



Published in final edited form as:

Appl Sci (Basel). 2021 September 02; 11(18): . doi:10.3390/app11188472.

In Vivo Evaluation of Decellularized Human Tooth Scaffold for Dental Tissue Regeneration

Ik-Hwan Kim¹, Mijeong Jeon², Kyounga Cheon³, Sun Ha Kim³, Han-Sung Jung⁴, Yooseok Shin⁵, Chung Min Kang¹, Seong-Oh Kim¹, Hyung-Jun Choi¹, Hyo-Seol Lee⁶, Ko Eun Lee⁶, Je Seon Song^{1,7,*}

¹Department of Pediatric Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

²Oral Science Research Center, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

³Department of Pediatric Dentistry, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

⁴Division in Anatomy & Developmental Biology, Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

⁵Department of Conservative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

⁶Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital, Seoul 02447, Korea

⁷Institute of Craniofacial Deformity, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 03722, Korea

Abstract

Conventional root canal treatment may result in loss of tooth vitality, which can lead to unfavorable treatment outcomes. Notably, a ceased tooth development of immature permanent teeth with open apices, regeneration of periodontal ligaments (PDL), and pulp is highly expected healing process. For regeneration, the scaffold is one of the critical components that carry biological benefits. Therefore, this study evaluated a decellularized human tooth as a scaffold for the PDL and pulp tissue regeneration. A tooth scaffold was fabricated using an effective decellularization method as reported in previous studies. PDL stem cells (PDLSCs) and dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs) obtained from human permanent teeth were inoculated onto decellularized scaffolds, then cultured to transplant into immunosuppressed mouse. After 9 weeks,

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

*Correspondence: songjs@yuhs.ac; Tel.: +82-2-22283170; Fax: +82-2-3657420.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.-H.K., M.J. and J.S.S.; methodology, I.-H.K. and M.J.; investigation, I.-H.K. and M.J.; data curation, I.-H.K. and M.J.; writing—original draft preparation, I.-H.K. and M.J.; writing—review and editing, K.C., S.H.K., H.-S.J., Y.S., H.-J.C., H.-S.L. and K.E.L.; visualization, C.M.K. and S.-O.K.; supervision, J.S.S.; funding acquisition, K.C., H.-J.C. and J.S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Dental Hospital, Yonsei University (#IRB 2-2016-0030). And In vivo procedures were performed in accordance with the protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yonsei University (#2016-0229).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. Written informed consent has been obtained from the patient(s) to publish this paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

PDLSCs and DPSCs that were inoculated onto decellularized tooth scaffolds and cultured in an in vivo demonstrated successful differentiation. In PDLSCs, a regeneration of the cementum/PDL complex could be expected. In DPSCs, the expression of genes related to revascularization and the hard tissue regeneration showed the possibility of pulp regeneration. This study suggested that the potential possible application of decellularized human tooth could be a scaffold in regeneration PDL and pulp tissue along with PDLSCs and DPSCs, respectively, as a novel treatment method.

Keywords

decellularized tooth scaffold; dental pulp stem cell; periodontal ligament stem cell; tissue regeneration

1. Introduction

The tooth is composed of enamel, dentin, cementum, pulp, and periodontal ligaments (PDL). Although tooth is confined with hard and calcified structures (enamel and dentin), dental pulp, and PDL are vulnerable to damages caused by dental caries, infections, and trauma [1]. The aim of the conventional treatment for a tooth is removal of affected/infected tissue and bacteria, and replacement with inert or synthetic dental materials. However, this approach may result in loss of biological function and cessation of root development of immature permanent teeth, which will eventually cause the tooth to become susceptible to secondary infections, post-operative fractures, and loss of biological function [2,3]. One of the promising alternatives to the conventional treatment is concept of PDL and pulp regeneration [4–8]. Current concept of regeneration is composed of important factors such as cells, scaffolds, and growth factors [9,10]. Stem cells (SCs) have the potential to proliferate, self-renew, and differentiate into a variety of functional cells [11]. Dental SCs (DSCs) are post-natal stem cells that have mesenchymal stem cell-like qualities, including the capacity for self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation [12]. DSCs can be collected from craniofacial bones, dental follicles, tooth germs, dental pulp, PDL, apical papillae, oral mucosa, gingiva, and the periosteum [13]. Various DSCs are being studied for their therapeutic potential [12] including tooth repair [14].

Stem cells can play a role in tissue regeneration, but the cell conditions in the laboratory environment and in the original tissue are different. Various types of scaffolds are used in regenerative tissue engineering to enhance stem cell differentiation, proliferation, and metabolism [15]. Scaffolds could promote stem cell adhesion and proliferation by mimicking the microenvironments and supporting structures of tissues [16,17]. Although more tissue regeneration research is being conducted nowadays, tissue repairs are still more common than tissue regeneration. After injection of suspended stem cells, it is almost impossible to control cell location and function, so a suitable scaffold is required. In particular, extracellular matrix (ECM) is important for scaffold fabrication and selection for reasons such as biological compatibility, biological degradability, and the possibility of rapid remodeling in vivo [18]. Synthetic scaffold using CAD/CAM technologies, laser-assisted bioprinting technologies, and a scaffold using decellularized organs are being studied to improve the effectiveness of tissue regeneration [19,20] including dental tissue regeneration

[21]. Among the available dental scaffolds, decellularized tissues, decellularized human tooth, and tooth bud scaffolds were studied as a promising dental tissue regeneration scaffolds in current studies [22–24]. Moreover, the results of dental tissue regeneration are affected by the delivery of growth factors. Studies on regeneration associated with the interaction between the dental or nondental stem cells and the growth factors under in vivo environment have been recently reported [2,25,26]. Various growth factors regulate transplanted or endogenously homed cells, and play a critical role in cell proliferation, odontoblastic differentiation, extracellular matrix synthesis, dentinogenesis, and chemotaxis [27–31].

