

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

UVREK: Development and Analysis of an Expression Profile Knowledgebase of Biomolecules Induced by Ultraviolet Radiation **Exposure**

Shanmuga Priya Baskaran,[§] Janani Ravichandran,[§] Priya Shree, Vinayak Thengumthottathil, Bagavathy Shanmugam Karthikeyan,* and Areejit Samal*



Radiation Expression Knowledgebase), containing manually curated data on biomolecules induced by UV radiation exposure from the published literature. UVREK has compiled information on 985 genes, 470 proteins, 54 metabolites, and 77 miRNAs along with their metadata. Thereafter, an enrichment analysis performed on the human gene set of the UVREK database showed the importance of transcription-related processes in UV-related response and enrichment of pathways involved in cancer and aging. While significantly contributing toward characterizing the physical component of the exposome, we expect that the compiled data in UVREK will serve as a valuable resource for the development of better UV protection mechanisms such as UV sensors and sunscreens. Noteworthily, UVREK is the only resource to date compiling varied types of biomolecular responses to UV radiation with the corresponding metadata. UVREK is openly accessible at https://cb.imsc. res.in/uvrek/.

INTRODUCTION

Humans are constantly exposed to myriad stressors in the environment that have impact on biological processes and eventually health. Such environmental exposures are referred to as "exposome", which encapsulates the entire spectrum of environmental influences encountered by individuals throughout their lifetime. Specifically, human exposome encompasses chemical, physical, biological and social factors, which play a significant role in shaping our health and well-being.^{1–6} In terms of understanding the impact of exposome on health, significant research effort has been devoted toward characterizing the chemical component of the exposome. These research efforts on chemical exposome include the Blood Exposome,^{7,8} CTD,⁹ DEDuCT,^{10,11} ExHuMId,¹² Exposome-Explorer,^{13,14,2} FCCP,¹⁵ Human Indoor Exposome Database,¹⁶ NeurotoxKb,¹⁷ TExAs,¹⁸ T3DB,^{19,20} ViCEKb²¹ among others. In contrast to the chemical exposome, much less effort has been devoted toward characterizing the physical component of the exposome and its impact on human health.

Physical components (or stressors) in the exposome include heat, noise and radiation, which can play a substantial role in shaping human health. Among these exposures, ultraviolet (UV) radiation constitutes a significant portion of everyday human exposure and exerts both harmful and beneficial effects.^{22–25} UV radiation is a form of nonionizing radiation with a wavelength ranging from 100 to 400 nm, and accounts for 5% of the total solar spectrum.^{26,27} Based on the wavelength, UV radiation is categorized into three groups namely, UVC (100-280 nm), UVB (280-315 nm) and UVA (315-400 nm). Note that the longer the wavelength, the deeper it can penetrate into the skin layers. In case of UV, UVA has the longest wavelength and is

Received: July 20, 2024 Revised: September 25, 2024 Accepted: October 1, 2024 Published: January 8, 2025



miRNAs: 77



capable of reaching the dermis of the skin. UVB mostly affects the epidermis and is capable of reaching the papillary dermis of skin.^{24,27} UVC radiation, which has the shortest wavelength among the UV types and is known for its germicidal effects,²⁸ gets filtered by atmospheric ozone and does not reach the skin in natural conditions.

UV radiation exposure is a major environmental factor with impact on skin health and contributes significantly to skin aging. It also induces DNA damage, oxidative stress, free radical production, cell proliferation and inflammation, photoreactions and immunosuppression, which are all linked to tumorigenesis.^{24,29,30} UV radiation also increases the risk of skin cancer and cataracts, and there is also evidence indicating that both UVB and UVA promote melanoma development.^{24,31} Apart from the documented negative effects, UV radiation is also known to have positive effects. UV radiation is well-known to induce vitamin D production in the skin. It has also been reported to improve skin related conditions such as psoriasis, vitiligo and localized scleroderma.³² UV radiation induces nitric oxide production which in turn has effects such as blood pressure reduction,³³ stimulation of pigmentation³⁴ and antimicrobial activity.³⁵ Due to these reasons, it is crucial to understand the biomolecular responses to UV radiation exposure, which in turn will enable the appropriate use of UV radiation for benefits or seek proper protection from unwarranted exposure.^{36,26}

As the information on biomolecules induced by UV radiation exposure from previous research is scattered across published literature, there is a need to compile such information in a structured database centered on UV radiation exposure and their subsequent biomolecular responses. In this direction, there have been few efforts toward the development of radiationspecific databases, such as UVGD 1.0,²⁶ RadAtlas 1.0³⁶ and the Radiation Genes database.³⁷ However, RadAtlas 1.0 and the Radiation Genes database have compiled data related to ionizing radiation, while UVGD 1.0 does not compile information on the sampling time, dose, dosage rate, and other experimental details, and is also limited to gene-related data (Table 1). Addressing these gaps, by including details such as sampling time, dose, dosage rate, and compilation of other experimental conditions under which a particular biomolecule was induced, is crucial for enhancing the utility of such a database from the perspective of future applications.³⁸ Additionally, compiling data sets pertain-

Table 1. Comparison of the Presence or Absence of Featuresin the UVREK Database with Two Previously PublishedResources, Namely, UVGD 1.0²⁶ and RadAtlas 1.0³⁶

feature	UVREK	UVGD 1.0	RadAtlas 1.0
radiation type used	yes	no	no
dosage rate	yes	no	no
dose	yes	no	no
sampling time	yes	no	no
tested organisms	human	human	human
	mouse		
	rat		
tested cell/tissue type	yes	no	no
expression profile of genes	yes	yes	yes
number of compiled genes	985	663	598
expression profile of proteins	yes	no	no
expression profile of metabolites	yes	no	no
expression profile of miRNAs	yes	no	no

ing to diverse biomolecules such as gene, protein, metabolite, and miRNA expression profiles will provide a sophisticated platform for advancing our understanding of the biological impact of UV radiation exposure on human physiology.

In this pursuit of establishing a UV-centric database, we systematically compiled and curated data on biomolecules induced by UV radiation exposure from 320 filtered published research articles, focusing specifically on studies involving humans and rodents. Specifically, we have compiled biomolecule response data obtained in normal, healthy cells or tissues exposed to UV radiation. This choice was influenced by the central motivation to contribute toward characterizing the physical component of the exposome, and including specimens of diseased state will distort this purpose.^{1,6} Importantly, capturing responses in normal cells combined with dose information will help in the detection of potential biomarkers, which in turn can help in accessing the exposure level of an individual and help in risk stratification.39,40,4,41 From the filtered articles, we meticulously collected biomolecular responses induced by UV radiation, including gene, protein, metabolite, and miRNA expression profiles, and mapped them to unique identifiers. This systematic approach resulted in a comprehensive compilation of biomolecule expression profiles, encompassing 985 genes, 470 proteins, 54 metabolites, and 77 miRNAs, sourced from studies spanning diverse cell types, tissue types, and experimental conditions. Subsequently, in order to widely share this curated data set, we created an online resource, UltraViolet Radiation Expression Knowledgebase (UVREK), which is openly accessible at: https://cb.imsc.res.in/uvrek. Furthermore, to elucidate the molecular mechanisms and biological processes associated with UV radiation exposure, we performed extensive analyses such as GO term enrichment, pathway enrichment, and protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction by leveraging the compiled data set in UVREK. These analyses yielded valuable insights into the biological effects of UV radiation and the intricate crosstalk between pathways involved in the response to UV exposure, thereby enhancing our understanding of UV-induced biomolecular changes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

UVREK: UltraViolet Radiation Expression Knowledgebase. Humans are exposed to various forms of radiation, with UV radiation constituting a significant portion of everyday exposure. Our primary objective is to establish a comprehensive database focused on UV radiation exposure and the corresponding biomolecular response, encompassing gene, protein, metabolite, and miRNA expression profiles. By adhering to a structured workflow (Methods), we filtered a curated set of 320 published research articles containing pertinent information on biomolecular responses triggered by UV radiation exposure across transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, and miRNA expression studies. We collected the expression profiles of genes, proteins, metabolites, and miRNAs from the filtered research articles along with the corresponding metadata such as the experimental conditions, including details on tested organisms, organ or tissue or cell types, and dosage information (Methods). Finally, we annotated and compiled a nonredundant set of 985 genes, 470 proteins, 54 metabolites, and 77 miRNAs that have been shown to significantly respond to UV radiation exposure in published studies. Using this compiled data set, we built UVREK, a dedicated resource on UV radiation induced



Figure 1. Illustrative schematic depicting the connections between data and metadata. This figure showcases the interconnection between various specifications of UV radiation exposure and biomolecular responses. UV radiation is connected to experimental conditions and organisms in which experiments were conducted, as well as the study type, while biomolecules such as genes, proteins, metabolites, and miRNAs are linked to their mapping to unique identifiers in standard databases.

biomolecular expression profiles, which is openly accessible for academic research at: https://cb.imsc.res.in/uvrek/.

