8 BamHIC copies per 100 ng GAPDH (median 1.4). Fibrocystic diseases (n = 3), fibroadenomas (n=6), phyllode tumours (n=4) and normal mammary tissue obtained from mammoplasty (n=2) were also analysed. They were all found to be negative, with the exception of one phyllode tumour (0.43 BamHIC copies per 100 ng GAPDH).

Detection of the EBV genome and patient characteristics

Table 1 shows the frequency of the EBV genome according to the characteristics of the patient and tumour.

Tumour positive for EBV presented markers of proliferation. Thus, the proportion of EBV-positive samples was significantly higher among the high-grade tumours (16.2% for grade I, 32.0% for grade II and 46.4% for grade III, P = 0.01). EBV-positive samples were more frequent among those of ER-negative (45.4%) compared with ER-positive tumours (29.6%) (P = 0.05). Among the tumours with high TK, 49.0% displayed the EBV genome compared with 27.9% of those with low TK (P = 0.007). In contrast, no significant link was observed between the detection of the EBV genome and age at diagnosis, tumour size, lymph node involvement, PR, UPA or PAI-1 status.

To quantitatively assess the relation between the EBV presence and pathological markers, we have studied the load of EBV genome. We confirmed that BamHIC copy numbers were higher among high-grade tumours (P=0.006) and between those ER-negative (P = 0.01) and high TK value (P = 0.009). Other relationships were not significant (Table 2).

Detection of the EBV genome and amplification of HER2

A weak association was observed between EBV genome presence and *HER2* amplification. Subgroups with EBV – HER2 – (n = 115)

Table 2 Mean EBV load (BamHIC copies) according to biological characteristics

Characteristics	Mean EBV load (BamHIC copies per 100 ng GAPDH)	P-value
Age (years) <50 ≥50	0.79 7.84	0.42
Tumour size (pT) <2 cm ≥2 cm	1.28 10.60	0.70
Nodal status (pN) N– N+	.40 3	0.34
Histological grade I II III	0.32 2.58 15.7	0.006
ER Negative Positive	1.64 20.58	0.01
PR Negative Positive	1.83 14.67	0.20
UPA Low High	7.48 1.12	0.56
PAI-1 Low High	7.21 1.93	0.75
TK Low High No HER2 amplification HER2 amplification	1.87 17.95 27.9 1.9	0.009

Abbreviations: ER = oestrogen receptor; PAI-I = plasminogen activator inhibitor I; PR = progesterone receptor; TK = thymidine kinase; UPA = urokinase plasminogen activator

and EBV + HER2 + (n=14) status represented 65.8% of the investigated patients (P=0.09). When using the Mann–Whitney test, EBV-positive tumours showed the highest *HER2* copy numbers though the difference did not reach significance (P=0.08).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of EBV in BC, alongside possible associations with clinicopathological factors and biological tumour features that either mark the natural history of the disease or determine the therapeutic outcome. The analysed biological factors were selected on the basis of their high utility score in the tumour marker grading system (Isaacs *et al*, 2001), with evidence coming either from prospective trials or meta-analysis (ER, PR, HER2, UPA and PAI-1), or at least from large retrospective studies (TK).