The periodontium is a supportive complex of gingiva, PDL, cementum, and alveolar bone, which provides attachment to the bone and acts as a barrier from the oral micropathogen. Secondly, PDLs are directly associated with tooth vitality [32]. However, dental infection and trauma may bring significant adverse effects to the pulp and PDL, ultimately causing poor regeneration capability of the PDL. Several studies attempted periodontal ligament regeneration by utilizing PDLSCs and scaffolds including cell sheets [33,34].

Pulp regeneration is important in restoring tooth vitality and maintaining the development of immature permanent teeth. Innovative regenerative endodontic procedures (REP) can overcome the limitations of conventional root canal treatments [35,36]. However, REPs form dental pulp-like tissue, but in situ transplantation of human dental pulp stem cells (DPSC) has been shown to successfully regenerate vascularized pulp tissue [37,38]. The direct transplantation of stem cells produces higher capillary density in newly formed tissues than the cell homing-based method [39].

The hypothesis for the current study was that decellularized human tooth scaffolds would retain their extracellular matrix integrity, support recellularization, and promote differentiation in stem cells. In our previous studies, we confirmed the effective fabrication method of decellularized human tooth scaffolds and the properties of decellularized human dental scaffolds. In addition, after recellularization of PDLSC and pulp on decellularized human dental scaffolds, their properties were confirmed in vitro [23,24]. The current study, as a follow-up study, evaluated that decellularized human tooth scaffolds promote in vivo differentiation of DPSCs and PDLSCs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Tooth Sample Preparation and Cell Culture

Samples were prepared as described in a previous study [24]. Briefly, the second premolars, free of caries and restorations, were randomly collected from patients of 17 to 25 years of age under approved guidelines set by the Institutional Review Board of the Dental Hospital, Yonsei University (#IRB 2-2016-0030). Teeth were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), submerged in 0.5% Chloramine T (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 2 h at 4 °C, and washed in cold running water. Pulp tissue was removed with a barbed broach (Mani, Utsunomiya, Japan) to collect decellularized human periodontal ligament (dHPDL). The PDL tissue was removed with a dental curette to collect decellularized human dental pulp (dHDP). Tooth specimens were prepared using an

IsoMet 1000 precision saw (Buehler Ltd., Evanston, IL, USA) as previously described [2]. A semi-cylinder-shaped saw was used for dHPDL and a doughnut-shaped saw was used for dHDP. Samples were collected in cold PBS and immediately subjected to decellularization.

Previously characterized PDLSCs and DPSCs [20,21,37,38] from three to six passages were used in recellularization experiments and were cultured in a basal cell culture alphanimum essential medium (α -MEM) (Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen), 1% L-glutamine/penicillin/streptomycin solution (Invitrogen), and 0.2% amphotericin B solution (Invitrogen) at 37 °C in 5% CO₂. PDL tissues were obtained from the teeth using sterile curettes from the middle third of the teeth and pulp tissues were extirpated using a barbed broach, washed with PBS (Invitrogen), and subjected to a primary culture using the outgrowth method. PDL or pulp tissues were placed onto a 60-mm culture dish (BD Falcon, Lincoln Park, NJ, USA) and covered with a cover glass to allow the cells to grow. The cells were cultured in the culture medium described above at 37 °C in 5% CO₂.

2.2. Decellularization and Recellularization

PDLSCs and DPSCs were cultured using a method from previous studies [23,24]. Tooth slices were incubated in 1% Triton X-100 (Bio Basic, Inc., Markham, ON, Canada) for 24 h and then 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Tech and Innovation, Gangwon, Korea) for 24 h to decellularize the samples. This cycle was repeated three times. These procedures were performed at room temperature with constant gentle agitation of the samples in an SH30 orbital shaker (Fine PCR, Gyeonggi, Korea) in the presence of protease inhibitor cocktail (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). At the end of each cycle, samples were rinsed with 10% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, Fisher Scientific Co., Houston, TX, USA) that had a pH level of 7.4 for 5 min and were then rinsed three times for 10 min each with PBS (Invitrogen). The tooth slices were recellularized by pipetting rat tail collagen I (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) directly onto 1×10^7 cells/mL of dHPDL and dHDP in 12-well culture plates (Corning Inc.). After 30 min, 1 mL of basal culture media was applied to each well and was then changed every three days. Cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for two weeks.

2.3. In Vivo Transplantation

In vivo procedures were performed in accordance with the protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yonsei University (#2016-0229). Samples were prepared using the same methods in the recellularization experiments. Decellularized dHPDL and dHDP were used as the control samples. Samples were subcutaneously transplanted into the dorsal surface of five-week-old male immunocompromised BALB/c-nu mice (SLC, Shizuoka, Japan). Four pockets were made in each mouse ($n = 20$) and either recellularized PDLSCs or recellularized DPSCs were inserted into each pocket along with decellularized dHPDL/dHDP. All transplants were retrieved after nine weeks. Of the 20 samples, six from each group were designated for histological and immunohistological analyses. The remaining 14 transplants from each group were designated for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis.

2.4. Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Histological staining was conducted by fixing transplants with 10% formalin for 1 h, decalcifying them with EDTA, pH of 7.4 for 9 weeks at room temperature, embedding them in 4 µm-thick paraffin, and staining them with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and Masson's trichrome (MT) staining. IHC staining was conducted by deparaffinizing the sections in xylene, rehydrating them, and rinsing them with distilled water. Antigen retrieval was conducted by using protease K (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) for cementum-derived protein 23 (CP23) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), osteocalcin (OC) (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) staining, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Abcam). Then, 10 mM of citrate buffer with a pH of 6.0 was used for cluster differentiation 34 (CD34) (Abcam) and human nuclei (HN) (Merck Millipore) staining, but this treatment was not performed for collagen type XII (ColXII) (Abcam) and dentin sialoprotein (DSP) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Sections were then immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min to inactivate endogenous peroxidase activity and were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight. Primary antibody information is given in Table 1. After incubation, ready-to-use EnVision + System-HRP K4001 Labeled Polymer Anti-mouse X (Dako) and ready-to-use EnVision + System-HRP K4003 Labeled Polymer Anti-rabbit (Dako) were applied for 20 min or Vectastain Elite ABC Kit (PK-6105, Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA; goat IgG, diluted 1:200) was applied for 30 min to the samples. Color development was performed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine substrate (Dako) and counterstained with Gill's hematoxylin solution (Merck Millipore). Negative control sections were treated in the same manner but without primary antibodies.