Figure 1 visualizes the connections between data and metadata compiled in UVREK. That is, the figure shows the connections among various specifications of UV radiation exposures and biomolecular responses across the compiled data within UVREK. Moreover, Figure 1 provides a comprehensive view of the nature of the filtered studies by displaying the interconnections between experimental conditions, specimen, and expression profiles. Figure 2 displays screenshots of different pages and navigation options on the UVREK website, showcasing the structured online platform and user-friendly web interface of the database designed to ensure easy navigation and convenient access to the aggregated data for users (Figure 2a). The "BROWSE" tab enables users to explore content in two ways: (i) Browse by UV type, or (ii) Browse by Biomolecules option (Figure 2b). Browse by UV type enables users to explore data corresponding to various UV types: UVA, UVB, UVC, UVA+UVB and UVR-General (UV radiation-General). Similarly, Browse by Biomolecules enables users to explore UV radiation exposure induced biomolecule data on genes, proteins, metabolites, and miRNAs individually. Figure 2c displays the tabular output from UVREK for genes induced by UV. Figure 2c also displays a column in the tabular output which contains a link for the experimental information associated with the expression profile, facilitating access to all the metadata related to the corresponding data (Figure 2d). In UVREK, clicking on the standardized identifiers (IDs) of the biomolecules will provide detailed information in a consolidated tabular format on all relevant literature and experimental conditions in which the

biomolecules have been studied (Figure 2e). Furthermore, the "SEARCH" tab allows users to query the UVREK database for biomolecules in two ways: (i) Search by ID, or (ii) Search by UV details. Search by ID enables users to search for the specific biomolecules using the corresponding identifiers or name and the organism name (Figure 2f). Search by UV details allows users to search with details such as the organism name, UV radiation type, dose and dosage rate. The results of the "SEARCH" are presented in a tabulated format (Figure 2g). Additionally, users can access data organized based on PMID through the "PMID" tab under the "SEARCH" tab. The "Experimental condition" tab within the "SEARCH" tab enables users to obtain a list of all biomolecules documented as differentially expressed under the specified experimental conditions. The "DOWNLOAD" tab provides users with access to flat files of the data available in the database. In addition, we have also created a GitHub repository where we have uploaded the flat files which can be accessed at https://github.com/ asamallab/UVREK.

Examples of Usage of the Database. Here, we present two examples of potential use of the UVREK database.

Use Case 1: Retrieve biomolecules differentially expressed under specific conditions Steps:

- 1. Navigate to the "SEARCH" tab
- 2. Select "Experimental condition"
- 3. Enter the following details:
 - Organism Name: Homo sapiens
 - Tested Cell/Tissue: keratinocyte
 - UV Radiation Type: UVB-radiation

Article



Figure 2. Screenshots illustrate the user interface and navigation features of the UVREK website. (a) Screenshot of the UVREK homepage which has a structured layout for ease of navigation and access to compiled data. (b) BROWSE tab showing two primary ways of exploring the content: Browse by UV type or Browse by Biomolecules. (c) BROWSE tab enables users to explore UV radiation exposure induced biomolecule data on genes, proteins, metabolites, and miRNAs, with results conveniently displayed in tabular formats. Last column of the tabular output has links to the associated experimental information, and clicking the corresponding link will lead to the page shown in part (d). (d) Screenshot of the page containing detailed information on the experimental conditions and other metadata associated with the expression profile of the corresponding biomolecule. (e) Screenshot of the tabular column obtained as a result of clicking on the standardized identifiers of a biomolecule. The resulting page displays information on all studies within UVREK in which the biomolecule has been reported. (f) Screenshot of the SEARCH page enabling users to query the compiled biomolecules in UVREK database based on their identifiers, UV-specific details, PMIDs or experimental conditions. (g) Screenshot of the results obtained in a tabular format from an example query search.



Figure 3. Distribution of biomolecules induced by UV radiation exposure based on various criteria. (a) Distribution of biomolecules based on the tested organism namely, *Homo sapiens* (human), *Mus musculus* (mouse), or *Rattus norvegicus* (rat). (b) Distribution of biomolecules based on the type of UV radiation employed. (c) Distribution of biomolecules specific to humans based on the exposed organ or tissue types. (d) The top 10 genes based on the number of associated published studies or PubMed Identifiers (PMIDs) compiled in UVREK. The displayed distributions in this figure were obtained based on unique identifiers for genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs in UVREK as described in the Methods section.

• Dose (J/m²): is less than 500

This will result in 494 entries that match the specified conditions.

Use Case 2: Compare differential gene expression across species

Scenario. The user has a list of genes that are differentially expressed in *Mus musculus* after exposure to UVB-radiation at 50

 J/m^2 . The user subsequently wants to find genes that are differentially expressed in *Homo sapiens* under similar conditions.

Steps:

- 1. Navigate to the "SEARCH" tab.
- 2. Select "Gene"
- 3. Choose "Search by UV details"
- 4. Enter the following details:
 - Organism Name: Homo sapiens
 - UV Radiation Type: UVB-radiation
 - Dose (J/m^2) : is less than 100

This will result in 7 entries that match the specified conditions.

FAIR Principles Compliance. The UVREK database is compliant with the Findable (F), Accessible (A), Interoperable (I), and Reusable (R) data principles $(FAIR)^{42}$ which is evident from the following:

- (a) It has a stable, permanent web address (F, A).
- (b) Entries are uniquely identified by stable URLs (F).
- (c) Biomolecules are identified by unique IDs from standardized databases, and corresponding links are provided as detailed in the Methods section (F, I).
- (d) References are identified by PMIDs (F, R).
- (e) Tested cell or tissue types are identified by unique ontology identifiers (F).
- (f) The database does not require the creation of an account for utilization (A).
- (g) A HELP page is available providing guidance on how to use the database (A).
- (h) Data and metadata are available in a human-readable format, i.e., HTML (A), and downloadable in a machinereadable format, i.e., CSV (A, R).
- (i) The license (CC BY-NC 4.0) for use of data compiled in UVREK is available (R).

It is important to note that UVREK is hosted in the institutional data repository, ensuring long-term sustainability.

UVREK Database Statistics and Comparison with Other Resources. Table 1 presents a comparison of the features of UVREK with two previously published radiation specific resources namely, UVGD 1.0^{26} and RadAtlas $1.0.^{36}$ Noteworthy, UVREK has compiled more detailed experimental information, including sampling time, dose, dosage rate, and data specific to human, mouse, and rat. In comparison, UVGD 1.0²⁶ and RadAtlas 1.0³⁶ do not compile such detailed experimental information. For instance, sampling time refers to the interval between the point of exposure and the experimental measurement of differential expression of biomolecules. The expression levels of biomolecules rise or fall after UV irradiation, and this change declines over time,^{43,44} making sampling time a critical factor. This information is crucial for identifying early biomarkers and monitoring UV exposure, which can ultimately aid in the prevention of skin cancer.^{45,46} Moreover, the type of UV radiation employed in the published experiments has been systematically documented in UVREK. Notably, UVREK also compiles expression profiles for proteins, metabolites and miRNAs, a feature absent in UVGD 1.0^{26} and RadAtlas $1.0.^{36}$

We analyzed the overlap of genes between the two databases-UVREK and UVGD 1.0. UVREK contains 811 human genes, as detailed in the Methods section, while UVGD 1.0 includes 530 human genes. Of these, we found 133 genes common to both databases. Notably, 397 of the 530 genes in UVGD 1.0 were absent in UVREK. Upon review, we found that the studies reporting these genes often involved experiments on cells or subjects with skin conditions such as psoriasis, or were conducted in transfected cells. These types of studies were excluded in UVREK based on our workflow in the Methods section. Similarly, for *Mus musculus* and *Rattus norvegicus*, we found no overlap of genes between the two databases.

We also conducted KEGG enrichment analysis on the human genes in both databases, following the procedure described in the Pathway enrichment analysis subsection of the Methods section. This analysis revealed an overlap of 46 enriched pathways between the databases.

Figure 3a summarizes the number of unique biomolecules namely, genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs, reported to have been induced by UV exposure in the different tested organisms namely, *Homo sapiens* (human), *Mus musculus* (mouse), *Rattus norvegicus* (rat), in the published studies compiled in UVREK. This plot reveals that, in published studies on humans, genes predominate followed by proteins, while a similar trend is also seen for studies in mouse. In contrast, fewer genes have been reported in rats compared to proteins in the published studies curated in UVREK (Figure 3a).

Figure 3b displays the number of biomolecules induced by different UV radiation types based on compiled data from published studies in UVREK. In terms of the number of genes reported in published studies, UVB is the highest with 592 genes followed by the UV radiation-General category with 223 genes. Despite UVA being the predominant UV radiation type both in terms of skin penetration and fraction of UV in the atmosphere,^{27,47} UVB has higher number of associated biomolecules in UVREK.

Further, based on the broader classification of cell or tissue type in the compiled experimental studies in UVREK (Methods), we analyzed the expression profiles of biomolecules specific to human as illustrated in Figure 3c. The number of biomolecules reported in published studies compiled in UVREK and specific to skin is highest (1007 biomolecules of which 648 are genes), followed by eye (121 biomolecules of which 91 are genes), blood (114 biomolecules of which 100 are genes) and connective tissue (10 biomolecules of which 8 are genes). Notably, within the compiled studies in UVREK, tissues such as liver, intestine, thyroid gland, ear, testis and spleen have been studied in mouse and rat, but not in humans (Tables S1–S4).