The implication of EBV in the aetiology of BC has been addressed in other series, including a multicentric study carried out by our group (Fina et al, 2001). In accordance with tour analysis, the presence of EBV was showed to be restricted in the epithelial cells (Fawzy et al, 2008; Trabelsi et al, 2008; Joshi et al, 2009). EBV has been evocated along with other viruses, such as the papillomavirus (de Villiers et al, 2005; Kulka et al, 2008) or polyomavirus (Berebbi et al, 1990), as well as cytomegalovirus (Richardson et al, 2004). One of the controversies surrounding EBV as a causal agent in BC has been its potential coincidental presence as no virus-related physiopathological effects have emerged from pathological observations. However, one interesting epidemiological study provided some arguments in favour of a role and a potential explanation relating to the stage of mammary gland development. Indeed Yasui et al (2001) showed a correlation between the incidence of infectious mononucleosis and the risk of BC. Particularly, an increase in age corresponding to a later stage of mammary gland development at infectious mononucleosis onset seemed to increase the risk for BC. We have also observed this potential link between the incidence of BC and hormonal status in one of our previous studies with the polyomavirus (Berebbi et al, 1990). Our evaluation of oncogenicity in nude mice showed that mammary tumour induction was oestrogen-dependent during a short period after polyomavirus injection. This sensitivity of the mammary gland to virus exposure corresponds to an oestradiolmediated modification of the target organ occurring during ductal development (Berebbi et al, 1990). After this developmental period, the mitogenic stimulus induced by hormones is no longer necessary. The influence of hormonal environment during the critical period of mammary gland development thus determines the future carcinogenesis process and the pool of hormoneresponsive epithelial cells (Nandi et al, 1995). However, the analysis of changes in EBV immunoglobulins (Ig) showed discrepant results; thus, although Cox et al (2010) failed to show an association with the risk of BC in Ig taken before and after the development of BC, in contrast Joshi et al (2009) observed no difference that mean anti-EBNA-1 IgG levels were significantly higher in BC patients as compared with benign breast disease.

The Q-PCR method has been used here to investigate EBV in a large series of BCs. Overall, 33.2% of the 196 frozen tumours analysed contained the *Bam*HIC sub-region of the EBV genome that encodes the Epstein-Barr encoded RNAs. In our previous multi-centre study (Fina *et al*, 2001), the samples from our centre showed a positive ratio of 26.7% by standard PCR. The higher percentage of EBV-positive tumours observed in the present study may be related to the size of the tumours samples analysed, (100 mg), and to the sensitivity of Q-PCR. Two other investigators have also found EBV by PCR in frozen tissues by PCR (Labrecque *et al*, 1995; Bonnet *et al*, 1999). The absence of detection



(Gaffey et al, 1993; Lespagnard et al, 1995) or the low detection rate even by RT-PCR (McCall et al, 2001) that has elsewhere been reported elsewhere could be due to result from the use of fixed tissues. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that fixation generates both PCR-inhibitory components (Satoh et al, 1998; Kösel et al, 2001; kalkan et al, 2005) and sequence alterations (Williams et al, 1999; Amarante and Watanabe, 2009). Inhibition of the viral DNA PCR amplification was most likely the case for the study of McCall and colleagues (McCall et al, 2001). Kalkan et al (2005) and Thorne et al (2005) detected EBV genome in epithelial and also in normal cells. In these studies, low amounts of template DNA was probably used as the tissues were microdissected and DNA amplificability was controlled by HER2 detection. In our BC samples, the loads of EBV genetic information (BamHIC per 100 ng DNA) ranged far below the range of HER2 values (HER2/GAPDH copy number). The high heterogeneity in EBV detection that has been shown within individual tumours also needs to be considered (Fina et al, 2001).

In this study, we observed a difference in clinical and biological profiles between EBV-positive and EBV-negative cancers. In accordance with Tsai et al (2007) though in contrast toMurray et al (2003), we found no correlation between the presence of EBV and nodal status. Here, we have investigated markers of epithelial cells (ER, PR, grade and TK), whereas UPA and PAI-1, which are markers of stromal-epithelial interactions, associated with tumoural invasion process. In line with this result, no association was observed with the biological factors (UPA or PAI-1) related to tumour invasion. However, we did confirm that the proportion of EBV-positive samples is higher among the high-grade and the ER-negative cancers (Bonnet et al, 1999; Murray et al, 2003). These latter biological factors related to differentiation status (Rose et al, 1985; McGuire et al, 1986; Murray et al, 2003) were strongly associated with EBNA-1 as detected by immunostaining. Altogether these results confirm the epithelial presence of EBV as only correlations with epithelial markers were observed and not with the markers of stromal compartment. Interestingly, we showed a positive association between the presence of EBV and high cytosolic TK enzyme activity. High expression of this enzyme involved in the DNA synthesis salvage pathway has previously been associated with large tumour size, high histological grade and steroid hormone receptor negativity (Romain et al, 2000). The TK encoded by the EBV is localised in the centrosome, a localisation observed in diverse cell types whether the protein is expressed independently or in the context of lytic EBV infection (Gill et al, 2007). Although EBV TK is an early gene, it was nevertheless found to be transcribed with a significant delay compared with other early-class RNAs (Yuan et al, 2006). The commercial assay used to access TK activity has been optimised for the TK1 isoenzyme. The link between EBV and TK supports the notion that EBV is associated with fast-growing tumours. It agrees with data suggesting that DNA tumour viruses suppress the transcriptional downregulation of TK activity during the eukaryotic cell cycle (Hengstschläger et al, 1994), and that nasopharyngeal carcinomas with detectable EBV LMP1 protein grow faster than the nonexpressing ones (Hu et al, 1995). Concerning the link between EBV positive tumours and high TK expression, we sequenced mRNA and found that the expression of TK gene found in EBV-positive tumours is of human origin, not viral (data not shown). This human TK differs from the one deposited by Bradshaw and Deininger in the Genbank database (Gilles et al, 2003). In a previous study, Huang et al (2003), detected the EBV-encoded lytic transactivator protein ZTA in 7 out of 10 BCs. Interestingly, ZTA specifically binds the CCAAT motif (C/EBPa, enhancer binding protein α) of the TK1 human gene promoter, which suggests a functional role in the activation of TK1 transcription.