2.5. Gene Expression Analysis by Quantitative Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Gene expression levels in samples were evaluated by qPCR. Total RNA was extracted from the samples using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The integrity and concentration of extracted RNA were measured using a NanoDrop ND-2000 spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA). cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng of RNA using an oligo d(T)15 primer Maxime RT PreMix kit (Intron Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A qPCR assay was performed with TB Green Premix EX Taq II (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) and an ABI 7300 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primer information is given in Table 2. The expression levels of each gene were normalized to that of the gene encoding glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and the relative gene expression levels were calculated using the 2^{-C_t} method [40]. Gene expression levels were calculated relative to their expression levels in the decellularized dHPDL and dHDP control samples.

2.6. Statistical Analyses

All experiments were performed at least in triplicate. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 25.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of the data was evaluated by using the Shapiro-Wilk test ($p < 0.05$). The Mann-Whitney U test ($p < 0.05$) was performed for all experiments using the SPSS software.

3. Results

3.1. Histological and Immunohistochemical Analysis of PDLSC Recellularization after In Vivo Transplantation

PDLSCs were repopulated on dHPDLs and cell viability was observed after nine weeks of transplantation in mouse pockets. Cells in the control group were not inoculated, but repopulation on dHPDL ECMs was observed through HE and MT staining for the PDLSC group (Figure 1A,B,D,E). HN staining confirmed that the cells were of human origin (Figure 1C,F). Immunohistochemical staining identified anti-human Col XII, CP23, and OC expression. The PDLSC group was stained while the control group was not (Figure 2).

3.2. Gene Expression in Recellularized PDLSCs

qPCR was performed to assess the expression of genetic markers in recellularized PDLSCs. There was a significant difference in the expression levels of CP23, Col I, Col XII, OC, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) between the PDLSC and control group. In particular, gene expression upregulation was observed in OC (4.59 ± 3.97 -fold) and CP23 (8.46 ± 3.87 -fold). However, there was no significant difference in the expression levels of periostin (POSTN) (Figure 3).

3.3. Histological and Immunohistochemical Analysis of DPSC Recellularization after In Vivo Transplantation

DPSCs were shown to be repopulated on dHDPs. This result was confirmed after nine weeks using the same method that was used to confirm the result for PDLs. In the DPSCs group, the repopulated cells were observed through HE and MT staining and their human origin was confirmed through HN staining (Figure 4). Unlike the control group, in the DPSC group, the newly formed hard tissues were observed through HE staining (Figure 4A,D). In the DPSC group, mineralized matrices were poorly organized and featured intermingled matrices with different alignments and embedded cells with large cytoplasmic spaces and nuclei (Figure 4D). Immunohistochemical staining showed the presence of anti-human DSP, OC, VEGF, and CD34. The DPSC group was stained while the control group was not (Figure 5).

3.4. Gene Expression in Recellularized DPSCs

qPCR was conducted to confirm the expression of the relevant markers in DPSCs after recellularization. The expression of genes related to DPSCs and hard tissue, blood vessel, and nerve formation were confirmed in the DPSC recellularization group. There was a significant difference in the expression levels of DSPP, DMP1, NES, Col I, ALP, CD31, and CD34 between the DPSC recellularization group and control group. In particular, gene expression upregulation was observed in DSPP (2.24 ± 0.50 -fold) and DMP1 (5.90 ± 4.54 -fold) (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

The current study examined the cell differentiation of the stem cells inoculated in decellularized human dental tooth scaffolds. Scaffolds are an important component

contributing to tissue regeneration. They provide structural support and play an important role in the cell differentiation required for new tissue to form [41,42]. Many decellularized tissues, such as skin, blood vessels, bone, and cartilage, are used as graft materials in treating patients [43,44]. Appropriately decellularized tissues preserve ECM integrity, bioactivity, spatial structures, and vascular, lymphatic, and nervous networks [45]. However, all cellular components must be removed from decellularized scaffolds as they can cause immune rejection [46]. The results of scaffold decellularization and recellularization are affected by various factors, such as the type, composition, thickness, density, and cellularity of the tissue being regenerated [47]. Previous studies have developed an optimal decellularization method and an effective method for removing residual DNA and cellular β -actin from human teeth [23,24].

The recellularization of decellularized PDL and pulp scaffolds was evaluated by qPCR and IHC staining. Stem cells repopulated on samples with pulp and PDL scaffolds but not on the control group that was not inoculated with cells. Similar to the results of in vitro experiments [24], in this study, PDLSCs were observed to be well engrafted around the cementum and they retained their unique characteristics through the high expression of the PDLSC-specific markers CP23 and POSTN. CP23 is a cementoblast marker and a regulator of the bio-mineralization of cementum [48,49]. POSTN is a PDL marker and is mainly found in cells with a mesenchymal lineage, such as osteoblasts, periodontal ligaments, and periosteal [50,51]. The PDLSCs migrated deeply to the part of the semi-cylindrical dHPDLs close to the cementum by passing through the dHPDL's collagen network (Figure 1D–F and D–F). The expression of Col XII, a marker of mature PDL, increased [52], indicating that PDLSCs differentiated into more mature PDLs. It was difficult to confirm the newly formed hard tissue through HE staining, but OC and ALP, which are involved in the mineralization of cementum and bone, were expressed [53,54]. During recellularization, PDLSCs moved close to the cementum of dHPDL, which affected the generation of mature PDL, and caused the differentiation of hard tissues in vivo. These results indicate that transplanting stem cells onto scaffolds can help repair or regenerate damaged periodontal tissue.