In UVREK, out of the 985 genes reported to be induced by UV radiation exposure across the published studies, 811 belong to humans as detailed in the Methods section. We next analyzed the number of published studies (across the 320 PMIDs compiled in UVREK) that implicate each of these 811 human genes (Table S5). Figure 3d displays the top 10 genes based on the number of published studies compiled in UVREK associated with each gene. Specifically, Matrix Metallopeptidase 1 (MMP1) was reported in maximum number of studies (29 PMIDs), followed by Interleukin 1 β (IL1B) and Matrix Metallopeptidase 9 (MMP9).

Detailed Analysis of Genes Induced by UV Radiation Exposure. GO enrichment analysis provides valuable insights on complex biological processes associated with a set of biomolecules (e.g., genes). Hence, we performed GO enrichment analysis (Methods) for the 811 human genes in UVREK that are induced by UV radiation exposure. In particular, we performed this enrichment analysis for the three different ontologies: biological process, cellular component, and



Figure 4. Bar chart displaying the top 10 enriched gene ontology (GO) terms across three categories: biological process (depicted in blue), cellular component (depicted in purple), and molecular function (depicted in pink). Here, each horizontal bar gives the count of genes, among the 811 human genes associated with UV exposure, linked to the respective GO term, with statistical significance ($p \le 0.01$).

molecular function (Methods), and Figure 4 displays the top 10 enriched GO terms for each of these ontologies (Table S6).

In terms of biological process ontology, it can be seen that GO terms related to transcriptional processes are enriched in the set of 811 human genes (Figure 4), and this enrichment of transcriptional processes spans both positive and negative regulation of DNA-templated transcription.^{48,49} Further, the observed GO term enrichment aligns with the established pivotal role played by transcriptional regulation in cellular responses to UV radiation.^{50,51} Moreover, published literature⁵² has established the association between the regulation of apoptotic processes and UV radiation exposure, and the GO enrichment analysis is in alignment with these previous observations (Figure 4). In terms of cellular component ontology, GO terms related to intracellular membrane-bounded organelles, the nucleus, and the extracellular matrix enriched with collagen, are enriched in the set of 811 human genes (Figure 4), and such an association with UV radiation exposure is supported by published literature.⁵³ Also, as many transcriptional processes are enriched, it is logical to observe the nucleus being enriched. Further, UV radiation impacts cytoskeletal dynamics and focal adhesions (Figure 4), and this signifies the extensive influence of UV radiation exposure on cellular architecture and molecular organization. In terms of molecular function ontology, UV radiation influences distinct molecular interactions and processes which are known to shape cellular responses to environmental cues (Figure 4). Specifically, enriched GO terms include RNA binding and DNA binding (Figure 4), processes which are pivotal for transcriptional regulation. 44,54,55 Further, UV radiation influences protein

homodimerization, receptor–ligand interactions, and cytokine activities (Figure 4), which suggest impact of UV radiation exposure on cellular signaling and communication pathways.^{55–57} In sum, the GO analysis reveals valuable insights into the transcriptional regulatory landscape induced by UV radiation exposure. Therefore, a better understanding of the intricate interplay between UV radiation and cellular processes will be crucial for elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying UV radiation induced responses.

Subsequently, in order to reveal the pathways significantly associated with UV radiation exposure, pathway enrichment analysis was performed (Methods) to gain mechanistic insights from the set of 811 differentially expressed human genes. Figure 5a displays a bubble plot of the 53 enriched pathways, and it can be observed that UV radiation exposure induces a number of cellular signaling pathways. This includes the "IL-17 signaling pathway" which shows the highest gene overlap score, and "Pathways in cancer" which is the most significant among the set of enriched pathways, and also has the maximum number of associated genes induced by UV radiation (Figure 5a; Table S7). Of note, the IL-17 pathway plays crucial role in immune response and inflammatory reaction, while Pathways in cancer includes major signaling pathways involved in cancer development. These two pathways might interact in response to UV damage, which in turn can likely influence cellular responses such as DNA repair mechanisms and the initiation of carcinogenesis.⁵⁸ Therefore, understanding such intricate interactions is crucial for developing strategies to mitigate UVinduced damage and prevent UV-related disorders, including skin cancer,⁴⁸ which remains a significant global public health



Figure 5. Pathway enrichment analysis of the 811 human genes induced by UV radiation exposure. (a) Bubble plot visualization of the 53 significantly enriched KEGG pathways in the input gene set, with bubble size reflecting significance (*p*-value) and placement of the bubble along the horizontal axis indicating gene overlap score (i.e., the level of overlap between input gene set and the pathway genes). Bubble colors correspond to KEGG BRITE classification at Level 2. (b) Pathway similarity network depicting relationships among the 53 enriched KEGG pathways in the input gene set. The similarity between any pair of pathways is quantified using the Jaccard index between the associated gene sets. Nodes are colored based on KEGG BRITE classification at Level 2, and pathways are connected if their Jaccard index exceeds 0.2.



Figure 6. Visualization of the protein—protein interaction (PPI) network corresponding to the human genes induced by UV exposure. Visualization of the largest connected component of the first-order PPI network built using (a) 811 human genes. (b) 648 skin-specific genes. Within the PPI networks, modules of size greater than 100 are colored differently from the rest of the nodes (colored in gray). In both PPI networks, the yellow, red and green colored modules correspond to the largest, second largest and third largest, respectively, in terms of number of constituent nodes, and these large modules capture highly interconnected genes with key functional interactions induced by UV exposure. Further, the labeled nodes indicate the top 10 hub genes in the PPI networks.

concern. Further, 8 signaling pathways (out of the 53 enriched pathways) are categorized as 'Environmental Information Processing' in the KEGG BRITE classification at Level 1 (Table S7), and these signaling pathways are also related to aging and cancer.^{59,60} Furthermore, a majority of the pathways (37 out of 53) enriched within the set of 811 human genes are linked to "Human Diseases" according to the KEGG BRITE classification at Level 1 (Table S7). Interestingly, these 37 pathways include many viral and bacterial infection pathways. This observation can plausibly be explained by the inherent involvement of the immune system in viral and bacterial infection, a circumstance analogous to many established effects (e.g., cancer) induced by UV radiation exposure.^{61,62} Furthermore, Figure 5b displays the pathway similarity network comprising 52 out of the 53 KEGG pathways enriched in the set of 811 human genes, showcasing the degree of similarity among the enriched pathways.

Thereafter, to unveil the functional interactions among the 811 human genes associated with UV exposure, we analyzed the gene set within the context of the human PPI network by employing the NetworkAnalyst 3.0 Web server⁶³ (Methods; Tables S8-S9). Figure 6a visualizes the PPI network constructed using NetworkAnalyst Web server starting with the 811 human genes associated with UV exposure. Moreover, to better understand the skin-specific responses to UV radiation exposure, we have also constructed a skin-specific PPI network (Figure 6b) using NetworkAnalyst Web server by considering the subset of 648 human genes reported in skin-specific experiments (Methods; Tables S10-S11). In the constructed PPI networks, we have also marked the communities or modules with greater than 100 nodes identified by NetworkAnalyst Web server (Figure 6). Note that due to the high prevalence of compiled data from experiments on skin-specific tissues or cells in UVREK, the top 10 hubs or high-degree nodes in the PPI network (Figure 6a) corresponding to the complete set of 811 human genes are very similar to that for the PPI network (Figure 6b) corresponding to the subset of 648 skin-specific genes. Based on the degree, the top 10 hubs or genes in the PPI network corresponding to the 811 human genes are RPS23 (ribosomal

protein S23), RPS9 (ribosomal protein S9), RPS28 (ribosomal protein S28), TP53 (tumor protein p53), RPS5 (ribosomal protein S5), UBC (ubiquitin C), RPS20 (ribosomal protein S20), CTNNB1 (catenin β 1), RPS25 (ribosomal protein S25), and RPSA (ribosomal protein SA) (Figure 6; Table S9). Our observation that ribosomal proteins RPS23, RPS9, RPS28, RPS5, RPS20, RPS25, and RPSA are among the top 10 hubs in the constructed PPI network, underscores the importance of protein translation processes in UV response.^{64,65} Further, TP53, a well-known tumor suppressor gene, is also among the top 10 hubs, and this observation aligns with the previous reports on its role in orchestrating cellular responses to UVinduced DNA damage.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ Moreover, UBC is known to be involved in protein quality control mechanisms in maintaining cellular homeostasis post UV exposure.^{69,70} Further, CTNNB1 is known for its role in Wnt signaling, which influences cellular processes like proliferation and differentiation, and additionally the gene is also known to be mutated in response to UV radiation.^{71,51} In sum, the elucidation of molecular interactions through a PPI network analysis contributes to a deeper understanding of UV-induced skin damage and repair mechanisms.^{48,68} The analysis of the top 10 hub genes and their interactions within PPI networks will shed light on the complex molecular responses triggered by UV exposure. Furthermore, this knowledge will help in deepening our understanding of UV-induced cellular stress responses.