In this study, the presence of EBV was only weakly associated with *HER2* amplification. This result, together with the fact that 336

Molecular Diagnostics

EBV and HER2 correlate differently with other tumour features, suggests that the viral infection and the gene amplification occur at different times during BC progression. In BCs, both EBV (Bonnet *et al*, 1999) and HER2 (Révillion *et al*, 1998; Yamauchi *et al*, 2001) have been associated with a lack of oestrogen receptors.

In conclusion, we confirmed the presence of EBV in one third of BC. Moreover, EBV-positive tumours presented with a more aggressive phenotype that could be useful when considering

REFERENCES

- Amarante MK, Watanabe MA (2009) The possible involvement of virus in breast cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 135: 329-337
- Arbach H, Viglasky V, Lefeu F, Guinebretière JM, Ramirez V, Bride N, Boualaga N, Bauchet T, Peyrat JP, Mathieu MC, Mourah S, Podgorniak MP, Seignerin JM, Takada K, Joab I (2006) Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) genome and expression in breast cancer tissue: effect of EBV infection of breast cancer cells on resistance to paclitaxel (Taxol). J Virol 80: 845-853
- Berebbi M, Martin PM, Berthois Y, Bernard AM, Blangy D (1990) Estradiol dependence of the specific mammary tissue targeting of polyoma virus oncogenicity in nude mice. Oncogene 5: 505-509
- Bloom HJ, Richardson WW (1957) Histological grading and prognosis in breast cancer; a study of 1409 cases of which 359 have been followed for 15 years. Br J Cancer 11: 359-377
- Bonnet M, Guinebretiere JM, Kremmer E, Grunewald V, Benhamou E, Contesso G, Joab I (1999) Detection of Epstein-Barr virus in invasive breast cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 91: 1376-1381
- Bouchet C, Hacène K, Martin PM, Becette V, Tubiana-Hulin M, Lasry S, Oglobine J, Spyratos F (1999) Dissemination risk index based on plasminogen activator system components in primary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 17: 3048-3057
- Brink AA, van Den Brule AJ, van Diest P, Meijer CJ (2000) Re: detection of Epstein Barr virus in invasive breast cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst 92: 655-656
- Chang KL, Chen YY, Shibata D, Weiss LM (1992) Description of an *in situ* hybridization methodology for detection of Epstein-Barr virus RNA in paraffin-embedded tissues, with a survey of normal and neoplastic tissues. *Diagn Mol Pathol* 1: 246-255
- Chu JS, Chen CC, Chang KJ (1998) In situ detection of Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancer. Cancer Lett 124: 53-57
- Chu PG, Chang KL, Chen YY, Chen WG, Weiss LM (2001) No significant association of Epstein-Barr virus infection with invasive breast carcinoma. Am J Pathol 159: 571-578
- Cox B, Richardson A, Graham P, Gislefoss RE, Jellum E, Rollag H (2010) Breast cancer, cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus: a nested case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **102**: 1665–1669
- Dadmanesh F, Peterse JL, Sapino A, Fonelli A, Eusebi V (2001) Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the breast: lack of evidence of Epstein-Barr virus infection. *Histopathology* **38**: 54-61
- de Villiers EM, Sandstrom RE, zur Hausen H, Buck CE (2005) Presence of papillomavirus sequences in condylomatous lesions of the mamillae and in invasive carcinoma of the breast. *Breast Cancer Res* 7: R1-11
- Deshpande CG, Badve S, Kidwai N, Longnecker R (2002) Lack of expression of the Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) gene products, EBERs, EBNA1, LMP1, and LMP2A, in breast cancer cells. *Lab Invest* 82: 1193–1199
- Fawzy S, Sallam M, Awad NM (2008) Detection of Epstein-Barr virus in breast carcinoma in Egyptian women. *Clin Biochem* **41:** 486-492
- Fina F, Romain S, Ouafik L, Palmari J, Ben Ayed F, Benharkat S, Bonnier P, Spyratos F, Foekens JA, Rose C, Buisson M, Gérard H, Reymond MO, Seigneurin JM, Martin PM (2001) Frequency and genome load of Epstein-Barr virus in 509 breast cancers from different geographical areas. Br J Cancer 84: 783-790
- Gaffey MJ, Frierson Jr HF, Mills SE, Boyd JC, Zarbo RJ, Simpson JF, Gross LK, Weiss LM (1993) Medullary carcinoma of the breast. Identification of lymphocyte subpopulations and their significance. *Mod Pathol* 6: 721-728
- Geurts-Moespot J, Leake R, Benraad TJ, Sweep CG (2000) Twenty years of experience with the steroid receptor external quality assessment program the paradigm for tumour biomarker EQA studies. On behalf of the EORTC Receptor and Biomarker Study Group. *Int J Oncol* 17: 13–22