Recellularization on DPSCs had different characteristics than recellularization on PDLSCs. In the DPSC recellularization group, the formation of newly formed hard tissue was shown through HE staining. The newly created hard tissue did not have a dentin-like structure, but the hard tissue-related markers DSPP, DMP1, ALP, OC, and Col I were expressed [54–58]. In addition, nestin, which is related to nerves, and CD31 and CD34, which are related to angiogenesis, were expressed, indicating that hard tissue, nerves, and blood vessels may have been generated. Vascularization is an important part of tissue regeneration because blood vessels supply essential elements for the process. Angiogenesis was observed to have occurred through the expression of VEGF shown by IHC and the expression of CD31 and CD34 shown by qPCR. VEGF is a marker for angiogenesis, which accompanies osteogenesis. The generation of blood vessels facilitates the supply of nutrients, oxygen, and minerals essential for mineralization and bone-forming progenitors. Osteogenic factors, such as BMP2, promote osteoblast differentiation and mineralization and are secreted from blood vessels [59,60]. Mature osteoblasts generate mature angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, which also promote angiogenesis. Thus, the presence of these factors indicate that processes are occurring which promote tissue regeneration. Furthermore, when endothelial

cells are co-seeded with stem cells on scaffolds, the roles of factors that can positively affect osteogenesis and vascularization can be expected [61].

Teeth are complex organs made up of various cells and structures, thus it is difficult to repair once damaged PDL and pulp, which play an important role in teeth's physical, formative, nutritional, and sensory functions and affect tooth vitality [62,63]. PDL is a soft connective tissue that connects the root of the tooth and the inner wall of the alveolar socket [62]. PDL can be damaged by local factors, such as plaque, dental calculus, and traumatic occlusion, and destroyed by systemic or external factors, such as smoking. PDL can also be damaged by external force, more so by avulsion than luxation. Damage to PDL can cause early tooth loss or root resorption, thus recovery of damaged PDL is essential for tooth recovery [64,65]. Pulp can become necrotized due to caries, trauma, and anatomic variations, such as dens evaginatus and dens invaginatus [66]. The traditional treatment for necrotized pulp is to remove and replace it with dental material, which can harm the tooth vitality. The loss of vitality can disrupt the development of immature teeth, resulting in a poor clinical prognosis. REP development has made a significant progress in recent years, becoming an alternative treatment for damaged pulp that can preserve tooth vitality [36]. Although REP promotes continuous tooth growth and development of immature teeth, and it guides endodontic repair through cell-homing rather than the true regeneration of the pulp tissue [67].

Contemporary dental treatment processes simply replace missing tooth structures or entire missing teeth through various methods, including direct restoration and the insertion of inert dental materials, fixed prostheses, and dental implants. The development of osseointegrated dental implants was a revolutionary advance in dental treatment, making it possible to recover a missing tooth without affecting surrounding healthy teeth [68]. However, even biologically advanced materials and mechanically advanced implants still suffer structural limitations and cannot mimic biological functions. Dental tissue therapies are transitioning from traditional methods to working with patient biology, which is increasing treatment success rates [69]. The goal of traditional dental treatments is to restore the function and morphological integrity of the damaged tooth. However, the goal of cutting-edge dental treatments is to maintain tooth vitality and regenerate tissue. To overcome these limitations, research is being conducted to develop regenerative clinical treatments [70]. The ultimate goal of this line of research is to regenerate entire teeth. A study on the manipulation of a bioengineered incisor tooth germ using single cells isolated from the epithelium and mesenchyme of the dental germ produced the successful eruption of a bioengineered tooth germ. Bioengineered teeth exhibit masticatory properties and respond to harmful stimuli [71,72].

Previous studies on the use of stem cells in tooth regeneration have only used specific epithelial and mesenchymal stem cells. Although some of the mechanisms behind tooth development have not yet been identified, scaffolds can play an important role in tooth regeneration in addition to stem cells and growth factors [73]. The decellularized human tooth scaffolds used in this study, maintained structure with remaining collagen from both pulp and PDL scaffolds. Both types of scaffolds were recellularized when cultured in vivo after being inoculated with DPSCs and PDLSCs. These stem cells expressed genes related to pulp and PDL regeneration. Mesenchymal stem cells applied to decellularized human tooth

scaffolds have the potential to open a novel approach to pulp and PDL regeneration through proliferation and differentiation. Therefore, a single scaffold could contribute to regenerate both PDL and pulp by recellularization of PDLSCs and DPSCs.

This study has limitations in that it may show structural limitations due to the different area of PDL and pulp chamber size for each scaffold. The results exceeded the structural and functional limitations seen in scaffolds such as tissue substitutes, bioactive substances, and synthetic scaffolds used in the existing dental tissue regeneration studies. However, the process of restoring vitality of teeth by using damaged natural teeth as a scaffold still has many factors that can affect the results, such as the stem cell inoculation method and the degree of tooth damage, and further research is needed.

5. Conclusions

The current study showed that decellularized human teeth can be used as scaffolds to regenerate PDL and pulp using PDLSCs and DPSCs. PDLSCs and DPSCs inoculated into decellularized human tooth scaffolds and cultured in an in vivo environment differentiated into their corresponding tissues and express specific relevant genes.

Funding:

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (grant numbers NRF-2018R1D1A1B07041657 and NRF-2018R1D1A1B07046163) and National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, K08 (KDE027401A).

Data Availability Statement:

Data are contained within the article.