CONCLUSIONS

This study is the first ever effort to create a structured database compiling information on diverse biomolecules that are induced in response to UV radiation exposure. We present UVREK database, which has compiled detailed information on 985 genes, 470 proteins, 54 metabolites, and 77 miRNAs along with corresponding metadata, through extensive manual curation from published literature. In UVREK, we have also mapped the biomolecules to the respective identifiers from standard databases, thus complying with the FAIR principles. Further, the enrichment analysis done on the gene set specific to humans revealed that the genes induced by UV exposure are involved in



Figure 7. Systematic workflow for identifying published research articles containing information on the expression profiles of genes, proteins, metabolites, and miRNAs induced upon exposure to Ultraviolet (UV) radiation in humans and rodents.

transcription-related processes, cellular signaling and pathways related to cancer or aging.

In recent years, there is immense interest toward understanding the health impact of the different components in the human exposome. Still, the physical component of the human exposome, which includes heat, radiation and noise, remains much less characterized, hindering exploration and analysis on this front. Also, given the severity of skin disorders caused by UV radiation exposure, availability of UV radiation specific data will help in developing better diagnosis and prognosis. To this end, establishing the UVREK database with information on biomolecules induced by UV radiation exposure is an important step. This will, in turn, aid in the development of routine clinical tests and the potential discovery of early biomarkers of UV exposure. Prior to our work, there were a few databases focused on radiation exposure^{37,26,36} which have certain limitations. These limitations include nonavailability of metadata, and restriction of data to only certain types of biomolecules. Our manually curated database, UVREK, exclusively built for UV radiation exposure addresses the limitations in the earlier databases. In other words, UVREK is a unique effort toward detailed characterization of the physical component of the exposome, by capturing the effects of UV radiation on humans and rodents.

The data in UVREK has been collected from experiments done across different organisms, specimens and measured using different methods. Hence, UVREK has the limitation of data heterogeneity. This can result in two different outcomes upregulation or downregulation of the same biomolecule. When encountering such data, we leave it to the users to decide which outcome to consider based on their specific experimental conditions of interest. Also, the end points of the UV exposure were not collected, as the scope of the data collection was limited to biomolecules. This can be considered as a gap because, knowledge of the environmental factors along with their disease outcomes are crucial for risk stratification.⁴ Additionally, combining end point information with gene expression data will be valuable for understanding the mechanisms of UV exposure in relation to factors such as dose and UV type. We have addressed this to an extent in this manuscript, by performing enrichment analysis which gives insights into the biological processes that might have resulted from the UV exposure. The compiled list of biomolecules in UVREK are those documented to be differentially expressed upon UV radiation exposure. Note that we did not specifically compile biomolecules exclusive to UV response as our goal was to document all biomolecules whose expression changes upon UV exposure, which will aid in getting a comprehensive understanding of the overall mechanism underlying the UV irradiation response. To reinforce this, we obtained a list of 5511 inflammation-related genes from GeneCards.^{72,73} We focused on "inflammation" as it is a common response to many diseases and conditions including UV exposure.74 Among these 5511 genes, we found an overlap of 266 genes with the 811 human genes compiled in UVREK. In other words, these 226 genes are induced by UV exposure but are not specific to it. The dose data represented in our database is the total dose given to the specimen in the corresponding experimental setup and might involve multiple time points of radiation exposure. This exposure time information has not been documented explicitly in UVREK. In the case of UV radiation exposure, the outcomes differ depending on whether the exposure is acute or chronic. Acute exposure can result in effects such as sunburn, tanning, and immunosuppression, while chronic exposure leads to

photoaging, immunosuppression, and ultimately photocarcinogenesis, which can progress to cancer. Determining whether an exposure is acute or chronic typically relies on exposure time details. However, the current version of the UVREK database lacks this information, making it impossible to distinguish between biomolecules associated with acute and chronic exposure.

The compiled data set in UVREK will be useful as a source of candidate biomarkers that can help in risk stratification and in the detection of disorders caused by UV exposure. This will be particularly useful for those exposed to artificial UV emitting equipment (e.g., water treatment, tanning beds, etc.), through formulation of proper safety guidelines. On the other hand, this data will also be useful for those who spend more time outdoors and are subject to natural UV exposure. The UVREK database contains detailed information on biomolecular changes associated with different types of UV radiation, doses, and sampling times. Thus, this resource can be instrumental in optimizing UV treatment, helping to balance its beneficial effects with potential side effects.^{75,76} Potential applications of the compiled data include development of better protection methods such as sunscreens. Also, this data can be a valuable resource for developing better UV sensors including UV-sensing wearables⁷⁷ that are used to detect the dose and alert people regarding their UV exposure. Lastly, it can also serve as a starting point to find new molecular signatures of UV radiation exposure.

METHODS

Compilation of Published Research Articles Relevant to UV Radiation Exposure. The primary objective of this study is to compile expression profiles of biomolecules such as genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs induced by Ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure. To this end, we conducted a comprehensive literature search on PubMed⁷⁸ by using the following structured query (which includes related keywords): '(human[ORGN] OR "Homo sapiens"[ORGN] OR rat-[ORGN] OR "Rattus norvegicus" [ORGN] OR mouse [ORGN] OR "Mus musculus" [ORGN] OR mice [ORGN] OR murine-[ORGN]) AND ((UV*[TIAB] OR ultraviolet*[TIAB]) AND (radiation[TIAB] OR irradiation[TIAB] OR rays[TIAB])) AND ((gene*[TIAB] OR genome[TIAB] OR genomic*-[TIAB] OR microarray[TIAB] OR "gene expression"[TIAB] OR gene-expression[TIAB]) OR (protein*[TIAB] OR proteome[TIAB] OR proteomic*[TIAB] OR "gene-product"[-TIAB] OR "gene product" [TIAB] OR "protein expression" [-TIAB] OR "protein-expression" [TIAB]) OR (metabolite*-[TIAB] OR metabolome[TIAB] OR metabolomic*[TIAB] OR metabolic[TIAB] OR metabolize[TIAB] OR metabolise-[TIAB])) AND (effect[TIAB] OR exposure[TIAB] OR response[TIAB] OR injury[TIAB] OR biomarker[TIAB] OR signature[TIAB])'

The above query search was last performed on 12 February 2022 and yielded a total of 5553 published research articles (Figure 7). Thereafter, these articles were screened based on specific inclusion and exclusion criteria (Figure 7), which are as follows:

- (i) Experiments reported in the article should have utilized UV radiation;
- (ii) Experiments should have been conducted on humans or rodents;

- (iii) Experiments should have been conducted on normal cells or tissues, excluding cancer cells, gene knockout studies or any conditions involving disease;
- (iv) Proper controls, without UV irradiation, must be present;
- (v) The article should report statistically significant change (*p*-value ≤0.05) in the expression profiles of genes or proteins or metabolites or miRNAs;
- (vi) Articles reporting results from mixed effects, such as a combined effect of UV radiation and chemical exposure, were excluded;
- (vii) Meta-analysis studies were excluded;
- (viii) Review articles, articles in languages other than English, and articles inaccessible were excluded.

Altogether, this screening workflow led to a subset of 320 PubMed Identifiers (PMIDs) or published research articles, which were shortlisted for the next stage (Figure 7).

Data Compilation and Annotation. From the 320 shortlisted research articles relevant to UV radiation exposure, an exhaustive manual extraction of the expression profiles for genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs was performed. Simultaneously, metadata associated with the expression profiles was also meticulously collected. The metadata includes details such as the organism under study or organism from which the tested cell or tissue was derived, the strain and age of the organism, the cell or tissue from which the data was obtained, the type of UV radiation used for the experiment, the dose and dosage rate of radiation, the study type (in vivo or in vitro or ex vivo), sampling time, type of omics data collected, and the experimental method used for data acquisition. Noteworthy, some published studies have simply reported that UV radiation has been utilized and have not indicated the specific UV type used. In such instances, we have designated the type of UV radiation as "UV radiation-General".

Subsequent to the data extraction, names of genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs were mapped to identifiers (IDs) from standard databases. This process involved:

- (i) Mapping of a Gene to Gene Symbol, Organism-specific Database ID, Official name, Ensembl ID,⁷⁹ NCBI Gene ID,⁸⁰ and corresponding organism information from NCBI database.
- (ii) Mapping of a Protein to UniProt Name, UniProt ID, Gene Symbol, Organism-specific Database ID, Official Name, and corresponding organism information from UniProt database.⁸¹
- (iii) Mapping of a Metabolite to KEGG ID, Official Name, synonyms from KEGG database,⁸²⁻⁸⁴ and to CAS ID, PubChem ID and ChEBI ID using CAS,⁸⁵ PubChem⁸⁶ and ChEBI⁸⁷ databases, respectively.
- (iv) Mapping of a miRNA to Accession code, Symbol, Description, Mature sequence, Gene family and corresponding organism information using miRBase.⁸⁸

Biomolecules (i.e., genes or proteins or metabolites or miRNAs) which could not be mapped to standard identifiers were excluded. Additionally, the tested cell or tissue type corresponding to biomolecule data was mapped to the standard identifiers using Ontology Lookup Service (OLS).⁸⁹ Information on dose, dosage rate and sampling time was standardized and unified to units of J/m² for dose, W/m² for dosage rate, and hours for sampling time, respectively.