potential therapeutic targets. In particular, the high TK levels could confer resistance to chemotherapy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Assistance Publique de Marseille (AORC 1998; UF 2843). A Durand, and M Soullière are acknowledged for their skilful technical assistance.

- Gill MB, Kutok JL, Fingeroth JD (2007) Epstein-Barr virus thymidine kinase is a centrosomal resident precisely localized to the periphery of centrioles. J Virol 81: 6523-6535
- Gilles SI, Romain S, Casellas P, Ouafik L, Fina F, Combes T, Vuaroquaux V, Seitz JF, Bonnier P, Galiègue S, Carayon P, Martin PM (2003) Mutation analysis in the coding sequence of thymidine kinase 1 in breast and colorectal cancer. *Int J Biol Markers* 18: 1–6
- Glaser SL, Ambinder RF, DiGiuseppe JA, Horn-Ross PL, Hsu JL (1998) Absence of Epstein-Barr virus EBER-1 transcripts in an epidemiologically diverse group of breast cancers. *Int J Cancer* **75**: 555-558
- Glaser SL, Hsu JL, Gulley ML (2004) Epstein-Barr virus and breast cancer: state of the evidence for viral carcinogenesis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 13: 688-697
- Hengstschläger M, Knöfler M, Müllner EW, Ogris E, Wintersberger E, Wawra E (1994) Different regulation of thymidine kinase during the cell cycle of normal versus DNA tumor virus-transformed cells. J Biol Chem 269: 13836-13842
- Herrmann K, Niedobitek G (2003) Lack of evidence for an association of Epstein-Barr virus infection with breast carcinoma. *Breast Cancer Res* 5: R13-R17
- Horiuchi K, Mishima K, Ohsawa M, Aozasa K (1994) Carcinoma of stomach and breast with lymphoid stroma: localisation of Epstein-Barr virus. *J Clin Pathol* **47**: 538-540
- Hu LF, Chen F, Zhen QF, Zhang YW, Luo Y, Zheng X, Winberg G, Ernberg I, Klein G (1995) Differences in the growth pattern and clinical course of EBV-LMP1 expressing and non-expressing nasopharyngeal carcinomas. *Eur J Cancer* **31A**: 658–660
- Huang J, Chen H, Hutt-Fletcher L, Ambinder RF, Hayward SD (2003) Lytic viral replication as a contributor to the detection of Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancer. J Virol 77: 13267–13274
- Isaacs C, Stearns V, Hayes DF (2001) New prognostic factors for breast cancer recurrence. Semin Oncol 28: 53-67
- Joshi D, Quadri M, Gangane N, Joshi R, Gangane N (2009) Association of Epstein Barr virus infection (EBV) with breast cancer in rural Indian women. *PLoS One* **4**: e8180
- Kalkan A, Ozdarendeli A, Bulut Y, Yekeler H, Cobanoglu B, Doymaz MZ (2005) Investigation of Epstein-Barr virus DNA in formalin-fixed and paraffin- embedded breast cancer tissues. *Med Princ Pract* 14: 268-271
- Kulka J, Kovalszky I, Svastics E, Berta M, Füle T (2008) Lymphoepithelioma-like carcinoma of the breast: not Epstein-Barr virus-, but human papilloma virus-positive. *Hum Pathol* **39**: 298–301
- Kösel S, Grasbon-Frodl EM, Arima K, Chimelli L, Hahn M, Hashizume Y, Hulette C, Ikeda K, Jacobsen PF, Jones M, Kobayashi M, Love S, Mizutani T, Rosemberg S, Sasaki A, Smith TW, Takahashi H, Vortmeyer AO, Graeber MB (2001) Inter-laboratory comparison of DNA preservation in archival paraffin-embedded human brain tissue from participating centres on four continents. *Neurogenetics* 3: 163-170
- Labrecque LG, Barnes DM, Fentiman IS, Griffin BE (1995) Epstein-Barr virus in epithelial cell tumors: a breast cancer study. *Cancer Res* 55: 39-45