References

1. Lambrichts I; Driesen RB; Dillen Y; Gervois P; Ratajczak J; Vanganswinkel T; Wolfs E; Bronckaers A; Hilken P Dental pulp stem cells: Their potential in reinnervation and angiogenesis by using scaffolds. *J. Endod* 2017, 43, S12–S16. [PubMed: 28781091]
2. Cordeiro MM; Dong Z; Kaneko T; Zhang Z; Miyazawa M; Shi S; Smith AJ; Nor JE Dental pulp tissue engineering with stem cells from exfoliated deciduous teeth. *J. Endod* 2008, 34, 962–969. [PubMed: 18634928]
3. Qu T; Jing J; Jiang Y; Taylor RJ; Feng JQ; Geiger B; Liu X Magnesium-containing nanostructured hybrid scaffolds for enhanced dentin regeneration. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2014, 20, 2422–2433. [PubMed: 24593189]
4. Isaka J; Ohazama A; Kobayashi M; Nagashima C; Takiguchi T; Kawasaki H; Tachikawa T; Hasegawa K Participation of periodontal ligament cells with regeneration of alveolar bone. *J. Periodontol* 2001, 72, 314–323. [PubMed: 11327058]
5. Yen AH; Sharpe PT Regeneration of teeth using stem cell-based tissue engineering. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther* 2006, 6, 9–16. [PubMed: 16370910]
6. Hu L; Liu Y; Wang S Stem cell-based tooth and periodontal regeneration. *Oral Dis.* 2018, 24, 696–705. [PubMed: 28636235]
7. Eramo S; Natali A; Pinna R; Milia E Dental pulp regeneration via cell homing. *Int. Endod. J* 2018, 51, 405–419. [PubMed: 29047120]

8. Nakashima M; Iohara K; Murakami M; Nakamura H; Sato Y; Arijji Y; Matsushita K Pulp regeneration by transplantation of dental pulp stem cells in pulpitis: A pilot clinical study. *Stem Cell Res. Ther* 2017, 8, 61. [PubMed: 28279187]
9. Hubbell JA Biomaterials in tissue engineering. *Bio/Technology* 1995, 13, 565–576.
10. Bianco P; Robey PG Stem cells in tissue engineering. *Nature* 2001, 414, 118–121. [PubMed: 11689957]
11. Weissman IL; Anderson DJ; Gage F Stem and progenitor cells: Origins, phenotypes, lineage commitments, and transdifferentiations. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol* 2001, 17, 387–403. [PubMed: 11687494]
12. Chalisserry EP; Nam SY; Park SH; Anil S Therapeutic potential of dental stem cells. *J. Tissue Eng* 2017, 8, 2041731417702531.
13. Egusa H; Sonoyama W; Nishimura M; Atsuta I; Akiyama K Stem cells in dentistry—part i: Stem cell sources. *J. Prosthodont. Res* 2012, 56, 151–165. [PubMed: 22796367]
14. Sharpe PT Dental mesenchymal stem cells. *Development* 2016, 143, 2273–2280. [PubMed: 27381225]
15. Nii T; Katayama Y Biomaterial-assisted regenerative medicine. *Int. J. Mol. Sci* 2021, 22, 8657. [PubMed: 34445363]
16. Bohl KS; Shon J; Rutherford B; Mooney DJ Role of synthetic extracellular matrix in development of engineered dental pulp. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed* 1998, 9, 749–764. [PubMed: 9686338]
17. Eltom A; Zhong G; Muhammad A Scaffold techniques and designs in tissue engineering functions and purposes: A review. *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng* 2019, 2019, 3429527.
18. Celikkin N; Rinoldi C; Costantini M; Trombetta M; Rainer A; wi szkowski W Naturally derived proteins and glycosamino-glycan scaffolds for tissue engineering applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2017, 78, 1277–1299.
19. Bruzauskait I; Bironait D; Bagdonas E; Bernotien E Scaffolds and cells for tissue regeneration: Different scaffold pore sizes—Different cell effects. *Cytotechnology* 2016, 68, 355–369. [PubMed: 26091616]
20. Srinivasan S; Jayasree R; Chennazhi K; Nair S; Jayakumar R Biocompatible alginate/nano bioactive glass ceramic composite scaffolds for periodontal tissue regeneration. *Carbohydr. Polym* 2012, 87, 274–283. [PubMed: 34662961]
21. Galler KM; Hartgerink JD; Cavender AC; Schmalz G; D'Souza RN A customized self-assembling peptide hydrogel for dental pulp tissue engineering. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2012, 18, 176–184. [PubMed: 21827280]
22. Zhang W; Vazquez B; Oreadi D; Yelick P Decellularized tooth bud scaffolds for tooth regeneration. *J. Dent. Res* 2017, 96, 516–523. [PubMed: 28118552]
23. Son H; Jeon M; Choi HJ; Lee HS; Kim IH; Kang CM; Song JS Decellularized human periodontal ligament for periodontium regeneration. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0221236. [PubMed: 31415652]
24. Song JS; Takimoto K; Jeon M; Vadakekalam J; Ruparel NB; Diogenes A Decellularized human dental pulp as a scaffold for regenerative endodontics. *J. Dent. Res* 2017, 96, 640–646. [PubMed: 28196330]
25. Huang GT-J; Yamaza T; Shea LD; Djouad F; Kuhn NZ; Tuan RS; Shi S Stem/progenitor cell-mediated de novo regeneration of dental pulp with newly deposited continuous layer of dentin in an in vivo model. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2010, 16, 605–615. [PubMed: 19737072]
26. Ishizaka R; Iohara K; Murakami M; Fukuta O; Nakashima M Regeneration of dental pulp following pulpectomy by fractionated stem/progenitor cells from bone marrow and adipose tissue. *Biomaterials* 2012, 33, 2109–2118. [PubMed: 22177838]
27. Rutherford R; TrailSmith M; Ryan M; Charette M Synergistic effects of dexamethasone on platelet-derived growth factor mitogenesis in vitro. *Arch. Oral Biol* 1992, 37, 139–145. [PubMed: 1622340]
28. Nakashima M The effects of growth factors on DNA synthesis, proteoglycan synthesis and alkaline phosphatase activity in bovine dental pulp cells. *Arch. Oral Biol* 1992, 37, 231–236. [PubMed: 1375023]