Finally, we compiled a data set of expression profiles of biomolecules relevant to UV radiation exposure comprising of 985 genes (with Gene Symbols), 470 proteins (with UniProt IDs), 54 metabolites (with CAS IDs) and 77 miRNAs (with Accession codes) (Tables S1-S4). Note that a given gene, protein, metabolite or miRNA in our compiled data set may have been reported in multiple studies spanning different organisms, cell types, tissue types or experimental conditions. Therefore, our compiled data set comprises 2297 gene expression profiles, 1228 protein expression profiles, 209 metabolite expression profiles and 162 miRNA expression profiles (Tables S1-S4). Notably, the 985 genes include those reported across all organisms considered in this study, that is, humans and rodents. 811 genes out of the 985 genes in our compiled data set have been reported as differentially expressed in experiments conducted on human cells or tissues and have unique Gene Symbols. Moreover, this set of 811 human genes (Table S5) was used as the input data set for subsequent analysis, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Web Interface and Database Management System. Leveraging the compiled information, we have developed an online database with a user-friendly web interface namely, UltraViolet Radiation Expression Knowledgebase (UVREK). UVREK compiles information on four types of biomolecules, namely, genes, proteins, metabolites and miRNAs, induced by UV radiation exposure. Additionally, UVREK provides mapping of the biomolecule information to standard databases and includes associated metadata. UVREK is openly accessible for academic research at: https://cb.imsc.res.in/uvrek/.

Within the web interface of UVREK, we employed MariaDB⁹⁰ to store the compiled data set and utilized Structured Query Language (SQL) for data retrieval. The web interface was built using PHP⁹¹ along with customized HTML, CSS, jQuery,⁹² and Bootstrap 5.⁹³ The UVREK database is hosted on an Apache Web server⁹⁴ running on Debian 9.4 Linux Operating System.

GO Term Enrichment Analysis. We conducted GO term enrichment analysis to elucidate the molecular mechanisms, biological processes and pathways associated with gene responses to UV radiation exposure. This analysis was performed for the set of 811 human genes using the Enrichr Web server⁹⁵ and the results were obtained for GO terms across three ontologies: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function. For each of the three ontologies, we extracted the significantly enriched (*p*-value ≤ 0.01) GO terms along with the corresponding number of genes associated with UV radiation exposure for each term. Subsequently, the extracted GO terms were sorted based on the number of associated genes, and the top 10 enriched terms (Table S6) were visualized using the Matplotlib library.⁹⁶

Pathway Enrichment Analysis. We also performed pathway enrichment analysis for the set of 811 human genes induced by UV radiation exposure. For this, we utilized the "KEGG 2021 Human" gene set library of Enrichr⁹⁵ for pathway associations. This analysis yielded a ranked list of pathways wherein the ranking was based on the *p*-value quantifying the similarity of the input gene set with the pathway gene set. Further we filtered the KEGG pathways with a gene set size (i.e., number of genes associated with UV exposure response) greater than 25, aiming to mitigate overestimation of resultant statistics. Additionally, we applied a *p*-value cutoff of ≤ 0.01 , resulting in the identification of 53 enriched KEGG pathways (Table S7) within the input gene set. Moreover, we manually mapped each of these 53 enriched pathways to their corresponding hierarchical classifications, as provided by the KEGG BRITE database⁹⁷ (Table S7). The enriched pathways in the input gene

set were visualized using bubble plots generated utilizing Matplotlib library.⁹⁶

For a broader understanding of the relationships between the 53 enriched pathways, we constructed a pathway similarity network by computing the Jaccard index between the enriched pathways based on their gene sets. Within this network, two pathways were linked by an edge if their respective Jaccard index exceeded 0.2, a threshold delineating the top quartile of the Jaccard index distribution. Visualization of the pathway similarity network was performed using Cytoscape version 3.9.1.⁹⁸

Protein Interaction Network-Based Analysis. To explore the functional relationships among the 811 human genes induced by UV radiation exposure, we leveraged the NetworkAnalyst 3.0 Web server to construct the associated protein—protein interaction (PPI) network.^{63,99} For this construction, we employed the STRING database¹⁰⁰ within the NetworkAnalyst Web server, and moreover, set the confidence score threshold to be greater than 0.9. Of note, only interactions with known experimental evidence were considered. Thereafter, we derived a first-order network, filtered the nodes for a degree of 5, and visualized the largest connected component of the first-order network (Tables S8–S9) using Gephi 0.9.7.¹⁰¹

In this analysis, we further categorized the standardized terms corresponding to cells or tissues (wherein expression profiles were obtained) into broader classifications, encompassing the following categories: Skin, Eye, Blood, Connective tissue, Liver, Intestine, Thyroid gland, Ear, Testis, Spleen, and Others (Tables S1-S4). Based on this categorization, we observed that among the compiled data in UVREK, majority of the gene expression profiles were obtained in skin-specific tissues or cells. Therefore, we also constructed another PPI network which is skin-specific by incorporating genes only in the skin category induced by UV radiation exposure. While constructing the skin-specific PPI network within the NetworkAnalyst Web server, we additionally filtered the skin-specific nodes using the information from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) portal.¹⁰² Subsequently, we derived a first-order network, filtered the nodes for a degree of 5, and visualized the largest connected component of the firstorder network (Tables S10–S11) using Gephi 0.9.7.¹⁰

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

The data associated with this manuscript is contained in the article or in the Supporting Information or in the GitHub repository: https://github.com/asamallab/UVREK or in the associated website: https://cb.imsc.res.in/uvrek/.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.4c06708.

Biomolecule data, human gene list, enriched GO terms, enriched KEGG pathways, and edge and node files for the PPI networks. **Supporting Tables** List of 2297 genes which are reported to be induced by ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure in published studies (Table S1); List of 1228 proteins which are reported to be induced by ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure in published studies (Table S2); List of 209 metabolites which are reported to be induced by ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure in published studies (Table S3); List of 162 miRNAs which are reported to be induced by ultraviolet (UV) radiation exposure in published studies (Table S4); The table gives the list of 811 human genes compiled in UVREK database along with the literature references (Table S5); List of 30 Gene Ontology (GO) terms enriched in the set of 811 human genes induced by ultraviolet radiation (UV) exposure (Table S6); List of 53 pathways enriched in the 811 human genes induced by ultraviolet radiation (UV) exposure (Table S7); The edge file for the largest connected first-order PPI network for the 811 human genes constructed from NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (https:// www.networkanalyst.ca/) (Table S8); The node file for the largest connected first-order PPI network for the 811 human genes constructed from NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/) (Table S9); The edge file for the largest connected first-order PPI network for the 648 skin-specific human genes constructed from NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (https://www.networkanalyst.ca/) (Table S10); The node file for the largest connected firstorder PPI network for the 648 skin-specific human genes constructed from NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (https://www. networkanalyst.ca/) (Table S11) (XLSX)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Bagavathy Shanmugam Karthikeyan The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India; Email: bskarthi@imsc.res.in
- Areejit Samal The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India; Homi Bhabha National Institute (HBNI), Mumbai 400094, India; O orcid.org/0000-0002-6796-9604; Phone: +91-44-22543219; Email: asamal@ imsc.res.in; Fax: +91-44-22541586

Authors

- Shanmuga Priya Baskaran The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India; Homi Bhabha National Institute (HBNI), Mumbai 400094, India;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-0306-9690
- Janani Ravichandran The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India; Homi Bhabha National Institute (HBNI), Mumbai 400094, India
- **Priya Shree** *The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India*
- Vinayak Thengumthottathil The Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai 600113, India

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.4c06708

Author Contributions

[§]S.P.B. and J.R. contributed equally to this work and should be considered as Joint-First authors S.P.B.: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing; J.R.: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology; P.S.: Data Curation; V.T.: Data Curation; B.S.K.: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing; A.S.: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.S. would like to acknowledge funding from the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Government of India through the Apex Project to The Institute of Mathematical Sciences. The funders have no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript preparation, or decision to publish.

REFERENCES

(1) Vermeulen, R.; Schymanski, E. L.; Barabási, A.-L.; Miller, G. W. The Exposome and Health: Where Chemistry Meets Biology. *Science* **2020**, *367* (6476), 392–396.

(2) Neveu, V.; Moussy, A.; Rouaix, H.; Wedekind, R.; Pon, A.; Knox, C.; Wishart, D. S.; Scalbert, A. Exposome-Explorer: A Manually-Curated Database on Biomarkers of Exposure to Dietary and Environmental Factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017, 45 (D1), D979–D984.
(3) Miller, G. W.; Jones, D. P. The Nature of Nurture: Refining the

Definition of the Exposome. *Toxicol. Sci.* **2014**, 137 (1), 1-2.

(4) Wild, C. P. The Exposome: From Concept to Utility. Int. J. Epidemiol. 2012, 41 (1), 24–32.

(5) Rappaport, S. M.; Smith, M. T. Environment and Disease Risks. *Science* **2010**, 330 (6003), 460–461.

(6) Wild, C. P. Complementing the Genome with an "Exposome": The Outstanding Challenge of Environmental Exposure Measurement in Molecular Epidemiology. *Cancer Epidemiol., Biomarkers Prev.* 2005, 14 (8), 1847–1850.