- Lamy PJ, Nanni I, Fina F, Bibeau F, Romain S, Dussert C, Penault Llorca F, Grenier J, Ouafik LH, Martin PM (2006) Reliability and discriminant validity of HER2 gene quantification and chromosome 17 aneusomy analysis by real-time PCR in primary breast cancer. *Int J Biol Markers* 21: 20–29
- Lespagnard L, Cochaux P, Larsimont D, Degeyter M, Velu T, Heimann R (1995) Absence of Epstein-Barr virus in medullary carcinoma of the breast as demonstrated by immunophenotyping, *in situ* hybridization and polymerase chain reaction. *Am J Clin Pathol* **103**: 449-452



- McCall SA, Lichy JH, Bijwaard KE, Aguilera NS, Chu WS, Taubenberger JK (2001) Epstein-Barr virus detection in ductal carcinoma of the breast. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **93:** 148-150
- McGuire WL, Clark GM, Dressler LG, Owens MA (1986) Role of steroid hormone receptors as prognostic factors in primary breast cancer. *NCI Monogr* 1: 19–23
- Murray PG, Lissauer D, Junying J, Davies G, Moore S, Bell A, Timms J, Rowlands D, McConkey C, Reynolds GM, Ghataura S, England D, Caroll R, Young LS (2003) Reactivity with a monoclonal antibody to Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) nuclear antigen 1 defines a subset of aggressive breast cancers in the absence of the EBV genome. *Cancer Res* 63: 2338-2343
- Nandi S, Guzman RC, Yang J (1995) Hormones and mammary carcinogenesis in mice, rats, and humans: a unifying hypothesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92: 3650-3657
- Perkins RS, Sahm K, Marando C, Dickson-Witmer D, Pahnke GR, Mitchell M, Petrelli NJ, Berkowitz IM, Soteropoulos P, Aris VM, Dunn SP, Krueger LJ (2006) Analysis of Epstein-Barr virus reservoirs in paired blood and breast cancer primary biopsy specimens by real time PCR. *Breast Cancer Res* 8: R70
- Perrigoue JG, den Boon JA, Friedl A, Newton MA, Ahlquist P, Sugden B (2005) Lack of association between EBV and breast carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 809-814
- Preciado MV, Chabay PA, De Matteo EN, Gonzalez P, Grinstein S, Actis A, Gass HD (2005) Epstein-Barr virus in breast carcinoma in Argentina. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* **129:** 377–381
- Révillion F, Bonneterre J, Peyrat JP (1998) ERBB2 oncogene in human breast cancer and its clinical significance. Eur J Cancer 34: 791-808
- Richardson AK, Cox B, McCredie MR, Dite GS, Chang JH, Gertig DM, Southey MC, Giles GG, Hopper JL (2004) Cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus and risk of breast cancer before age 40 years: a case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **90:** 2149–2152
- Romain S, Bendahl PO, Guirou O, Malmström P, Martin PM, Fernö M (2001) DNA-synthesizing enzymes in breast cancer (thymidine kinase, thymidylate synthaseand thymidylate kinase): association with flow cytometric S-phase fraction and relative prognostic importance in node-negative premenopausal patients. *Int J Cancer* **95:** 56–61
- Romain S, Christensen IJ, Chinot O, Balslev I, Rose C, Martin PM, Thorpe SM (1995) Prognostic value of cytosolic thymidine kinase activity as a marker of proliferation in breast cancer. Int J Cancer **61:** 7-12
- Romain S, Spyratos F, Descotes F, Daver A, Rostaing-Puissant B, Bougnoux P, Colonna M, Bolla M, Martin PM (2000) Prognostic of