29. Yokose S; Kadokura H; Tajima N; Hasegawa A; Sakagami H; Fujieda K; Katayama T Platelet-derived growth factor exerts disparate effects on odontoblast differentiation depending on the dimers in rat dental pulp cells. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2004, 315, 375–384. [PubMed: 14740293]
30. Tziafas D; Papadimitriou S Role of exogenous $\text{tgf-}\beta$ in induction of reparative dentinogenesis in vivo. *Eur. J. Oral Sci* 1998, 106, 192–196. [PubMed: 9541225]
31. Howard C; Murray PE; Namerow KN Dental pulp stem cell migration. *J. Endod* 2010, 36, 1963–1966. [PubMed: 21092813]
32. Narayanan AS Connective tissues of the periodontium: A summary of current work. *Collagen Relat. Res* 1983, 3, 25–31.
33. Song IS; Han YS; Lee J-H; Um S; Kim HY; Seo BM Periodontal ligament stem cells for periodontal regeneration. *Curr. Oral Health Rep* 2015, 2, 236–244.
34. Zhu W; Liang M Periodontal ligament stem cells: Current status, concerns, and future prospects. *Stem Cells Int.* 2015, 2015.
35. Albuquerque M; Valera M; Nakashima M; Nör J; Bottino M Tissue-engineering-based strategies for regenerative endodontics. *J. Dent. Res* 2014, 93, 1222–1231. [PubMed: 25201917]
36. Diogenes A; Ruparel NB; Shiloah Y; Hargreaves KM Regenerative endodontics: A way forward. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc* 2016, 147, 372–380. [PubMed: 27017182]
37. Iohara K; Imabayashi K; Ishizaka R; Watanabe A; Nabekura J; Ito M; Matsushita K; Nakamura H; Nakashima M Complete pulp regeneration after pulpectomy by transplantation of cd105^{+} stem cells with stromal cell-derived factor-1. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2011, 17, 1911–1920. [PubMed: 21417716]
38. Iohara K; Murakami M; Takeuchi N; Osako Y; Ito M; Ishizaka R; Utunomiya S; Nakamura H; Matsushita K; Nakashima M A novel combinatorial therapy with pulp stem cells and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor for total pulp regeneration. *Stem Cells Transl. Med* 2013, 2, 521–533. [PubMed: 23761108]
39. Takeuchi N; Hayashi Y; Murakami M; Alvarez F; Horibe H; Iohara K; Nakata K; Nakamura H; Nakashima M Similar in vitro effects and pulp regeneration in ectopic tooth transplantation by basic fibroblast growth factor and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor. *Oral Dis.* 2015, 21, 113–122. [PubMed: 24495211]
40. Livak KJ; Schmittgen TD Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative pcr and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{ct}}$ method. *Methods* 2001, 25, 402–408. [PubMed: 11846609]
41. Hutmacher DW Scaffold design and fabrication technologies for engineering tissues—State of the art and future perspectives. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed* 2001, 12, 107–124. [PubMed: 11334185]
42. Chen G; Ushida T; Tateishi T Scaffold design for tissue engineering. *Macromol. Biosci* 2002, 2, 67–77.
43. Gilbert TW; Sellaro TL; Badylak SF Decellularization of tissues and organs. *Biomaterials* 2006, 27, 3675–3683. [PubMed: 16519932]
44. Porzionato A; Stocco E; Barbon S; Grandi F; Macchi V; De Caro R Tissue-engineered grafts from human decellularized extracellular matrices: A systematic review and future perspectives. *Int. J. Mol. Sci* 2018, 19, 4117.
45. Badylak SF; Weiss DJ; Caplan A; Macchiarini P Retracted: Engineered Whole Organs and Complex Tissues; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2012.
46. Keane TJ; Londono R; Turner NJ; Badylak SF Consequences of ineffective decellularization of biologic scaffolds on the host response. *Biomaterials* 2012, 33, 1771–1781. [PubMed: 22137126]
47. Lu H; Hoshiba T; Kawazoe N; Chen G Comparison of decellularization techniques for preparation of extracellular matrix scaffolds derived from three-dimensional cell culture. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 2012, 100, 2507–2516.
48. Alvarez-Pérez MA; Narayanan S; Zeichner-David M; Rodríguez Carmona B; Arzate H Molecular cloning, expression and immunolocalization of a novel human cementum-derived protein (cp-23). *Bone* 2006, 38, 409–419. [PubMed: 16263347]
49. Villarreal-Ramírez E; Moreno A; Mas-Oliva J; Chávez-Pacheco JL; Narayanan AS; Gil-Chavarría I; Zeichner-David M; Arzate H Characterization of recombinant human cementum protein 1 (hrcomp1): Primary role in biomineralization. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 2009, 384, 49–54. [PubMed: 19393626]