(7) Barupal, D. K.; Fiehn, O. Generating the Blood Exposome Database Using a Comprehensive Text Mining and Database Fusion Approach. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2019**, *127* (9), No. 097008.

(8) Rappaport, S. M.; Barupal, D. K.; Wishart, D.; Vineis, P.; Scalbert, A. The Blood Exposome and Its Role in Discovering Causes of Disease. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2014**, *122* (8), 769–774.

(9) Davis, A. P.; Wiegers, T. C.; Johnson, R. J.; Sciaky, D.; Wiegers, J.; Mattingly, C. J. Comparative Toxicogenomics Database (CTD): Update 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *S1* (D1), D1257–D1262.

(10) Karthikeyan, B. S.; Ravichandran, J.; Aparna, S. R.; Samal, A. DEDuCT 2.0: An Updated Knowledgebase and an Exploration of the Current Regulations and Guidelines from the Perspective of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *267*, No. 128898.

(11) Karthikeyan, B. S.; Ravichandran, J.; Mohanraj, K.; Vivek-Ananth, R. P.; Samal, A. A Curated Knowledgebase on Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals and Their Biological Systems-Level Perturbations. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *692*, 281–296.

(12) Karthikeyan, B. S.; Ravichandran, J.; Aparna, S. R.; Samal, A. ExHuMId: A Curated Resource and Analysis of Exposome of Human Milk across India. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *271*, No. 129583.

(13) Neveu, V.; Nicolas, G.; Amara, A.; Salek, R. M.; Scalbert, A. The Human Microbial Exposome: Expanding the Exposome-Explorer Database with Gut Microbial Metabolites. *Sci. Rep.* **2023**, *13* (1), No. 1946.

(14) Neveu, V.; Nicolas, G.; Salek, R. M.; Wishart, D. S.; Scalbert, A. Exposome-Explorer 2.0: An Update Incorporating Candidate Dietary Biomarkers and Dietary Associations with Cancer Risk. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *48* (D1), D908–D912.

(15) Ravichandran, J.; Karthikeyan, B. S.; Jost, J.; Samal, A. An Atlas of Fragrance Chemicals in Children's Products. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *818*, No. 151682.

(16) Dong, T.; Zhang, Y.; Jia, S.; Shang, H.; Fang, W.; Chen, D.; Fang, M. Human Indoor Exposome of Chemicals in Dust and Risk Prioritization Using EPA's ToxCast Database. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, 53 (12), 7045–7054.

(17) Ravichandran, J.; Karthikeyan, B. S.; Singla, P.; Aparna, S. R.; Samal, A. NeurotoxKb 1.0: Compilation, Curation and Exploration of a Knowledgebase of Environmental Neurotoxicants Specific to Mammals. *Chemosphere* **2021**, *278*, No. 130387.

(18) Ravichandran, J.; Karthikeyan, B. S.; Aparna, S. R.; Samal, A. Network Biology Approach to Human Tissue-Specific Chemical Exposome. *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2021**, *214*, No. 105998.

(20) Lim, E.; Pon, A.; Djoumbou, Y.; Knox, C.; Shrivastava, S.; Guo, A. C.; Neveu, V.; Wishart, D. S. T3DB: A Comprehensively Annotated Database of Common Toxins and Their Targets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2010**, 38 (suppl_1), D781–D786.

(21) Chivukula, N.; Ramesh, K.; Subbaroyan, A.; Sahoo, A. K.; Dhanakoti, G. B.; Ravichandran, J.; Samal, A. ViCEKb: Vitiligo-Linked Chemical Exposome Knowledgebase. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2024**, *913*, No. 169711.

(22) Gao, P. The Exposome in the Era of One Health. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (5), 2790–2799.

(23) Zhang, X.; Gao, P.; Snyder, M. P. The Exposome in the Era of the Quantified Self. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci.* **2021**, *4*, 255–277.

(24) Passeron, T.; Krutmann, J.; Andersen, M. L.; Katta, R.; Zouboulis, C. C. Clinical and Biological Impact of the Exposome on the Skin. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. **2020**, 34 (S4), 4–25.

(25) Gallagher, R. P.; Lee, T. K. Adverse Effects of Ultraviolet Radiation: A Brief Review. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **2006**, *92* (1), 119–131.

(26) Xu, H.; Wang, Y.; Diao, L.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, J.; Liu, J.; Yao, J.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y.; He, F.; Wang, Z.; Liu, Y.; Li, D. UVGD 1.0: A Gene-Centric Database Bridging Ultraviolet Radiation and Molecular Biology Effects in Organisms. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2019**, *95* (8), 1172– 1177.

(27) Krutmann, J.; Bouloc, A.; Sore, G.; Bernard, B. A.; Passeron, T. The Skin Aging Exposome. *Journal of Dermatological Science* **2017**, 85 (3), 152–161.

(28) Casini, B.; Tuvo, B.; Cristina, M. L.; Spagnolo, A. M.; Totaro, M.; Baggiani, A.; Privitera, G. P. Evaluation of an Ultraviolet C (UVC) Light-Emitting Device for Disinfection of High Touch Surfaces in Hospital Critical Areas. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16* (19), 3572.

(29) Katta, R.; Brown, D. N. Diet and Skin Cancer: The Potential Role of Dietary Antioxidants in Nonmelanoma Skin Cancer Prevention. *J. Skin Cancer* **2015**, *2015*, No. 893149.

(30) Fisher, G. J.; Kang, S.; Varani, J.; Bata-Csorgo, Z.; Wan, Y.; Datta, S.; Voorhees, J. J. Mechanisms of Photoaging and Chronological Skin Aging. *Arch. Dermatol.* **2002**, *138* (11), 1462–1470.

(31) Taylor, H. R.; West, S. K.; Rosenthal, F. S.; Muñoz, B.; Newland, H. S.; Abbey, H.; Emmett, E. A. Effect of Ultraviolet Radiation on Cataract Formation. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1988**, *319* (22), 1429–1433.

(32) Juzeniene, A.; Moan, J. Beneficial Effects of UV Radiation Other than via Vitamin D Production. *Derm.-Endocrinol.* **2012**, *4* (2), 109–117.

(33) Opländer, C.; Volkmar, C. M.; Paunel-Görgülü, A.; Faassen, E. E. van.; Heiss, C.; Kelm, M.; Halmer, D.; Mürtz, M.; Pallua, N.; Suschek, C. V. Whole Body UVA Irradiation Lowers Systemic Blood Pressure by Release of Nitric Oxide From Intracutaneous Photolabile Nitric Oxide Derivates. *Circ. Res.* **2009**, *105* (10), 1031–1040.

(34) Roméro-Graillet, C.; Aberdam, E.; Clément, M.; Ortonne, J. P.; Ballotti, R. Nitric Oxide Produced by Ultraviolet-Irradiated Keratinocytes Stimulates Melanogenesis. *J. Clin. Invest.* **1997**, *99* (4), 635–642.

(35) Fang, F. C. Perspectives Series: Host/Pathogen Interactions. Mechanisms of Nitric Oxide-Related Antimicrobial Activity. J. Clin. Invest. 1997, 99 (12), 2818–2825.

(36) Xu, H.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Diao, L.; Xun, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Li, D. RadAtlas 1.0: A Knowledgebase Focusing on Radiation-Associated Genes. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* **2020**, *96* (8), 980–987.

(37) Chiani, F.; Iannone, C.; Negri, R.; Paoletti, D.; D'Antonio, M.; De Meo, P. D.; Castrignanò, T. Radiation Genes: A Database Devoted to Microarrays Screenings Revealing Transcriptome Alterations Induced by Ionizing Radiation in Mammalian Cells. *Database* **2009**, 2009, No. bap007.

(38) Clerbaux, L.-A.; Filipovska, J.; Nymark, P.; Chauhan, V.; Sewald, K.; Sachana, M.; Beronius, A.; Amorim, M.-J.; Wittwehr, C. Beyond Chemicals: Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Non-

Chemical Stressors in Adverse Outcome Pathways. *ALTEX* 2024, 41, 233–247, DOI: 10.14573/altex.2307061.

(39) Queen, D.; Shen, Y.; Trager, M. H.; Lopez, A. T.; Samie, F. H.; Lewin, J. M.; Niedt, G. W.; Geskin, L. J.; Liu, L. UV Biomarker Genes for Classification and Risk Stratification of Cutaneous Actinic Keratoses and Squamous Cell Carcinoma Subtypes. *FASEB J.* **2020**, *34* (9), 13022–13032.

(40) Usher-Smith, J. A.; Emery, J.; Kassianos, A. P.; Walter, F. M. Risk Prediction Models for Melanoma: A Systematic Review. *Cancer Epidemiol., Biomarkers Prev.* **2014**, *23* (8), 1450–1463.

(41) Mayeux, R. Biomarkers: Potential Uses and Limitations. *NeuroRX* **2004**, *1* (2), 182–188.