DNA-synthesizing enzyme activities (thymidine kinase and thymidylate synthase) in 908 T1-T2, N0-N1, M0 breast cancers: a retrospective multicenter study. *Int J Cancer* 87: 860-868

- Romain S, Spyratos F, Guirou O, Deytieux S, Chinot O, Martin PM (1994) Technical evaluation of thymidine kinase assay in cytosols from breast cancers. EORTC Receptor Study Group Report. Eur J Cancer **30A:** 2163-2165
- Rose C, Thorpe SM, Andersen KW, Pedersen BV, Mouridsen HT, Blichert-Toft M, Rasmussen BB (1985) Beneficial effect of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in primary breast cancer patients with high oestrogen receptor values. *Lancet* 1: 16–19
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Harbor Laboratory: Cold Spring
- Satoh Y, Takasaka N, Hoshikawa Y, Osaki M, Ohfuji S, Ito H, Kaibara N, Kurata T, Sairenji T (1998) Pretreatment with restriction enzyme or bovine serum albumin for effective PCR amplification of Epstein-Barr virus DNA in DNA extracted from paraffin-embedded gastric carcinoma tissue. J Clin Microbiol 36: 3423-3425
- Thorne LB, Ryan JL, Elmore SH, Glaser SL, Gulley ML (2005) Real-time PCR measures Epstein-Barr virus DNA in archival breast adenocarcinomas. *Diagn Mol Pathol* 14: 29-33
- Trabelsi A, Rammeh S, Stita W, Mokni M, Mourou A, Korbi S (2008) Detection of Epstein-Barr virus in breast cancers with lymphoid stroma. *Ann Biol Clin* **66:** 59–62
- Tsai JH, Hsu CS, Tsai CH, Su JM, Liu YT, Cheng MH, Wei JC, Chen FL, Yang CC (2007) Relationship between viral factors, axillary lymph node status and survival in breast cancer. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 133: 13-21
- Williams C, Pontén F, Moberg C, Söderkvist P, Uhlén M, Pontén J, Sitbon G, Lundeberg J (1999) A high frequency of sequence alterations is due to formalin fixation of archival specimens. *Am J Pathol* **155**: 1467-1471
- Yamauchi H, Stearns V, Hayes DF (2001) When is a tumor marker ready for prime time? A case study of c-erbB-2 as a predictive factor in breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 19: 2334-2356
- Yasui Y, Potter JD, Stanford JL, Rossing MA, Winget MD, Bronner M, Daling J (2001) Breast cancer risk and 'delayed' primary Epstein-Barr virus infection. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **10**: 9–16
- Yuan J, Cahir-McFarland E, Zhao B, Kieff E (2006) Virus and cell RNAs expressed during Epstein-Barr virus replication. J Virol 80: 2548-2565
- zur Hausen H (1991) Viruses in human cancers. Science 254: 1167-1173
 Zur Hausen H (2009) The search for infectious causes of human cancers: where and why. Virology 392: 1-10