50. Bolton K; Segal D; McMillan J; Sanigorski A; Collier G; Walder K Identification of secreted proteins associated with obesity and type 2 diabetes in psammomys obesus. *Int. J. Obes* 2009, 33, 1153–1165.
51. Romanos GE; Asnani KP; Hingorani D; Deshmukh VL Periostin: Role in formation and maintenance of dental tissues. *J. Cell. Physiol* 2014, 229.
52. Karimbux NY; Nishimura I Temporal and spatial expressions of type xii collagen in the remodeling periodontal ligament during experimental tooth movement. *J. Dent. Res* 1995, 74, 313–318. [PubMed: 7876423]
53. McKee MD; Zalzal S; Nanci A Extracellular matrix in tooth cementum and mantle dentin: Localization of osteopontin and other noncollagenous proteins, plasma proteins, and glycoconjugates by electron microscopy. *Anat. Rec* 1996, 245, 293–312. [PubMed: 8769669]
54. Stucki U; Schmid J; Hämmerle CF; Lang NP Temporal and local appearance of alkaline phosphatase activity in early stages of guided bone regeneration. A descriptive histochemical study in humans. *Clin. Oral Implant. Res* 2001, 12, 121–127.
55. Batouli S; Miura M; Brahim J; Tsutsui TW; Fisher LW; Gronthos S; Robey PG; Shi S Comparison of stem-cell-mediated osteogenesis and dentinogenesis. *J. Dent. Res* 2003, 82, 976–981. [PubMed: 14630898]
56. Conde CM; Demarco FF; Casagrande L; Alcazar JC; Nör JE; Tarquinio SB Influence of poly-l-lactic acid scaffold's pore size on the proliferation and differentiation of dental pulp stem cells. *Braz. Dent. J* 2015, 26, 93–98. [PubMed: 25831096]
57. Su WT; Wu PS; Ko CS; Huang TY Osteogenic differentiation and mineralization of human exfoliated deciduous teeth stem cells on modified chitosan scaffold. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C Mater. Biol. Appl* 2014, 41, 152–160. [PubMed: 24907748]
58. Thomson TS; Berry JE; Somerman MJ; Kirkwood KL Cementoblasts maintain expression of osteocalcin in the presence of mineral trioxide aggregate. *J. Endod* 2003, 29, 407–412. [PubMed: 12814226]
59. Hu K; Olsen BR The roles of vascular endothelial growth factor in bone repair and regeneration. *Bone* 2016, 91, 30–38. [PubMed: 27353702]
60. Matsubara H; Hogan DE; Morgan EF; Mortlock DP; Einhorn TA; Gerstenfeld LC Vascular tissues are a primary source of bmp2 expression during bone formation induced by distraction osteogenesis. *Bone* 2012, 51, 168–180. [PubMed: 22391215]
61. Liu X; Chen W; Zhang C; Thein-Han W; Hu K; Reynolds MA; Bao C; Wang P; Zhao L; Xu HH Co-seeding human endothelial cells with human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells on calcium phosphate scaffold enhances osteogenesis and vascularization in rats. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2017, 23, 546–555. [PubMed: 28287922]
62. Beertsen W; McCulloch CA; Sodek J The periodontal ligament: A unique, multifunctional connective tissue. *Periodontology* 2000 1997, 13, 20–40. [PubMed: 9567922]
63. Van Hassel HJ Physiology of the human dental pulp. *Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol* 1971, 32, 126–134. [PubMed: 5281545]
64. Yen A-H; Yelick P Dental tissue regeneration—a mini-review. *Gerontology* 2011, 57, 85–94. [PubMed: 20453484]
65. Andreasen JO Pulp and periodontal tissue repair-regeneration or tissue metaplasia after dental trauma. A review. *Dent. Traumatol* 2012, 28, 19–24. [PubMed: 21933343]
66. Diogenes A; Henry MA; Teixeira FB; Hargreaves KM An update on clinical regenerative endodontics. *Endod. Top* 2013, 28, 2–23.
67. Kim JY; Xin X; Moiola EK; Chung J; Lee CH; Chen M; Fu SY; Koch PD; Mao JJ Regeneration of dental-pulp-like tissue by chemotaxis-induced cell homing. *Tissue Eng. Part A* 2010, 16, 3023–3031. [PubMed: 20486799]
68. Abraham C A brief historical perspective on dental implants, their surface coatings and treatments. *Open Dent. J* 2014, 8, 50–55. [PubMed: 24894638]
69. Schmalz G; Smith AJ Pulp development, repair, and regeneration: Challenges of the transition from traditional dentistry to biologically based therapies. *J. Endod* 2014, 40, S2–S5. [PubMed: 24698689]

70. Volponi AA; Zaugg LK; Neves V; Liu Y; Sharpe PT Tooth repair and regeneration. *Curr. Oral Health Rep* 2018, 5, 295–303. [PubMed: 30524931]
71. Ikeda E; Morita R; Nakao K; Ishida K; Nakamura T; Takano-Yamamoto T; Ogawa M; Mizuno M; Kasugai S; Tsuji T Fully functional bioengineered tooth replacement as an organ replacement therapy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 13475–13480. [PubMed: 19666587]
72. Nakao K; Morita R; Saji Y; Ishida K; Tomita Y; Ogawa M; Saitoh M; Tomooka Y; Tsuji T The development of a bioengineered organ germ method. *Nat. Methods* 2007, 4, 227–230. [PubMed: 17322892]
73. Huang D; Ren J; Li R; Guan C; Feng Z; Bao B; Wang W; Zhou C Tooth regeneration: Insights from tooth development and spatial-temporal control of bioactive drug release. *Stem Cell Rev. Rep* 2020, 16, 41–55. [PubMed: 31834583]




Figure 1.

Histological characteristics of PDLSC recellularization on dHPDL transplants. HE staining (A,D), MT staining (B,E), HN staining (C,F), HE, MT, and HN staining showed that dHPDL transplants were contributed to recellularization of cells, indicated by red arrows. Scale bars: 20 μ m. Abbreviations: PDLSC, periodontal ligament stem cells; dHPDL, decellularized human periodontal ligament; HE, hematoxylin and eosin; MT, Masson's trichrome; HN, human nuclei.




Figure 2.

Immunohistochemical staining of PDLSCs recellularization on dHPDL transplants. dHPDL transplants were immunostained with (A,D) anti-human Col XII, (B,E) anti-human CP23, and (C,F) anti-human OC antibodies. The red arrows indicate examples of positively immunostained cells. Scale bars: 20 μ m. Abbreviations: PDLSCs, periodontal ligament stem cells; dHPDL, decellularized human periodontal ligament; Col XII, Collagen type XII; CP23, cementum-derived protein 23; OC, osteocalcin.