(42) Wilkinson, M. D.; Dumontier, M.; Aalbersberg, Ij. J.; Appleton, G.; Axton, M.; Baak, A.; Blomberg, N.; Boiten, J.-W.; da Silva Santos, L. B.; Bourne, P. E.; Bouwman, J.; Brookes, A. J.; Clark, T.; Crosas, M.; Dillo, I.; Dumon, O.; Edmunds, S.; Evelo, C. T.; Finkers, R.; Gonzalez-Beltran, A.; Gray, A. J. G.; Groth, P.; Goble, C.; Grethe, J. S.; Heringa, J.; 't Hoen, P. A. C.; Hooft, R.; Kuhn, T.; Kok, R.; Kok, J.; Lusher, S. J.; Martone, M. E.; Mons, A.; Packer, A. L.; Persson, B.; Rocca-Serra, P.; Roos, M.; van Schaik, R.; Sansone, S.-A.; Schultes, E.; Sengstag, T.; Slater, T.; Strawn, G.; Swertz, M. A.; Thompson, M.; van der Lei, J.; van Mulligen, E.; Velterop, J.; Waagmeester, A.; Wittenburg, P.; Wolstencroft, K.; Zhao, J.; Mons, B. The FAIR Guiding Principles for Scientific Data Management and Stewardship. *Sci. Data* **2016**, *3* (1), No. 160018.

(43) Chappell, J.; Hahlbrock, K. Transcription of Plant Defence Genes in Response to UV Light or Fungal Elicitor. *Nature* **1984**, *311* (5981), 76–78.

(44) Herrlich, P.; Sachsenmaier, C.; Radler-Pohl, A.; Gebel, S.; Blattner, C.; Rahmsdorf, H. J. The Mammalian UV Response: Mechanism of DNA Damage Induced Gene Expression. *Adv. Enzyme Regul.* **1994**, *34*, 381–395.

(45) Fan, M.; Pfeffer, S. R.; Lynch, H. T.; Cassidy, P.; Leachman, S.; Pfeffer, L. M.; Kopelovich, L. Altered Transcriptome Signature of Phenotypically Normal Skin Fibroblasts Heterozygous for CDKN2A in Familial Melanoma: Relevance to Early Intervention. *Oncotarget* **2013**, *4* (1), 128–141.

(46) Turner, J.; Igoe, D.; Parisi, A. V.; McGonigle, A. J.; Amar, A.; Wainwright, L. A Review on the Ability of Smartphones to Detect Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation and Their Potential to Be Used in UV Research and for Public Education Purposes. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 706, No. 135873.

(47) Fioletov, V.; Kerr, J. B.; Fergusson, A. The UV Index: Definition, Distribution and Factors Affecting It. *Can. J. Public Health* **2010**, *101* (4), 15–19.

(48) Pfeifer, G. P. Mechanisms of UV-Induced Mutations and Skin Cancer. *Genome Instab. Dis.* **2020**, *1* (3), 99–113.

(49) Rastogi, R. P.; Richa; Kumar, A.; Tyagi, M. B.; Sinha, R. P. Molecular Mechanisms of Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced DNA Damage and Repair. *J. Nucleic Acids* **2010**, *2010*, No. 592980.

(50) McKay, B. C.; Stubbert, L. J.; Fowler, C. C.; Smith, J. M.; Cardamore, R. A.; Spronck, J. C. Regulation of Ultraviolet Light-Induced Gene Expression by Gene Size. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, 101 (17), 6582–6586.

(51) Chan, E.; Gat, U.; McNiff, J. M.; Fuchs, E. A Common Human Skin Tumour Is Caused by Activating Mutations in β -Catenin. *Nat. Genet.* **1999**, 21 (4), 410–413.

(52) Azzouz, D.; Khan, M. A.; Sweezey, N.; Palaniyar, N. Two-in-One: UV Radiation Simultaneously Induces Apoptosis and NETosis. *Cell Death Discovery* **2018**, *4* (1), No. 51.

(53) Charoenchon, N.; Rhodes, L. E.; Nicolaou, A.; Williamson, G.; Watson, R. E. B.; Farrar, M. D. Ultraviolet Radiation-induced Degradation of Dermal Extracellular Matrix and Protection by Green Tea Catechins: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Clin. Exp. Dermatol.* **2022**, 47 (7), 1314–1323.

(54) Mielko, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Sahay, H.; Liu, Y.; Schaich, M. A.; Schnable, B.; Morrison, A. M.; Burdinski, D.; Adar, S.; Pufall, M.; Houten, B. V.; Gordân, R.; Afek, A. UV Irradiation Remodels the Specificity Landscape of Transcription Factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2023, 120 (11), No. e2217422120.

(55) Moan, J.; Peak, M. J. Effects of UV Radiation on Cells. J. Photochem. Photobiol., B 1989, 4 (1), 21-34.

(56) Schwarz, T.; Luger, T. A. New Trends in Photobiology: Effect of UV Irradiation on Epidermal Cell Cytokine Production. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B* **1989**, *4* (1), 1–13.

(57) Schwarz, T. UV Radiation and Cytokines. In *Skin Cancer and UV Radiation*; Altmeyer, P.; Hoffmann, K.; Stücker, M., Eds.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997; pp 219–226 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-60771-4_26.

(58) Li, H.; Prasad, R.; Katiyar, S. K.; Yusuf, N.; Elmets, C. A.; Xu, H. Interleukin-17 Mediated Inflammatory Responses Are Required for Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced Immune Suppression. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2015**, *91* (1), 235–241.

(59) Tabibzadeh, S. Signaling Pathways and Effectors of Aging. *Front. Biosci.* **2021**, *26* (1), 50–96.

(60) Wu, F.; Yang, J.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y.; Mu, J.; Zeng, Q.; Deng, S.; Zhou, H. Signaling Pathways in Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts and Targeted Therapy for Cancer. *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther.* **2021**, *6* (1), No. 218.

(61) Ke, Y.; Wang, X.-J. TGF β Signaling in Photoaging and UV-Induced Skin Cancer. J. Invest. Dermatol. **2021**, 141 (4, Supplement), 1104–1110.

(62) Mohania, D.; Chandel, S.; Kumar, P.; Verma, V.; Digvijay, K.; Tripathi, D.; Choudhury, K.; Mitten, S. K.; Shah, D. Ultraviolet Radiations: Skin Defense-Damage Mechanism. In *Ultraviolet Light in Human Health, Diseases and Environment*; Ahmad, S. I., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2017; Vol. 996, pp 71–87 DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-56017-5_7.

(63) Zhou, G.; Soufan, O.; Ewald, J.; Hancock, R. E. W.; Basu, N.; Xia, J. NetworkAnalyst 3.0: A Visual Analytics Platform for Comprehensive Gene Expression Profiling and Meta-Analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, 47 (W1), W234–W241.

(64) Tsai, M.-L.; Chang, K.-Y.; Chiang, C.-S.; Shu, W.-Y.; Weng, T.-C.; Chen, C. R.; Huang, C.-L.; Lin, H.-K.; Hsu, I. C. UVB Radiation Induces Persistent Activation of Ribosome and Oxidative Phosphorylation Pathways. *Radiat. Res.* **2009**, *171* (6), 716–724.

(65) Iordanov, M. S.; Pribnow, D.; Magun, J. L.; Dinh, T.-H.; Pearson, J. A.; Magun, B. E. Ultraviolet Radiation Triggers the Ribotoxic Stress Response in Mammalian Cells*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273* (25), 15794–15803.

(66) Benjamin, C. L.; Ullrich, S. E.; Kripke, M. L.; Ananthaswamy, H. N. P53 Tumor Suppressor Gene: A Critical Molecular Target for UV Induction and Prevention of Skin Cancer[†]. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2008**, *84* (1), 55–62.

(67) Latonen, L.; Laiho, M. Cellular UV Damage Responses— Functions of Tumor Suppressor P53. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, 1755 (2), 71–89.

(68) Decraene, D.; Agostinis, P.; Pupe, A.; Haes, P. de.; Garmyn, M. Chapter 21 - P53 Protein and the Response of Human Skin to UV. In *Sun Protection in Man*; Giacomoni, P. U., Ed.; Elsevier, 2001; Vol. 3, pp 407–418 DOI: 10.1016/S1568-461X(01)80056-9.

(69) Radici, L.; Bianchi, M.; Crinelli, R.; Magnani, M. Ubiquitin C Gene: Structure, Function, and Transcriptional Regulation. *Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *04* (12), 1057–1062.

(70) Ratner, J. N.; Balasubramanian, B.; Corden, J.; Warren, S. L.; Bregman, D. B. Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced Ubiquitination and Proteasomal Degradation of the Large Subunit of RNA Polymerase II: IMPLICATIONS FOR TRANSCRIPTION-COUPLED DNA RE-PAIR*. J. Biol. Chem. **1998**, 273 (9), 5184–5189.

(71) Liu, M.; Huang, S.; Park, S. Inhibitory Effects of Bioactive Compounds on UVB-Induced Photodamage in Human Keratinocytes: Modulation of MMP1 and Wnt Signaling Pathways. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2024**, 23, No. 463.

(72) Stelzer, G.; Rosen, N.; Plaschkes, I.; Zimmerman, S.; Twik, M.; Fishilevich, S.; Stein, T. I.; Nudel, R.; Lieder, I.; Mazor, Y.; Kaplan, S.; Dahary, D.; Warshawsky, D.; Guan-Golan, Y.; Kohn, A.; Rappaport, N.; Safran, M.; Lancet, D. The GeneCards Suite: From Gene Data Mining to Disease Genome Sequence Analyses. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinf.* **2016**, 54 (1), 1.30.1–1.30.33.