Figure 3.

Evaluation of gene expression level by relative fold changes for genes encoding *CP23*, *Col XII*, *POSTN*, *OC*, *ALP*, and *Col I* in dHPDL transplants. The expression levels of *CP23*, *Col XII*, *OC*, *ALP*, and *Col I* differed significantly between the two groups (* $p < 0.05$). The expression of *POSTN* did not differ significantly between the two groups ($p > 0.05$). Abbreviations: C, control group; P, PDLSC group, *CP23*, cementum-derived protein 23; *Col XII*, collagen type XII; *POSTN*, periostin; *OC*, osteocalcin; *ALP*, alkaline phosphatase; *Col I*, collagen type I; dHPDL, decellularized human periodontal ligament.




Figure 4.

Histological characteristics of recellularized dHDP transplants with DPSCs. (A,D) HE staining. (B,E) MT staining. (C,F) HN staining. HE, MT, and HN staining showed that dHDP transplants were recellularized. Recellularized cells are indicated by red arrows. Scale bars: 20 μ m. Abbreviations: dHDP, decellularized human dental pulp; DPSCs, dental pulp stem cells; HE, hematoxylin and eosin; MT, Masson's trichrome; HN, human nuclei.




Figure 5.

Immunohistochemical staining of dHDP transplants recellularized with DPSCs. dHDP transplants immunostained with (A,E), anti-human DSP, (B,F) anti-human OC, (C,G) anti-human VEGF, and (D,H) anti-human CD34 antibodies. Red arrows indicate positively immunostained cells. Scale bars: 20 μ m. Abbreviations: dHDP, decellularized human dental pulp; DPSCs, dental pulp stem cells; DSP, dentin sialoprotein; OC, osteocalcin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; CD34, cluster of differentiation 34.




Figure 6.

Relative expression levels of the genes encoding *DSPP*, *DMP1*, *NES*, *OC*, *Col I*, *ALP*, *CD31*, and *CD34* in dHDP transplants. Data are mean and standard deviation. The expression levels of *Col I*, *DMP1*, *NES*, *Col I*, *ALP*, *CD31*, and *CD34* differed significantly between the DPSC recellularization and control groups ($p < 0.05$). The expression of *OC* did not differ significantly between the two groups ($p > 0.05$). Abbreviations: C, control group; D, DPSC recellularization group, *DSPP*, dentin sialophosphoprotein; *DMP1*, dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein 1; *NES*, nestin; *CD31*, cluster of differentiation 31; *CD34*, cluster of differentiation 34; dHDP, decellularized human dental pulp; DPSC, dental pulp stem cells. * indicates that there is a statistically significant difference. When $p < 0.05$, was used to show that there is a significant difference.

Table 1.

Primary antibodies for immunohistochemistry.

Antibodies	Catalog Number	Host Species	Dilution Factor
CD34	Ab110643	Rabbit	1:100
Col XII	Sc-68862	Rabbit	1:2000
CP23	Sc-164031	Goat	1:500
DSP	Sc-33586	Rabbit	1:500
HN	MAB1281	Mouse	1:100
OC	AB10911	Rabbit	1:8000
VEGF	Ab183100	Goat	1:100

Abbreviations: CD34, cluster of differentiation 34; Col XII, collagen type XII; CP23, cementum-derived protein 23; DSP, dentin sialoprotein; HN, human nuclei; OC, osteocalcin; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

Table 2.

qPCR forward and reverse primer sequences. The annealing procedures were performed at 60 °C for all primers.

Gene	Forward Primer Sequence (5'-3')	Reverse Primer Sequence (5'-3')
<i>ALP</i>	GGACCATTCACGCTCTTCAC	CCTTGTAGCCAGGCCCATG
<i>CD31</i>	CCCATTGTTCCCGTTTCCA	AGTTAGTTCTGCCTTCGGGC
<i>CD34</i>	CGCTGCCTTGCCAAGACTAA	CCTAGAGAGACGCACCGAGT
<i>Col I</i>	CGATGGCTGCACGAGTCACAC	CAGGTTGGGATGGAGGGAGTTTAC
<i>Col XII</i>	CGGACAGAGCCTTACGTGCC	CTGCCCCGGTCCGTGG
<i>CP23</i>	AACACATCGGCTGAGAACCTCAC	GGATACCCACCTCTGCCTTGAC
<i>DMP1</i>	GATCAGCATCCTGCTCATGTT	AGCCAAATGACCCCTCCATTC
<i>DSPP</i>	GGGATGTTGGCGATGCA	CCAGCTACTTGAGGTCCATCTTC
<i>NES</i>	GCCCTGACCACTCCAGTTTA	GGAGTCCTGGATTTCCTTCC
<i>OC</i>	CAAAGGTGCAGCCTTTGTGTC	TCACAGTCCGGATTGAGCTCA
<i>POSTN</i>	CACAACCTGGAGACTGGAC	TGTCTGCTGGATAGAGGAG
<i>GAPDH</i>	TCCTGCACCACCAACTGCTT	TGGCAGTGATGGCATGGAC

Abbreviations: *ALP*, gene encoding alkaline phosphatase; *CD31*, gene encoding cluster of differentiation 31; *CD34*, gene encoding cluster of differentiation 34; *Col I*, gene encoding collagen type I; *Col XII*, gene encoding collagen type XII; *CP23*, gene encoding cementum-derived protein 23; *DMP1*, gene encoding dentin matrix acidic phosphoprotein 1; *DSPP*, gene encoding dentin sialophosphoprotein; *NES*, gene encoding nestin; *OC*, gene encoding osteocalcin; *POSTN*, gene encoding periostin; *GAPDH*, gene encoding glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.