(73) GeneCards. https://www.genecards.org/ (accessed Aug 30, 2024).

(74) Sample, A.; He, Y.-Y. Mechanisms and Prevention of UV-Induced Melanoma. *Photodermatol.*, *Photoimmunol. Photomed.* **2018**, 34 (1), 13–24.

(75) Gupta, A.; Avci, P.; Dai, T.; Huang, Y.-Y.; Hamblin, M. R. Ultraviolet Radiation in Wound Care: Sterilization and Stimulation. *Adv. Wound Care* **2013**, *2* (8), 422–437.

(76) Engelsen, O. The Relationship between Ultraviolet Radiation Exposure and Vitamin D Status. *Nutrients* **2010**, *2* (5), 482–495.

(77) Henning, A.; J Downs, N.; Vanos, J. K. Wearable Ultraviolet Radiation Sensors for Research and Personal Use. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **2022**, *66* (3), 627–640.

(78) PubMed. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ (accessed Feb 12, 2022).

(79) Howe, K. L.; Achuthan, P.; Allen, J.; Allen, J.; Alvarez-Jarreta, J.; Amode, M. R.; Armean, I. M.; Azov, A. G.; Bennett, R.; Bhai, J.; Billis, K.; Boddu, S.; Charkhchi, M.; Cummins, C.; Da Rin Fioretto, L.; Davidson, C.; Dodiya, K.; El Houdaigui, B.; Fatima, R.; Gall, A.; Garcia Giron, C.; Grego, T.; Guijarro-Clarke, C.; Haggerty, L.; Hemrom, A.; Hourlier, T.; Izuogu, O. G.; Juettemann, T.; Kaikala, V.; Kay, M.; Lavidas, I.; Le, T.; Lemos, D.; Gonzalez Martinez, J.; Marugán, J. C.; Maurel, T.; McMahon, A. C.; Mohanan, S.; Moore, B.; Muffato, M.; Oheh, D. N.; Paraschas, D.; Parker, A.; Parton, A.; Prosovetskaia, I.; Sakthivel, M. P.; Salam, A. I. A.; Schmitt, B. M.; Schuilenburg, H.; Sheppard, D.; Steed, E.; Szpak, M.; Szuba, M.; Taylor, K.; Thormann, A.; Threadgold, G.; Walts, B.; Winterbottom, A.; Chakiachvili, M.; Chaubal, A.; De Silva, N.; Flint, B.; Frankish, A.; Hunt, S. E.; IIsley, G. R.; Langridge, N.; Loveland, J. E.; Martin, F. J.; Mudge, J. M.; Morales, J.; Perry, E.; Ruffier, M.; Tate, J.; Thybert, D.; Trevanion, S. J.; Cunningham, F.; Yates, A. D.; Zerbino, D. R.; Flicek, P. Ensembl 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021, 49 (D1), D884-D891.

(80) NCBIGene.https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/ (accessed July 31, 2023).

(81) Bateman, A.; Martin, M. J.; Orchard, S.; et al. UniProt: The Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *51* (D1), D523–D531.

(82) Kanehisa, M.; Furumichi, M.; Sato, Y.; Kawashima, M.; Ishiguro-Watanabe, M. KEGG for Taxonomy-Based Analysis of Pathways and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *51* (D1), D587–D592.

(83) Kanehisa, M. Toward Understanding the Origin and Evolution of Cellular Organisms. *Protein Sci.* **2019**, 28 (11), 1947–1951.

(84) KEGG. https://www.genome.jp/kegg/ (accessed July 31, 2023).
(85) CAS. https://www.cas.org/ (accessed July 7, 2023).

(86) Kim, S.; Chen, J.; Cheng, T.; Gindulyte, A.; He, J.; He, S.; Li, Q.; Shoemaker, B. A.; Thiessen, P. A.; Yu, B.; Zaslavsky, L.; Zhang, J.; Bolton, E. E. PubChem 2023 Update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2023**, *51* (D1), D1373–D1380.

(87) Hastings, J.; Owen, G.; Dekker, A.; Ennis, M.; Kale, N.; Muthukrishnan, V.; Turner, S.; Swainston, N.; Mendes, P.; Steinbeck, C. ChEBI in 2016: Improved Services and an Expanding Collection of Metabolites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**, *44* (D1), D1214–D1219.

(88) Kozomara, A.; Birgaoanu, M.; Griffiths-Jones, S. miRBase: From microRNA Sequences to Function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, 47 (D1), D155–D162.

(89) OLS. https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ols4/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(90) MariaDB. https://mariadb.org/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(91) PHP. https://www.php.net/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(92) jQuery. https://jquery.com/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(93) Bootstrap. https://getbootstrap.com/docs/5.0/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(94) Apache. https://httpd.apache.org/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(95) Xie, Z.; Bailey, A.; Kuleshov, M. V.; Clarke, D. J. B.; Evangelista, J. E.; Jenkins, S. L.; Lachmann, A.; Wojciechowicz, M. L.; Kropiwnicki, E.; Jagodnik, K. M.; Jeon, M.; Ma'ayan, A. Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. *Curr. Protoc.* **2021**, *1* (3), No. e90.

(96) Matplotlib. https://matplotlib.org/ (accessed Jan 1, 2024).

(97) KEGG BRITE. https://www.genome.jp/kegg/brite.html (accessed Feb 13, 2024).

(98) Shannon, P.; Markiel, A.; Ozier, O.; Baliga, N. S.; Wang, J. T.; Ramage, D.; Amin, N.; Schwikowski, B.; Ideker, T. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.* **2003**, *13* (11), 2498–2504.

(99) NetworkAnalyst 3.0. https://www.networkanalyst.ca/ (accessed March 30, 2024).

(100) Szklarczyk, D.; Franceschini, A.; Wyder, S.; Forslund, K.; Heller, D.; Huerta-Cepas, J.; Simonovic, M.; Roth, A.; Santos, A.; Tsafou, K. P.; Kuhn, M.; Bork, P.; Jensen, L. J.; von Mering, C. STRING V10: Protein–Protein Interaction Networks, Integrated over the Tree of Life. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2015**, *43* (D1), D447–D452.

(101) Bastian, M.; Heymann, S.; Jacomy, M. Gephi: An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks. *Proc. Int. AAAI Conf. Web Soc. Media* **2009**, *3* (1), 361–362.

(102) Lonsdale, J.; Thomas, J.; Salvatore, M.; Phillips, R.; Lo, E.; Shad, S.; Hasz, R.; Walters, G.; Garcia, F.; Young, N.; Foster, B.; Moser, M.; Karasik, E.; Gillard, B.; Ramsey, K.; Sullivan, S.; Bridge, J.; Magazine, H.; Syron, J.; Fleming, J.; Siminoff, L.; Traino, H.; Mosavel, M.; Barker, L.; Jewell, S.; Rohrer, D.; Maxim, D.; Filkins, D.; Harbach, P.; Cortadillo, E.; Berghuis, B.; Turner, L.; Hudson, E.; Feenstra, K.; Sobin, L.; Robb, J.; Branton, P.; Korzeniewski, G.; Shive, C.; Tabor, D.; Qi, L.; Groch, K.; Nampally, S.; Buia, S.; Zimmerman, A.; Smith, A.; Burges, R.; Robinson, K.; Valentino, K.; Bradbury, D.; Cosentino, M.; Diaz-Mayoral, N.; Kennedy, M.; Engel, T.; Williams, P.; Erickson, K.; Ardlie, K.; Winckler, W.; Getz, G.; DeLuca, D.; MacArthur, D.; Kellis, M.; Thomson, A.; Young, T.; Gelfand, E.; Donovan, M.; Meng, Y.; Grant, G.; Mash, D.; Marcus, Y.; Basile, M.; Liu, J.; Zhu, J.; Tu, Z.; Cox, N. J.; Nicolae, D. L.; Gamazon, E. R.; Im, H. K.; Konkashbaev, A.; Pritchard, J.; Stevens, M.; Flutre, T.; Wen, X.; Dermitzakis, E. T.; Lappalainen, T.; Guigo, R.; Monlong, J.; Sammeth, M.; Koller, D.; Battle, A.; Mostafavi, S.; McCarthy, M.; Rivas, M.; Maller, J.; Rusyn, I.; Nobel, A.; Wright, F.; Shabalin, A.; Feolo, M.; Sharopova, N.; Sturcke, A.; Paschal, J.; Anderson, J. M.; Wilder, E. L.; Derr, L. K.; Green, E. D.; Struewing, J. P.; Temple, G.; Volpi, S.; Boyer, J. T.; Thomson, E. J.; Guyer, M. S.; Ng, C.; Abdallah, A.; Colantuoni, D.; Insel, T. R.; Koester, S. E.; Little, A. R.; Bender, P. K.; Lehner, T.; Yao, Y.; Compton, C. C.; Vaught, J. B.; Sawyer, S.; Lockhart, N. C.; Demchok, J.; Moore, H. F. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) Project. Nat. Genet. 2013, 45 (6), 580-585.